

In the  
Supreme Court of the State of Nevada

NEVADANS FOR  
REPRODUCTIVE FREEDOM, a  
Political Action Committee,

Appellant,

vs.

DONNA WASHINGTON, an  
individual; COALITION FOR  
PARENTS AND CHILDREN, a  
Political Action Committee, and  
FRANCISCO V. AGUILAR, in his  
official capacity as NEVADA  
SECRETARY OF STATE;

Respondents,

Electronically Filed  
Jan 02 2024 12:35 PM  
Elizabeth A. Brown  
Clerk of Supreme Court

Case No.: 87681

First Judicial District Court  
Case No.: 23 OC 00115 1B

**APPELLANT'S MOTION TO ASSOCIATE COUNSEL  
PURSUANT TO NEVADA SUPREME COURT RULE 42**

BRADLEY S. SCHRAGER, ESQ. (NSB 10217)

DANIEL BRAVO, ESQ. (NSB 13078)

**BRAVO SCHRAGER LLP**

6675 S. Tenaya Way, Suite 200

Las Vegas, Nevada 89113

*(additional counsel on the following page)*

BRAVO SCHRAGER<sup>LLP</sup>

ELISABETH C. FROST, ESQ. (*Admitted pro hac vice*)

DAVID R. FOX, ESQ. (NSB 16536)

DANIEL J. COHEN, ESQ. (*pro hac vice forthcoming*)

**ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP**

250 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Suite 400

Washington, D.C. 20001

JONATHAN P. HAWLEY, ESQ. (*pro hac vice forthcoming*)

**ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP**

1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2100

Seattle, Washington 98101

*Attorneys for Appellant*

Appellant hereby moves the Court for an order permitting Jonathan P. Hawley, Esq., to practice in Nevada pursuant to Nevada Supreme Court Rule 42 (SCR 42). This motion is supported by the attached Verified Application for Association of Counsel (Exhibit A), Certificates of Good Standing from Montana, Washington, District of Columbia, and California (Exhibit B), and the State Bar of Nevada Statement (Exhibit C).

Dated this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of January, 2024.

**BRAVO SCHRAGER LLP**

By: /s/ Bradley S. Schrager

Bradley S. Schrager, Esq. (NSB 10217)

Daniel Bravo, Esq. (NSB 13078)

6675 South Tenaya Way, Suite 200

Las Vegas, Nevada 89113

Tele.: (702) 996-1724

Email: [bradley@bravoschrager.com](mailto:bradley@bravoschrager.com)

Email: [daniel@bravoschrager.com](mailto:daniel@bravoschrager.com)

*Attorneys for Appellant*

*(additional counsel on following page)*

Elisabeth C. Frost, Esq.

*(Admitted pro hac vice)*

David R. Fox, Esq. (NSB 16536)

Daniel J. Cohen, Esq.

*(pro hac vice forthcoming)*

**ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP**

250 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Suite 400

Washington, D.C. 20001

Tele.: (202) 968-4490

Email: efrost@elias.law

Email: dfox@elias.law

Email: dcohen@elias.law

Jonathan P. Hawley, Esq.

*(pro hac vice forthcoming)*

**ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP**

1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2100

Seattle, Washington 98101

Tele: (206) 656-0179

Email: jhawley@elias.law

*Attorneys for Appellant*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 2nd day of January, 2024, a true and correct copy of **MOTION TO ASSOCIATE COUNSEL** was served upon all counsel of record by electronically filing the document using the Nevada Supreme Court's electronic filing system:

Bv:           /s/ Dannielle Fresquez            
Dannielle Fresquez, an Employee of  
BRAVO SCHRAGER LLP

# Exhibit A

VAPP

**In the  
Supreme Court of the State of Nevada**

NEVADANS FOR  
REPRODUCTIVE FREEDOM, a  
political action committee,

Appellant,

vs.

DONNA WASHINGTON, an  
individual; COALITION FOR  
PARENTS AND CHILDREN, a  
political action committee; and  
FRANCISCO V. AGUILAR, in his  
official capacity as NEVADA  
SECRETARY OF STATE,

Respondents.

