

# ORIGINAL FILED In the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada

Supreme Court

JUL 12 2002

39864

**DOCKETING STATEMENT** 

**CRIMINAL APPEALS** 

(Including pretrial and post-conviction habeas

corpus, and petitions for post-conviction relief)

No...

JANETTE M. BLOOM **BX OF SUPREME COURT** 

DEPUTY CLERK

**INDICATE FULL CAPTION:** 

#### ASHLEY WILLIAM BENNETT

vs.

Appellant(s),

THE STATE OF NEVADA

Respondent(s).

### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

1.	Judicial District. EIGHTH	CountyCLARK	
	Judge MICHAEL L. DOUGLAS	District Ct. Docket NoC175	914
2.	If the defendant was given a sentence, THE DI (a) what is the sentence? OF PAROLE IN THI SECUTIVE TERMOOF LIFE WITHOUT	DITION TO THE \$25.00 ADMINISTRATIV EFENDANT ISSSENTENCED TO LIFE WITH E NEVADA DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS PLUS THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE FOR THE TO DETERMINE GENETIC MARKERS AND F	OUT THE POSSIBILITY AN EQUAL & CON- USE OF A DEADLY
	PAY RESTITUTION JOINTLY & SEVEN	RALLY WITH CO-OFFENDERS MORRISON &	GANTT (\$30,432.06)
	(b) has the sentence been stayed pending appeal	1? NO eal? NO	
3.	Was trial or post-conviction counsel appointed.	Xor retained?	an a
4.	Attorney filing this docketing statement:		
	Attorney.STANLEY A. WALTON, ESQ.Telephone.(702)383-8112Firm.STANLEY A. WALTON, ATTORNEY AT LAWAddress.550 E. CHARLESTON, BLVD., SUITE ALAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89104		
	Client(s) ASHLEY WILLIAM BENNETT		
	an additional sheet accompanied by a cer	pellants, add the names and addresses of othe rtification that they concur in the filing of thi	
5.	Attorney(s) representing respondent(s):	N/A	
	FirmAddress	Telephone	
	Client(s)		
		Telephone	
	Firm		
	Client(s)		
		counsel on separate sheet if necessary)	02-11951

6. Nature of disposition below	
<ul> <li>Judgment after bench trial</li> <li>Judgment after jury verdict</li> <li>Judgment upon guilty plea</li> <li>Grant of pretrial motion to dismiss</li> <li>Parole/Probation revocation</li> <li>Motion for new trial</li> <li>grant</li> <li>denial</li> <li>Motion to withdraw guilty plea</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Grant of pretrial habeas</li> <li>□ Grant of motion to suppress evidence</li> <li>□ Post-conviction relief (NRS ch. 177)</li> <li>□ grant □ denial</li> <li>□ Post-conviction habeas (NRS ch. 34)</li> <li>□ grant □ denial</li> <li>□ Other disposition (specify)</li> </ul>
grant denial	

7. Does this appeal raise issues concerning any of the following:

	death sentence
X	life sentence

juvenile offenderpretrial proceedings

8. Expedited appeals: The court may decide to expedite the appellate process in this matter. Are you in favor of proceeding in such manner?

Yes.....No.X

9. Pending and prior proceedings in this court. List the case name and docket number of all appeals or original proceedings presently or previously pending before this court which are related to this appeal (*e.g.*, separate appeals by co-defendants, appeal after post-conviction proceedings):

NONE

10. Pending and prior proceedings in other courts. List the case name, number and court of all pending and prior proceedings in other courts which are related to this appeal (e.g., habeas corpus proceedings in state or federal court, bifurcated proceedings against co-defendants):

NONE

11. Nature of action. Briefly describe the nature of the action and the result below:

DEFENDANT WAS CONVICTED OF MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON INFFIRST DEGREE AND WAS SENTENCED PURSUANT TO THE JUDGMENT AFTER JURY VERDICT ON MARCH 3, 2002.

