

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CLERK OF THE COURT

REGIONAL JUSTICE CENTER 200 LEWIS AVENUE, 3rd FI. LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89155-1160 (702) 671-4554 Electronically Filed Aug 02 2023 03:30 PM Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

Steven D. Grierson Clerk of the Court Anntoinette Naumec-Miller Court Division Administrator

August 2, 2023

Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of the Court 201 South Carson Street, Suite 201 Carson City, Nevada 89701-4702

RE: DEMARENE COLEMAN vs. WARDEN NAJERA; AARON FORD ATTORNEY GENERAL; STATE OF NEVADA; STEVEN B. WOLFSON D.A.

S.C. CASE: 86923 D.C. CASE: A-23-868466-W

Dear Ms. Brown:

In response to the e-mail dated August 2, 2023, enclosed is a certified copy of the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order filed July 25, 2023 and the Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order filed July 26, 2023 in the above referenced case. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please do not hesitate to contact me at (702) 671-0512.

Sincerely, STEVEN D. GRIERSON, CLERK OF THE COURT

/s/ Heather Ungermann
Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk

Electronically Filed 07/25/2023 1:08 PM CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **FCL** STEVEN B. WOLFSON 2 Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 3 JOHN AFSHAR Chief Deputy District Attorney 4 Nevada Bar #14408 8200 Lewis Avenue 5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff 6 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 DEMARENE COLEMAN, ID#1963947 10 Petitioner, CASE NO: A-23-868466-W 11 -VS-12 THE STATE OF NEVADA, DEPT NO: VI 13 Respondent. 14 15 FINDINGS OF FACTS, CONCLUSION OF LAW, AND ORDER 16 DATE OF HEARING: June 20, 2023 TIME OF HEARING: 3:00 pm 17 18 THIS CAUSE having come on for hearing before the Honorable JACQUELINE M. 19 BLUTH, District Judge, on the 20th day of June 2023, the Petitioner not present, the 20 Respondent being represented by STEVEN B. WOLFSON, Clark County District Attorney, 21 by and through JOHN AFSHAR, Chief Deputy District Attorney, and the Court having 22 considered the matter, including briefs, transcripts, and documents on file herein, now 23 therefore, the Court makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law. 24 // 25 // 26 // 27 // 28 //

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On September 20, 2005, Demarene Coleman (hereinafter "Petitioner") was charged by way of Information with MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Felony – NRS 200.010, 200.030, 193,165); ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (felony – NRS 200.010, 200.030, 193.330, 193.165); and ACCESSORY TO MURDER (Felony – NRS 200.010, 200.030, 195.030, 195.040).

On August 22, 2006, the district court held a hearing to determine Defendant's competency. The court found that the Petitioner was not competent and remanded him to the custody of the Division of Mental Health Development Services for detention and treatment. An Order of Commitment was filed on August 28, 2006. On December 12, 2006, the district court, after reviewing the doctor's reports, held that Petitioner was competent. On December 29, 2006, the court filed its Findings of Competency.

On May 29, 2007, Petitioner entered into a Guilty Plea Agreement and pled guilty to FIRST DEGREE MURDER and BATTERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON, the charges set forth in the Amended Information filed that same day.

On July 24, 2007, the date set for sentencing, defense counsel Carmine Colucci requested a continuance for leave to file a Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea. That same day, the court granted Petitioner's request for a continuance. On August 7, 2007, Petitioner filed a Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea. On August 13, 2007, the State filed its Opposition. On August 14, 2007, the district court denied Petitioner's Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea and sentenced Petitioner as follows: As to Count 1 – to a MAXIMUM of FIFTY YEARS with a MINIMUM of parole eligibility of FORTY-EIGHT (48) MONTHS to run CONCURRENT with Count 1; with SEVEN HUNDRED FIFTY-FIVE DAYS credit for time served. A Judgement of Conviction was filed on August 22, 2007.

On August 19, 2008, Petitioner filed a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus ("First Petition"), Motion for Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis, and Motion for Appointment of Counsel; Request for Evidentiary Hearing. The State filed its Response on October 28, 2008.

 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$

On November 18, 2008, the Court heard the matter. On February 26, 2009, the Court filed its Findings of Fact, Conclusion of law Order denying the First Petition.

On August 14, 2019, Petitioner filed a second Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus ("Second Petition"). The State filed its Response on October 2, 2019. The Court denied Petitioner's Second Petition on October 17, 2019.

On June 14, 2021, Petitioner filed a Motion for Appointment of Attorney. The Motion was denied on July 9, 2021.

On January 7, 2022, Petitioner filed a Motion for Modification of Sentence. The State filed its Opposition on January 25, 2022. On February 1, 2022, the Court heard the matter and denied the Petitioner's motion. The Court denied Petitioner's motion on February 1, 2022. On March 8, 2022, the Court filed its Order Denying Petitioner's Motion for Modification of Sentence. On August 3, 2022, the Nevada Supreme Court affirmed the district court's decision to deny Petitioner's motion.

On April 5, 2023, Petitioner filed a third Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus ("Third Petition"). On April 13, 2023, Petitioner filed a Motion for Appointment of Attorney and Request for Evidentiary Hearing. On May 1, 2023, the State filed its Opposition to Petitioner's Motion for Appointment of Attorney and Request for Evidentiary Hearing. On May 9, 2023, the State filed its Response to Petitioner's Third Petition. On the same day the Court held a hearing and denied Petitioner's Motion for Appointment of Attorney and Request for Evidentiary Hearing. On June 20, 2023, the Court filed a Minute Order denying Petitioner's Third Petition.

ANALYSIS

The Court Orders, Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) is denied.

"Any person convicted of a crime and under sentence of death or imprisonment who claims that the conviction was obtained, or that the sentence was imposed, in violation of the Constitution of the United States or the Constitution or laws of this State, or who, after exhausting all available administrative remedies, claims that the time the person has served

pursuant to the judgment of conviction has been improperly computed, may, without paying a filing fee, file a postconviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus to obtain relief from the conviction or sentence or to challenge the computation of time that the person has served." NRS 34.724.

However, "[u]nless there is good cause shown for delay, a petition that challenges the validity of a judgment or sentence must be filed within 1 year after entry of the judgment of conviction or, if an appeal has been taken from the judgment, within 1 year after the appellate court of competent jurisdiction ... issues its remittitur." NRS 34.724(1). "[G]ood cause for delay exists if the petitioner demonstrates to the satisfaction of the court: (a) That the delay is not the fault of the petitioner; and (b) That dismissal of the petition as untimely will unduly prejudice the petitioner." NRS 34.724(1)(a)-(b).

"Application of the statutory procedural default rules to post-conviction habeas petitions is mandatory." <u>State v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct. ex rel. Cnty. of Clark</u>, 121 Nev. 225, 231, 112 P.3d 1070, 1074 (2005).

Here, Petitioner has raised seven interrelated grounds for relief in his Petition revolving around his guilty plea agreement in case number 05C215295-1. However, each ground fails as they are subject to the above procedural bar.

Petitioner entered a plea of guilty and was sentenced on August 14, 2007. Judgment of Conviction filed August 22, 2007, in 05C215295-1. Thereafter, Petitioner's judgment of conviction was filed on August 22, 2007. <u>Id</u>. The instant Petition was filed on April 5, 2023. Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) filed April 5, 2023. Clearly, the one-year time limit of NRS 34.724(1) has lapsed and this Petition should be barred. In reviewing Petitioner's Petition, at no point does he argue about, or provide a reason for, his Petition being filed far after the one-year time limit expired. Even if Petitioner had provided argument pertaining to good cause for delay, the Court notes that all of his grounds are premised on events that occurred in 2007. Petitioner could have brought his claims much sooner than now.

1 Per the Petition, Petitioner was present for each event he now complains of. As such, the Petition must be denied. 2 As this Petition is time-barred, there is no need for an evidentiary hearing. See NRS 3 34.770(1) ("The judge or justice, upon review of the return, answer and all supporting 4 documents which are filed, shall determine whether an evidentiary hearing is required."); see 5 also NRS 34.770(2) ("If the judge or justice determines that the petitioner is not entitled to 6 relief and an evidentiary hearing is not required, the judge or justice shall dismiss the petition without a hearing."). 8 **ORDER** 10 Therefore, COURT ORDERS, Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is 11 DENIED and that the State's Countermotion to Dismiss Pursuant to Laches is DENIED as 12 MOOT. Dated this 25th day of July, 2023 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 BY #10539 for 20 Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #14408 21 22 23 24 August 2, 2023 25 26 27 28 Mlb/L5

kj

CSERV DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA Demarene Coleman, Plaintiff(s) CASE NO: A-23-868466-W DEPT. NO. Department 6 VS. Warden Najera, Defendant(s) **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below: Service Date: 7/25/2023 Steven Wolfson motions@clarkcountyda.com

Electronically Filed 7/26/2023 9:02 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COU

NEFF

2

1

4

5

7

9

11

10

13

12

1415

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

2627

28

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

DEMARENE COLEMAN,

Petitioner,

Case No: A-23-868466-W

Dept No: VI

WARDEN NAJERA; ET.AL.,

VS.

