

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DIPAK KANTILAL DESAI,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
Respondent.

No. 64591

**FILED**

APR 21 2014

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY S. Young  
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER GRANTING MOTION IN PART*

Appellant has filed a motion for a 120-day extension of time to file the opening brief. While we agree that an extension of time is necessary based on the late production of the transcripts and the length of the record in this matter, we are not convinced that a 120-day extension is warranted. Accordingly, we grant the motion in part. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B).

Appellant shall have until July 21, 2014, to file and serve the opening brief and appendix. Given the length of this initial extension request, no further extensions shall be permitted absent extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the opening brief and appendix may result in the imposition of sanctions.

It is so ORDERED.

, C.J.

cc: Franny A. Forsman  
Wright Stanish & Winckler  
Attorney General/Carson City  
Clark County District Attorney