12. No Merit Appeal. If appellant was the defendant below, does counsel intend to file an affidavit of no merit appeal pursuant to Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967) and Sanchez v. State, 85 Nev. 95, 450 P.2d 793 (1969)?

Yes.....No.X

- 13. Issues on appeal. State competitively the principal issue(s) in this appeal:
- 1. WHETHER THE STATE PRODUCED SUBSTANTIAL, CREDIBLE EVIDENCE THAT BENNETT WAS THE SOURCE OF THE ALLEGED WITNESS INTIMIDATION.
- 2. IS A NEW TRIAL WARRANTED DUE TO THE COURT\*S FAILURE TO CONDUCT A PRETRIAL PETROCELLI HEARING AND TO GIVE THE PROPER LIMITING INSTRUCTIONOON THE ALLEGED WITNESS INTIMIDATION.
- 3. DID THE STATE FAIL TO PRODUCE IMPEACHMENT EVIDENCE REGARDING A KEY WITNESS.
- 4. WAS THE APPELLANT DENIED AFFAIR TRIAL BY LIMITING THE TESTIMONY OF LAKIESHA REED AND REGINALD FOBBS.
- 5. WHETHER A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL JURY WAS EMPANELED DO TO THE FACT THAT PROSPECTIVE JURORS WHERE NOT ADVISED THAT THE PUNISHMENT WOULD BE DOUBLED IF THE JURY FOUND THAT A WEAPON WAS USED.
- 6. DISTRICT COURT ERRED WHEN IT DENIED THE APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL.

14. **Constitutional issues.** If this appeal challenges the constitutionality of a statute, have you notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS 30.130?

N/A.....Xes......No...... If not, explain.....

15. Issues of first-impression or of public interest. Does this appeal present a substantial legal issue of first-impression in this jurisdiction or one affecting an important public interest?

First-impression:Yes.....No...XPublic interest:Yes.....No...X

16. Length of trial. If this action proceeded to trial in the district court, how many days did the trial last?

17. Oral argument. Would you object to submission of this appeal for disposition without oral argument?

Yes.....No....X

#### TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL

- 18. Date district court announced decision, sentence or order appealed from JUNE 18, 2002
- 19. Date of entry of written judgment or order appeal from JUNE 28, 2002
  - (a) If no written judgment or order was filed in the district court, explain the basis for seeking appellate review: N/A

(a) Was service by deli	very or by mailN/A (specify).	
1. If the time for filing th	notice of appeal was tolled by a post-judgmen N/A	t motion,
(a) Specify the type of	notion, and the date of filing of the motion:	
Arrest judgment	Date filed	
	Date filed	
(newly discovered e		
New trial	Date filed	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(other grounds)		
(b) Date of entry of wr	tten order resolving motion	A
	JUNE 28, 2002	

## SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY

24. Specify statute, rule or other authority which grants this court jurisdiction to review the judgment or order appealed from:

NRS 177.015(1)(b)....X..... NRS 177.015(2)..... NRS 177.055..... NRS 177.385..... NRS 34.710(3)..... NRS 34.710(4)..... NRS 34.815 Other (specify) NRAP (4) (b) (1)

#### VERIFICATION

I certify that the information provided in this docketing statement is true and complete to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

ASHLEY WILLIAM BENNETT

Name of appellant

STANLEY A. WALTON, ESQ.

Name of counsel of record

JULY 8, 2002

Date

Signature of counsel of record





 $2002_{I}$  served a copy of this completed

I certify that on the .... It the day of ..... July docketing statement upon all counsel of record:

□ by personally serving it upon him/her; or

ix by mailing it by first class mail with sufficient postage prepaid to the following address(es):

NEVADA STATE SUPREME COURT 201 S. CARSON STREET, #201 CARSONCCITY, NEVADA 89701

JULY

llth Dated this ..... .....day of...

2002

Signature