6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

THE COURT: Okay. Yeah, ask the detective to stay. Go outside the --

THE WITNESS: Am I leaving or am I staying?

THE COURT: No. Just --

MS. FLECK: Just stay outside.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE COURT: Just step outside the courtroom for a minute.

MR. BECKER: Okay. I'll --

THE COURT: You --

MR. BECKER: I will start. Your Honor, when a court makes a ruling it's incumbent upon the Counsel to explain those rulings to the witness. And apparently that wasn't done here. So Ms. Fleck asks a question of this witness and he starts to give an answer, that was an answer that was, I'll agree -- was something the Court had ruled was not something we're going to get in to. Quite frankly, there was a perfect opportunity for Mr. Fleck -- Ms. Fleck to stop him and not have him go the direction that he did.

But what he did was, he testified that Jocelyn had said that there was a four-year old that had touched her pri -- kissed her and touched her privates; that was the testimony. And then she proceeded to go on from there to say -- and that -- and that was the only other person, other than her parents had touched her privates.

Now, after this mishap, which happens -- these things happen at trial, okay.

THE COURT: And we had it taken out -- redacted out of the interview. Is that correct?

MS. FLECK: That's correct.

MR. BECKER: That is correct.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. BECKER: But what Ms. Fleck then tried to do is tried to clean it up by saying, so the four-year old tried to kiss her and it was the parents that touched her privates to make it seem like that testimony never happened. And I think it was, frankly, misleading and I don't think I could stand and allow the jury to be misled, so I objected.

Then when we go to sidebar, I'm being blamed for what happened and I didn't do anything except launch a proper objection. So I -- that is the state of the record. If there's any question, we can play it back but the D.A. can't try to undo a problem by misleading the jury. And I believe that's what she was suggesting was the appropriate remedy.

MS. FLECK: Well first of all, of course I wasn't misleading considering we'd already had rulings that that wasn't coming in. In court what happens in every single trial is if a witness says something that either side doesn't -- or has already been ruled and it's elicited by either side. For instance, if somebody accidentally said something like, I interviewed him while he was in custody and it's already been ruled that it's not going to come into evidence that he's in custody, you will attempt on both sides no matter who elicits it to do your best to move forward from that testimony.

And instead what Mr. Becker did is he illuminated that point and when I tried to say okay, so -- to redirect him -- what I did was try to redirect him away from the part that we've determined was inadmissible and redirect him by saying, okay so Nico kissed him on the shoulder and her parents touched her, which is what she says in the interview now that they're going to see. But instead what he did is illuminated something that is already been that's inadmissible. I mean, if one of the

witnesses had come on today and Mr. Becker had somehow asked a question and of course he's -- they've all been told -- you know how these things go.

You know, frankly I thought that the answ -- question -- that the answer was going to be no. I thought he was going to say that in the beginning she was saying no one touched. So -- but if he had somehow elicited from a witness, you know, that the Defendant had invoked his Fifth Amendment right, I certainly wouldn't have stood up and been like well, objection; that's the evidence that came from the witness stand.

MR. BECKER: But --

MS. FLECK: I mean what he did is -- he knew exactly what he was doing. Is he illuminated it for the jury in a way that's now made it abundantly suspicious to them as to what's going on and to what she said.

MR. BECKER: Well, I didn't invite this situation. All I did was lodged what I deemed to be appropriate objections. And --

MS. FLECK: How is that an appropriate objection?

MR. BECKER: Well, I think the record is clear. If the Court wants to go back and play it and listen to it --

THE COURT: No. I think what she was trying to do was to make certain that he didn't go to that direction and get into testimony that was -- previously ruled was inadmissible and redacted. And then by objecting -- it was a proper objection -- except it was -- may not have been properly timed because she was trying to clean it up so to make sure -- it would be like if the young lady started to say he took his Fifth Amendment rights and before he -- she got to where it was problematic she said, and you guys split up and cut her off, then you would've objected to that. I mean, that -- that is kind of like that. She's trying to clean it up and I think that --

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

24 25

23

MS. FLECK: And furthermore --

THE COURT: You don't need to draw any more attention to that that you've required to be redacted and I've agreed with the Defense, it should be redacted and --

MS. FLECK: But here's now what we're left with: First of all, when I cleaned it up, it wasn't accurate what the detective said. What the detective said is that there was a young little boy, four years old, who touched and kissed her privates. And that never came out. Even if we hadn't redacted it, no one's ever said that this little boy kissed her privates.

MR. BECKER: I don't think that -- I don't agree. I think he said he touched her privates and kissed her; that's what he testified to.

THE COURT: Can you go back --

MS. FLECK: Well, either way.

THE COURT: -- and look at that.

MS. FLECK: I tried to clean it up to say he kissed her shoulder --

THE COURT: All right. Let me see what --

MS. FLECK: -- and the parents touched the privates.

THE COURT: -- what he said about that. That was pretty -- it was --

When -- how s --

MS. EDWARDS: It's right before all this.

THE COURT: Just before all of this junk.

[Review of JAVS recording from May 20, 2013 from 3:26:28 p.m. to 3:27:28 p.m.]

MS. FLECK: And if you hear my question was, did you talk to her about -- it was a yes or no. Did you talk to her about people inappropriately touching and then he went in to, you know -- it was basically a non-responsive answer. Yes and then

he goes into the --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Yeah.

MS. FLECK: -- what it is.

THE COURT: It wasn't a questions that -- from you but he did say -- I mean, you can interpret what he said as kissed in the private part and we need to make sure this jury knows that that juvenile did not -- I don't know how clear it but we --

MS. FLECK: Yeah.

THE COURT: -- got to figure something --

MR. BECKER: Well --

THE COURT: -- come up with a jury instruction or -- that I can give the jury right now; you two get together and come up with something that I can tell them that -- right now to clear that up; that that was an un -- a slip of the tongue rather than what happened in that interview.

MR. BECKER: Well, the -- okay. The thing is this I don't think that we can tell them that it didn't happen because it did. I agree that it does -- that the way the phrasing was -- what I will agree is that the recording says that there was a kiss and a touching of the private part. But we can't -- I think to tell the jury that it didn't happen is not the in -- appropriate solution.

MS. FLECK: Then let's not -- then let's tell the jury that the Defendant invoked his Fifth Amendment rights at family court --

THE COURT: No.

MS. FLECK: Let's tell them that.

THE COURT: We're going --

MS. FLECK: Since it did happen.

THE COURT: I -- then I'll come up with it. If you don't want to participate and

come up with something to say, I'll say that was a slip of the tongue by the detective.

He didn't mean to say that and that was -- will not be going in to, there wasn't a kissing of the private part by a juvenile.

MS. FLECK: May -- I think that it's -- if you say -- if we say -- I don't even know how to say it because that -- I think that I should just be able to pick up exactly where we left off; where you sustain his objection and then I get to say to the detective, okay where we left off. So she told you that at some point a kid -- a four-year old kid named Nico kissed her on her shoulder and that her parents had -- when asked if she had ever been touched on her parents it was -- she said yes, by her parents. That's what they'll see. They won't see anything about the Nico but they'll see that it's her -- that she says her parents and then we just move on for it. Because if we then say, oh --

THE COURT: Draw -- we're drawing --

MS. FLECK: -- disregard that.

THE COURT: We're going to draw too much attention to that and that's not the intent of previous rulings.

MS. FLECK: That's why I think if we leave it -- if we start out exactly where we left off --

THE COURT: All right.

MS. FLECK: -- by me just --

THE COURT: You've made a record. I'm going to leave and I'm going to allow the State to do what they're doing and go from there.

MS. FLECK: And then the Defense is admonished not to object or go into that on cross-examination; anything about Nico.

THE COURT: Right.

1	MS. FLECK: And then we'll tell the detective not to
2	THE COURT: Just go tell him that that was a slip of the tongue and don't do
3	again.
4	MS. FLECK: Okay.
5	[Pause in proceedings]
6	THE COURT: So you're satisfied with how we're going to handle this?
7	MS. FLECK: Yeah. I've told the detective that I'm just going to basically start
8	exactly where we left with the last question
9	THE COURT: All right.
10	MS. FLECK: that I asked; I'll re-ask it and we'll go from there.
11	THE COURT: All right.
12	THE WITNESS: Do you want me to include it this time or not?
13	MS. FLECK: I want you to not say anything about a four the four year old,
14	Nico.
15	THE COURT: About Nico.
16	THE WITNESS: Okay.
17	MS. FLECK: I'm just going to lead you through the next couple of
18	questions
19	THE WITNESS: Okay.
20	MS. FLECK: so we get past it all and then we'll go from there.
21	THE COURT: All right. Bring the jury back in.
22	[In the presence of the jury]
23	THE COURT: Stipulate to the presence of the jury.
24	MS. FLECK: State so stipulates. Thank you, Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.

Q Tell me when you're trained with forensic interviews, how do you deal with a situation like this when you know from outside sources or you have information from outside sources that there's an allegation of something; how do you not use leading questions or not taint the interview and still attempt to get some credible information?

A The class I attended, some of the research that was provided that -particularly with kids of preschool age on occasion a more direct, implied question
would have to be asked. During this time I asked if -- we all have to remember that
we're adults but the children are describing something with their own words. And
I'm trying to determine exactly what the child is talking about.

At this point I don't even know if it's of sexual nature so therefore I ask the child specifically, did someone -- did you tell someone that somebody had dug into private area? And the child then disclosed.

Q And said what?

A She indicated that Levo's -- Levi's daddy as the one who was digging in her private area and then also further on in the investigation and the interview also called him by Uncle Dustin.

Q So through the interview, which the ladies and gentlemen of the jury will be able to see but did -- was a there a distinct difference for her in your interview with touch -- with the word touch and then the word dug or dig?

A There was. From my you know, experience with dealing with children, it was obvious to her that touch was not an inappropriate thing. But to me it took a little while for me as an adult and also the investigator to become keen upon that. That's why I had to rephrase some of the wording to determine what specifically in her mind constitutes something that was improper.

Again, she said that, you know, basically her parents are okay to touch her and that's consistent with what most juvenile's tell you. However in her mind digging was not appropriate. But furthermore, I had to determine what even digging was because I couldn't make any assumptions that that was even sexually related.

- Q Okay. And so then did you go on to ask what she meant by digging?
- A I did.
- Q And what did she say?
- A She indicated that her Uncle Dustin had basically dug in her private area. She indicated on the graph -- I asked her to describe what digging was and also to try to describe it on the chart that I provided with her. At that point, she actually began to making mannerisms to her vaginal area and indicated that he went underneath her clothing and used her fingers to describe him placing them in side of her vaginal area. And she used the word -- she basically said, you know, was digging and also sinking, I think were the words she used to describe.
- Q Did she go on then through the interview to tell you whether he touched her any -- or I guess dug anywhere else?
- A Yeah. As the interview proceeded -- again, like I said, I think I again used phrases such as touch. She -- we again had to go through a couple other different types of questioning and again sh -- when -- speaking with her again she indicated that he had also gone from there to her buttocks area and also placed his fingers inside her rectum area.
 - Q Okay. Obviously she didn't use the word rectum --
 - A No.
 - Q -- did she?
 - A No. Those are words I'm using.

1	
2	nc
3	
4	
5	
6	ok
7	
8	B١
9	
10	th
11	а
12	-
13	
14	Dı
15	
16	int
17	
18	an
19	yo
20	
21	

23

24

25

MS. FLECK: Judge, I move to publish the actual interview then to the jury now.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BECKER: No objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Published. Turn it on. Once it's going and if we're okay, you can go home.

[The videotape was shown to the jury]

BY MS. FLECK:

- Q Okay. Detective just a couple things from that interview. Fair to say that at times Jocelyn would have not really a speech impediment but that there were a couple of words, for instance, Justin or Dustin, it was hard to figure those J or D?
 - A For me, yes.
- Q Okay. Was it apparent though she was always speaking of her Uncle Dustin?
- A Yes. That's why I asked for clarification and that's basically part of the interview is to always ask for clarification in determining exactly what's going on.
- Q Okay. And then the other word was -- when you asked her if she said anything the word came out as top. When you were sitting in the interview, could you determine what she was saying?
 - A To me it's apparent that it was stop.

MS. FLECK: Judge, may I approach your clerk?

THE COURT: Sure.

MS. FLECK: Sorry. Just for housekeeping purposes, State moves for admission of State's proposed Exhibit 2, which is the video that we just viewed.

THE COURT: I believe there was no objection to that.

1	MR. BECKER: That's correct.	
2	THE COURT: All right. Be admitted.	
3	[STATE'S EXHIBIT 2 ADMITTED]	
4	MS. FLECK: Showing Defense Counsel's State's proposed Exhibit 3.	
5	MR. BECKER: No objection.	
6	MS. FLECK: May I approach?	
7	THE COURT: Yes.	
8	BY MS. FLECK:	
9	Q Showing you State's proposed Exhibit 3, do you recognize this?	
10	A I do.	
11	Q How do you recognize it?	
12	A This is the anatomically correct body sheet that I provided Jocelyn.	
13	Q Okay. How do you know it's the one you provided Jocelyn?	
14	A I indicated her name on the upper left hand corner and also the event	
15	number associated with the case.	
16	Q Does it appear to be in substantially the same condition as it was in	
17	when you interviewed her back in July of 2010?	
18	A Yes.	
19	MS. FLECK: Move for admission of State's proposed Exhibit 3.	
20	MR. BECKER: No objection.	
21	THE COURT: Be admitted.	
22	[STATE'S EXHIBIT 3 ADMITTED]	
23	MS. FLECK: And admission to publ permission to publish.	
24	THE COURT: [indiscernible]	
25	BY MS. FLECK:	

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25 Q Now you indicated -- or I'm sorry during the interview, there was a point you talked with her and said, you know, when she was talking about inside -- I don't want to misquote but you say can you show me this picture, you know, on this picture where he was touching you at. And then she said like he's inside her. Can you indicate on here where she was and then she pointed on the video --

MR. BECKER: I'll object. The video speaks for itself.

MS. FLECK: Well, it doesn't --

THE COURT: Overruled.

MS. FLECK: Thank you.

THE COURT: I'll allow him to answer.

MS. FLECK: Thank you.

BY MS. FLECK:

- Q I don't think that the jurors could have seen this on the video; what was -- what she was pointing at. So for their benefit if you could just point on here and show the jurors where when Jocelyn said like he's inside here where was she pointing on the body sheet?
 - A She was pointing to herself in the vaginal area.
- Q Okay. So was it on her body or on the body she -- because I believe in the statement at some point you say, can you show me on the picture here where --
 - A Right. But she --
 - Q -- she was touching
 - A -- actually shows me on her body.
 - Q Okay.
- A The intent was to show her on the picture. We generally don't ask them to show us specifically like hey, you know, where on the body was somebody

21

22

23

24

25

touching you inappropriately. But in this particular case she took it upon herself to actually take her hand and her fingers and illustrate that he was touching by the vaginal area; pointing towards he vaginal area.

Q Okay. So she indicates on the body sheet -- I mean, I see the word pee-pee there or private, did you write those out?

A Yes. As we went through basically she identified them. Obviously the child can't write so basically we go through, I don't give them any information; they can call them anything they want to. So, basically we go around; we identify all the different areas so that I can you know, verbalize specifically how she illustrates it.

For example, most adults would call the breast area, breasts. However the child calls it the body.

Q Okay.

A So that's a way to illustrate specifically what they're speaking about. When we went through I had her identify which areas were private, she marked those areas that are private, and then she also spoke them out loud.

- Q So -- and again I just want to be clear then when in the video when we hear you say can you show me on this picture where he was touching you at, are you saying that she then pointed just to herself?
 - A Yes.
 - Q And skipped over the picture altogether?
 - A Yes.
- Q Got it. Okay. Thank you. After you interviewed Jocelyn that day you said that you had an interview with her mom, Nicole.
 - A That's correct.
 - Q And that was also recorded at the CAC. Is that right?

1	A	That is.
2	Q	And then what did you do as part of this investigation?
3	A	Basically I just sat down with her, discussed again provided some
4	Q	And
5	A	open-ended questions
6	Q	Sorry. Just only because the jurors have heard from Nicole
7	A	Mm-hmm.
8	Q	and I'm not going to get into what she told you that day.
9	Α	Mm-hmm.
10	Q	So, let me be more specific.
11	Α	Yes, please.
12	Q	After you interviewed Jocelyn and then you interviewed Nicole, what did
13	you do following those interviews to continue on in your investigation in this case?	
14	A	Following those interviews
15	Q	Did you go on to interview anyone else in the family?
16	A	Oh, yes. On the 20 th I believe that's the date that I interviewed her
17	sister, Katelyn. During that time she had trouble verbal	
18	MR. E	BECKER: Objection, hearsay.
19	BY MS. FLE	ECK:
20	Q	Well, and detective I know it's getting late in the day so my questions
21	are probably	y sort of vague. You interviewed other people pursuant to your
22	investigation	n. Is that right?
23	A	Correct.
24	Q	One of them was Katelyn.
25	Α	True.

1	Q	That's okay. Do you see him in the courtroom today? The person that
2	you	
3	Α	l do.
4	Q	And can you please just point to him and describe something he's
5	wearing?	
6	Α	He's off to my right and the green shirt.
7	MS. F	FLECK: Let the
8	THE	COURT: Record reflect
9	MS. F	FLECK: record reflect, identification.
10	THE	COURT: It will.
11	MS. F	FLECK: I'll pass the witness.
12		CROSS-EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. BE	CKER:
14	Q	Well, let's talk about your entire investigation.
15	Α	Okay.
16	Q	You at this time, how long had you been a Metro police officer?
17	Α	At the time of the investigation I would say approximately five to six
18	years.	
19	Q	All right. And how long had you been a sexual assault detective?
20	Α	Approximately a year.
21	Q	You talked about a variety of training that you had to be a sexual
22	assault detective. Right?	
23	Α	Correct.
24	Q	And would it be fair to say that it is really important to be trained in how
25	to do proper	investigative techniques with regard to these types of cases? Correct?

1	A	Correct.	
2	∥ Q	And one of the areas of training has to do with how to do these types of	
3	interviews.	Correct?	
4	A	Correct.	
5	Q	And the other has to do with how to properly do an investigation into	
6	this type of case. Is that right?		
7	A	That is right.	
8	Q	All right. So you were just asked about your entire investigation. Yes?	
9	Α	Yes.	
10	Q	Aside from interviewing these people strike that. Did you ever go to	
11	the Hammond home?		
12	Α	l did not.	
13	Q	Did you ever go to the home of Dustin and Megan Barral?	
14	Α	I did not.	
15	Q	So you never went to see the layout of the Barral home.	
16	Α	I did not.	
17	Q	You never went to photograph the respective rooms. You heard	
18	testimony fr	om or a statement from Jocelyn about Dustin going from the bedroom	
19	and at some	e point she said he washed his hands. Correct?	
20	A	Correct.	
21	Q	And do you know where this bathroom was?	
22	A	I do not.	
23	Q	Okay. So you don't know if it was possible that from the position of	
24	Jocelyn in t	ne bedroom that she could see Mr. Barral in a bathroom?	
25	Α	I don't recall if she said she was actually in the bath or bedroom when	
ı	1		

24

25

room and other things of that nature. So there could be urine and things of those -of that substance. So with that being said, there would be a very little evidentiary
value in collecting somebody's bed or bedding in a particular case unless it
happened within a very, very short period of time and that scene had been
contained.

- Q Right. Well isn't normal to question for examp -- to question a party and say, were there sheets on the bed? Was there a blanket?
 - A Probably would've been better for me to ask those questions.
- Q All right. And then to determine whether th -- because you spoke to Megan on -- well, you spoke -- you originally got the report on July 14th. Correct?
 - A Correct.
- Q And then to speak to the parties for example to determine whether or not the blankets or sheets on the futon are the same ones that were there on the time of the alleged occurrence. Correct?
- A Again if I would've brought that up in this particular case I didn't think it was of -- something of -- exceptionally of value.
- Q Okay. Or to obtain for example a search warrant where you can go to the location --
 - A Absolutely.
 - Q -- and could actually collect the sheets and blankets on the bed. Right?
 - A Absolutely.
 - Q And that's something that's routinely done. Isn't it?
 - A In particular cases, yes.
 - Q Okay. And that's something that was not done here.
 - A Not in this case, no.

1	Q	And you when you spoke to Jocelyn she specifically talked to you
2	about the o	clothing that she was wearing at the time that she says this occurred.
3	Correct?	
4	A	True.
5	Q	Did you at any time make any inquiry of Jocelyn or Nicole or anybody
6	as to what	happened to the clothes that she was wearing when this incident
7	allegedly occurred?	
8	Α	No.
9	Q	All right. So, no inquiry as to where they were; no inquiry as to whether
10	or no they	had been washed. Correct?
11	A	Correct.
12	Q	All right. No attempt to collect the clothing for submission for any kind
13	of DNA and	alysis.
14	A	No.
15	Q	All right. When did you start as a sexual assault detective?
16	Α	I can't give you the specific date. It was approximately five years in to
17	the time that	at I had been employed.
18	Q	Well, when did you cease to be a sexual assault detective?
19	Α	Approximately three and a half to four years ago.
20	Q	Okay. Well, do you recall what the date of this incident was?
21	Α	Yes. This was July 14 th of 2010.
22	Q	All right. Now, you said that you ceased to be a sexual assault
23	detective th	ree or a ha three and a half to four years ago
24	Α	Correct.
25	Q	What date was that?

22

23

24

25

learn that the Defendant places himself in the room on the futon that this child is sleeping on on that Saturday night. Is that right?

- A That is correct.
- Q Then you go on after you talk with Megan and you interview Michael. Is that correct?
 - A That is correct.
 - Q And you even interviewed Frederick Coleman, Jocelyn's father.
 - A I did.
- Q So it's not until August 4th that you conduct all of these interviews and compare all of these interviews that you ultimately go and arrest the Defendant.
 - A That's true.
 - MS. FLECK: Okay. Nothing Further.
 - MR. BECKER: I'll pass the witness, Your Honor.
 - MS. FLECK: We having nothing.
 - THE COURT: Jury have any questions of this witness?
 - Thank you, Detective. You're free -- or Sergeant. You're free to go.
 - THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- THE COURT: All right. We'll take out evening recess. I have two hearings in the morning so we'll come back at 9:00.
- During this recess you're admonished not to -- and we'll finish up tomorrow. Guaranteed.
- During this recess you're admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial. Or read or watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial. Or anyone connected with this trial by any medium of information including without limitation newspapers,

1	television, radio, or the internet. Or form or express an opinion on any subject
2	connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you.
3	See you guys in the morning at 8:00.
4	MR. CASTILLO: 8 or 9?
5	THE COURT: 9. I'm sorry. I'll be here at 6 by the way.
6	[Outside the presence of the jury]
7	THE COURT: All right. If you could be here at 8, I have two short hearings
8	and then we'll do jury instructions. Yes?
9	MS. FLECK: Um
10	THE COURT: I know you have a hard time you're time-challenged.
11	Michelle, you are time-challenged.
12	MS. FLECK: Okay. I absolutely can do that.
13	THE COURT: I'll be here at 6. We could do it at 6 but I don't know if you
14	guys want to get here that early.
15	MS. FLECK: 8 works really well. But, will it take us an hour to do jury
16	instructions?
17	THE COURT: Well, I have two hearings.
18	MS. FLECK: So, how about
19	THE COURT: I want you guys to get together and
20	MS. FLECK: Oh.
21	THE COURT: and agree on anything you if you can do it at 8:30, that's
22	fine too.
23	MS. FLECK: I think I got two instructions
24	THE COURT: 8:30?
25	MS. FLECK: from the Defense. One is Defendant's right you know, not to

take the stand. Of course, we don't object if her doesn't.

THE COURT: Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FLECK: And then the other is in addition to that there is one that we'll litigate.

THE COURT: Okay. 8:30 then?

MS. FLECK: 8:30.

THE COURT: And then I can do these two.

MS. FLECK: Okay.

THE COURT: One's just an indigent hearing. And one is they've got a material witness on my murder trial next week and the State wants to release her and Defense wants her to stay in custody.

MS. FLECK: Okay. That's the one from --

THE COURT: But I won't take long.

MS. FLECK: Okay. The only thing that we have is we do have the amended information just changing Jocelyn's name from Hammond to Coleman. I'm not sure why it was originally Hammond but --

THE COURT: All right.

MS. FLECK: We have that to file.

THE COURT: You have any objection of --

MR. BECKER: No.

THE COURT: -- changing typographical?

