

CRAAN
Creditor's Rights Attorney Association of Nevada
9510 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 200
Las Vegas, NV 89117
(702) 685-0329

FILED
DEC 01 2023
ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *[Signature]*
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

To the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada

RE: ADKT 0613

IN THE MATTER OF AMENDMENT OF SUPREME COURT RULES PART
IX-B, (A) RULES GOVERNING APPEARANCE BY TELEPHONIC
TRANSMISSION EQUIPMENT FOR CIVIL AND FAMILY COURT
PROCEEDINGS.

To Whom it May Concern:

CRAAN supports the proposed amendments to Supreme Court Rules Part IX-B (A) Rules
Governing Appearance by Telephonic Transmission Equipment for Civil and Family
Court Proceedings Rule 4.

We make the following observations on the proposed changes:

Proposed section 3(b) permits "a party" to give notice by a variety of means, one of which
is via "text message." Counsel is concerned over the use of text messages as an approved
means of communication of a legal notice, as text messaging is not always a timely and
reliable means of communication. In addition, pro se litigants would not normally have
access to opposing counsel's correct cell phone number and notifications may be
misdirected. As such we would recommend that a provision for notification via text be
stricken, or include a requirement of confirmation of receipt by counsel.

Proposed section 3(d) modify the language:

The court, on a showing of good cause *and at its discretion*, may permit a party to
appear by *video or* telephonic transmission equipment at a *presumptively in*
person proceeding even if a party has not given the notice required . . .

CRAAN supports the rule modifications and looks forward to the list of presumptively
in person appearance types.

Respectfully,

/s/ Kristin A. Schuler-Hintz

President,

Creditor's Rights Attorney Association of Nevada

23. 38909