

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP., A NEVADA
CORPORATION; AND SANDS CHINA
LTD., A CAYMAN ISLANDS
CORPORATION,

Petitioners,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE
ELIZABETH GOFF GONZALEZ,
DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

STEVEN C. JACOBS,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 67576

FILED

APR 02 2015

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER DENYING PETITION IN PART
AND GRANTING STAY*

This is a petition for a writ of prohibition or mandamus challenging a district court order imposing sanctions for violations of a discovery order. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Elizabeth Goff Gonzalez, Judge.

Writ relief is an extraordinary remedy, and whether a petition for extraordinary relief will be considered is solely within this court's discretion. *Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 679, 818 P.2d 849, 851, 853 (1991). Such relief is "is generally unavailable to review discovery orders," unless certain limited exceptions, not present here, apply. *Las Vegas Sands Corp. v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 130 Nev. Adv. Op. No. 61, 331 P.3d 876, 878 (2014) (citing *Aspen Fin. Servs., Inc. v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 128 Nev. Adv. Op. No. 57, 289 P.3d 201, 204 (2012); *Valley Health Sys., LLC v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*,

127 Nev. Adv. Op. No. 15, 252 P.3d 676, 679 (2011)). After reviewing the documents on file in this matter, we conclude that the only portion of the district court's March 6, 2015, order that may warrant relief is the portion directing Sands China Ltd. to make contributions of \$50,000 to each of five different legal organizations, and we will entertain the petition in that respect only. As writ relief is not warranted with respect to the remainder of the district court's order, *id.*, the petition is denied in all other respects.

In light of the foregoing, we grant petitioners' motion for stay to the extent that we stay the portion of the district court's order directing Sands China Ltd. to make monetary contributions to third parties, until further order of this court. We deny the motion for stay in all other respects.¹

It is so ORDERED.²

1 Hardesty C.J.
Hardesty

Douglas, J.
Douglas

Cherry, J.
Cherry

Saitta, J.
Saitta

Gibbons, J.
Gibbons

¹We also lift the temporary stay entered in this matter on March 17, 2015; as noted above, we stay the portion of the district court's order directing the payment of monetary contributions to third parties.

²The Honorable Kristina Pickering and the Honorable Ron Parraguirre, Justices, were voluntarily recused from this matter.

cc: Hon. Elizabeth Goff Gonzalez, District Judge
Kemp, Jones & Coulthard, LLP
Holland & Hart LLP/Las Vegas
Morris Law Group
Pisanelli Bice, PLLC
Eighth District Court Clerk