Case No.: **87681**

First Judicial District Court  
Case No.: 23 OC 00115 1B

**VERIFIED APPLICATION FOR ASSOCIATION  
OF COUNSEL UNDER NEVADA SUPREME COURT RULE 42**

Jonathan Patrick Hawley, Petitioner, respectfully represents:  
First Middle Name Last

1. Petitioner resides at 7033 14th Avenue NW  
Street Address

Seattle, King, Washington, 98117  
City County State Zip Code

(206) 656-0179  
Telephone

2. Petitioner is an attorney at law and a member of the law firm of: Elias Law Group LLP

with offices at 1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2100  
Street Address

Seattle, King, Washington, 98101  
City County State Zip Code

(206) 656-0179, jhawley@elias.law  
Telephone Email

3. Petitioner has been retained personally or as a member of the above named law firm by \_\_\_\_\_  
Nevadans for Reproductive Freedom to provide legal representation in  
connection with the above-entitled matter now pending before the above referenced court.

4. Since May 8 of 2020, petitioner has been, and presently is, a member of good  
standing of the bar of the highest court of the State of Washington, where petitioner regularly  
practices law.

5. Petitioner was admitted to practice before the following United States District Courts, United States  
Circuit Courts of Appeal, the Supreme Court of the United States, and/or courts of other states on the  
dates indicated for each, and is presently a member in good standing of the bars of said Courts:

	<u>DATE ADMITTED</u>
<u>State Bar of California</u>	<u>12/19/2017</u>
<u>U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of California</u>	<u>06/01/2020</u>
<u>U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit</u>	<u>10/13/2020</u>
<u>U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit</u>	<u>10/14/2020</u>
<u>U.S. District Court for the Western District of Michigan</u>	<u>11/17/2020</u>
<u>U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit</u>	<u>02/03/2021</u>
<u>U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit</u>	<u>11/30/2021</u>
<u>State Bar of Montana</u>	<u>01/18/2022</u>



DATE ADMITTED

District of Columbia Bar

04/26/2022

U.S. District Court for the District of Montana

09/28/2023

6. Is Petitioner currently suspended or disbarred in any court? You must answer yes or no. If yes, give particulars; e.g., court, jurisdiction, date: No

7. Is Petitioner currently subject to any disciplinary proceedings by any organization with authority at law? You must answer yes or no. If yes, give particulars, e.g. court, discipline authority, date, status: No

8. Has Petitioner ever received public discipline including, but not limited to, suspension or disbarment, by any organization with authority to discipline attorneys at law? You must answer yes or no. If yes, give particulars, e.g. court, discipline authority, date, status: No

9. Has Petitioner ever had any certificate or privilege to appear and practice before any regulatory administrative body suspended or revoked? You must answer yes or no. If yes, give particulars, e.g. date, administrative body, date of suspension or reinstatement: No

10. Has Petitioner, either by resignation, withdrawal, or otherwise, ever terminated or attempted to terminate Petitioner's office as an attorney in order to avoid administrative, disciplinary, disbarment, or suspension proceedings? You must answer yes or no. If yes, give particulars: No

11. Petitioner, or any member of petitioner's firm, has/have filed the following application(s) to appear as counsel under Nevada Supreme Court Rule 42 during the past three (3) years in the following matter(s), if none, indicate so: *(do not include Federal Pro Hac)*

<u>Date of Application</u>	<u>Cause</u>	<u>Title of Court Administrative Body or Arbitrator</u>	<u>Was Application Granted or Denied?</u>
----------------------------	--------------	---	---

See attached

(If more space is needed, you may list previous applications on a separate attachment.)

12. Nevada Counsel of Record for Petition in this matter is:

(must be the same as the signature on the Nevada Counsel consent page)

<u>Bradley</u>	<u>Scott</u>	<u>Schrager</u>	<u>10217</u>
First Name	Middle Name	Last Name	NV Bar #

who has offices at Bravo Schrager LLP  
Firm Name/Company

6675 South Tenay Way, Suite 200, Las Vegas, Clark  
Street Address City County

89113, (702) 996-1724  
Zip Code Phone Number

13. The following accurately represents the names and addresses of each party in this matter, WHETHER OR NOT REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL, and the names and addresses of each counsel of record who appeared for said parties: (You may attach as an Exhibit if necessary.)

NAME	MAILING ADDRESS
------	-----------------

See attached

14. Petitioner agrees to comply with the provisions of Nevada Supreme Court Rule 42(3) and (13) and Petitioner consents to the jurisdiction of the courts and disciplinary boards of the State of Nevada in accordance with provisions as set forth in SCR 42(3) and (13). Petitioner respectfully requests that

Petitioner be admitted to practice in the above-entitled court FOR THE PURPOSES OF THIS MATTER ONLY.