MS. FLECK: And I just want to make for the record -- you know, I know that there's nothing that can ever be done to the Defense and that you know, the way that cases always go forward is that the State can't blink really without getting in trouble. But, after we -- you ruled, after you specifically said that the Defense was

```
not to bring up what had happened outside of the presence, on the exact same
 1
    question, Mr. Becker stood up and objected to the exact same thing even after he
 2
     admonished him. And I just want it in the record --
 3
           THE COURT: I don't penalize --
 4
           MS. FLECK: -- because it's happening --
 5
           THE COURT: -- attorneys for zealous --
 6
           MS. FLECK: -- all the time.
 7
           THE COURT: -- representation. I might yell at them but I don't penalize
 8
 9
    them.
           MS. FLECK: I don't expect you to.
10
           THE COURT: All right.
11
           MS. FLECK: But I want it in the record because --
12
           THE COURT: See you guys in --
13
           MR. BECKER: Well if we're going to -- I mean --
14
           MS. FLECK: -- that's how it goes.
15
           MR. BECKER: I'm going -- I'll -- I don't want my silence and I'm going to
16
    remain silent other than to say, I don't want my silence to be viewed as any way of
17
    agreeing to the accuracy of the record.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Electronically Filed 11/20/2013 03:14:49 PM

RTRAN

CLERK OF THE COURT

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

VS.

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24 25 DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

CASE NO. C269095

DEPT. VIII

STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

DUSTIN JAMES BARRAL,

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGLAS E. SMITH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

FRIDAY, MAY 31, 2013

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

JURY TRIAL

DAY 4 - VOLUME IV

For the State:

MICHELLE FLECK, ESQ.
Chief Deputy District Attorney

MICHELLE Y. EDWARDS, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant:

MICHAEL L. BECKER, ESQ. MICHAEL V. CASTILLO, ESQ.

RECORDED BY: JILL JACOBY, COURT RECORDER

TRANSCRIBED BY: BRITTANY MANGELSON, INDEPENDENT TRANSCRIBER

WITNESS INDEX

1			
2	STATE'S WITNESSES	VOLUME	PAGE
3	NICOLE HAMMONDS	11	10
4	Direct Examination by Ms. Edwards Cross-Examination by Mr. Becker	 	12 30
5	Redirect Examination by Ms. Edwards Recross-Examination by Mr. Becker	 	55 63
6	JOCELYN COLEMAN		
7		11	82
	Direct Examination by Ms. Edwards Cross-Examination by Mr. Becker	11	88
8	Closs-Examination by Wil. Decker	11	00
9	JOANNA HAMMONDS		
I	Direct Examination by Ms. Fleck	11	99
10	Cross-Examination by Mr. Becker	11	124
11	Cross-Examination by Mr. Becker (resumed)		139
''	Redirect Examination by Ms. Fleck	11	147
12	Recross-Examination by Mr. Becker	11	151
	Examination by Court [Jury Questions]	11	154
13	Follow-up Examination by Ms. Fleck	11	154
14	Follow-up Examination by Mr. Becker	11	158
15	KATHERINE DENNY		
.	Direct Examination by Ms. Edwards	- 11	159
16	Cross-Examination by Mr. Becker	11	170
17	MEGAN BARRAL		
18	Direct Examination by Ms. Fleck Cross-Examination by Mr. Castillo	**************************************	4 39
40	Redirect Examination by Ms. Fleck	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	59 59
19	Recross-Examination by Mr. Castillo	10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	69
20	Examination by Court [Jury Questions]	4 Telegrapi	72
	Follow-up Examination by Ms. Fleck	111	72
21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
22	MICHAEL HAMMONDS		
	Direct Examination by Ms. Edwards	111	78
23	Cross-Examination by Mr. Castillo	111	95
24	•		
-~	NICOLE HAMMONDS (recalled)		400
25	Further Direct Examination by Ms. Edwards		100 103
	Further Cross-Examination by Mr. Castillo	111	103

1	STATE'S WITNESSES	<u>VOLUME</u>	PAGE
2	DR. SANDRA CETL		
3	Direct Examination by Ms. Fleck Cross-Examination by Mr. Becker	111	105 122
	Redirect Examination by Ms. Fleck	***	131
4	Recross-Examination by Mr. Becker Examination by Court [Jury Questions]	111 111	135 141
5	Follow-up Examination by Ms. Fleck	111	142
6	Follow-up Examination by Mr. Becker	111	143
7	TIMOTHY HATCHETT		
8	Direct Examination by Ms. Fleck Direct Examination by Ms. Fleck (contin	III nued) III	144 164
9	Cross-Examination by Mr. Becker	111	174
10	Redirect Examination by Ms. Fleck	111	186
11			
12	EXHIBIT INDEX		
	NUMBER	VOLUME	<u>PAGE</u>
13	STATE'S EXHIBITS		
14	1 Photograph - 4 year	.an II	47
15	old, Jocelyn Colem 2 Video recording of	ian II	17
16	Detective Hatchett'		169
17	interview with Joce 3 Diagram of body use	,	109
18	in interview	111	169
19	DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS		
20	A Photograph of baby		
21	Monitor		47
1			
22			
23			
24			
25			
1			

FRIDAY, MAY 31, 2013 AT 8:54 A.M.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

day.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

MR. CASTILLO: Mr. Becker should be along shortly, Your Honor. But I am prepared to argue all jury instructions --

THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. CASTILLO: -- and I've been authorized.

The last page is the only new addition to the Defense since the other

THE COURT: All right. Here -- you've reviewed the jury instructions proposed by the State?

MR. CASTILLO: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Are you objecting to any of those?

MR. CASTILLO: Yes, Your Honor. There's one material objection and there a couple just minor --

THE COURT: All right.

MR. CASTILLO: -- disagreements.

THE COURT: When we get to them I guess you'll -- you'll do it as we go through them.

MR. CASTILLO: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

Is the Defendant going to take the stand?

MR. CASTILLO: He is not, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Is that right, Mr. Barral?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, that is right, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And your satisfied that that's in your best interest?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

.

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

And you fully discussed that with both of your attorneys, probably with your family, and your satisfied with that decision.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So, we'll give that jury instruction.

MS. FLECK: Is -- are you guys making the ultimate changes?

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. FLECK: I --

THE COURT: I have three. Do you have more?

MR. CASTILLO: Court's brief indulgence.

MS. FLECK: Because I can make the changes here.

MR. CASTILLO: It's a total of three, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So we're giving the one on the Defendant's right; that they can't comment on his right to not take the stand. So then we have this one: There is no requirement that the testimony of a named victim of sexual assault be corroborated. And her testimony standing alone if believed beyond a reasonable is sufficient to sustain a verdict, guilty. Although the named victim testimony need not be corroborated, she must still testify with some particularity regarding the incident in order to uphold the charges.

That is been submitted without any citation. Where does that come from?

MR. CASTILLO: It comes from *LaPierre v State*, Your Honor. If I can approach I do have a copy of *LaPierre*; the citation as well.

THE COURT: I don't --- that may be covered in the general instructions.

Wait, I -- what's that?

MR. CASTILLO: It's the same instruction with the citation.

THE COURT: Oh. That's fine.

MR. CASTILLO: Okay.

And if Your Honor refers to page 3 of the Westlaw print-off --

THE COURT: I'm looking. I'm looking at it. Thanks.

MR. CASTILLO: -- it should be there.

THE COURT: All right. What's the State's position on that?

MS. FLECK: Well, it's a -- the second portion of that is a correct statement of the law; however, it has nothing to do with the first portion. So what he's done is he's added a sentence from *LaPierre*, or the theory in *LaPierre*, to another instruction and then making it out to be as though it's one complete sort of statement of the law when it isn't. It stands for two separate -- entirely separate concepts.

The *LaPierre* case is basically saying that a, you know -- it's the case that stands for the fact that a victim can't come in and testify and say I was sexually molested every single night for two years and every single night for two years the Defendant came into my room and put his finger in my vagina and then all of a sudden we charge, you know, 2 years worth of --

THE COURT: Seven hundred counts.

MS. FLECK: Yeah. Counts. So it's -- with some particularity it -- obviously that's not relevant in this particular case considering that the girl testified in this court. And then through 51.385 her statement came in at four years old; it was more particular than most adults can articulate when they're describing something that they've experienced. The house, the room, the futon, where everybody else in her lives were; things that were said, how she felt.

So, number one it's not relevant. Number two the requirement that the testimony stand alone has nothing to do with, you know, the next portion. So.

MR. CASTILLO: Can I reply briefly, Your Honor?

MS. FLECK: If we -- if you're inclined to give the second portion then I'd ask that we at least split them up so that they're on two separate pages because like I said they don't come from the same case, they're not under the same theory. To try to, you know, combine them is not a correct statement.

MR. CASTILLO: And I would submit, Your Honor, that if you look on page 3 of *LaPierre*, the last paragraph; it has -- in that last paragraph, the first paragraph almost verbatim and then it -- as a additional statement that she must testify of some -- the victim must testify of some particularity regarding the incident to uphold the charge. That has been cited affirmatively by the Nevada Supreme Court as recently as 2007; it remains good law, it's a correct statement of the law, and it's for the jury to determine, you know, be the Trier of fact. But that is a correct statement of the law for the jury to consider.

THE COURT: Yeah, but that wasn't their argument. There argument is that you're combining that with another jury instruction and they should be actually two jury instructions.

MR. CASTILLO: Right.

THE COURT: The first sentence and the second sentence.

MR. CASTILLO: Court's brief indulgence.

If the Court wants to have it as a separate instruction that would --

THE COURT: All right.

MR. CASTILLO: -- be fine with the Defense.

THE COURT: Send it to him.

7	11
1	MS. FLECK: Are you doing them? Because I can do them also are but are
2	you?
3	THE COURT: He
4	THE CLERK: I can do them right here. So.
5	THE COURT: Either way.
6	MS. FLECK: So
7	THE COURT: All right.
8	MS. FLECK: we need to change did you change the amended? Or the
9	information part?
10	MS. EDWARDS: Pages three and four.
11	THE CLERK: Just I thought I'd just separate them out. So.
12	MS. EDWARDS: No.
13	MS. FLECK: No. The it should read, an amended information is but a
14	formal method. So we file it amended.
15	MS. EDWARDS: Not in that instruction [indiscernible.]
16	THE COURT: Oh. On the like the third page.
17	MS. EDWARDS: Yeah. Pages three and four of what the State submitted.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	MS. FLECK: I can just do them.
20	MS. EDWARDS: This is confusing.
21	MS. FLECK: Let me do them up here.
22	THE COURT: All right. You fix
23	MS. FLECK: Okay, so
24	THE COURT: Fix whatever
25	MS. FLECK: So should I add a whole nother[sic] instruction saying although

the named victim's testimony may not be corroborated, you should -- must still testify with some particularity regarding the --

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. FLECK: -- incident in order to uphold the charge.

THE COURT: I'll give that us two instructions.

MS. FLECK: So where -- where were we?

THE COURT: We were just looking at theirs.

MS. FLECK: Okay.

THE COURT: So we got that taken care of and then they submitted one about the Court. If during the trial, I have said -- I don't get that personally. I would say that the Court has said -- done anything but I think there's instruction on that. I don't know if you have it in here.

MR. CASTILLO: If you want to change the language to the Court; that's fine with Defense, Your Honor.

MS. FLECK: You know, now that I'm typing this out, the other problem I have with the way that this lop here is is because the way that the verbiage is is to uphold the charge. That's because the way that the case is -- I mean, my understanding is is that that's because it's in reference to an -- on appeal; to uphold it on appeal. So, the verbiage is: She must still with some particularity regarding the incident in order to uphold the charge. That's not really the standard.

THE COURT: Accept a way and then get these guys to read that.

MS. FLECK: So that -- well, I mean that's why I -- again I -- you know, I just don't know that it's relevant at all in this particular case. And she does testify with particularity and you know, it's -- that's no the standard in terms of finding guilt. The standard is going to be what -- if they believe the case beyond a reasonable doubt, it

can be based on -- so, I -- now that I -- I'm typing it out I object and I don't think that it's a correct statement for this particular set of facts and the way that it's worded in terms of upholding the charge; it's an appellate issue.

I think that it -- the argument for the Defense would be she didn't describe it with enough particularity; therefore, they shouldn't believe it beyond a reasonable doubt.

MR. BECKER: I'll just add briefly. You know, the sufficiency of the evidence; the Court saying that it's -- that the testimony if believed is sufficient is also an appellate decision upholding the sufficiency of the evidence. I think it's a semantic distinction but I think the law is deciphered equally with regard to the statement that we want versus the statement that the State wants.

THE COURT: Well -- but it's referencing upholding the decision in front of the Supreme Court; that verbiage. And so, it's no artfully written.

So get together and get a good one that you guys can both live with or I can do one.

MS. FLECK: I also changed the credibility to be gender -- I did his or her at the request of the Defense. And then -- towards the end we're changing one. It says -- it now reads: Your duty is confined to the determination of the guilt or innocence of the Defendant; to comport with the other instructions and what the Defense wants in every case, which would read: Your duty is confined to the determination of whether the Defendant is guilty or not guilty based on the evidence since those are the two choices.

MR. CASTILLO: Correct.

MS. FLECK: And then where would you like me to put the constitutional right of the Defendant; that he doesn't have to testify?

1	THE COURT: I've got I'll do I'll handle that.
2	MS. FLECK: You'll add that?
3	THE COURT: Yes. I already have.
4	MS. FLECK: So okay.
5	So, do I'm confused. Do you want me to make the changes or are
6	you guys
7	THE COURT: Yeah.
8	MS. FLECK: making them? I did them. So, where should I add or just -
9	are we going to print them off and then you'll add the constitutional right.
10	THE COURT: Print them off. Just send them to Thad. Thad can print them
11	off.
12	MS. FLECK: Okay.
13	THE COURT: I'll come look at his computer.
14	MS. FLECK: So is there anything else then you guys besides those
15	changed the gender and I changed the whether the Defense guilty or not guilty
16	based on the evidence. What else?
17	MR. CASTILLO: And Your Honor? I'm sorry. I apologize. Is the Court
18	accepting the instruction about taking the cue from the Court with the modification o
19	changing it from I to the Court?
20	THE COURT: We're modifying it a little bit. She has to know there's a
21	difference [indiscernible.]
22	MR. CASTILLO: Okay.
23	THE LAW CLERK: Okay. This
24	THE COURT: What?

THE LAW CLERK: I print out the wrong one.

25

	1
1	THE COURT: Oh.
2	THE LAW CLERK: Are we still keeping the
3	THE COURT: She's sending some more that she
4	MS. FLECK: Which is the one that you want
5	THE COURT: corrected.
6	MS. FLECK: Which one are we changing for the Defense with the Court
7	adding the Court? Arguments of Defense counsel or comments by the Court? How
8	do they want it?
9	THE LAW CLERK: It's the last instruction that they sent; [indiscernible.]
10	MS. FLECK: We didn't get it.
11	THE COURT: I'll read it to you in a minute. Go print it.
12	THE LAW CLERK: Okay. With the one that we're separating out with the
13	objection are we
14	THE COURT: Yes.
15	THE LAW CLERK: still going to keep that one.
16	THE COURT: Well, she she's sending them to you; to the
17	MS. FLECK: I can't get online so I've got to put it on my jump. So, do you
18	want me to change the this last one? And then we would have them all done on
19	mine except for the Defendant's right not to testify.
20	THE COURT: Well and then mine.
21	THE LAW CLERK: I can print this one right here and then you
22	THE COURT: All right.
23	THE LAW CLERK: can just add
24	THE COURT: Print it.
25	Okay. Here it is. If during this trial the Court has said or done anything

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

23

25

which has suggested to you that the Court is inclined to favor the claims or position of any party, you will not be influenced by any such suggestion. The Court has not expressed nor intended to express nor intimate any opinion as to which witnesses are or are not worthy of belief, which facts are or are not established, or what inference should be drawn from the evidence. If any expression of the Court has seemed to indicate an opinion relating to these matters you are instructed to disregard any implied reference.

MS. FLECK: Okay. Well, then let me -- let me just save these for you and then you can add the -- that one and the Defendant's right not to testify.

THE COURT: We got that. And those will be in front of the evidence which you are to consider; both of those are in there. And we're going to go through them as soon as we get here. And you redid the information page?

MS. FLECK: Yeah. I did.

MR. CASTILLO: And the other issue, Your Honor, was making sure that it was gender neutral in terms of the credibility or believability of the witness.

MS. FLECK: It is.

MR. CASTILLO: That's --

THE COURT: Yeah. She --

MS. FLECK: I changed that one.

THE COURT: -- fixed that.

MR. CASTILLO: Okay. I missed it.

[Pause in proceedings]

THE COURT: Okay. You did not include those two that -- about the Defendant's right to testify --

MS. FLECK: Right.

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	THE LAW CLERK: I added those two in there. Was it the two that they split
3	apart?
4	THE COURT: Yeah. They're in there.
5	THE LAW CLERK: Oh. They're in there.
6	THE COURT: Now, I had put it where I wanted them. Where did you put
7	them?
8	THE LAW CLERK: The those two last two ones, I put them second to the
9	last before you signed given by.
10	THE COURT: I don't want that in there.
11	[Pause in proceedings]
12	THE COURT: All right. You guys ready?
13	MS. FLECK: Two seconds.
14	THE COURT: And my JEA will make our Thad will copies of these for all
15	the jurors.
16	MR. CASTILLO: Does the Court intend to go over each page for the record
17	for approval or
18	MS. FLECK: Yeah. We need to number them.
19	THE COURT: Yes.
20	MR. CASTILLO: As far as numbering
21	THE COURT: Yes. That's how you number them.
22	MR. CASTILLO: Yes, Your Honor.
23	MS. FLECK: Oh. I thought you meant are you ready for the jury to come in.
24	THE COURT: I'm ready for you to be ready to follow along.
25	Are you ready to follow along?

1	MS. FLECK: The words A.D.D or the initials A.D.D. mean anything to you'
2	THE COURT: Yes. I have A.D.D.
3	MS. FLECK: Me too.
4	THE COURT: All right. No. 1, obviously, is the front page.
5	MS. FLECK: We don't have a copy though.
6,	THE COURT: Well, follow along in your computer and then I we will
7	number these and make I'll make 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 19 copies, and give
8	MS. FLECK: Okay.
9	THE COURT: all of the attorneys a copy and the jurors are all have a copy.
10	MR. CASTILLO: Yes, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Number 2, If in these instructions.
12	Number 3, Amended information. It's two pages.
13	Number 4, A person who subjects a minor.
14	Number 5, Physical forces not a necessary ingredient.
15	Number 6, Submission is not equivalent.
16	Number 7, There is no requirement.
17	8, Where a child has been the victim.
18	9, Where multiple sexual acts occur.
19	10, Constitute the crime charged.
20	11, The Defendant's presumed innocent.
21	12, You are here to determine whether defendant.
22	13, Is the constitutional right of a defendant in a trial not to be
23	compelled to testify.
24	MR. CASTILLO: Your Honor, with respect to Number 12, could the Court just
25	clarify the last sentence?

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25 THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. CASTILLO: Because I -- I think there was a change so I just wanted to make sure what the last sentence now reads.

THE COURT: You are here to determine whether the defendant is guilty or not-guilty from the evidence. You are not called to determine whether any other person is guilty or not-guilty. So, if the evidence in the case convinces you beyond a reasonable doubt of the guilt of the defendant, you should so find even though you may believe one or more persons are also guilty.

MR. CASTILLO: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: 13, It is constitutional right of a defendant.

14, If during this trial the Court has said or done anything which is has suggested -- that's that one that you guys submitted.

15. The evidence which you are to consider.

16, Credibility or believability.

17 --

MR. CASTILLO: And is 16 gender neutral, Your Honor? I'm sorry for interrupting.

THE COURT: His or her manner on the stand. Yes.

- 17, A witness who has special skill.
- 18, Although you are to consider in the case.
- 19, In your deliberation.
- 20, When you retire to consider your verdict.
- 21, If during your deliberation.
- 22, The signature line.

Now you'll listen to counsel. Is the State familiar with jury instructions 1

 within one to three days of when they were being seen at the hospital. At the hospital additionally what can be done is like a DNA Rape kits and kits to facilitate any evidentiary collection in addition to the examination and medical care of the patient.

The CAC is more when if a child either discloses or there's concerns for whatever reason, they can referred to the CAC by law enforcement or child protective services to be seen but it's less emergent. Maybe contact happened a week, a month, a year since -- when, you know, when we're seeing the kid.

Q Now, what is it about that timeline? What is it about the timeline that makes it -- it's more important that you may see someone at Sunrise as opposed to just allowing Metro to bring them or their parent to bring them into the CAC?

A The timeline -- initially a timeline's in terms of collecting DNA evidence off a body were done kind of on adults and so as research has been coming in for kids and collecting evidence on their bodies or their, you know, clothing, or whatever it is, it's found that less than three days is usually no evidence is collected on a child's body. And in fact the first 24 hours is when about -- more than I think 99 percent of the evidence can be collected on a child's body.

And so that time period reflects whether a kit can be done and if it's going to have any value. Additionally, you know, the body does heal and therefore, if contact was very far out they may not need to rush to the emergency room to have an exam because they might not have any findings.

Q Now, you talked about an adult versus a child and that with a child it's really a 24-hour period that you -- after the 24-hour period any sort of potential findings that you may have had or injuries or anything like that would have already healed. Why --

MR. BECKER: I object that that misstates the testimony.

MS. FLECK: I'll rephrase it.

THE COURT: All right. Rephrase it.

BY MS. FLECK:

Q You talked about the significance in some way of 24 hours with a child. What is significant about the 24-hour timeline with a child versus an adult?

A It has to do with evidence collection. So if there is -- for instance if somebody has ejaculated on or in the body the -- a child before they enter puberty the vaginal area is not the same as an adult's vaginal area for instance; or even teenagers after they've gone through puberty.

Evidence, one, can get washed away but mostly it's due to kind of that environment -- internal environment. So, semen or saliva collection usually is for naught after 24 hours.

Q Is there also -- or is there anything with regards to how a child's body heals or the blood flow, anything like that, with regard to a child versus a adult.

A In terms of healing, I think, everybody heals fairly rapidly in the genital area.

Q Okay. Then let's talk about the healing process of the genital area versus other parts of the body.

A So, for a female for instance is what we more typically see. The genital is made up of tissue; it's a lot like the inside of the mouth. And that's kind of the analogy that I use a lot of times when I teach with parents as well to understand that, you know, we can bite or burn or you know, you can hurt the inside of your mouth and heals incredibly quickly because of how much vasculature and so blood flow there is in that area.

Similar with the genital tissue as well as the tissue of the anus; surrounding the anus. So it does heal very rapidly. Research has also shown that the hymen which is -- it's just skin kind of around the vaginal opening that heals extremely well and in fact, will heal a lot of times without any scar tissue at all; which is a little different than skin, for instance, on the rest of the body which will oftentimes heal with scar tissue.

Q Okay. What is the exact examination called? If a child, not an adult, but a child comes in and has examination, what is the -- for possible sexual abuse; is there a certain name for that?

A At Sunrise Hospital it's called a SCAN examine or a suspected Child Abuse and Neglect. And this would be under a SCAN-S; so sexual, possible sexual abuse or neglect.

Q If a four-year old comes and presents at Sunrise Hospital for a SCAN exam, what's the process that is undertaken in order to examine that child?

A So, initially just with any patient that comes in, they come to Triage and the nurses ask them general, medical health; get heights, weights, blood pressure, you know, vital signs. Second, they're taken into -- we have one particular room with the equipment necessary to be able to do these kinds of exams. And at that point if concerns are raised by -- whether it's the child or a parent or a caregiver who brought -- whoever brought them in, law enforcement and/or child protective services is called based on mandated reporting laws and reporting if there are concerns of abuse at the home or outside of the home.

