1	prior to trial. I did inform Mr. Elkins immediately that		
2	I would be calling him as well.		
3	THE COURT: This isn't like a criminal		
4	information, I don't think. I think you can add as time		
5	goes on.		
6	DEKEN GOSSETT,		
7	having been first duly sworn,		
8	was examined and testified as follows:		
9			
10	DIRECT EXAMINATION		
11	BY MS. ELCANO:		
12	Q Good morning. Could you please state and		
13	spell your name for the court?		
14	A Deken, D-e-k-e-n, Gossett, G-o-s-s-e-t-t.		
15	Q Mr. Gossett, what is your present employment		
16	or occupation? Pardon me.		
17	A I'm a marriage and family therapist intern		
18	and I work with Clover Community Counseling.		
19	Q Are you specifically employed there or are		
20	you an independent contractor with Clover?		
21	A I'm an independent contractor with Clover		
22	Community Counseling.		
23	Q Approximately how long have you been employed		
24	there?		

1	A About Seven years.		
2	Q What is your educational background?		
3	A I have a master's degree in educational		
4	counseling and educational psychology.		
5	Q What license or licenses do you currently		
6	hold?		
7	A I'm a licensed marriage and family therapist		
8	intern under my supervisor, Mike Freitas.		
9	Q Thank you. I apologize, I didn't mean to cut		
10	you off there.		
11	And what are you allowed to do as a marriage		
12	and family therapist intern?		
13	A We do psychotherapy as well as family systems		
14	counseling.		
15	Q What training have you undergone to become an		
16	MFT? Sorry. The beeping was distracting to me.		
17	What training have you undergone to become an		
18	MFT intern?		
19	A My master's degree, there was pre- and		
20	postgraduate counseling hours. I've had over 3,000		
21	postgraduate counseling hours as well as supervision and		
22	postgraduate education, continuing education, in my		
23	field.		

Q Have you had occasion to become familiar with

Jackie Guerrero? 1 Yes, ma'am. 3 And in what capacity have you been involved with Ms. Guerrero? I was her therapist briefly. 5 When did you first become or start to treat 6 Ms. Guerrero? 7 January 9, 2014. 8 Α I'm sorry. You said January 9th? January 9, 2014. 10 Α 11 Thank you. I'm sorry. If you can just close anything 12 you have open in front of you. 13 I'm sorry, ma'am. 14 And who referred Ms. Guerrero to you for 15 treatment? 16 It was a Washoe County referral through, I 17 believe, the Children's Cabinet. 18 And why was Ms. Guerrero referred to you? 19 She was referred to me initially, I believe, 20 for counseling specifically related to depression 21 symptoms and anxiety symptoms. 22 Did you complete an evaluation of 23

Ms. Guerrero when you first met with her?

24

The initial evaluation was difficult to 1 complete because she was --2 3

MR. ELKINS: Objection, Judge.

Nonresponsive.

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

BY MS. ELCANO:

- Did you complete?
- Α No.
 - And why not?
- She was standoffish and withdrawn and difficult to answer questions.
- But you proceeded -- well, approximately how many times did you meet with Ms. Guerrero?
 - Four times, ma'am.
- And the first time you met with her, was that specifically for the evaluation?
- It was not for an evaluation. It was for an initial counseling session.
 - 0 Okay.
- It was for the first session. We did -- of Α course, any time that there's an initial counseling session, we go over confidentiality, we try to build rapport within that session, we look at what's the presenting issue, and I generally work with the client within the guidelines of what is present within the

moment.

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

At that time Ms. Guerrero was talking about reasons why she was coming to counseling, why she was being asked to come to counseling, and I was dealing with -- we were trying to process, within that moment, all of the extending factors that had brought her into the office.

- Q And then you met with Ms. Guerrero how many subsequent times?
- A Four times after, or three times after our initial meeting.
- Q Okay. And so you indicated that you tried to do an evaluation of Ms. Guerrero.

Did you render any diagnoses or make any diagnoses?

- A She had previous diagnoses, ma'am. I did not render any new diagnoses.
 - Q And -- I'm sorry?
 - A That's it.
- Q And what was your understanding for why
 Ms. Guerrero's children were in care?
 - MR. ELKINS: Objection.
- THE WITNESS: My understanding --
- MR. ELKINS: Objection. I don't know what

that is as to this counseling, so maybe == my objection 1 is, I don't believe that's within the scope of his 2 expertise. It's actually to the form of the question, I 3 suppose, and could be rephrased. 4 THE COURT: You threw a whole bunch of them 5 out there this time. 6 Ask the question again. MS. ELCANO: I was asking what the 8 understanding was of why Ms. Guerrero's children were in 9 10 care. THE COURT: Did that have anything to do with 11 12 the counseling you provided? THE WITNESS: No. 13 14 THE COURT: Okay. MR. ELKINS: Thank you, Judge. 15 THE COURT: Objection sustained. 16 17 BY MS. ELCANO: And how long were your sessions with 18 Ms. Guerrero? 19 Fifty-minute sessions. 20 Α 21 Five zero or one five? I'm sorry. Five zero, 50-minute. 22 And you said you met on January 9th. 23 did you subsequently meet? 2.4

If you have anything in front of you, can you 2 just close it and move it down? I just want to make sure 3 you're not looking at anything. 4 THE COURT: If you can't remember something 5 and you need to refer to your notes, ask if you can refer 6 to your notes, and then we can argue about whether you 7 can do that or not. So go ahead. 9 MS. ELCANO: Thank you. 10 BY MS. ELCANO: 11 So you said you first met with Ms. Guerrero 12 on January 9th. When did you meet with her next? 13 May I refer to my notes? 14 I have progress reports that you have 15 0 written --16 MR. ELKINS: Judge, I object to the --17 THE COURT: Does he need to refer to his 18 If he does notes. 19 MS. ELCANO: I was just going to refresh 2.0 recollection based on progress reports, Your Honor. 21 MR. ELKINS: I just don't want the attorney 22 to testify. 23

I'm sorry, I don't understand.

1

24

MS. ELCANO: I was saying I have progress

If they would refresh recollection, I could 7 provide the progress notes to him. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. ELKINS: I would like, if I can, to see 4 what is being handed to the witness. MS. ELCANO: Again, this is all discovery 6 that has been provided to me. 7 BY MS. ELCANO: Would your progress report in January of 2014 9 refresh your recollection? 10 Α Yes, ma'am. 11 12 MS. ELCANO: May I approach the witness? THE COURT: You've got a copy? 13 MS. ELCANO: Yes. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. 15 MS. ELCANO: Do you want it marked first? 16 THE COURT: You need to mark it, yes. 17 MS. ELCANO: May I approach the clerk? 18 THE COURT: Yes. It's probably good practice 19 to do that, but you don't have to do it with me, so --20 MS. ELCANO: It's habit. I apologize. 21 THE COURT: It's probably a good habit to get 22 into, but if you don't, I'm not going to yell at you. 23 MS. ELCANO: Thank you. I might jump if you 24

did. THE COURT: I yelled at the defense attorney 2 down in Pahrump, but he asked for it. I'll try not to 3 4 yell at you. MR. ELKINS: It's hard to believe you yelled 5 6 at him, Judge. THE COURT: This guy was -- it was in front 7 of a jury, and he was -- he objected, and I overruled the objection, and he kept arguing with me. So finally I 9 told him to sit down and shut up, and I yelled it at him, 10 and I had a little discussion with him at the next 11 12 recess. MR. ELKINS: I'm going to make a note of 13 1.4 that, Judge. (Petitioner's Exhibit II was marked.) 15 BY MS. ELCANO: 16 If you could please review the note and let 17 me know if that does refresh your recollection. 18 THE COURT: That was marked as Exhibit II. 19 20 THE COURT: Okay. THE WITNESS: The next date of service is 21 22 BY MS. ELCANO: Did that refresh your recollection? 23 24 Α Yes.

May I take that back from you? 0 1 Α Yes. Thank you. 3 When did you see Ms. Guerrero next? 4 The 16th, January 16th. Α Of 2014? 0 6 Yes, ma'am. 7 Α And when did you see Ms. Guerrero again? 8 0 The 23rd. Α 9 And after that? 10 The 30th. 11 Ά And you did not see Ms. Guerrero or did you 12 see Ms. Guerrero again? 13 No, ma'am. 14 Did Ms. Guerrero arrive on time for your 15 sessions that you saw her? 16 Yes, ma'am. 17 And how would you characterize Ms. Guerrero's 18 demeanor during your sessions? 19 Ms. Guerrero seemed very -- her posture was 20 slumped most of the time during the session. She seemed 21 withdrawn and resistant to certain questioning. 22 O How would you characterize her level of 23 engagement? 24

2.4

depression.

Q What issues or problems were you addressing with Ms. Guerrero in these four sessions that you had?

A She came to sessions with anxiety issues and seemed visually as well as expressed being anxious and fearful of -- and suspicious of not only CPS, but suspicious of counseling services as well.

Q And what treatment did you recommend based upon those observations or those mental health issues that you were addressing?

A I'm sorry. Just to add, she also did express some depression and lack of energy. And to work within that moment, we had looked at breathing exercises, we had looked at trying to process through all of the outside forces that placed her in the situation that she was placed in, as well as she was assigned homework to try to identify factors and causes of her depression or things that triggered her depression, but she was resistant to homework assignments.

- Q Did she complete the homework assignments?
- A No, ma'am, she did not.
- Q And what -- sorry.

So you had indicated you last saw

Ms. Guerrero, I believe, on January 30th; correct?

A Yes, ma'am.

Q And at that time did you believe Ms. Guerrero required additional therapy services?

- A · Yes, ma'am. And we had another appointment established, I believe, for the 3rd of February, which she no called/no showed.
- Q And what did you do when Ms. Guerrero did not show up for that?
- A I continued to try to contact Ms. Guerrero.

 I tried multiple attempts for multiple weeks, and she
 never got back to me, so we issued a cancellation policy.

 I believe that we issued it to -- because we couldn't get
 ahold of Ms. Guerrero, we issued it to Rocio.
- Q And during your interactions with

 Ms. Guerrero, did she explain any cognitive delays or

 difficulty communicating or understanding questions and

 conversation?
 - A None that I was aware of.
- Q To your knowledge, was Ms. Guerrero employed while she was seeing you?
 - MR. ELKINS: Objection. Relevance.
 - MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, I think, obviously, employment is relevant. There has been a lack of sustaining employment, and I think --
 - THE COURT: Does that have anything to do

with your treatment, whether she was employed or not? 1 THE WITNESS: Actually, we did talk about her employment, Your Honor. 3 MR. ELKINS: I'll withdraw the objection, 4 Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Go ahead. 6 BY MS. ELCANO: 7 Was Ms. Guerrero employed? 8 I do not believe so. Α 9 And what were your discussions with 10 Ms. Guerrero regarding employment? 11 If she was looking for employment, talking 12 about how that generally made her feel in regards to her 13 depression, if that helped her depression when looking 14 for work, always just in regards to her symptoms of 15 depression or anxiety or any lack of anxiety for not 16 having work at the moment. I mean, increased 17 anxiety -- I'm sorry -- increased anxiety for not having 18 work. 19 And did you discuss with Ms. Guerrero whether 20 or not she was engaged in a relationship romantically 21 with anyone? 22

was engaged in a relationship with a Robert Taylor-Hunt,

23

24

She was engaged in -- I was aware that she

21

22

23

24

build a relationship with Mrs. Guerrero because there

wasn't a lot of consistency as far as appointments. So

we would make an appointment, and then we would, just in

As far as demeanor goes, it was difficult to

that session, I think, begin to build a relationship, and then there was a lapse between the appointment -- because we had initially set up for twice a week, and she would only show up once a week and seemed a little bit distant within the appointment and somewhat noncompliant, just with the therapeutic process. I believe she was just suspicious of the process, with me suspicious of the process.

Q Did Ms. Guerrero demonstrate an ability to follow through when working with you?

MR. ELKINS: Objection. That's not a therapeutic question, Judge. We know she went to four sessions.

THE COURT: Yeah. He's already testified that she didn't come back and she should have, so that's -- that question has probably already been answered.

BY MS. ELCANO:

Q Did you believe that continued therapy was necessary at the time Ms. Guerrero stopped seeing you?

MR. ELKINS: Judge, I believe this question has been asked and answered already.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. ELKINS: Thank you.

1	THE COURT: Answer the question.		
2	THE WITNESS: Yes. She had she was had		
3	not completed her goals.		
4	BY MS. ELCANO:		
5	Q What were those goals?		
6	A To reduce anxiety and depression.		
7	MS. ELCANO: If I may approach the witness		
₂ 8	again with Exhibit II.		
9	MR. ELKINS: May I see it? Is this the one		
10	you've already shown him?		
11	MS. ELCANO: II.		
12	MR. ELKINS: Thank you.		
13	BY MS. ELCANO:		
14	Q Can you please identify that document to the		
15	Court?		
16	A This is a January progress report that I		
17	submit to Social Services.		
18	Q And you authored the report?		
19	A Yes, ma'am.		
20	Q Is it a true and accurate copy of your		
21	progress report?		
22	MR. ELKINS: Judge, I have no objection if		
23	she wants to move it into evidence.		
24	THE COURT: It's admitted.		

(Petitioner's Exhibit II was admitted.) 1 MS. ELCANO: Thank you. If I may approach and give it to the clerk, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Yes. 4 MS. ELCANO: I have no further questions. MR. ELKINS: Thanks. 6 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. ELKINS: 9 How are you, Mr. Gossett? 10 Good, sir. 11 Α Good. So Ms. Guerrero saw you for four 12 sessions, once a week from January 9th until January 13 30th; correct? 14 Yes, sir. 15 Is there anything atypical about seeing a 16 therapist once a week? 17 That's usually typical, sir. 18 When you engage in a therapeutic relationship 19 or -- I don't know if that's the correct language, I'm 20 sorry -- when you engage a new patient --21 Yes, sir. 22 A -- is it important to establish a therapeutic 23 alliance? 24

It is, sir. 1 Were you able to establish a therapeutic 2 alliance with Ms. Guerrero? 3 No, sir. Α 4 Without a therapeutic alliance, would she 5 have benefited from this process? 6 No, sir. 7 Α Okay. So would you say that you were an 8 appropriate therapist for Ms. Guerrero? 9 I'm not sure -- I'm not sure I was an 10 appropriate therapist, but I'm not sure that I was not an 11 appropriate therapist either, sir. 12 It was a good fit? 13 0 Not at that time, sir. 14 Okay. Given what happened in those four 15 weeks, is it possible you would have recommended she see 16 someone else? 17 I would have continued to work with the 18 client, sir, hopefully to build a relationship. 19 Sometimes it takes longer than four sessions. 20 Okay. But you saw her because she was 21 referred by Children's Services; correct? 2.2 That is correct. 23 Α Did she ask you to see her with

2.4

Mr. Hunt-Taylor, her significant other? 1 I'm not sure. I think -- I'm not sure of 2 that one, but it might have been. 3 When you first saw her, Mr. Gossett, did you 4 take a history from her? 5 I did take a history. 6 Did you know that she had just given birth on 7 January 1st? 8 I did, sir. 9 Did you know that she had previously been 10 diagnosed or -- withdraw that. 11 Did she ever tell you she had been previously 12 diagnosed with postpartum depression? 13 Α No, sir. 14 Did you consider postpartum depression? 1.5 I did, sir. 16 What was your opinion with regard to that? 17 MS. ELCANO: Objection. He hasn't been 18 qualified as an expert witness. 19 MR. ELKINS: Let me rephrase the question. 20 THE COURT: He was giving her therapy, so the 21 objection is overruled. 2.2 Did you have an opinion about it? 23

24

THE WITNESS: My opinion was to just work

within the moment and to work with whatever the client is giving me. Mrs. Guerrero was not very forthcoming with 2 much information within the session. 3 BY MR. ELKINS: 4 Are you familiar with postpartum depression? 5 I am familiar with postpartum depression. Did she present consistently with someone who 7 might have postpartum depression? Α I'm not -- I can't -- I can't say. 9 Had she just given birth? 10 0 11 Yes. Α 1.2 0 Did she appear depressed? 13 Yes, sir. A MR. ELKINS: No further questions. 14 THE COURT: You only saw her four times; 15 16 right? THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Do you feel like you developed 18 19 any kind of a rapport with her at all? THE WITNESS: Some rapport, yes, Your Honor, 20 And it seemed to be building at that point. I 21 mean, each session seemed to build some -- some more 22 23 rapport upon the next.

THE COURT: But you were disappointed that

24

1	she stopped?	
2	THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.	
3	THE COURT: You know, maybe I keep saying	
4	that things are intuitive, but she just had a baby, there	
5	are three other kids that had been taken away from her,	
6	she's living with her father. I'd be depressed, too, an	
7	I'd be anxious, too.	
8	Am I wrong?	
9	THE WITNESS: No, Your Honor.	
10	THE COURT: Okay.	
11	THE WITNESS: There was a lot going on for	
12	her.	
13	THE COURT: Okay.	
14	THE WITNESS: I was actually worried for her.	
15	THE COURT: Okay. Does anybody have any	
16	questions from the questions I asked?	
17	MS. ELCANO: I just have one follow-up	
18	question, Your Honor.	
19		
20	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	
21	BY MS. ELCANO:	
22	Q Why were two sessions a week requested	
23	initially versus one?	
24	A I believe I had talked to Rocio about that, I	

MR. ELKINS: No, no. That's fine.

24

THE COURT: I'm sorry.

1.4

MR. ELKINS: No, no. I can take care of myself.

THE COURT: Do you have a short witness?

Whenever I say "a short witness," it's like some little guy is going to walk in and testify. A brief witness, I guess. I don't know if there is such a thing.

MS. ELCANO: I'm hopeful, if we can go a little bit longer, I might be able to get through my next witness quickly.

THE COURT: Okay. So we can either start lunch now and come back at 1:15 or we can do lunch late and --

MS. ELCANO: Were we only going to take an hour-and-15-minute lunch.

THE COURT: We will.

How are we moving along? It appears to me at this point in time we're going to need another day no matter what, so if we have another day no matter what, how are we moving along?

MS. ELCANO: I think I'll be fine with another day. I do think I will get through some more witnesses as we progress today. I think my longer witnesses for the most part have testified.

```
MR. ELKINS: I have witnesses on tap for
1
     tomorrow. I've pushed them back.
2
                  THE COURT: Do you want to push a little bit
3
     in terms of not taking an hour and a half for lunch,
4
     taking an hour and 15 minutes?
5
                  MR. ELKINS: I don't know if 15 minutes is
 6
     going to make a big difference, Judge. I'm all in favor
7
     of lunch.
                             Do you want to call your witness
                  THE COURT:
 9
     when you come back?
10
                  MS. ELCANO: I would prefer to push through.
11
     I have people who have been waiting for hours.
12
                  THE COURT: How long is the next witness
13
      going to be?
14
                  MS. ELCANO: If she's out there -- let me
15
      just double-check if she's out there.
16
                  THE COURT: Okay.
17
                  MS. ELCANO: I'll call Belinda Boan.
18
      1////
19
20
      1////
      11111
21
      11111
2.2
      11111
23
      11111
24
```

1	BELINDA BOAN,			
2	having been first duly sworn,			
3	was examined and testified as follows:			
4				
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION			
6	BY MS. ELCANO:			
7	Q G	Good morning. Can you please state and spell		
8	your name for	the Court?		
9	A E	Belinda Boan. You need it spelled?		
10	Q E	Please.		
11	A E	B-e-l-i-n-d-a B-o-a-n.		
12	Q F	and what is your present occupation,		
13	Ms. Boan?			
14	A I	I'm a family nurse practitioner.		
15	Q F	Now long have you been employed as a nurse		
16	practitioner?			
17	A 3	've been employed Sequel for six months now.		
18	Q F	and where are you presently employed?		
19	A S	Sequel Family Alliance.		
20	- Q (kay. And how long have you been licensed as		
21	a nurse pract	citioner?		
22	A S	Since 2013.		
23	Q F	and can you please briefly describe your		
24	educational k	packground to the Court?		

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A Okay. My duties are to do initial evals. Those are like 50 minutes. In that 50 minutes I get as much history as I can on the patient. And then the other things I'm looking at are medical conditions. Some patients may not be appropriate to prescribe psychotropic medications to. I look at that.

Q If I can back up a little bit.

What specifically are you evaluating these

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Mental health.

Thank you. I'm sorry. If you could

Okay. And then if appropriate, then I prescribe medications.

The first step for a lot of people is for them to get therapy, and so a lot of -- almost 100 percent of patients I'm going to refer for therapy. Not 100 percent are going to get medication.

And have you conducted just an evaluation for Jackie Guerrero?

> I did. Α

When, approximately, did you do so?

That was April 9th of this year.

Okay. And what information was provided to you by Ms. Guerrero?

She briefly went through her history growing up. You know, the other thing that I kind of wanted in the record, if it's possible, is that this testimony -- I've never been called before, and this patient does have a patient-provider --

THE COURT: Confidentiality.

THE WITNESS: -- confidentiality here, and

so, you know, the only way that I could testify as to 1 details of her assessment is if it was court-ordered by 2 the judge here. MS. ELCANO: I would request that given that 4 Ms. Boan did complete an evaluation for Ms. Guerrero, 5 that she be ordered to testify. 6 MR. ELKINS: Judge, may we have a brief 7 conference out of the presence of the witness? 8 THE COURT: Certainly. 9 You'll have to leave, ma'am, but it is 10 appropriate because of the confidentiality. I agree. 11 (The following proceedings were held outside 12 the presence of the witness.) 13 MR. ELKINS: Judge, we weren't provided with 14 a copy of the evaluation. 15 MS. ELCANO: I don't have a copy of the 16 17 evaluation. MR. ELKINS: I'm going to object on grounds 18 19 of surprise. MS. ELCANO: They were notified of the 20 witness, when we initially exchanged information as to 21 witnesses to be called, specifically that she would 22

provide testimony regarding the psychotropic medication

evaluation which was done.

23

24

While I did try to obtain it, I was informed 1 I couldn't get it because there has been no release 2 signed, so I wasn't able to obtain this. The person who 3 is able to obtain it is Ms. Guerrero. MR. ELKINS: It could have been subpoenaed, 5 Judge, and, also, I was ---6 When was she put on the witness list? 7 MS. ELCANO: She was initially disclosed to 8 you as a witness. 9 MR. ELKINS: The witness list. When was she 10 put on the witness list? 11 MS. ELCANO: When we exchanged witness lists, 12 she was initially disclosed. 13 MR. ELKINS: Is she on your witness list, 14 Ms. Elcano? 15 MS. ELCANO: Are you asking in regards to a 16 trial statement or are you asking in regards to the 17 witness list that I initially provided to you at 18 disclosure of discovery. 19 MR. ELKINS: You sent me an e-mail with 20 people you might call, if that's what you're talking 21 about. We got no evaluation and she was not noticed to 22

me as someone you were calling.

23

24

MS. ELCANO: Yes, she was. She was also in

my trial statement.

2.1

THE COURT: She is in the trial statement.

MR. ELKINS: Is she, Judge? I'm sort of at a disadvantage here because, without seeing the evaluation, how do I know if I think that it's --

THE COURT: What are you expecting her to testify to?

MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, one of the --

THE COURT: Make a proffer.

MS. ELCANO: It's my understanding that no medication was recommended, no psychotropic medication. I think one of the biggest or one of the things that has been argued through this case is that Ms. Guerrero needs medication and hasn't gotten it, and she did an evaluation and she recommended that medication was not appropriate.

MR. ELKINS: Judge, I have no problem, without disclosing the evaluation, which I don't have, having this RN, nurse practitioner, testify that she saw this client and did not recommend medication.

MS. ELCANO: I think that she needs to provide a basis for that recommendation.

THE COURT: If that's the evidence you want, then all she has to do is say she evaluated her and

determined she didn't need medication.

2.2

MS. ELCANO: I think we have to have a basis for why she didn't need medication. I think -- I can try to go about that without getting specific information as to what was disclosed.

MR. ELKINS: Judge, in effect she's being asked to testify as an expert based on information I don't have. It's a complete surprise to me that --

THE COURT: If all you're trying to prove is she didn't -- that a nurse practitioner, who is more than just a nurse -- they're between a nurse and a doctor, and, actually, I'm usually more happy to see a nurse practitioner than I am the damn doctor, because the nurse practitioner will at least talk to you. The doctor, half the time you feel like a cow that's getting led to slaughter. So I actually place a lot of weight on the nurse practitioner's testimony.

So she evaluated her and didn't feel she needed to be prescribed any medication. If he stipulates to that, do we need her testimony?

MS. ELCANO: I think, Your Honor, I would like to have the basis for that, and I think that can be provided without going into specifics as to what was disclosed by Ms. Guerrero in the evaluation.

24

MS. ELCANO: I think this witness could

testify that sufficient information was not provided by 1 Ms. Guerrero in order to merit the need for medication. 2 THE COURT: Well, if that's all her testimony 3 is, then would you stipulate to that? 4 MR. ELKINS: Judge, I have no problem with 5 the stipulation saying, based on an interview with my 6 client, this nurse practitioner did not recommend 7 medication. THE COURT: But if she wasn't forthcoming 9 with information and that's why she wouldn't do it, 10 that's --11 MR. ELKINS: Again, how do I know? There's 12 something. Obviously there was an evaluation. I don't 13 have it. I literally do not physically have it, Judge. 14 I'm not playing games. It's not a card trick. 15 THE COURT: I tell you what I'm going to do. 16 I'm going to bring her back in and tell her I want her to 17 provide a copy of the evaluation. 18 MR. ELKINS: Well, you know, it just comes 19 20 as --THE COURT: We may have to subpoena her later 21 on. I don't know 22 MR. ELKINS: It comes as a surprise to me, 23

24

Judge, and --

THE COURT: Well, we could do this, you

know -- we're not going to have this extra day for at

least -- it's not going to be next week, so it will be

the week after that.

So the stipulation at this point is that she

was not prescribed medication. You want to get into why

she wasn't. I'll order her to turn a copy of the

evaluation over to you and you.

So bring her back in. She doesn't need to

THE COURT: Ms. Boan, I wanted -- did you do an evaluation, a written evaluation?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

come all the way up here.

THE COURT: I want you to provide a copy of that to -- you don't have to come up. I want you to provide a copy to Ms. Elcano and a copy to Mr. Elkins.

Okay? I'm ordering you to do that.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE COURT: And that's all we need right now from you. It's doubtful -- I suspect you're not going to have to come back.

THE WITNESS: You suspect what?

THE COURT: I suspect you're not going to have to come back. It was -- like I was explaining to

them, I had a heart attack back in 2000, so I have to 1 go -- I have to go to a doctor every now and then, and 2 I'm always more happy when I get the nurse practitioner than I am the doctor because at least you can talk to the 4 5 nurse practitioner. So I don't want to waste your time. Doctors 6 I don't care about. I don't want to waste your time 7 because you're the one who's providing the treatment, but 8 I'm also ordering you to give the D.A. a copy of your 9 evaluation and Mr. Elkins. 10 11 Do you have it there with you? THE WITNESS: I actually made two copies in 12 case, in case, and --13 THE COURT: Give each one of them a copy. 14 MR. ELKINS: Judge, I'm going to ask this 15 witness be continued at least. 16 THE COURT: That's what we're going to do. 17 We may have to call you back in a week or 18 19 two. I hope not. MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, to be clear --20 THE COURT: It could be the report would be 21

witness to Mr. Elkins on August 12, 2015. Additionally,

MS. ELCANO: I did provide notice of this

22

23

24

enough.

1	her résumé was requested by Mr. Elkins subsequent to
2	then. I did inform him what she would be testifying to.
3	THE COURT: Maybe once she looks at the
4	report, you can admit it as an exhibit and it will have
5	everything that you
6	MS. ELCANO: I just wanted that to be clear,
7	that that initial disclosure was made.
8	MR. ELKINS: Yes. Ms. Elcano included this
9	witness's name in an e-mail along with a number of
10	others.
11	THE COURT: Okay. We've got the reports.
12	Thank you, ma'am.
13	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
14	THE COURT: We're going to take our noon
15	recess now.
16	MS. ELCANO: Thank you, Your Honor.
17	Come back at 1:15.
18	(The midday recess was taken.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	-000-
2	RENO, NEVADA; WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 2, 2015; 1:15 P.M.
3	-000-
4	
5	THE COURT: Let's go on the record, then.
6	This is Case No. FV14-03897 in the matter of
7	the parental rights of the Taylor children as alleged in
8	the petition. The parties are present with their
9	counsel.
10	Ms. Elcano, next witness.
11	MR. ELKINS: Judge?
12	THE COURT: Mr. Elkins asked for some
13	preliminary matters.
14	MR. ELKINS: First of all, we would like to
15	excuse the witness Robert Hunt-Taylor who is subject to
16	an order to produce. We feel that given the progression
17	of the trial, that his testimony would be cumulative, and
18	we don't want to delay the matter any further if
19	necessary, the cumbersomeness, etcetera.
20	I have consulted with my client.
21	Do you agree with this?
22	THE RESPONDENT: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Do you agree, Ms. Elcano?

MS. ELCANO: Yes. I was not intending on

calling him. Thank you for asking, Your Honor. 1 MR. ELKINS: So we would ask that the order 2 to produce be vacated. 3 THE COURT: Do I need to sign something for that? 5 THE CLERK: I will find out. 6 THE COURT: Given the stipulation by counsel 7 and the representations by the respondents --8 I don't know -- are you, I guess, a 9 respondent. 10 MR. ELKINS: Respondent's counsel. 11 THE COURT: Close enough. 12 -- I'll set aside that order requiring 13 production. 14 MR. ELKINS: Thank you, Judge. 15 Secondarily, initially I believed that 16 Ms. Carter would not testify, that she wasn't able to be 17 located. Apparently she has been located. Given that, I 18 will stipulate to the admissibility of her evaluation. I 19 have no objection if she's going to testify. 20 MS. ELCANO: It's AA, apple apple. 2.1 THE COURT: Apple apple is admitted. 22 (Petitioner's Exhibit AA was admitted.) 2.3 MR. ELKINS: In light of her availability to 24

lay the foundation and testify to the contents thereof, I 1 have no objection to its admission. I think that's all, Judge. Thank you very 3 much. 4 THE COURT: Did you get anything straightened 5 out about Ms. Boan? 6 MR. ELKINS: Yes, Judge. I read that 7 document and an attached document. The attached document 8 is certainly not admissible under any circumstances, the e-mail. 10 MS. ELCANO: I didn't receive an attached 11 document. 12 MR. ELKINS: I'll show you right here. 13 Also, Judge, given the contents, we would not 14 be stipulating to the admission of that document, and I 15 would have to cross-examine to make her --16 THE COURT: As I understand, you've already 17 stipulated that, based on her evaluation, no medications 18 were prescribed. 19 MR. ELKINS: Yes. I have no problem with 20 that, Judge, but the document itself, I cannot stipulate 21 to its admission without cross-examination. 22 THE COURT: If you want to go into this later

on, you can, but if you have witnesses waiting, let's go

23

on with that.

1.4

1.5

MS. ELCANO: I'd call Brianna Carter, Your Honor, and I will make the decision as to whether I am going to re-call Ms. Boan.

THE COURT: I'll make an observation,

Ms. Elcano. Sometimes if it seems like I'm being hard on
you, this is just hardly one step below prosecuting a
criminal case, and the Supreme Court is really -- at
least the decisions I've seen that they've written in
regards to termination of parental rights, as you're
aware, they consider this as serious a civil case as
possible.

So to a limited degree I treat you like you're a prosecutor, and I've always found that if you bear the burden, sometimes me making sure that you do that, when it gets up on appeal, ensures a better -- a better chance that there's not going to be any problem.

MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, I haven't taken it that way at all, but I appreciate the clarification.

MR. ELKINS: I think you're being too nice to her, Judge.

THE COURT: Most people thought because I was a prosecutor, when I got to be a judge, that I would be hard on the defense attorneys, but the truth is that, if

therapy. We also assess and diagnose emotional and 1 mental health disorders. And are you a licensed marriage and family 3 therapist? 4 A T am. When did you become a licensed MFT? 0 6 I became licensed in November 2014. 7 Α Who is your present employer? 8 I am currently employed by Great Basin 9 Behavioral Health and Wellness. 10 Approximately how long have you been employed 11 12 there? Since January of this year. 13 And where were you employed prior to that? 14 0 The Children's Cabinet. 15 Α And approximately how long were you employed 16 with the Children's Cabinet? 17 Eight years. 18 Α And what were your duties and 19 responsibilities while employed at the Children's 20 Cabinet? 21 22 I had various positions. I was a case manager for a number of years, and then I was a marriage 23

and family therapist intern, so provided individual,

2.4

to do?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

A The same -- the same things that you do as an MFT, a fully licensed MFT. You're just supervised by a clinical supervisor, so someone that is a licensed MFT and then also certified as a supervisor as well.

- Q Who was your supervisor in June of 2013?
- A Gail Faulstich.

THE COURT: How do you spell that?

THE WITNESS: First name is G-a-i-l, last

10 | name F-a-u-l-i-c-h [sic].

BY MS. ELCANO:

Q Has Jacqueline Kleinedler -- I may have completely mispronounced that -- ever been your supervisor?

A She was also my supervisor. She was my supervisor at the Children's Cabinet, but the person who was supervising my clinical internship was Gail Faulstich.

Q Got it.

Can you explain to the Court what a psychosocial examination is?

A It's a structured clinical interview to collect diagnostic information, and that information is used to assess mental health — mental and emotional

Q What steps are taken to complete a psychosocial evaluation?

2.1

- A Usually it's just a self-report from the client. And so I'll ask the client about her history and things like that, and so then you'd use the history and any observations and signs and symptoms to complete the assessment and diagnosis.
- Q Approximately how long do you interview a patient in order to render a psychosocial examination?
 - A It's generally hour to hour and a half.
- Q And I think you've kind of answered this, but just so we're all on the same page, what information is taken into consideration to render your psychosocial evaluation?
- A So the client's history, medical history, social, family history, any trauma history, the client's self-report of the presenting situation, so their chief complaint, and then the signs and symptoms and observations.
 - Q Why is that information important?
- A It's important to have a clear picture in order to provide appropriate recommendations and planned

1 | treatment services.

Q And did you complete a psychosocial evaluation for Ms. Guerrero?

A I did.

Q And why was Ms. Guerrero referred to you for an evaluation?

A She was referred by her social worker to kind of assess what services she was engaged in and to determine if they were appropriate and if she needed further treatment.

Q Did you discuss with Ms. Guerrero where her children were?

A I did.

Q And what information did she provide regarding her children?

A She explained that they were in the care of Washoe County Social Services.

Q What, if any, information did she provide for the reason for that?

A She explained that her children were removed because the social worker determined that she couldn't meet their basic needs and because of their history with the agency.

Q And who did you interview when conducting

- 11	
1	Ms. Guerrero's psychosocial interview evaluation?
2	Pardon me.
3	A Just the client.
4	Q So just Ms. Guerrero?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Thank you.
7	And how many times did you meet with
8	Ms. Guerrero?
9	A Three times.
10	Q And what were each of those times used to do?
11	A The first meeting was to conduct the
12	interview for the psychosocial assessment, and then the
13	following two meetings were therapy sessions.
14	Q Okay. When did you first meet with
15	Ms. Guerrero?
16	A Well, I thought it had been in September of
17	2013, but it could have been in June. The first
18	Q Would a review of your psychosocial
19	examination refresh your recollection?
20	A Yes, it would.
21	Q If you open the black binder in front of you
22	and turn to Exhibit AA not the white one there and
23	it's apple apple, so it's going to be closer to the end.

Just for identifying purposes, can you tell

me what that document is?
A The psychosocial evaluation.
Q Did you author that?
A I did.
Q So when did you first meet with Ms. Guerrero?
A It was June 24, 2013.
Q And when did you subsequently meet with
Ms. Guerrero for those two additional sessions?
A I believe that was in September.
Q Okay. Of what year?
A 2013.
Q How long, approximately, was your meeting
with Ms. Guerrero?
A To complete the psychosocial interview?
Q Correct. I apologize.
A An hour and a half.
Q It was, okay.
And where did you meet with Ms. Guerrero to
complete the psychosocial evaluation?
A I met with her in my office at the Children's
Cabinet.
Q And during your interview did Ms. Guerrero
demonstrate any cognitive delays or difficulty in
communicating or understanding you?

of the presenting situation?

22

23

24

triggered by the -- kind of the life circumstances she

She was experiencing quite a bit of anxiety

was experiencing at the time. The removal of her children was causing some anxiety. That was her chief complaint was her children being separated from her.

Q Did Ms. Guerrero, throughout this evaluation, report to you any prior mental health issues?

A She stated that she had been medicated for depression during her adolescence, I believe, for a short period of time. That was her only other mental health history that I collected.

Q And if you could turn to the mental health history -- I believe it's on page 2 -- did Ms. Guerrero provide any information regarding anger issues?

A She did.

O And can you tell the Court what that was?

A She reported she had a history of anger issues starting in the adolescent years and then continuing on to that present moment. She -- I asked her about coping strategies she used to address her anger, and she was able to report some coping strategies.

Q What were those coping strategies?

A She stated that she would sometimes yell into a pillow, draw a picture and throw it away, or she would clean the house to self-soothe.

Q And what information, if any, did

Ms. Guerrero provide to you regarding what she might describe as panic attacks?

2.1

2.3

A She described physical sensations and symptoms of panic attacks. She stated they were generally triggered by thoughts of her separation from her children.

Q And what were your clinical impressions of Ms. Guerrero?

A She was cooperative during the interview. She did appear to be anxious and restless during certain parts of the interview. Her affect was congruent to the situation and topics discussed. Her flow of thought and language was normal. She demonstrated good insight because she was -- had an ability to connect her anxiety to thoughts that triggered that anxiety. And I evaluated her judgment as fair as she understood and agreed for the need for assessment services.

Q And what diagnoses, if any, did you render regarding Ms. Guerrero?

A It was a provisional diagnosis, but it's a panic attack disorder without agoraphobia.

Q What's agoraphobia?

A Agoraphobia is basically a fear about having a panic attack in a public place and not being able to

- escape that place, so it perpetuates the anxiety. So this panic disorder diagnosis can be -- it has to be specified with or without agoraphobia.
 - Q Now, you qualified this as a provisional evaluation. Can you tell me what you mean by that?
 - A The provisional diagnosis was --
 - Q Or diagnosis, I'm sorry.
 - A -- given because I wanted to be able to get to know Jackie a little bit better and further assess other areas, and it's also -- I'm sorry to interrupt.
 - Q That's fine.

- A I also provided a provisional diagnosis because I wasn't able to -- wasn't able to gather enough information to be completely confident in that diagnosis.
- Q Did you schedule another appointment with Ms. Guerrero in order to further evaluate?
 - A I did.
- Q And when was that appointment scheduled for; do you recall?
 - A I can't recall.
- Q , Do you recall if Ms. Guerrero attended that appointment?
 - A She did.
 - Q Okay. And did that change your evaluation in

any way?

A It didn't at the time. I believe that session was focused on kind of the things that she was dealing with that week, kind of trying to de-escalate her anxiety was my focus, and then I planned to follow up with more questions about assessment and future sessions.

Q And obviously you've noted a lot of anxiety throughout your report.

Why wasn't an anxiety disorder identified or diagnosed here?

A She didn't meet -- her current presenting symptoms didn't meet the full criteria for any other anxiety-related disorders.

Q And why not?

A Well, she just didn't meet the criteria in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. For example, I looked at generalized anxiety disorder as a differential diagnosis, and she didn't meet the full criteria for that diagnosis.

- Q What criteria didn't she meet, if you recall?
- A I would have to reference my DSM, and it's a DSM that I no longer use. It was a couple of years ago.
- Q What were your recommendations for Ms. Guerrero given the diagnosis of panic disorder

without agoraphobia?

A I recommended that Jackie attend individual therapy and that she could possibly benefit from couples therapy as well, and I think that was something that she was interested in.

Q Did you have any indication of depression when dealing or evaluating Ms. Guerrero?

A She had some symptoms of a depressed mood, but, again, she didn't meet the full criteria for depressive disorder.

Q And was any recommendation made as to obtaining a psychiatric evaluation?

A I didn't at that time.

Q And why not?

A Well, my treatment recommendations for this particular diagnosis, I thought therapy would have been a sufficient treatment at that time, to try that first, and then if that wasn't effective, then I would have referred her to a psychiatrist for medication.

Q And did Ms. Guerrero participate in individual therapy with you?

A I believe she attended two sessions after the psychosocial interview was completed.

Q And do you know approximately when those

2.0

- A I believe they were in September.
- Q Okay. And did Ms. Guerrero engage in couples therapy?
 - A She did not.
- Q And the two sessions in September, why were they so long if your evaluation was done in June, until September?
- A And I apologize, I haven't been able to look at the file completely to refresh my memory. I haven't looked at my clinical notes since I no longer work at the Children's Cabinet, but I believe I had a difficult time scheduling Jackie. I remember she had to reschedule numerous times.
- Q Okay. And when you saw her again in September of 2013, why didn't you see her more than just those two sessions?
- A From my recollection, I don't think she followed through after that second session.
- Q And do you recall whether or not you attempted to reschedule with Ms. Guerrero?
 - A I did.
 - O And was that successful?
- 24 A No.

Q Given those two sessions with Ms. Guerrero, how would you describe her level of engagement?

A Again, she was cooperative, she was forthcoming with information. She wasn't -- she stated numerous times she was very motivated to get her children back and to engage in services, but, you know, she wasn't able to follow through with my recommendations.

Q And at the time you no longer were seeing Ms. Guerrero, was it your belief that additional counseling service -- was it your recommendation that Ms. Guerrero continue to engage in counseling?

A Yes.

2.0

Q And what was the basis for that recommendation?

A Because of her high level of anxiety.

Q To your knowledge, did Ms. Guerrero switch to a different therapist?

A I know that she was referred to another therapist, but I'm not sure of what the follow-through was on that.

Q To your knowledge, who was that therapist, if you recall?

A I believe she was referred to Patrick Tanner, but I'm not sure.

1	Q At what agency?
2	A Clover Counseling.
3	MS. ELCANO: I have no further questions at
4	this time, Your Honor.
5	
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. ELKINS:
8	Q Good afternoon.
9	A Good afternoon.
10	Q If you look at AA, which is your psychosocial
11	evaluation, you determined that Jackie began having
12	anxiety attacks starting at the age of 13; is that
13	correct?
14	A I see under her mental health history that
15	she had the diagnosis of depression at age of 13.
16	Q If I can draw your attention to the top of
17	the third page, second line.
18	A Oh, yes. Yes.
19	Q Okay. And she said, in fact, that they were
20	getting worse; is that correct?
21	A They were increasing in frequency, yes. I'm
22	not sure about intensity.
23	Q She reported panic attacks of two or three in
24	a six-month period; frequency has increased since April

of 2013? 1 2 Α Yes. And did she tell you what happened in April 3 of 2013? I believe that's when her children were 6 removed from her care. And in your conclusion you state "does 7 not" -- it says "Jackie's anxiety and experience of panic 8 attacks does not currently meet the need for referral to 9 a physician to assess for medication." 10 Does that leave open the possibility that 11 subsequently there might be a recommendation for such a 12 referral? 13 14 Α Yes. I thought that that might be a possibility in the future. 15 Did you conduct any tests of Ms. Guerrero? 16 17 Α No. And you spent -- was it a 90-minute 18 interview? 19 20 Α Yes. MR. ELKINS: Judge, I'd like the witness to 21 be shown Respondent's 6, please. The white binder, I 22 23 don't know if it's there or not. 24 11111

1	BY MR.	ELKI	NS:
2		Q	Is there a white binder there?
3		A	Yes.
4		Q	Can you turn to No. 6?
5		A	Yes.
6		Q	Do you recognize that document?
7		А	Yes.
8		Q	And can you identify it for me?
9		A	This is a copy of my clinical documentation.
10		Q	And did you create this document?
11		A	I did.
12		Q	Would you look at all three pages.
13		A	Yes.
14		Q	And does a signature appear on this document?
15		A	Yes
16		Q	And whose signature is that?
17		A	Mine.
18		Q	And the entries in the document, were they
19	made b	у уоч	1?
20		A	They were.
21		Q	And were they made at or about the time of
22	the ev	rents	that you refer to there?
23		A	Within a week, yes.
24		Q	And this document belongs to what

24

organization?

psychosocial on a different date.

that we could work on in therapy.

She reported feeling overwhelmed; is that 1 2 correct? Yes. 3 Α And specifically by appointments and demands of Washoe County Department of Social Services? 5 She was overwhelmed by the amount of 6 appointments she had to attend, yes. Which she reported as -- did she tell you how 8 9 frequently she had to do that? Not that I recall. 10 Okay. She was pregnant at the time; correct? 11 Yes. 12 Α She saw you again about six days later. 13 Well, actually, withdrawn. 14 She came to see you, she came at the wrong 15 time, and then when you went to see her, she was no 16 longer present; is that right? 17 Yes. Α 18 Then a couple days later she sends you an 19 e-mail requesting an appointment? 20 Yes. 21 And you tried to find a time to do that? 22 0 Α Yes. 23 And then you sent her an e-mail -- let's 24

I'm not sure

A

Q Judge, begging your indulgence.

I would request that the Court take judicial notice that September 20, 2013, was a Friday, and that September 23, 2013, was a Monday. I have the calendar if you'd like to see it.

THE COURT: That's fine. I'll take your word for it.

BY MR. ELKINS:

Q So on that Monday after the Friday, you texted her again, sent her an e-mail, and then two days later you received a text from her scheduling a session for October 3rd; correct?

A Yes.

Q And then she, in fact, appeared on October 3rd and she brought Robert with her?

A She did.

Q You hadn't actually authorized that; right? She just sort of did that on her own?

A Yes.

Q And so then they agreed to, it looks like, couples counseling?

A I think in this case it was -- I had Robert sign the consent for services just to be in the session, but I wasn't treating them as a couple at that point.

- 11			
1	Q So she got her wish to have him present,		
2	basically?		
3	A Yes.		
4	Q And then she saw you again a week later,		
5	correct, October 10th?		
6	A Yes.		
7	Q And she reported that she and Robert, one of		
8	the it appears that the session dealt with ways of		
9	managing family finances as a joint effort; correct?		
LO	A It looks like that was some of the content		
11	discussed, yes.		
12	Q And there was apparently a disagreement over		
13	how Robert prioritized money, according to her; is that		
14	right?		
15	A Yes.		
16	Q Did she indicate to you what her means of		
17	support was at that time?		
18	A No.		
19	Q Did she indicate how much income they had?		
20	A I don't believe so.		
21	. Q Do you have any recollection of the		
22	discussion about finances?		
23	A It's generally documented. I don't have		

specific information I can recall from two years ago,

1 but --

2.4

Q Okay.

 ${\tt A}\,$ ${\tt I}$ believe Robert was working at the time or trying to find work.

- Q And we've established that Jackie was pregnant?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Do you know when she was due?
- A She didn't know how far along she was at that point.
- Q Subsequent attempts to reach Jackie were unsuccessful because, it says, no working number, disconnected numbers, and then finally in November, in the middle of November, November 18th, there's an e-mail from Jackie attempting to schedule an appointment; right?
 - A Yes.
- Q Okay. And, again, about a week later, calls and e-mails, and then it looks like on December 1st the e-mail from and to Jackie attempting to schedule an appointment; correct?
 - A Yes.
- Q Same thing on the 3rd, you set an appointment for December 10th, and then at that point you were told that she was going to Clover Counseling; is that right?

2	Q Do you have any idea what was happening with
3	Jackie in December 2013 in terms of what was happening in
4	her life?
5	A I knew very little. I had some contact with
6	her social worker.
7	Q Okay. Given your diagnosis, if I were to
8	tell you that she was in the process of being evicted,
9	would you think that that would have contributed to her
10	condition?
11	MS. ELCANO: Objection. Calls for
12	speculation.
13	THE COURT: Go ahead and answer the question.
14	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Can you ask the
15	question again?
16	BY MR. ELKINS:
17	Q Sure. I apologize.
18	Being pregnant and being evicted, would that
19	have contributed would that have exacerbated her
20	anxiety?
21	A Yes, I think those two life circumstances
22	could increase her anxiety.
23	Q And the diagnosis that you gave her, panic

Α

24

Yes.

disorder, is that affected by one's circumstances, the

acuity of that condition?

