


CRAAN
Creditor's Rights Attorney Association of Nevada
9510 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 200
Las Vegas, NV 89117
(702) 685-0329

FILED

DEC 01 2023

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

To the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada

RE: ADKT 0613

IN THE MATTER OF AMENDMENT OF SUPREME COURT RULES PART IX-B, (A) RULES GOVERNING APPEARANCE BY TELEPHONIC TRANSMISSION EQUIPMENT FOR CIVIL AND FAMILY COURT PROCEEDINGS.

To Whom it May Concern:

CRAAN supports the proposed amendments to Supreme Court Rules Part IX-B (A) Rules Governing Appearance by Telephonic Transmission Equipment for Civil and Family Court Proceedings Rule 4.

We make the following observations on the proposed changes:

Proposed section 3(b) permits "a party" to give notice by a variety of means, one of which is via "text message." Counsel is concerned over the use of text messages as an approved means of communication of a legal notice, as text messaging is not always a timely and reliable means of communication. In addition, pro se litigants would not normally have access to opposing counsel's correct cell phone number and notifications may be misdirected. As such we would recommend that a provision for notification via text be stricken, or include a requirement of confirmation of receipt by counsel.

Proposed section 3(d) modify the language:

The court, on a showing of good cause *and at its discretion*, may permit a party to appear by *video or* telephonic transmission equipment at a *presumptively in person* proceeding even if a party has not given the notice required . . .

CRAAN supports the rule modifications and looks forward to the list of presumptively in person appearance types.

Respectfully,

/s/ Kristin A. Schuler-Hintz

President,

Creditor's Rights Attorney Association of Nevada

23-38909