

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF THE ESTATE OF  
THOMAS JOSEPH HARRIS,  
DECEASED.

TODD ROBBEN,  
Appellant,

vs.

THE ESTATE OF THOMAS JOSEPH  
HARRIS; AND THOMAS J. HARRIS  
TRUST,  
Respondents.

No. 86096-COA

FILED

OCT 13 2023

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY   
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER DENYING MOTION*

Appellant's pro se motion for reconsideration of this court's September 11, 2023, order is denied. To the extent appellant asserts he maintains a First Amendment right to use abusive, threatening, or otherwise inappropriate language when communicating with respondent's counsel or this court, appellant is mistaken. *See Chambers v. IVASCO, Inc.*, 501 U.S. 32, 43 (1991) (internal quotation marks omitted) (recognizing that "[c]ourts of justice are universally acknowledged to be vested, by their very creation, with power to impose silence, respect, and decorum, in their presence, and submission to their lawful mandates"); *Wyatt v. Five Star Tech. Sols., LLC*, No. 120CV03198JMSTAB, 2021 WL 1340991, at \*2 (S.D. Ind. Mar. 23, 2021), report and recommendation adopted, No. 1:20-CV-03198-JMS-MG, 2021 WL 1338933 (S.D. Ind. Apr. 9, 2021) ("The First Amendment does not allow a person to make harassing or threatening communications, and the Court's inherent authority to manage proceedings before it provides a basis for admonishing [the wrongdoer's] conduct."). *See*



