In the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada

IN THE MATTER OF THE CHRISTIAN FAMILY TRUST u.a.d. 10/11/16))	Electronically Filed Jan 10 2019 08:13 a.m. Elizabeth A. Brown
SUSAN CHRISTIAN-PAYNE, ROSEMARY KEACH AND RAYMOND CHRISTIAN, JR.		Clerk of Supreme Cour
Appellants,	Case No.:	/5/50
ANTHONY L. BARNEY, LTD. and JACQUELINE UTKIN, Respondents.)))	
ANTHONY L. BARNEY, LTD., Cross-Appellant, -vs-)))	
SUSAN CHRISTIAN-PAYNE, ROSEMARY KEACH AND RAYMOND CHRISTIAN, JR. Cross-Respondents,))))	
and)	
JACQUELINE UTKIN,)	
Respondent.)	
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		

#### APPELLANT/CROSS-RESPONDENTS' APPENDIX - VOLUME 15

Filed by:

/s/ Cary Colt Payne, Esq.

CARY COLT PAYNE, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No.: 4357

CARY COLT PAYNE, CHTD.

700 S. Eighth Street

Las Vegas, NV 89101

(702) 383-9010

carycoltpaynechtd@yahoo.com

DOCUMENT	Numbered
X VOLUME 1:	
Petition to Assume Jurisdiction of Trust; Confirm Trustees; Instructions, etc.	APP-ROA001-72
Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to NRCP 12(b)(1) and NRCP 12 (b)(5)	APP-ROA—73-97
Errata to Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to NRCP 12(b)(1) and NRCP 12(b)(5)	APP-ROA—98-101
Supplement and Addendum to Petition to Assume Jurisdiction of Trust; confirm Trustees' Instructions, etc. Alternatively to Reform Trust Agreement	APP-ROA102-105
Petitioner's Opposition to Motion to Dismiss	APP-ROA106-115
XX VOLUME 2:	
Reply to Petitioner's Opposition to Motion to Dismiss	APP-ROA116-156
Response to Petition to Assume Jurisdiction of Trust; Confirm Trustees; Insturctions, Etc. and Joinder in Motion to Dismiss Pursuant	ADD DOA 157 165
to NRCP 12(b)(1) and NRCP 12(b)(5)	APP-ROA157-165
Accounting	APP-ROA166-173
Inventory and Record of Value	APP-ROA174-184
Notice of Entry of Order	APP-ROA185-193
	Petition to Assume Jurisdiction of Trust; Confirm Trustees; Instructions, etc.  Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to NRCP 12(b)(1) and NRCP 12 (b)(5)  Errata to Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to NRCP 12(b)(1) and NRCP 12(b)(5)  Supplement and Addendum to Petition to Assume Jurisdiction of Trust; confirm Trustees' Instructions, etc. Alternatively to Reform Trust Agreement  Petitioner's Opposition to Motion to Dismiss  X VOLUME 2:  Reply to Petitioner's Opposition to Motion to Dismiss  Response to Petition to Assume Jurisdiction of Trust; Confirm Trustees; Insturctions, Etc. and Joinder in Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to NRCP 12(b)(1) and NRCP 12(b)(5)  Accounting Inventory and Record of Value

DATE **DOCUMENT** NUMBERED **APPENDIX VOLUME 3:** Joint Petition for Review of Former Trustees 11/3/17 Refusal to Provide a Proper Accounting Pursuant to NRS 165.143 APP-ROA--194-222 APPENDIX VOLUME 4a: APP-ROA--223-298 11/13/17 Joint Objection to Petition Jurisdiction Etc. Part 1 **APPENDIX VOLUME 4b:** APP-ROA--299-373 11/13/17 Joint Objection to Petition Jurisdiction Etc. Part 2 APPENDIX VOLUME 5: Petitioner's Opposition to Motion for 12/4/17 Review/Proper Accounting APP-ROA--374-413 12/14/17 Petitioner's Opposition to Joint Counterpetition to Confirm/Breach of Fiduciary Duty, Etc. Request for Discovery APP-ROA--414-428 **APPENDIX VOLUME 6:** Motion for Compliance with and Enforcement 12/12/17 of Court Order, and for Sanctions Relating Thereto, for Order to show cause why Former Trustees should not be held in Contempt, for Order Compelling Former Trustees to Account, and for Access to and Investment Control of Trust Funds Belonging to the **Christian Family Trust** APP-ROA--429-452