15. Petitioner has disclosed in writing to the client that the applicant is not admitted to practice in this jurisdiction and that the client has consented to such representation.

## **Creed of Professionalism and Civility**

### **PREAMBLE**

A lawyer should always show personal courtesy and professional integrity in the fullest sense of those terms.

In fulfilling our duty to represent a client vigorously as lawyers, we will honor our obligations to the administration of justice in a rational, peaceful, and efficient manner. We remain committed to the rule of law as the foundation for a just and peaceful society.

Lawyers should exhibit courtesy, candor, and cooperation when participating in the legal system and dealing with the public. In addition, lawyers should demonstrate civility, professional integrity, personal dignity, and respect because these qualities are essential to the fair administration of justice and conflict resolution.

The Rules of Professional Conduct cannot address every conflict that may arise. These standards honor the spirit of the Rules by balancing a lawyer's obligation to protect and pursue a client's legitimate interests zealously, within the bounds of the law, while maintaining a professional, courteous, and civil attitude toward all persons in the legal system, as well as avoid the appearance of impropriety. Violations of these standards may trigger sanctions under Rules 4.4, 8.4(b), or others.

### **CREED**

1. We will strive to find harmony in our responsibilities as a representative of clients, as officers of the legal system, and as public citizens.
2. We will treat all participants of the legal system in a civil and courteous manner, not only in court, but also in all other written and oral communications refraining from disparaging personal remarks or acrimony.
3. We will not encourage or knowingly authorize any person under our control to engage in uncivil conduct.
4. We will not, absent good cause, attribute bad motives or improper conduct to other counsel nor bring the profession into disrepute by unfounded accusations of impropriety.
5. We will adhere to promises and agreements fairly reached, whether orally or in writing, in good faith. When reiterating oral promises or agreements in writing, we will fairly, completely, and in good faith, restate all elements of the parties' oral agreement.
6. We will confer early with other counsel to assess settlement possibilities. We will not falsely hold out the possibility of settlement to adjourn discovery or to delay trial.
7. We will stipulate to undisputed matters unless we have a good-faith basis not to stipulate.
8. We will try in good faith to resolve our objections with opposing counsel.
9. We will not time the filing or service of motions or pleadings in any way that unfairly limits another party's opportunity to respond nor will we request an extension of time without just cause.

10. We will consult other counsel regarding scheduling matters in a good-faith effort to avoid scheduling conflicts.
11. We will endeavor to accommodate previously scheduled dates for hearings, depositions, meetings, conferences, vacations, seminars, or other functions of other counsel.
12. We will explain to our clients that cooperation is the professional norm. We will explain how procedural agreements do not compromise the clients' interests.
13. We will draft document requests and interrogatories without placing an undue burden or expense on any party.
14. We will ensure that our clients respond to document requests and interrogatories without strained interpretation. We will not produce documents nor answer interrogatories in a manner designed to hide or obscure the existence of documents or information.
15. We will be punctual and prepared for all Court appearances so that all hearings, conferences, and trials may commence on time.
16. We will not engage in conduct that brings disorder or disruption to the legal proceeding. We will advise our clients and witnesses of the proper conduct expected and, to the best of our ability, prevent our clients and witnesses from creating disorder or disruption.

#### IN SUMMARY

In summary, consistent with the lawyer's oath, lawyers are expected to commit to the spirit and letter of these standards, affirming that these guidelines do not denigrate the lawyer's duty of zealous representation. Law schools and continuing legal education courses should incorporate these standards when teaching professionalism to law students and practicing lawyers alike. Lawyers should make copies available to clients and adjudicators should reinforce these standards in the courtroom to reinforce our obligation to maintain and foster these standards and to make it clear that incivility may hurt the client's case.

I, Jonathan P. Hawley, do hereby swear/affirm under penalty of perjury that the assertions  
Print Petitioner Name

of this application and the following statements are true:

- 1) That I am the Petitioner in the above-entitled matter.
- 2) That I have received a copy of, read, and will adhere to the Nevada Creed of Professionalism and Civility.
- 3) That I have read Supreme Court Rule (SCR) 42 and meet all requirements contained therein, including, without limitation, the requirements set forth in SCR 42(2), as follows:
  - (A) I am not a member of the State Bar of Nevada;
  - (B) I am not a resident of the State of Nevada;
  - (C) I am not regularly employed as a lawyer in the State of Nevada;
  - (D) I am not engaged in substantial business, professional, or other activities in the State of Nevada;
  - (E) I am a member in good standing and eligible to practice before the bar of any jurisdiction of the United States; and
  - (F) I have associated a lawyer who is an active member in good standing of the State Bar of Nevada as counsel of record in this action or proceeding.
- 4) That I have read the foregoing application and know the contents thereof; that the same is true of my own knowledge except as to those matters therein stated on information and belief, and as to the matter I believe them to be true.

That I further certify that I am subject to the jurisdiction of the Courts and disciplinary boards of this state with respect to the law of this state governing the conduct of attorneys to the same extent as a member of the State Bar of Nevada; that I understand and shall comply with the standards of



professional conduct required by members of the State Bar of Nevada; and that I am subject to the disciplinary jurisdiction to the State Bar of Nevada with respect to any of my actions occurring in the course of such appearance.

DATED this 8<sup>TH</sup> day of DECEMBER, 2023

  
Petitioner/Affiant (blue ink)

STATE OF Washington )  
 ) ss  
COUNTY OF King )

Subscribed and sworn to before me

this 8<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2023

  
Notary Public







**Response to Question 11 of Verified Application for Association of Counsel  
Under Nevada Supreme Court Rule 42**

<b>Applicant</b>	<b>Date of Application</b>	<b>Cause</b>	<b>Title of Court, Administrative Body Or Arbitrator</b>	<b>Granted (G) or Denied (D)</b>
Berkon, Jonathan	6/24/2022	<i>Due Diligence Group v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department; A-22-853953-W</i>	8th Judicial District for Clark County	G
Brewster, Henry	4/29/2020	<i>Corona v. Cegavske, 20-OC-00064-1B</i>	1st Judicial District for Carson City	G
Cohen, Daniel	09/21/2022	<i>Progressive Leadership Alliance of Nevada v. Barbara Cegavske; 22 OC 00101 1B</i>	1st Judicial District for Carson City	Motion not submitted, No Order filed
Cohen, Daniel	12/13/2023	<i>Jennifer Fleischmann v. Francisco V. Aguilar; 23 OC 00136 1B</i>	1st Judicial District for Carson City	Motion not submitted,
Brewster, Henry	11/19/2020	<i>Law v. Whitmer, 20-OC-00163-1B</i>	1st Judicial District for Carson City	G
Elias, Marc	4/27/2020	<i>Corona v. Cegavske, 20-OC-00064-1B</i>	1st Judicial District for Carson City	G
Elias, Marc	11/20/2020	<i>Law v. Whitmer, 20-OC-00163-1B</i>	1st Judicial District for Carson City	G
Elias, Marc	12/14/2021	<i>Helton v. Nevada Voters PAC, 21OC001721B</i>	1st Judicial District for Carson City	G
Fox, David	05/13/2022	<i>Goldman v. Cegavske, A-22-851189-C</i>	8th Judicial District for Clark County	Motion submitted, No Order filed
Fox, David	05/13/2022	<i>Beadles v. Barbara Cegavske; CV22-006661</i>	2 <sup>nd</sup> Judicial District for Washoe County	G

