## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MARIO ACCOMANDO, Appellant, vs. GEORGANN ROSE ACCOMANDO, Respondent. No. 87888

FILED

FEB 28 2024

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER REGARDING MOTIONS

Appellant's pro se motions for extensions of time to file the transcript request form and docketing statement are granted. NRAP 26(b)(1)(A). The clerk shall file the transcript request form and docketing statement received on February 23, 2024.

Appellant has also filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis. As appellant has already paid the filing fee and no further fees are due to this court in this matter, the application is disapproved without prejudice.<sup>2</sup>

It is so ORDERED.

C.J.

cc: Mario Accomando

Reza Athari & Associates, PLLC

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A 😅 📆 😙

24.07220

Appellant is reminded that all documents filed in this court must be accompanied by proof of service of the documents on the other parties to the appeal. NRAP 25(d). In this instance only, this court has considered appellant's motions despite the lack of proof of service. NRAP 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>If appellant seeks production of the requested transcript at state expense, he should seek that relief in the district court.