

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP., A NEVADA
CORPORATION AND SANDS CHINA
LTD., A CAYMAN ISLANDS
CORPORATION,
Petitioners,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK
AND THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH
GOFF GONZALEZ, DISTRICT JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
STEVEN C. JACOBS,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 62489

FILED

FEB 15 2013

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *H. Angers*
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DIRECTING ANSWER

This original petition for a writ of prohibition or mandamus challenges a district court order compelling petitioners to produce documents.

Petitioners filed this petition on January 24, 2013, seeking emergency relief by February 4, 2013. This court subsequently entered an order to show cause whether emergency consideration was still necessary in light of petitioners' indication in their petition that the district court was entertaining their motion to stay the district court proceedings pending the disposition of this petition. On January 31, 2013, petitioners filed a "notice of change in date by which relief is requested," representing that the district court had granted their motion for a stay. In light of this filing, we conclude that emergency resolution of this petition is no longer necessary.

Nevertheless, having reviewed the petition, it appears that petitioners have set forth issues of arguable merit and that they may have no plain, speedy, and adequate remedy in the ordinary course of the law. Therefore, real party in interest, on behalf of respondents, shall have 30 days from the date of this order within which to file and serve an answer, including authorities, against issuance of the requested writ. Petitioners shall have 15 days from service of the answer to file and serve any reply.

It is so ORDERED.

 , A.C.J.

cc: Hon. Elizabeth Goff Gonzalez, District Judge
Kemp, Jones & Coulthard, LLP
Holland & Hart LLP/Las Vegas
Morris Law Group
Pisanelli Bice, PLLC
Eighth District Court Clerk