A Yes.

1.4

Q And if a person with this condition is in difficult circumstances, such as an eviction, being pregnant, how would that affect the person? How would that likely affect the person?

 $$\operatorname{MS}.$$ ELCANO: Objection. Calls for speculation.

THE COURT: Well, some of this, she's diagnosing her and considering her mental condition, and it's appropriate for her, I think, to give opinions on why life circumstances affect those, so I'm going to allow this line of questioning.

MS. ELCANO: My concern is that a particular diagnosis was entered based on information provided by an individual at the particular time, and to somehow suggest that there were other things going on that were not disclosed and somehow impact that diagnosis ends up undermining the diagnosis as it was provided.

MR. ELKINS: Judge, I'm happy to explain the reason for my question if you'd like.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. ELKINS: Actually, I'm not asking about the diagnosis. I'm assuming the validity of the

diagnosis. I'm asking, a person with that diagnosis, I'm asking the expert whether she would be able to predict how a person with a panic disorder would react under those circumstances.

THE COURT: I'm going to allow the line of questioning. If you want to make a record more, you have, but I'm going to let the question be asked.

MS. ELCANO: First of all, she's not been qualified as an expert, so --

THE COURT: Yeah, but, you know, all of these people that are doing the diagnoses and all of that, those are opinions that they're giving based upon their expertise. So even though I haven't said, "You're an expert," you guys are asking questions of these witnesses that only an expert in what they do can answer.

So she's a marriage and family counselor, and a diagnosis is an opinion that an expert gives in marriage and family counseling. So when you say she hasn't been qualified as an expert, as a practical matter I can't make anybody an expert.

That business about "I would like you to declare this witness an expert," I can't make somebody an expert. They either are or aren't, and if they aren't, then they can't -- they can't answer opinion questions.

If they are an expert, they can, and in this case she's answering questions that are opinions based upon her education and experience, so she can so opine as to whether or not circumstances going on in her life -- and like I say, some of this stuff is almost intuitive.

I would imagine, again -- and I've already said it once -- if you're pregnant, getting evicted, you don't need to be a marriage and family counselor to expect that's going to cause you anxiety. If your kids have been taken away from you, you don't have to be an expert to understand that that's going to cause you depression.

So go ahead, answer the question based upon your education as a marriage and family counselor.

MR. ELKINS: Thank you, Judge. May I just restate the question?

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. ELKINS: Thank you.

BY MR. ELKINS:

- O Her diagnosis is panic disorder; correct?
- A Yes.

24

I think because of the diagnosis that's in

because I wasn't sure at the time -- when the

1	rescheduling was going on, I'm not sure what was really
2	going on with Jackie.
3	Q I understand, but I'm just also, I'm
4	actually asking you sort of a general question.
5	A person with panic diagnosis, panic
6	disorder, could make them do things like miss
7	appointments, not be able to focus, have a hard time with
8	daily tasks; is that right?
9	A I think it could contribute, yes.
10	Q And final question.
11	If that were the case, would you at that
12	point recommend an assessment for psychiatric-medical
13	intervention?
14	A Yes.
15	MR. ELKINS: No further questions.
16	THE COURT: Would it be accurate to say
17	you're uncomfortable in some of the answers you're giving
18	because you don't have enough information?
19	THE WITNESS: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
21	
22	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MS. ELCANO:
24	Q Could you please turn to the very last page

of your evaluation. So that's Exhibit AA, apple apple.

What did you note about Ms. Guerrero's current levels of anxiety?

A I stated that she was experiencing normal levels of anxiety considering life stressors which could be decreased with the support of therapy.

Q And how would you describe situational anxiety?

A Situational anxiety is usually triggered by a specific thing, so it could be driving, for example.

That's a specific trigger that triggers the anxiety.

Q And you were just testifying regarding panic disorders and were asked a question regarding whether or not panic disorders could be exacerbated, basically, by eviction and pregnancy and whether or not that could contribute to a person not attending appointments or follow-through with appointments.

Are there other things that could contribute to a person not following through with appointments?

A Yes.

2.3

Q You, I believe, identified this as kind of a tentative evaluation. I can't quite remember the word you used.

A Provisional.

1	Q What was your goal in continuing the therapy
2 -	with Ms. Guerrero?
3	A Well, my goal was to provide individual
4	therapy to kind of increase her adaptive coping strategy
5	and to further assess treatment services.
6	Q Okay. And in your experience, when you
7	create an initial evaluation and you continue to see a
8	patient, does that evaluation change?
9	A It can.
10	Q And why would it change, typically?
11	A If you have sometimes sometimes
12	symptoms can change and so a different diagnosis would be
13	more appropriate, and so I will often change the
14	diagnosis during the course of treatment.
15	MS. ELCANO: I have no further questions.
16	Thank you.
17	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
18	THE COURT: Recross?
19	MR. ELKINS: No further questions.
20	THE COURT: Is this witness permanently
21	excused, then?
22	MS. ELCANO: I would request she is excused,
23	Your Honor. We have no further questions.

THE COURT: You may be excused from this

1	proceeding.
2	MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, if I could just pop
3	out and see who's there. I apologize.
4	THE COURT: Go ahead.
5	(A discussion was held off the record.)
6	(A recess was taken.)
7	
8	JULIUS ROGINA, Ph.D.,
9	having been first duly sworn,
10	was examined and testified as follows:
11	Sec
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION
13	BY MS. ELCANO:
14	Q Good afternoon, Dr. Rogina.
15	Could you please state your name for the
16	
	Court?
	Court? A My name is Julius Rogina.
17	
17 18	A My name is Julius Rogina.
17 18 19	A My name is Julius Rogina. Q And could you spell your name?
17 18 19	A My name is Julius Rogina. Q And could you spell your name? A J-u-l-i-u-s R-o-g-i-n-a.
17 18 19 20	A My name is Julius Rogina. Q And could you spell your name? A J-u-l-i-u-s R-o-g-i-n-a. Q Dr Rogina, what is your current occupation?
17 18 19 20 21	A My name is Julius Rogina. Q And could you spell your name? A J-u-l-i-u-s R-o-g-i-n-a. Q Dr Rogina, what is your current occupation? A I'm a clinical psychologist, and I practice

About 30 years.

MR. ELKINS: Yes, Judge.

24

BY MS. ELCANO:

Q And, I'm sorry, Dr Rogina. You indicated that 50 percent of your practice is dedicated to individual therapy, and what was the other percentage?

A Evaluations.

Q What kind of evaluations?

A Parental capacities, competence to stand trial, criminal responsibility.

Q And do you complete psychological evaluations?

A Yes.

Q And can you please describe to the Court, what is a psychological evaluation?

A A psychological evaluation is an evaluation where a psychologist looks at various domains of the client: Functioning, how they handle their life, how they relate to others, and how they function in the community. And it has a number of domains that we need to look at with offering opinion, conclusions, suggestions.

Q And what steps do you take, typically, to complete a psychological evaluation?

A Psychological evaluation usually is initiated by someone who has referred a client to me with specific

Q Who, typically, do you interview when completing a psychological evaluation?

A Mostly it is the client herself or himself.

Sometimes I need collateral information, and that could be a family member or Social Services, a social worker or primary care physician.

Q I see. Approximately how long are your interviews with the people that you are -- or the person you are evaluating?

 $$\rm A$$ $\,$ The initial interview is about three -- two and a half to three hours.

- Q And are there subsequent interviews typically?
 - A Correct.

- Q And how long, approximately, are those?
- A It depends how quickly you move through the steps, but first I want to understand if the client himself is aware why they are here, for what purpose.

It's not a treatment, it's evaluation, so it's very crucial to make it clear why they're here, for the purpose of evaluation.

The second step is getting a sense what kind of understanding they have in terms of the problems that are brought up during the evaluation, and then I move into social history. When I got these data, by this time I'm kind of thinking where I need to go in terms of testing or psychological instruments I want to use.

And so that might be second or third meeting, but the first meeting is mental status, getting a sense of a client, their understanding, their understanding of the limitations of confidentiality, and getting a global sense of where we need to go in order to me to render an opinion.

Q What specific areas are evaluated when completing a psychological evaluation?

A In general, I want to look at cognitive functioning of the person; I want to look at emotional functioning of the person; I want to look at executive functioning of a person, whether or not they can initiate the task and complete it, and looking at their personality structure and long-term functioning; and, finally, I am looking at if there is some kind of

remediation, that things can change, because I need to know in my opinion what the possibilities are if something can change.

2.3

Q What does cognitive functioning cover?

A Cognitive functioning covers domains of cognition that includes verbal abilities, perception abilities, processing skills, which is -- there are a number of instruments that nicely pull that together and give me data on what level the client is functioning.

Q What would emotional functioning cover?

A Emotional functioning has to do with emotional regulation. So all of us have emotions. It depends how we regulate them. Some people have difficulties. They cannot regulate it and just (audible sound), they explode, and so I need to know why is this happening, and so I use some instrument to help me determine that.

Q And then you discussed executive functioning. What does executive functioning address?

A Executive functioning. Basically, like, for all of us, I'm given a task, I plan to execute a task, to bring it to completion, and, of course, if there's no flow of completing the task, we think of some dysfunction.

Q And when you -- and I apologize if I just wasn't quite understanding this -- but when you talk about change, what are you referencing when you're talking about change or the ability to change?

A If the referring question is asking specifically whether or not this particular person could stand trial, let's say, can that person be treated to competence to stand trial.

Q I see, okay.

 $$\rm A$$ Or in other situations it's always anchored in the referring question.

Q And what conclusions or diagnoses can be rendered from the completion of a psychological evaluation?

A Would you kindly repeat that?

Q What conclusions or diagnoses can be determined or made from the completion of a psychological evaluation?

A So diagnostic impressions suggest impressions. I know psychological evaluation is probability statements in the direction. It's not like a blood test. So the diagnosis is very similar in that way, which means that it helps the clinician to gather his thinking around the clusters that are universally

accepted in the professional community.

Q And are treatment recommendations typically made based on the diagnoses when you complete a psychological evaluation?

A Recommendations are made on the entire psychological report, so it's the diagnosis and the problems that we delineated earlier: The daily functioning, employment, data from the instruments, all of that helps the clinician to formulate the opinion and recommendations.

Q Could you please describe to the Court what a mental illness is?

A Most of us agree that mental illness is very debilitating. Now, in what way is it debilitating? That the person is not running with his or -- her or his group of people, which means they're not able to function at the level that usually adults of that age and gender and socioeconomic status are functioning, so it has a deviation.

Strictly speaking, mental illness is debilitating the person to take care of one's self, to function in the community on a reasonable level, and take care of others.

Q And can you please describe to the Court what

an emotional illness is?

2.1

A Emotional illness is part of mental dysfunctions cluster, so it has to do with moods and emotions. So if somebody, as I said earlier, is not able to regulate moods and these explosive things, well, we think about, well, it has to do with mood regulation disorder.

So what are moods? Well, it's kind of complicated. It's part of neurobiology, part of personality, and part of situation.

Q Dr. Rogina, did you complete a psychological evaluation for Jacqueline Guerrero?

A T did.

Q And when, approximately, did you do so?

A She came to my office sometime in December of 2015. I dictated the report early January.

THE COURT: What year was that?

THE WITNESS: 2015.

MR. ELKINS: Excuse me, Judge. I'm sorry to interrupt:

You created your report when?

MS. ELCANO: I'm sorry. If there's a

question --

MR. ELKINS: I just don't want there to be

It is 12/5/2014.

A

24

The date of report is January 4, 2015. 2 Α Thank you. 3 Q And who referred Ms. Guerrero to you for the 4 completion of an evaluation? 5 She was referred by Malia Seronio. I suppose 6 that's her caseworker from Washoe County Department of 7 Social Services. 8 Thank you. And why was Ms. Guerrero referred 9 to you for the completion of a psychological evaluation? 10 So in her referral question on page No. 2, I 11 quote why she was referred. 12 Can you just briefly describe that to the 13 14 Court? That in the past Jacqueline was accessed for 15 depression and anxiety and struggled with panic attacks, 16 and now they wanted to know -- the Social Services wanted 17 to know and understand how her cognitive mental health 18 concerns may impact her ability to parent her children. 19 And what assessment procedures were 20 implemented to evaluate Ms. Guerrero? 21 I'm not sure if everybody is aware, but I was 22

And what is the date of report?

0

1

23

2.4

not able to procure psychological testing because she

never returned. We made about four attempts for her to

come back to complete, but she didn't show up.

Q So how many times did you meet with Ms. Guerrero?

A One time.

2.1

2.2

- Q And approximately how long was that meeting with Ms. Guerrero?
 - A Approximately three hours.
 - Q And what occurred during that meeting?

A So during that meeting we did mental status exam, we did -- I performed a trail, comprehensive Trail Making Test, gathered social history, I gathered her understanding of the problems and just got a sense of her functioning in the world.

Q And what presenting problems did Ms. Guerrero identify to you?

A She -- if you look at page 2, she was aware that she does have anxiety disorder issue. She was talking about medical issues that she has. She was talking about being unemployed and that her boyfriend supports her, and she was very concerned about her four children who were in the foster care.

Q Thank you.

When you met with Ms. Guerrero, where did this meeting occur?

- 1 A It occurred in my office on Ridge Street.
 - Q During your interview with Ms. Guerrero, did she demonstrate any cognitive delays or difficulties communicating with you or understanding you?

A There was no impression of severe cognitive impairments, yet in her responding there was slowness.

Processing to me came as a question that I needed to chase by administering Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale, but we never did that.

- Q And why didn't you do that?
- A Because she didn't show up.
- Q Thank you.

How would you describe Ms. Guerrero's level of engagement during the one meeting you had with her?

A Well, she was personable and friendly. There was no doubt in my mind that she cared for her children, that she wanted to be with them. She was, during that first meeting, cooperative to the degree that she was responding to questioning in a logical, rational, however disorganized way.

Q And I'm sorry. I was jumping around a little bit.

If I went back to the biographical profile you created in your report, what information did

Ms. Guerrero report regarding her employment history? 1 Well, if you look at the second paragraph there, she said, "I want to talk about it. I can only 3 tell you it was not pretty, but it was okay." So that's 4 as much as I got. 5 I'm sorry. Where were you referencing? 6 Excuse me? Α 7 Where were you referencing right there? 8 It's page 3, Biographical Profile, paragraph 9 10 2. That was the response regarding employment 11 history, where she had worked? 12 Just growing up. 13 Oh, okay. In regards to her current 14 employment situation, what information did she provide? 15 If you go a little bit further down, I 16 remember she said she was unemployed and that her 17 boyfriend was supporting her, and then she talked 18 about that she did hold several jobs on a very limited 19 20 basis. And did Ms. Guerrero provide any information 21 regarding whether or not she was fired from jobs or quit 22

What I recall in one employment, she guit

2.3

24

her jobs or --

24

That paragraph that starts with "The client

states," right above Behavioral Observations.

A Oh, I see, yes.

"I worked with a number of agencies cleaning rooms for three months. I have never developed a career. I always quit jobs I have," quoting her.

Q Thank you.

And what behavioral observations did you make of Ms. Guerrero throughout this evaluation?

A As you read that section, she presented on time; she told me her height and her weight; she was concerned that she might have an eating disorder; she talked about having several tattoos; she was wearing glasses; and it was very clear to her why she was there, for what purpose.

Q And on page 5 of your report you go into a mental status examination.

What was that mental status examination?

A Mental status examination has, as you can see, different sections, so we look, again, at appearance and behavior. As I said, she was intense, at times irritable, but she was adequately cooperative and friendly to proceed and gave me enough data.

Her speech, she is bilingual. She said she's much better with English, which made me more comfortable

that I am hearing her correctly and we're not missing each other.

Her affect and mood -- affect was appropriate for situation. When she talked about her mood, she said, "I really -- it really depends on situations. Today I feel okay. I'm thankful for another day. I also feel stressed out being here because I decided to move to a shelter." There was some altercation between her and her boyfriend.

So we look at speech, what is the quality of that speech, and to me that would appear pretty normal. The total process is, in verbalizations, there was repetitiveness, what we call rumination, indecisiveness, ambiguity, some disorganization, which is common with anxiety disorders. She wanted me to really know that she cares about her children.

And then we have orientation. She was orientated to four spheres. There were no perceptual problems that I was able to observe. She talked about her sleep as being restless, which, as you know, disturbed sleep has a number of causes, and I would like to chase that more to understand why she has restless sleep. Is it anxiety, is it mood disorder or something else?

And then attention and concentration, I wanted to really pursue that with psychological instruments, but that never happened.

Q And to assess -- I'm sorry.

You also said you administered a
comprehensive Trail Making Test?

A Right.

2.1

2.4

Q Can you please describe to the Court what that is?

Dasic neurocognitive instrument that has five trails.

The first trail is quite simple and the fifth trail is very complicated, and you can see reasoning behind it.

When she came to Trail 5, which has to do with alternating between alphabet and numbers, she couldn't do that.

Q How did she test generally on this test?

A It's helpful to understand how a person executes a problem and resolves it, in other words, how they plan it, how they look ahead in their planning and structure themselves.

Q And was Ms. Guerrero in the average range on this?

A It was a moderate -- a moderately impaired

range.

O What does that mean?

A If the T score is 100, the mean is 50, so she would be below the mean.

Q How would that impact Ms. Guerrero generally or what does that tell you about her?

A If we just look at the numbers, it would make you think that this person has a very difficult time taking care of herself and would have a very difficult time taking care of anybody else.

Q And then you also completed -- pardon me. Were any other tests administered?

A That's all.

Q That's all. Okay.

What were your diagnostic impressions based on administering the Trail Making Test as well as your interview with Ms. Guerrero?

A The Trail Making Test suggested to me that we are dealing with some neurocognitive disorder. Now, is that neurocognitive disorder impaired by emotional dysregulation and anxiety? I could not pursue that enough.

Q What diagnoses, based on a DSM-5 scale, did you make?

MR. ELKINS: Objection, Judge. DSM-5 is no longer recognized.

MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, this was the scale that was used at the time of rendering this opinion.

THE COURT: That's fine. I'll allow the question.

THE WITNESS: DSM-5 doesn't have the axis, so we start with medical, and then we do the psychiatric, personality, and that's it.

BY MS. ELCANO:

2.1

Q What diagnoses did you make in regards to Ms. Guerrero?

A Well, it was very clear that she suffers from depression. To what degree, it doesn't seem to be one of those severe depressions that she becomes suicidal, threatening suicide, and needs to be treated inpatient, because she said that she was never admitted to a psychiatric hospital for treatment.

So I opted to give her the pervasive and persistent depressive disorder. In other words, it includes criticalness of herself, a lot of organizing life, seeing things through dark glasses and so on.

The second diagnosis was the anxiety disorder, and I opted for generalized because it looks

like it's across the spectrum, and then from time to time the panic disorder pops up. It is not consistent, but she talked about that.

1.3

2.0

And then unspecified neurocognitive disorder. The more salient feature that I concluded was that she has very severe avoidant personality disorder. I mean, imagine, we called her -- the office called her numerous times. She makes an appointment and never shows up.

Q Based on these diagnoses, is it your opinion that Ms. Guerrero suffers from a mental illness?

A I concluded she suffers from severe mental illness when you put all of them together.

Q And -- I'm sorry, I didn't mean --

A In other words, what that means to me is that she has hard ability to function, taking care of herself, she needs support of others, she functions at a low level.

- Q And is it your opinion that Ms. Guerrero suffers from an emotional illness?
 - A She does. She has a depressive disorder.
- Q And how does that impact her on a daily basis?
- A That's what I wanted to pursue more in terms of testing, how severe that is. Since we only met one

time, I didn't get a clear picture exactly, but since she was referred from Social Services, I know it was anchored in her ability to take care of her children.

Q What recommendations did you make to Ms. Guerrero based upon the diagnoses that you rendered?

A Well, if you look at the recommendations, I recommended that if the Social Services would consider reunification, that she must undergo parental capacity evaluation, which is more extensive, and of course she would have to cooperate for that.

Then I recommended that she be referred for psychiatric services. I am almost certain that a low-grade, newer antidepressant could be very helpful in her case. Individual therapy would afford her to develop skills, particularly cognitive behavioral skills, and we have some excellent skills now that would be very helpful.

I in particular recommended dialectical behavioral therapy because it is very specific skill training about mood disorder and reactivity. And then the most difficult part is, because it's engrained in her level of functioning, the avoidant personality disorder, and I still believe, if you can join a group as well as working with a qualified clinician, that some --

1	something could change there.
2	Q Dr Rogina, you said you met with Ms. Guerrero
3	once. Do you feel that additional testing could impact
4	these diagnoses that you rendered?
5	A I'm not sure it would impact the diagnosis
6	but would certainly give me larger base of data that I
7	can talk in the courtroom with more evidence-based stuff
8	from the testing, which I don't have.
9	MS. ELCANO: I have no further questions,
10	Your Honor. Thank you.
11	THE COURT: Mr. Elkins.
12	
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. ELKINS:
15	Q Good afternoon, Dr Rogina. How are you?
16	A Fine.
17	THE COURT: Just to provide encouragement,
18	we'll take a break when we're done with this witness.
19	MR. ELKINS: I gleaned that, Judge.
20	BY MR. ELKINS:
21	Q Dr Rogina, would you say that the procedure
22	that you used in this case to evaluate Ms. Guerrero was
23	optimal?
24	A It was optimal, did you say?

- The procedure, yes. 1 The procedure I wanted to use would be 2 Α 3 optimal. So this procedure was less than optimal; is 4 that right? 5 Because we didn't -- yes. 6 That, in fact, you think your confidence in 7 your final opinion as to her diagnosis --8 -- is limited. 9 You have limited confidence; is that correct? 10 Right. I have confidence to what I said, but 11 the data on which I base are limited. 12 So it could conceivably change had you been 13 able to complete the evaluation in the manner you usually 14 do; is that right? 15 Probably. I don't know. I cannot answer 16 Α 17 that question. Dr Rogina, did I hear you say that you knew 18 that her issues were anchored in her ability to care for 19 her children because she was referred by Children's 20 Services? 21
 - A Could you be kind to repeat that?

22

23

24

Q Sure. I thought I heard you say that you knew her issues were anchored in her ability to care for

her children because she was referred by Children's
Services?

A Correct.

Q Is it possible, Dr. Rogina, that her children could be in foster care for reasons other than her ability to care for them?

A I have never received a referral from Social Services for psychological evaluation that was for some other reason.

- Q Okay. So you assumed that that was --
- A Correct.

Q -- the case.

So did you take a history about the reasons why her children were in foster care?

- A No. I did not perform parental capacity.
- Q No, no. That's not my question.

Did you take a history about the reasons why her children were in foster care?

- A No.
- Q Okay. The basis for your diagnosis of avoidant personality disorder had to do with the fact that your office could not schedule her to come back; is that correct?
 - A Partly.

During your interview did she appear avoidant 1 2 to you? Yes. 3 Okay. And what do you mean by "avoidant"? 4 By avoidance, I mean, if I would ask a 5 question, "Tell me about this and this," she would say, 6 "I don't want to talk about it." 7 And that specifically had to do with her 8 childhood history; correct? 9 Α Yes. 10 Could that be based in trauma? 11 Q 12 Α Maybe. Well, didn't she say it wasn't pretty? 13 She did. 14 Α What did that indicate to you? 15 I don't guess. 16 It meant it wasn't pretty, I guess. 17 0 I believe you testified that the trail test 18 outcome could have been attributable to anxiety, but you 19 weren't certain because you weren't able to do further 20 testing; is that right? 21 Could be, yes. 22 Α Do you know Dr. Suzanne Aberasturi? 23

Sounds familiar.