DATE	DOCUMENT	Numbered
1/4/18	Notice of Suggestion of Death	APP-ROA453-454
1/11/18	Opposition to Motion for Compliance, Enforcement Sanctions, Contempt, Etc.; Counterpetition for Distribution and Vacating all Pending Matters and Dismiss Trust Proceedings	
APPENDIX	X VOLUME 7a:	
1/26/18	Petition to Confirm Successor Trustee Part 1	APP-ROA509-539
APPENDIX	X VOLUME 7b:	
1/26/18	Petition to Confirm Successor Trustee Part 2	APP-ROA540-569
APPENDIX	X VOLUME 8:	
2/6/18	Amended Notice of Entry-Omnibus Order	APP-ROA570-576
2/8/18	Petition for Fees and Costs	APP-ROA577-659
2/23/18	Notice of Non-Opposition and Limited Joinder to the Petition for Fees and Costs for Anthony L. Barney, LTD	APP-ROA660-663
2/23/18	Opposition to Petition to Confirm Successor Trustee; Counterpetition for Reinstatement of Petitioners	APP-ROA664-735
3/8/18	Monte Reason's Application for Reimbursement of Administrative Expenses	APP-ROA736-741

DATE	DOCUMENT	Numbered
APPENDIX	X VOLUME 9:	
3/9/18	Petitioners Combined Opposition to (1) Barney Firm Petition For Fees, Etc. (2) Monte Reason's Application for Reimbursement	APP-ROA742-840
APPENDIX	X VOLUME 10:	
3/12/18	Reply to Opposition to Petition to Confirm Success Trustee; and Opposition to Counter-Petition for Reinstatement of Petitioners	sor APP-ROA841-848
		AFF-NOA041-040
3/13/18	Response to Opposition to Monte Reason's Application for Reimbursement of Administrative Expenses	APP-ROA849-863
3/13/18	Reply to Petitioner's Combined Opposition to (1) Barney Firm Petition for Fees, Etc., (2) Monte Reason's Application for Reimbursement	APP-ROA864-894
3/15/18	Minutes of Hearing – 4/4/18	APP-ROA895-898
3/29/18	Motion (1) to Expunge Lis Pendens and/or Strike Pleading; and (2) for Preliminary Injunction	APP-ROA899-921
APPENDIX	X VOLUME 11:	
3/30/18	Petitioner's Supplemental Response to Opposition to Petition for Fees (Barney Firm); Request for Evidentiary Hearing, Reopening Discovery	APP-ROA922-960

DATE	DOCUMENT	Numbered		
APPENDIX	X VOLUME 12:			
4/2/18	Motion for Turnover of Assets and to Dissolve the Injunction Over Christian Family Trust Assets	APP-ROA961-998		
4/3/18	Countermotion 1) to Strike Petitioner's Supplemental Response to Opposition to Petition for Fees (Barney Firm); request for Evidentiary Hearing, and Reopening Discovery; 2) To Find the Former Trustees to be Vexatious Litigants, and 3) For sanctions Against Cary Colt Payne Pursuant to NRS 7.085 and EDCR 7.60	APP-ROA999-1036		
APPENDIX	X VOLUME 13a:			
4/4/18	Hearing Transcript Part 1	APP-ROA-1037-1061		
APPENDIX	X VOLUME 13b:			
4/4/18	Hearing Transcript Part 2	APP-ROA-1062-1186		
APPENDIX VOLUME 13c:				
4/4/18	Hearing Transcript Part 3	APP-ROA-1087-1111		
APPENDIX VOLUME 13d:				
4/4/18	Hearing Transcript Part 4	APP-ROA-1112-1134		

DATE **DOCUMENT** NUMBERED APPENDIX VOLUME 14a: 4/10/18 Motion for (1) Fees Pursuant to NRS 165.148 (2) Compliance with and Enforcement of Court Order and Sanctions; (3) for Order to Show Cause Why Former Trustees Should Not be Held in Contempt, and (4) for Extension of Discovery APP-ROA-1135-1279 Part 1 APPENDIX VOLUME 14b: 4/10/18 Motion for (1) Fees Pursuant to NRS 165.148 (2) Compliance with and Enforcement of Court Order and Sanctions; (3) for Order to Show Cause Why Former Trustees Should Not be Held in Contempt, and APP-ROA-1180-1224 (4) for Extension of Discovery Part 2 **APPENDIX VOLUME 15:** 4/12/18 Notice of Entry of Order (Barney Petition Fees) APP-ROA-1225-1232 4/19/18 Petitioner's Combined Opposition to (1) Motion to Turnover Assets and Dissolve Injunction over Trust Assets; (2) Motion to 1. Expunge Lis Pendens and 2. Preliminary Injunction and Countermotion for Distribution/ Termination of Trust; Alternatively for Stay/ Set Bond and Set Evidentiary APP-ROA-1233-1254 Hearing 4/19/18 Opposition to Motion for (1) fees, (2) compliance,

