IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

_		
	LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP., A NEVADA	No. 62489 ✓
	CORPORATION; AND SANDS CHINA	
	LTD., A CAYMAN ISLANDS	
	CORPORATION,	FILED
	Petitioners,	
	VS.	AUG 0 8 2013
	THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
	COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,	CLERKOF SUPREME COURT
	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK;	DEPUTY CLERK
	AND THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH	
	GOFF GONZALEZ, DISTRICT JUDGE,	•
	Respondents,	
	and	
	STEVEN C. JACOBS,	
	Real Party in Interest. LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP., A NEVADA	No. 62944
	CORPORATION AND SANDS CHINA	100.02544
	LTD., A CAYMAN ISLANDS	
	CORPORATION,	
	Petitioners,	
	vs. THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	
	COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,	
	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK	
	AND THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH	
	GOFF GONZALEZ, DISTRICT JUDGE,	
	Respondents,	
	and	
	STEVEN C. JACOBS,	
	Real Party in Interest.	
	LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP., A NEVADA	No. 63444
	CORPORATION; AND SANDS CHINA	110.00111
	LTD., A CAYMAN ISLANDS	
	CORPORATION,	
	Petitioners,	
	vs.	
	THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	· ·
	COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,	
	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK;	
	AND THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH	
		I

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA GOFF GONZALEZ, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents, and STEVEN C. JACOBS, Real Party in Interest.

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE

Petitioners have filed a motion to consolidate these original writ petitions, which real party in interest opposes. Petitioners have filed a reply in support of their motion. Having considered the parties' arguments and the documents before us, we conclude that formal consolidation is not warranted, as these petitions are currently at different stages before this court. The motion to consolidate is therefore denied. Although the identified cases will not be formally consolidated, the cases will nonetheless be clustered based on any overlapping legal issues to ensure that those issues are resolved in a consistent and efficient manner. IOP 2(c)(2).¹

It is so ORDERED.

A.C.J.

cc: Hon. Elizabeth Goff Gonzalez, District Judge Kemp, Jones & Coulthard, LLP Holland & Hart LLP/Las Vegas Morris Law Group Pisanelli Bice, PLLC Eighth District Court Clerk

¹To the extent that petitioners, in seeking consolidation of these petitions, also ask this court for relief with regard to the timing and scope of a pending evidentiary hearing, we conclude that these requests are outside of the scope of the motion for consolidation, and we therefore deny them.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA