

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP., A NEVADA
CORPORATION; AND SANDS CHINA
LTD., A CAYMAN ISLANDS
CORPORATION,
Petitioners,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK;
AND THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH
GOFF GONZALEZ, DISTRICT JUDGE,
Respondents,

and

STEVEN C. JACOBS,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 62489

LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP., A NEVADA
CORPORATION AND SANDS CHINA
LTD., A CAYMAN ISLANDS
CORPORATION,
Petitioners,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK
AND THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH
GOFF GONZALEZ, DISTRICT JUDGE,
Respondents,

and

STEVEN C. JACOBS,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 62944

LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP., A NEVADA
CORPORATION; AND SANDS CHINA
LTD., A CAYMAN ISLANDS
CORPORATION,
Petitioners,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK;
AND THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH

No. 63444 ✓

FILED

AUG 08 2013


TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY D. Malone
DEPUTY CLERK

GOFF GONZALEZ, DISTRICT JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
STEVEN C. JACOBS,
Real Party in Interest.

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE

Petitioners have filed a motion to consolidate these original writ petitions, which real party in interest opposes. Petitioners have filed a reply in support of their motion. Having considered the parties' arguments and the documents before us, we conclude that formal consolidation is not warranted, as these petitions are currently at different stages before this court. The motion to consolidate is therefore denied. Although the identified cases will not be formally consolidated, the cases will nonetheless be clustered based on any overlapping legal issues to ensure that those issues are resolved in a consistent and efficient manner. IOP 2(c)(2).¹

It is so ORDERED.

 , A.C.J.

cc: Hon. Elizabeth Goff Gonzalez, District Judge
Kemp, Jones & Coulthard, LLP
Holland & Hart LLP/Las Vegas
Morris Law Group
Pisanelli Bice, PLLC
Eighth District Court Clerk

¹To the extent that petitioners, in seeking consolidation of these petitions, also ask this court for relief with regard to the timing and scope of a pending evidentiary hearing, we conclude that these requests are outside of the scope of the motion for consolidation, and we therefore deny them.