## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JENNY RISH,

Appellant,

vs.

WILLIAM JAY SIMAO,

INDIVIDUALLY; AND CHERYL ANN SIMAO, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS

HUSBAND AND WIFE,

Respondents.

JENNY RISH,

Appellant,

vs.

WILLIAM JAY SIMAO,

INDIVIDUALLY; AND CHERYL ANN

SIMAO, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS HUSBAND AND WIFE.

Respondents.

JENNY RISH,

Appellant,

vs.

WILLIAM JAY SIMAO,

INDIVIDUALLY; AND CHERYL ANN SIMAO. INDIVIDUALLY AND AS

HUSBAND AND WIFE,

Respondents.

No. 58504

No. 59208

No. 59423

FILED

FEB 2 0 2013

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

BY

DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER GRANTING MOTIONS FOR EXTENSION OF TIME AND FOR LEAVE TO FILE BRIEF IN EXCESS OF TYPE-VOLUME LIMITATION

Respondents have filed a motion seeking a fourth extension of time to file their answering brief. In their motion, they asked that they be allowed an additional 14 days, until January 18, 2013, to file and serve the answering brief, and they submitted their proposed brief for filing on that date. Respondents have also filed a motion for leave to file their answering brief in excess of NRAP 32(a)(7)(A)(ii)'s type-volume limitation,

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

stating that the excess words were required to address issues concerning the sufficiency of evidence to support the imposition of sanctions and the damages award. Respondents state that appellant's brief failed to set forth the "massive evidence" that supported those decisions and that should be considered in deciding these issues, and that the lengthy facts section in respondents' proposed answering brief describes that evidence. Having considered the unopposed motions, we grant them. NRAP 31(b)(3); NRAP 32(a)(7)(D). Accordingly, the clerk of this court shall file the answering brief provisionally received in this court on January 18, 2013.

It is so ORDERED.

Pickering, C.J.

cc: Lewis & Roca, LLP/Las Vegas Rogers, Mastrangelo, Carvalho & Mitchell, Ltd. Eglet Wall