After that point law enforcement we -- is awaited for so that they can -if an interview needs to be done with a child or if -- with the parents; so the concerns
can be kind of clarified and then a law enforcement will direct whether evidence

needs to be collected, meaning like a rape kit. So, collecting for DNA, saliva, semen, things like that.

Once that's kind of decided, a physician and a nurse will complete a physical exam for the medical needs of the child. And that kind of all happens together. If a kit needs to be collected during that time and during that exam. Photo documentation is also used, you know, in the event that there are findings. And then that child is usually released to go home or under the disposition of saf -- of like a safety plan.

- Q So you mentioned a couple of things I want to go back to. You mentioned DNA and if you're going to collect for possible evidence. Is that something that is determined based upon the disclosure from either the child or the parent; whether or not there would be anything of evidentiary value that could have come from the abuse?
 - A That would be my understanding. Yes.
- Q For instance, certain kinds of touching you wouldn't expect to find forensic evidence and so a rape kit in terms of swapping for DNA wouldn't be done.

MR. BECKER: Objection, leading.

THE COURT: Overruled

BY MS. FLECK:

A Correct.

- Q And then with regard to a child -- about let's say a four-year child, when people think of going in to get an examination you may think of stirrups and you know, going to a regular Gynecologist as a women. Is that the process that a child goes through?
 - A No. Children, especially before puberty and you know, before they get

 their periods -- so girls before they get their periods -- so let's say the -- a four-year child for instance. We put them in what we call frog leg position or butterfly wings or whatever kind of -- the kid can associate with. So they kind of sit cross-legged on exam table on their backs.

In order to visualize the vaginal tissue a practitioner or a physician will pull back kind of the skin and just have a visual of the outside of the skin.

Sometimes Q-tips are used to kind of move tissue around; just to be able to visualize everything and make sure everything looks normal and there are no need for let's say a surgical intervention or further medical necessity.

The child is left in that position and then you're able to also just kind of spread of cheeks of the buttocks to be able to visualize the anus as well. And that, you know -- that's how the exam usually goes for about a four-year old.

Q Now, similar to you conducting an examination different on a child than on an adult, is the terminology that either you us or the child will use with regard to their private areas clearly different depending on age?

A Yes. Most of the kids -- sometimes they're asked -- I don't know, depends on the pro -- where they are in the process. If it's the first time they're being seen at the hospital or versus you know, an investigator sees them or however it is, a lot of times they'll be asked or their parents will be asked how do they refer to their body parts because people teach them different things; not vagina, penis, anus. They'll say cookie or you know privates or whatever; they'll use different words for it.

Q And then how about ideas of penetration. Does that also differ depending on the age of the child?

A It depends a lot just on development and age and understanding what's

Okay. So -- but you do find that with a child when they're discussing

Q

25

7

5

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

something being inside of them or penetration it - -depending on their age it differs because of their kind of knowledge of their body parts and what penetration is.

A Knowledge and also what they're feeling or understand is where things are going. Sometimes pressure on the outside of the vagina or the anus can feel like it's inside and so we do have kids that will sometimes disclose inside versus outside but it may be understood a little bit differently about you know, through there kind of concept of their bodies.

Q But, then will you go on to use pain threshold and things like that to determine what may have happened or what they may have experienced?

MR. BECKER: I'm going to object again, leading.

THE COURT: Overruled.

BY MS. FLECK:

Q What kinds of other things will you use besides -- I mean, you say that you do the outside examination; that you'll get a history of what may have happened. Is there anything other things that you try to ascertain from either the child or the parent?

A Yes, if there's a history of bleeding or discharge, of complaints of pain, pain with urination, just symptoms to describe any irritation or any problems that they may have in their genital area.

Q Okay.

A Or anywhere on their body really.

Q Okay. Are you familiar with a Doctor O'Connor?

A Yes.

Q And who is he?

A He is an emergency medicine physician at Sunrise Children's Hospital,

1	MR. BECKER: I'm kind of
2	THE COURT: Show the State. If you have the same then they can tell you.
3	MS. FLECK: That's what we have.
4	MR. BECKER: I've got this report from Jocelyn Coleman which is a very
5	lengthy one.
6	MS. FLECK: That's it.
7	MR. BECKER: And there's some type-written stuff which is two pages and
8	I'm not who this is from.
9	MS. FLECK: Has anyone looked I don't even know if I've looked at that
10	because
11	MS. EDWARDS: [indiscernible]
12	MR. BECKER: So, we're just going on this one?
13	MS. FLECK: I'm just I'm not even going on any of the things I mean, we
14	agreed that there's that we're going to say there's no findings.
15	MR. BECKER: Okay.
16	MS. FLECK: I'm only doing this for the record.
17	MR. BECKER: That's fine. Okay.
18	THE COURT: All right.
19	MR. BECKER: All right.
20	[Bench Conference Concludes]
21	MS. FLECK: May I proceed?
22	THE COURT: Yes.
23	MS. FLECK: Thank you.
24	BY MS. FLECK:

So after looking through all of the reports that were done by the E.R.

doctor, Doctor O'Connor, would you agree that there's -- there were no what you would term as quote physical findings in this case?

A She had a -- just what he termed as vaginitis or just a little non-specific irritation but otherwise no; a fairly normal exam.

- Q And the Defense has a talked a lot about the vaginitis earlier so we're going to get into that a little bit in a minute. But, with re -- besides the vaginitis, with regard to any other physical findings, abrasions, cuts, anything like that.
 - A No.
- Q You would agree then -- you did agree then with Doctor O'Connor's assessment?
 - A Yes.
- Q Now, in your field have you heard or are you quite familiar with the term normal is normal?
 - A Yes.
 - Q And what does that mean?
- A I believe it originally came from literature from a Doctor Joyce Adams from University of San Diego and Ready Children's Hospital. It essentially means that a normal exam can still be consistent with concerns and/or disclosure of child sexual abuse. About 95% or a little bit more of the exams when it comes to concerns of child sexual abuse are normal and so it's normal to be normal. It's still concerning if a child discloses inappropriate sexual contact.
- Q In fact, in your experience in all of the examinations that you have done, can you give a percentage of where you find -- where you have findings?
 - A Probably about the national average; about four to five percent --
 - Q So four to five percent --

A -- of findings.

Q -- of all of the children that come in and complain of some sort of sexual abuse -- only about four to five percent of them are -- you have some sort of a manifestation of -- of some sort of a cut, abrasion, something like that?

A Correct.

Q How do you explain that in relation to say a child that, you know, discloses penetration and there could even be a confession or some other corroborating evidence? How do you explain then that there would be no evidence of that on her vagina?

A It's kind of several-fold. One is that people can have all kinds of inappropriate contact with the skin and not cause any damage. The vaginal area can be -- and also the anus can be very accommodating. Which means it's stretchy and the vaginal area a lot of people think that there's like a -- the hymen's some kind of barrier or cover. It actually has a hole in it; it's just surrounding the vaginal area. So, it's possible to have an object or a body to go inside and come out without causing any damage. So, that's one reason why we don't always see damage.

With children often the -- statistically the perpetrator is someone that live in the home or know them or are related to them and so a lot of times there's something called grooming that's involved, which means kind of gaining trust of a child if ,you know, there are inappropriate contact. And that's just in general. But when that happens a lot of times perpetrators aren't necessarily violent. They want to continue having access to children so a lot of times kids don't end up having any damage because they're not undergoing a very violent attack at the time.

And a third reason why we don't really see -- and it's normal to be normal. We don't see damage on the body is that like I said before, it heals very

quickly. And so, even if there was damage it may have gone away. Research from thousands and thousands of children shows that kids with actual findings that they've seen in the emergency department or clinics and such, you know, after specific times they were continuing to examine these kids had zero findings and would not have known unless they had seen the children at that initial exam.

Q A factor you --

THE COURT: Define findings for the jurors. What does findings mean?

THE WITNESS: Sure. So in child sexual abuse, findings can be pretty
obvious. Like it can be -- again there's skin around the vaginal opening that can
have tears in it, it can be bleeding or cut; things like that. Around the anus same
thing with lacerations, cuts, bruising -- bruising to really any parts of the vaginal area
or the anus.

And then additionally, sometimes we see -- we can see bite marks, kids might have discharge or symptoms of sexually-transmitted infection, which is considered a finding, or they might have an actual infection. And so those would kind of encompass most of the findings that would be definitive for sexual abuse.

BY MS. FLECK:

- Q And then -- would you agree then that if you know, if a child complained of penile penetration the expectation of -- if there would be any findings would also differ from say digital?
 - A Right. Yes, it can.
- Q Are you familiar with studies within your field where adolescent girls have presented as pregnant and still no findings?
- A I've seen them myself as well and in literature. You have pregnant females or females that have given birth and they normal vaginal and hymeneal

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

- Q So, clearly penetration and then still the normal examination.
- Α Correct.
- Q Then finally, with regard to vaginitis. What is vaginitis?
- Α The -- you know, anything can have an 'itis at the end of it so 'itis just means inflammation or irritation, you know, tendinitis; whatever it is. So, it just means the vaginal tissue is irritated; non-specifically it has some kind of irritation to it.
 - Q An 'itis of your vagina.
 - Α An 'itis of your vagina.
- Q Okay. So, when you say -- I think earlier you had said that there's -that's something that you would term a non-specific finding.
 - Α Correct.
 - Q What is a non-specific finding?
- Α The analogy I can give is the flu has non-specific symptoms; cough, runny nose can be you know, anything. When you have irritation of the vaginal issue -- vaginal tissue it can be caused by lots of different things. And so it's not specific to sexual abuse. It's not specific necessarily to a certain type of infection. It's not specific to anything. It's just a kind of a general finding and doesn't get treated differently you know, it's just irritation and you -- you know, treat it kind of the same; maybe creams, maybe baths, maybe different types of clothing, that kind of thing.
- Q What are some of the causes -- in a four-year old what would be some of the options for vaginitis?
 - Α So skin break-down for any reason that could be -- it can be from

1	the average	kind of rule of thumb timing.	
2	Q	Do you know if that was done in this case?	
3	A	My understanding is a kit was collected.	
4	Q	All right. And do you know what the results of that were.	
5	A	No. That doesn't follow back to the medical care. No.	
6	Q	Now, would it also be relevant to say that collection of DNA from the	
7	clothing worn at the time of an alleged assault would be relevant?		
8	Α	For an investigator, I believe it would be.	
9	Q	And would it be fair to say that it's easily accomp easily accomplished	
10	to test clothing for DNA?		
11	MS. FLECK: Judge. I'm going to just object as to outside the scope of her		
12	expertise.		
13	MR. BECKER: Well, I believe she testified that she has experience		
14	THE COURT: I'm going to let her		
15	MR. BECKER: with collection.		
16	THE COURT: I'll let her testify to this.		
17	BY MR. BECKER:		
18	Α	Easy for medical staff or I'm not sure?	
19	Q	Okay. With regard to you've had a wide range of training in the arena	
20	of child sexual abuse as a whole. Is that correct?		
21	Α	Correct.	
22	Q	And you've lectured on the subject. Correct?	
23	Α	Yes.	
24	Q	And would it be fair to say that one of the subtopics of an area would be	
25	DNA avidar	ace that you might find on a body but also on clothing that was worn at	

25

Q

Well, I'm not -- Okay. Not necessarily but isn't it true that it's

	11	
1	A	Correct.
2	∭ MR.	BECKER: Thank you. Nothing further.
3	THE	WITNESS: Thank you.
4	MS.	FLECK: Very briefly, Your Honor.
5		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
6	BY MS. FL	ECK:
7	Q	Mr. Becker talked to you about children that will be will suffer from
8	years of ab	ouse and that will come in you know, disclose years later and so obviously
9	then you w	ouldn't expect to find something. But how about an acute examination;
10	those are t	he ones that are done at Sunrise. Is that right?
11	А	Correct.
12	Q	And what's the time frame that law enforcement or medical providers
13	deem the acute time frame; such that you would like the child to get to an	
14	emergency room as opposed to the CAC.	
15	THE	COURT: Why don't we elimit limit her testimony to medical rather than
16	law enforcement.	
17	MS.	FLECK: Okay.
18	BY MS. FLI	ECK:
19	Q	And the only reason I ask law enforcement is just because you'll you
20	will get refe	rrals from law enforcement. But that's okay. In your opinion then
21	MS. I	FLECK: Thank you, Your Honor.
22	BY MS. FL	ECK:
23	Q	In your opinion what is that acute time frame?
24	Α	About three days.
25	Q	Right. And so within the acute examinations, what's your percentage of

still normal is normal?

- A About five percent -- maybe also about five percent.
- Q So, even when they're -- when kids are disclosing right away, you're still in that very low percentage of any kind of findings.
 - A Yes.
- Q Mr. Becker also talked to about possible you know, items that may have evidentiary value -- that may be of evidentiary value; for instance, clothes. If a woman is examined or a child is examined at the emergency room and clothes be of evidentiary value, would you imagine it would be the clothes that they would have been wearing at the time of the assault?
 - A At the time of the assault, yes.
- Q When -- I mean, the questions were very general but would you agree that colleting clothes at the time of an examination would be more relevant and may be more relevant to a possible investigation if it's a woman whose been raped or a woman who has been penetrated and there would be semen to possibly collect?
 - A Yes.
- Q In your opinion and in your examinations a child that complains of some kind of sexual abuse or molestation kind of for a lay term, is their clothing that they have on is that something that you would deem to be that important?
- A Depend -- again on the timing if they came in with the clothing that they were still wearing at the contact, it gets collected.
- Q In this case then if the child was -- the allegation came from like a Saturday night and the examination being on a Wednesday, would that be -- would you find that to be of any possible evidentiary value.
 - A More than likely, not. No.

Additionally, with regard to any kind of swabbing or possible DNA what would your expectation be if the allegation was on a Saturday night, the child came and presented to you on a Wednesday and in that time had bathed, had been swimming, was not in those same clothes, and the allegation was of digital nature not even penile, no semen; nothing like that.

A I wouldn't expect any return from evidence kits.

Q Is there a difference with you know, touch connect -- and again, I don't want to go into something that's not really your area of expertise so if you're not comfortable -- the Defense kind of went into this so if you're not comfortable tell, but would you agree difference between say touch DNA and DNA from semen?

A There's a different collection procedure and touch DNA is not something that's not collected for yet at this time for the child abuse examinations. So, yes they would be completely different things.

Q So, would you say it's not even -- it's not even -- it's not -- I'm sorry, I'm having a blank -- gathered. It's not touch DNA if the allegation is then digital, you wouldn't even take those steps to try to find DNA because -- from the skin cells. You're not looking for that or you're not thinking you're going to find it or --

MR. BECKER: Objection, it's leading.

MS. FLECK: Well then explain to me --

THE COURT: Overruled. Overruled.

BY MS. FLECK:

Q Just explain it to me because it -- again --

A Right.

Q I know you're not here as a DNA expert but I want to clear up --

A So --

Q -- any --

A -- touch DNA's --

Q -- possible causes --

A Yeah. Touch DNA's been something that's come out fairly recently. And, you know, DNA swabbing for semen, saliva's something that we've had since you know, late nineties or something -- late nineties, early two-thousands. And so with touch DNA itself is --my understanding and again we don't collect the -- there hasn't been enough literature to support its collection in child abuse cases at this point. And at our program we don't collect it and my understanding from the DNA lab when speaking to them it's a different procedure of how to collect it because it's gathering just skin cells and that the density of the skin cells --

MR. BECKER: Well, I'm going to object to the extent that the witness is relying on hearsay and doesn't appear to have expertise in this area and I make a motion to strike her response in this regard.

THE COURT: Your motion to strike's denied but limit it to her medical observations and her training and experience, please.

MS. FLECK: Thank you, Your Honor.

BY MS. FLECK:

Q Doctor, then based upon your training and experience and then your knowledge of DNA and your updating yourself on the progressions in DNA, at this time you and your colleagues at Sunrise do not swab for or attempt to collect touch DNA which would be something that would come from say a finger.

A Correct.

MS. FLECK: Thank you. Nothing further.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. BECKER: 1 Q Well, regarding this issue there -- DNA is found on a lot of -- DNA can 2 be find -- found in a lot of areas. Is that correct? 3 I'm not sure how you mean? Α 4 Q Okay. Saliva would contain DNA. 5 Yes. A 6 Q Correct. 7 Α Yes. 8 9 Q Semen would contain DNA. Α Correct. 10 Blood would contain DNA. Q 11 Α Correct. 12 13 Q The moisture commonly associated with the vaginal area contains DNA. Is that correct? 14 15 In post-pubertal children, yes. In children who haven't gone through 16 puberty yet, it's not a very moist environment but yes, I mean any fluids in the body would contain DNA. 17 Q Well, with regard to it not being a moist environment -- in other words, it 18 does not self-lubricate like a post-adolescent vagina would. Correct? 19 Α Correct. 20 Q So that when you have some kind of contact with it without self-21 22 lubrication or moisture, often you would expect that there might be a higher likelihood that there would some type of abrasion because it's no self-lubricating. 23 Correct? 24 25 Α Not necessarily abrasion; a more sensitivity to contact though in terms

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 testified that --

THE COURT: Yeah. She's --

MS. FLECK: -- at Sunrise they don't even swab for touch DNA because of the technology.

THE COURT: I'm going to sustain --

MS. FLECK: So my objection is --

THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection. I -- you just need to stay to her medical expertise. I let you go a little afar, both parties, on the DNA but I think your -- I need to reel you in because it's going to be confusing to the Trier of fact. So.

BY MR. BECKER:

Q Okay. Now you cited this statistic of expecting findings five percent of the time in investigations relating to child sexual abuse and then we talked about how a large percentage of these claims come substantially after the time of the incident. Correct?

A Findings in medical evaluations. I don't know about in investigation statistics but yes. Correct.

- Q Okay. And yet, your -- you still stick to this percentage of five percent.
- A About five percent.
- Q Okay. And are you relying on your personal experience or are you relying on any particular piece of literature to come up with that figure?
 - A Both.
 - Q And what literature are you relying on?
- A So American Academy of Pediatrics comes out with a -- they have a journal called *Pediatrics*. Then additionally, the *American Journal of Adolescence*, I

MS. FLECK: Judge, I don't mean to -- but I object. I mean, this is so far

these exams. Is that correct?

24

25

	11		
1	outside the	e scope of relevance and	
2	MR.	BECKER: Well, I think the	
3	THE COURT: Well, it goes		
4	MR.	BECKER: the	
5	MS.	FLECK: and direct examination.	
6	MR.	BECKER: I think that we that it's proper to ask an expert who's	
7	paying		
8	THE	COURT: All right. It goes to the bias so I'll let him ask.	
9	MS.	FLECK: Okay.	
10	BY MR. BE	ECKER:	
11	Α	Can you repeat your question, please?	
12	Q	Your contract is paid for by the county. Correct?	
13	A	My contract is paid for by a company called Mednax. The subgroup of	
14	Mednax is	Pediatrix with a ending with an x. And I am paid salary so I'm not sure	
15	They may have a what their contract is either with county or law enforcement, I'm		
16	not sure how that works.		
17	Q	And this and so you don't know how much you're paid or you know,	
18	to come he	ere and testify today?	
19	A	I'm not paid anything to come here and testify today.	
20	Q	Are you aware of whether a bill is submitted by your company to the	
21	District Atto	orney's office for testimony today?	
22	Α	It is not it is up to the practitioners and I don't charge for testimony.	
23	Q	In fact, this child children's assessment center case review team is a	
24	team involving law enforcement operatives. Is that correct?		
25	Α	Multidisciplinary teams involve medic medicine, law enforcement,	

	11		
1	child protective services, it can be attorneys, psychiatry, psychology; really just		
2	anybody who might deal with that child or a case or a patient.		
3	Q	Well, your CV doesn't just say attorneys; it says district attorneys.	
4	Correct?		
5	A	Yes.	
6	Q	And so your basic position is, to be clear, that if there are no if you	
7	see finding	gs consistent with sexual abuse then that's consistent with sexual abuse.	
8	Correct?		
9	A	Yes.	
10	Q	But if you don't see consistent with sexual abuse that's also consistent	
11	with sexual	I abuse.	
12	MS.	FLECK: Judge, I'm going to object. She does not have she's never	
13	here to ma	ke a legal conclusion. To infer that Dr. Cetl is working somehow for the	
14	State especially when there's no findings.		
15	MR.	BECKER: Well, I'd object to a speaking objection.	
16	THE	COURT: I'll sustain the objection and you'll treat her with respect; I know	
17	she's earne	ed it.	
18	MR.	BECKER: Well	
19	THE	COURT: I know I've earned it.	
20	BY MR. BE	CKER:	
21	Q	In other words, normal is normal. Right?	
22	A	That is correct. It's normal to be normal.	
23	Q	Okay. So in essence it doesn't matter what these findings say in your	
24	opinion.		
25	Α	No. If a child's normal then it means sexual it's still possible sexual	

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

abuse is still possible but the findings cannot speak to that one or another.

Q It means that there's no scientific evidence to support the allegations. Is that correct?

A Not exactly. I would say that there's scientific evidence to support the -- you know. Unfortunately a lack of medical findings is one component of it; that it's still possible to have sexual abuse.

Q Okay. Thank you.

MS. FLECK: Nothing from the State. Thank you.

THE COURT: Jury have any questions of this doctor. Okay. Write it -- your seat number and name, please.

Out in the hall, please.

[Pause in Proceedings]

THE COURT: I just remind the jury if your question is asked or not asked don't draw any conclusions. It may be what you're asking is inadmissible or another can testify to that question.

EXAMINATION BY THE COURT [JURY QUESTIONS]

BY THE COURT:

Q Approximately what percent of child sexual abuse cases have evidence of quote grooming end quote?

A Of grooming? I think that's what it's referring to. The evidence for grooming I can't really speak to. It's something more of an investigative part.

Grooming has more to do with the kind of long-term investment that an alleged perpetrator may put in to get to know the child or the family. But it is a quite large percentage of it is my understanding just from speaking to children or from literature. But an exact number; I don't know.

1	THE	COURT: State have any questions of the witness.	
2	MS.	FLECK: Just briefly.	
3		FOLLOW-UP EXAMINATION	
4	BY MS. FL	ECK:	
5	Q	With regard to grooming, fair to say it's would you agree that it's a	
6	way of if	somebody doesn't know a child that well; getting closer to them, getting	
7	more comfortable, trusting getting the child to trust them.		
8	MR.	BECKER: Objection, leading.	
9	THE	COURT: You know a jury instruction can be prepared for that. And I will	
10	sustain the	objection.	
11	BY MS. FL	ECK:	
12	Q	Then let me just ask you this. When you referred to grooming earlier,	
13	was that ju	st an example of when a perpetrator may be may not be rough or may	
14	not be roug	gh. I mean, you're not you weren't in no way implying that grooming is	
15	done in eve	ery case or that that is something that you see in every one of your	
16	patients that	at you examine?	
17	Α	Correct.	
18	Q	Okay. Was it merely an example of your way of illustrating?	
19	Α	Correct. Yes.	
20	Q	And then of course there would be no medical evidence of whether	
21	grooming o	ccurred in a case or not.	
22	Α	Correct.	

MS. FLECK: Thank you. Nothing further.

THE COURT: Defense have a question of this doctor based upon that?

FOLLOW-UP EXAMINATION

23

24

1

Q Well, you testified about revealing the narrative with background

information as part of your evaluation. Correct?

4

A The medical staff's narrative.

5

Q Right. And so to the extent that that would be a part of the narrative coming in, you might see this type of information. Correct?

6

A It can be.

7

Q Okay. Thank you.

8

MR. BECKER: Nothing further.

10

THE COURT: All right. Thank you doctor, you're free to go.

11

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

12

THE COURT: We'll take a five-minute recess. During the recess you're not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected

13

14

with this trial. Or read or watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial.

15

Or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information with --

16

including without limitation newspapers, television, radio, or the internet. Or form or

17

express an opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally

18

submitted to you.

Take five minutes, please.

19 20

[Outside the presence of the jury]

21

THE COURT: Take -- make sure that you guys use the rest now with the detective. And then that's --

22

MS. FLECK: That's it.

23 24

THE COURT: That's the last one.

25

MS. FLECK: That's correct. Thank you.

1	THE COURT: Let's make sure your electronics if you need.
2	MS. FLECK: That's what we're just going to try to do. Thank you very much
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	[Recess taken at 3:00 p.m.]
5	[Trial resumed at 3:12 p.m.]
6	THE COURT: All right. Stipulate to the presence of the jury.
7	MS. FLECK: The State does thank you.
8	MR. BECKER: Yes, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Call your next witness.
10	MS. FLECK: Thank you, Your Honor. State calls Detective Hatchett
11	DETECTIVE TIMOTHY HATCHETT
12	[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:]
13	THE CLERK: Please be seated.
14	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
15	THE CLERK: Would you please state and spell your first and last name for
16	the record?
17	THE WITNESS: Timothy Hatchett; T-I-M-O-T-H-Y, H-A-T-C-H-E-T-T
18	THE CLERK: Thank you.
19	MS. FLECK: May I proceed, Your Honor?
20	THE COURT: Yes.
21	MS. FLECK: Thank you.
22	THE COURT: Turn it on.
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION
24	BY MS. FLECK:
25	Q Good afternoon, detective. How are employed?

A While I was up at sexual assault I obtained training, specifically forensic training to conduct interviews with juveniles. I also previously obtained a training for basic and advanced investigations, interviewing sexual deviants and basically at the time I was transferred to the unit due to the fact that it was a new transfer for me, all new transfers basically do go through a program where they sit with and ride with a senior detective at the time and they overview some of your work product and determine whether you're able to proceed on a regular basis by yourself.

Q Additionally, the background -- education background that you have -- that you obtained before you came into Metro, did that also focus somewhat on children, juveniles, and their development?

A It did. I had obtained an undergraduate along with a graduate degree. Some of that course study included counseling, mock interviews, human development, along with specifics in psychology and that matter. And I also worked for a period of time at a place in Indiana called the Youth Opportunity Center. Our primary function was to provide 24-hour coverage of the juveniles, a secure environment.

A lot of them had psychiatric chemical dependency issues, sexual deviant issues, and suicidal issues. We basically made sure that we provided 24-hour coverage, they were assigned counselors, but we dealt with them on a regular basis and also provided counseling support.

After continuing my education and fulfilling it I also obtained a job with the State of Indiana at the South Bend Juvenile Correction Facility. I was hired to implement a day program to best basically help prepare juveniles who had just released from parole to enter in back into society and to help prevent them re -- to

 recidivate and go back into the system.

Some of those duties involved counseling the juveniles along with the parents and building basic skills to get them prepared for the general society again.

- Q Okay. What is a forensic interview?
- A Basically a forensic interview is a structure interview that's done with the child to develop their cognitive abilities and to also demonstrate whether or not they understand specifics in regards to truths and lies. You also try to make an attempt to determine if they're going to correct you if you make a mistake.

Al -- you also try to identify if the child understands certain colors and if they can count and the type of vocabulary that is used during the interview. All this is done prior to going in to attempting to obtain a disclosure; to try to determine the specifics on a juvenile.

- Q Okay. I want to direct your attention back to July of 2010; specifically July 14th of 2010. Were you working as a detective within juvenile sex assault at that time?
 - A I was.
- Q And as a detective within Metro will you often get a case referred to you either through a 3-1-1 call, a 9-1-1, so kind of through dispatch, or a patrol officer's report?
 - A Routinely.
- Q On that day then, July 14th of 2010, did you get a case to further investigate reference a victim by the name of Jocelyn Coleman?
 - A I did.
 - Q How was it that that case came to you?
 - A On that day I was assigned duty to receive any calls in reference to any

22

23

24

25

alleged sexual abuse in reference to juveniles. I had received a phone call from Jocelyn's mother at that time; Nicole. She indicated that there -- she believed that possibly the child was a victim of alleged sexual abuse and the perpetrator was possibly related to Dustin, which was her uncle. She further described that the child had disclosed to her that she had been touched and somebody had also dug in to her private area.

- Q Okay. And who was that somebody?
- A Dustin.
- Q Okay. What did you do then pursuant to the phone call that you had from Nicole?
- A I spoke with her briefly; we tried to establish a timeline and when it actually occurred. She indicated that she believed it occurred between the 10th and the 12th. My proto --
 - Q And why was that?
- A During that time she indicated that the child had spent the night over at the residence at that time and that's why she was pretty sure it happened on those two -- either one of those two days.
 - Q When you say the residence, who's residence?
 - A Dustin and Megan Barral.
- Q Okay. So, you tried to determine a timeline on that first phone call with Nicole.
 - A Yes.
 - Q Then what did you do?
- A She indicated that she believed it happened on I believe that Saturday and due to the fact that it was possibly within -- again she indicated Saturday or

11

13

12

15

14

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

Sunday. If it was possibly on Sunday it could also be within that 72-hour period. Basically our protocol is if the child discloses any sexual abuse within 72 hours it's beneficial to have the child taken down to Sunrise and have a SCAN exam administered to her.

- Q The ladies and gentlemen of the jury just heard from Dr. Cetl of Sunrise. So is that the emergency room -- the acute exam that you then would refer to -- a possible victim to if the disclosure may have been in the 72 hours?
 - A Correct.
- Q Now, with the information that you got form Nicole you said that it was unsu -- she was sure at that time that it happened on the weekend.
 - A Correct.
 - Q So you did not know then if it was Saturday or Sunday. IS that right?
 - A Correct.
 - Q So you just told Nicole what to go down to Sunrise and get an exam.
- A In addition to that also, you know, it's imperative that I try to interview the child as soon as possible due to the fact that there was a closed time span. We did have doctors at the facility but you do have to schedule appointments with the doctor and it was very close to the end of the week so in my mind-set our protocol was anytime it falls generally within the 72 hours we're going to send them down to Sunrise Hospital for that SCAN exam.
 - Q All right. So you referred her there and then what did you do?
- A At that point, I made an appointment with her to follow-up for her -follow-up the following day and meet me with -- at the Child Advocacy Center and
 schedule an interview with the [indiscernible]
 - Q Where did you meet her?

Α	lt	was

- Q When was that?
- A It was the following day being the 15th.
- Q So you met them down at the CAC, what's the environment like for a child when they come there for an interview?

A It's child-friendly environment. Basically they come down there and it's try to make them as comfortable as possible. There's an interview room which basically you take them to and it's set-up you know to be as child-friendly as possible. Everyone on staff for the most part are in plain clothes; the uniformed officers generally are not present during that time. Even the detectives at most times conceal their weapons for the most part. But it's an environment to try to introduce the children to like a friendly environment.

- Q Who came with Nicole and Jocelyn that day on the -- on July 15th?
- A I believe it was just -- I went back and I reviewed the information that I had and on that particular day it was just Nicole and it was Jocelyn.
- Q What was Nicole's demeanor when she came and met with you at the CAC?

A Nicole's demeanor was calm, collected. I spoke with her after the information and basically there was no -- anything out of the norm other than concern for her child.

- Q Did she then relay to you again what she had on the phone which was just a -- the basic summary of what she had learned from her daughter?
- A After I interviewed the child -- I interviewed the child first and then I concluded that interview and met with Nicole.
 - Q What information did you have going in to your interview with Jocelyn

about what had happened or what the allegation was?

A Basically that she had spent the night at the residence over that past weekend and that she had returned home to her house and that she had disclosed that her Uncle Dustin had looked at, touched, and dugged into her private area.

Q So that's the information you're armed with when you sit down with your interview with Jocelyn?

A Correct.

Q Now, you said that you employed techniques that you -- your -- your -- you -- that you learned through forensic interview classes. What was the first thing that you did in order to kind of ingratiate yourself or build some rapport with Jocelyn?

A Basically you sit down and try to find out the family dynamics. Get to know the child and talk to them a little bit. Explain who you are and explain to them that you're willing to talk to them about the truth. You sit down and you basically have them illustrate to you who lives at their household, who their family members are, and you go from there.

Q Do you also try to gage their mental capacity or their ability to perceive; their ability to relay information?

A You do.

Q And what kinds of things did you do with Jocelyn that day in order to make yourself comfortable going forward in the interview?

A A part of the interview it's rapport of building and you're trying to get an introduction of their vocabulary skills. Part of that assessment is also a cognitive assessment. You provide the child with markers that -- and introduce to them the different markers. At that time I also had her explain to me what the coloring of those particular markers were when I held them up. She was able to identify the

majority of them. She had a little trouble with pink and purple. She also was able to count up to eight.

During our interview I asked her what the difference is between the truth and a lie and which one was better to tell. She indicated that it was better to tell the truth and she brought forth something in relation to God and Jesus and that he didn't want you to be mean to people. I told her that I only wanted her to speak about the truth and she agreed.

In addition to that, we also discussed if at any time she doesn't know something I want her to tell me that she doesn't know and in -- the way I introduced this is I asked her what five plus five was. She immediately responded I don't know and that should be the reaction of the child. You advise a child that's exactly what you want her to do anytime she's not sure of something or she does not know, I just want her to tell me, Tim, you -- I don't what's -- what the answer is that or I have no idea.

And -- in addition to that we also go through and discuss a body sheet. We discuss certain elements of the body sheet. We pull out an anatomically correct sheet that looks like a juvenile and we introduce, do you take a bath or do a take a shower. Generally that's so the child's not too shocked when they see the drawing. We go through; we label all the different area on the body and try to determine specifically with their language what they call those particular areas on the body.

Q Now then are you taught -- you said with their language, then are you taught to use their language; the terms that they use to describe parts of their body throughout your interview?

A Correct. And I guess a more appropriate term would be their vocabulary.

Q

Okay. Additionally, do you do your best not to use leading questions?

21

22

23

24

25

-- that's cut out of the thing so I mean, we are rehabilitating him and we're going straight to the fact that it's the parents that touched -- just the parents that touched the privates.

MR. BECKER: Actually but that's not what he said and these things happen in a trial where things come out.

MS. FLECK: It's not in there.

MR. BECKER: That's what he said that she said that a four-year old student had kissed her and touched her private --

MS. FLECK: But they're going to see the video.

MR. BECKER: -- that's what he said.

MS. FLECK: So they can see if it says that.

MR. BECKER: But that's redacted. You can't now make it --

MS. FLECK: No. He said kissed and then touched -- that the parents --

MR. BECKER: Well, we can look at the testimony but we can't have the D.A. now mislead the jury about what he said. Because what he said --

MS. FLECK: Well, we'll --though -- they can watch it.

MR. BECKER: But we're playing a game here because that part is redacted from the video but they can't try to undo what happened by creating a false set of facts; that would be absolutely false to suggest that he didn't say what he said. And if we have to go back --

MS. FLECK: Okay. We're going to have --

MR. BECKER: -- and look at the testimony --

MS. FLECK: -- to do something outside the presence right now or --

MR. BECKER: Okay.

MS. FLECK: -- we're just going to have to go forward and do it on a break

22

23

24

25

because what he's doing is so -- I mean, it's -- out of the shady things he's done in trial, it's the shadiest.

MR. BECKER: I didn't do --

MS. FLECK: He knows exactly what happened --

MR. BECKER: I didn't do --

MS. FLECK: -- and knows exactly what he's doing.

MR. BECKER: I didn't do anything. And I -- and to be frank the suggestion -- I mean, if we want to go outside the presence -- I didn't do this; they did it.

THE COURT: Why don't you took --

MR. BECKER: They didn't advise their witness not to --

THE COURT: All right.

MR. BECKER: -- testify about this.

[Bench Conference Concludes]

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to have to ask you to step out for just a minute. During the recess you're not to talk or converse amongst yourselves or with anybody else on any subject connected with the trial. Or read or watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial. Or one connected with this trial by any medium of information including without limitation newspapers, television, radio, or the internet. Or form or express an opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

Just take five minutes, please.

[Outside the presence of the jury]

THE COURT: All right. Jury's gone.

MR. BECKER: I think that -- well, perhaps this should be done outside the presence of the witness.

1	some time.	Right?
2	A	Correct.
3	Q	And you didn't talk to your sister for some time. Right?
4	A	Right.
5	Q	And going back briefly to your conversation with Jocelyn. Isn't it correct
6	that the stor	ry sounded somewhat rehearsed by the time it got to you?
7	A	Well, she had already spoken to a couple other people by the time she
8	had spoken	to me.
9	Q	All right. Do you recall stating words to the effect it sounded
10	rehearsed?	
11	A	I do remember stating that. Yes.
12	Q	Okay. And further, it felt like she had already said this a couple times.
13	А	Right. Because she spoke with my sister and I bel I'm
14	Q	Did any detectives or police officers from Metro did they ever come to
15	your home t	o investigate this case?
16	A	Child purs child protective services came out.
17	Q	But did anyone from Metro, any detectives like Detective Hatchett, or
18	anyone else	; did they come over to the home, take pictures, anything along those
19	lines?	
20	Α	Not that I recall. No.
21	MR. C	ASTILLO: Court's indulgence, please.
22		At this time, Your Honor, Defense passes the witness.
23	THE C	COURT: Thanks.
24		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
25	BY MS. FLE	CK:

25

Q	Okay Megan, Mr. Castillo asked you if you had said at some poir	it to
the detectiv	e that it was already rehearsed by the time you talked to Jocelyn.	Do
you remem	ber having that conversation with the detective?	

- A I do, yes.
- Q What did you mean by that?

A She was four years old and our conversation wasn't long so it felt like what she told me she had said it a couple times. And rehearsed probably wasn't the proper word to use but this was a statement ten days after the incident, you know.

Q In fact, when you're talking to the detective did you in fact say -- you were asked -- you were upset because you weren't the first one. And then you say, no, I'm not upset that I wasn't first person told --

MR. CASTILLO: Objection, leading.

MS. FLECK: It isn't leading. I'm putting into context what the Defense elicited.

MR. CASTILLO: In NRS 50.115 states that on redirect it can't be leading the way she does it.

THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule the objection; allow it. BY MS. FLECK:

Q So then you said no, I'm not upset that I wasn't the first told but I felt like

Detective says: Yes, I understand what you're saying.

You say: It was already rehearsed by the time it got to me.

Detective: Mm-hmm.

And then you say: I'm not saying --

THE COURT: Why?

1	MS. FLECK: that it was rehearsed
2	THE COURT: Are you refer
3	MR. CASTILLO: Objection.
4	MS. FLECK: in the first place.
5	MR. CASTILLO: No question pending.
6	THE COURT: Don't cut me off again, Counsel.
7	MR. CASTILLO: Sorry, Your Honor.
8	MS. FLECK: And I'm happy to put
9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	MS. FLECK: the entire statement
11	THE COURT: Ask
12	MS. FLECK: into evidence.
13	THE COURT: Well
14	MS. FLECK: But
15	THE COURT: Ask her a question and then refresh her recollection rather
16	than you read from a transcript.
17	MS. FLECK: And I'm I don't want to refresh her recollection because she
18	remembers saying this. What I'm asking to do is to put it into context. The Defense
19	has elicited something from the statement without putting in to context and they're
20	not reading the second part which is where she then goes on to say: I'm not saying
21	it was rehearsed in the first place. And says
22	THE COURT: Well, ask her if she remembers saying that.
23	MS. FLECK: Okay.
24	BY MS. FLECK:

At that time, you talked about the fact that it was rehearsed.

Q

25

	II	
1	A	Correct.
2	Q	Today you say rehearsed isn't probably the best word. Do you
3	remember	saying that at that time also?
4	A	Yes, ma'am.
5	Q	Okay. What did you s tell the detectives? And I can refresh your
6	memory if	you'd like.
7	A	Please.
8	MS.	FLECK: Page 30.
9	BY MS. FL	ECK:
10	Q	Does that help to refresh your memory?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Okay. So what did you say?
13	А	I stated that I felt that it had been rehearsed but it in other words, I
14	didn't mea	n rehearsed that it had been said a couple of times and so it felt that way
15	But like I s	aid rehearsed really isn't the proper word for that.
16	Q	Okay. And in your statement to the police you in fact said you
17	clarified: I	didn't mean rehearsed
18	A	Right.
19	Q	in the traditional sense. There was just something maybe that had
20	been lost ir	the effect because Jocelyn had already told her mom and your mom.
21	Α	Correct.
22	Q	Okay. When you gave the statement to the police I mean, you've
23	described t	hat you were angry, you've described then that you went through
24	moments o	f disbelief. At that time what did you say about your feelings in terms of
25	your niece	saying this, or Jocelyn saying this, a four year old child saying this; what

	11		
1	questions'	?	
2	A	Yes, ma'am.	
3	MS.	FLECK: Page 32.	
4	BY MS. FI	LECK:	
5	Q	Mr. Castillo said that you told the police well, he's never been truly	
6	accepted.	You said that there's a second portion. What's the second portion of the	
7	statement	you told the authorities?	
8	A	That he didn't allow himself to be.	
9	Q	Okay. So you told the police he's never been accepted but he's never	
10	even allow	red himself to be accepted into your family.	
11	A	Correct.	
12	Q	And is that how you felt that even if they made efforts he wasn't he	
13	didn't reall;	y reciprocate?	
14	А	It was both ways like, you know, if the I'm sorry can you repeat that?	
15	Q	Well, I mean the implication from the Defense was that your family just	
16	kind of thre	ew their hands up to the sky and never accepted him. Was it your feeling	
17	through the	e second part of that same sentence that he also did not allow himself to	
18	be accepted or tried to ingratiate himself?		
19	А	Correct.	
20	MR.	CASTILLO: Objection, asked and answered.	
21	THE	COURT: Overruled.	
22	BY MS. FL	ECK:	
23	Q	Now, Defense counsel also referred back to a family court transcript	
24	where you had said that you didn't tell you specifically did not tell Dustin where		
25	Jocelyn was sleeping. Is that right?		

1	A	Right.
2	Q	You don't know if he knew himself. Is that right?
3	A	Correct.
4	Q	And in fact, at that statement after ten days after to the police at that
5	time, which	we talked about on direct, you remembered that when he came home
6	you were ii	n the process of putting the kids to bed?
7	A	Correct.
8	Q	And certainly he knew that Nicole was in the hospital.
9	A	Right.
10	Q	And certainly he knew that Katelyn and Levi were in your room.
11	Α	Right.
12	Q	And certainly he knew Jocelyn wasn't in your room.
13	Α	Correct.
14	Q	Now, with regard to the monitor, there was the baby monitor was in
15	Joshua's ro	oom.
16	Α	Right.
17	Q	Is that right?
18	A	Correct.
19	Q	Can you manipulate the baby monitor from either your room or
20	Joshua's room? Meaning it could be unplugged from either room, it could be turned	
21	off from either room, that kind of thing?	
22	A	Correct. It can be turned down. It can be I mean
23	Q	So it could be turned off, it could be turned down, it could be unplugged.
24	Α	Right. I mean, if you were to unplug the one in Joshua's room it would
25	send off a s	ignal to the other one. Like it would make a really
. 1	l	i

 nights might be your turn.

A It wasn't -- I wouldn't say it was a nightly thing. It was the fact that I had cared for four children for two weekends in a row and I was exhausted and it was his turn like I was tired.

- Q Right. Like get up and get the baby.
- A Right.
- Q Okay. And just to clarify -- just -- I think -- not that it matters really whose turn it was but is it your recollection that throughout that night, you weren't taking turns like one time you would get up, and then he would get up, and then you would get up. But that night in its entirety was his turn such that every night someone got up to get Joshua or to check on the baby; it was him.
 - A Right. Because I don't recall getting up that night.
- Q Okay. Mr. Castillo talked to you about your relationship with Nicole and that you know, at some points you described it as rocky. Is that true?
 - A Correct.
 - Q Rocky like siblings can be rocky or rocky as in you didn't like her?
- A We're sisters and we're 10 months apart. Like, it -- we -- we're two totally different people. And that's what I mean by rocky is like we just -- we were two different people but when it came to like our children and things like that like that's -- that was like how -- that was our strength in each other like we had rocky times but you know, what sisters don't.
 - Q Okay. And I mean, you've now lost her because of this.
 - A Yeah.
- Q Just -- and I'm almost done, with regard to the actual disclosure when you come back to the house and then you talk to the detective saying that, you

1	MS. FLECK: I'll pass the witness.		
2	MR. CASTILLO: Briefly, Your Honor.		
3	RECROSS-EXAMINATION		
4	BY MR CASTILLO:		
5	Q The State just asked you to clarify further your full statement in terms o		
6	Dustin never been truly accepted and not allowing himself to be accepted. But do		
7	you recall what you said in your voluntary statement after you talked about the		
8	acceptance?		
9	A Not at this time. No.		
10	Q Would looking at your voluntary statement, page 32, refresh your		
11	recollection?		
12	A Sure.		
13	MR. CASTILLO: May I approach, Your Honor. Please.		
14	THE COURT: I want you to lay a foundation on it. When it was given?		
15	MR. CASTILLO: Okay. Not a problem.		
16	BY MR. CASTILLO:		
17	Q Isn't it correct that after you talked about Dustin's whether he was		
18	accepted or not, you went on to describe the fact that it's because you basically ran		
19	off and got married?		
20	A That's probably the statement I made. Yes.		
21	Q Okay.		
22	A There's more to it than that.		
23	Q Okay. Thank you. And turning your attention		
24	THE COURT: When was the statement made, Counsel?		
25	BY MR. CASTILLO:		

1	Q	You spoke to Detective Hatchett on or about July 22, 2010?	
2	A	Yes, sir.	
3	Q	Turning your attention back to State's Exhibit A Defense Exhibit A	
4	rather. Of	the baby monitor and you can point to it if you like, which end of the baby	
5	monitor wc	ould've been in Joshua's room?	
6	A	The top	
7	Q	The top one.	
8	A	picture.	
9	Q	That is that the one right here? [points to exhibit]	
10	A	Yes, sir.	
11	Q	All right. And you previously stated on direct that if that and if I	
12	mischaract	mischaracterize it please correct me. If that top one had been turned off there would	
13	have been	a beeping sound. Right?	
14	Α	Correct.	
15	Q	And do you see a volume on this top one?	
16	Α	The volume switch would be on the bottom one.	
17	Q	Well do you see isn't it correct that the volume is only on the one in	
18	the master	bedroom?	
19	Α	Correct.	
20	MR.	CASTILLO: Thank you. No further questions.	
21	MS.	FLECK: Nothing further from the State. Thank you.	
22	THE	COURT: The jury have any questions of this witness? Okay. Seat	
23	number 7, y	your name.	
24		[Bench Conference Begins]	
25	THE	COURT: I'm going to ask it. I don't care.	

1	MS. FLECK: Can I see?		
2	THE COURT: Nah.		
3	MS. FLECK: Okay.		
4	THE COURT: Yeah. Yeah you guys can sit down. I'm going to ask it		
