IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP., A NEVADA CORPORATION; AND SANDS CHINA LTD., A CAYMAN ISLANDS CORPORATION,

Petitioners.

VS.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH GOFF GONZALEZ, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents.

and

STEVEN C. JACOBS,

Real Party in Interest.

LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP., A NEVADA CORPORATION AND SANDS CHINA LTD., A CAYMAN ISLANDS CORPORATION,

Petitioners,

VS.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK AND THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH GOFF GONZALEZ, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents,

and

STEVEN C. JACOBS.

Real Party in Interest.

LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP., A NEVADA CORPORATION; AND SANDS CHINA LTD., A CAYMAN ISLANDS CORPORATION,

Petitioners,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH No. 62489

No. 62944 🗸

FILED

AUG 0 8 2013

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY A DEPUTY CLERK

No. 63444

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA GOFF GONZALEZ, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents, and STEVEN C. JACOBS, Real Party in Interest.

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE

Petitioners have filed a motion to consolidate these original writ petitions, which real party in interest opposes. Petitioners have filed a reply in support of their motion. Having considered the parties' arguments and the documents before us, we conclude that formal consolidation is not warranted, as these petitions are currently at different stages before this court. The motion to consolidate is therefore denied. Although the identified cases will not be formally consolidated, the cases will nonetheless be clustered based on any overlapping legal issues to ensure that those issues are resolved in a consistent and efficient manner. IOP 2(c)(2).

It is so ORDERED.

A.C.

cc: Hon. Elizabeth Goff Gonzalez, District Judge Kemp, Jones & Coulthard, LLP Holland & Hart LLP/Las Vegas Morris Law Group Pisanelli Bice, PLLC Eighth District Court Clerk

¹To the extent that petitioners, in seeking consolidation of these petitions, also ask this court for relief with regard to the timing and scope of a pending evidentiary hearing, we conclude that these requests are outside of the scope of the motion for consolidation, and we therefore deny them.