IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DUSTIN JAMES BARRAL, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 64135

FILED

MAY 0 8 2014

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER STRIKING DOCUMENT FROM APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

On April 2, 2014, we entered an order directing appellant to show cause why a transcript of the victim's interview with police should not be struck from his appendix. Our order noted that the transcript does not appear to be appropriately included in the appendix because it does not bear the district court clerk's file-stamp, see NRAP 30(c)(1), and does not appear to have been admitted into evidence and made part of the trial court record, see NRAP 10(a). See NRAP 10(b)(1); Carson Ready Mix v. First Nat'l Bk., 97 Nev. 474, 635 P.2d 276 (1981). To date, appellant has not responded to the order to show cause. Because it does not appear that the transcript is appropriate for inclusion in the appendix and consideration by this court on appeal, we direct the clerk of this court to strike pages 5-13 of the appendix filed in this gourt on March 18, 2014.

It is so ORDERED.

C.J

cc: Las Vegas Defense Group, LLC Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A