5	[indiscernible.]		
6	Now we're going to break for lunch. What time is your doctor ready?		
7	What time is your doctor ready?		
8	MS. FLECK: When we tell her to be here.		
9	THE COURT: 12:15 wasn't it?		
10	MS. FLECK: No. We'll tell her		
11	MS. EDWARDS: No. We'll tell her when to be here.		
12	MS. FLECK: Yeah. We'll just tell her when to be here.		
13	THE COURT: When?		
14	MS. EDWARDS: When we tell her to be here.		
15	MS. FLECK: We have two other lay witnesses anyway. Quickly. We didn't		
16	think this would take too long. We won't do it this morning but we'll right after		
17	lunch.		
18	THE COURT: All right.		
19	MS. FLECK: So we have still call we still have to call the doctor and have		
20	her we'll probably have her come what were you what time were you thinking		
21	to have them back? 1:15?		
22	THE COURT: Yeah.		
23	MS. FLECK: 1:30?		
24	THE COURT: It depends on how long you go with this. Does anybody have		
25	an objection to this?		

	11			
1	MS.	MS. FLECK: No. She's done.		
2		THE COURT: No. I get to ask this question.		
3	MR	MR. CASTILLO: Okay.		
4	MS.	FLECK: Yeah.		
5	THE	COURT: Unless there's an objection.		
6	MS.	FLECK: No.		
7	MR.	CASTILLO: No objection.		
8	MR.	BECKER: No objection.		
9	THE	COURT: Okay.		
10		[Bench Conference Concludes]		
11		EXAMINATION BY COURT [JURY QUESTIONS]		
12	BY THE C	OURT:		
13	Q	Were you still seeing Dustin around the time you first appeared in		
14	court?			
15	Α	Referring to family court?		
16	Q	I can't supplement the question. When you first appeared in court?		
17	Α	In not supplementing the questions, yes.		
18	Q	Were you still seeing Dustin?		
19	Α	Until last year, yes.		
20	Q	Okay.		
21	THE COURT: The State have any questions?			
22		FOLLOW-UP EXAMINATION		
23	BY MS. FL	ECK:		
24	Q	Just have you appeared the when you first appeared in court was		
25	that at the	family court proceedings?		

21

22

23

24

25

A That was the first and only time --

Q Okay.

A -- that I testified, yes.

Q Okay. And then you were still seeing him up until that point. Were you, also through child protective services and family court throughout these years, having to maintain contact because of your children?

A Correct.

MS. FLECK: Thank you. Nothing further.

THE COURT: Defense have any questions?

MR. CASTILLO: Nothing further, Your Honor, for this witness.

THE COURT: Thank you. You can step down.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE COURT: We're going to take a -- our lunch recess. During the recess you're admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected to this trial. Or read or watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial. Or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information including without limitation newspapers, television, radio, or the internet. Or form or express an opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

Be back at 1:15 please.

[Outside the presence of the jury]

THE COURT: All right. Doors closed. Mr. Barral under the Constitution of the United States and under the Constitution of the State of Nevada you cannot be compelled to testify in this case. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

 THE COURT: You may at your own request give up that right and take the witness stand and testify. If you do, you'll be subject to cross-examination by the Deputy District Attorneys and anything that you may say be it on direct or cross-examination will be a subject to fair comment when the Deputy District Attorneys speak to the jury in their final argument. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: If you choose not to testify, the Court will not permit the Deputy District Attorneys to make any comments to the jury because you have not testified. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: If you elect not to testify and if your attorneys specifically request the Court will give the jury instruction encompassing the following legal proposition -- it may be this or it may be a different one but it'll say somewhat this:

The law does not compel a Defendant in a criminal case to take the stand and testify and no presumption may be raised and no inferences of any kind may be drawn from the failure of a Defendant to testify.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you have any questions about those rights?

THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Your -- and I don't think there's anything her but let me further instruct you on this. You're further advised if you have a felony conviction and more than ten years has not elapsed from the date you have been convicted or discharged from prison, parole, or probation whichever is the latter, the Defense is not -- and the Defense is not sought to preclude that from coming before the jury

22

23

24

25

and you elect to take the stand and testify, the Deputy District Attorneys in the presence of the jury will be permitted to ask you the following: Have you been convicted of a felony? What was the felony and when did it happen? However no details may be gone into. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And I instruct you about this so that over the break you can talk to your attor -- I don't need an answer right now. You talk to your attorneys and you make that decision. Thank you.

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.

MR. BECKER: Your Honor, just so I may. We are not going to make a decision until the State --

THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. BECKER: -- has presented -- and I would ask that we have some time to confer -- once the State rests that we be given an opportunity to confer with --

THE COURT: Well, you --

MR. BECKER: -- Mr. Barral.

THE COURT: -- should be doing that right now as well. And if you need more time, I'll give you more time.

MR. BECKER: I'd only say that it's such a marginal call that I think we're going to need no more than five minutes.

THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. BECKER: All right.

THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. BECKER: Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. See you guys about 1:15. I'd make it 1:10.

MS. FLECK: It's very limited. And then we're also calling the brother, Michael

THE COURT: All right.

and then the doctor.

23

24

25

1	THE COURT: We don't have a hearing
2	MS. FLECK: Okay.
3	THE COURT: for Michael?
4	MS. FLECK: No.
5	MS. EDWARDS: No.
6	MS. FLECK: And then Detective Hatchett. I think that's it.
7	THE COURT: And the doctor.
8	MS. EDWARDS: Right.
9	THE COURT: All right. Let's get started. You ready?
10	MS. EDWARDS: Yes. Michael Hammonds, yes.
11	THE COURT: Michael
12	MS. EDWARDS: Mm-hmm.
13	THE COURT: first?
14	MS. EDWARDS: Yes.
15	THE COURT: Bring the jury in first.
16	THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.
17	[In the presence of the jury]
18	THE MARSHAL: And be seated.
19	THE COURT: Stipulate to the presence of the jury
20	MS. FLECK: The State does. Thank you, Your Honor.
21	MR. CASTILLO: Yes, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Call your next witness, State.
23	MS. EDWARDS: The State calls Michael Hammonds.
24	MICHAEL HAMMONDS

[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:]

- 1	THE	THE CLERK: Please be seated. Would you please state and spell your first		
2	and last name for the record?			
3	THE	THE WITNESS: Michael Hammonds; M-I-C-H-A-E-L, H-A-M-M-O-N-D-S		
4	THE	CLERK: Thank you.		
5	THE	COURT: Go ahead.		
6		DIRECT EXAMINATION		
7	BY MS. EC	WARDS:		
8	Q	Good afternoon, Michael. What is your relationship to Jocelyn		
9	Coleman?	Coleman?		
10	A	Jocelyn is my niece.		
11	Q	And are you related to her mother or her father?		
12	Α	Her mother. She's my sister.		
13	Q	Okay. And do you have any sisters other than Jocelyn's mother?		
14	A	Yes. Megan		
15	Q	Okay. And Jocelyn's mom's name is?		
16	Α	Nicki Nicole.		
17	Q	Okay. You went to college, correct?		
18	Α	Yes.		
19	Q	Where did you go to college?		
20	Α	I went to a little catholic in South Dakota. Mount Marty College.		
21	Q	How long or what years were you in school?		
22	Α	2006 well the end of 2005 to 2009.		
23	Q	While you're in college, were you aware that your sister Megan was		
24	married?			
25	Α	Yes.		

1	A	Yeah.
2	Q	Able to sit down and hang out with him for periods of time?
3	A	Yeah.
4	Q	Now, you finished in 2010. Is that correct?
5	A	Well, 2009. And then to clarify, I was in South Dakota for another year.
6	I worked at	t the college after I graduated. So 2010 would have ended the time that I
7	was there I	l guess.
8	Q	When you were done with college, did you move back to Clark County?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Approximately when did you move back to Clark County?
11	A	It would have been that summer of 2010.
12	Q	Do you remember approximately what month of the year you moved
13	back?	
14	A	It would've been earlier in the summer. I want to say probably June.
15	Q	Where were you living when you moved back to Clark County?
16	A	I moved back with my aunt and my uncle.
17	Q	And what is your aunt's name with whom you moved back with?
18	A	Angel.
19	Q	And so you moved back; how often did you interact with your parents?
20	Α	With my parents, pretty regularly; weekly, you know, every other day or
21	so. I wasn'	t I had just got back so, you know.
22	Q	And how often did you see Nicole?
23	Α	About the same.
24	Q	What about how often or how frequent was your contact with Megan in
25	2010?	

A	Not as often. She lived on the other side of town. So but regularly I
guess.	
Q	In 2010, once you moved back, did you spend time with your nephews?
Α	Yes.
Q	And what are their names?
Α	Levi and Josh.
Q	Did you also spend time with your nieces?
A	Yes.
Q	Is that Jocelyn and Katelyn?
A	Yes.
Q	Directing your attention to July of 2010, there was a point at which
Nicole was	in the hospital. Correct?
A	Yes.
Q	And did you try to help out with her daughters, Jocelyn and Katelyn
while she was in the hospital?	
A	Yeah, of course.
Q	What was your role in helping out with the family during that time?
Α	I was pretty much whatever was needed, you know. I had just got back
so, you kno	w, I was looking for work and, you know, she would just ask me to pick
up the girls	or, you know, take the girls to their dad's or something like that.
Q	At that time, right before Nicole went into the hospital in July of 2010,
how would y	ou describe the family dynamics as a whole; between your sisters, their
families, you	ur parents, and you?
Α	Could you just repeat the question? I'm sorry.
Q	Immediately prior to Nicole being in the hospital, how would you
	guess. Q A Q A Q A Q Nicole was A Q while she w A Q while she w A Q while she w A Q how would y families, you A

1	Q	Was that normal or different from your interaction with Dustin?		
2	A	I guess different. I mean, generally I would go out of my way to say hey		
3	and he wo	and he would say hey back and I guess at that moment it didn't happen.		
4	Q	Did he interact with the girls or say anything to the girls as they were		
5	leaving?			
6	A	Not that I recall. No.		
7	Q	So, you took them to Fred's house. Correct?		
8	Α	Yes.		
9	Q	Did you help transport them anymore that weekend that you recall?		
10	Α	No. I just walked them up to their dad's and then that was kind of it.		
11	Q	Any problems with taking them to their dad's?		
12	A	No.		
13	Q	Did they seem like themselves in the car for the most?		
14	Α	Yeah. For the most they were pretty quiet. We stopped for slurpees		
15	and they had slurpees so there wasn't much to say.			
16	Q	Okay. Are you they usually pretty quiet with you?		
17	Α	If, you know, I guess if there's slurpees, I don't know. Not usually.		
18	Usually it's fun, you know. Playing a game or something.			
19	Q	Sometime on Tuesday night were you at your parent's house on		
20	Tuesday?			
21	Α	Yes.		
22	Q	And what were you at your parent's house for?		
23	A	Standard dinner. I got a free meal I guess.		
24	Q	You went over there often for meals I guess.		
25	A	Yeah. Yeah. Especially when I moved first moved back because I		
- 1	1			

1	like I said I was staying with my uncle and you know, whoever was cooking that		
2	night.		
3	Q	Okay. Do you recall who was at dinner that night?	
4	A	Yeah. I know it was just like my immediate family.	
5	Q	And who	
6	A	Sorry.	
7	Q	If you could tell us all who all the members if you	
8	A	So, me, my folks, both my sisters, and their kids.	
9	Q	At some point in time, did you leave the house?	
10	Α	No. I guess I didn't leave. I mean, I guess at some point that evening I	
11	did.		
12	Q	Okay. Did you get called back to the house that evening?	
13	А	Yes. Yeah. Oh. You know what, yeah, I was leaving and then I got	
14	called bac	k by my folks.	
15	Q	Did you go back to the house?	
16	A	Yep.	
17	Q	Did you know why you were called back to the house?	
18	A	No clue. But it sounded pretty serious.	
19	Q	Do you remember which parent called you?	
20	A	Not specifically. I believe it would have been my I think it was my	
21	mom.		
22	Q	Okay. When you got back to the house what was kind of the dynamics	
23	in the hous	e at the time you arrived?	
24	Α	You know, they just asked if I would take the girls the kids and go	
25	upstairs I g	uess. And so we just went up and they were like just, you know, be with	

1	Α	Yes.
2	Q	Were you still in Clark County during that time?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Were you ever I'm sorry. Strike that. Did you go with your sister at
5	any point in	time during this investigation?
6	A	Yeah. I know there was a moment there was a time where they had
7	called obvio	ously to ask her questions and I just told her that if she needed someone
8	to go with th	nat I would be that person.
9	Q	Were you that person for her?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	What did you do to help her in that time?
12	A	Well, you know, I just kind of, you know, took care of the boys, you
13	know, just,	you know, as she had to go in and have her meeting and then you know,
14	just trying to	be there for her. I knew she was in a tough spot and you know she's
15	my sister.	
16	Q	Did you actually go with her to the meeting or did you watch the boys
17	and she we	nt by herself?
18	Α	Well, I went to the meeting with her and then when she went to speak
19	to the detec	tive or whatever I hung out with the boys. So I was there but you know,
20	was in a diff	ferent room.
21	Q	Was the Defendant with the two when she went to speak with the
22	Detective?	
23	Α	Was the Defendant with the two no.
24	Q	And do you know who Dustin Barral is?
25	Α	Yes.

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

23

22

24 25

	Α	Well, she just felt, you know, obviously so confused about everything
and	you kno	ow, to some extent seemed like you know, she wasn't sure if she was
doin	g the ric	ght thing by going and speaking to the detective and really just trying to
sort	through	all her thoughts and everything.

Q Did you encourage her one way or the other as far as her cooperation with the detective?

A Yeah. Yeah, of course. I told her, you know, what's your concern about going to see him and hear his questions, you know?

Q And what did you find out as a result of that?

A Well she seemed like --

MR. CASTILLO: Objection, calls for a hearsay response.

THE COURT: Calm down.

Ask that question again, please.

MS. EDWARDS: What did you find out as a result of that?

THE COURT: Okay. Restate that question.

MS. EDWARDS: The question was -- the question prior to that was asking about if he offered any --

THE COURT: Yeah.

MS. EDWARDS: -- opinion or insight as far as --

THE COURT: Are you trying to elicit information from someone else; out of court statement?

MS. EDWARDS: I'm trying to elicit his insight into a situation that he's observing that involves multiple people.

THE COURT: Okay. Ask him that. If he --

MS. EDWARDS: Based on --

1	THE COURT: If he observed anything.		
2	BY MS. EDWARDS:		
3	Q	Based on your supporting your sister Megan, going with her to the	
4	interview and you global observations, did you make any determinations as far as		
5	the dynamics with respect to Megan at that time?		
6	A	Yeah. I mean, she had even reference to me how she kind of felt guilty	
7	about going to do that		
8	MR. CASTILLO: Objection, hearsay; motion to strike. Same objection		
9	please.		
10	THE COURT: Sustained.		
11	BY MS. EDWARDS:		
12	Q	Do you know how the Defendant felt about her cooperation?	
13	A	Yeah, actually	
14	MR. CASTILLO: Objection, calls for speculation.		
15	THE COURT: Sustained.		
16	BY MS. EC	WARDS:	
17	Q	What did the Defendant if anything say about Megan's cooperation with	
18	law enforcement?		
19	A	That she needed to	
20	MR. CASTILLO: Objection, lack of foundation.		
21	MS. EDWARDS: It's an admission, Your Honor.		
22	THE COURT: Yeah. Ask some more lay a foundation.		
23	BY MS. EDWARDS:		
24	Q	Did you have any knowledge as to the Defendant's perspective on his	
25	wife talking to Metro?		

1	A Yes.		
2	Q Okay. Based on that knowledge, what was the Defendant's statements		
3	as far as his wife cooperating with Metro.		
4	MR. CASTILLO: And Your Honor, I respectfully say that it's double hearsay		
5	and still lack of foundation.		
6	THE COURT: It's not double hearsay but it is there is did you talk to the		
7	Defendant about your sister going to see Metro?		
8	THE WITNESS: I didn't directly talk to him. I didn't see him after that		
9	incident.		
10	THE COURT: Sustain the objection.		
11	BY MS. EDWARDS:		
12	Q Based on your observations of Megan and her process in this situation,		
13	did you make any determinations about kind of what she was going through with th		
14	investigation?		
15	A Yes. She was emotional.		
16	MR. CASTILLO: Objection, Your Honor. It calls for speculation and still relies		
17	on the hearsay.		
18	THE WITNESS: May I continue?		
19	THE COURT: Yeah. You can answer that.		
20	BY MS. EDWARDS:		
21	A Yes. She had even I guess expressed to me that		
22	THE COURT: Don't tell us what she said		
23	MS. EDWARDS: Without telling me what Megan said.		
24	THE COURT: Just tell us what you observed.		
25	BY MS. EDWARDS:		

1	Q	So you didn't know if he had if he was in a hurry to go anywhere;		
2	bowling, or errands, or whatever.			
3	A	No.		
4	∥ Q	And you were asked about that brief interaction with Detective Hatchett.		
5	Right.			
6	A	Yes.		
7	Q	And isn't it correct at the time you really didn't think much about it?		
8	A	What do you mean? I'm sorry.		
9	Q	In other words, you just thought well, you know, he's kind of a quiet guy.		
10	Α	Oh, in reference to Dustin.		
11	Q	Yes.		
12	A	Oh, I thought you were talking about Hatchett.		
13	Q	In reference to Dustin, sorry.		
14	А	Yes.		
15	Q	And at the time you just thought well, he just got off work or whatever.		
16	Α	At the time. Yeah well but also to note I mean, he was my		
17	MR. CASTILLO: Well, I would ask as I would to move to strike as			
18	unresponsive			
19	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'll just			
20	MR. CASTILLO: to the additional statement.			
21	THE WITNESS: finish.			
22	MR. CASTILLO: Your Honor.			
23	THE COURT: Well, he's answering your question.			
24	THE WITNESS: Yeah. You just asked me that.			
25	THE COURT: You asked the question.			

25

23

Α

love my sister.

Q And the time you picked up Jocelyn and Katelyn, when you took them

I didn't mind it. You know, she married him. He's my brother-in-law. I

1	for a car ride, nothing ordinary or notable happened in the car. Right?		
2	THE COURT: Hold on. Nothing ordinary?		
3	MR. CASTILLO: I'm sorry. I'll rephrase, Your Honor. Thank you.		
4	THE COURT: Okay.		
5	BY MR. CASTILLO:		
6	Q Nothing out of the ordinary happened in the car. Right?		
7	A No.		
8	Q Jocelyn never said hey Uncle Michael, I need to tell you something?		
9	A No.		
10	Q Okay. And in fact, the kids were happy.		
11	A Yeah. I told them right away when I saw them I was going to get them		
12	slurpees.		
13	Q Right. And so you got so you stopped and got slurpees and		
14	everyone had a good time. Right?		
15	A Yep.		
16	MR. CASTILLO: Court's indulgence, please.		
17	Your Honor, I'll pass the witness.		
18	THE COURT: Thank you.		
19	MS. EDWARDS: No questions.		
20	THE COURT: The jury have any questions of this witness?		
21	Seeing no hands. Sir, you're free to go. Thank you.		
22	Call your next witness.		
23	MS. EDWARDS: Nicole Hammonds.		
24	THE COURT: This is a recall. Yes?		
25	MS. EDWARDS: Yes, Your Honor.		

1	THE COURT: Okay.		
2		NICOLE HAMMONDS	
3	[having been recalled as a witness and being previously sworn, testified as follows:		
4	THE	COURT: I'd just remind you you're still under oath to tell the truth.	
5	Okay.		
6	THE WITNESS: Yes.		
7	THE COURT: Go ahead.		
8	FURTHER DIRECT EXAMINATION		
9	BY MS. EDWARDS:		
10	Q	Okay. Let's be very narrow. Generally speaking, you remember what	
11	we discussed in your testimony yesterday. Correct?		
12	Α	Yes. That's correct.	
13	Q	You remember at no point in time in your testimony was it ever	
14	discussed or asked of you whether you not you had allegedly practiced with Jocelyn		
15	regarding h	ner court testimony. Correct?	
16	Α	Correct.	
17	Q	Did you ever practice with Jocelyn as to what she was going to say in	
18	court?		
19	Α	No.	
20	Q	After Jocelyn made her initial disclosure, does she ever bring up again	
21	what had happened with Uncle Dustin?		
22	A	Yes.	
23	Q	What would you do in the time she would bring up the substance of	
24	what happened with Uncle Dustin?		
25	Α	I would just let her talk. I would just reassure her that she was safe and	

	11		
1	just tell sl	ne was deep in thought. She would ask questions, she had a countdown of	
2	when we were coming to court.		
3	Q	What do you	
4	A	Things like that.	
- 5	Q	What do you mean ask questions?	
6	A	How far away court was? How many days until court?	
7	Q	Okay. Did you ever have to explain the court process to her?	
8	A	I don't believe I did. I think other people did when she was came in to	
9	talk to you	guys and Betsy. But I'm not sure what her conversations with Betsy	
10	were.		
11	Q	Did you ever have to prepare her for seeing the Defendant in court?	
12	A	She would ask if she had to see the Defendant. Yes.	
13	Q	How would you handle those times she asked about that?	
14	A	I would tell her not to look at him unless she had to.	
15	Q	Did you ever discuss anything of this substance of what she would say	
16	about the Defendant?		
17	A	No	
18	THE	COURT: Cross.	
19		FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION	
20	BY MR. BECKER:		
21	Q	Well, did you also speak to Betsy Morgan?	
22	A	I would speak to her. Yes.	
23	Q	And were you aware that she had told Betsy that she was wanting to	
24	practice for	testifying in court?	
25	Α	No.	
į		l l	

1	Q Are you aware that she testified that she practiced with you in the day
2	and weeks leading up to testifying in court?
3	MS. EDWARDS: I'd object, misstates the child's testimony.
4	THE COURT: Yeah. And I'm not sure if we really could elicit out of her who
5	that practice meant. If it was just coming into court
6	MR. BECKER: Well
7	THE COURT: Come and see a guy wearing a
8	THE COURT: I'd say the record speaks for itself, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: black dress. And sitting up her seven years old, I would be
10	nervous.
11	MR. BECKER: Your Honor
12	THE COURT: I'd want to
13	MR. BECKER: I'm going to ask that the Court strike the statements as
14	editorial from the bench.
15	THE COURT: This is my courtroom and will editorialize when I feel like it's
16	necessary to control the courtroom. Thank you so much.
17	MR. BECKER: May I get a ruling on my objection?
18	THE COURT: Overruled.
19	BY MR. BECKER:
20	Q So you wrote all of the stuff down that she told you?
21	A Initially. Yes.
22	Q And you're not sure what happened to it?
23	A I'm not. I've moved three times since then.
24	Q Okay. No further questions.

THE COURT: Jury have any questions limited to that -- just that element of

1	whether s	she was prompted.	
2	Seeing no hands. Ma'am, you're free to go.		
3	TH	E WITNESS: Thank you.	
4	TH	E COURT: Call your next witness.	
5	MS	. FLECK: State calls Doctor Sandra Cetl.	
6		DOCTOR SANDRA CETL	
7	[having	been called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:]	
8	THI	E CLERK: Please be seated. Would you please state and spell your firs	
9	and last n	ame for the record?	
10		WITNESS: It's Doctor Sandra, S-A-N-D-R-A; Cetl, C-E-T-L.	
11	THE CLERK: Thank you.		
12	MS. FLECK: Can I proceed, Your Honor?		
13	THE COURT: Go ahead.		
14	MS. FLECK: Thank you.		
15		DIRECT EXAMINATION	
16	BY MS. FLECK:		
17	Q	Good afternoon, Doctor.	
18	Α	Hello.	
19	Q	How are you employed? Or where are your employed?	
20	A	I'm employed at Sunrise Children's Hospital here in town. And I work	
21	out of two locations; one location is Sunrise Children's Hospital as well as the		
22	Southern N	levada Children's Assessment Center.	
23	Q	When you are working at the Sunrise Hospital, what unit are you	
24	usually wo	usually working in?	
25	. A	I am called as a consultant to evaluate when there are concerns of child	

emergency department, on the pediatric floor, as well as the intensive care unit. Additionally, I take shifts in the emergency department seeing whatever comes in

- How about when you were on duty over at the -- is it called the CAC?
- The Southern Nevada and Children's Assessment Center or CAC and I'm there two days a week; on Mondays on Thursdays. We see children when there are concerns of child sexual abuse that's not of a emergent matter. So, when they've either -- concerns came up much after when a possible contact could've
- The ladies and gentlemen of the jury have heard a little bit about the CAC but is it fair to say it's sort of a one-stop shop for children; that they can come to one place to get an interview by Metro, they can get an examination by you or another doctor being a physical examination, their family could get interviewed, and it's one place where this can happen?
 - Α Yes.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q When you're working at the CAC -- same I guess role or position as you did at Sunrise?
 - Α Yes.
 - Q And what did you say your specialty is?
 - Α It's in child abuse pediatrics.
- Q What kind of training and experience do you have to do both your duties at Sunrise Hospital and at the CAC?

A So after college, which was a four-year college; I went to University of California Los Angeles, got a Bachelor's in Neuroscience, after which I attended medical school at the University of Vermont. At the end of that I was a medical doctor and then proceeded to pediatric residency, which is a three-year residency program at the University of Nevada, in the Las Vegas program.

During that time — during residency, I did attend several months of training with a child abuse specialist that was working here in town at that time. And then began to work with her once I graduated from residency. At that time I spent about a six-month apprenticeship period with that child abuse pediatrician and continued with education through literature review as well as I attend several conferences every year; a peer review process, and further kind of training facilitation with multidisciplinary groups both at the CAC and at Sunrise Hospital.

- Q Now you mentioned that your role at Sunrise is more of a consultant. Is that right?
 - A Correct.
