LAXALT & NOMURA, LTD. ATTORNEYS AT LAW 9600 GATEWAY DRIVE RENO, NEVADA 89521

1	and	
2	Robert L. Eisenberg	
3	Lemons, Grundy & Eisenberg 6005 Plumas St, 3rd Floor	
4	Reno, NV 89519 Tel: (775) 786-6868	
5	101. (773) 700-0000	
6	4. Identify each respondent and the name and address of appellate counsel, if	
7	known, for each respondent (if the name of a respondent's appellant counsel is unknown,	
8	indicate as much and provide the name and address of that respondent's trial counsel):	
9	MEI-GSR Holdings LLC, a Nevada limited liability company d/b/a Grand Sierra Resort,	
10	which claims to be the successor in interest to NAV-RENO-GS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability	
11	company. MEI-GSR Holdings LLC's appellant counsel is unknown, however, its trial counsel is	
12	as follows:	
13	Trial Counsel:	
14	Stan Johnson, Esq.	-
15	Cohen-Johnson, LLC 255 E. Warm Springs Rd, Ste 100	***************************************
16	Las Vegas, NV 89119 Tel: (702) 823-3500	
17	Sumona Islam, individually, whose appellant counsel is unknown, however her trial	
18	counsel is as follows:	
19		
20	Trial Counsel: Mark Wray, Esq.	
21	Law Office of Mark Wray 608 Lander Street	
22	Reno, NV 89509	
23	Tel: (775) 348-8877	
24	5. Indicate whether an attorney identified above in response to question 3 or 4	
25	is not licensed to practice law in Nevada and, if so, whether the district court granted that	1
26	attorney permission to appear under SCR 42 (attach a copy of any district court order	4
27	granting such permission):	-
28 LTD.	All counsel identified in response to questions 3 and 4 are believed to be licensed to	***************************************
E	practice law in Nevada.	

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10 11

12

13

14 15

17 18

16

20

19

22

21

23 24

25

26 27

28

6. Indicate whether appellant was represented by appointed or retained counsel in the district court:

Appellant was represented by retained counsel in the district court.

7. Indicate whether appellant is represented by appointed or retained counsel on appeal:

Appellant is represented by retained counsel on appeal.

8. Indicate whether appellant was granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and the date of entry of the district court order granting such leave.

Appellant was not granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis.

9. Indicate the date the proceedings commenced in the district court (e.g., date complaint, indictment, information, or petition was filed):

The Verified Complaint For Damages was filed in the district court on April 27, 2012.

10. Provide a brief description of the nature of the action and result in the district court, including the type of judgment or order being appealed and the relief granted by the district court.

The Plaintiff's action was for breach of contract, conversion, tortious interference with contractual relations and prospective economic advantage, violation of the Nevada Uniform Trade Secret Act, and declaratory relief. The appeal arises out of the decision of the district court following a bench trial and the finding in favor of appellee MEI-GSR Holdings LLC on all counts and awarding costs and attorney's fees against appellant and in favor of appellee. The award of costs and attorney's fees had not yet been finalized as of the filing of the original case appeal statement. The Court found in favor of appellant with regard to its claims against Defendant Sumona Islam with the exception of its claim for conversion, the denial of which is also a subject of this appeal. The Court found in favor of appellant with regard to its other claims against Sumona Islam, awarding \$13,060 in damages on the breach of contract claim, \$10,814 in damages on the violation of the Uniform Trade Secret Act claim and \$20,000 in punitive damages. The adequacy of these damages are disputed on appeal. The Court also made an award of costs and attorney's fees which at the time of filing of the original case appeal statement had not yet been finalized. The Court found that the claim for tortious interference

1 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 2 I certify that on this date, I served a copy of the foregoing document upon all counsel of record by: 3 X Mail on all parties in said action, by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed 4 envelope in a designated area for outgoing mail, addressed as set forth below. At the Law 5 Offices of Laxalt & Nomura, mail placed in that designated area is given the correct amount of postage and is deposited that same date in the ordinary course of business, in a 6 United States mailbox in the City of Reno, County of Washoe, Nevada. 7 \boxtimes By electronic service by filing the foregoing with the Clerk of Court using the E-Flex system, which will electronically mail the filing to the following individuals at the email 8 addresses set for the below. 9 \boxtimes By email to the email addresses below. 10 11 Steven B. Cohen, Esq. Mark Wray, Esq. Stan Johnson, Esq. Law Office of Mark Wray 12 Brian A. Morris, Esq. 608 Lander Street 13 Terry Kinnally, Esq. Reno, NV 89509 Cohen-Johnson, LLC 14 255 E. Warm Springs Rd, Ste 100 mwray@markwraylaw.com Las Vegas, NV 89119 15 scohen@cohenjohnson.com 16 sjohnson@cohenjohnson.com 17 bmorris@cohenjohnson.com tkinnally@cohenjohnson.com 18 Robert L. Eisenberg, Esq. 19 Lemons, Grundy & Eisenberg 6005 Plumas St, 3rd Floor 20 Reno, NV 89519 21 rle@lge.net 22 day of May, 2014. 23 24 25 26

27

28