## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

SANDS CHINA LTD.,	No. 68265
Petitioner,	
vs.	
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,	FILED
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF	i han has had
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE	JUL 0 1 2015
ELIZABETH GOFF GONZALEZ,	
DISTRICT JUDGE,	TRACIE K. LINDEMAN CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
Respondents,	BY S. Y CLERK
and	·
STEVEN C. JACOBS,	
Real Party in Interest.	
SANDS CHINA LTD., A CAYMAN	No. 68275
ISLANDS CORPORATION,	
Petitioner,	
vs.	
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,	
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF	
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE	
ELIZABETH GOFF GONZALEZ,	
DISTRICT JUDGE,	
Respondents,	
and	
STEVEN C. JACOBS,	
Real Party in Interest.	
LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP., A NEVADA	No. 68309
CORPORATION; SANDS CHINA LTD.,	
A CAYMAN ISLANDS CORPORATION;	
AND SHELDON G. ADELSON, AN	
INDIVIDUAL,	
Petitioners,	
VS. THE FICHTH HIDICIAL DISTRICT	
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,	
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF	
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE	
	I · · · ·

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA ELIZABETH GOFF GONZALEZ, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents, and STEVEN C. JACOBS, Real Party in Interest.

## ORDER

These original petitions for writs of mandamus or prohibition challenge district court orders arising from the same case and involving the same parties. In the interest of judicial efficiency, we consolidate these matters in this court.

The petition in Docket No. 68309 challenges a district court order scheduling a trial date and related pretrial matters. Petitioners have filed a motion to stay all proceedings in the district court pending this court's resolution of these writ proceedings. In determining whether to grant a stay pending resolution of a writ petition, this court considers: (1) whether the object of the writ petition will be defeated if the stay is not granted, (2) whether petitioner will suffer irreparable or serious injury if the stay is denied, (3) whether real party in interest will suffer irreparable or serious injury if the stay is granted, and (4) whether petitioner is likely to prevail on the merits on the writ petition. NRAP 8(c); Fritz Hansen A/S v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 116 Nev. 650, 657, 6 P.3d 982, 986 (2000). Having considered petitioners' motion, as well as the real party in interest's opposition and petitioners' reply, we grant the motion to the following extent: The trial date is stayed and vacated, as are the portions of the district court's order that pertain to pretrial motions. However, with the exception of the stay entered in Docket No. 68275 on June 23, 2015, of the deposition of David Turnbull, discovery is otherwise not stayed and may continue as to all parties. We note that the stays entered

Supreme Court of Nevada

(O) 1947A

in these matters toll the five-year period set forth in NRCP 41(e). Boren v. City of North Las Vegas, 95 Nev. 5, 6, 638 P.2d 404, 405 (1982) ("Any period during which the parties are prevented from bringing an action to trial by reason on a stay order shall not be computed in determining the five-year period of [NRCP] 41(e).")

Further, our review of the petition in Docket No. 68309 indicates that petitioners have set forth issues of arguable merit and that they may have no plain, speedy, and adequate remedy at law. Therefore, real party in interest, on behalf of respondents, shall have 20 days from the date of this order within which to file and serve an answer, including NRAP 21(b)(1). authorities, against issuance of the requested writ. Petitioners may file a reply within 11 days of the answer being served.

It is so ORDERED.<sup>1</sup>

le C.J. Hardestv

J.

ΰ.

Douglas

J. Cherry Gibbons

J.

Saitta

<sup>1</sup>In the motion for stay, petitioners also note that when this court entered an order in Docket No. 68265 directing the real party in interest to file an answer, "it did not specify that [petitioner Sands China Ltd.] would have an opportunity to file a reply," and requests this court clarify that it may file such a reply. Petitioners may file a reply in support of the petition in Docket No. 68265 within 11 days from the date of this order.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A @

3

cc:

Hon. Elizabeth Goff Gonzalez, District Judge
Kemp, Jones & Coulthard, LLP
Holland & Hart LLP/Las Vegas
Morris Law Group
Pisanelli Bice, PLLC
Alan M. Dershowitz
Eighth District Court Clerk

(O) 1947A 🐗