


IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

FRANCISCO SILVA, AN INDIVIDUAL,
Appellant,
vs.
ED CLAY, AN INDIVIDUAL; SCOTT
NELSON, AN INDIVIDUAL;
DEDDRICK PERRY, AN INDIVIDUAL;
AND CPI MANAGEMENT GROUP,
LLC,
Respondents.

No. 90651

FILED

NOV 25 2025

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER

On November 13, 2025, Nevada Business Law Professors and Nevada Business Law Attorneys filed a notice of withdrawal of their motion for leave to file an amicus brief. Accordingly, the motion for leave filed November 12, 2025, is denied as moot.

Appellant filed an opposition to respondents' streamlined request for extension of time to file the answering brief after this court had already issued an order approving the extension request. Accordingly, this court takes no action in regard to the opposition. NRAP 27(b) ("Timely opposition filed after the motion is granted in whole or in part does not constitute a request to reconsider, vacate, or modify the disposition; a motion requesting that relief must be filed.").

It is so ORDERED.


_____, C.J.

cc: Bendavid Law
Christiansen Trial Lawyers
Snell & Wilmer, LLP/Las Vegas
The Jimmerson Law Firm, P.C