#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ALFRED P. CENTOFANTI, III,

No.: 58562

DC No.: C1 Dec 19 2011 09:04 a.m.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Tracie K. Lindeman

VS.

Clerk of Supreme Court

THE STATE OF NEVADA.

Respondent.

Appellant,

## APPELLANT'S MOTION TO EXPAND TIME TO FILE OPENING BRIEF

COMES NOW, Appellant, Alfred P. Centofanti, III, by and through his attorney, Rochelle T. Nguyen, Esq., of Nguyen & Lay, and respectfully requests this Court allow leave to expand time to file opening brief by thirty (30) days for the reasons set forth in the points and authorities therein and the attached declaration of counsel.

Dated this 19<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2011.

Respectfully Submitted,

**NGUYEN & LAY** 

ochille J. Ylquyen ROCHELLE T. NGUYEN, ESO.

Nevada State Bar No. 008205

324 S. 3rd St., Ste. 1 Las Vegas, NV 89101

Phone: (702) 383-3200 Fax: (702) 382-6903

Email: rtn@lasvegasdefender.com

25

26

27

28

# 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

2324

25

26 27

28

#### POINTS AND AUTHORITES

NRAP Rule 26(b)(1)(A) provides as follows:

For good cause, the court may extend the time prescribed by these Rules or by its order to perform any act, or may permit an act to be done after that time expires.

## **DECLARATION OF ROCHELLE T. NGUYEN, ESQ.**

ROCHELLE T. NGUYEN, ESQ., declares under penalty of perjury:

- 1. That I am a licensed attorney practicing law in the State of Nevada, and that I have been appointed to represent the Appellant, ALFRED P. CENTOFANTI, III, in the above-captioned case.
- 2. That the Appellant is making this request in good faith so that he be allowed additional time to fully investigate, research and raise meritorious issues to this Honorable Court.
  - 3. That the Appellant's Opening Brief is currently due on December 23, 2011.
- 4. The Appellant previously requested and was granted an extension of time to file an opening brief in this case.
- 5. That the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (post-conviction) that this appeal stems from was three hundred and thirty-four (334) pages in length, with approximately two thousand (2,000) pages of exhibits.
- 6. That the district court conducted an evidentiary hearing, allowed for videotaped deposition testimony and took the matter under advisement for nearly eight (8) months before issuing a written Order.
- 7. That, due to the volume of the instant case, and the number and complexity of the issues presented for appeal, the Declarant requires an additional time to file the Appellant's Opening Brief.
- 8. That this second request for a brief extension of time comes for extraordinary circumstances and extreme need.
  - 9. First, because Mr. Centofanti has limited visiting days at the prison, it has

been difficult to schedule visitation because of the numerous holidays throughout November and December, which coincide with his visitation days in prison.

- 10. Second, this honorable court recently reviewed and denied the Appellant's Motion to Remand on November 18, 2011. This denial creates a significant legal issue in this case and has changed the Appellant's legal strategy on appeal. This change necessitates additional legal research and consultation with the client.
- 7. That the Declarant respectfully request that the Court allow for an additional thirty (30) days to file the Appellant's Opening Brief.

Dated this 19th day of December, 2011.

ROCHELLE T. NGUYEN, ESQ.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby declares that on the 19<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2011, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Appellant's Motion to Expand time to File Opening Brief was sent via U.S. First-Class mail to the following:

Alfred P. Centofanti, III #85237 P.O. Box 650 (HDSP) Indian Springs, NV 89018

ROCHELLE T. NGUYEN, ESQ.

#### **CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION**

The undersigned hereby declares that on December 19, 2011, an electronic copy of the foregoing Appellant's Motion to Expand time to File Opening Brief was sent via the master transmission list with the Nevada Supreme Court to the following:

DAVID ROGER Clark County District Attorney 200 South Lewis Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

CATHERINE CORTEZ-MASTO Nevada Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717

Rochelle T. Nguyen, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 008205

Nguyen & Lay

324 South Third Street, Suite 1

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

(702) 383-3200

rtn@lasvegasdefender.com

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28