

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MATTHEW WASHINGTON,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 65998

FILED

APR 24 2015

TRACIE K. LINDBMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *[Signature]*
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Appellant has filed a motion for a fourth extension of time (40 days) to file the opening brief. Extraordinary circumstances and extreme need having been demonstrated, we grant the motion. Appellant shall have until June 1, 2015, to file and serve the opening brief and appendix.

This appeal was docketed in this court in July of 2014, more than nine months ago. Any further motions for an extension of time will not be viewed favorably and will not be granted absent demonstration of the most extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Neither counsel's caseload nor the need to communicate further with appellant will normally be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf.* *Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the opening brief and appendix may result in the imposition of sanctions.

It is so ORDERED.

[Signature], C.J.

cc: Clark County Public Defender
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney