### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

#### INDICATE FULL CAPTION:

STEVEN LAWRENCE DIXON, Appellant,

vs.

WILLIAM REUBART, WARDEN & THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondents.

No. 87091 Electronically Filed
Aug 30 2023 02:33 PM

DOCKETING STITTEMENT Brown
CRIMINAL AFFECT Supreme Court

(Including appeals from pretrial and post-conviction rulings and other requests for post-conviction relief)

#### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

Appellants must complete this docketing statement in compliance with NRAP 14(a). The purpose of the docketing statement is to assist the Supreme Court in screening jurisdiction, identifying issues on appeal, assessing presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, scheduling cases for oral argument, classifying cases for expedited treatment and assignment to the Court of Appeals, and compiling statistical information.

#### WARNING

This statement must be completed fully, accurately and on time. NRAP 14(c). The Supreme Court may impose sanctions on counsel or appellant if it appears that the information provided is incomplete or inaccurate. *Id.* Failure to fill out the statement completely or to file it in a timely manner constitutes grounds for the imposition of sanctions.

1. Judicial District Sixth	County Humboldt
Judge Michael Montero	District Ct. Case No. CV0023141
2. If the defendant was given a sentence,	
(a) what is the sentence?	
70 12-34 M. Ats in	frison; Consecutive to
two other ungel	frison; consecutive to
(b) has the sentence been stayed pending ap	peal?
No	
(c) was defendant admitted to bail pending a	appeal?
No	
3. Was counsel in the district court appointed	∇ or retained Γ?
4. Attorney filling this docketing stateme	nt:
Attorney Karla K. Butko	Telephone 775 786 7118
Firm Karla K. Butko, Ltd.	
Address: P. O. Box 1249	
Verdi, NV 89439	
Client(s) Steven Lawrence Dixon	
5. Is appellate counsel appointed $  \!\! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! $	ed □?
If this is a joint statement by multiple appellants, add the names and	

addresses of other counsel on an additional sheet accompanied by a certification that they concur in the filing of this statement.

6. Attorney(s) representing responde	ent(s):
Attorney Kevin Pasquale/ Anthony Gord	don Telephone <u>775 623-6363</u>
Firm Humboldt County District Attorney	y's Office
Address: P. O. Box 909 Winnemucca, NV 89446	
Client(s) The State of Nevada	
Attorney Kevin Pasquale/ Anthony Gord	lon Telephone 775 623-6363
Firm Humboldt County District Attorney	y's Office
Address: P. O. Box 909 Winnemucca, NV 89446	
Client(s) The State of Nevada	
(List additional coun	sel on separate sheet if necessary)
7. Nature of disposition below:	
☐ Judgment after bench trial ☐ Judgment after jury verdict ☐ Judgment upon guilty plea ☐ Grant of pretrial motion to dismiss ☐ Parole/probation revocation ☐ Motion for new trial ☐ grant ☐ denial ☐ Motion to withdraw guilty plea ☐ grant ☐ denial	☐ Grant of pretrial habeas ☐ Grant of motion to suppress evidence ☐ Post-conviction habeas (NRS ch. 34) ☐ grant ☐ denial ☐ Other disposition (specify):
8. Does this appeal raise issues concer	rning any of the following:
☐ death sentence	□ juvenile offender
☐ life sentence	☐ pretrial proceedings
9. <b>Expedited appeals:</b> The court may dec Are you in favor of proceeding in such man	ide to expedite the appellate process in this matter. ner?
□ Yes	

10. Pending and prior proceedings in this court. List the case name and docket number
of all appeals or original proceedings presently or previously pending before this court which
are related to this appeal (e.g., separate appeals by co-defendants, appeal after post-
conviction proceedings):

Steven Lawrence Dixon v. The State of Nevada, Docket 77535 Order of Affirmance 5/6/21

11. Pending and prior proceedings in other courts. List the case name, number and court of all pending and prior proceedings in other courts that are related to this appeal (e.g., habeas corpus proceedings in state or federal court, bifurcated proceedings against co-defendants):

CR18-6963 Sixth Judicial District Court, trial file

12. Nature of action. Briefly describe the nature of the action and the result below:

Mr.Dixon's trial case went to jury trial. The jury acquitted him of a child abuse charge but convicted him of Fourth Degree Arson. Mr. Dixon appealed on the issue of Batson error regarding the Alternate Juror. The Nevada Supreme Court found Batson error but held that the error was harmless error. Mr. Dixon filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus (postconviction). Counsel was appointed and the petition was supplemented. The State did not file a response. The district court denied relief and dismissed the postconviction action without holding an evidentiary hearing. This appeal follows.