- Q What is the difference between Sunrise Hospital and the CAC in terms of which patients will be seen? From what I understand at Sunrise Hospital they will do examinations with regard to possible sex abuse.
 - A Yes.
 - Q Is that correct? And at the CAC, you'll do similar examinations.
 - A Correct.
- Q Why would a patient go to Sunrise as opposed to the CAC or vice versa?
- A At Sunrise, when children are seen for possible sexual abuse it's in a kind of a emergent or an acute timing. Often time it's if their concerns came up

1	Q	Would you have family functions together?
2	Α	Yes.
3	Q	Did your children and your sister Nicole's children spend a significant
4	amount of	time together?
5	A	Yes, ma'am.
6	Q	And was that true also for your parents with the grandkids; being your
7	kids Levi a	nd Joshua and Nicole's kids?
8	Α	Yes.
9	Q	Let's see. Aft at some point did your brother Michael you said that
10	he had mo	ved.
- 11	Α	Correct.
12	Q	At some point did he move back to Las Vegas?
13	Α	He did.
14	Q	When was that if you remember?
15	A	I want to say he had just moved home in 2010.
16	Q	So then moving to July of 2010, where were you living at the time?
17	A	Dustin and I had just bought a home. Do you want the address?
18	Q	You don't need to give us the exact
19	Α	Okay.
20	Q	address. But was here in Las Vegas?
21	Α	Yes.
22	Q	And by 2010, do you and the Defendant and Levi have a new addition
23	to the famil	y?
24	A	Yes, ma'am.
25	Q	And who is that?

1	A	Joshua. He was born in January of 2010.
2	Q	Of 2010.
3	Α	Mm-hmm
4	Q	The four of you lived together?
5	Α	Yes, ma'am.
6	Q	And where was your sister Nicole living?
7	Α	With my parents.
8	Q	Had she by that point separated from her husband?
9	Α	Yes.
10	Q	What's her husband's name or her ex-husband's name?
11	A A	Frederick Coleman.
12	Q	We talked about the fact that earlier she had a daughter Jocelyn. By
13	2010, does	she also have another child?
14	Α	Yes.
15	Q	And what's her name?
16	Α	Katelyn.
17	Q	And so Nicole and her kids were living with your parents, you were
18	living in you	r own home with your husband, and where was your brother Michael
19	living?	
20	Α	When he came home from school he was living at my uncle's house?
21	Q	What's you uncle's name?
22	Α	Michael Stoker.
23	Q	At some point in July did your sister Nicole did something happen that
24	caused her	to go to the hospital?
25	Α	Yes.

1	room.	
2	Α	Correct.
3	Q	Where were Joshua and Jocelyn?
4	Α	Joshua was in his crib in his room and Jocelyn was on the futon in
5	Joshua's ro	om.
6	Q	Can you describe the layout of your home?
7	Α	From the like the front door or the bedrooms. I'm sorry.
8	Q	That's okay. Is it a one story is it a home or apartment?
9	Α	It's a home; a one story. We had two large living spaces that you'd
10	walk in and	you'd have one to your left and one to your right. And then to the right
11	would lead	to the kitchen and then the hallway to the bedrooms. There were three
12	bedrooms a	and two bathrooms.
13	Q	Your the master bedroom is was obviously you and your and the
14	Defendant's room. Is that right?	
15	Α	Correct.
16	Q	And is that where Katelyn and Levi were?
17	Α	Yes, ma'am.
18	Q	Is there a bathroom attached to the master bedroom?
19	Α	Yes, ma'am.
20	Q	Do you have to be in the bedroom in order to get into that bathroom?
21	Α	To that bathroom, yes.
22	Q	Where was Joshua's room?
23	Α	Joshua's room was diagonal from our room.
24	Q	If you were standing in the doorframe of your bedroom, could you see
5	into the doo	rframe of Joshua's room?

1	this the -	- a disclosure came out with Jocelyn?
2	Α	I remember going to s the Child Haven office.
3	Q	Okay.
4	A	And meeting with the detective there and a case worker a CPS case
5	worker.	
6	Q	Fair to say that it was within days after the incident that happened at the
7	house?	
8	A	Right. The following day we had a case worker come out to the house.
9	And then I	want to say if I remember correctly it was probably a week or so after
10	that I had o	gone down to Child Haven.
11	Q	Okay. So, some three years ago you gave an interview but that
12	interview w	as just about a week after the initial disclosure from Jocelyn.
13	Α	Correct.
14	Q	Would you agree that you may remember things better at that time;
15	some certa	ain incidents, some certain details, then you do today?
16	Α	Yes, ma'am.
17	Q	If I showed you a portion of that voluntary statement, might it refresh
18	your memory as to at the time whether the kids were already sleeping, whether	
19	they were	laying down, or if you were in the process of doing that?
20	A	Yes, ma'am.
21	MR.	CASTILLO: Page, please.
22	MS.	FLECK: Page 15.
23	Charles and the Charles and th	May I approach?
24	MS.	FLECK: Just read this portion of your statement and let me know if that
25	refreshes	our memory at all. Just read it to yourself.

Α	I can't recall if that moni we had a couple different monitors
throughout	the years. I can't recall if that monitor had a nightlight on it. His room
was close	to the front door so that gave off a little bit of light from the front porch into
the room.	

- Q If you were going to walk from your room into the baby's room, would you have to turn on a light in order to not bump into a wall or would you be able to walk into Joshua's room on your own?
 - A You could probably walk in there and get to the crib.
 - Q Okay.
- A But to be able to like see him you would have to turn on like the bathroom light to be able to see -- like see his face, you know.
- Q So enough light that you can see shapes and stuff like that but you wouldn't have to turn on like the room light in order to walk around in there or to pick him up to hold him or to cuddle him.
 - A Correct.
 - Q And you say that there was street light coming or porch light?
 - A Porch light. Yeah.
- Q So you said that you were real tired, you went to bed and I think you were starting to talk about the monitor.
- A Yeah. I don't recall what time in the night that it was. I heard some fussing on the monitor and Joshua hadn't been in his crib very long -- as to how long he had been out of our room in the bassinet. I think that maybe -- have been the first or second night that he was in that room, in the crib.
 - Q Okay. Meaning he'd been sleeping in your guys' room with you?
 - A Correct.

23

24

25

Α	Right.	,
A	Rigni	, .

Q You described to the detective at the time that he actually woke you up. So just describe to us --

MR. CASTILLO: Objection, leading.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. FLECK: Just describe to the jurors what you --

THE COURT: That's sustained. Just make sure you ask open-ended questions. You have to refresh her recollection you can do that.

BY MS. FLECK:

Q Just describe to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what you, you know, what your state of sleep was and how it was that you came to have that conversation.

A Well I remember him getting -- I mean, I heard Joshua on the monitor. I remember, you know, him getting up and going in there and then I don't know if I had completely gone back to sleep or not but I heard him come back in and I rolled over. Whether he woke me up or not I really -- I don't know. And that's been the hardest. I'm sorry.

Q No. That's okay. So you two had a conversation where he told you that he sat on Jocelyn.

- A Right.
- Q Did you then fall back asleep?

A Shortly -- yeah. After I -- I remember him getting back in bed and we went back to bed.

- Q The next morning was Sunday?
- A Yes, ma'am.

1	Q	He she
2	Α	Because she's she was so busy at the church like trying to talk to her
3	on Sunday	s was like talking to the wall. So.
4	Q	But he told you that he felt or that he relayed to your mother what had
5	happened a	about him sitting on her.
6	Α	Correct.
7	Q	Did he talk to you about Jocelyn's reaction to him saying this to your
8	mom?	
9	Α	No. If I recall, she was in the office with them. Now that I'm it's
10	trying to rer	member all of it I don't I'm not sure what he reaction was at that point.
11	Q	Would it refresh your memory if you looked? If you read that part of the
12	statement?	
13	A	I can read it yeah.
14	MS. I	FLECK: Page 22.
15	BY MS. FLECK:	
16	Q	Does that help to refresh your memory?
17	Α	A little bit. Yes.
18	Q	So, at the time when he was relaying to you this conversation he had
19	with your m	om, what did he tell you about Jocelyn's reaction?
20	Α	That she still had kind of just wasn't sure what was being talked
21	about. Tha	t
22	Q	Did you say to the detective that she still had the look on the face like
23	that she did	n't remember where this was coming from?
24	Α	Right.
25	Q	Or where or remember him
	•	

1	THE	COURT: Overruled.
2	BY MS. FLECK:	
3	Q	What was she asking of you?
4	A	To come back to the house right away.
5	Q	Okay. What'd you say?
6	А	I said is everything okay. Do I need to take the boys home? Should -
7	and she sa	id no, just come back. I said should I go get Dustin? Should he come
8	with me?	No. Just come back to the house right away. I said okay. And I briefly
9	remember	calling Dustin to tell him that I had to go back; that there was an
10	emergency	. And that I
11	Q	What did he say?
12	Α	I'm sorry?
13	Q	What did he say to you at that time?
14	Α	I don't remember what was said.
15	Q	Did he have any indication of what the emergency was about at that
16	time; in tha	t phone call?
17	Α	No, ma'am.
18	Q	So when you got back who was there or to your parent's house who
19	was there?	
20	Α	My brother had come back because he had left as well. He rode his
21	bike back to	o my uncle's house. So, you know, I thought maybe brother got hit by a
22	car or some	ething and that's why they were calling me back. But he had rode his
23	bike I think he rode his bike back or drove back; he was there. My mom and my	
24	dad. And then as far as I the sister and the girls were upstairs.	
25	Q	What was the emotionally kind of, what was the scene at the house

A It was really tense. My brother -- Joshua was in a carrier seat still and he had basically taken -- grabbed both of them from me and took them upstairs.

And it's like I walked into a house of ghosts almost. They were all just kind of like panicked, shocked -- I don't know. It was really tense when I walked in.

Q And then what happened?

A The boys went upstairs with Mikey and my parents took me in the front room and asked me to sit down, that they needed to talk to me. And so I said am I ready for this? I just kind of like looked at them like am I ready for this? And my mom said just sit down and so I sat down and they both took my hands and they said that they had -- Jocelyn had given some disturbing news. And that -- they said that Jocelyn said that Dustin had touched her and hurt her. And I flipped. I freaked out.

Q Okay. After they told you that, did you ask to talk to Nicole or did Nicole come down to talk to you?

A My sister eventually came down. I don't remember when or why or if I asked to talk to her or I can't remember.

Q Do you remember the interaction between the two of you?

A She told -- I told her I was sorry that I didn't what happened and that I didn't know what was going one. And she said to me I don't blame you.

Q At some point does Jocelyn come down or do you ask to talk to her?

A My mom and -- my mom -- I remember my mom asking me if I wanted to talk to Jocelyn and hear her side of the story; that it wasn't just coming from them.

Q I mean --

A And I --

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

Q And I know that this sounds obvious. You know, you said that you freaked. Like what are your -- what are you thinking at that time?

A At the time my first reaction was why is he doing this to me? That was like the first words that came out of my mouth. Why is he doing this to me? Because I didn't know what to think, you know. I went from panicked to you know, from why is he doing this to me to there's no way he could have done this, you know.

- Q So anger, disbelief; a mix of emotions then.
- A Right.
- Q And then your mom at some point says do you want to talk to Jocelyn?
- A Correct.
- Q So does she come downstairs?
- A Not right away. I asked her to give me a few minutes to calm down.
- Q You say that you kind of freaked. Were you visibly emotional?
- A Yeah. Like my parents had to hold me up. I was that emotional.
- Q Were you able to gather yourself for her to come down?
- A Yes.
- Q And then what happened?
- A We went back in the front room and she looked so scared and I sat her on my lap and I said are you okay. And she said yeah. And I said -- I said did something happen with Uncle Dustin? And she said yeah. And I said honey what happened? She said Uncle Dustin touched me and he hurt me. And I said were you sleeping? And she said -- I don't remember what she said. I can't remember because my mom said okay that's enough and she took her away from me. And that's like the last interaction I had with her. So.

1	happened	- -	
2	MR. CASTILLO: Objection, leading.		
3	MS.	FLECK: Okay. Well, she just said she didn't remember so I'm now going	
4	through he	r statement which is	
5	THE	COURT: All right.	
6	MR.	CASTILLO: And, Your Honor	
7	THE	COURT: Refresh her recollection. Sustain the objection. Just refresh	
8	her recolle	ction.	
9	MR. CASTILLO: Page, Counsel.		
10	MS.	FLECK: Twenty-three.	
11	energy control of the	Just read that little section at the end if you wouldn't mind. Tell me if	
12	that refreshes your memory.		
13	BY MS. FL	ECK:	
14	Q	Remember?	
15	Α	Yeah.	
6	Q	So after he said I told you what happened. I told you what happened.	
7	What was t	he conversation the two of you had?	
8	Α	I said to him I said what's going on? And he says I told you what	
9	happened.	And I said I know you told me what happened but where does a four-	
20	year old co	me up with this? How does a how you know she's four years old.	
21	How does	a four-year old come up with this?	
2	Q	What did you ask of the Defendant that night in terms of your living	
3	situation?		
4	Α	I asked him to leave.	
اا ج	0	And did ha?	

- A [nods head yes.] I asked him to be gone by the time I got home.
- Q And was he?
- A [nods head yes.]
- Q Is that a yes?
- A Yes, ma'am.
- Q So when you got home that night, was it just you and your two boys?
- A My brother drove me home and it was just me and the boys and I wanted to be alone.
 - Q Did he ever move back in the house after July of 2010?
 - A No, ma'am.
- Q Did the two of you maintain contact for some period of time; either kind of working through your own relationship or pursuant to the children and child protective services?
- A There was a period of time that child protective services felt that I wasn't capable of protecting the boys so there was no contact. I wasn't allowed to supervise any contact between the boys and him. So there was -- I can't remember the period of time. I want to say it was three or four months but they didn't talk on the phone or see each other. But Dustin and I tried to maintain like date nights. Like we -- I would get a sitter and we would try to see each other a couple times a week.
- Q Okay. After that initial disclosure the night -- that Tuesday night, I think that you said that that was the last interaction that you've had with Jocelyn. Have you -- you haven't seen her again since that?
- A I saw her one time a couple days later. I didn't really have much interaction with her because I was way too emotional to try to even have interaction

with her. We had gone -- it was my cousin's birthday and my mom had asked that I would come to the dinner that we were having. And so I went and she was there but I didn't have much interaction with her that night.

- Q How about your sister Nicole? After this what's your relationship like with Nicole?
- A We don't have a relationship. I haven't talked to her since like 3 days after.
 - Q And why is that?
- A Because I for some time tried to stand by Dustin and in that she felt that I didn't believe Jocelyn.
 - Q Was that true?
 - A No, ma'am.
- Q How about your parents? What's your relationship like with your parents now?
- A We're trying. We don't talk a whole lot but we try to get together. It's just a lot of emotions because my mom helps out so much with the girls that it makes it hard to plan things with them.
- Q Do your boys Levi and Joshua have any significant relationship with their grandparents?
 - A They love them. There's not a whole lot of relationship there right now.
- Q And how about then with the -- with Levi and Joshua, has any relationship that Jocelyn had with her cousins, has that also disappeared?
- A They don't know Hammond's sister. They don't know -- they don't remember Jocelyn and Katelyn because I've had to not talk about them because they'd want to see them.

1	Q	You said that there was a time you tried to maintain some sort of a	
2	connection	connection with the Defendant. Is that right?	
3	Α	Yes, ma'am.	
4	Q	At some point do you decide that you're going to file for divorce?	
5	Α	Yes, ma'am.	
6	Q	And when was that?	
7	Α	February, last year.	
8	Q	So currently are you divorced from the Defendant?	
9	Α	Yes, ma'am.	
10	MS.	FLECK: Your Honor, I'll pass the witness.	
11	CROSS-EXAMINATION		
12	BY MR. CASTILLO:		
13	Q	Good morning.	
14	Α Α	Good morning.	
15	Q.	Prior to the incident in question, you were married for approximately	
16	four years to Dustin?		
17	A	Yes.	
18	Q	And it was a good relationship. Right?	
19	Α	Yeah. We were strong. We yeah.	
20	Q	In fact, you got along well. Right?	
21	Α	Yes, sir.	
22	Q.	And you parented well together?	
23	Α	Yes, sir.	
24	Q	And Dustin was a great father to your kids?	
25	Α	He was.	

	Recommendada	
1	Q	And I think you said you first met Dustin at church.
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	And he was part of that church too. Right?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	And it was from meeting at church, you began a courtship, and you fell
6	in love. Riç	ght?
7	Α	Yes, sir.
8	Q	And it was about it wasn't until seven months later that you actually
9	got married	I. Right?
10	Α	It was about six months. Yes.
11	Q	Six months. Okay. And Dustin was a gentleman in that he actually
12.	went to you	ır father and actually asked for his blessing.
13	Α	He did.
14	Q	For your for his hand in marriage?
15	A	He did.
16	Q	And that's kind of an old-fashioned thing to do.
17	A	Correct.
18	Q	And your father did not give his blessing.
19	A	At the time, no.
20	Q	But Dustin did make efforts to try to get your family to like him. Didn't
21	he?	
22	A	Sometimes, yes.
23	Q	Well an example would be that you together you tried to go into a pre-
24	marriage co	ounseling program. Right?
25	Α	We started to, yes.
1	I	i i

1	Q	And isn't it correct that your father declined to even though your
2	father was part of the church membership, he actually declined to supervise or upo	
3	Dustin's red	quest?
4	A	Upon Dustin's request?
5	Q	Mm-hmm. Yes.
6	A	Can you repeat that please?
7	Q	Is it correct that your when you did this counseling for pre-marriage
8	that Dustin	asked your father you know, to kind of supervise; kind of be a mentor
9	sort of role.	And your father declined?
10	Α	Yes because he was also my father; he didn't want to be the pastor as
11	well.	
12	Q	All right.
13	А	There was a very fine line with that.
14	Q	So suffice it to say, Dustin was never truly accepted into your family. Is
15	that a fair statement?	
16	A	Not entirely.
17	Q	All right. Will you recall giving a voluntary statement to Detective
18	Hatchett? Correct?	
19	A	Yes, sir.
20	Q	Would looking at your voluntary statement refresh your recollection in
21	terms of what you said regarding acceptance into your family?	
22	Α	Sure.
23	MR. CASTILLO: And page 32, Counsel.	
24		Can I approach, Your Honor?
25	THE	COURT: Yes.

11		
Q	Did you tell Dustin what room Jocelyn was sleeping in?	
A	I don't recall telling him.	
Q	Would looking at you recall testifying during a family court	
proceedin	g?	
A	Yes.	
Q	Would looking at a transcript refresh your recollection in terms of	
whether o	not you told Dustin where Jocelyn was sleeping?	
Α	It may.	
Q	Okay.	
MR.	CASTILLO: May I approach again, Your Honor?	
THE	THE COURT: Sure.	
MR. CASTILLO: It's page 23.		
PORTE DE LA CALLANTA	Directing your attention to the bottom of this page. Please read to	
yourself and let me know when you're done, please.		
BY MR. CASTILLO:		
Q	And does that refresh your recollection in terms of what you said?	
A	Yes, sir.	
Q	So it's correct you did not tell Dustin where she was sleeping?	
A	No.	
Q	And while Dustin may have interacted with I believe Levi and Katelyn in	
your room before you went to bed, not with Jocelyn because he didn't know what		
room she was in. Right?		
Α	Right.	
Q	I believe you said at some time that night you were the one that heard a	
noise on a baby monitor. Right?		
	A Q proceeding A Q whether of A Q MR. THE MR. Yourself ar BY MR. CA Q A Q your room room she w A Q	

1	Α	Correct.
2	Q	And is it fair to say as a mother you're ver you're sorry of sensitive to
3	the sounds	s of your child?
4	Α	Correct.
5	Q	All right. And the monitor, was it one of those monitors that would have
6	picked fa	airly sensitive; would've picked up noises?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	And was that monitor did it have a device in your room and also in
9	Joshua's ro	oom?
10	A	Correct.
11	Q	All right.
12	MR.	CASTILLO: Your Honor, at this time if I can approach your clerk to have
13	marked for identification Defense-proposed Exhibit A, I believe.	
14	THE	COURT: Okay.
15	MR. CASTILLO: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?	
16	THE COURT: Yes.	
17	BY MR. CASTILLO:	
18	Q	Take a look at that. Can you tell me do you recognize what's in the
19	photograph?	
20	Α	A baby monitor.
21	Q	And can you tell how do you recognize it?
22	Α	It's clearly on the futon.
23	Q	So is what's depicted in the photograph the baby monitor that you had
24	at the time?	
25	Α	I believe so.

1	Q And does that photograph fairly and accurately depict that monitor?
2	A I believe so. It was three years ago, sir.
3	MR. CASTILLO: Your Honor, I would move to admit this as Defense-
4	proposed A and publish to the jury.
5	THE COURT: Objection?
6	MS. FLECK: We've never seen this before. It just it you know the fact
7	that she says that she believes so and that's it's three years ago. This has never
8	been turned over to us. I've never seen it. I've never no idea who took the
9	photograph. I say that there's a lack of foundation and that there's a you know,
10	when was it taken?
11	THE COURT: Let me see it.
12	MR. CASTILLO: If I could approach, Your Honor.
13	MS. FLECK: The first
14	MR. CASTILLO: Now I note, Your Honor that she has identified it as being o
15	the futon in her home.
16	MS. FLECK: Well, no. There's no dispute that there's a baby monitor in the
17	room. She said she believes this is the one. She recognizes that it's a futon; she
18	THE COURT: I'll let it in.
19	MS. FLECK: believes it.
20	THE COURT: I'm going to let it in.
21	MR. CASTILLO: Thank you.
22	[DEFENSE'S EXHIBIT A ADMITTED]
23	MR. CASTILLO: Permission to publish, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Yes.
25	MR. CASTILLO: Thank you.

1	BY MR. CASTILLO:	
2	Q	Showing you on screen what is now admitted as Defense A, that
3	monitor	the portion at the top; that would've been in Joshua's room. Right?
4	A	Yes, sir.
5	Q	Okay. And the one here with the light on it; that's the one that's was
6	in your roo	m.
7	Α	Correct.
8	Q	And you had that monitor because Joshua was a fairly new child; a
9	young bab	y and you wanted a monitor that could effectively monitor any sounds tha
10	might com	e from that room. Right?
11	A	Correct.
12	Q	And it wasn't until you heard a noise on the monitor, that Joshua was
13	fussing, tha	at's when you alerted Dustin to go and check on Joshua. Right?
14	Α	Right.
15	THE COURT: You got to speak out, please.	
16	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.	
17	BY MR. CASTILLO:	
18	Q	And Dustin went in there because it was his turn to check on the baby.
19	Right?	
20	Α	We took turns, yes.
21	Q	But at that point you recall saying that it was actually his turn?
22	Α	No, sir.
23	Q	Okay. Again you recall testifying during the family court proceeding?
24	Α	Yes, sir.
25	Q	Would looking at your statement during the family court proceeding

them.

1	MS.	FLECK: Is there anything else you want to give us now that we're
2	THE	COURT: You guys would be jumping up and down.
3	MR.	CASTILLO: I don't plan on introducing anything else, Your Honor.
4	THE	COURT: All right.
5	MR.	CASTILLO: With this witness.
6	THE	COURT: You
7	MS.	FLECK: If you plan on it can you just
8	MR.	CASTILLO: Yes.
9		[Bench Conference Concludes]
10	MR.	CASTILLO: I'm sorry. Can I approach, Your Honor?
11	THE COURT: Yes.	
12	MR. CASTILLO: Thank you.	
13		Please take a look at the bottom of that page. And read it to yourself
14	and let me know when you're done please.	
15	BY MR. CASTILLO:	
16	Q	And does that refresh you recollection?
17	Α	Yes, sir.
18	Q	So is it correct to say that it was his turn to go check on Joshua?
19	Α	That's what I said but there's a statement before that as well.
20	MS. FLECK: Can you can we see it because	
21	MR. CASTILLO: Sure.	
22	MS. FLECK: our pages are off.	
23	BY MR. CA	ASTILLO:
24	Q	Well you took turns but at that point it was his turn. Right?
25	Α	That's what I said. Yes, sir.

1	Q	And isn't it correct that Dustin was only gone for a couple minutes?
2	Α	I don't recall how long he was out of the room.
3	Q	Do you recall saying that he came right back into your room?
4	A	I I'm not sure.
5	Q	And again, would looking at your the family court testimony refresh
6	your recoll	ection in terms of whether or not he came right back in the room?
7	Α	Correct.
8	MR.	CASTILLO: May I approach again, Your Honor. Please.
9	THE	COURT: Yes.
10	MR.	CASTILLO: And it's the paragraph to your right here. Just tell me when
11	you're done, please.	
12	BY MR. CASTILLO:	
13	Q	And does that refresh your recollection?
14	A	Yes, sir.
15	Q	So he came right back into your room. Right?
16	Α	Yes, sir.
17	Q	And during
18	MS.	FLECK: What page is that?
19	MR. CASTILLO: Page 14.	
20	BY MR. CASTILLO:	
21	Q	And during the time that he was out you heard nothing unusual coming
22	over the ba	by monitor. Right?
23	Α	No, sir.
24	Q	No unusual noises. Correct?
25	Α	Correct. But like I stated before I can't remember if I went back to sleep

1	or not.	
2	Q	Okay. But to your recollection you heard no children; you heard no
3	noises con	ning from that monitor.
4	A	No, sir.
5	Q	Okay. And when Dustin came back into the room you weren't 100
6	percent as	leep. Right?
7	Α	Not that I recall.
8	Q	Because he was able to tell you the story about how he accidentally sa
9	on Jocelyn	. Right?
10	А	Right.
11	Q	Okay. And when he relayed that story, you actually thought it was kind
12	of a funny	story. Right?
13	Α	I didn't find it funny. No. I was half-asleep and I was concerned as to
14	whether both the children were okay.	
15	Q	Then the next morning you had Jocelyn in the room with you. Right?
16	Α	Right.
17	Q	And isn't it true that the next morning when you conveyed that story to
18	Jocelyn you actually used the words that Jocelyn laughed it off?	
19	MS.	FLECK: Can you please reference something?
20	MR.	CASTILLO: Page 20.
21	MS. FLECK: What are you in?	
22	BY MR. CASTILLO:	
23	Q	Well, I'll rephrase the question. You stated on direct that Jocelyn didn't
24	really remember what had happened. Right?	
25	Α	Correct.

1	MR.	CASTILLO: I'm directing you to the top four lines. Just let me know when
2	you finish	olease.
3	BY MR. C	ASTILLO:
4	Q	And does the refresh your recollection in terms of what you said to