24

She's a neuropsychologist. Are you familiar 1 0 with her? I've heard of her. A 3 Have you ever made any referrals to her? Have I made a referral to her, no. But a neuropsychological is something you 6 thought would be appropriate here; is that correct? 7 I did neuropsychological testing. 8 Α No, no, I didn't say you did. I'm sorry. Ι 9 1.0 apologize. I thought I heard you say that there could be 11 a neurobiological component. Correct? 12 Neurocognitive, right. 13 And so it would be appropriate, would it not, 14 to have a neuropsychological evaluation? 15 That's true. 16 And is it fair to say that you would be more 17 confident in an evaluation that used a battery of tests 18 that were appropriate tests; correct? 19 It would give me lots of data. 20 Okay. So, for example, the California Verbal 21 22 Learning Test, would that be appropriate? Very much so. 23 Α

The Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of

24

1	Intelligence-II?
2	A Wechsler is much better.
3	Q And -IV?
4	A That's right.
5	Q The Rey Complex Figure Test and Recognition
6	Trial is similar to what you did; is that right?
7	A Very good.
8	Q The Boston Naming Test?
9	A Very nice.
10	Q The grooved pegboard?
11	A Yes.
12	Q One of my favorites.
13	And the Wisconsin Card SORTING test?
14	A We currently use, uh-huh.
15	Q All of those are instruments that you
16	recognize as being valid instruments?
17	A Valid and excellent instruments for
18	neuropsychological evaluation.
19	Q And they would be appropriate to further
20	explore Ms. Guerrero's condition?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And if you had been able to do those tests,
23	would you have had more confidence in your diagnosis?
24	A As I said, yes.

24

THE COURT: All these tests he's talking

about, does it make any difference who gives the test in terms of their usefulness?

2.1

THE WITNESS: Usually these instruments are specifically geared to neuropsychological assessments, somebody with head injury. For whatever reason most of us clinical psychologists, we would use some of them in order to enlighten ourselves, and then we would refer to a neuropsychologist for this kind of testing.

THE COURT: But if someone gave these tests, could you use the tests that they gave for your purposes?

THE WITNESS: I could.

THE COURT: So, I mean, we've had testimony that Dr. Aberasturi gave the tests, and so is it necessary that you give them yourself to use them, or is it okay if someone else gives them? I mean, these are just objective tests. I suppose some of the stuff could be subjective. Do you see what I'm getting at? If the test was given by Dr. Aberasturi, could you go to her file and look at the results?

THE WITNESS: That would be very helpful, but the question is, for what purpose would I do that?

THE COURT: My point is that you wanted more tests that you didn't get.

THE WITNESS: Correct.

THE COURT: If they had already been given, 1 could you have used the ones that were given by somebody 2 else? 3 THE WITNESS: If I had it, I would certainly incorporate that as a review of data. 5 THE COURT: Does it make any difference -- I 6 guess -- say you wanted -- I can't remember any of the tests now. If the tests were given by Dr. Aberasturi, 8 does it make any difference that she gave the tests in 9 terms of their usefulness to you versus you having --

> MR. ELKINS: Judge, do you want the exhibit? MS. ELCANO: Can I at least know what's being

given to him?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

THE COURT: That's fine. He --

MS. ELCANO: Dr. Aberasturi's report is

Exhibit AA, if you want to refer to it.

THE COURT: But I don't know if she -- he talked about tests. You see what I'm getting at?

MS. ELCANO: Is what you're asking, is administering and observing the patient while they undergo the test versus looking at test results, could you just look at test results administered by someone else to assist you or provide that information, or do you have to be there and administer the test and observe what happens?

THE WITNESS: I hear the question on two levels. Level one is how the system works. So the way it works is, I get the referral from Social Services for independent psychological evaluation, which is the starting. Now, sometimes, in my conclusions, I would say this person is to be referred for more intensive neuropsychological testing. In this instance I did not determine that because I didn't see the whole picture. I didn't have enough testing myself.

2.0

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. ELCANO:

- Q And I think what the judge was trying to ask you is, if you were to find out that someone else had completed these additional tests
 - A I would want to see for sure, yes.
- Q -- and you looked at the results, would that be sufficient, or would you have to administer the tests independently on your own?

That's correct, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

THE WITNESS: Some of them are stable across the time and some of them are not, depending on recovery

24

THE COURT: Same thing, yeah. I think I

1 started out with -I back when I started back in '78.

THE WITNESS: It was helpful, like Axis V, global level of functioning, out of 100, we would say this person functions at 30 as opposed to somebody who functions at 90. It would give you better reading of what the person is talking about. We don't have that anymore.

MS. ELCANO: And, Your Honor, I misspoke.

Dr. Aberasturi's report is CC, Charlie Charlie, not AA.

THE COURT: So he wasn't looking at Charlie Charlie while we were talking?

MS. ELCANO: No.

THE COURT: I was wondering why I couldn't find that.

MS. ELCANO: I'm sorry. I referenced the wrong one.

BY MS. ELCANO:

2.0

Q Dr Rogina, could you turn to No. 7, page 8 of 8, your seventh recommendation?

A Yes.

Q And can you please tell the Court what that says?

A What I mean by this is, I was hoping that as they read that, that Social Services would refer to

individual therapy, group therapy, to dialectical behavioral therapy, psychiatric evaluations, hopefully she would be put on some medicine, and then they would receive some kind of information how she's meeting target goals of treatment. That's what I was hoping for?

- Q And why did you think that was important?
- A I was hoping that then we can judge whether or not she's improving in a positive direction or decompensating in a negative direction.
- Q Dr Rogina, if you were informed that an individual was diagnosed with a general anxiety disorder with panic attacks, obsessive compulsive disorder, dependent personality disorder, post-traumatic stress, and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, based on those diagnoses, could you indicate whether or not the person suffered from mental illnesses?
- A I would be able to say there is serious mental illness going on.
- Q And would you be able to answer whether or not a person was suffering from emotional illness?
- A So what did you have? PTSD, anxiety disorder --
- Q General anxiety disorder with panic attacks, obsessive compulsive disorder, dependent personality

disorder, and ADHD, mild.

1.3

1.4

A ADHD, as we used to call it, is a minor brain dysfunction, the PTSD sometimes has a deep component of depression, mood disorder, but the cluster of the stuff that you read is mostly within the anxiety. That includes generalized anxiety, panic disorders, and PTSD.

Q Would that rise to a level of an emotional -- sorry, I'm losing my train of thought -- emotional illness?

A Yes. Now, we use -- emotional illness is used in a global sense. We divided mood disorders and anxiety disorders because we treat them differently. It has to do with different biochemicals in the brain.

Q You originally testified, in regards to mental illnesses, concern regarding a person caring for themselves as well as others.

Given Ms. Guerrero's diagnoses, were you concerned about her ability to care for others?

MR. ELKINS: Objection. I don't know that that's a clinical opinion, Judge.

THE COURT: Well, ask him.

Is that a clinical opinion?

THE WITNESS: Sorry, Judge. I didn't hear

you.

1 THE COURT: Is that a clinical opinion that 2 she's asking for? I don't even know what that means. BY MS. ELCANO: 3 In your opinion were you concerned -- you 5 testified you were concerned of Ms. Guerrero's ability to 6 care for herself. 7 Were you concerned, in your opinion, for Ms. Guerrero's ability to care for others? 9 The way I conceptualize a psychological evaluation is this: I'm concerned about this person, how 10 she functions and cares for self. Parental capacity 11 12 includes both. So you didn't -- did you --13 Q 14 I didn't do a parental capacity. 15 MS. ELCANO: Fair enough. Fair enough. 16 I have no further questions, Dr Rogina. 17 Thank you. 18 THE COURT: Mr. Elkins. 19 MR. ELKINS: Briefly. 20 THE COURT: I never like that, when they 21 start out with "briefly." It usually means about 20 or 22 30 minutes. 23 ///// 1/1// 24

1		RECROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. ELKI	NS:
3	Q	Dr Rogina, Recommendation 2, you state
4	"Jacqueline	Guerrero" and she says "Guerrera" must
5	be referred	to psychiatric services." Correct?
6	A	Correct.
7	Q	Now, how long have you been a Doctor of
8	Psychology?	
9	A	Thirty-six years.
10	Q	When you write recommendations, are you
11	careful about words you use?	
12	A	Very much so.
13	Q	So the word "must," I assume, carries a
14	meaning?	
15	A	I would hope so.
16	Q	And what did you mean by "must"?
17	А	That Social Services, to attend to the needs
18	of these ki	nd, must refer her for psychiatric evaluation.
19	Q	For the purposes of doing what?
20	A	To be evaluated, number one, and whether or
21	not she wou	ld profit not everyone profits from
22	medications	
23	Q	But for the purposes of determining whether

she could benefit from medication?

would be sufficient for someone to go out and do

MR. ELKINS: They occurred subsequently,

Aberasturi do all these tests. Why wouldn't this

professional have been given access to those tests?

2.2

23

THE COURT: Okay. 2 MS. ELCANO: People have been taken out of 3 order to accommodate scheduling, but --THE COURT: Even so, why not -- I mean, he 5 saw her, Aberasturi saw her, and it doesn't seem like 6 anybody is talking to each other. Same thing with the 7 other people. I mean, the one lady with the long name, 8 she's trained to do the dialectical behavioral therapy. MS. ELCANO: Amanda Buttacavoli. Dialectical 10 11 behavioral therapy. THE COURT: And were you aware -- didn't 12 she -- wasn't she referred to her for that purpose, and 13 why wouldn't he have known that when he was talking to 14 1.5 her? MS. ELCANO: I believe -- I don't know why, 16 17 Your Honor. THE COURT: Does that happen a lot, where 18 other people are treating and you're not finding out 19 about it? 2.0 (Witness nods head.) 21 THE COURT: So that happens a lot? 22

Judge, within a month after Dr Rogina's.

tell you what I know about how the system works. So I

23

24

THE WITNESS: There is some -- I can only

get a referral, I complete my stuff, I send it to Social Services, and that's where I end. But I'm glad that you're suggesting that some kind of a conversation needs to occur for the benefit of the client.

THE COURT: Well, you know -- and I could see because of -- I don't know if it's HIPAA that affects this or not. I know with medical records it's a hard time, but it seems like there's -- I've heard a bunch of people with bachelor's and master's degrees and counseling have met with her, but it doesn't sound like anybody knew what anybody else was doing.

MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, we need releases, or Social Services does, in order to release information.

MR. ELKINS: Judge, that's a red herring. Every person that --

MS. ELCANO: I'm sorry. I was speaking.

THE COURT: Go ahead and finish, and then you can talk.

MS. ELCANO: We need releases to release information. As I think the testimony has shown, when an individual goes in for an evaluation, they are asked for a history. This information could have been provided by the individual getting treated. We do try to -- Social Services tries to always provide that information to

individuals as we can. However, if we don't have releases, we cannot provide that information.

THE COURT: You don't think she would have been given releases if she was asked for them?

MS. ELCANO: I don't want to testify. I'm just telling you the process.

THE COURT: You're representing the agency here, and I have a real concern, and it's a question that I think needs to be answered in these proceedings, why a lot of this is -- you know, you've got three or four different governmental entities that are working with her for housing, for mental health, for --

MR. ELKINS: Welfare.

THE COURT: -- utility waivers, welfare.

Wouldn't it be a lot more effective if everybody knew what everybody else was doing?

MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, our agency does its very best to communicate these things. There were a lot of services in place in this particular case.

THE COURT: I see that, but --

MS. ELCANO: I do think that the vouchers --

THE COURT: I doubt I'd be able to effect any change. I've been dealing with Social Services for 45, maybe damned near 50 years, and it doesn't seem to have

1 changed much.

MS. ELCANO: Our vouchers indicate why a person is being referred to a particular individual, so our voucher could --

' THE COURT: Was Aberasturi aware he had seen her?

MS. ELCANO: I don't know.

THE COURT: So you've got two different psychologists --

THE WITNESS: If I can say a few words here.

I'm really impressed how -- I think it's the caseworker who got the information as much as they have, and sometimes I receive a package of substance abuse evaluation or whatever evaluation, which is very helpful.

In this case I didn't have any other information. My impression was that this was just starting to happen, the evaluations, and my impression is it is the caseworker who is -- I'm quite impressed the way they are skilled to do that.

MS. ELCANO: And at the time there was only one evaluation, which had been done back in June of 2013.

THE COURT: See, and I can't help thinking sometimes that this HIPAA business gets in the way of communicating between different agencies, so I can

_

understand the need for confidentiality, but sometimes it's a hindrance and, you know, who cares.

And I've tried this. When the doctors come in and say, "Do you care if we share," you can show my medical records to anybody you want to, I don't care, and sometimes I don't even want to -- you know, it's the same thing if you -- they want informed consents, and I don't want to be informed of what you're going to do to me, just do it, and you can't do that.

But in this case it seems like it's disjointed in the way -- so I just make that observation.

MR. ELKINS: Well, Judge, you can ask the caseworkers, but whenever any referral is made, when a voucher is sent, Social Services sends the voucher, they get a release, and so all that information flows back to Social Services.

THE COURT: Okay. Just an observation I'm making. Thank you.

Is this witness excused permanently?

MS. ELCANO: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.

MR. ELKINS: No further questions.

THE COURT: Thank you, Doctor.

We're going to take a break here, but I make these observations during the course of a case sometimes

so that -- it's things I'm thinking and the parties can address it.

But this is a close case, there's no doubt about it, and I just get the impression that, you know, she's not far or wasn't, potentially, from achieving what we wanted, and she didn't, at least from what I've seen so far. And I haven't seen the defense, and some of it is, no doubt, her failure to follow through, and I'm continually hearing that.

And this is all -- and I'll make an observation in my mind about these kinds of cases. I've spent 38 years as a lawyer and 3 years before that as a welfare hearings officer, and I have caused lots of people pain in my career as a lawyer, either as a prosecutor or defense attorney or as a judge, and most of them did something wrong that they deserved the pain I caused them. In this case, if I cause her pain, that's not necessarily the case, so -- and I'm concerned about that. But we'll be in recess.

(A recess was taken.)

THE COURT: This is FV14-03897 in the matter of the parental rights as to the Taylor children as alleged in the petition. The parties are present with their clients.

I was going to say, you know, I make comments in cases where it's a bench trial because I'm the finder of fact, and I've found it helpful sometimes to make observations about my reaction to evidence. I did that just before we left for the last break. I will add to what I said.

I am not unmindful of ASFA, which the rationale behind it is that we want children to have permanency, so sometimes — and there is a presumption in this case because the children have been out 28 months, and I'm not going to ignore that presumption and generally agree with the concept behind — whether I agree with it or not, it's the law — that children need permanency.

So don't become inordinately fixated on my comments that I made when we left. Children need permanency, and I certainly haven't made up my mind on this case by any stretch.

MR. ELKINS: And we appreciate that.

And having practiced in this area for a minute, obviously where the complicated dynamics of families is concerned, obviously, it's better, for what it's worth in my personal view, if people can work things out among themselves.

THE COURT: These are from my mind -- and keep in mind, in Carson City we hear probably, in terms of complexity, probably the most difficult cases in the state. I mean, almost every issued referendum case that has been recited in the last 20 years, I'm the judge that decided it. So it was kind of a joke at the judges conference that I was -- and most of the cases of statewide significance are decided in Carson City.

1.3

And so, you know, I've heard some pretty -- but these, in terms of the difficulty in making these decisions, is about as difficult as it gets in my mind.

MS. ELCANO: I think Mr. Elkins was indicating prior that we have been discussing a possible settlement.

THE COURT: I don't know if -- where you're at, if you want to try to use the rest of the afternoon or what you want to do.

MR. ELKINS: Well, how many more witnesses do you have, Tyler?

MS. ELCANO: I have, I believe, four. My only hiccup is one of them has an interpreter.

THE COURT: Well, it's only Wednesday and you said Thursday, so if you get it done by tomorrow, that's

1 fine. Will we be able to get the four witnesses 2 done by tomorrow for sure? 3 MS. ELCANO: I think so. My -- I just have to ensure that I can have an interpreter because I had 5 scheduled one for this afternoon, specifically hoping to 6 get through the adoptive mother's testimony. 7 MR. ELKINS: The foster mother. 8 In any event, may I have a minute? THE COURT: If you guys can get this 10 resolved, that would be a way better --11 MS. ELCANO: I think we're all in support of 12 13 that, Your Honor. MR. ELKINS: It's always been my 14 15 philosophy --THE COURT: It would be helpful for you guys 16 to take whatever time we have left --17 MR. ELKINS: It's always been my philosophy 18 in family cases, but, again, my client obviously -- and 19 I'm not -- I want to be clear, too. I mean, we are here 20 because our position is legally, we think, correct. 21 Otherwise we wouldn't be here. 22

cases that shouldn't been heard, I think.

23

24

THE COURT: I haven't heard one of these

MR. ELKINS: So I don't want to mislead anybody about that and our intentions, but we know we're dealing with little kids, and my client more than anyone else because they're her little kids. So if we can find a way to resolve this that we all agree is best for the children, then that's what we will do.

Obviously, Judge, nothing that's been said here will prejudice my client ultimately, I'm sure, with this Court.

THE COURT: I have not made my mind up on this case, and I won't until I've heard all the evidence. I found that to be the case in these cases. These are not easy cases, and I haven't heard one that shouldn't probably have been heard.

MS. ELCANO: These aren't easy cases for anybody involved, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you guys want -- do you want to work on a possible settlement for a while?

MR. ELKINS: I don't know, Judge. I need a minute with my client.

THE COURT: Let's be in recess, then, for now. Ten minutes. Okay? And, you know, we're getting very close to -- she needs to get to the shelter by 5:00, so do we want to just recess for the afternoon?

```
MR. ELKINS: I'm just -- I have witnesses
 1
      coming tomorrow that I'd like to put on.
 2
 3
                  THE COURT: We can start earlier. I say
      that --
                  MR. ELKINS: Probably not earlier than 8:30.
 5
                  THE COURT: I'm getting here at 8:00.
 6
 7
                  MR. ELKINS: Do you want me to be awake,
      Judge?
 8
                  THE COURT: I don't have that dog, so, you
 9
      know, I would like to sleep past 4:00. I didn't sleep
10
11
      past 4:00 this morning. That dog's got me trained now.
                  MR. ELKINS: If you give me just a few
12
     minutes with my client, if we're going to proceed, I
1.3
14
      think we should proceed.
                  (A recess was taken.)
15
16
                  MR. ELKINS: Judge, we're ready to proceed.
                  THE COURT: So the witness you're going to
17
      call is maybe 20 minutes or --
18
19
                  MS. ELCANO: No. She has an interpreter,
      Your Honor.
20
21
                  THE COURT: Okay. Because you have to guit
22
      at 4:30, but go ahead.
                  MS. ELCANO: Sandra Matute, Your Honor.
23
24
      1////
```

1		SANDRA MATUTE,
2	having be	en first duly sworn through the interpreter,
3	w a	as examined and testified as follows:
4		
5		(The following testimony was interpreted by
6	an English-S	Spanish interpreter.)
7		DIRECT EXAMINATION
8	BY MS. ELCAN	10:
9	Q	Good afternoon, Ms. Matute.
10		Could you state and spell your name for the
11	Court?	
12	А	Spell out my name and my last name?
13	Q	Please.
14	А	S-a-n-d-r-a, Sandra, M-a-t-u-t-e, Matute.
15	z Q	Thank you.
16		Are you married, Ms. Matute?
17	А	No.
18	Q	Do you have any biological children?
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	How many?
21	А	Three.
22	Q	And how old are each of your children?
23	А	7, 8, and 21.
24	Q	And do all three of your children live with

1	you?	, · · ·
2	А	Two of them.
3	Q	And how old are the children that live with
4	you?	
5	А	They are 8 and 7.
6	Q	If you're comfortable, would you give me
7	their names?	You don't have to if you don't want them on
8	the record.	
9	А	Oh, no. That's fine.
10	Q	What are their names?
11	A	Jaer is 8 and Anthony is 7.
12	Q	What do you do for a living, Ms. Matute?
13	≗•. A	I have a daycare at my house.
14	Q	Approximately how many children do you
15	baby-sit?	
16	А	In my daycare?
17	Q	Yes.
18	А	Three to four.
19	Q	And how often do you have daycare at your
20	house?	A STATE OF THE STA
21	А	Weekly, normally would be like maybe two in
22	the morning	and two in the afternoon.
23	Q	And where were you employed prior to having
24	your daycare	e ?

1	A	Reno Target.
2	Q	And prior to Reno Target?
3	A	IGT.
4	Q	Thank you.
5		Aside from your daycare, do you have any
6	other sourc	e of income?
7	A	Yes. My ex-husband gives me child support.
8	Q	Have you ever not paid your bills?
9	A	No.
10	Q	Have you ever been criminally charged with a
11	crime?	
12	A	No.
13	Q	Have you ever been convicted of a crime?
14	A	No.
15	Q	Do you use illegal drugs?
16	A	No.
17	Q	Have you ever used illegal drugs?
18	А	No.
19	Q	Do you drink alcohol?
20	А	No.
21	Q	Have you ever had mental health issues?
22	A	No.
23	Q	Do you go to church?
24	А	Yes.

1	Q	What is the name of the church you go to?
2	A	San Pedro Canisius.
3	Q	And how often do you go to church?
4	A	Two to three times a month.
5	Q	And have you ever taken Roberto, Kayleigh or
6	Nathan with	you, or Ethan?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	And in what city do you presently reside?
9	A	Sun Valley.
10	Q	Would you consider the neighborhood where you
11	reside safe	?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Are there other families on your block with
14	children?	
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Are you close to schools?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	And parks?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	And I'm sorry. If I'm going too quickly or
21	interfering	, please just tell me.
22		Can you please describe your home for the
23	Court?	
24	A	For the judge?

- Q Yes, for the Court. For everybody.
 - A It's in a trailer. It's around 1,675 feet.

 There are three rooms and two bedrooms, and a yard, a big
 - Q I'm sorry. How many bedrooms?
 - A Three.

1.4

- Q And how many bathrooms?
- A Two.
- Q Explain to me how -- where all of the children sleep.
- A Roberto shares a room with my two kids,
 Anthony and Jaer, and Kayleigh has the second room which
 she shares with her two little brothers, Nathan and
 Ethan.
- Q And the room where Jaer, Anthony, and Roberto are, can you describe that for me?
- A There's two bunk beds. One of the bunk beds has a dressing table included, and then there's two other individual dressing tables, and then there's a closet with another dressing table with a chest of drawers.
- Q And can you please describe Kayleigh, Nathan, and Ethan's room?
- A There is one bunk bed, Nathan sleeps on the bottom bed, and then there's a small individual bed for

1 Kayleigh, and then there's a crib for Ethan. there's a big dressing table, and then there's a closet 2 3 with a big dressing table. 4 Thank you. 5 Ms. Matute, are you a licensed foster parent? 6 Yes. Ά 7 Are you a foster parent contracted with 8 Washoe County Department of Social Services? 9 Α Yes. 10 Did you undergo any training to become a 11 foster parent? 12 Α Yes. 13 When, approximately? 0 14 Α In 2007. 15 And how long, approximately, is the training? 0 16 Α Approximately 26 to 27 hours. Can you briefly describe the training? 17 18 Well, the training is to be able to work with Α kids and young people in an efficient manner, to work 19 with kids who suffer trauma and loss, like the separation 20 21 from their parents. So the objective, really, is just to 22 be able to work with kids in an efficient manner.

Why did you become a foster parent,

23

24

Ms. Matute?

A Well, I like kids, and when I found out that there are kids who need protection and love, well, that motivated me to give a little of what I have to give.

- Q How long have you been a licensed foster parent?
 - A Approximately five and a half years to six.
- Q Approximately how many children have you cared for since you became licensed?
 - A Approximately 14 children.
 - Q Have you adopted any other children?
 - A No.