**Response to Question 11 of Verified Application for Association of Counsel  
Under Nevada Supreme Court Rule 42**

<b>Applicant</b>	<b>Date of Application</b>	<b>Cause</b>	<b>Title of Court, Administrative Body Or Arbitrator</b>	<b>Granted (G) or Denied (D)</b>
Fox, David	09/16/2022	<i>Progressive Leadership Alliance of Nevada v. Barbara Cegavske; 22 OC 00101 1B</i>	1st Judicial District for Carson City	G
Frost, Elisabeth	01/04/2022	<i>Helton v. Nevada Voters PAC, 21OC001721B</i>	1st Judicial District for Carson City	G
Frost, Elisabeth	05/02/2022	<i>Emily Persaud-Zamora v. Barbara Cegavske; 22 OC 00022 1B</i>	1st Judicial District for Carson City	Motion not submitted, No Order filed
Frost, Elisabeth	05/02/2022	<i>Eric Jeng v. Barbara Cegavske; 22 OC 00023 1B</i>	1st Judicial District for Carson City	Motion not submitted, No Order filed
Frost, Elisabeth	12/13/2023	<i>Nevadans For Reproductive Freedom v. Donna Washington; 87681</i>	The Supreme Court of Nevada	Motion not submitted,
Hawley, Jonathan	11/20/2020	<i>Law v. Whitmer, 20-OC-00163-1B</i>	1st Judicial District for Carson City	G
Hawley, Jonathan	4/29/2020	<i>Corona v. Cegavske, 20-OC-00064-1B</i>	1st Judicial District for Carson City	G
Hawley, Jonathan	9/22/2020	<i>EIP of Nevada v. Cegavske, A-20-820510-C</i>	8th Judicial District for Clark County	Motion not submitted, No Order filed
Jasrasaria, Jyoti	11/20/2020	<i>Law v. Whitmer, 20-OC-00163-1B</i>	1st Judicial District for Carson City	G
Johnson, Melinda	05/18/2022	<i>Beadles v. Barbara Cegavske; CV22-006661</i>	2 <sup>nd</sup> Judicial District for Washoe County	Motion not submitted, No

**Response to Question 11 of Verified Application for Association of Counsel  
Under Nevada Supreme Court Rule 42**

<b>Applicant</b>	<b>Date of Application</b>	<b>Cause</b>	<b>Title of Court, Administrative Body Or Arbitrator</b>	<b>Granted (G) or Denied (D)</b>
				Order filed
Johnson, Melinda	05/18/2022	<i>Goldman v. Cegavske, A-22-851189-C</i>	8th Judicial District for Clark County	Motion not submitted, No Order filed
Khanna, Abha	5/6/2020	<i>Corona v. Cegavske, 20-OC-00064-1B</i>	1st Judicial District for Carson City	G
Khanna, Abha	11/23/2020	<i>Law v. Whitmer, 20-OC-00163-1B</i>	1st Judicial District for Carson City	G
Khanna, Abha	9/15/2020	<i>EIP of Nevada v. Cegavske, A-20-820510-C</i>	8th Judicial District for Clark County	G
McAleer, Lindsay	12/13/2021	<i>Helton v. Nevada Voters PAC, 21OC001721B</i>	1st Judicial District for Carson City	G
McAleer, Lindsay	05/02/2022	<i>Emily Persaud-Zamora v. Barbara Cegavske; 22 OC 00022 1B</i>	1st Judicial District for Carson City	Motion not submitted, No Order filed
McAleer, Lindsay	06/16/2022	<i>Emily Persaud-Zamora v. Barbara Cegavske; 22 OC00071 1B</i>	1st Judicial District for Carson City	G
McAleer, Lindsay	05/02/2022	<i>Eric Jeng v. Barbara Cegavske; 22 OC 00023 1B</i>	1st Judicial District for Carson City	Motion not submitted
McCandless, Spencer No longer at Elias Law Group	04/15/2022	<i>Emily Persaud-Zamora v. Barbara Cegavske; 22 OC 00022 1B</i>	1st Judicial District for Carson City	Motion submitted, No Order filed

**Response to Question 11 of Verified Application for Association of Counsel  
Under Nevada Supreme Court Rule 42**

<b>Applicant</b>	<b>Date of Application</b>	<b>Cause</b>	<b>Title of Court, Administrative Body Or Arbitrator</b>	<b>Granted (G) or Denied (D)</b>
McCandless, Spencer No longer at Elias Law Group	04/15//2022	<i>Eric Jeng v. Barbara Cegavske</i> ; 22 OC 00023 1B	1st Judicial District for Carson City	Motion submitted, No Order filed
McCandless, Spencer No longer at Elias Law Group	12/14/2021	<i>Helton v. Nevada Voters PAC</i> , 21OC001721B	1st Judicial District for Carson City	G
Mixon, Meaghan	06/24/2022	<i>Due Diligence Group v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department</i> ; A-22-853953-W	8th Judicial District for Clark County	G
Nkwonta, Uzoma	05/18/2022	<i>Beadles v. Barbara Cegavske</i> ; CV22-006661	2 <sup>nd</sup> Judicial District for Washoe County	Motion not submitted, No Order filed
Nkwonta, Uzoma	05/18/2022	<i>Goldman v. Cegavske</i> , A-22-851189-C	8th Judicial District for Clark County	Motion not submitted, No Order filed
Rutahindurwa, Makeba	09/27/2022	<i>Progressive Leadership Alliance of Nevada v. Barbara Cegavske</i> ; 22 OC 00101 1B	1st Judicial District for Carson City	Motion not submitted, No Order filed
Sequeira, Maya No longer at Elias Law Group	05/23/2022	<i>Beadles v. Barbara Cegavske</i> ; CV22-006661	2 <sup>nd</sup> Judicial District for Washoe County	Motion not submitted, No Order filed
Sequeira, Maya No longer at Elias	07/08/2022	<i>Due Diligence Group v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department</i> ; A-	8th Judicial District for Clark County	G

