## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MICHAEL A. TRICARICHI,
Appellant,
vs.
PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS, LLP,
Respondent.
MICHAEL A. TRICARICHI, AN

INDIVIDUAL,

Appellant,

vs.
PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS, LLP,

Respondent.

MICHAEL A. TRICARICHI,

Appellant,

vs.

 ${\tt PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS,\,LLP,}$ 

Respondent.

No. 86317

No. 87375

No. 87835

FILED

FEB 0 6 2024

CLERK PROUPER BY DEPUTY CHERK

## ORDER REINSTATING BRIEFING AND GRANTING MOTIONS TO CONSOLIDATE

This court previously entered an order directing appellant to show cause why the appeal in Docket No. 86317 should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. Having considered appellant's response, the appeal is allowed to proceed at this time. Accordingly, briefing is reinstated.

The parties have filed joint motions to consolidate these appeals. Because the motions are not properly signed by counsel for respondent, they are treated as motions filed only by appellant. See NEFCR 11(c). These appeals arise from the same district court case and involve the same parties and counsel. Accordingly, the motions are granted. NRAP 3(b)(2). These appeals are hereby consolidated for purposes of briefing and disposition.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

24-04286

Appellant shall have 60 days from the date of this order to file and serve a single appendix and opening brief addressing all issues in these appeals. Thereafter, briefing shall proceed in accordance with NRAP 31(a)(1). Failure to timely file and serve the opening brief and appendix may result in the imposition of sanctions, including the dismissal of these appeals. NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, C.J.

cc: Hutchison & Steffen, LLC/Las Vegas Sperling & Slater, LLC/Chicago Bartlit Beck LLP/Chicago Snell & Wilmer, LLP/Las Vegas Bartlit Beck LLP/Denver