- Q And why not?
- A I wanted to adopt a baby that I took care of from zero to two years, but the little baby had family, he had other brothers and sisters in another foster home, and they needed to be adopted together.
- Q And what obligations do you have as a foster parent?
- A Well, take care of them, protect the kids, give -- satisfy all their needs, physical and emotional, just all the basic stuff, take them to school, feed them, bathe them. Just everything that a mom, a good mom would do.
 - Q Are Roberto, Kayleigh, Nathan, and Ethan

currently placed in your home? 1 2 Α Yes. And when were Roberto, Kayleigh, and Nathan 3 placed with you? 4 This was in 2013, May of 2013. 5 Α 6 0 And when was Ethan placed in your care? That was in September of 2014. 7 So approximately how many months have you 8 9 been providing care to Roberto, Kayleigh, and Nathan? Approximately two years. 10 11 0 And how long have you cared for Ethan? Approximately 11 months. 12 13 Has Roberto, Kayleigh, Nathan or Ethan ever been removed from your care since they were initially 14 15 placed with you? 1.6 Α No. And how old is Roberto? 17 Q He's eight. 18 Α 19 Q And how old is Kayleigh? She's five. 20 Α 21 And how old is Nathan? Four years. 22 Α And Ethan? 23 Q Ethan is 20 months old. 24 Α

1 And what grade is Roberto in? Q 2 Α In second grade. 3 And what grade is Kayleigh in? Q 4 She's in kindergarten. Α 5 0 Is Nathan in school? 6 Α Yes. 7 What kind of school does he do? Pre-K. 8 Α 9 Do Jaer and Anthony go to the same school as 10 Roberto, Kayleigh, and Nathan? 11 Α No. 12 And why not? 13 Α Jaer and Anthony are at the school where they 14 originally started out when I was living in a different house, and they have not wanted to move schools. 15 16 So you have to get Jaer and Anthony to a 17 different school each morning than Nathan, Kayleigh, and Roberto? 18 19 Α Yes. 20 How do you do that? 21 The schools are real close to my house and 22 they're also really close to each other. 23 And where do Nathan, Roberto, and Kayleigh go 24 to school?

1 Α (In English) Esther Bennett. 2 Esther Bennett, did I hear that right? Q 3 (In English) Yes. 4 And to your knowledge where did Roberto, 5 Kayleigh, and Nathan live prior to being placed in your 6 home? 7 Α At Kids Garden. 8 Could it also be Kids Cottage? 9 Α Yes. 10 And where was Ethan living immediately before 11 he was placed in your home? 12 Α With his mom. 13 Did you meet Ethan prior to being placed in 14 your home? 15 Α Yes. 16 How? 17 The day he was born I went to see him at the 18 hospital, and I also took care of him when his mom had 19 things to do. 20 Please describe how Roberto reacted to the 21 transition into your home. 22

in Kids Garden, so he was just really happy when he came

23

24

home.

He was really happy. He didn't want to live

1	Q And how did Kayleigh transition into your
2	home?
3	A She was really happy. She went running
4	through the house as if she already knew it.
5	Q And how did Nathan transition into your home?
6	A The same as Kayleigh.
7	Q And Ethan?
8	A Well, with Ethan it was the same. He already
9	knew me, so there was not really any transition. It was
10	as if he was in his own home.
11	Q Does Roberto have any identified special
12	needs?
13	A Well, he goes to therapy, counseling.
14	Q To clarify, was that therapy and counseling?
15	A Yeah, counseling.
16	Q Okay. And does Roberto receive any therapy
17	or treatment for any delays?
18	A Just at the school.
19	Q What are those delays?
20	A In his speech.
21	Q Does Kayleigh have any identified special
22	needs?
23	A Yes.
24	Q What are those?

1	A Also speech.
2	Q And what, if any, identified special needs
3	does Nathan have?
. 4	A Nathan also has speech.
5	Q Are there any identified special needs for
6	Nathan at this time?
7	A Yes. Speech and his motor skills.
8	THE COURT: Are we going to even get close to
9	being done?
10	MS. ELCANO: Oh, no.
11	THE COURT: Well, I think we should probably
12	take a break then so that she can get to the shelter.
13	MR. ELKINS: Okay. We appreciate that,
14	Judge, because, you know, they fill up.
15	THE COURT: Well, I don't know, but if she
16	says she needs to be there by 5:00
17	MR. ELKINS: Right. She actually called
18	them yes.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Would it do any good if I
20	made an order otherwise?
21	MR. ELKINS: No, I don't think so. I don't
22	think they're before the Court, Judge.
23	THE COURT: Let's be in recess.
24	(Proceedings adjourned at 4:35 p.m.)

1	STATE OF NEVADA)
2) ss. COUNTY OF WASHOE)
3	
4	I, PEGGY B. HOOGS, Certified Court Reporter
5	in and for the State of Nevada, do hereby certify:
6	That the foregoing proceedings were taken by
7	me at the time and place therein set forth; that the
8	proceedings were recorded stenographically by me and
9	thereafter transcribed via computer under my supervision;
10	that the foregoing is a full, true and correct
11	transcription of the proceedings to the best of my
12	knowledge, skill and ability.
13	I further certify that I am not a relative
14	nor an employee of any attorney or any of the parties,
15	nor am I financially or otherwise interested in this
16	action.
17	I declare under penalty of perjury under the
18	laws of the State of Nevada that the foregoing statements
19	are true and correct.
20	Dated this 17th day of September, 2015.
21	lt .
22	Peggy B. Hoogs
23	Peggy B. Hoogs, CCR #160, RDR

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on the 22nd day of July 2016. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

> Tyler Elcano, Deputy District Attorney Washoe County District Attorney's Office

I further certify that I served a copy of this document by providing a copy to:

Jacqueline Guerrero.

John Reese Petty Washoe County Public Defender's Office

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF THE PARENTAL RIGHTS AS TO: R.T., K.G-T., N.H-T., AND E.H-T., MINOR CHILDREN,

No. 70210 Electronically Filed Aug 01 2016 11:16 a.m. Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk of Supreme Court

JACQUELINE GUERRERO,
Appellant,
vs.
WASHOE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF
SOCIAL SERVICES,
Respondent.

Appeal from an Order Terminating Parental Rights in FV14-03897 The Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada Honorable William A. Maddox, Senior District Judge, Family Division

JOINT APPENDIX VOLUME FOUR

JEREMY T. BOSLER

Washoe County Public Defender

CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS Washoe County District Attorney

JOHN REESE PETTY

Chief Deputy

TYLER M. ELCANO Deputy District Attorney

350 South Center Street, 5th Floor

P.O. Box 11130

Reno, Nevada 89520

One South Sierra Street, 7th Floor

P.O. Box 30083

Reno, Nevada 89520

Attorneys for Appellant

Attorneys for Respondent

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Amended Petition to Terminate Parental Rights <u>filed</u> on July 17, 2015
2.	Errata and Reply <u>filed</u> on October 19, 2015 1JA 127
3.	Notice of Appeal <u>filed</u> on April 18, 2016 1JA 168
4.	Notice of Entry of Order <u>filed</u> on March 21, 2016 1JA 152
5.	Order Appointing Counsel <u>filed</u> on January 22, 2015 1JA 11
6.	Order Terminating Parental Rights <u>filed</u> on March 21, 2016
7.	Petition to Terminate Parental Rights <u>filed</u> on October 24, 2014
8.	Petitioner's Opposition <u>filed</u> on October 19, 2015
9.	Petitioner's Trial Brief <u>filed</u> on October 12, 2015 1JA 29
10.	Stipulation and Order <u>filed</u> on August 28, 2015 1JA 26
11.	Summation <u>filed</u> on October 13, 2015
12.	Transcript of Proceedings: Trial—Day 1 <i>held</i> on August 31, 2015
13.	Transcript of Proceedings: Trial—Day 2 <i>held</i> on September 1, 2015
14.	Transcript of Proceedings: Trial—Day 3 <i>held</i> on September 2, 2015
15.	Transcript of Proceedings: Trial—Day 4 held on September 3, 2015

16.	Transcript of Proceedings: Trial—Day 5 held on	
	September 4, 2015	6JA 1232
17.	Transcript of Proceedings: Trial—Day 6 <i>held</i> on September 15, 2015	7JA 1469

1 2	CODE: 4185 PEGGY B. HOOGS, CCR #160 Hoogs Reporting Group 435 Marsh Avenue
3	Reno, Nevada 89509 (775) 327-4460
4	Court Reporter
5	
6	SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
7	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE
8	THE HONORABLE WILLIAM A. MADDOX, SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE
9	
10	TERM: R. TAYLOR, K. GUERRERO- Case No. FV14-03897 TAYLOR, N. HUNT-TAYLOR,
11	E. HUNT-TAYLOR, Dept. No. 2
13 14 15	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
16	TRIAL
17	DAY 3
18	
	Wednesday, September 2, 2015
19	
20	
21	
22	
23 -	
24	Reported By: PEGGY B. HOOGS, CCR 160, RDR, CRR

1	APPEARANCES:	
2	For the Petitioner	
3	Washoe County Dept. of Social Services:	Deputy District Attorney 1 South Sierra Street, 4th Floor Reno, Nevada
4	For the Respondent	LEE ELKINS, ESQ.
5	Jacqueline Guerrero:	Deputy Public Defender 350 South Center Street Reno, Nevada
6		
7 8	Also Present:	JACQUELINE GUERRERO MALIA SERONIO ROCIO LOPEZ
9		
10	¥5	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17	30	
18		
19		
20		
21	.63	
22		
23		
24		
		9

INDEX

2	WITNESSES FOR THE STATE		PAGE
3	ROCIO LOPEZ		F 4.F
4	Cross-Examination by Mr. Elkins Redirect Examination by Ms. Elcano Recross-Examination by Mr. Elkins		545 598 638
5			030
6	DEKEN GOSSETT Direct Examination by Ms. Elcano Cross-Examination by Mr. Elkins		658 675
7	Redirect Examination by Ms. Elcano		679
8	BELINDA BOAN Direct Examination by Ms. Elcano		683
9	BRIANNA CARTER		
10	Direct Examination by Ms. Elcano		699 715
11	Cross-Examination by Mr. Elkins Redirect Examination by Ms. Elcano		730
12	JULIUS ROGINA, Ph.D. Direct Examination by Ms. Elcano		733
13	Cross-Examination by Mr. Elkins		755 764
14	Redirect Examination by Ms. Elcano Recross-Examination by Mr. Elkins		770
15	SANDRA MATUTE		784
16	Direct Examination by Ms. Elcano		784
17			
18	EXHIBITS	MRKD	ADM
19	Petitioner's Exhibits		
20	AA Psychosocial Evaluation completed by Brianna Carter		696
21	II Progress Report dated 1/2014	666	675
22	11 Progress Report dated 1/2011		
23			
24			

1	Resp	ondent's Exhibits	
2	1	Rental Agreement signed by Jacqueline Guerrero on 9/1/14	651
3	6	Brianna Carter Family Counselor	718
4		Contact Log	
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

-000-

1	ı		
	L		

RENO, NEVADA; TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 1, 2015; 8:33 A.M.

THE COURT: This is Case No. FV14-03897 in the matter of the parental rights as to the Taylor children. There are four children as alleged in the petition. The parties are present with their counsel.

Are you ready to proceed, Ms. Elcano?

MS. ELCANO: Yes, Your Honor. I believe

Ms. Lopez was on the stand and ready to be cross-examined.

THE COURT: If you can come back up, I'll remind you that you're still under oath.

MR. ELKINS: May I inquire, Judge?

THE COURT: Yes. You're on cross.

MR. ELKINS: Thank you.

I may have to beg the Court's indulgence at certain points this morning depending on how it goes.

There are quite a few documents here, and depending on the testimony, I may have to fish something out of there.

I will try to be as efficient as I can about that.

/////

/////

1	ROCIO LOPEZ,		
2	having been previously duly sworn,		
3	was examined and testified as follows:		
4			
5	CROSS-EXAMINATION		
6	BY MR. ELKINS:		
7	Q Good morning, Ms. Lopez. How are you?		
8	A Good morning.		
9	Q You testified yesterday that this case was		
10	randomly assigned to something called the SAFE-FC		
11	program; is that correct?		
12	A That's correct.		
13	Q And the SAFE-FC program, if I understand you		
14	correctly, requires the client to meet with two workers		
15	weekly; is that right?		
16	A Yes.		
17	Q So the Cabinet worker and you?		
18	A Yes.		
19	Q And I believe that you testified yesterday		
20	that for the first four months Ms. Guerrero was very good		
21	about that; is that correct?		
22	A That's yes. Yes.		
23	Q Other clients on other tracks, not the		
24	SAFE-FC, meet with their caseworkers how often?		

1	A Depends on the social worker and the client.		
2	MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, I'd just like to		
3	clarify. When the term "caseworker" is used, Social		
4	Services has caseworkers, Children's Cabinet has		
5	caseworkers. So if we are using it in plural, are we		
6	talking about Social Services? Are we talking about		
7	Children's Cabinet?		
8	MR. ELKINS: I'll try to be more specific,		
9	Judge.		
10	BY MR. ELKINS:		
11	Q You are a caseworker; correct?		
12	A I'm a social worker.		
13	Q You're not considered a caseworker?		
14	A No.		
15	Q Okay. Sorry.		
16	THE COURT: So what do they call the		
17	Children's Cabinet people?		
18	THE WITNESS: They're case managers.		
19	BY MR. ELKINS:		
20	Q So nobody is a caseworker?		
21	A Well, there are caseworkers in Social		
22	Services. Sorry. When you don't have a title of social		
23	worker, you're considered a case manager.		
24	Q Okay. You're a social worker. There are		

also case managers at Social Services.

Let me ask you this: Are all of your cases or were all of your cases during this period of time SAFE-FC cases?

A No.

1.5

2.2

Q Okay. That makes it easier, then.

So you had other cases that were not SAFE-FC cases; correct?

A That's correct.

Q So how often did you meet with those clients?

MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, I mean, I think we

can ask generally how often in a non-SAFE-FC case, but if

we're talking about other clients, I don't think that's

appropriate in this particular proceeding, and I think it

would be confidential as well.

MR. ELKINS: I'm not asking about anyone in particular, Judge.

THE COURT: No. That's what I took that to mean also. Go ahead.

BY MR. ELKINS:

Q Your non-SAFE-FC clients, how often did you meet with them?

A Again, it depended on the client, the situation.

Was there a minimum requirement for meeting 1. non-SAFE-FC clients? 2 Α No. 3 So you're not required to meet with them like 4 once a month? 5 Not really, no. We're required to meet with 6 them frequently, but there isn't --7 Okay. So, then, this structure, as it were, about meeting weekly with you and the Children's Cabinet 9 worker is a function of this being a SAFE-FC case; 10 11 correct? 12 Ά Correct. In addition to these meetings weekly, there 13 are other requirements in the SAFE-FC program in terms of . 14 15 meetings. What were those? 16 There are team meetings every three months. 17 Α Anything else? 18 Q Well, on my part, yes. Staffings with my 19 20 supervisor. Okay. That was monthly? 21 No. Initially it's a couple times a week, 22 and then it goes to once a week, and then it goes to once 23

a month.

So depending on the luck of the draw as it 1 were, a parent either is expected to come to eight 2 meetings a month or maybe none, depending on if it's a 3 SAFE-FC case or not; right? 4 Correct. Α And I believe you testified Ms. Guerrero was 6 good about the first four months, so that would have been 7 about, including you and the Children's Cabinet, about 32 meetings; correct? 9 Correct. 10 Α You testified that you had a number of 11 trainings as a social worker for eight years and eight 12 months. 13 Α Yes. 14 Were you ever trained in the use of Section 8 15 or Reno Housing Authority vouchers? 16 17 Α No. Were you ever trained in the rules of TANF? 0 18 I've been to a training. I don't know if I 19 20 can recall everything. So when was that training; do you know? 21 22 Α I don't remember. Do you remember the substance of the 23

training?

- A It was about welfare, welfare services.

 Q Was it about eligibility? Was it about

 levels of benefits?
 - A It would have been about everything.
 - Q So, then, for example, were you aware of the effect of children being in foster care on parents' TANF benefits?
 - A Yes.

- Q And were you aware that after the children were in care for six months, the benefits are reduced by about half?
 - A Yes.
- Q In that case that would have been about October of 2013 in this case?
 - A Sure.
- Q Your understanding of the cause for removal here was that the parents could not meet the children's basic needs essentially for shelter; is that right?
 - A Right.
- Q And then there was an issue about Nathan going to school. No. Roberto. I'm sorry. Roberto going to school.
- A Yes.
- Q And how old was Robert or Roberto at that

time? I believe he was about five. 2 And as a social worker in Nevada for eight 3 years and eight months, are you familiar with the 4 requirements, educational requirements, of when children 5 must go to school? 6 Α Yes. 7 At what age must children attend school? 8 Α Six. 9 So at that time Roberto was not required to 10 0 go to school by law; correct? 11 MS. ELCANO: Objection. Calls for a legal 12 conclusion. 13 THE COURT: Well, based on her understanding 14 was he required to go to --1.5 THE WITNESS: No, not at five. 16 MR. ELKINS: Okay. Thank you. 17 BY MR. ELKINS: 18 In fact, getting back -- I'm sorry. 19 Backtracking a little bit, in terms of benefits, 20 throughout the life of the case you had communication 2.1 with an employee of the Nevada Welfare Department; 2.2 correct? 23

24

Α

Correct.

And who was that? 1 Α Ms. Sara Lowrey. And she was the case manager, as you 3 0 understood it, assigned to the Guerrero TANF case? 4 5 Α Yes. When you first met Ms. Guerrero, that was in 6 early May of 2013; is that correct? 7 A Yes. 8 And at your first meeting, didn't she tell 9 you she was excited to announce she had an apartment? 10 Α Right. 11 And I believe that she asked you to come and 12 13 see the apartment? She asked me to go see it, but I don't think 14 it was ready yet. 15 But at some point within a couple weeks of 16 that date they occupied that apartment; is that your 17 understanding? 18 Α Yes. 19 And you did eventually go to see her? 20 0 Yes. Α 21 22 Okay. Q MS. ELCANO: Could we clarify which apartment 23

just because there have been so many homes throughout

this case? MR. ELKINS: The apartment they occupied on 2 May 1st or thereabouts of 2013. 3 BY MR. ELKINS: That was the Linden Street apartment. Α Yes. It was a one-bedroom apartment? 0 Yes, it was a one-bedroom apartment. 8 Α Do you know approximately the dimensions? No. 10 Α Was it as big as this room? 11 Probably smaller than this room. 12 And they remained in that apartment, did they 13 0 not, from May of 2013 all the way through the end of the 14 year? 15 Correct. 16 Α When Ms. Guerrero first met with you and they 17 talked about the apartment, did she ask the agency for 18 some assistance financially in terms of securing the 19 20 apartment? Not initially, I don't think she did. 21 Well, do you recall her asking or mentioning

that they had an arrears in their utility from their last

apartment and asking for assistance?

22

23

I'm sorry. Arrears, what's --7 Α They owed money on their power bill; correct? 2 Q Α Yes. 3 Do you recall the amount, approximately? Q Maybe 200. I don't really remember. 5 Α All right. 200 or less? 6 0 I don't remember. 7 Α All right. It wasn't a substantial amount of 8 9 money, was it? MS. ELCANO: Objection. She doesn't recall 10 11 the amount. MR. ELKINS: I'll withdraw the question, 12 Judge. 13 BY MR. ELKINS: 14 Did the agency ask -- well, let me just back 15 up a little more. 16 You testified that as the social worker and 17 working with Children's Cabinet, you can request services 18 from Children's Cabinet for the family; correct? 19 Correct. Α 2.0 And you said depending on the case, that 21 includes financial assistance; correct? 22 Correct. 23 Α Did you ask the Children's Cabinet to provide 24

financial assistance to pay whatever amount of money the 1 family had outstanding on their prior utility bill? 2 Α No. 3 Even though you did understand that that was 4 an impediment to them getting the apartment; correct? 5 Correct. 6 But by whatever means they managed to secure 7 the apartment and move in; correct? 8 Α Correct. 9 Okay. One of the goals or tasks in the case 10 plan was to manage a budget; is that right? 11 12 Α Correct. And you were trained in terms of TANF 13 benefits? You've already testified to that. 14 Uh-huh, yes. Α 15 Do you recall the benefit level that the 16 parents received in May of 2013? 17 It was a little over \$500. 18 Α Would 513 sound about right? 19 20 Α Sure. Do you know how much the rent on the 21 2.2 apartment was? I don't recall. Α 23

2.4

Even though you don't recall the specific

amount, do you recall the approximate amount since you 1 helped them with the budget? 2 I just know it was less than 513. 3 Do you recall how much less? (Witness shakes head.) Α 5 Do you recall, assisting them with the 6 budget, how much money they had left over after rent? 7 No. I did not do the budget. I requested 8 the Children's Cabinet do it. 9 Was it your understanding from speaking to 10 the parents that the rent took up most of their TANF? 11 MS. ELCANO: Objection. Calls for hearsay. 12 BY MR. ELKINS: 13 Just from speaking to Ms. Guerrero? 14 0 We didn't talk about the budget at that time. Α 15 Did you ever talk about the amount of the 16 17 rent? Yes. We talked about the amount of the rent, Α 18 but we didn't talk about a budget. 19 In talking about the amount of the rent, was 20 it your understanding that it took up most of the TANF 21 benefits? 22 Yes, most. 23 Α

And by that I mean the \$513.

Correct. Α 1 You testified, I believe, that Ms. Guerrero at some point had a Section 8 voucher? 3 Yes. Α 4 If I recall correctly, your testimony was 5 that one of the concerns that the agency had in terms of 6 her motivation was her failure to employ the Section 8 7 voucher, to use it; is that right? 8 Correct. Α Do you know how the Section 8 voucher program 10 11 works? No. 12 Do you know what impediments there are to 1.3 using a Section 8 voucher? 14 Α No. 15 Did you believe that if you had a Section 8 16 voucher, that guaranteed you an apartment? 17 No. She had to look for one. 18 Yes. But did you believe that if you found 19 an apartment and had a Section 8 voucher, that's all you 20 needed to secure an apartment? 21

No.

things that were required?

Α

22

23

24

So it's your understanding there are other

That's correct. 1 Α Among those things is good credit, isn't it? 2 I don't know. 3 Α Okay. Do you know whether or not a prior eviction affects your ability to use a Section 8 voucher? 5 I don't know. 6 THE COURT: Who assists them with this? 7 You're the worker or you're -- who assists them in this 8 housing? Is there someone that does know about Section 8 9 10 in the system? THE WITNESS: When they get Section 8, then 11 they can get assistance from the Section 8 housing 12 workers there who approved her application in finding an 13 apartment or getting at least the guidance as to where to 14 15 go. THE COURT: I don't mean to interrupt you. 16 THE WITNESS: At least that's what I 17 18 understand. BY MR. ELKINS: 19 What's your understanding based on? 2.0 On information -- on phone calls I've had 21 with Section 8 housing representatives. 22 Okay. That's fine. 23

THE COURT: So, then, you give them a

voucher, and they go to Section 8 and find out what --

THE WITNESS: No, no, no. Section 8 is completely separate from us. So they go to Section 8, they request -- they fill out an application, and if they get approved, they're usually put on a waiting list because there's many people there. Once their name pops up, then they are informed and they can either get housing or get an apartment -- a house, an apartment.

From conversations I've had, I understand that there are certain requirements. You have to look for your own apartment, you have to be able to pay a certain amount. I think -- I don't know how much. I think it's based on -- I don't even know, honestly. It's based on an income, but they said that they can refer people to, like, certain areas that have worked with them before.

THE COURT: Is Section 8 a government program, and if so, what government?

THE WITNESS: Yes, it's a government program, and I don't know if it's state or federal. I don't know. BY MR. ELKINS:

Q So the Section 8 program isn't administered by your agency and, really, you have little to do with it; isn't that right?

1 A Correct.

1.2

- Q During the time that the parents had the Linden Street apartment and when you were reviewing their ability to meet the children's basic needs, isn't it fair to say that you concluded that TANF was not sufficient to meet the needs of the family?
 - A No.
- Q You never indicated anywhere that TANF would not be sufficient?
- A Well, it was sufficient to maintain for a short while, but we -- I encouraged the parents to find jobs.
 - Q Yes, but that's not my question.
- Isn't it true that you concluded that TANF would not be sufficient to meet the basic needs of a family this size?
 - A Yes.
- Q So that in order to meet the children's basic needs, the parents would have to do something else?
 - A Correct.
- Q During the time that the parents lived in the Linden Street apartment, did Ms. Guerrero tell you that she was employed at certain times?
 - A She told me of job leads. She told me she

had jobs, but I don't believe she ever actually started 1 them. 2 Did she ever mention SK Foods? 3 Yes, but I don't recall her starting while 4 she was at the Linden apartment. 5 That might have been after she gave birth to 6 Nathan? 7 Α Right. 8 Or Ethan? 9 Ethan, yes. Α 10 I apologize for that mistake. 11 And, in fact, speaking of Ethan, the mother, 12 Ms. Guerrero, during this period of time from May to 13 December, having nothing to do with romance, was 14 pregnant; correct? 15 Correct. 16 And she became progressively more so, 17 presumably, between May and December? 18 Well, yes. Α 19 THE COURT: That's usually how it goes, isn't 20 21 it? MR. ELKINS: In my experience. 22 THE COURT: Maybe they become less pregnant 23 over time? 24

Maybe.