7

APP-ROA-1255-1292

(3) for Order to Show Cause and (4) Extension of Discovery, countermotion to Distribute Trust

Property (2nd request)

### DATE **DOCUMENT** NUMBERED **APPENDIX VOLUME 16:** 5/8/18 Response to Combined Opposition to (1) Motion to Turnover Assets and Dissolve Injunction Over Trust Assets; (2) Motion to 1. Expunge Lis Pendens and 2. Preliminary Injunction and Opposition to Countermotion or Distribution/ Termination of Trust; Alternatively for Stay, Set Bond and Set Evidentiary Hearing APP-ROA-1293-1333 5/11/18 Supplement to response to Combined Opposition to (1) Motion to Turnover Assets and Dissolve Injunction Over Trust Assets; (2) Motion to 1. Expunge Lis Pendens and 2. Preliminary Injunction and Opposition to Countermotion for Distribution/Termination of Trust; Alternatively for Stay/Set Bond and APP-ROA-1334-1337 Set Evidentiary Hearing **Hearing Transcript** 5/16/18 APP-ROA-1338-1390 APPENDIX VOLUME 17: Notice of Entry of Order (Utkin suspension) 6/1/18 APP-ROA-1391-1401 10/8/18 Notice of Entry – Probate Commissioner R&R (Hearing re Utkin removal) APP-ROA-1402-1408 11/13/18 Notice of Entry – Order Affirming Probate Commissioner R&R (Utkin removal) APP-ROA-1409-1414

4/12/2018 11:09 AM Steven D. Grierson ANTHONY L. BARNEY, ESQ. CLERK OF THE COURT NV State Bar No. 8366 2 TIFFANY S. BARNEY, ESQ. NV State Bar No. 9754 3 ZACHARY D. HOLYOAK, ESQ. 4 NV State Bar No. 14217 ANTHONY L. BARNEY, LTD. 5 3317 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite B Las Vegas, NV 89102-1835 6 Telephone: (702) 438-7878 7 Facsimile: (702) 259-1116 E-Mail: office@anthonybarney.com 8 Prior Attorneys for Nancy Christian 9 EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT 10 FAMILY DIVISION 11 12 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA In the Matter of the Case Number: P-17-092512-T 13 Dept.: S 14 THE CHRISTIAN FAMILY TRUST 15 Dated October 11,2016 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER 16 17 TO: SUSAN CHRISTIAN-PAYNE, ROSEMARY KEACH, RAYMOND and 18 CHRISTIAN JR., by and through their attorney Cary C. Payne, Esq., of the Law Office 19 of Cary Colt Payne, CHTD. 20 TO: MONTE REASON, by and through his attorney, Joseph J. Powell, Esq., of Rushforth, 21 22 Lee & Kiefer, LLP 23 TO: JACQUELINE UTKIN, by and through her attorney, Jerimy Kirschner, Esq. of 24 Kirschner & Associates 25 TO: CHRISTOPHER A. CHRISTIAN 26 TO: TOMMY L. CHRISTIAN 27 28 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, each of you, of the following:

**Electronically Filed** 

An Order was entered and filed on April 12th, 2018 in the above entitled matter. A copy of said Order is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit 1.

Respectfully Submitted, ANTHONY L. BARNEY, LTD.

Anthony L. Barney, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8366
Tiffany S. Barney, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 9754
3317 W. Charleston Blvd., Suite B
Las Vegas, NV 89102-1835
(702) 438-7878
Attorneys for Nancy Christian, Trustor

#### 1 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 2 I hereby certify that I am an employee of Anthony L. Barney, Ltd., and not a party to 3 this action. I further certify that on April 12, 2018 I served the foregoing NOTICE OF 4 ENTRY OF ORDER via regular mail and/or electronic service through the Eighth Judicial 5 District Court's electronic filing system, upon the following party(ies): 6 7 Cary Colt Payne, Esq. 8 Law Office of Cary Colt Payne, CHTD. 700 South 8th Street, Las Vegas, NV 89101 10 Attorney for Susan Christian-Payne, Rosemary Keach, and 11 Raymond Christian, Jr. 12 13 Joseph J. Powell, Esq. Rushforth, Lee & Kiefer LLP 14 1707 Village Center Cir., #150 Las Vegas, NV 89134 15 Attorney for Monte Reason, Successor 16 Trustee 17 18 Jerimy Kirschner, Esq. 5550 Painted Mirage Rd., Suite 320 19 Las Vegas, NV 89149 Attorney for Jacqueline Utkin, Successor 20 Trustee 21 22 23 24 25 s/ Zachary Holyoak/s Employee of Anthony L. Barney, Ltd. 26