Respondent,

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on July 25, 2023, the court entered a decision or order in this matter, a true and correct copy of which is attached to this notice.

You may appeal to the Supreme Court from the decision or order of this court. If you wish to appeal, you must file a notice of appeal with the clerk of this court within thirty-three (33) days after the date this notice is mailed to you. This notice was mailed on July 26, 2023.

STEVEN D. GRIERSON, CLERK OF THE COURT

/s/ Amanda Hampton

Amanda Hampton, Deputy Clerk

CERTIFICATE OF E-SERVICE / MAILING

I hereby certify that on this 26 day of July 2023, I served a copy of this Notice of Entry on the following:

☑ By e-mail:

Clark County District Attorney's Office Attorney General's Office – Appellate Division-

☑ The United States mail addressed as follows:

Demarene Coleman # 1007335 P.O. Box 208

Indian Springs, NV 89070

/s/ Amanda Hampton

Amanda Hampton, Deputy Clerk

OF THE A DESCRIPTION OF THE A

August 2, 2023

CERTIFIED COPY
ELECTRONIC SEAL (NRS | 1.190(3))

-1-

Case Number: A-23-868466-W

Electronically Filed 07/25/2023 1:08 PM CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **FCL** STEVEN B. WOLFSON 2 Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 3 JOHN AFSHAR Chief Deputy District Attorney 4 Nevada Bar #14408 8200 Lewis Avenue 5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff 6 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 DEMARENE COLEMAN, ID#1963947 10 Petitioner, CASE NO: A-23-868466-W 11 -VS-12 THE STATE OF NEVADA, DEPT NO: VI 13 Respondent. 14 15 FINDINGS OF FACTS, CONCLUSION OF LAW, AND ORDER 16 DATE OF HEARING: June 20, 2023 TIME OF HEARING: 3:00 pm 17 18 THIS CAUSE having come on for hearing before the Honorable JACQUELINE M. 19 BLUTH, District Judge, on the 20th day of June 2023, the Petitioner not present, the 20 Respondent being represented by STEVEN B. WOLFSON, Clark County District Attorney, 21 by and through JOHN AFSHAR, Chief Deputy District Attorney, and the Court having 22 considered the matter, including briefs, transcripts, and documents on file herein, now 23 therefore, the Court makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law. 24 // 25 // 26 // 27 // 28 //

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On September 20, 2005, Demarene Coleman (hereinafter "Petitioner") was charged by way of Information with MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Felony – NRS 200.010, 200.030, 193,165); ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (felony – NRS 200.010, 200.030, 193.330, 193.165); and ACCESSORY TO MURDER (Felony – NRS 200.010, 200.030, 195.030, 195.040).

On August 22, 2006, the district court held a hearing to determine Defendant's competency. The court found that the Petitioner was not competent and remanded him to the custody of the Division of Mental Health Development Services for detention and treatment. An Order of Commitment was filed on August 28, 2006. On December 12, 2006, the district court, after reviewing the doctor's reports, held that Petitioner was competent. On December 29, 2006, the court filed its Findings of Competency.

On May 29, 2007, Petitioner entered into a Guilty Plea Agreement and pled guilty to FIRST DEGREE MURDER and BATTERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON, the charges set forth in the Amended Information filed that same day.

On July 24, 2007, the date set for sentencing, defense counsel Carmine Colucci requested a continuance for leave to file a Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea. That same day, the court granted Petitioner's request for a continuance. On August 7, 2007, Petitioner filed a Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea. On August 13, 2007, the State filed its Opposition. On August 14, 2007, the district court denied Petitioner's Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea and sentenced Petitioner as follows: As to Count 1 – to a MAXIMUM of FIFTY YEARS with a MINIMUM of parole eligibility of FORTY-EIGHT (48) MONTHS to run CONCURRENT with Count 1; with SEVEN HUNDRED FIFTY-FIVE DAYS credit for time served. A Judgement of Conviction was filed on August 22, 2007.

On August 19, 2008, Petitioner filed a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus ("First Petition"), Motion for Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis, and Motion for Appointment of Counsel; Request for Evidentiary Hearing. The State filed its Response on October 28, 2008.

 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$

On November 18, 2008, the Court heard the matter. On February 26, 2009, the Court filed its Findings of Fact, Conclusion of law Order denying the First Petition.

On August 14, 2019, Petitioner filed a second Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus ("Second Petition"). The State filed its Response on October 2, 2019. The Court denied Petitioner's Second Petition on October 17, 2019.

On June 14, 2021, Petitioner filed a Motion for Appointment of Attorney. The Motion was denied on July 9, 2021.

