

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

SANDS CHINA LTD.,

Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF  
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE  
ELIZABETH GOFF GONZALEZ,  
DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

STEVEN C. JACOBS,

Real Party in Interest.

No. 68265

**FILED**

**FEB 24 2016**

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY S. Young  
DEPUTY CLERK

SANDS CHINA LTD., A CAYMAN  
ISLANDS CORPORATION,

Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF  
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE  
ELIZABETH GOFF GONZALEZ,  
DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

STEVEN C. JACOBS,

Real Party in Interest.

No. 68275

LAS VEGAS SANDS CORP., A  
NEVADA CORPORATION; SANDS  
CHINA LTD., A CAYMAN ISLANDS  
CORPORATION; AND SHELDON G.  
ADELSON, AN INDIVIDUAL,  
Petitioners,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF  
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE

No. 68309

ELIZABETH GOFF GONZALEZ,  
DISTRICT JUDGE,  
Respondents,  
and  
STEVEN C. JACOBS,  
Real Party in Interest.

*ORDER GRANTING PETITION FOR REHEARING AND CLARIFYING  
PRIOR ORDER (DOCKET NO. 68275), AND  
DENYING PETITION FOR REHEARING (DOCKET NO. 68265)*

Steven Jacobs has petitioned this court for rehearing of this court's November 4, 2015, order in Docket No. 68275. Having reviewed the petition, we are persuaded that rehearing is warranted. NRAP 40(c). In particular, having considered the rehearing petition and the answer thereto, we conclude that a corporation is responsible for producing its officers, directors, or managing agents for a deposition at the noticed location, subject to the district court's discretionary authority to issue a protective order. Thus, while our November 4, 2015, order already directed the clerk of this court to issue a writ of prohibition instructing the district court to vacate its June 19, 2015, order directing Sands China's Independent Director to appear for a deposition in Hawaii, we clarify that the district court does have the authority to determine the location of a deposition and should consider any subsequent request for a protective order under the framework set forth in *Okada v. Eighth Judicial District Court*, 131 Nev., Adv. Op. 83, 359 P.3d 1106 (2015).<sup>1</sup>

Sands China has also petitioned this court for rehearing of this court's November 4, 2015, order in Docket No. 68265. Having

---

<sup>1</sup>In rendering this decision, we have not considered Sands China's argument regarding the purported part-time or non-executive status of its Independent Director, as that argument was not sufficiently developed.

considered the petition and the answer thereto, we conclude that rehearing is unwarranted. NRAP 40(c). Accordingly, we deny Sands China's rehearing petition.

It is so ORDERED.

Hardesty, J.  
Hardesty

Douglas, J.  
Douglas

Saitta, J.  
Saitta

Wilson, D.J.  
Wilson

Cherry, J.  
Cherry

Gibbons, J.  
Gibbons

Dobrescu, D.J.  
Dobrescu

cc: Hon. Elizabeth Goff Gonzalez, District Judge  
Alan M. Dershowitz  
Kemp, Jones & Coulthard, LLP  
Holland & Hart LLP/Las Vegas  
Morris Law Group  
Pisanelli Bice, PLLC  
Eighth District Court Clerk