27

28

## **EXHIBIT 1**

**Electronically Filed** 4/12/2018 8:53 AM

Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 ANTHONY L. BARNEY, ESQ. NV State Bar No. 8366 2 TIFFANY S. BARNEY, ESQ. NV State Bar No. 9754 3 ZACHARY D. HOLYOAK, ESQ. 4 NV State Bar No. 14217 ANTHONY L. BARNEY, LTD. 5 3317 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite B Las Vegas, NV 89102-1835 6 Telephone: (702) 438-7878 7 Facsimile: (702) 259-1116 E-Mail: office@anthonybarney.com 8 Prior Attorneys for Nancy Christian, Creditors of The Christian Family Trust

#### EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

#### CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

ORDER

In the Matter of the

Case Number: P-17-092512-T

THE CHRISTIAN FAMILY TRUST

Dept.: S

Dated October 11, 2016

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

This matter came before the above-entitled court on April 4, 2018 at 2:00 p.m. on Anthony L. Barney, Ltd.'s, ("ALB, LTD.," or "Petitioner") Creditor of the Christian Family Trust dated October 11, 2018 ("Trust"), PETITION FOR FEES AND COSTS ("Petition"), and upon the Petitioner's Oral Motion to Strike Exhibit A of the SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION TO PETITION FOR FEES (BARNEY FIRM); REQUEST FOR EVIDENTIARY HEARING, AND REOPENING DISCOVERY ("Supplement") filed March 30, 2018 by Cary Colt Payne, Esq. on behalf of Susan Christian Payne ("Susan"), Rosemary Keach ("Rosemary"), and Raymond Christian Jr. ("Raymond"). The Petitioner was represented by its attorneys at the Law Office of Anthony L. Barney, Ltd. Jackie Utkin, Successor Trustee

> RECEIVED APR 1 0 2010

**DEPT. S** APP-ROA--1229

of the Trust was not present but was represented by her attorney, Jerimy Kirschner, Esq., Monte Reason, former Trustee and beneficiary of the Trust was not present but was represented by his attorney Joseph J. Powell, Esq., Raymond was not present, Susan and Rosemary were present and Raymond, Susan and Rosemary were represented by Cary C. Payne, Esq., After reviewing the pleadings on file in this matter, hearing the oral argument, and reviewing the evidence, the Court hereby makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law.

#### I. FINDINGS OF FACT

The Court hereby finds the following:

- The Trust contains broad discretion regarding decisions made by the Trustee with the specific language of the Trust stating:
  - 11.1 Protection. Trustees shall not be liable for any loss or injury to the property at any time held by them hereunder, except only such as may result from their fraud, willful misconduct, or gross negligence. Every election, determination, or other exercise by Trustees of any discretion vested, either expressly or by implication, in them, pursuant to this Trust Agreement, whether made upon a question actually raised or implied in their acts and proceedings, shall be conclusive and binding upon all parties in interest.
- The Trust's spendthrift provision does not apply to the trustor's interest in the Trust estate regarding the fees and costs payable to ALB, LTD.
- ALB, LTD's request for payment of fees has been approved by the prior Trustee, Monte Reason, and the current Trustee, Jackie Utkin.
- The Court has previously ordered that all Trust assets be frozen pending the current litigation in this matter.
- Ex:hibit A to Susan, Rosemary, and Raymond's Supplement is immaterial and impertinent
  in this matter.

#### II. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. NRS 132.390(c)(8) states in pertinent part "[f]or the purposes of this title, a person is an interested person with respect to:... at trust, if the person:... Is a creditor of the settlor who has a claim which has been accepted by the trustee."
- 2. NRCP 12(f) provides:

Upon motion made by a party before responding to a pleading or, if no responsive pleading is permitted by these rules, upon motion made by a party within 20 days after the service of the pleading upon the party or upon the court's own initiative at any time, the court may order stricken from any pleading any insufficient defense or any redundant, immaterial, impertinent, or scandalous matter.