5	Detective I	Hatchettt?
6	Α	Yes, sir.
7	MS.	FLECK: And Judge the only thing is that I'm going to object is just the
8	mischaract	erization that Defense counsel is attributing that to something that she
9	heard. The	e statement is actually what the Defendant told her happened when he
10	relayed tha	t story to the mom and that the mom laughed it off. It's not her saying
11	what the mom did it's her	
12	THE	COURT: Right.
13	MS.	FLECK: attributing what the Defendant recalled happened.
14	THE	COURT: Make sure that's clear.
15	MR.	CASTILLO: That's fine, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: All right.	
17	BY MR. CASTILLO:	
18	Q	And at the church on that Sunday you continued to spend some time
19	with Jocely	n off and on during the day.
20	Α	Correct.
21	Q	And Jocelyn relayed nothing else unusual taking place.
22	Α	Not that I recall. No.
23	Q	In fact, later on the kids went swimming together on Sunday. Right?
24	Α	Correct.
25	Q	And again, Jocelyn did not relay anything unusual or strange having

1	taken place. Right?		
2	A	Not that I recall. No.	
3	Q	And you've spent I mean, it's fair to say that because you have little	
4	kids and yo	our sister had small children that you spent lots of time with Jocelyn?	
5	A	Correct.	
6	Q	That would include taking baths.	
7	A	Correct.	
8	Q	Helping her in the bathroom sometimes if necessary.	
9	A	Correct.	
10	Q	Do you know whether or not to your knowledge do you know whether	
11	or not Joce	elyn had any previous issues with infections based on potty training?	
12	Α	Not that I recall. No.	
13	Q	Do you recall whether or not she had any irritation?	
14	А	She's a child; probably.	
15	Q	And I think you said that Jocelyn was picked up by your brother Michael	
16	on Monday evening.		
17	Α	Correct.	
18	Q	And from there they were at their dad's house. Right?	
19	Α	Correct.	
20	Q	And who actually picked Jocelyn and Katelyn up from dad's house?	
21	Α	Myself and my sister.	
22	Q	Okay. And what day would've that had been on?	
23	A	Tuesday.	
24	Q	Tuesday. And on that Tuesday, Jocelyn never volunteered that	
25	anything to	ook place. Right? During the day.	

1	. A	No.
2	Q	And in fact, you had family dinner as well. And at the family dinner
3	Dustin wasn't present you said. Right?	
4	Α	Correct.
5	Q	And during that family dinner nothing was said, nothing unusual took
6	place during that family dinner either. Correct?	
7	А	Not that I recall. No.
8	Q	And it wasn't until Tuesday evening after your folks said hey come bac
9	to the hous	e. That's when you heard the story for the first time.
10	Α	Correct.
11	Q	And when Jocelyn relayed this event, you wanted to ask follow-up
12	questions. Right?	
13	Α	I'm sorry I don't understand.
14	Q	You wanted to ask a follow-up question or two to really get to what may
15	have happened?	
16	A	Right. I wanted to hear more from her.
17	Q	And in fact, you started off by asking well, were you sleeping? Right?
18	Α	Correct.
19	Q	And it's when you started after you asked that follow-up question,
20	isn't that when your mother said that's enough. No more. And she kind of cut off	
21	the question.	
22	Α	She had been through enough that night.
23	MR. CASTILLO: Court's indulgence, please.	
24	BY MR. CA	STILLO:
25	Q	Well isn't it correct that you were kind of disturbed? You thought it was

	8 5		
1	strange that they wouldn't even let you talk to Jocelyn thoroughly.		
2	A I didn't understand why they wouldn't let me talk to her further.		
3	Q	And again, the fact that they just took her away right away; you found	
4	that weird	or unusual. Right?	
5	А	Not really. No.	
6	Q	Well, would looking at your voluntary statement to Detective Hatchett	
7	refresh you	ur recollection in terms of what you said?	
8	Α	Sure.	
9	MR.	CASTILLO: Page 14, Counsel.	
10		Permission to approach, Your Honor.	
11	THE COURT: Yes.		
12	MR.	CASTILLO: I'm just directing your attention to about the first five or six	
13	lines please. Just let me know when you finish.		
14	BY MR. CA	ASTILLO:	
15	Q	And does that refresh your recollection in terms of what you said?	
16	Α	Yes, sir.	
17	Q	So they took Jocelyn away from you. Correct?	
18	Α	Yes, sir.	
19	Q	And you thought it was weird that it was so quickly and they wouldn't le	
20	you talk to her. Right?		
21	Α	It felt weird is what I stated.	
22	Q	Okay. And then you called Dustin what it was from your parent's	
23	house. Rig	ht?	
24	Α	Correct.	
25	Q	And in fact, your parents did not want you to talk to Dustin alone.	

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 1 2 **DUSTIN BARRAL** Electronically Filed CASE NUMBER: 64 Mar 18 2014 09:25 a.m. 3 (District Court Case Noacie 1991) Andeman Appellant, 4 Clerk of Supreme Court VS. 5 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 6 7 Respondent. 8 9 APPELLANT'S APPENDIX 10 (VOLUME III) 11 STEVE WOLFSON, ESQ. MICHAEL L. BECKER, ESQ. CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 12 Nevada Bar #8765 Nevada Bar #1565 MICHAEL V. CASTILLO, ESQ. 13 STEVEN S. OWENS, ESQ. Nevada Bar#11531 14 Nevada Bar#4352 2300 W. Sahara Avenue Chief Deputy District Attorney Suite 450 15 200 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 16 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 331-2725 17 (702) 671-2500 Attorneys for Appellant 18 CATHERINE CORTEZ-MASTO, ESQ. NEVADA ATTORNEY GENERAL 19 Nevada Bar #3926 20 100 North Carson Street 21 Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 486-3420 22 23 Counsel for Respondent 24 25 26

27

INDEX

2	INDEA
3	PLEADING PAGE NO.
4 5	Arrest Report filed on 8/4/20101-4
$\frac{3}{6}$	Information filed on 11/29/201014-16
7	Judgment of Conviction filed on September 23, 201385-86
8	Motion for Acquittal or in the Alternative a New Trial filed on June 7, 201347-59
9	Motion for Extension of Time filed on January 28, 201491-94
10 11	Motion for Extension of Time Second Request filed on February 25, 201496-99
12	Notice Approving Extension of Time filed on January 28, 201495
13	Notice of Appeal filed on September 27, 201387-90
14	Order Denying Defendant's Motion for Acquittal or in the Alternative, a New Trial
15	filed on July 19, 201383-84
16 17	Order Denying Defendant's Request for Admissibility of Prior Sexual Conduct
18	Pursuant to NRS 48.069 filed on June 28, 2013
19	Order Granting Extension of Time filed on March 6, 2014
20	Reply to State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Acquittal or in the
21	Alternative a New Trial filed on June 28, 201375-80
22 23	Request for Admissibility of Prior Sexual Conduct
24	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Acquittal or in the Alternative a New
25	Trial filed on June 20, 201360-74
26	State's Opposition to Defendant's Request for Admissibility of Prior Sexual
27	Conduct filed on May 29, 201337-4
28	Transcript of Day I of Trial Proceedings dated May 28, 2013101-30

1	Transcript of Day II of Trial Proceedings dated May 29, 2013	334-509
2	Transcript of Day III of Trial Proceedings dated May 30, 2013	544-734
3	Transcript of Day IV of Trial Proceedings dated May 31, 2013	734-815
4 5	Transcript of NRS 51.385 Proceedings of May 29, 2013	308-333
6	Transcript of NRS 51.385 Proceedings of May 30, 2013	510-543
7	Transcript of Proceedings of Defendant's Motion for Acquittal or in the	
8	Alternative a New Trial date July 8, 2013	816-821
9	Verdict filed on May 31, 2014	45-46
10 11	Voluntary State of J.C.	5-13
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
20 27		
28		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY E-FILING

1	CEMITICALE OF SHAVIOLE DE L'ILLIA
2	I hereby certify that I am an employee of Las Vegas Defense Group, and that
3	thisday of March, 2014, I electronically filed the foregoing Appellant's
4	Appendix with the Clerk of the Court by using the ECF system which will send a
5 6	notice of electronic filing and/or by facsimile transmission to:
7	STEVEN S. OWENS, ESQ.
8	Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar#4352
9	200 S. Third Street
10	P.O. Box. 552212 Las Vegas, NV 89155
11	(702) 382-5815-Fax
12	Counsel for the Respondent
13	CATHERINE CORTEZ-MASTO, ESQ.
14	NEVADA ATTORNEY GENERAL Nevada Bar #3926
15	100 North Carson Street
16	Carson City, Nevada 89701 (702) 486-3768-Fax
17	(702) 100 5 7 00 2 111
18	
19	Allet-
20 \ 21	An employee of Las Vegas Defense Group
∠ 1	

DECLARATION OF MAILING

Senifer aleman, an employee with the Las Vegas Defense Group, hereby declares that she is, and was when the herein described mailing took place, a citizen of the United States, over 21 years of age, and not a party to, nor interested in, the within action; that on the _____day of March, 2014, declarant deposited in the United States mail, a copy of the Appellant's Appendix in the case of State of Nevada vs. Dustin Barral, Case No. 64135, enclosed in a sealed envelope upon which first class postage was fully prepaid, addressed to DUSTIN BARRAL, #11008615, High Desert State Prison, P.O. Box 650, Indian Springs, NV 89070, that there is a regular communication by mail between the place of mailing and the place so addressed.

Pursuant to NRS 53.045, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

EXECUTED on the / / day of March, 2014.

An employee of Las Vegas Defense Group

Q After all this came out, did you have additional interaction or spend additional time with Jocelyn and her family?

A Yes.

- Q Subsequent to all these things happening in July of 2010, how soon after that do you believe you were able to spend time with Jocelyn?
 - A Probably within the next couple weeks.
 - Q How would you describe her demeanor over the next couple weeks?
- A She -- she would like close-up when, you know, the people would try to talk to her, you know, she's -- I mean, I'm talking over months that I witnessed this where, you know, something would happen and she would just become very sullen and just, you know, like, really, like -- I don't know how to describe it.

The -- withdraw is what I'll say because she became different then this child that I've known all her life, you know. She just kind of would just shut-down, just, you know -- and then her mom would have to, you know, just hug and just say it's all right. But that went on for months; for a lot of months while she was like that.

- Q Did Jocelyn ever disclose to you what happened?
- A No. She's never disclosed to me.
- Q After all these events in July of 2010, does your relationship with Megan remain the same or change?
 - A No. I have not -- I have spoken to Megan once in the last few years.
- Q As far as your relationship with Nicole did that relationship change or stay the same?
 - A It's the same.
 - Q As far as your relationship with her sister Joanna did that change or

1	stay the sa	ame?
2	Α	Same.
3	MR.	BECKER: I'm going to object. Relevance.
4	MS.	EDWARDS: Your Honor, essentially the Defense
5	THE	COURT: Pardon?
6	MS.	EDWARDS: The Defense over the course of the witnesses has been
7	making mu	uch about the dynamics of the family.
8	THE	COURT: Well
9	MS. EDWARDS: And the relationships.	
10	THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. I think that you've gone into it	
11	enough.	
12	MS. EDWARDS: I don't have any more questions. Thank you.	
13		CROSS-EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. BE	ECKER:
15	Q	Would you say you were close to Jocelyn?
16	Α	Yes. I've always been
17	Q	You said you had a good relationship with her.
18	Α	Yes.
19	Q	And on this Sunday at the church, how much time did you spend with
20	her?	
21	Α	I spent well, after she got out of Sunday school, I believe, all the rest
22	of that afte	rnoon.
23	Q	How much time?
24	Α	A few hours.
25	Q	Okay. And was that exclusively with her or?

	11	
1	Q	As a matter of fact, the first time you were questioned by anybody was
2	when you spoke Michelle Edwards, the Deputy District Attorney?	
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	And how long ago was that?
5	A	That was in April.
6	Q	Okay. So the first time you revealed any information to anyone about
7	Jocelyn's b	ehavior this day would've been April, 2013. Right?
8	Α	Yes.
9	Q	Almost three years after the event?
10	Α	Yes.
11	MR.	BECKER: Nothing further.
12	MS. EDWARDS: No questions.	
13	THE	COURT: Jury have any questions of this witness?
14		Seeing no hands. Ma'am, you're free to go.
15		I think I might pass until tomorrow. Okay.
16		We're going to shut down today; send the jury home until tomorrow
17	morning at	nine o'clock.
18	MS. F	FLECK: Can we just approach regarding the time? Only because some
19	of the wit	
20	THE	COURT: What?
21	MS. F	FLECK: We anticipate finishing.
22	THE	COURT: Okay. Approach.
23		[Bench Conference Begins]
24	MS. F	LECK: So in the morning we'll have the brother who I was going to call
ae	2014	

1	THE COURT: Okay.	
2	MS. FLECK: and then we'll have Megan who	
3	THE COURT: And Dr	
4	MS. FLECK: We have Dr. Cetl	
5	THE COURT: Cetl.	
6	MS. FLECK: and then the detective.	
7	THE COURT: And the detective.	
8	MS. FLECK: We have to do a short 51.385 with Megan and the detective.	
9	THE COURT: Okay.	
10	MS. FLECK: But starting at nine; Cetl can't come until the afternoon and the	
11	detective will be after her. So, if we start at nine there's going to be a really long	
12	break between brother, Megan, and Dr. Cetl.	
13	So, my suggestion is either to gonna be start at do you just want to	
14	start at are you going to call any witnesses?	
15	MR. BECKER: I'm not I'm truly not sure.	
16	MS. FLECK: Because I was going to say we could start at we could start	
17	the leader like, you guys could have a longer morning and a lunch earlier and we	
18	could start at 12:15 or noon; go from noon to five.	
19	MR. BECKER: WE can ask the jurors to eat lunch beforehand.	
20	MS. FLECK: Yeah.	
21	MR. BECKER: I don't care. I tell you. I really don't care.	
22	MS. FLECK: We have four	
23	THE COURT: No. 1	
24	MS. FLECK: We basically have four witnesses left.	
25	THE COURT: Right.	

1	MS. FLECK: Hatchett will take awhile because we're		
2	THE COURT: Pardon?		
3	MS. FLECK: Hatchett will take some time but we could or we could		
4	THE COURT: I would like to start in the morning. I don't want and then we		
5	can break for lunch but		
6	MS. FLECK: Okay.		
7	THE COURT: We have the hearing, we have that and the doctor's		
8	available when?		
9	MS. EDWARDS: 12:15.		
10	MS. FLECK: Yeah. 12:15.		
11	THE COURT: 12:15.		
12	MS. FLECK: So what if we started at ten and then we can or what if we		
13	have the jury come back at 10 and we can come back at 9:30 to do the Megan's		
14	hearing?		
15	THE COURT: Yeah. That's		
16	MS. FLECK: The detect		
17	THE COURT: Is that all right with you?		
18	MR. BECKER: That's fine.		
19	MS. FLECK: The detective		
20	MR. BECKER: Court's pleasure.		
21	MS. FLECK: The detective could do his hearing on a 15-minute break. His		
22	51.385 hearing.		
23	THE COURT: Well, why don't we do his in the morning, too?		
24	MS. FLECK: And then		
25	THE COURT: Just get him here		

MS. FLECK: -- have him come back.

THE COURT: And you'll just have to bounce back.

MS. FLECK: Okay. I'll try to do that. Okay.

THE COURT: All right.

[Bench Conference Concludes]

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take our evening recess. During the recess you're admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial. Or read or watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial. Or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information including without limitation newspapers, television, radio, or the internet. Or form or express an opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

Why don't you come back at ten o'clock tomorrow. We should be able to finish this up tomorrow.

All right. Tom close -- Thomas, close the door.

All right. The jury is gone. You guys be back at 9:30. We'll do the 51.385 hearing tomorrow both for the detective and for Megan; make sure he's here. Okay?

MS. FLECK: Okay.

MR. BECKER: If I may, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. BECKER: My client has asked that I address the Court. Apparently they
-- he needs to speak to Megan regarding some kind of co-parenting issue and he's
asking if he has permission to do so. Perhaps, we could ask the D.A.'s to relay a
message to her unless they have no objection to him contacting her. Apparently --

THE COURT: Thanks.

MS. FLECK: Thank you.

[Eve

ATTEST: Pursuant to Rule 3C (d) of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, I acknowledge that this is a rough draft transcript, expeditiously prepared, not proofread, corrected, or certified to be an accurate transcript.

[Evening recess at 4:28 p.m.]

Brittany Mangelson

Independent Transcriber

Electronically Filed 02/27/2014 08:16:49 AM

		Atun J. Lemm	
1	RTRAN	CLERK OF THE COURT	
2			
3			
4		T COURT	
5	CLARK COU	NTY, NEVADA	
6	STATE OF NEVADA,	CASE NO. C269095	
7	Plaintiff,	DEPT. VIII	
8			
9	VS.		
10	DUSTIN JAMES BARRAL,		
11	Defendant.		
12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGLAS E. SMITH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
13			
14	THURSDAY, MAY 30, 2013 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS NRS 51.385 HEARING		
15			
16			
17	For the State:	MICHELLE FLECK, ESQ. Chief Deputy District Attorney	
18		MICHELLE Y. EDWARDS, ESQ.	
19		Deputy District Attorney	
20	For the Defendant:	MICHAEL L. BECKER, ESQ.	
21		MICHAEL V. CASTILLO, ESQ.	
22			
23		- >= 0000000	
24	RECORDED BY: JILL JACOBY, COURT RECORDER		
25	TRANSCRIBED BY: BRITTANY MANGI	ELSON, INDEPENDENT TRANSCRIBER	

1	THURSDAY, MAY 30, 2013 AT 9:34 A.M.
2	
3	THE COURT: All right. Do we have anything you want to put on the record
4	outside the presence?
5	MS. FLECK: May I get Michelle?
6	THE COURT: Sure.
7	MR. CASTILLO: Not from Defense, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	MS. FLECK: Hey.
10	THE COURT: Hey.
11	MS. FLECK: Good morning.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Are you ready?
13	MS. FLECK: Lovely day, isn't it?
14	Yeah. I'm ready.
15	THE COURT: All right. Let's start the hearing. C269095, State of Nevada
16	versus Dustin Barral. All parties and attorneys are present. Call your witness,
17	please.
18	MS. FLECK: State calls Detective Hatchett.
19	DETECTIVE TIMOTHY HATCHETT
20	[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:]
21	THE CLERK: Please be seated.
22	THE COURT: Thanks.
23	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
24	THE CLERK: Would you please state and spell your first and last name for

25 the record?

1

THE WITNESS: Timothy Hatchett. T-I-M-O-T-H-Y, H-A-T-C-H-E-T-T.

THE CLERK: Thank you.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MS. FLECK: May I proceed?

Thank you.

THE COURT: Mm-hmm.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. FLECK:

Q Good morning, Detective. For the limited purpose of this hearing I'm just going to direct your attention to July of 2010. How were employed at that time?

A At that time I was employed as a detective with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. And I was assigned to the juvenile sexual assault detail.

Q Okay. And as part of your duties, then as a detective within that unit, would you oftentimes either through dispatch or through patrol or through like a 9-1-1, 3-1-1 call get assigned to a sexual assault -- possible sexual assault case to further investigate?

A Correct.

Q And did that happen referenced Defendant Dustin Barral -- or Barral on -- around July 11th -- no, I'm sorry. July 14th of 2010.

A That is correct.

Q How was it -- what was the nature of the dispatch or the call and how did you get involved?

A On that day I was receiving phone calls in reference to any reported abuse. I was the detective who was on-call. I received a phone call from Jocelyn's

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

mother, Nicole Hammond. She indicated that her daughter possibly had been a victim of alleged sexual abuse. She described that her daughter had recently disclosed to her that her Uncle Dustin had possibly looked, touched, and also dug into her private area.

- Okay. So based upon that information, what did you do? Q
- During that time I processed the report in reference to the details, got a Α specific range and a date. She indicated that she believed that it happened somewhere between the 10th and the 12th. Due to the fact that it was possibly within a 72-hour period -- our protocol is to go ahead and have the child go down to Sunrise Hospital and have a [indiscernible] -- exam administered.
 - Okay. At some point then did you in fact meet with Jocelyn? Q
 - I did. Α
 - And --Q
 - The following day. Α
 - So what day was that? Q
 - That would be the 15th. Α
 - Q Where did you meet with her?
- I met with her at -- I believe it's Children Advocacy Center. I think the Α address is 701 North Pecos.
 - Okay. The CAC it's referred to? Kind of --Q
 - Correct. Α
- Okay. Kind of a assessment center for kids where they'll -- kind of a Q one-stop shop then for kids to come down and get interviewed.
 - That is correct. Α
 - When she came to the interview who was she with? Q

- A At that time she was with her mother and I believe her sister was also with her, Katelyn.
 - Q Where within the CAC did you conduct your interview?
- A There's a room that we specifically have designed for the children. It conducts video surveillance of the interview and I also conduct a audio recorded interview. In that room is where -- it's a safe environment for the child and it's designated for these types of interviews.
 - Q Okay. What was her demeanor when she came to you that day?
- A Her -- she didn't seem to be aware specifically of why she was there that evening. She didn't seem upset or anything of that manner. Normal four-year old demeanor.
 - Q How about her mom, Nicole? What was her demeanor?
- A I don't recall anything specific. More or less the phone call was more of a concern; obviously about the alleged abuse.
- Q So when she presented to you with her mom, neither of them were in a particularly emotional-like, kind of dramatic state?
 - A No.
- Q When you conducted your interview with you was her mother present or was it just the two of you?
 - A It was just the two of us.
- Q Was anyone from Child Protective Services or anyone else from the CAC there?
- A There's other people located inside the building; however, it was just the child and myself.
 - Q In the room?

A Correct.

Q What kind of training and experience do you have in interviewing children?

A Previous to this I received a bachelor's degree along with a graduate degree. During that time I studied interpersonal relations. It dealt with counseling, conducting mock counseling, human development, sexuality, psychology-related field.

Also while working in college, I also worked at the Youth Opportunity Center. We provided 24-hour monitoring of kids who had psychiatric disorder, suicidal disorder, kids who were sexually deviant, and along with chemical dependency issues. During that time we provided more of monitoring but we also established supportive counseling. They had assigned counselors at the facility but when those counselors weren't available we supported them in those needs.

Following graduating from college I was employed with Indiana State
Department of Correction Juvenile Facility as a psychiatric social specialist. During
that time they employed me to create a day program for kids who were recently
paroled. During that time I had to provide counseling to both the juveniles and the
parents and also create programs to -- basically life skills to try to prevent recidivism
once they were released out into the main world -- you know, back into their main
community.

Proceeding -- basically after that I was employed with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. Currently a sergeant with the Las Vegas Metro Police. At the time I was, as I noted a detective in sexual abuse and currently I've been employed for approximately nine years. During this time I received additional training. Some of the training that I received while I was in that field was forensic

13

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

2324

25

interviewing of children. Basically it's from National Children's Advocacy Center and I also received some training in regards to interviewing sexual deviants and basic and advanced interrogation skills.

And also at the time that I was transferred I was placed with a senior detective. She provided me with oversight to determine, you know, whether or not I was at the skill set to go ahead and proceed and do individual-based investigations prior to releasing me with children. So initially that's just the same process that all detectives go through at the time that they're introducted into the new detective experience or the new field that they're particularly going to be investigating.

- Q Okay. So you then -- you mentioned at the end of that that you were trained in forensic interviews. Is that right?
 - A That is correct.
- Q Fair to say that that's a technique used with children in order to not taint or pollute the interview and in order to elicit as much information as you can in an unbiased way?
 - A Correct.
- Q And did you employ the techniques that you learned in courses pertaining to forensic interviews with Jocelyn that day at that CAC?
 - A I did.
- Q What, if anything, did you learn from her through that in -- well, let me ask you this first. Was the interview recorded or memorialized in any way?
 - A It was. It was both video recorded and audio recorded.
 - Q And was a transcript then made from that audio/video recording?
 - A It was.
 - Q Okay. So what, if anything, did you learn from her that day?

A Basically, I met with the juvenile, tried to establish rapport with her. Obviously, she's a extremely young age, only four years of age. During that time you try to determine their cognitive abilities and also the type of vocabulary that they use. Generally speaking, I try to get an understanding of their household, get to understand a little bit about them in particular. I introduce markers. I will allow to identify the different colors of the markers. Also to count out loud indicating the particular number of markers.

During the interview we also place ground rules. I asked her during the interview whether or not she understands the difference between a truth and a lie. She indicated that it was better to tell the truth. She also brought forth something in regards to Jesus and God and also the fact that it was -- that He didn't want her to be mean to people. She agreed to only talk --

- Q He meaning his -- meaning God or Jesus?
- A Excuse me?
- Q He, meaning God or Jesus, didn't want her to be mean to people?
- A That appeared that's what she was trying to describe.
- Q Okay.

A She -- as I mentioned she illustrated and she understood the difference. She agreed to only speak with me about the truth. During the interview I also have a technique that I employ where I provided the child sometimes with a mathematical problem and I think in this particular case I asked her what five plus five was. The child described I don't know. And I immediately told that's exactly what I want you to do.

I did not want her to guess at anything during our interview and that I was only going to describe things that are truthful to her. I wanted her to think -- tell

25

me truthful things and if at any time there was something that she was not aware or she did not I did not want her to guess whatsoever and she was okay with just telling me Tim, it's -- I don't know. And I wanted her to do that. I didn't want her to make up any of those -- anything in relation to what she was saying.