Α

0

23

24

Okay. Is it fair to say you permitted that

because you didn't have any concerns about the children's 1 physical safety in the custody of their parents? Is that 2 right? 3 That's correct. Is it also fair to say that you didn't have 5 any concerns about Ms. Guerrero's ability to manage the 6 children during those visits? 7 That's correct. 8 And then how did the visits progress? 9 From there, I can't remember if we did three 1.0 or we stayed at two -- honestly, I don't remember -- but 11 there started being some issues between drop-off and 12 pick-up times. 1.3 Before that == I'm sorry to interrupt 14 you -- did there come a time when visits were permitted 15 in the home at the Linden Street apartment? 16 Yes, they were permitted. 17 And do you know approximately when that was? 0 18 Maybe June. Or not June. Yeah, maybe June 19 of 2014. 20 And obviously --21 '13, sorry. 2.2 Α

And obviously before you would permit visits

'13, gotcha.

0

23

in the Linden Street apartment, you had gone there and 1 looked at it and you had determined it was safe; correct? 2 Correct. Α And you've already said it was a one-bedroom 4 smaller than this courtroom? 5 Right. Α 6 And there came a time when the visits 7 progressed from being in the home to being overnight? 8 Honestly, I don't recall overnight. I don't 9 remember. 10 Was there a plan to have overnight visits? 11 Possibly, yes. Α 12 Okay. And something occurred, did it not, 13 that prevented visits from continuing in the Linden 14 Street apartment? 15 Yes. Α 16 And what was that? 17 0 Their power was shut off. Α 18 So do you recall Ms. Guerrero telling you 19 what efforts they made to keep the power on? 20 I remember her telling me they went to donate 21 plasma to get more money: 22 What does that mean, "donate plasma"? 23

24

They go into a facility downtown -- I believe

it's like blood, at least that's how it's been explained 1 to me -- you go in, you donate plasma, and you get money 2 for it. 3 So they were donating blood in order to pay 4 the utility bill? 5 That's what she had told me. 6 7 Did you have any reason to believe that wasn't true? 8 9 Α No. What other efforts, to your knowledge, did 10 they make to pay the utility bill? 11 I know initially they had submitted a 12 low-income energy application to the welfare department. 13 14 And is it your understanding that was 15 granted? 16 A No. Do you know what other efforts they made to 17 pay the utility bill? 18 I think they tried asking people for money, 19 like to borrow money. 20 So, then, I believe you testified that became 21 an obstacle to the children visiting in the apartment? 22 Α Yes. 23

And why was that?

24

So she'd have to go somewhere else?

Yes. Α 1 So if you had the change, that's possible? 2 Q Yes. Α 3 And I believe you had already testified that 4 at one point a vacuum cleaner was provided, but there was 5 no power to run it? 6 No, no, no. We offered the vacuum cleaner 7 prior to the power turning off. We didn't purchase a 8 vacuum cleaner until the power was turned back on. 9 All right. And, in fact, isn't it true you 10 would go to the apartment sometimes and you would see 11 efforts to clean, like sweeping? 12 Yes, efforts. 13 Α But they were sort of unavailing, didn't work 14 out? Didn't you say at one point the mop was so dirty 15 that it was making the floor dirty? 16 Correct. Α 17 MR. ELKINS: Judge, you don't mind if I just 18 wander a little bit, do you? I'm tired of hearing the 19 floor creek. 20 THE COURT: That's fine. You can move that 21 back if you want to. 22 MR. ELKINS: I appreciate that 23

24

THE COURT: You can move it out of the way,

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

BY MR. ELKINS:

Q All right. So eventually, though -- let me just -- I'm sorry. I'm going to try to do this in some kind of logical order.

Getting back to the visits, because of the condition of the home, they couldn't visit in the home any longer, and so what did you do with the visits at that point?

- A I moved them back to the community.
- Q Okay. And then there came a time when they went back to the agency?
- A Well, they weren't in our agency. It was just the pick-up and drop-off location.
 - Q So the weekend visits stopped?
 - A Correct.
- Q And the foster mother would bring the children to the agency where the parents would meet them and take them out?
 - A Correct.
- Q Do you recall the day that Ms. Guerrero was told that that arrangement was going to be made?
- A Yes.
- Q What was her reaction?

She was upset. Α 1 How upset was she? 2 She was crying and upset and didn't Α 3 understand why. 4 Can you describe her physical response? 5 I just said she was crying. 6 I know that, but isn't it a fact she couldn't 7 catch her breath or speak? 8 I believe, yes, that was the occasion. 9 So she was -- would you say she was 10 hysterical? 11 I wouldn't -- well, yeah, she was 12 hysterically crying, yes. 13 In your experience as a social worker, did 14 that response seem proportionate to the event? 15 Sure. She was upset. 16 Okay. Is that a common reaction for parents 17 who are told to pick their children up --18 Not all the time. Α 19 Speaking of that, Ms. Lopez, when you first 20 met the mother, she told you, did she not, that she had a 21 history of depression? 22 Correct. Α 23

24

That she had been prescribed medication as a

teenager?

- A I don't recall the medication part.
- Q Okay. That she had been in therapy in the past?
 - A I think she did tell me that, yes.
 - Q Did she mention anxiety?
- A I don't recall mention of anxiety, but I do recall the depression.
- Q And so a psychosocial became part of your case plan; correct?
 - A Correct.
- Q Can you just tell the judge what a psychosocial is?
- A Sure. A psychosocial is an evaluation completed by a marriage and family counselor, licensed clinical social worker such as Ms. Buttacavoli, who was here yesterday. They do -- they assess, they talk to the parents, they determine if further services are needed.

They can look for things like need for a psychological evaluation, something a little more thorough, neuropsych. They can refer to parenting classes, they can refer to substance abuse treatment, individual therapy, whatever, based on their intake with the parent, their assessment, they feel would benefit the

1	parent.			
2	Q So where did you refer the mother for the			
3	psychosocial?			
4	A To Brianna Carter at the Children's Cabinet.			
5	Q And is it your understanding that she did go			
6	for the psychosocial?			
7	A She did.			
8	Q Also, during your			
9	THE COURT: This Brianna Carter, she's an			
10	MF			
11	THE WITNESS: She's an MFT intern. At that			
12	time she was an intern.			
13	THE COURT: Excuse me.			
14	BY MR. ELKINS:			
15	Q So, Ms. Lopez, you never had the benefit,			
16	prior to the 12-month period that you testified about, of			
17	Dr. Aberasturi's opinion here, did you?			
18	A Correct.			
19	Q In fact, let's just talk about that.			
20	At 12 months the services that you talked			
21	about through Children's Cabinet stopped, didn't they?			
22	A Correct.			
23	Q So around April of 2014 no services were			
2.4	being provided to the parent; right?			

Correct. Well, I wouldn't say no services. 1 I did give her --2 A bus pass? 3 No. And referrals to therapists, and we talked. 5 But nothing that was being paid for? 6 7 Α No. There were times, were there not, Ms. Lopez, 8 when you were discussing counseling with my client and 9 she would say, "What I really need is a job"? 10 Α Yes. 11 Does Social Services, Washoe County 12 Department of Social Services, your agency, have the 13 ability to assist with employment? 14 We'd give her, like, referrals to fairs, job 15 fairs. Like I did before, like I mentioned, we would 16 talk about this is where she could go, but, no, I don't 17 have the ability to get her a job. 18 No, no. Did anyone, for example, actually 19 assist Ms. Guerrero to fill out an employment 20 application? Did you do that? 21 I did not, but I have the ability to do that. Α 22 But you didn't? Q 23

But I didn't do that.

But you did discuss with her generally what 1 0 employers are looking for and how to dress? Right. 3 And things like that? 0 4 Correct. Α 5 But is it fair to say that during the period 6 of time when there was no power, the parents' hygiene 7 also declined? 8 Their hygiene was throughout the case kind 9 of -- sometimes good, sometimes bad. I don't remember if 10 it was during just that time. 11 All right. Isn't it true that at one point 12 you told Ms. Guerrero, "You know, you're not going to get 13 a job if you're not -- if your hygiene isn't better"? 14 No. I told her that employers look for 15 certain things, and I said that's one of them. 16 Did she at one point ask you for hygiene 17 products? 18 I believe she did, and I told her if she 19 really needed some, I would go get them. 20 Now, there came a time, did there not, around 21 November of 2013 when the power was restored to the 22 Linden Street apartment? 23

I'm sorry?

I'm sorry. There came a time around November 1 of 2013 when power was restored to the Linden Street 2 apartment; right? 3 Α Yes. Okay. And did Ms. Guerrero tell you how that 5 0 managed to happen? 6 Α Yes. 7 And how was that? 8 0 She told me that the apartment complex turned 9 it on under their name. 10 Because it was getting colder? 11 Because of the weather, yes. 12 So now the apartment has power, and I believe 13 you testified yesterday that the condition in the 14 apartment actually improved during this period? 15 Correct. Α 16 Can you tell me, then, Ms. Lopez, why in 17 November of 2013 the children were not able to visit with 18 their parents at the Linden Street address if they had 19 power and it was cleaner? 20 Again, it had to do with the conflict between 21 Ms. Guerrero and the foster parent, and the foster parent 22 wasn't willing to meet anywhere besides our building.

Well, aren't their runners or other people

23

that could transport the children?

A These children are school age, and if we move the visit to the weekends, we have no runners.

- Q Didn't you already testify that earlier in the case the parents had a weekday visit in the evening with the children at their apartment?
 - A Yes.

- Q Okay. So why weren't they permitted a weekday evening visit with their children?
- A Because, again, the foster parent was not willing to transport there.
- Q Can I ask you this: Why weren't the parents permitted to pick up the children from the agency and take them to the apartment during this period?
 - A I don't recall.
- Q Do you recall, Ms. Lopez, saying that it was because you weren't sure that they would have stability?
- A I don't recall. I don't remember. Actually, I remember, I remember a conversation we had. I believe I told her that it was unhealthy for the children to allow them to go back in the home and visit and then have to pull the visits out again and then let them go back in and then pull them out again. And so we talked about demonstrating the stability in order for me to allow the

children to go visit in the home again.

- Q Well, these are visits; correct?
- A Correct.

- Q We're not talking about them living there?
- A No. Correct. But the children don't understand that. A child, all they know is, I get to be in the home again, and to them, that means I'm going to be home soon. And so pulling them -- we had already did that, and then pulling them again, that would not have been healthy. All I was asking her was for several months of stability so that I could allow them and move forward.
- Q So the alternative was that during November, December of 2013, even though they had the Linden Street apartment and it had power, they would come to the agency and visit the kids?
 - A Correct.
- Q And Ms. Guerrero was about eight months pregnant in December?
 - A Correct.
- Q And didn't she complain that it was difficult to go out given her condition during that time?
- A I wouldn't say she said to go out. She was having a difficult time walking.

Okay. And, in fact, I think you testified 1 yesterday that in December -- well, late December they 2 lost the Linden Street apartment? 3 Correct. 4 And the mother in January of 2015 gave birth 5 6 to Ethan? Correct. 7 Ά Okay. And at that point in time I think 8 we've already heard -- well, let me ask you this: Ethan 9 was not assigned to you; correct? 10 11 No. But you did visit Ms. Guerrero, did you not, 12 at her father's residence? 13 Yes. 14 A So she went from the hospital to her father's 15 residence with the baby? 16 Correct. 17 Did you ever have a conversation with her 18 father about the other children being there? 19 Α Yes. 20 Was he willing to do that? 21 MS. ELCANO: Objection. Calls for hearsay. 22 MR. ELKINS: Well, actually, Judge, it goes, 23

it seems to me, to the agency's understanding of the

situation in terms of their efforts to reunite, reunify the family.

THE COURT: I'll let it in, but it won't be for the truth of the matter asserted.

THE WITNESS: So there was actually -- and

Ms. Guerrero was present during that conversation. Yes,

I did talk to him about whether living there was going to

be a permanent situation or a temporary situation.

BY MR. ELKINS:

Q Were the children on your caseload the subject of that conversation?

A Yes. We discussed the possibility of the children being able to reunify in that home if it was going to be a permanent living environment.

Q So, Ms. Lopez, if I understand you correctly, you were prepared, if the grandfather agreed, to allow Ms. Guerrero and her four children to live there?

A Correct.

Q So you weren't concerned about her ability to take care of the children in terms of feeding, clothing, changing the baby's diapers, their basic physical needs, were you?

- A The basic parenting, no.
- Q Okay. So your concern was the resource;

So you went to court on what, the 16th,

1 something like that, 17th?
2 A Yeah.

3

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q So they had been in the trailer that Ms. Guerrero told you they purchased; right?
 - A Well, they hadn't purchased it.
 - Q They made a down payment on it?
 - A Correct.
- Q So she told you that this trailer they acquired on Fourth Street, and I believe you testified it was in the same trailer park as the grandfather's trailer?
 - A Correct.
- Q So that's where they were living when you filed or when you went to court and asked that the Court set a goal of termination of parental rights?
 - A Correct.
- Q So at the time you requested that, is it also true that Ms. Kraft, by the time you made that request, just chronologically, had already gone out and seen the trailer?
 - A I don't know.
- Q But you knew Ethan was there with his parents; correct?
- 24 A I think Ms. Kraft closed her case prior to

them moving into that home. 1 Well, someone visited the trailer; correct? 2 Ms. Tyre, but that wasn't until July. Α 3 You don't recall going to see the trailer? 4 Oh, I did, I went to see the trailer. Α 5 So you saw the trailer? 6 I saw the mobile home, yeah. 7 While I think you've testified it wasn't 8 ideal, it was adequate given the baby's age? 9 Well, the baby, yes. 10 So they had this residence, and we've seen 11 photographs ---12 Correct. Α 13 -- of that residence at the time you asked 14 the Court to set a goal of termination of parental 15 16 rights? Correct. 17 MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, I don't think there 18 have been pictures of the trailer residence. 19 THE WITNESS: Well, the ones that Ms. Tyre 20 took, but those were July. There is no pictures from 21 April 2014. 22

581

But those July photographs are of the --

BY MR. ELKINS:

23

The mobile home, the Fourth Street mobile Α 1 2 home. Right. 3 0 It wasn't Ms. Tyre. It was Ms. Meszaros was 4 the one who took the photographs. 5 The one with the yellow cat, I think. 0 6 MS. ELCANO: Yellow cat? MR. ELKINS: Cat, not cab. 8 THE WITNESS: There might have been a cat in 9 the picture. 10 MR. ELKINS: There's a yellow cat in there 11 somewhere. 12 BY MR. ELKINS: 13 In fact, Ms. Lopez, Ms. Guerrero was never 14 homeless from the time you took the case until you asked 15 the Court to set a goal of termination of parental 16 rights; correct? 17 Correct. A 18 MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, I apologize. I was 19 looking at my phone because I'm talking with witnesses, 20 just so -- I don't want you to think I was communicating. 21 THE COURT: Do whatever you want to as long 22

MS. ELCANO: I've got a -- a witness didn't

as it doesn't ring.

23

know where we were, so I was trying to clarify.

THE COURT: You know, as long as what you do isn't disturbing the proceedings, I don't care. What I want is this proceeding to proceed ---

MS. ELCANO: Thank you.

THE COURT: -- to conclusion, and sometimes being overly formalistic impedes that. If you haven't noticed, I'm not real high on formality as long as things are moving along.

You know, old Judge Reed, I don't know if any of you ever appeared in front of him, but you had to be seated at the table or at the podium, stand at the podium. You couldn't get more than an arm's length away from the podium. There was an imaginary line in front of the podium that you couldn't go in front of. If you wanted a witness to look at an exhibit, you had to have the bailiff come get it, take it to the witness and show him, and he used to scream at me all the time because I never did any of those things.

His bailiff was constantly saying, "You know,

Judge Reed wants you to do this." So I was never a very

good follower of what I considered to be -- well, I

wandered around all over the place, so it doesn't bother

me if anyone else does. There are things that are

disruptive. As long as you don't do those, I don't care what you do.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ ELKINS: I'm wandering much less than I usually do.

THE COURT: Whatever is comfortable. Here you just about can touch the walls, you can't wander a long ways.

And then the first judge I practiced in front of was old Judge Gregory, and because I spoke low, he'd make me go all the way to the back of the courtroom because I'd have to yell loud enough for the witness to hear me and so everybody else could hear, too, which caused me to raise my voice after that. So whatever works and whatever gets us to the end of this.

MS. ELCANO: Thank you.

MR. ELKINS: Judge, if you just give me a minute to look over my notes.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

BY MR. ELKINS:

- Q Ms. Lopez, I believe that you testified yesterday that during the life of the case you did not recall the mother having a job.
 - A No, I didn't say that.
 - Q But you do recall she got a job at Motel 6?

- A Oh, yes. I said that.
- $\ensuremath{\text{Q}}$ And didn't she also get a job at La Quinta? $\ensuremath{\text{Q}}\text{-u-i-n-t-a},$ I believe it is.
 - A She told me she had gotten a job, yeah.
- Q Can I ask you this: In terms of motivation, the fact that she was hired by Motel 6, doesn't that show that she had sufficient initiative to go out and obtain employment?
- A Yes. But there was no -- there was lack of follow-through.
 - Q You mean she lost the job?
 - A Right.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

- Q Is that what you mean by "lack of follow-through"?
- A Well, I don't know why she lost the job. I know what she told me, but I don't know for a fact.
- Q But when you say "lack of follow-through," you mean she lost her job; correct?
 - A Not necessarily, no.
 - Q Well, it takes motivation to get the job?
 - A Right.
- Q It takes initiative? You have to apply for it, you have to interview, you have to fill out the paperwork, you have to show up for work?

Ms. Lopez, the issue was motivation; correct?

court?

A Correct.

1.4

- Q And isn't it a fact that you actually told her that there was a low chance that she would get into mental health court?
 - A Not initially.
- Q Did there come a time when you said, "There's one opening and there's a low chance you'll get in"?
 - A Yes.
- Q So, in fact, she was not admitted to mental health court, was she?
 - A That's true.

THE COURT: Since I'm not familiar with mental health court over here, are there more services available for people that are in mental health court than there would be for people who aren't?

THE WITNESS: Yes. There's more supervision, more support. They get a foster grandparent who assists them in, really, motivation, emotional support.

THE COURT: Can they get housing and all of that stuff?

THE WITNESS: No, no. There isn't any of that involved in it. You have a team of people working together to support the parent, and that includes their lawyer, a therapist, social worker, the judge. And so

working together, we try to help the parent. The foster grandparent, the mentor moms, working together, we try to figure out solutions as to how do we help the parent.

Again, we give them tasks, but the parent still has to take that initiative and take action, but there is a lot more supervision.

THE COURT: So there's not people -- I mean, at least to begin with, we started mental health court for people who were charged with crimes, trying to keep them out of jail. So it's gone beyond that now and you can --

THE WITNESS: Well, this is family mental health court. There is a criminal mental health court. This is family mental health court.

THE COURT: So this is completely different?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. I didn't realize that.

MR. ELKINS: Sorry, Judge.

BY MR. ELKINS:

Q And there are a limited number of openings; correct?

A Correct. Family mental health court has a limited amount of openings.

Q Do you know how many people are in that at

any one time? 1 I don't. I'm sorry. THE COURT: Is that still being done by Breen 3 and Blake and Schumacher? 4 THE WITNESS: The judge right now is 5 Judge Walker. 6 THE COURT: Egan? 7 THE WITNESS: Yes. 8 BY MR. ELKINS: 9 So it's not just a matter of signing up; 10 right? 11 Α No. 12 THE COURT: From this, I'm assuming she 13 applied and was not accepted because there wasn't room? 14 THE WITNESS: No, that's not what happened. 15 MR. ELKINS: Well, Judge, I don't know that 16 this witness is part of the process. 17 THE COURT: I'll just withdraw the question 18 because if she doesn't know, then that's fine. I'm 19 20 sorry. MR. ELKINS: And I --21 BY MR. ELKINS: 22 You weren't on the team that determined 23 whether she was admitted, were you? 24

1	A No.
2	Q So we've gotten to the Fourth Street trailer
3	park and the trailer you saw there where the parents
4	lived with Ethan.
5	I believe you testified yesterday there came
6	a time when Ms. Guerrero was assaulted by
7	Mr. Hunt-Taylor, as you understand it?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And she did not return to the trailer after
10	that; correct?
11	A I don't know if she went back and then I
12	don't know exactly when. I just know it was shortly
13	after she didn't go back.
14	Q So you don't know?
15	A Correct.
16	Q But you do know that she went to court;
17	correct?
18	MS. ELCANO: Objection. Vague. "Court"? I
19	mean
20	BY MR. ELKINS:
21	Q You do know she got a protective order
22	A Yes.
23	Q against Mr. Hunt-Taylor; correct?
2.4	A Yes, I do know that.

And Mr. Hunt-Taylor went to jail, did he not? 1 Correct. Α 2 And, in fact, after that, for a -- well, 3 Mr. Hunt-Taylor was in jail from July until -- was it 4 October of 2014? 5 I don't know when he got out, but it was 6 somewhere around there. And then after that their visits at the 8 agency were separated; is that right? 9 Correct. Correct. 10 And you and Ms. Guerrero had conversations 11 about her relationship with Mr. Hunt-Taylor, did you not? 12 Α Correct. 13 And there came a time when she told you that 14 they were not in a romantic relationship, but that they 15 did communicate without their children; correct? 16 17 Α Correct. And at that time she asked that the visits be 18 recombined; is that right? 19 Correct. Α 2.0 And so she and Mr. Hunt-Taylor visited 21 together? 22 Not at that time. There was still a TPO. Α 23 When the TPO was lifted? 24

1	A Right.
2	Q And they again began to visit together?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Do you know about when that was? Toward the
5	end of 2014, maybe?
6	A Yeah. December, January, somewhere around in
7	there. I don't recall the exact time.
8	THE COURT: Of 2000
9	THE WITNESS: Of 2014-15, around that time.
.0	BY MR. ELKINS:
1	Q So there was a substantial period of time
L2	when either Mr. Hunt-Taylor was in jail or they were
L3	visiting separately?
L4	A Correct.
15	Q But at that point in time you've testified
L 6	that you didn't offer any services because no more
17	services were required of you with regard to domestic
18	violence because you had gotten the order from the Court
19	setting the goal of termination of parental rights;
20	correct?
21	A Correct.
22	Q Ms. Lopez, in 2013, had the parents been able
23	to maintain their Linden Street apartment, the plan was

to reunite the children with their parents there; isn't

1	that right?
2	A Correct.
3	Q So had they been able to maintain the
4	apartment, if they had sufficient income to pay the rent,
5	keep the utilities on, purchase food, the children would
6	have been returned to their parents; is that right?
7	MS. ELCANO: Objection. Calls for
8	speculation.
9	THE COURT: I think he asked if that was the
10	plan.
11	MS. ELCANO: He had previously, but in this
12	question he didn't.
13	BY MR. ELKINS:
14	Q Is that correct?
15	THE COURT: Go ahead and answer. Was that
16	the plan?
17	THE WITNESS: That was the plan.
18	BY MR. ELKINS:
19	Q And had the conditions been met, the plan
20	would have been implemented; correct?
21	A Yes.
22	Q You had no more casework responsibility here,
23	right, for Ms. Guerrero after April of 2014?
24	A Correct.

1	MR. ELKINS: The Court's indulgence.
2	THE COURT: I just want to get some dates
3	clear.
4	The children were removed in April of 2013?
5	THE WITNESS: Correct.
6	THE COURT: They had an apartment from May of
7	2013 through December of 2013?
8	THE WITNESS: Correct.
9	THE COURT: And then after she had the baby,
10	she moved in with her father, but at some point they had
11	a trailer
12	THE WITNESS: March sorry April 2014.
13	THE COURT: 2014. And then it was right
14	then, because the 12 months were up, that the permanency
15	plan was changed from reunification to
16	THE WITNESS: Termination.
17	THE COURT: termination. So that would
18	have been in April of 2014?
19	THE WITNESS: Correct.
20	THE COURT: When did you try to get her into
21	mental health court?
22	THE WITNESS: I think it was about it was
23	six months into the case. I think it was maybe about
24	November, December 2014, so six months.