#### III.ORDER

WHEREFORE, the Court hereby orders, adjudges and decrees the following:

- 1. That within seven (7) days of the entry of this order a certified check in the amount of \$50,000.00 shall be issued by Chase Bank from either or both blocked trust accounts (if funds are insufficient in either account), currently held in the names of Susan Christian-Payne, Rosemary Keach, and Raymond Christian Jr., at Chase Bank, account numbers 000000446556040 and 000003008644816 (a Chase Private Client Savings Account) and shall be delivered to Jackie Utkin as Trustee of the Christian Family Trust;
- 2. That within seven (7) days of the entry of this order a certified check in the amount of \$3,031.97 representing the costs filed with this Court which were incurred by ALB, LTD., shall be issued by Chase Bank from either or both blocked trust accounts (if funds are insufficient in either account), currently held in the names of Susan Christian-Payne, Rosemary Keach, and Raymond Christian Jr., at Chase Bank, account numbers at Chase Bank, account numbers 000000446556040 and 000003008644816 (a Chase Private Client Savings Account) and shall be delivered to Jackie Utkin as Trustee of the Christian Family Trust;

**Electronically Filed** 4/19/2018 1:31 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Case No.: Dept. No.:

P-17-092512-T S (Probate)

THE CHRISTIAN FAMILY TRUST u.a.d. 10/11/16

Date: Time: 5/14/18 3:00 PM

SUSAN CHRISTIAN-PAYNE, ROSEMARY KEACH AND RAYMOND CHRISTIAN Petitioners.

NANCY I CHRISTIAN, MONTE REASON and JACQUIELINE UTKIN,

**OPPS** 

CARY COLT PAYNE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 4357

CARY COLT PAYNE, CHTD. 700 South Eighth Street

carycoltpaynechtd@yahoo.com

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Attorney for Petitioners

(702) 383-9010

In the Matter of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

Respondents.

#### PETITIONER'S COMBINED OPPOSITION TO

(1) MOTION TO TURNOVER ASSETS AND DISSOLVE INJUNCTION **OVER TRUST ASSETS;** (2) MOTION TO 1. EXPUNGE LIS PENDENS AND 2. PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

#### AND

COUNTERMOOTION FOR DISTRIBUTION/TERMINATION OF TRUST; ALTERNATIVELY FOR STAY/ SET BOND AND SET EVIDENTIARY HEARING,

COMES NOW Petitioners, Susan Christian-Payne, Rosemary Keach and RAYMOND CHRISTIAN, original co-trustees and primary beneficiaries (approximately 90%) of The Christian Family Trust u.a.d. 10/11/16, by and through their attorney, CARY COLT PAYNE, Esq., of the lawfirm of CARY COLT PAYNE, CHTD., hereby submits this Combined Opposition to (1) Motion to Turnover Assets and Dissolve Injunction Over Trust Assets; (2) Motion to (1) Expunge Lis Pendens and (2) Preliminary Injunction and



Countermoves for Distribution/Termination of Trust; Alternatively for Stay/Utkin to post Bond/Set Evidentiary Hearing, which is made and based upon the attached Points and Authorities, Exhibits, pleadings on file to date, and any oral argument that the Court may allow at the time of the hearing.

#### I. POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

#### 1. Introduction

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Despite being warned by the Hon. Judge Ochoa at the hearing held January 17, 2018, that the court was not going to allow the attorneys to continue in such a way to give all the money to be paid out to the attorneys, and the beneficiaries get next to nothing.

Utkin and/or her counsel have continued on an unfettered campaign to "pump out" what would otherwise be an unconscionable amount of litigation regarding a matter that should not have been permitted to go this far.

In a shockingly wasteful use of trust assets, peoples time. Utkin and her attorney have continued to compound accounting issues, that mean nothing. Any purported malfeasance would ultimately be sought to be paid from the Petitioner's beneficial share. Utkin has filed three new motions (including those of this opposition) and a dozen subpoenas to banks and/or other businesses, and now seeking depositions (Exhibit "A"), where there were no known connection to the trust or Raymond Christian, Sr. The Trust, and the trust assets continue to pay a steep price for allowing Utkin, without first deciding the Monte Reason issue (discussed below), to be trustee.

This matter has now been "back doored" for allowing further fees, and for the prior payment of \$50,000 in fees (Barney firm) in total contravention to the trust agreement itself.



3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Counsel stated in open court that whatever the petitioners provide as and for accounting, will never be enough for opposing counsel, and they have proven that statement true. All of these motions, subpoenas, whining about accounting is insufficient or some other problem, are all geared to continue useless and needless litigation, which this court needs to end.

#### COUNTERMOTIONS

#### 2. Countermotion for Bond

Utkin is an out of State party, who resides in Hawaii, who has yet to step foot in the State of Nevada. When this court essentially imposed an injunction over the funds, and appointed an out of state party as trustee, Utkin should have been required to post a bond. See NRS 18.090, 18.130.

