IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

SANDS CHINA LTD.,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE
ELIZABETH GOFF GONZALEZ,
DISTRICT JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
STEVEN C. JACOBS,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 58294

FILED

JUN 2 4 2011

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 5. V. DEPUTY CLERG

ORDER DIRECTING ANSWER

This original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges a district court order denying a motion to dismiss.

Having reviewed the petition, it appears that petitioner has set forth issues of arguable merit and that an answer to the petition is warranted. Therefore, real party in interest, on behalf of respondents, shall have 30 days from the date of this order to file and serve an answer,

¹Based on the documents before us, it appears that petitioner has not served its petition and appendices on respondents, the Eighth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada in and for the County of Clark and the Honorable Elizabeth Goff Gonzalez. Petitioner shall therefore have five days from this order's date to serve respondents with a copy of the petition and appendices. Within that same time period, petitioner shall file in this court a certificate of service demonstrating that respondents were served with these documents. We caution petitioner that its failure to comply with this directive may result in the dismissal of this petition.

including authorities, against issuance of the requested writ. Petitioner shall have 15 days from the date of service of real party in interest's answer to file and serve any reply.

It is so ORDERED.

___, C.J.

cc: Hon. Elizabeth Goff Gonzalez, District Judge Glaser, Weil, Fink, Jacobs, Howard & Shapiro, LLC Campbell & Williams