On January 7, 2022, Petitioner filed a Motion for Modification of Sentence. The State filed its Opposition on January 25, 2022. On February 1, 2022, the Court heard the matter and denied the Petitioner's motion. The Court denied Petitioner's motion on February 1, 2022. On March 8, 2022, the Court filed its Order Denying Petitioner's Motion for Modification of Sentence. On August 3, 2022, the Nevada Supreme Court affirmed the district court's decision to deny Petitioner's motion.

On April 5, 2023, Petitioner filed a third Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus ("Third Petition"). On April 13, 2023, Petitioner filed a Motion for Appointment of Attorney and Request for Evidentiary Hearing. On May 1, 2023, the State filed its Opposition to Petitioner's Motion for Appointment of Attorney and Request for Evidentiary Hearing. On May 9, 2023, the State filed its Response to Petitioner's Third Petition. On the same day the Court held a hearing and denied Petitioner's Motion for Appointment of Attorney and Request for Evidentiary Hearing. On June 20, 2023, the Court filed a Minute Order denying Petitioner's Third Petition.

ANALYSIS

The Court Orders, Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) is denied.

"Any person convicted of a crime and under sentence of death or imprisonment who claims that the conviction was obtained, or that the sentence was imposed, in violation of the Constitution of the United States or the Constitution or laws of this State, or who, after exhausting all available administrative remedies, claims that the time the person has served

pursuant to the judgment of conviction has been improperly computed, may, without paying a filing fee, file a postconviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus to obtain relief from the conviction or sentence or to challenge the computation of time that the person has served." NRS 34.724.

However, "[u]nless there is good cause shown for delay, a petition that challenges the validity of a judgment or sentence must be filed within 1 year after entry of the judgment of conviction or, if an appeal has been taken from the judgment, within 1 year after the appellate court of competent jurisdiction ... issues its remittitur." NRS 34.724(1). "[G]ood cause for delay exists if the petitioner demonstrates to the satisfaction of the court: (a) That the delay is not the fault of the petitioner; and (b) That dismissal of the petition as untimely will unduly prejudice the petitioner." NRS 34.724(1)(a)-(b).

"Application of the statutory procedural default rules to post-conviction habeas petitions is mandatory." <u>State v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct. ex rel. Cnty. of Clark</u>, 121 Nev. 225, 231, 112 P.3d 1070, 1074 (2005).

Here, Petitioner has raised seven interrelated grounds for relief in his Petition revolving around his guilty plea agreement in case number 05C215295-1. However, each ground fails as they are subject to the above procedural bar.

Petitioner entered a plea of guilty and was sentenced on August 14, 2007. Judgment of Conviction filed August 22, 2007, in 05C215295-1. Thereafter, Petitioner's judgment of conviction was filed on August 22, 2007. <u>Id</u>. The instant Petition was filed on April 5, 2023. Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) filed April 5, 2023. Clearly, the one-year time limit of NRS 34.724(1) has lapsed and this Petition should be barred. In reviewing Petitioner's Petition, at no point does he argue about, or provide a reason for, his Petition being filed far after the one-year time limit expired. Even if Petitioner had provided argument pertaining to good cause for delay, the Court notes that all of his grounds are premised on events that occurred in 2007. Petitioner could have brought his claims much sooner than now.

1 Per the Petition, Petitioner was present for each event he now complains of. As such, the 2 Petition must be denied. As this Petition is time-barred, there is no need for an evidentiary hearing. See NRS 3 34.770(1) ("The judge or justice, upon review of the return, answer and all supporting 4 5 documents which are filed, shall determine whether an evidentiary hearing is required."); see also NRS 34.770(2) ("If the judge or justice determines that the petitioner is not entitled to 6 7 relief and an evidentiary hearing is not required, the judge or justice shall dismiss the petition without a hearing."). 8 9 **ORDER** 10 Therefore, COURT ORDERS, Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is DENIED and that the State's Countermotion to Dismiss Pursuant to Laches is DENIED as 11 12 MOOT. Dated this 25th day of July, 2023 13 14 15 16 STEVEN B. WOL**FB2 &F9 EC11 0143** Clark County District outenneyM. Bluth Nevada Bar #00 5 5 5 5 5 Frict Court Judge 17 18 19 BY #10539 for 20 Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #14408 21 22 23 24 25 26

Mlb/L5

27

28

kj

CSERV DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA Demarene Coleman, Plaintiff(s) CASE NO: A-23-868466-W DEPT. NO. Department 6 VS. Warden Najera, Defendant(s) **AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** This automated certificate of service was generated by the Eighth Judicial District Court. The foregoing Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order was served via the court's electronic eFile system to all recipients registered for e-Service on the above entitled case as listed below: Service Date: 7/25/2023 Steven Wolfson motions@clarkcountyda.com