NRCP 65(c) requires the posting of a bond for any preliminary injunction, which states in pertinent part:

> (c) Security. No restraining order or preliminary injunction shall issue except upon the giving of security by the applicant, in such sum as the court deems proper, for the payment of such costs and damages as may be incurred or suffered by any party who is found to have been wrongfully enjoined or restrained.

"The expressed purpose of posting a security bond is to protect a party from damages incurred as a result of a wrongful injunction, not from damages existing before the injunction was issued." American Bonding Co. v. Roggen Enterprises, 109 Nev. 588, 591 (1993). The Petitioners, as major beneficiaries, have now been denied their beneficial share, and access to what is legally theirs. The longer they are denied, the more the damage to them becomes. There is still no adequate protection for the petitioners/beneficiaries.



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Given the litigious nature of Utkin in her continuous frivolous motions, subpoenas (approximately a dozen or more), etc., it is entirely probably that this out of state individual, who has yet to step foot in the State of Nevada and/or her attorney will seek usurious fees and costs for their continued harassment of the beneficiaries/petitioners.

This Court should required Utkin to post a bond in an amount in at least \$50,000 or more to cover the potential losses to the trust and/or damages to the beneficiaries for her litigious actions.

Since Utkin has come into this matter, there has been an endless barrage of motions, discovery requests, and further litigation. All when she is supposed to maintain the assets for the beneficiaries, not spend it on her own needless litigation for the benefit of her attorney. Utkin's attorney has admitted that he is seeking sanctions for the period from the day the trust was executed (10/11/16) and the day the petitioners actually commenced as trustees over accounts, etc. (10/16/16)- a period of five (5) days. They have created multiple thousands of dollars in attorneys fees for a 5 day period wherein nothing changed. (email-Exhibit "B") The Inventory and Amended Account are correct. During those five days, accounts were created, funds transferred, deeds recorded, etc. For this Utkin and/or her attorneys want sanctions. This is manufacturing an issue where none exist to seek to justify the frivolousness of their multiple petitions/motions, subpoenas, etc.

## 3. Countermotion for Distribution/Termination of Trust

As of the date of Grantor Nancy's death, The Christian Family Trust u.a.d. 10/11/16 became irrevocable, and subject to distribution, etc.

Pursuant to the Trust, the beneficiaries of the Trust are as follows:

(1) as to the proceeds of the sale of the Dancing Vines property (\$194,704.59), see Trust ¶6.1 (a)-(f):



	10
	11
49	12
, 89101 702, 383,904	13
Nevada 8910 0 • Fax 702, 3	14
Neva 10 - Fa	15
Las Vegas 2. 383.90	16
70.	17
臣	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Rosemary K, Christian-Keach (20%=\$38,940.92), outright, free of trust; Raymond T. Christian, Jr. (20%=\$38,940.92) outright, free of trust; Tommy L. Christian (20%=\$38,940.92) outright, free of trust; Susan G. Christian-Payne (20%=\$38,940.92) outright, free of trust; Christopher A. Christian (10% = \$19,470.45) outright, free of trust; Monte B. Reason (10%= \$19,470.45), and this Trust share shall be held, in Trust and distributed to him in the sole discretion of SUSAN

G.CHRISTIAN-PAYNE for his health, education, maintenance and support.

(2) The rest, residue and remainder of the Trust Estate, including cash and the real properties located at 2848 Bluff Point Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada and 37920 Grandview Ave., Yermo, California, see Trust ¶6.2 the beneficiaries are:

> Rosemary K, Christian-Keach (one third – 331/3%) outright, free of trust; Raymond T. Christian, Jr. (one third – 331/2%) outright, free of trust; Susan G. Christian-Payne (one third - 331/3%) outright, free of trust;

(3) the Voya account, while owned by the trust, is not a trust asset as it relates to these proceedings or subject to trust administration, as it has named beneficiaries and is a non-probate transfer, whose beneficiaries are (Exhibit "C"):

> Rosemary K, Christian-Keach (one third - 331/3%) Raymond T. Christian, Jr. (one third - 331/3%) Susan G. Christian-Payne (one third - 331/3%)

The Trust made provisions to pay for debts/last illness of only the first grantor to die, which was Raymond Christian, Sr., whose debts were all paid after he died, and nothing remains.

As to Grantor Nancy's last illnesses or debts, the Trust makes no other provisions for the payments of her debts. The only issue remaining is distribution after the death of the second grantor.

The Trust has no known creditors, subject to notice, etc.

26

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Grantor Nancy Christian, individually, has assets, possibly debts, which should be dealt with in her personal probate estate.

The Trust is in a position to be distributed pursuant to Trust ¶6.1 (a)-(f) and 6.2 above.

That after distribution of the trust, there is no further reason for the trust to be maintained and the trust should be terminated. NRS 163.185 states:

> NRS 163.185 Power of court to order termination and distribution of trust before time provided in trust instrument. Upon such terms and conditions as are just and proper, the court may order termination and distribution of a trust before the time provided in the trust instrument, if administration or continued administration of the trust is no longer feasible or economical. A petition for such an order may be filed by an interested person under NRS 164.010 and 164.015.

Monte's 10% share of the Dancing Vines property (\$19,470.45) can be distributed to Susan Christian Payne, and held in a separate account for periodic distribution to Monte in her sole discretion pursuant to the terms of the trust.

This matter hinges upon whether or not (1) Nancy Christian had the authority to remove petitioners as signatories to the trust as trustees; (2) Nancy Christian had the right to nominate Monte Reason; (3) Monte Reason not being qualified to act or not having been confirmed as trustee by the court, while the court had jurisdiction over the trust; (4) did Monte Reason have the authority to nominate another trustee.

Until those initial questions are decided by the court, all else in this matter, and the orders made, as a matter of law, can be stricken as void. Jacqueline Utkin's nomination by Monte Reason could very well be deemed void, and Utkin is expending an excess of attorney's fees while everyone awaits the court to decide the above integral issues.

Unfortunately, this matter is escalating with Utkin's continuous expending of attorneys fees/costs, etc., which can only be considered frivolous, and holds no benefit to the trust. We have numerous subpoenas, and now three motions and Rule 11 threats.



Now Utkin wants to reopen discovery for depositions. Utkin's first duty is to preserve the assets for the beneficiaries, including the petitioners, and not incur unnecessary debt to the trust.

At what point in time is enough for the court to take a stand and follow the terms of the trust. The award of attorneys fees to the Barney firm, a creditor of Nancy Christian, is in direct contravention of the trust's terms, and is reversible error.

Utkin should have to appear before the court, personally, to ensure that she, as the alleged trustee, is, in fact fully informed and making the decisions personally, not being led by counsel so they can bill fees.

The Trust needs to be distributed, before all of its assets end up only paying attorneys. The beneficiaries have a <u>vested interest</u> in not having trust assets be further utilized (wasted) by Utkin and/or her counsel for useless, needless litigation, diminishing the trust, which does not further the intent of the trust.

Should the court not grant this petition, then everything in this matter needs to be stayed until the above integral issues are decided. An Evidentiary Hearing on these most important issues is requested to be scheduled in order to, before things go even further than they are now, so far afield, resolve the root issues.

#### OPPOSITION TO MOTIONS

### 4. Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction

As to a Preliminary Injunction, since the court ordered that they be allowed to enter, and as the trustee does not reside in Nevada, that keys be provided, the petitioners permitted to enter the premises, pursuant to the court's statements on the record.



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

The house remains empty, as Raymond Christian, Jr. was the resident in the property. There was absolutely no reason to evict him after Nancy died. He was a beneficiary in residence, taking care of the property, which now sits as abandoned, empty house, clearly inviting any criminal element. That was Monte Reason's doing.

Petitioners were seeking those rights afforded to them by the court. The court ordered that the property is not to be sold. In the meantime, Utkin has done nothing to ensure and prove that the property is taken off the market and the for sale signs removed.

There is no need for a preliminary injunction. Petitioners have not threatened anything, or caused any irreparable harm, which is a requirement under the statute for a preliminary injunction to issue. They relied upon this court's orders to be able to enter the home, retrieve their own belongings.

### 5. Opposition to Dissolve Injunction

In conjunction to the countermotion for a stay, the trust assets are in a blocked account, which is where they should stay until the above issues are decided. The factual misrepresentations that the Petitioners used trust funds in an improper manner is not a bona fide fact, and is supposition at best, and legal posturing. Given this posture, the funds currently frozen should remain so right where they are, and Utkin ordered to post a bond as discussed herein. Since Utkin has never been a percipient witness, and has no personal knowledge, her Declaration that "which I know to be true of my own knowledge", is a false statement in and of itself. The words upon information and belief, do not appear at all in the motion.

Nevertheless, until the above issues as to ultimately Utkin's legal right to serve as trustee is decided. At the hearing on March 15, 2018, the court required the blocked account to remain status quo.



