

88468

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ISIAH TAYLOR

Petitioner/Plaintiff,

v.

The EIGHTH Judicial District  
Court of the State Of Nevada, In and  
For the County of CLARK

Respondent/Defendant.

Case No. C-20-348230-7  
A-24-889484-W  
A-24-889487-W

Dept. No. 24

Docket No. \_\_\_\_\_

**FILED**

APR 12 2024

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY [Signature]  
DEPUTY CLERK

PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

COMES NOW, Petitioner/Plaintiff, ISIAH TAYLOR, pro per,  
and respectfully moves this Honorable Court to issue a Petition for Writ of Mandamus, being filed  
contemporaneously herewith, directing EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT CT. to reverse and vacate  
his order, and/or actions in denying Petitioner/Plaintiff HIS FREEDOM IN THE ABOVE  
REFERENCED CASE(S)

This motion is made and based pursuant to the supporting Points and Authorities attached hereto,  
N.R.S. 34.150 through N.R.S. 34.310, N.R.A.P., Rule 21, as well as all papers, pleadings, and  
documents on file herein.

RECEIVED  
APR 11 2024  
ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
DEPUTY CLERK

24-12896

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Statement of Facts

THIS CASE IS PART OF A CIVIL WRONGFUL DETENTION CASE WHICH IS DOCKETED IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF NEVADA CASE # 2:24-CV-00496-APG-EX. THIS ENTIRE DISTRICT COURT CASE IS BASED UPON AN ILLEGAL PLEA NEGOTIATION WHICH PERMITTED MR. TAYLOR TO ENTER A PLEA TO A CHARGE THAT THE STATES EVIDENCE AND VICTIMS STATEMENT PROVED HE DID NOT COMMIT. THIS CASE IS A PERFECT EXAMPLE OF CONSPIRACY AND CORRUPTION. THIS CASE WENT TERRIBLY WRONG AT THE PLEA AND THEN AT SENTENCING. CASE PRECEDENCE IS A IMPORTANT TOOL USED BY JUDGES. WE DRAW THE COURTS ATTENTION TO STATE VS. YOUNG! HERE MATTHEW CURTIS YOUNG ENTERED A PLEA TO ATTEMPTED SEXUAL ASSAULT OF A CHILD UNDER 16. HE RECEIVED A SENTENCE OF 2-8 YEARS. MR. TAYLORS ALLEGED VICTIM WAS AN ADULT. FURTHER MR. YOUNG WAS RELEASED DUE TO THE ILLEGAL PLEA TO ATTEMPTED, THE EXACT SAME POSITION MR. TAYLOR IS IN. DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTION DEMAND THAT THE LAW BE ADMINISTERED EQUALLY AND FAIRLY IN THIS CASE IT WAS NOT. WE NOW SUBMIT THE ATTACHED CASE LAW AS OUR PROOF OF REQUIRED ASSISTANCE BY WAY OF MANDAMUS.

DATED THIS 5<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF APRIL 2024

x Isaiah Taylor

## II. LEGAL ARGUMENT

Petitions for Extraordinary Writs are addressed to the sound discretion of the Supreme Court of Nevada and may issue when there is no plain, speedy, and adequate remedy at law. See, State v. Second Judicial District Court ex. rel. County of Washoe, 11 P.3d 1209, \_\_\_ Nev. \_\_\_ (2000).

A writ of mandamus is issued to compel performance of an act which the law especially enjoins as a duty resulting from an office, trust or station. See, Lewis v. Stewart, 619 P.2d 1212, 96 Nev. 846 (1980).

A writ of mandamus may issue to control arbitrary or capricious exercise of discretion. See, Barnes v. Eighth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, in and for Clark County, 748 P.2d 483, 103 Nev. 679 (1987).

This Court has also held that the action being sought to be compelled must be one already required by law. See, Mineral County v. State, Department of conservation and Natural Resources, 20 P.3d 800, \_\_\_ Nev. \_\_\_ (2001).

Mandamus is the appropriate vehicle for challenging contested orders entered by the District Court. See, Angell v. Eighth Judicial District court in and for the county of Clark, 839 P.2d 1329, 108 Nev. 923 (1992).

It has also been held that a writ of mandamus is proper when the petitioner raises urgent and important issue[s] of law requiring clarification by the Supreme Court. See, Falcke v. Douglas County, 3 P.3d 661, \_\_\_ Nev. \_\_\_ (2000).

**AFFIRMATION**  
Pursuant to NRS 239B.030

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding \_\_\_\_\_

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

(Title of Document)

C-20-348230-1

A-24-889487W

filed in District Court Case No. A-24-889484W

☒ Does not contain the social security number of any person.

-OR-

☐ Contains the social security number of a person as required by:

A. A specific state or federal law, to wit:

\_\_\_\_\_  
(State specific law)

-OR-

B. For the administration of a public program or  
for an application for a federal or state grant.

X. *Josh Taylor*  
(Signature)

4-5-24  
(Date)