- 13. Issues on appeal. State specifically all issues in this appeal (attach separate sheets as necessary):
- 1. The district court abused its discretion when it failed to grant postconviction relief to Mr. Dixon.
- 2. The district court deprived Mr. Dixon of his due process rights to access to court when it refused to hold an evidentiary hearing on the claims that were alleged.
- 3. Trial and appellate counsel were ineffective under the Sixth & Fourteen Amendments when:
- a) trial counsel failed to have the jury instructed on the lesser included offense of destrubtion of property and on voluntary intoxication as a defense to a specific intent crime
- b) Trial counsel failed to have the jury instructed on the proper use of bad act evidence and failed to keep bad act evidence out of the trial
- c) Appellate counsel was ineffective when he failed to raise critical issues on appeal including destruction of evidence, insufficient evidence, and jury instruction error.
- d) Trial counsel failed to make a Crockett objection when police did not have a chain of custody on the mirror that was the key piece of evidence on this prosecution.
- 14. Constitutional issues: If the State is not a party and if this appeal challenges the constitutionality of a statute or municipal ordinance, have you notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS 30.130?

⊠ N/A	
┌ Yes	
□ No	
If not, explain:	

15. Assignment to the Court of Appeals or retention in the Supreme Court. Briefly
set forth whether the matter is presumptively retained by the Supreme Court or assigned to
the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, and cite the subparagraph(s) of the Rule under which
the matter falls. If appellant believes that the Supreme Court should retain the case despite
its presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals, identify the specific issue(s) or
circumstance(s) that warrant retaining the case, and include an explanation of their
importance or significance:

This case is a first and timely postconviction litigation on a Category D felony. The direct appeal in Docket 77535 is a published opinion, 137 Nev. Adv. Op. 19, decided May 6, 2021. This case should remain at the Nevada Supreme Court as the Court is familiar with the case

16. Issues of first impression or of public interest. Does this appeal present a
substantial legal issue of first impression in this jurisdiction or one affecting an importan
public interest?

17. **Length of trial.** If this action proceeded to trial or evidentiary hearing in the district court, how many days did the trial or evidentiary hearing last?

2 days Theo tenal / No evidentiary hearing.

18. Oral argument. Would you object to submission of this appeal for disposition without oral argument?

# TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL

19. Date district court announced decision, sen	tence or order appealed from 7/21/2023
20. Date of entry of written judgment or order	appealed from 7/21/2023
(a) If no written judgment or order was file seeking appellate review:	d in the district court, explain the basis for
21. If this appeal is from an order granting or dindicate the date written notice of entry of judg	
(a) Was service by delivery ┌ or by mail ¶	₹
22. If the time for filing the notice of appeal wa	s tolled by a post judgment motion,
(a) Specify the type of motion, and the date	of filing of the motion:
Arrest judgment	Date filed
New trial (newly	
discovered evidence)	Date filed
New trial (other grounds)	Date filer
(b) Date of entry of written order resolving	motion
23. Date notice of appeal filed 8/4/2023	
24. Specify statute or rule governing the time 1 4(b), NRS 34.560, NRS 34.575, NRS 177.015(2 NRS 34.575	

## **SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY**

25. Specify statute, rule or other	er authority that grants this court jurisdiction to review from:	
NRS 177.015(1)(b)	NRS 34.560	
NRS 177.015(1)(c)	NRS 34.575(1) XX	
NRS 177.015(2)	NRS 34.560(2)	
NRS 177.015(3)	Other (specify)	
NRS 177.055		
VERIFICATION  I certify that the information provided in this docketing statement is true and complete to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.		
Karla K. Butko Name of appellant	Karla K. Butko  Name of counsel of record	
2-30-73 Date		
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
I certify that on the \( \qquad \qqquad \qqqqq \qqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqq		
·	ass mail with sufficient postage prepaid to the following	
Anthony Gordon Humboldt County D.A.'s Offic P. O. Box 909 Winnemucca, NV 89445	ee	
Dated this 30	day of A mod , 20 23 .  Signature	