MR. ELKINS: Judge, rather than have the 1 witness sit here -- I know you're accustomed to taking a 2 break -- I don't know if this is too early for you, but 3 if you want, I can look through my notes and tell you 4 whether I have any other questions. THE COURT: Let's just all stand in place 6 here. You can go ahead and -- because usually I take 7 it -- well, 8:30 -- see, if we take it now, then it's a 8 real long stretch after that. 9 So let's just do this. Everybody else can be 10 at ease, and you can take a few minutes and look at 11 12 your notes. MR. ELKINS: I appreciate that. Thank you. 13 (A recess was taken.) 14 BY MR. ELKINS: 15 Ms. Lopez, there came a time, did there not, 16 in January of this year when you had a conversation with 17 Roberto about adoption. 18 Do you recall that? 19 Yes. 20 Α And you went to see him in his foster home; 21

22

23

24

is that right?

Α

Correct.

596

That's Sandra Matute's home?

1	А	Correct.
2	Q	And you talked to him about why he was still
3	in foster ca	are?
4	А	Correct.
5	Q	And what was his demeanor during that
6	conversation	n?
7		MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, I believe that's
8	hearsay.	
9		MR. ELKINS: His demeanor?
10		THE COURT: No. That's an observation.
11		THE WITNESS: Towards the end of our
12	conversatio	n, he was tearful.
13	BY MR. ELKI	NS:
14	Q	Is it fair to say he was sad?
15	A	Sorry?
16	Q	Sad? He used the word "sad"?
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	And, in fact, you referred him to a
19	therapist;	correct?
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	After that conversation?
22	A	Correct.
23		MR. ELKINS: No further questions, Judge.
24		THE COURT: Any redirect?

MS. ELCANO: Yes. Thank you.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. ELCANO:

Q I want to first turn to SAFE-FC, Ms. Lopez.

I think the way that the questions were

phrased to you were requirements of the parents, but you are required to offer meetings to the parents; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And what is the purpose or benefit of offering meetings, more meetings than, say, a non-SAFE-FC to parents?

The purpose was to have momentum, to discuss the case plan goals with services that are needed, what's working, what's not working, to discuss how they're feeling and to just process everything that's going on with the purpose of, if we do this every single week, then we gain more momentum and the kids come home quicker.

Q Do you believe that this was beneficial for Ms. Guerrero?

A I think it's beneficial to have more contact with a parent, and, yes, I think it was beneficial.

1	Q Why in particular do you feel that it was
2	beneficial to Ms. Guerrero to offer these weekly meetings
3	to her?
4	A She was able to express how she was feeling.
5	We would be talking about what she felt and what she
6	needed. It was about providing the guidance as a social
7	worker that she needed.
8	Q And during these meetings were you able to go
9	over the goals of the case plan?
10	A Yes. The case plan meetings were I mean,
11	weekly meetings were focused on her goals.
12	Q And also the tasks that were expected to be
13	completed?
14	A Correct.
15	Q And were you also able to discuss services
16	that could be implemented?
17	A Sure. Yes.
18	Q As well as discussing Ms. Guerrero's progress
19	or lack thereof?
20	A Correct.
21	Q And what could be done to address that; is
22	that correct?
23	A Correct.
24	Q And if a parent fails to attend these

meetings, but they are in a situation where they have demonstrated an ability to safely parent their child, 2 would the failure to attend these meetings be a reason 3 solely not to return their child to their care and 5 custody? No. Α You testified, I believe, that you thought 7 mom's TANF benefits were approximately \$513 a month; is that correct? 9 Α Yes. 10 While Ms. Guerrero was receiving this \$513 a 11 month, were the children in her care? 12 Α No. 13 Was she able to meet her own basic needs, 14 including housing and food, while she was receiving the 15 \$513 a month? 16 Α No. 17 And was that TANF benefit, to your knowledge, 18 the amount that she received when the children were in 19 her care? 20 Α Yes. 21 So did that TANF change once the children 2.2 were removed and TANF caught up basically? 23

It wasn't changed initially.

But did the 513 amount change? Q 1 Not initially. Α 2 When did it change? 3 I'd say about six months. 4 Based on your own personal knowledge or 5 conversations with Ms. Guerrero, do you know why it 6 changed? 7 I do know that if -- initially welfare does 8 not change the TANF money if the plan is reunification, 9 but they pretty much give them six months. If the 10 children are not home within six months, then it gets 11 reduced. 1.2 I see. So just so I understand, TANF 13 benefits relating to a parent caring for a child would 14 remain the same for six months if the plan is 15 reunification? 16 Α Yes. 17 Even though the children were placed outside 18 of the parents' home? 19 Correct. 20 Α Thank you. 21 To your knowledge was there ever a lapse in 22 TANF benefits provided to Ms. Guerrero, aside from her 23

sit-out period, during the life of the case?

MR. ELKINS: Objection. Objection, Judge. Hearsay. BY MS. ELCANO: 3 Based on conversations with the mother, Ms. Guerrero? Yes, we did have a conversation. I'm going 6 to say it was March of 2014. She missed a month of TANF 7 benefits because she wasn't -- she hadn't turned in 8 certain documents that were required. I wanted to discuss Social Services' policies 10 11

1

5

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

regarding the number of bedrooms that are required in order to meet the number of children. In other words, if there is a mother caring for a child, how many bedrooms are required to approve placement of three children home with the mom?

- Actually, we don't have a requirement.
- You don't, okay. 0

No. We have licensing requirements and we have parent requirements, and they're completely different.

- So three children could be placed -- there would be no requirement -- in a one-bedroom apartment with a parent?
 - A As long as there was appropriate sleeping

arrangements for each one.

Q Perfect. Okay. Thank you.

You testified that Ms. Guerrero did have an outstanding power bill that you did not recall the amount at the time that she moved into the Linden apartment; is that correct?

- A Correct.
- Q And what services were offered to Ms. Guerrero to assist her with taking care of this?

A I requested Ms. Pasley, which is the Cabinet worker assigned to me on this case, to assist her with that task.

Q And did you indicate to Ms. Pasley what services you wanted her to offer to Ms. Guerrero to help her with that?

A One of them was to apply for low-income housing.

- Q Thank you.
- A I mean -- sorry -- the energy assistance. I apologize.
 - Q Thank you.

 So services were discussed and hopefully --
 - A Yes.
 - Q Do you have any knowledge whether that

- 11	
1	service was offered based on your conversations with
2	Ms. Guerrero?
3	A Based on our conversations, no, that was not
4	something she obtained.
5	Q I'm sorry. Was the service offered based on
6	your conversation with Ms. Guerrero?
7	A Sorry. Yes, it was offered to her. Yes.
8	Sorry.
9	Q Thank you.
10	And based on your conversations with
11	Ms. Guerrero, did she apply for this utility, low-income
12	utility?
13	A She did.
14	Q And based on your conversations with
15	Ms. Guerrero, did she obtain this?
16	A No.
17	Q And based on your conversations with
18	Ms. Guerrero, why not?
19	A She told me that they told her she was
20	missing documents and that she needed to reapply.
21	Q And to your knowledge did Ms. Guerrero
22	reapply, based on your conversations with Ms. Guerrero?
23	A No.
24	Q Did Ms. Guerrero ever indicate to you why

not?

A No.

Q You talked a little bit about budgeting.

Why was managing a budget an issue in this particular case?

A There had been money coming into the home.

Obviously they had the food stamps which were used for food, but they had the TANF money, and that money wasn't being managed properly and being used for the basic needs.

Q What behaviors specifically or what did you observe which led you to believe that the money was not being managed properly?

A I constantly saw the parents show up with fast food to visits or -- there was an occasion where I saw Ms. Guerrero with acrylic nails, which are expensive. They bought expensive toys for their children. She once told me that she bought Kayleigh a \$95 teddy bear from Build-A-Bear.

I observed — and this was after the TANF money, after her sit-out — but I did observe her show up to the office with — with expensive — I wouldn't say expensive things, but things that she couldn't afford.

Q Such as?

1	A
2	for Kayleig
3	can get exp
4	that she re
5	Q
6	Ms. Guerrer
7	children.
8	A
9	kids, wanti
LO	conversatio
11	what was im
12	cat or was
13	rent so the
14	the child's
15	

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A A cake, a Hello Kitty cake, Hello Kitty gifts for Kayleigh's birthday, just, you know, fast food. That can get expensive if you do that often. So just things that she really couldn't afford.

Q So obviously these were things that

Ms. Guerrero was trying to do something nice for her

children. Why was that concerning to you?

A Well, I understand wanting to spoil your kids, wanting to buy them nice things. We had many conversations about what was -- what was the priority, what was important. So was it important to buy a child a cat or was it important to pay your power bill and your rent so they could be home with you? What would be in the child's best interest?

And so consistently we had these conversations about misspending your money and what was the priority, which was get the children home.

 $\,$ Q $\,$ I now want to turn to the Linden apartment. Were you at all involved with the selection of this particular apartment?

A No.

Q Who selected this apartment, to your knowledge?

A Ms. Guerrero and Mr. Hunt-Taylor.

- Q And did Ms. Guerrero ever discuss with you this particular selection?
- A She just told me she had obtained the apartment.

- Q Okay. And was the rent ever discussed prior to obtaining the apartment?
- A We had a conversation about how she was going to maintain it.
- Q And can you please tell me what was discussed at that point?
- A I don't remember the amount. Like I said, I remember it was less than the TANF money, but we talked about "How are you going to maintain it? How are you going to pay the power bill? What's the plan? What are you planning here?"
- $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}$ $\ensuremath{\mathtt{A}}$ And what was Ms. Guerrero able to tell you about the plan?
- A She said that they were going to use their TANF money to pay the rent and that either she or Mr. Hunt-Taylor would get a job to pay for other things that were needed, such as the power and other supplies, obviously, that we all need, you know, to live.
 - Q Was that at all concerning to you?
 - A At the time, no.

- Q Did it become concerning to you?
- A Well, at one point, yes, because they weren't finding jobs or any -- I mean, the power wasn't getting paid, the rent wasn't getting paid, and so obviously her plan was not working.
 - Q And did you discuss that with Ms. Guerreró?
 - A Yes.

- Q And tell me, please, what was discussed.
- A So we discussed this. This was a topic that we had continuously throughout the first year and actually throughout whenever I would talk to her. We would -- I can't -- I can't tell her what to do because it doesn't work. She needs to tell me what is her plan.
- MR. ELKINS: Judge, the objection is it's beyond the scope of the question.
- THE COURT: I think what she asked was what the conversation was about this, so, no, I think the answer is still about what they talked about. So overruled.
- THE WITNESS: And I explained that to her. I said, "It would be so easy to tell you to do A, B, and C, but it doesn't work that way because that's my plan, not your plan. Things work better when you have the plan and you ask, 'How do I get here? How do I get to the next

step?'"

1.3

2.1

And so when we would talk about, "Okay. This is not working. What are you going to do to do this?" there was things like "I'm going to go sell plasma, I'm looking for a job, I submitted an application here."

We talked — those are the times that we had conversations about what are employers looking for. We talked about being on time. You know, that's what an employer looks for, being on time, showing up every day, your hygiene is important. We talked about places to go look for work. I encouraged her to work on the budget, you know, working with the money you had and then also encouraging — having her encourage Mr. Hunt-Taylor to look for work as well.

BY MS. ELCANO:

- Q Was Ms. Guerrero able to articulate a plan to you?
 - A I think she always has a plan.
 - Q So what was the concern, then?
- A That she never followed through with the plan.
- Q I see. You talked a little bit about the Section 8 housing, and placed on your personal knowledge as well as conversations with Ms. Guerrero, what happened

with that Section 8 housing?

2.2

A So based on --

MR. ELKINS: Judge, objection. That's a compound question.

MS. ELCANO: I asked what happened with the Section 8 housing based on --

THE COURT: That was the question: What happened with the Section 8 housing?

MR. ELKINS: But what I'm trying to find out, Judge, is, was it based on her conversation or was it based on something else?

THE COURT: That's what she asked.

Based on your conversations with Ms. Guerrero, what happened to that?

THE WITNESS: In regards to the Reno Housing voucher, Ms. Guerrero and I talked about -- about it several times. She did obtain the voucher when she was living in the Fourth Street home, in the mobile home. She was at that time not sure if she was going to use the voucher or if she was going to stay in the Fourth Street mobile home.

I told her to think about the pros and cons.

Again, I can't tell her what to do, but I can get her to think about it and analyze what is in her best interest.

So we talked about that.

2.0

Later, in July, when she left that home, she -- she didn't act on the voucher still. She told me she had been looking for apartments that would accept the voucher.

Come September 2014, when -- sorry, it wasn't September. I'm sorry. It was before September. No, it was September. Sorry. It was September 2014. I'm sorry. All these dates get confusing.

Come September 2014, she calls me and told me she had found an apartment that would take the voucher; all she had to do was go sign the lease. She came to my office. I gave her a two-ride bus pass so she could get there and sign the lease and get it to the domestic — the domestic violence advocate.

She — the following week she hadn't done it, and I gave her a copy of the lease she had provided me with, and then I asked her again follow-up questions after that, I believe it was a week, a couple weeks afterwards, and she told me she hadn't went to submit that voucher, I mean that lease. So she didn't get that apartment.

And then later on the voucher was still good. She got an extension on it. She told me she was still

looking for a place, and that's when she told me that she couldn't find one due to her credit history.

BY MS. ELCANO:

- Q But she had obtained an apartment, based on her representations to you, using the Section 8 voucher regardless of her credit history and eviction history at one juncture?
- A According to what she provided me, the information and the lease she gave me, yes.
- Q And why didn't she get that apartment, according to Ms. Guerrero's statements to you?
- A She told me she didn't submit the lease and the apartment was not available anymore.
- Q And you testified that later Ms. Guerrero attempted to use the Section 8 voucher but couldn't find an apartment because of her credit history; is that correct?
 - A . That's what she told me.
- Q And did Ms. Guerrero indicate where she had gone to look for an apartment?
 - A I don't know.
- Q And you also were asked if you had a conversation with Ms. Guerrero regarding TANF and the concern that TANF wouldn't be sufficient to meet the

needs of the family, and I believe you said you had said that. Why? Why was that a concern to you? 2 It wasn't enough money to support a whole 3 family. It was probably enough to support her at that 4 point, but she was not using the money correctly because 5 they weren't paying the rent. And why were visits no longer -- when were 7 visits no longer permitted -- let me restate that. I apologize. 9 When were visits required to be back at 10 Social Services? 11 Drop-off and pick-up or on site? 12 On site. 13 Q I want to say that was maybe November of 1.4 15 2014, maybe. Okay. And why? 16 It was due to her allowing an unsafe person 17 during visits. 18 And who was "her"? 0 19 20 Ms. Guerrero. And who was the unsafe person? 21

-You testified that the power got shut off at

Mr. Vazquez.

the Linden Street apartment; correct?

Α

2.2

23

A Correct.

2.3

- Q And approximately when did that happen? I'm jumping back and forth. I apologize.
 - A That was in August of 2013.
- Q At that time did Ms. Guerrero indicate to you whether or not she had reapplied for that low-income utility assistance?
 - A I don't remember.
- Q Do you recall if you requested that she do so?
 - A I don't remember. I'm sorry.
 - Q That's okay.

So why did the visits have to stop being at the Linden apartment given that the power was turned off?

- A Because at that time I had done a home visit, and the home was -- the environment of the home was not safe.
- Q Can you describe why? Maybe -- I didn't mean to cut you off if you were --
 - A No. That's okay.

There was a lot of unsafe things. We have young children, and so there was a lot of -- the home was very dirty, a lot of garbage on the floor, clutter, a lot of clutter, things that didn't really belong inside a

home such as -- I saw bikes in the home, things like that.

MR. ELKINS: I'm sorry. Did you say "bikes"?

THE WITNESS: Yes. Adult bikes, not children

bikes. Things that could fall over the children,

especially Nathan, who was very young at the time. A lot

of clutter on the counters, dirty dishes everywhere. So

at that point -- and she did tell me she couldn't do the

dishes because of the hot water.

And when I was there, Mr. Hunt-Taylor was sweeping, and so -- so that was a concern, the condition of the home at that point.

BY MS. ELCANO:

2.0

- Q Could some of those safety concerns be remedied regardless of whether or not the power was on or off?
 - A Sure. I think so.
 - Q And did you discuss that with Ms. Guerrero?
 - A Yes.
 - Q And what was her response?
- A She said that they were in the process of cleaning and they were very busy, so they didn't have time to clean.
 - Q And approximately how long was it until you

went and saw the Linden apartment again, or did you?

A I did. I was in the home -- we tried to do our weekly meetings -- they don't have to be in my office, and so we would try to do one week in her home, one week in my office. So every other week I'd try to be out in the home.

- Q And did the condition of the home improve after you had that conversation?
 - A No.

2.1

- Q And approximately when was that conversation?
- A Probably sometime in August 2014 was the first time the home was not in good condition.
- Q And then you testified that the power did come back on?
 - A About November 2014.
- Q And to your knowledge, based on your conversations with Ms. Guerrero, was the power bill rectified or was it still outstanding?
- A It was still outstanding. She told me the apartment manager turned it on due to the weather, and now they have to pay their outstanding power bill and the current power bill on top of the rent.
- Q And based on your conversations with Ms. Guerrero, was she able to do so?

22

23

24

Α

down, and I couldn't understand what she was saying. She

was crying. And so she stopped. She held herself

together and we were able to have a conversation. 1 Okay. So approximately how long was she 2 upset for? 3 Probably just a minute. I mean a couple 4 5 minutes. Okay. And --I mean, she was upset during the whole thing, 7 but crying, it was only about a minute. I apologize. Thank you for clarifying that. 9 And approximately when was this? 10 I don't remember. I'm sorry. Α 11 And you also testified about Ms. Guerrero 12 completing a psychosocial evaluation with Dr. -- pardon 13 me -- with Ms. Carter; is that correct? 14 15 Yes. And you indicated that recommendations were 16 made for Ms. Guerrero to address the issues identified in 17 the psychosocial; correct? 18 I'm sorry? 19 A You testified that recommendations were made 20 by Brianna Carter to address the issues identified in the 21 psychosocial; correct? 22 Correct. Α 23

And based on your conversations with

Brianna Carter.

Α

1	Q So you indicated Brianna Carter. Who else?
2	MR. ELKINS: Judge, I'm going to object
3	unless this is within the time frame that this worker was
4	making referrals.
5	MS. ELCANO: This worker has been assigned to
6	this case and still is today, so she has knowledge of the
7	individuals that Ms. Guerrero saw.
8	MR. ELKINS: It's hearsay, Judge, unless she
9	made the referrals. She's already testified that she
10	stopped providing services in April of 2014.
11	THE COURT: What she said is that she it
12	changed, but she still provided some services.
13	So ask the question again. I didn't
14	BY MS. ELCANO:
15	Q While you have been the assigned social
16	worker to this case, what therapist did Ms. Guerrero
17	start services with?
18	A The first year she was assigned
19	Brianna Carter.
20	MR. ELKINS: Object to any other testimony in
21	this regard because she was actively providing services
22	during the first year and not thereafter.
23	MS. ELCANO: I don't think she's even

finished answering the first year.

THE COURT: All she's answered is 1 Brianna Carter was assigned. So if you're objecting to 2 that, that's --3 MR. ELKINS: I'm not objecting to that, 4 5 Judge. THE COURT: So ask the next question, I 6 7 quess. MS. ELCANO: I don't think she's ever been 8 permitted to fully answer the question. 9 THE COURT: Are we going to have Ms. Carter 10 come in and testify? 11 MS. ELCANO: We are, yes. 12 THE COURT: So if she didn't comply with what 13 was recommended, Ms. Carter should be able to testify to 14 15 that. MS. ELCANO: I'm trying to establish, though, 16 what recommendations were made in this case -- and if you 17 want me just to limit it to Ms. Lopez, I will --18 recommendations and vouchers for individual people for 19 Ms. Guerrero to meet with. 20 THE COURT: So you gave her a voucher to see 21 Ms. Carter? 22 THE WITNESS: So I gave her a voucher to see 23

Ms. Carter.

```
THE COURT: I'm still confused about what
1
     this voucher thing is. Is that just an authorization --
2
                 MS. ELCANO: It's like a referral.
3
                 THE WITNESS: We don't give it to the
4
     parents. We give it to the provider.
5
                  THE COURT: You tell the parent, "You can see
6
     Ms. Carter" --
7
                  THE WITNESS: -- "and we're going to pay for
8
     it."
9
                  THE COURT: -- "and we're going to pay for
10
     it."
11
12
                  THE WITNESS: Yes.
                  THE COURT: And you call that giving them a
13
     voucher?
14
                  THE WITNESS: Right. Yes.
15
                  THE COURT: All right.
16
                  THE WITNESS: So, yes, Ms. Brianna Carter was
17
      offered. And then Mr. Deken Gossett was --
18
                  MR. ELKINS: Objection, Judge.
19
                  MS. ELCANO: Ms. Lopez was the one who made
20
      the voucher for Deken Gossett.
21
                  MR. ELKINS: I don't know that.
22
                  MS. ELCANO: Well, if you'd let her finish.
23
                  MR. ELKINS: I just want to make sure she's
24
```

1	limited to the period of time when she was actively
2	involved.
3	THE COURT: Well, I don't know that she's
4	limited to that because she's still seeing
5	You're still seeing the mother, aren't you,
6	now?
7	THE WITNESS: We still talk, yes. I'm still
8	assigned to this case.
9	THE WITNESS: Are you providing her some
10	services?
11	THE WITNESS: If she requests it.
12	MR. ELKINS: Judge, I'd like to voir dire on
13	that point, if I could.
14	THE COURT: You're going to get to recross,
15	so why don't you do that.
16	MR. ELKINS: Judge, I'm concerned about
	MR. Elking. Guage, 1 m concerned but the
17	evidence that's not competent. I think the worker who
17	
	evidence that's not competent. I think the worker who
18	evidence that's not competent. I think the worker who was assigned subsequently will testify.
18 19	evidence that's not competent. I think the worker who was assigned subsequently will testify. MS. ELCANO: Let me back up.
18 19 20	evidence that's not competent. I think the worker who was assigned subsequently will testify. MS. ELCANO: Let me back up. THE COURT: Okay.
18 19 20 21	evidence that's not competent. I think the worker who was assigned subsequently will testify. MS. ELCANO: Let me back up. THE COURT: Okay. BY MS. ELCANO:

then again Ms. Brianna Carter.

Q Based on your conversations with Ms. Guerrero, who else did Ms. Guerrero see?

A Ms. Guerrero reported to me that she was referred to see Ms. Dori Orlich. She reported to me that she was referred to complete several evaluations, Dr. Rogina, Dr. Aberasturi. She told me -- the last one she told me was Ms. Amanda Buttacavoli.

Q Did Ms. Guerrero, based on your conversations with her, follow through with services with Ms. Carter?

A No.

Q Why was Ms. Guerrero referred to Mr. Gossett?

A For the convenience. Mr. Gossett's office is right next to our building.

MR. ELKINS: Judge, I'm going to object. This is really beyond the scope of cross. It's not responsive to my cross.

THE COURT: The answer to that is, I think she can recall here and we can start it all over again, so -- you know, there's a reason for rules of evidence and order of presentation, but sometimes they can limit information. So go ahead. Ask a question.

 $$\operatorname{MS}.$$ ELCANO: The psychosocial is what I'm asking about.