**Response to Question 11 of Verified Application for Association of Counsel  
Under Nevada Supreme Court Rule 42**

<b>Applicant</b>	<b>Date of Application</b>	<b>Cause</b>	<b>Title of Court, Administrative Body Or Arbitrator</b>	<b>Granted (G) or Denied (D)</b>
Law Group		22-853953-W		
Sequeira, Maya No longer at Elias Law Group	05/19/2022	<i>Goldman v. Cegavske, A-22-851189-C</i>	8th Judicial District for Clark County	Motion not submitted, No Order filed
Sequeira, Maya No longer at Elias Law Group	09/27/2022	<i>Progressive Leadership Alliance of Nevada v. Barbara Cegavske; 22 OC 00101 1B</i>	1st Judicial District for Carson City	Motion not submitted, No Order filed
Stafford, William	06/16/2022	<i>Emily Persaud-Zamora v. Barbara Cegavske; 22 OC00071 1B</i>	1st Judicial District for Carson City	G
Weisman, Courtney	06/24/2022	<i>Due Diligence Group v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department; A- 22-853953-W</i>	8th Judicial District for Clark County	G

Verified Application for Association of Counsel Question 13

<p><b>APPELLANT</b> Nevadans for Reproductive Freedom c/o</p>	<p><b>ADDRESS</b> BRAVO SCHRAGER LLP 6675 South Tenaya Way, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89113</p> <p>ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP 250 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20001</p> <p>ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP 1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2100 Seattle, Washington 98101</p>	<p><b>COUNSEL</b> BRADLEY S. SCHRAGER, ESQ. DANIEL BRAVO, ESQ. BRAVO SCHRAGER LLP</p> <p>ELISABETH C. FROST, ESQ. <i>(pro hac vice forthcoming)</i> DAVID R. FOX, ESQ. DANIEL J. COHEN, ESQ. <i>(pro hac vice forthcoming)</i> ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP</p> <p>JONATHAN P. HAWLEY, ESQ. <i>(pro hac vice forthcoming)</i> ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP</p>
<p><b>RESPONDENT</b> Donna Washington And Coalition for Parents and Children c/o</p>	<p><b>ADDRESS</b> HUTCHISON &amp; STEFFEN, PLLC 5371 Kietzke Lane Reno, Nevada 89511</p>	<p><b>COUNSEL</b> JASON D. GUINASSO, ESQ. HUTCHISON &amp; STEFFEN, PLLC</p>
<p><b>RESPONDENT</b> Francisco V. Aguilar c/o</p>	<p><b>ADDRESS</b> ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE 100 N. Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701</p>	<p><b>COUNSEL</b> LAENA ST. JULES, ESQ. ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE</p>

# Exhibit B



# *Certificate of Membership*

I, JILL DIVELEY, OF THE STATE BAR OF MONTANA, DO HEREBY CERTIFY

**Jonathan Patrick Hawley**

was admitted to the State Bar of Montana on January 18, 2022 and that their name currently appears on the  
Roll of Attorneys in this office as a/an Active Attorney member in good standing.

*Dated this day of December 08, 2023*

*\*This does not represent the records of the Office of Disciplinary Counsel, the agency in charge of discipline. To obtain  
a statement of discipline please contact their office at P.O. Box 1099, Helena, MT 59624 or (406) 442-2222.*



*Jill Diveley*



**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON**

IN THE MATTER OF THE ADMISSION	)	BAR NO. 56297
	)	
OF	)	<b>CERTIFICATE</b>
	)	
JONATHAN PATRICK HAWLEY	)	<b>OF</b>
	)	
TO PRACTICE IN THE COURTS OF THIS STATE	)	<b>GOOD STANDING</b>
	)	

---

I, Sarah R. Pendleton, Deputy Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of Washington, hereby certify

**JONATHAN PATRICK HAWLEY**

was regularly admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counselor at Law in the Supreme Court and all the Courts of the State of Washington on May 8, 2020, and is now and has continuously since that date been an attorney in good standing, and has a current status of active.



IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of this Court on the 5<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2023.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Sarah R. Pendleton".

Sarah R. Pendleton  
Supreme Court Deputy Clerk  
Washington State Supreme Court



*On behalf of JULIO A. CASTILLO, Clerk of the District of Columbia Court of Appeals,  
the District of Columbia Bar does hereby certify that*

## *Jonathan Patrick Hawley*

*was duly qualified and admitted on April 26, 2022 as an attorney and counselor entitled to  
practice before this Court; and is, on the date indicated below, an Active member in good  
standing of this Bar.*

*In Testimony Whereof,  
I have hereunto subscribed my  
name and affixed the seal of this  
Court at the City of  
Washington, D.C., on December 08, 2023.*

*Julio A. Castillo*  
JULIO A. CASTILLO  
Clerk of the Court

Issued By:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "David Chu".

*David Chu - Director, Membership  
District of Columbia Bar Membership*

*For questions or concerns, please contact the D.C. Bar Membership Office at 202-626-3475 or email  
memberservices@dcb.org.*


# THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA CERTIFICATE OF STANDING

December 18, 2023

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

This is to certify that according to the records of the State Bar, JONATHAN PATRICK HAWLEY, #319464 was admitted to the practice of law in this state by the Supreme Court of California on December 19, 2017 and has been since that date, and is at date hereof, an ACTIVE licensee of the State Bar of California; and that no recommendation for discipline for professional or other misconduct has ever been made by the Board of Trustees or a Disciplinary Board to the Supreme Court of the State of California.

THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA

  
Alex Calderon  
Custodian of Records

# Exhibit C

1 STAT

2  
3 IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

4 Case No. 87681

5 Nevadans for Reproductive  
6 Freedom

7 vs.

8 Donna Washington  
9 \_\_\_\_\_/

10 STATE BAR OF NEVADA STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SUPREME COURT RULE  
11 42 (3) (b)

12 THE STATE BAR OF NEVADA, in response to the application of  
13 Petitioner, submits the following statement pursuant to SCR42(3):

14 SCR42(6) **Discretion.** The granting or denial of a motion to associate  
15 counsel pursuant to this rule by the court is discretionary. The  
16 court, arbitrator, mediator, or administrative or governmental  
17 hearing officer may revoke the authority of the person permitted to  
18 appear under this rule. Absent special circumstances, repeated  
19 appearances by any person or firm of attorneys pursuant to this rule  
20 shall be cause for denial of the motion to associate such person.

18 (a) **Limitation.** It shall be presumed, absent special  
19 circumstances, and only upon showing of good cause, that  
20 more than 5 appearances by any attorney granted under  
21 this rule in a 3-year period is excessive use of this  
22 rule.

21 (b) **Burden on applicant.** The applicant shall have the  
22 burden to establish special circumstances and good cause  
23 for an appearance in excess of the limitation set forth  
24 in subsection 6(a) of this rule. The applicant shall set  
25 forth the special circumstances and good cause in an  
26 affidavit attached to the original verified application.

25 1. DATE OF APPLICATION: 12/19/2023

26 2. APPLYING ATTORNEY: Jonathan Patrick Hawley, Esq.

27 ///  
28 ///  
///  
///




1 3. FIRM NAME AND ADDRESS: Elias Law Group, LLP, 1700 Seventh  
2 Avenue, Suite 2100, Seattle, WA 98101

3 4. NEVADA COUNSEL OF RECORD: Bradley S. Schrager, Esq., Bravo  
4 Schrager LLP, 6675 S Tenaya Way, Suite 200, Las Vegas, NV 89113

5 5. There is no record of previous applications for appearance by  
6 petitioner within the past three (3) years.

7 DATED this December 26, 2023

8  
9   
10 Suzy Moore  
11 Member Services Admin.  
12 Pro Hac Vice Processor  
13 STATE BAR OF NEVADA  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28