ROBERT BEADLES 10580 N. McCarran Blvd. #115, Apt. 386 Reno, NV 89503 916-573-7133 Plaintiff, Pro Se NOV 30 2023
CLERY OF SUPREME COORT
BY
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

## FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF NEVADA CARSON CITY

NO. 87683

MR ROBERT BEADLES, an individual,

Plaintiff,

VS.

JAMIE RODRIGUEZ, in her official capacity as Registrar of Voters and in her personal capacity; the WASHOE COUNTY REGISTRAR OF VOTERS, a government agency; ERIC BROWN in his official capacity as WASHOE COUNTY MANAGER and in his personal capacity, ALEXIS HILL in her official capacity as CHAIRWOMAN OF WASHOE COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS and in her personal capacity; WASHOE COUNTY, Nevada a political subdivision of the State of Nevada, and DOES I-X; and ROE CORPORATIONS I-X.

Defendants.

Case No.: 23-OC-00105 1B

Dept. No.: 1

## CASE APPEAL STATEMENT

- 1. Name of appellant filing this case appeal statement: Robert Beadles, in Proper Person
- 2. Identify the judge issuing the decision, judgment, or order appealed from:

Judge Russell, Carson City JD 1, Dept 1

3. Identify each appellant and the name and address of counsel for each appellant:

Robert Beadles, in Proper Person 10580 N. McCarran Blvd. #115, Apt. 386 Reno, NV 89503 916-573-7133 4. Identify each respondent and the name and address of appellate counsel, if known, for each respondent:

Respondents:

Jamie Rodriguez, Eric Brown, Alexis Hill and Washoe County

Council:

Lindsay Liddell

Washoe County District Attorney's Office

One South Sierra Street Reno, Nevada 89501

775-337-5714

5. Indicate whether any attorney identified above in response to question 3 or 4 is not licensed to practice law in Nevada and, if so, whether the district court granted that attorney permission to appear under <u>SCR 42</u>:

Appellant Robert Beadles is not an attorney, he is representing himself in proper person and not subject to SCR 42

6. Indicate whether appellant was represented by appointed or retained counsel in the district court:

Is and always was representing himself in proper person

7. Indicate whether appellant is represented by appointed or retained counsel on appeal:

Is and always was representing himself in proper person

- 8. Indicate whether appellant was granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and the date of entry of the district court order granting such leave: Not Applicable
- 9. Indicate the date the proceedings commenced in the district court: Original complaint was filed on 8/4/23 in District 2, Dept 1, it was then moved to Carson City JD 1, Dept 1, Judge Russell dismissed the entire case with prejudice on 11/20/23
- 10. Provide a brief description of the nature of the action and result in the district court, including the type of judgment or order being appealed and the relief granted by the district court:

This is an appeal of the Order dismissing the complaint with prejudice.


Appellant filed a complaint and supplemental exhibits on August 4, 2023, and more supplemental exhibits on August 9 in Washoe County JD 2, Dept 1. Numerous motions from both sides were filed, as the court docket shows. Beadles filed a motion to change the venue to Lyon County. Judge Drakulich granted the motion to change venue, citing the law, but she misapplied it, moving it to Carson City where Beadles had no chance of a fair trial. Beadles then filed another motion for a change of venue in Carson D1, Dept 1, to which the defense filed an

opposition. Oral arguments occurred on November 20, 2023. Beadles' fears of bias were confirmed when Judge Russell lied to the court, showed extreme bias, a total disregard for case law, authorities, statutes, rules, laws, etc., and dismissed the entire case, with prejudice, clearly violating Beadles' rights, and the rule of law. Russell has now created case law that shows court orders in Nevada no longer need to be enforced or even recognized in Nevada Courts. Beadles is now seeking an expedited appeal from the Supreme Court of Nevada, as the issues presented in his case and over 160 exhibits clearly demonstrate the dire need to address his allegations and findings well before the 2024 elections to prevent irreparable harm to the Plaintiff and the public. It is critical this case, the respondents, and Judges' actions and inactions are addressed by this Honorable Court prior to the 2024 election, as failing to do so would indicate there is no longer rule of law in Nevada. In the absence of this Honorable Courts intervention, the integrity of the upcoming election in Washoe County will be compromised, this is good cause to expedite the briefing schedule.

- 11. Indicate whether the case has previously been the subject of an appeal to or original writ proceeding in the Supreme Court and, if so, the caption and Supreme Court docket number of the prior proceeding:

  Not applicable
- 12. Indicate whether this appeal involves child custody or visitation: It does NOT

13. If this is a civil case, indicate whether this appeal involves the possibility of settlement:



ROBERT BEADLES, Appellant Pro Se

10580 N. McCarran Blvd. #115, Apt. 386 Reno, NV 89503

## AFFIRMATION PURSUANT-TO NRS 239B 030

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document does not contain the Social Security Number of any person.

DATED: November 29th, 2023.

Robert Beadles, Appellant

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that on November 29th, I electronically served all parties of record as per the agreed upon arrangement with the defense.

Haldeman, Suzanne shaldeman@da.washoecounty.gov Hickman, Elizabeth ehickman@da.washoecounty.gov Liddell, Lindsay L lliddell@da.washoecounty.gov

Robert Beadles, Appellant