THE COURT: Go ahead. 1 THE WITNESS: So -- I'm sorry. 2 BY MS. ELCANO: 3 Why was Ms. Guerrero referred to Deken Gossett? 5 So she was referred to Mr. Deken Gossett 6 because Ms. Brianna Carter wasn't working -- therapy with her wasn't working out. So we discussed, for her 8 convenience, going to Mr. Deken Gossett. His office is 9 right next to us. She has to pick up and drop off the 10 kids from our office, and so it's -- she drops off the 11 kids and she goes to counseling. 12 Did Ms. Guerrero follow through with services 13 with Mr. Gossett? 14 I believe she met with Mr. Gossett maybe four 15 times. 16 So did she follow through? 17 Α No. 18 THE COURT: Again, based on your 19 conversations with Ms. Guerrero? 20 THE WITNESS: Ms. Guerrero, yes. 21 BY MS. ELCANO: 22 You testified that you stopped providing 23 reunification efforts and reasonable efforts to 24

She -- she told me yes. She said she was 1 given leads to jobs and she did her résumé. 2 Did Ms. Guerrero ever ask for help to fill 3 out a job application? 4 Not to me, no. 5 Did Ms. Guerrero ever indicate she was unable 6 to fill out a job application or required assistance? 7 Not to me. Α You also testified that at one juncture 9 Ms. Guerrero indicated she needed some hygiene products, 10and I believe your response was you would be able to get 11 her those if she really needed them? 12 MR. ELKINS: Judge, I haven't objected as to 13 leading up to this point, but it's getting specific. 14 MS. ELCANO: I'm referring to what she 15 testified to. 16 THE COURT: Ask the question. 17 BY MS. ELCANO: 18 What was Ms. Guerrero's response when you 19 indicated, if she needed the hygiene products, you could 20 get them? 21 You know, I don't recall. I'm sorry. 22 THE COURT: You know, let's go ahead and take 23

our morning break because I thought your --

MS. ELCANO: I'm close, but I'm not quite 1 done. Thank you. 2 THE COURT: That's fine. So we'll come back at 20 minutes to 11:00. Be at ease. 4 (A recess was taken.) 5 THE COURT: Case No. FV14-03897 in the matter 6 of the parental rights as to the Taylor children, four of 7 them, as contained in the petition. The parties are 8 present with their clients. 9 Go ahead, Ms. Elcano. 10 MS. ELCANO: Thank you, Your Honor. 11 BY MS. ELCANO: 12 Ms. Lopez, were the children ever placed in 13 the maternal grandfather's home? 14 Α No. 15 Based on your conversations with 16 Ms. Guerrero, why not? 17 Like I said before, we did discuss the 18 possibility, but it was not a permanent home where she 19 would be able to reside. 20 In regard to Ms. Guerrero's employment, to 21 your knowledge, based on your conversations with 22 Ms. Guerrero, was she employed at La Quinta?

A She told me she had gotten a job, but I never

23

got any proof after that.

Q Can you describe to the Court the goal of motivation in the case plan and service agreement?

A So when I speak of motivation, as I mentioned before, it's the motivation to do all the follow-through in regards to many things: Following through with the parenting things we need to do. Getting your children up in the morning, bathing them, getting them to school, all of that is part of motivation; you have a motivation to parent.

There's also the other aspect. Do you have the motivation to provide for your children, the motivation to follow through on an application, to keep your welfare services, turn in the necessary paperwork. Also that's part of your motivation. If you're not getting it done, then I question your motivation.

Q Why was Ms. Guerrero's follow-through an issue for you in this case?

A Why was her follow-through an issue? Because we were getting nowhere. We were in a cycle, and it kept happening over and over and over again, and it keeps happening up until this date.

And so the follow-through in regards to treatment, she's been assigned different therapists, and

there's been no follow-through.

MR. ELKINS: Judge, I object to the narrative and also things beyond the scope of the witness's personal knowledge.

THE COURT: That objection is overruled. I think the question is, why is follow-through concerning to you? She is going to answer it in the narrative, but it's appropriate in this circumstance. So objection is overruled.

Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: So basically that's it. The services are offered, there's no follow-through, then we're back to zero. Services are offered again, we're back to zero. There's no follow-through. We're in a cycle here.

BY MS. ELCANO:

- Q In what particular aspects did you see Ms. Guerrero fail to follow through?
- A She failed to follow through with keeping a stable, clean home. She failed to follow through with keeping employment long term. She failed to follow through with attending treatment to help her with the issues that she may have.
 - Q I wanted to just quickly turn to mental

health court.

In regards to stating or testifying that there was a low chance that Ms. Guerrero would get into mental health court, what was the basis for that statement or why did you make that statement?

A As mentioned before, there's a limited amount of spots in the program, and the limited chance was that all the spots were -- but one was filled, and there was already a person who had been assigned to that spot.

Q And can the number of openings change?

MR. ELKINS: Objection, Judge. I don't know that this information is within the scope of this witness's knowledge. It's not her assignment.

THE WITNESS: Well --

BY MS. ELCANO:

Q Based on your experience -- if I can rephrase, Your Honor -- based on your experience with mental health court, can the number of openings change?

MR. ELKINS: Judge, I'm going to object again

based upon --

THE COURT: What is your experience with mental health court?

THE WITNESS: I actually am on the unit at this time, so I am familiar with the program.

MR. ELKINS: I'm sorry. I didn't hear you. 1 THE WITNESS: I'm in the family drug court/ 2 family mental health unit at this time. 3 THE COURT: So that's experience. Sometimes you're better off not asking the question. 5 MR. ELKINS: We're referring to 2013, two 6 years ago? 7 THE WITNESS: Right. 8 MS. ELCANO: I was asking based on her 9 experience just in general with mental health court, can 10 the number of openings fluctuate or change. 11 MR. ELKINS: Judge, I'll withdraw the 12 objection based on that. 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, they can change. 14 BY MS. ELCANO: 15 And why wasn't Ms. Guerrero admitted into 16 mental health court, based upon your conversations with 17 Ms. Guerrero? 18 MR. ELKINS: Objection. Asked and answered. 19 She'd already said, Judge, there was one slot and there 20 was someone slated to take it. 21 THE COURT: You know, let me -- I heard that 22 objection a couple of times now for a number of years, 23

and I seldom, if ever, sustain the objection because

usually the objection "asked and answered" is real obvious, it's been asked about ten times, they're badgering the witness. So far I have not heard that objection made that I would sustain it in this proceeding, so unless it's getting really repetitive, it's a relatively useless objection. So that objection is overruled.

MR. ELKINS: Judge, may I make a record on that issue?

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. ELKINS: I don't think she's badgering her own witness. I do think, however, that having gotten an answer, she's trying to get another answer, and she has answered and that's the basis for my objection.

MS. ELCANO: I'd just like to clarify for the record as well, Your Honor --

THE COURT: The objection is overruled. Ask the question.

MS. ELCANO: Thank you.

BY MS. ELCANO:

Q Based on your conversations with

Ms. Guerrero, why wasn't she admitted to drug court --mental health court? Pardon me.

A She did not follow through with the

requirements to -- to even be put on the list.

Q Based on your conversation with Ms. Guerrero, what were those requirements that she failed to follow through with?

A I told her she needed to do a mini
orientation, which is attend -- meet with somebody from
True Vista, an agency across the street. They provide an
orientation about what mental health court is.

I told her she had to go observe a mental health court hearing. That's a requirement. She did not do that part. She did do the mini orientation, but she did not observe. And the other part is you have to do a full orientation, which I told her to do. She did not complete that, but usually the full orientation is at the end of the requirements.

She also had to complete an evaluation with Northern Nevada Mental Health Services, which

Ms. Guerrero did not follow through on.

And those are the requirements, and that's what we discussed in regards to as to why she couldn't be put on the list.

- Q When did domestic violence, specifically with Mr. Hunt-Taylor, become an issue in this case?
 - A That I know of? She -- it was July 2014.

Q Had you ever discussed domestic violence with

Ms. Guerrero before?

A When I first met them, I asked them if there was domestic violence. They denied it.

Q Why wasn't the plan to reunify at the Linden apartment ever effectuated or made a reality?

A What we required was, because, again, of the long history, was the stability. We requested three months at least. So they got the apartment in May; June was okay; July, they were already late on their power bill. That is not stability to me. By August they were late on their rent and their power was turned off.

From then on they were constantly telling me they were going to get evicted, and there was nonpayment, and it just continued until December when they did get evicted.

Q And I just want to turn back to TANF.

Based on your experience as a social worker, how many years of TANF benefits can a person receive?

A There's a total of five per federal government law.

Q So you can't get any more than five years once you've maxed out, to your knowledge?

A Correct.

Q And I think we've kind of talked about this, but can you just explain what a sit-out period is?

A So a sit-out period -- every state has a different way they can use those five years. Nevada has two years you can be on TANF, you have a sit-out for a year, two years you can be on TANF, you have a sit-out for a year, and then you have your last year and you're done, no matter what state you're living.

Q Based on your conversations with Ms. Guerrero, how many years of TANF has she taken advantage of?

MR. ELKINS: I'm sorry, Judge. I'm going to object based on hearsay, lack of personal knowledge.

MS. ELCANO: The question was based on her conversations with Ms. Guerrero.

THE COURT: Objection overruled.

MR. ELKINS: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Based on our conversations, I think she's done at least two of her years.

BY MS. ELCANO:

Q Thank you.

2.1

And I just wanted to clarify this a little bit. As a social worker, once a termination plan is adopted and you're no longer obligated to provide

reasonable efforts for reunification towards the parents, do you still provide services?

- A If she asked me, I would never deny.
- Q I'm sorry. That wasn't quite -- are you still providing --
 - A I'm sorry.

Q No, no. I didn't ask that clear enough.

As a social worker, what are you doing at that point in regards to the family and the children in care?

when I'm relieved of efforts, my job is to achieve the permanency plan at that point. So at that point in April of 2014 the plan was changed to termination of parental rights followed by adoption. At that point my job is to focus on that plan and only that plan. So with that said, it doesn't mean that -- we can change it at some point. Plans can change.

- Q And in this case has the plan changed?
- A No.
- Q And why not?
- A Because she hasn't demonstrated she can -she hasn't reached her goals. She hasn't been able to
 demonstrate she can provide a safe home for her children.

	Q And who is "she"?
2	A Ms. Guerrero.
3	MS. ELCANO: Thank you. I have no further
4	questions, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Mr. Elkins.
6	MR. ELKINS: Just give me a minute, Judge,
7	please.
8	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. ELKINS:
10	Q Ms. Lopez, what is a Unity note?
11	A A Unity note is where it's a case note.
12	We're required to put in notes throughout the case in
13	regards to our contacts, actions that we take.
14	Q Required by whom?
15	A By our agency.
16	Q So you have a legal obligation to record
	to the Unity notes, is that correct?
17	information in the Unity notes; is that correct?
17 18	MS. ELCANO: Objection. Calls for a legal
18	MS. ELCANO: Objection. Calls for a legal
18 19	MS. ELCANO: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.
18 19 20	MS. ELCANO: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion. THE COURT: I don't know if it's legal.
18 19 20 21	MS. ELCANO: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion. THE COURT: I don't know if it's legal. MR. ELKINS: I'll rephrase the question,

notes; is that correct?

A That's correct.

THE COURT: When we refer to "notes," do you guys even use pencils and pieces of paper anymore or is it all --

THE WITNESS: No. Well, initially, when you're meeting with somebody, yes, you write notes, but then you go back and put them in the computer system.

THE WITNESS: Because that's been brought up a couple of times, and I'm just wondering, because I see all he's looking at is typewritten.

Go ahead. That was just a question I had, Mr. Elkins.

MR. ELKINS: That's fine. Thank you, Judge.
BY MR. ELKINS:

Q And can you tell me what it is you put in the Unity notes?

A I put in as much as I can about the case, phone calls I have with the parents, e-mails, face-to-face contact, things we talk about, things that I observe, contact with therapists, contact with Reno Housing, contact -- pretty much everything in regards -- contact with the children. Everything that I could possibly put in a note.

And when do you record the information in 1 Unity, in other words, in relation to the event? 2 We are required to put them in within five 3 business days. Okay. So it's relatively contemporaneous to 5 what occurs? 6 7 Α Yes. Would you say your memory is pretty good at 8 9 that point as to what happened usually? Yes. Α 10 Ms. Lopez, you do acknowledge that you told 11 Ms. Guerrero that there was a very low chance that she 12 would be admitted to mental health court; correct? 13 14 Α Yes. Because at the time, I believe you testified, 15 the one available slot was committed? 16 17 Α Correct. You stated that Ms. Guerrero just never could 18 implement the plan, her plan. She had a plan, but she 19 didn't implement it. 20 Yes. 21 Can you tell me what her plans were, 22 according to your understanding? 23

24

Α

Her plans were get a home, pay for the rent,

pay for the power, have food, get basic needs, basically. 1 Get a job, keep a job. So her plans were appropriate; correct? 3 Yes. Α 4 And is it fair to say that she did take steps 5 6 to do those things? Yes. Α 7 But she wasn't successful ultimately; is that 8 9 correct? Correct. 10 When you talk about budgeting, I think you 11 testified that you believe the TANF was \$513 a month, and 12 you don't know exactly what the rent was on the Linden 13 Street apartment; correct? 14 Correct. Α 1.5 But you do know it took up most of the TANF 16 benefits; correct? 17 The majority, yes. 18 So in budgeting that, you have -- well, you 19 do have food stamps? 20 Uh-huh. 21 Do you know how much the amount of the food 22 stamps was? 23

I don't. I don't recall.

Let's assume --1 0 It was hundreds, hundreds, several hundred. 2 So let's assume that covers your ability to 3 Q buy food. Correct. Ά 5 Could you just describe for me what that 6 budget would look like if that's all you had? 7 You would pay your rent. Α 8 You would pay the rent? 9 10 Α Uh-huh. Would you pay anything else? 11 0 You'd have a little bit to go towards the 12 power bill. 13 Enough to cover it? 14 I don't know. I don't know what a 15 power -- what the amount is for a one-bedroom apartment. 16 17 I don't know. Okay. Anything else? 18 Α No. 19 So you wouldn't have any money for clothes if 20 you needed clothing? You wouldn't have any money for 21 medical expenses, assuming you'd pay something? If you 22 had something in storage, you couldn't pay for that; 23

correct?

MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, I think the point is 1 made. We can move on. 2 THE COURT: If that's an objection, it's 3 overruled. 4 BY MR. ELKINS: 5 And, in fact, didn't Ms. Guerrero tell you, 0 6 when they were evicted from their previous apartment, they had put things in storage? 8 Α Correct. 9 Do you recall that? 10 0 Correct. Α 11 And so they were trying to maintain the 12 things that were in storage to keep them from being 13 auctioned; isn't that right? 14 Correct. 15 And do you recall that she told you it was 16 children's clothing, furniture, toys? 17 I don't know. 18 But there was something in storage? 19 Q There was some things in storage, yes. 2.0 They came from the previous apartment 21 Q presumably? 22 I'm going to assume, yes. 23 But this was a one-bedroom? 24 0

So you didn't have that conversation?

I didn't. Α 1 MR. ELKINS: I would ask the Court to 2 disregard that testimony. 3 THE COURT: That's fine. 4 MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, she still has 5 knowledge of whether or not she had nails. She just 6 didn't discuss the nails with her. 7 MR. ELKINS: Okay. 8 MS. ELCANO: Did you personally observe the 9 10 acrylic nails? THE COURT: This isn't very important to me 11 regardless of what happens, you know, so let's move on. 12 I don't care about her nails. 13 BY MR. ELKINS: 14 When it came to the voucher, now, you said 15 you had gone to the trailer that they had purchased or 16 were trying to purchase; is that correct? 17 Α Yes. 18 And you had a conversation with Ms. Guerrero 19 about whether she would stay there or use the voucher to 20 go elsewhere; correct? 21 22 The housing voucher. Is that right? 23

Correct.

Α

1	Q	And that conversation occurred on or about
2	April 16th;	right?
3	А	Sure. I just know it was April sometime.
4	Q	We're talking about 2014?
5	A	2014.
6	Q	What happened the next day?
7	A	I don't know.
8	Q	Is that when you went to court?
9	A	Sure. I don't know. I don't remember.
10	Q	Would it have been approximately at the 12
11	months?	2
12	А	In April of 2014.
13	Q	And that's when you asked the Court to
14	relieve you	of your obligation to work with the family?
15	А	Correct.
16	Q	With the parents?
17	А	Correct.
18	Q	Do you recall, during that conversation,
19	Ms. Guerrer	o asking you whether, now that they had a
20	place to li	ve, the children could come home?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Do you recall what you said?
23	А	I said she hadn't demonstrated stability.
24	Q	And did you give her a time frame when they

might be able to come home?

1.1

- A No. I don't remember.
- Q Well, did you make a note in the Unity notes of that conversation?
 - A I don't know.
- Q Aren't you required to record conversations in your Unity notes?
 - A Yes.
- Q And so if you have a conversation with a parent about whether the children can come home, isn't that something you would write down?
 - A Yes.
- Q Okay. And if I were to show you the note, would that refresh your recollection about the conversation?
- A It would. I could read off the note, but I wouldn't remember having the conversation.
- Q You mean if you looked at the note -- you know, without looking at the note, that you wouldn't remember?
 - A Exactly.
 - Q It wouldn't refresh your recollection?
- A What I'm saying is I remember telling her they didn't have stability. I don't remember the

24

A

Yes.

1	Q And do you recall her telling you they were
2	trying to find an apartment?
3	A Yes.
4	MR. ELKINS: Judge, I'd like to refer to
5	Respondent's Exhibit 1, and I don't know, I guess the
6	witness needs the binder.
7	THE WITNESS: This binder?
8	MR. ELKINS: No. It's the little one, not
9	the big one.
10	May I, Judge? May I approach the witness?
11	THE COURT: Go ahead.
12	MS. ELCANO: This has not been admitted into
13	evidence; right?
14	MR. ELKINS: No. That's correct.
15	BY MR. ELKINS:
16	Q Could you look at Exhibit 1 for
	Q Could you look at Exhibit 1 for
17	identification, please?
17 18	
	identification, please?
18	identification, please? Do you recognize that document?
18 19	identification, please? Do you recognize that document? A Yes.
18 19 20	identification, please? Do you recognize that document? A Yes. Q What is it?
18 19 20 21	identification, please? Do you recognize that document? A Yes. Q What is it? A It's a lease that she provided to me.

MR. ELKINS: Judge, I'd move this into evidence as Respondent's 1.

MS. ELCANO: I object, Your Honor. This document hasn't been properly authenticated. Ms. Lopez is not the person that authored this document and --

MS. ELCANO: But she did not author this document. I have no ability to cross-examine anyone as to the authenticity of this document, why it was created, whether it was created, who signed it. It's clearly some sort of a form lease. I don't know --

THE COURT: Well, at this point in time the only reason I would consider it is it was something that Ms. Guerrero submitted to the

MR. ELKINS: That's the only reason I'm offering it at this point. She mentioned she was given a lease and this is the one she was given. I'm not offering it for any other purpose at the moment.

THE COURT: It's admitted for that purpose at this point, and if I hear other testimony about it, then I'll consider it for other purposes.

MR. ELKINS: Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: So the rental agreement,

Respondent's Exhibit 1, is admitted. 1 (Respondent's Exhibit 1 was admitted.) 2 BY MR. ELKINS: 3 And that was sometime in early September of 2014; is that correct? 5 6 Α Yes. Do you recall having a conversation with 7 Ms. Guerrero on or about September the 8th where she said 8 she doesn't have the deposit? 9 Correct. 10 Α So that was a reason she gave you for not 11 being able to get the apartment? 1.2 13 Α No. Did she say she didn't have the deposit? 14 15 Α Yes. Drawing your attention to October 9th of 16 2013, the day -- well, let me -- I'm sorry. Let me 17 rephrase that. 18 Do you recall the date when the visits were 19 restricted to the agency? 20 21 Not the exact date. Okay. Recalling that day, whenever it was, 2.2 did you enter a Unity note regarding the event? 23 24 I'm quessing I did. A

And did you do your best to describe what 1 occurred accurately in the Unity note? 2 I always tried to. 3 A With regard to Ms. Guerrero's demeanor and 4 how upset she was, do you think it would refresh your 5 memory if I showed you your Unity note? 6 I don't remember her demeanor that day. You don't remember her demeanor? 8 That day, no. 9 Didn't you --10 I'm sorry. I don't know which -- can you 11 backtrack and ask specifically about --12 Believe me, Ms. Lopez, I'm not trying to 13 confuse you. 14 The day when you said she was upset, do you 15 remember --16 17 She was upset a lot. When the visits were limited to the agency 18 19 and you said she became very upset, started crying, do 20 you remember that? Limited to the agency, pick-up, drop-off, or 21 22 limited to the agency on site? Pick-up, drop-off. 23

Okay.

Α

Isn't that when she became -- she started to 1 hyperventilate? 2 Yes. She was hysterically crying. 3 And you said for a minute? Well, she was hysterically crying for several 5 minutes. 6 In fact, didn't you take her into a room, 7 separate room, to try to calm her down? 8 9 Α Right. And wasn't your impression that she was 10 'crying hysterically like a child? 11 Yes. Like a teenager would when they get in 1.2 A trouble. 1.3 So it wasn't just a matter of shedding a few 14 tears for a minute? 15 No. I said hysterical crying. 16 And you took her to a separate, private area 17 to calm her down? 18 19 Α Right. So it took longer than a minute, isn't that 20 right --21 I wasn't timing it. 22 Α -- to calm her down? 23 0

I wasn't timing it.

24

A

1	Q And you spoke to her privately.
2	Do you know how long you and she were in that
3	room together?
4	A Probably 30 minutes, maybe.
5	Q Thirty minutes?
6	A Yeah. I don't recall.
7	Q As far as feeding the children at visits,
8	isn't that customary, that parents bring a snack?
9	A Yeah. They're not required, but they could.
10	Q But isn't it well, let me rephrase the
11	question.
12	Fair to say that more often than not parents
13	bring food?
14	A Most parents bring food.
15	Q Is it your testimony that it's irresponsible
16	of a parent to bring fast food to a visit for the kids?
17	A Fast food?
18	Q Well, when I say fast food, chicken
19	nuggets.
20	A Food, yes. Fast food, no, not so much, not
21	very healthy.
22	Q Because you don't like the healthiness.
23	But in terms of bringing food, does the
24	agency say to people, "You can't bring fast food"?

1	A No.
2	Q "You can't bring chicken nuggets"? I don't
3	know.
4	A No.
5	Q When you said "fast food," what is it you
6	were referring to?
7	A McDonald's, pizza.
8	Q Would you say that the children had an
9	expectation of getting a snack?
LO	A I don't know.
L1	Q Okay. Well, when did these visits occur,
12	after school?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And the children would come pretty much
15	directly from school?
16	A Only Roberto would.
17	Q Only Roberto. And the other children would
18	obviously be at the same time; is that correct? So they
19	were late in the afternoon?
20	A Yes. But we had them dropped off at
21	different times.
22	Q Okay. Is there anything inappropriate about
23	giving a child a snack at a visit?

Α

24

No.

Do you consider that irresponsible? 1 0 2 Α No. Ms. Lopez, you had the ability of hearing 3 Dr. Aberasturi testify? 4 5 Α Correct. And when asked, she said in Ms. Guerrero's 6 case, based upon her assessment, that an appropriate 7 service would be to help her fill out applications. 8 9 Did you hear that? Sure. Yes. 10 11 Had you known that, is that something you 12 would have done? MS. ELCANO: Objection. Calls for 13 14 speculation. THE COURT: You know, some of these --15 MS. ELCANO: I think we're way outside of 16 17 redirect. THE COURT: Some of these questions don't add 18 anything, the answer doesn't add anything. It's a 19 rhetorical question. You've made your point. 20 21 MR. ELKINS: Actually, Judge, it's not rhetorical. Let me just push a little --2.2 THE COURT: Did you help her make out the 23 2.4 applications?

1 THE WITNESS: No. THE COURT: Why not? 2 THE WITNESS: She never asked. 3 BY MR. ELKINS: 4 Okay. But if you had known it was an 5 obstacle, is that a service you would have provided? 6 MS. ELCANO: Again, it calls for speculation, 7 Your Honor. 8 MR. ELKINS: I'll withdraw the question, 9 Judge. 10 I have no further questions. Thank you very 11 12 much. THE COURT: You can step down. 13 Next witness. 14 MS. ELCANO: Thank you, Your Honor. Deken 15 16 Gossett. MR. ELKINS: Judge, even though this witness 17 was not on the original witness list, I have no objection 18 19 to the testimony. MS. ELCANO: Your Honor, just so the record 20 is clear, he was identified as an original witness when 2.1 we made witness disclosures. However, I was unaware of 22 the fact that he treated Ms. Guerrero until I received 23

documentation from opposing counsel, I think, on Friday