

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP., A NEVADA  
CORPORATION AND SANDS CHINA  
LTD., A CAYMAN ISLANDS  
CORPORATION,

Petitioners,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK  
AND THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH  
GOFF GONZALEZ, DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

STEVEN C. JACOBS,

Real Party in Interest.

No. 62489

**FILED**

**JAN 31 2013**

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY *R. Malone*  
DEPUTY CLERK


ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

This is an original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenging a district court order compelling petitioners to produce documents. Petitioners filed this emergency petition on January 24, 2013, asserting that pursuant to the district court order they will be required to disclose privileged documents on February 4, 2013. Petitioners, however, also indicated that they had moved for a stay in the district court pending the resolution of this petition and that the district court would hear that motion on January 29, 2013. To date, petitioners have not informed this court as to the district court's resolution of their stay motion, and thus, it is unclear whether emergency treatment of this petition is still necessary. Accordingly, petitioners shall have until noon on Friday, February 1, 2013, to show cause whether emergency treatment



of this petition is still required. Real party in interest shall have until 4 p.m. on that same day to file any reply to petitioners' response.

It is so ORDERED.<sup>1</sup>

, A.C.J.

cc: Hon. Elizabeth Goff Gonzalez, District Judge  
Kemp, Jones & Coulthard, LLP  
Holland & Hart LLP/Las Vegas  
Morris Law Group  
Pisanelli Bice, PLLC  
Eighth District Court Clerk

---

<sup>1</sup>For the purposes of responding to this order, we suspend application of NRAP 25(a)(2)(B)(ii)-(iv), which provides that a document that is timely filed if, on or before its due date, it is mailed to this court, dispatched for delivery within three calendar days by a third-party commercial carrier, or deposited in the supreme court drop box. All documents submitted in response to this order shall be filed personally, electronically, or by facsimile transmission with the clerk of this court in Carson City. See NRAP 2; NRAP 25(a)(2)(B)(i); NRAP 25(a)(4). In addition, service of all documents submitted in response to this order shall be personal, electronic, or by fax.

Additionally, for the purposes of responding to this order, we suspend application of NRAP 26(b)(1)(B), which provides that the clerk of this court may grant a 5-day extension of time for performing any act except the filing of a notice of appeal made through a telephonic or written request. No extensions of time to respond to this order shall be granted.