- Q Okay.
- A She agreed to also doing that.
- Q Before you went into the interview, did you have the information from her mother regarding the exact allegation that she had made and against who?
 - A Yes, I did.
- Q Okay. So then what did you learn -- you said that you did all of the techniques you needed to determine basically her competency to --
 - A Correct.
- Q -- talk to you. She was able to distinguish between truth and a lie. Is that right?
 - A Correct.
- Q She was able to -- I mean, if you gave a scenario in which you wouldn't expect a four-year old to know, she appropriately said she didn't know.
 - A Correct.
- Q And so once you were satisfied you did a number of other things to ensure to yourself that she was competent to have a conversation with you.
 - A That is correct.
 - Q Then at some point do you go through body parts with her?
 - A I do.
 - Q Is she able to identify her body parts?
 - A She is.

1		Α	Yes, it is.
2		Q	For washing or cleaning up or having to help them wipe, something like
3	that?		
4		Α	Yes.
5		Q	That was of no concern to you?
6		Α	No concern.
7	-	Q	Okay. Then at some point do you ask her if anyone else has touched
8	her the	ere?	
9		Α	Correct.
10		Q	And does she tell you no?
11		Α	At one point yes, she said no.
12		Q	Okay. Are you using the word touch?
13		Α	I am using the word touch.
14		Q	And then at some point do you confront her with somewhat of the
15	staten	nent tl	hat you know from her caretakers?
16		Α	Correct. I do.
17		Q	And what was that?
18		Α	She utilized the word dug. Therefore, at that point I proceeded to use
19	the word dug and that immediately illustrated a response from her.		
20		Q	What was your exact question to her?
21		Α	I don't know if this is quote, unquote, but basically I didn't indicate any
22	sugge	stion	of who she told or what we were talking about or who the alleged
23	suspe	ct wa	s. It was something in the context of did you tell someone that someone
24	dug o	n you	r private areas.
25		Q	Okay. And then what did she say?

A She immediately replied Levi's daddy touched on my privates and went on to also refer to him as Uncle Dustin.

Q Okay. Then throughout the rest of the interview, what did you learn from her?

A Basically, in my opinion from the interview, as you previously mentioned the touch was not the illustration of what she conc -- was a concern of something wrong. It was more of the wording of digging or also dug that she described.

Basically, during the interview I asked her clarification questions to try to determine because we automatically have a response as adults to make a conclusion. Well, digging and dugging must be this.

Well, kids don't do that. We -- as a forensic interview I have to determine what specifically does a child mean. So therefore, I asked the child open-ended questions to try to get a clarification of what's particularly digging or dugging means in her context. Basically, a little information in regards to who was the person that was doing that or what that was in relation to. And what location she was at when this occurred.

Q Okay. So, who did she say was the person that was digging?

A She -- as again, she refi -- referred it to Uncle Dustin and also Levi's daddy.

Q Did she ever indicate throughout her entire interview that it was anyone else that was digging in her privates?

A No.

Q Did she -- besides the word digging, did she ever use any other words that indicated to you that it was more than touching? Something that was actually a penetration?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Yes. During the initial phase we do a placement of objects. Again, she utilizes markers, she was able to place the marker inside a box, outside the box, next to the box, a little bit inside the box. During the interview she clearly advised that the subject had place his fingers inside her private area. At one point I asked her to try to describe that on the picture. After she marked some of her private areas she actually at that point used her hand and conducted mannerisms actually showing me on video that she had -- the suspect had place his hand underneath her clothing and basically sunk is the wording she use, quote, unquote, into her private area.

Q Okay. He -- and she used it in the -- in a different tense but that she said he was sinking, he was sinking inside my privates. Is that right? And I could show you the transcript if it would help you.

A Yes. If it -- if that's incorrect then that is correct.

Q Okay. If that's how it reads in the transcript --

MR. BECKER: If I could just ask which page --

MS. FLECK: 19.

MR. BECKER: Okay.

BY MS. FLECK:

Q If that's how it reads in the transcript.

A Yes.

Q Okay. Did she talk to you at all about whether or not the Defendant touched her on her butt or her bottom?

A She did.

Q And what did she say about that?

A She also described him placing his fingers into her buttocks area.

Rectum.

Q Okay. And did she use the same terminology regarding her anus with -- in terms of digging?

A At one point I asked her if it was inside the skin, outside the skin, or something else -- you try to provide them with multiple choice answers to -- not to direct them in any particular fashion. She said it was inside the skin. I can't remember if she used the phrase dug or digging in that particular --

Q Okay.

A -- phase of the disclosure.

Q Did she say if he said anything to her while he was doing this?

A Yes. She indicated that -- at some course he indicated that he wanted to do it again and again.

Q Okay. Did she say if she said anything to him?

A She did. She -- I asked her if at any time if she had said anything to him. And she said that she told him to stop.

Q Did she tell you where she was when this happened?

A She did. She described the room, indicated that she was on a futon, and I believe she used the word Josh-Josh, which is Joshua is her younger -- which is Dustin's younger son. She indicated it was only Josh-Josh and herself who were in the room and that it was in the middle of the night that this occurred.

I asked her if she ever actually saw the Defendant and if it was daylight, nighttime, you know, or anything like that. She indicated that she was able to see the Defendant and hear his voice. She also indicated that she could describe the fact that he had no shirt on but had shorts on. And then also gave the other members of the household who were there.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE COURT: I'll make my finding now on this detective. And I -- again I'm reviewing 51.385 of the NRS. And again the jury is not present. And I'm doing subsection 2. Obviously the statement wasn't necessarily spontaneous. It was a result of going in and talking to the detective. I haven't seen the tape but listening to the detective that he utilized methods so that he wasn't repetitively questioning the girl. And the child does not have to fabricate. And the terminology that she used was unexpected for child of similar age. She was of stable mental state. So --

MS. FLECK: And Judge I -- that subsection 4 sometimes can -- I think can be read either way. It's --

THE COURT: I don't have subsection 4.

MS. FLECK: Well, I'm sorry, (d).

THE COURT: D?

MS. FLECK: But -- yeah. That the child used terminology unexpected. You know, in this particular case it's unexpected, I think, that she uses dug but expected that she uses words like private.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. FLECK: Because I've heard it argued that expected -- unexpected meaning if a four-year old came in and said my vaginal area was penetrated by -- digitally penetrated --

THE COURT: Right.

MS. FLECK: -- by my uncle, that that would be unexpected and clearly sort of coached and rehearsed.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. FLECK: So if we could just clarify unexpected meaning dug but

1 ||

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

expected --

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. FLECK: -- privates. Things like that.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. FLECK: And then -- sorry. Go ahead. That's it.

THE COURT: Okay. And so I find that this testimony will be presented.

MS. FLECK: And then just our -- obviously the best evidence of that will be the video. So we intend to play that this afternoon. Based upon our previous rulings regarding the quote, touching by this or kicking and the kissing on the shoulder by Neco, I have removed that from the video. So I'll go through the Defense -- with the Defense the portions. It's page 18, I believe.

THE COURT: And how long is the video? How long is the video?

MS. FLECK: I'd say about 40 minutes.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. FLECK: My transcript is with our --

MR. BECKER: I think it's page 16 you're talking about.

MS. FLECK: I think it's page 15. I started -- I cut it where it says is there anyone else that you consider -- is there anywhere else that you consider private that nobody should ever touch. That's it. So, I left that in. Cut it at that's it? Okay. Has there ever been a time that anybody else has touched in the areas. I cut it -- started the cut there.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. FLECK: Took it all the way through the next page. And then started it again as okay, has there been anybody else who's ever touched you in those private areas. Top of page 17. Ah, no. I left that in.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. FLECK: And then on page 18, I removed -- I think 6 or 7 lines down it says has anybody ever touched you in your privates or looked at your privates other than your friend, the four-year old. I simply took out other than your friend the four-year old. So I left in every time that, you know, the detective asked her has anyone touched you and she says no. I left all of that in. The only ind -- the only things that I took were any indica -- any reference to this four-year old child.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BECKER: And --

THE COURT: All right.

MR. BECKER: And I'll just -- I know the Court's ruled but I'll just submit for the record that when questioned about this specifically by Detective Hatchett, Jocelyn says, with regarding Neco touching her in that area, that my teacher's don't let him do that, which I believe shows that Jocelyn knows that making an allegation like this can get somebody in trouble. And I think along the lines of *Summit*, which we previously argued that it's relevant and should come in. And I'm making that comment for the record.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

MS. FLECK: And just as -- just also for the record that -- again, *Summit* you have to -- the touching has to be of the kind that is -- I mean, even if it was relevant and even if it was somehow sexual conduct for the purposes of this or to show prior knowledge it has to be of the same kind. And certainly a four-year old in a middle of a classroom, you know, hitting her private or kicking her private is significantly different and does not form the same basis of knowledge as a finger digging inside a girl's vagina. So --

24

25

1

2

3

MR. BECKER: It --

MS. FLECK: -- it's not even of the same -- even if -- it's not even something that would be considered under *Summit*. So.

MR. BECKER: And if I may, just -- the statement is he touched me on my privates. That's the statement. It's not hitting or kidding[sic]. It's one time one of my friends touched me and then -- that's on page 15 and then he touched me on my privates and kissed me. That -- a -- that is the statement. That's the exact language.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. BECKER: It's not hitting --

THE COURT: All right.

MR. BECKER: -- or kidding[sic].

THE COURT: Thank you. I think the record is clear and I'm allowing the testimony and the tape to be played -- or the CD, whatever it's called.

MS. FLECK: Thank you. And then we'll have -- the State will call one more witness --

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. FLECK: -- Megan Barral. That should be very, very brief regarding 51.385.

THE COURT: Okay. And your jury's here, Tom?

THE MARSHAL: Yes.

THE COURT: All right.

MEGAN BARRAL

[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:]

THE CLERK: Please be seated.

25

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE CLERK: Would you please state and spell your first and last name for the record?

THE WITNESS: Megan Barral. M-E-G-A-N, B-A-R-R-A-L.

THE CLERK: Thank you.

MS. FLECK: May I proceed?

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MS. FLECK: Thank you.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. FLECK:

- Q Good morning, Megan. For purposes of this particular hearing I'm just going to direct your attention to July 14th of 2010. Unfortunately, do you remember that day?
 - A Yes. I don't remember specific dates but yes.
- Q All right. Was there a Tuesday in July that you were at your parent's home, had left with your sons, and you were asked then by your mom to come back because there was somewhat of a -- of an emergency or something that your family wanted to talk to you about?
 - A Yes, ma'am.
- Q And tell me what you were doing at your family's home earlier before you got called back.
- A We had dinner together as a family. I had broughten[sic] the girls home to get them settled in with their mom that night.
 - Q Again, we already have a foundation of what was happening --
 - A Okay.

1	Q	kind of, you know, where Nicole had been and those kinds of things.	
2	Where were you when you got a phone call that you needed to come back to the		
3	house?		
4	A	I was at a gas station by my house.	
5	Q	And who called you?	
6	A	My mom did.	
7	Q	And what did she say?	
8	A	She said there was an emergency and that I needed to come home.	
9	Q	What	
10	A	Come back to the house.	
11	Q	What'd you say?	
12	A	I said is everything okay. Should I take the boys home? Do I need to	
13	go get D	ustin? She said no. Just come back right away.	
14	Q	And so what is that what you did?	
15	A	That's what I did.	
16	Q	When you got back to the house, what happened?	
17	A	I walked in the door. My brother took Levi and Joshua and took them	
18	upstairs.	And then my parents wanted to sit down and talk to me.	
19	Q	Okay. Was it both your mom and your dad that sat you down?	
20	А	Yes, ma'am.	
21	Q	And where was your sister Nicole at that time?	
22	A	I believe she was upstairs with all the kids.	
23	Q	Were the girls upstairs and the boys upstairs?	
24	A	Yes, ma'am.	
25	Q	What did you learn then from your parents when you came in the	

23

24

25

house?

A They asked me to sit down and I said am I ready for this? And sh -- my mom says just sit down and they proceeded to tell me that Jocelyn had given them some disturbing news.

- Q What was their demeanor when they presented this to you?
- A They were shocked. They looked frazzled.
- Q And what were you thinking in terms of what was coming?
- A I thought someone died.
- Q Okay. At some point then did they share with you what they had just learned?
 - A Yes.
- Q And then do you have an opportunity to speak with your niece, Jocelyn?

A After a while, yes. Sh -- they asked me if I wanted to talk to her and I said to -- I asked for a few minutes. And they said okay, when you're ready. And so when I told them I was ready they brought her downstairs.

- Q A few minutes to kind of gather yourself?
- A Yes.
- Q Who brought her downstairs?
- A I want to say it was my sister that brought her down because my sister came down originally a little bit after my parents had talked to me.
- Q So the two of you, you and your sister, had a minute to talk and then she brought Jocelyn down?
 - A Correct.
 - Q When you talked to Jocelyn where were you?

1	А	No.
2	Q	Do you see Dustin in the courtroom today?
3	A	[Nods head yes].
4	Q	Can you just quickly point him out and describe something he's
5	wearing?	
6	А	Green shirt.
7	MS.	FLECK: Let the record reflect identification of the Defendant.
8	MR.	BECKER: We'll stipulate, Your Honor.
9	THE	COURT: It will.
10	MS.	FLECK: Court's indulgence.
11		For purposes of this particular hearing, Your Honor, I don't think that we
12	really need	the State doesn't need to get anything further.
13	THE	COURT: Cross.
14	MR.	BECKER: Court's indulgence.
15	MR.	CASTILLO: Court's indulgence, please.
16	·	No questions, Your Honor, for this witness for this hearing.
17	THE	COURT: Thanks. You can step down.
18	THE	WITNESS: Thank you.
19	THE	COURT: Step back in the hallway.
20	MS.	FLECK: Judge and the State just has one thing briefly outside
21	THE	COURT: Okay.
22	MS.	FLECK: the presence but I'm going to ask to clear the courtroom if we
23	could for	just of any spectators.
24	THE	COURT: Okay. Just of the
25	MS.	FLECK: Just of the any family members or anything.

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: -- there's an attorney I have in the back. I allowed him to stay.

MS. FLECK: Who?

THE COURT: The attorney in the back.

MS. FLECK: Oh. Yeah.

I don't anticipate that the Defense would in any way go her but there's some extremely graphic, I would deem rather embarrassing for both Megan and the Defendant, details of their sex life in the interview that the detective conducts with Megan. So I, of course, am not going to go into that with her.

THE COURT: It's not relevant is it?

MS. FLECK: I don't think it's relevant.

MR. BECKER: I -- we could -- we couldn't agree more. We would --

MS. FLECK: Yeah.

MR. BECKER: We would -- I think whatever intimacy issues they had as a couple, we have no intention of getting into any of that.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. FLECK: Yeah and I didn't think so but it's so -- I mean, I can't even imagine what it would do to her if --

THE COURT: Yeah.

MS. FLECK: -- all of a sudden a question like that --

MR. BECKER: And --

MS. FLECK: -- was asked.

MR. BECKER: I -- for the record, I would disagree that -- you know, that this would -- that there was -- I wouldn't disagree it may be embarrassing for anyone to talk about what a couple's intimate sex life but I would disagree to the characterization that -- and I don't know exactly how it was characterized but I think

that their sex life fell within the range of normal. Regardless, we don't intend to get

comment on the fact that he invoked his --

THE COURT: You don't need to ask.

MS. FLECK: -- right to remain silent.

THE COURT: You have permission to lead her in that short bit of area so that we can -- we don't get stuck with problems.

MS. FLECK: Yes. I have advised her and she will not go there and I will make sure that -- I can steer her out of that area.

THE COURT: All right. Anything else that we need to do outside the presence?

MS. FLECK: Do you want rule briefly on the --

THE COURT: Yes. I was trying to pull up 51.385 but I find that the testimony of Ms. Megan -- I don't have her last name, this last witness, was within the bounds of 51.385. The discussion, I don't think that it was -- I think it is -- her -- statements to her will be admissible and is consistent with the -- everything staying out of pressuring this little girl too much to give false information. I think it's up to the jury to decide.

MR. BECKER: And finally before we bring the jury in, I would just ask again that Counsel confirm that they have relayed to Megan the Court's order with regard to Dustin's assertion of Fifth Amendment rights at the family proceeding so that it doesn't [indiscernible].

THE COURT: That's just what we talked about.

MR. BECKER: Right. I just want to make sure that that was relayed to Megan.

MS. FLECK: I -- yes. Numerous times and I think that the Judge just ruled that he's going to allow me some leeway to kind of lead her through the area of their

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

separation such that we specifically don't. But she's been told numerous and she's --

THE COURT: So it doesn't slip out.

MR. CASTILLO: Yeah.

MR. BECKER: I guess my concern with regard to the leading -- because Ms. Fleck did -- when she did a proffer on this her characterization had to do something to the effect that she had been -- I don't know kind of manipulated and brainwashed by Dustin and stuff. I mean, I don't know if that is part of the leading. I think that it should just be that at some time --

MS. FLECK: I think --

MR. BECKER: -- after.

MS. FLECK: If I ask a -- if I ask her isn't it true that you were manipulated by him and -- that that's --

THE COURT: Or she --

MS. FLECK: -- why you did --

THE COURT: -- believed that she was being manipulated.

MS. FLECK: That you believe that I think it would leave a worse impression with the jury for my case such that I can't get that evidence out myself. I mean, there's -- you know, she's capable of saying that if she wants to. Other witnesses that we call are willing to -- or capable of saying that if that's what they've seen. I wouldn't do that. I'm just going to say at some point they --

THE COURT: They separated.

MS. FLECK: -- separated.

- 33 -

1	MR. BECKER: That's fine. Okay.
2	THE COURT: All right. Bring the jury in, Tom.
3	[Proceeding concluded at 10:20 a.m.]
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/visual
22	recording in the above-entitled case.
23	
24	Brittany Mangelson
1	1

Independent Transcriber

Electronically Filed 11/20/2013 03:12:54 PM

		Alun J. Chrim
1	RTRAN	CLERK OF THE COURT
2		
3		
4	DISTRIC	T COURT
5	CLARK COUI	NTY, NEVADA
6	STATE OF NEVADA,	CASE NO. C269095
7	 Plaintiff,	DEPT. VIII
8	(
9	vs.	
0	DUSTIN JAMES BARRAL,	
1	Defendant.	
12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGLA	S E. SMITH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
3		MAY 30, 2013
4		F PROCEEDINGS TRIAL
15 16		OLUME III
17	For the State:	MICHELLE FLECK, ESQ.
18	To the otato.	Chief Deputy District Attorney
19		MICHELLE Y. EDWARDS, ESQ.
20		Deputy District Attorney
21	For the Defendant:	MICHAEL L. BECKER, ESQ. MICHAEL V. CASTILLO, ESQ.
22		
23		
24	RECORDED BY: JILL JACOBY, COUR	T RECORDER
25	TRANSCRIBED BY: BRITTANY MANGE	

WITNESS INDEX

'			
2	STATE'S WITNESSES	VOLUME	PAGE
3	NICOLE HAMMONDS	11	40
4	Direct Examination by Ms. Edwards Cross-Examination by Mr. Becker	4000 AND	12 30
5	Redirect Examination by Ms. Edwards Recross-Examination by Mr. Becker	CONTRACTOR	55 63
6		••	
	JOCELYN COLEMAN		
7	Direct Examination by Ms. Edwards	11	82
8	Cross-Examination by Mr. Becker		88
9	JOANNA HAMMONDS		
	Direct Examination by Ms. Fleck		99
10	Cross-Examination by Mr. Becker		124
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Becker (resumed)		139
11	Redirect Examination by Ms. Fleck	11	147
12	Recross-Examination by Mr. Becker	11	151
	Examination by Court [Jury Questions]	11	154
13	Follow-up Examination by Ms. Fleck	11	154
14	Follow-up Examination by Mr. Becker		158
	KATHERINE DENNY		
15	Direct Examination by Ms. Edwards	u de la companya de l	159
16	Cross-Examination by Mr. Becker	11	170
17	MEGAN BARRAL		
	Direct Examination by Ms. Fleck	Section Sectio	4
18	Cross-Examination by Mr. Castillo	Marketin Mar	39
19	Redirect Examination by Ms. Fleck	10 mm	59
15	Recross-Examination by Mr. Castillo	TOWNS THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	69
20	Examination by Court [Jury Questions]	III	72
	Follow-up Examination by Ms. Fleck	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	72
21	Tollow up Examination by work look	•••	• –
22	MICHAEL HAMMONDS		
اعدا	Direct Examination by Ms. Edwards	****	78
23	Cross-Examination by Mr. Castillo		95
_	5.500 <u></u>		
24	NICOLE HAMMONDS (recalled)		
2E	Further Direct Examination by Ms. Edwards	111	100
25	Further Cross-Examination by Mr. Castillo		103

1	STATE'S WITNESSES		VOLUME	PAGE
2	DR. SANDRA CETL			
3	Direct Examination by North Cross-Examination by North		TANKE TANKE	105 122
4	Redirect Examination b	y Ms. Fleck		131
	Recross-Examination b Examination by Court [**************************************	135 141
5	Follow-up Examination	by Ms. Fleck	111	142
6	Follow-up Examination	by Mr. Becker	111	143
7	TIMOTHY HATCHETT	A. Chal	111	4 4 4
8	Direct Examination by N Direct Examination by N) 	144 164
9	Cross-Examination by N	Mr. Becker	**************************************	174
10	Redirect Examination b	y IVIS. FIECK	111	186
11				
12	<u>E</u>	XHIBIT INDEX		·
13	NUMBER		<u>VOLUME</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
13	STATE'S EXHIBITS			
14	1 Photograph - 4 year			
15	old 2 Video recording of	, Jocelyn Coleman	11	17
16	;	tective Hatchett's		
17	1	erview with Jocelyn ram of body used	111	169
18	· ·	nterview	111	169
19				
	DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS			
20		ograph of baby nitor	111	47
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1	THURSDAY MAY 30, 2013 AT 10:21 A.M.
2	
3	THE MARSHAL: All rise, please.
4	[In the presence of the jury]
5	THE MARSHAL: And be seated.
6	THE COURT: Stipulate to the presence of the jury.
7	MS. FLECK: The State does, Your Honor.
8	MR. BECKER: Yes, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, thanks for being prompt.
10	Call your next witness State.
11	MS. FLECK: Thank you, Your Honor.
12	State calls Megan Barral.
13	MEGAN BARRAL
14	[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:]
15	THE CLERK: Please be seated.
16	THE COURT: Go ahead.
17	THE CLERK: Would you please state and spell your first and last name for
18	the record?
19	THE WITNESS: Megan Barral; M-E-G-A-N, B-A-R-A-L.
20	MS. FLECK: May I proceed?
21	THE COURT: Go ahead.
22	THE CLERK: Thank you.
23	MS. FLECK: Thank you.
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION
25	BY MS. FLECK:

1	Q	Good morning, Megan.
2	Α	Morning.
3	Q	Megan, do you have kids?
4	A	I do.
5	Q	How many kids do you have?
6	Α	Two boys.
7	Q	What are their names?
8	Α	Levi is the oldest and Joshua.
9	Q	How old is Levi?
10	Α	Levi is five.
11	Q	And how old is Joshua?
12	Α	He's three.
13	Q	Do you live here in Las Vegas with your two boys?
14	Α	My address is in Henderson, yes.
15	Q	But do you live with your two boys now?
16	Α	Yes. I do.
17	Q	Are you currently married?
18	A	I'm not.
19	Q	Pardon me?
20	Α	No, ma'am.
21	Q	Have you been married before?
22	A	Yes, ma'am.
23	Q	Who were you married to?
24	Α	Dustin Barral.
25	Q	Do you see Dustin in the courtroom today?

1	A	Yes, ma'am.	
2	Q	Can you please point to him and describe something he's wearing?	
3	A	[Witness points at defendant.] A green shirt.	
4	MS.	FLECK: Let the record reflect identification.	
5	BY MS. FL	ECK:	
6	Q	Megan, when did you first meet Dustin?	
7	Α	2005. I believe it was 2005. Sorry.	
8	Q	You okay?	
9	Α	Mm-hmm.	
10	Q	Okay. 2005?	
11	Α	Yes, ma'am.	
12	Q	And how did you meet?	
13	Α	We were attending the same church.	
14	Q	Fair to say that you and your parents and your family at that time were	
15	quite involved with church?		
16	A	Yes, ma'am.	
17	Q	What church was it that you were going to?	
18	Α	We were at the Dream Center of Las Vegas.	
19	Q	And that was the same church that the Defendant was going to?	
20	Α	Yes, ma'am.	
21	Q	How old were you when you met him?	
22	Α	Twenty years old.	
23	Q	How did your and the Defendant's relationship progress from the time	
24	you met? J	ust I'm talk just to narrow it down, that's kind of vague but just to	
25	narrow it do	wn that very first portion of your relationship.	
- 1	l		