2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

As to the "Voya" account, despite Utkin's statements to the contrary, is an account owned by the trust, which, by operation of law, should be turned over to the named beneficiaries, to wit: the petitioners. It is not a trust asset to be divided as part of the trust beneficial interest. (Exhibit "C")

There is no immeasurable harm by maintaining the status quo. Despite Utkin's assertions to the contrary, the Christian Family Trust, by its terms, has no obligation to pay for Nancy Christian's bills or her personal creditors. The Christian Family Trust itself has no "creditors" to worry about. Utkin should now define what creditors she is so worried about.

The only funds Utkin required was to keep the utilities, etc. paid in the empty Bluffpoint residence, for which she received \$5,000. That sum should pay those utilities for some time while the necessary issues are heard. The court specifically stated at the January 17, 2018 hearing:

> The amount of \$5,000.00 shall be released to pay for whatever property needs to be saved and any bills that needs to be paid, not for administrative expenses or attorney's fees. Mr. Kirschner shall be responsible for the accounting of the \$5,000.00.

Utkin should account for the \$5,000 at this time to ensure that the only items paid are for the Bluffpoint property.

As to being "starved out of finances" during Nancy's lifetime, had Nancy, or Monte, during her lifetime, concerned themselves with that sole issue, rather all the other tangential issues and commencing litigation, there would not have been any such problem. At the beginning, Nancy wanted \$5,000 a month. The petitioners, within their discretion and fiduciary duty, asked what for. They never received any sort of answer to that question, and Nancy immediately sought retaliatory actions, bringing on litigation. Nancy failed to deal in good faith regarding her request, and needless litigation ensued.



3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Utkin cannot now complain over issues of which she has no personal knowledge, or was not a part of.

Jacqueline Utkin should be sanctioned, pursuant to EDCR 7.60(b)(1)(3) for presenting to this court multiple motions which is obviously frivolous, unnecessary or unwarranted, and multiplies the proceedings in a case as to increase fees and costs unreasonably and vexatiously.

#### 5. Opposition to Motion for Lis Pendens

Monte Reason, who did not have the authority to do so, executed a contract to place the real property located at 2848 Bluffpoint Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada on the market for sale. He has now relegated this "responsibility" to Utkin. As it is the petitioner's (sole beneficiaries to this property) desire to maintain this property, a Lis Pendens was prepared and filed with the court. As Utkin so states in her motion, it was not recorded. Therefore, there is nothing to expunge, and this instant request is frivolous in nature and intended to create unnecessary litigation and unwarranted fees.

The court, during the hearing held on January 17, 2018, ordered that the house not be sold, and that the petitioners had the right to enter the premises. The minutes stated:

> "The Bluff Point home shall not be sold. Mr. Payne and his clients shall make arrangement to go to the Bluff Point home and remove their property and pictures shall be taken of items in dispute for future litigations."

Despite the court making these orders on the record, no formal court order reflecting this pronouncement by the court has been entered. Petitioner's counsel disapproved the order as written as it did not, inter alia, include the provisions made by the court as it pertains to the petitioners entry into the home.

To date, the "for sale" signs are still on the property, the real property is still an active listing for sale, and, three months later, no movement on obtaining a set of keys to enter the premises, as permitted by the court, despite the requests to do so. Ultimately,



the need to contact the real estate agent was required, because Monte Reason's counsel advised that the agent was the person in possession of the keys.

Monte Reason forced beneficiary Raymond Christian, Jr., who was residing in the home, out of his home via an eviction proceeding, after Nancy died. The three petitioners are the primary beneficiaries of this real property. To preserve the asset, a Lis Pendens was prepared, filed, but not recorded, as the court ordered the property not to be sold. Therefore, NRS 14.015 does not apply, and the entire argument and motion is moot.

Apparently Utkin and/or her counsel believe this is something to complain about, but is a non-starter. The document was prepared in good faith, and the Petitioners have relied upon the court's order that the property not be sold and that the petitioners could enter.

This motion is particularly frivolous and unnecessary. Again, Jacqueline Utkin should be sanctioned, pursuant to EDCR 7.60(b)(1)(3) for presenting to this court a motion which is obviously frivolous, unnecessary or unwarranted, and multiplies the proceedings in a case as to increase fees and costs unreasonably and vexatiously.

#### CONCLUSION

This motions and the relief requested therein are frivolous, and the facts do not support the relief requested.

The motions should be denied in their entirety, and the countermotion to distribute the trust or alternatively all matters be stayed, and set an evidentiary hearing be granted.

Dated: April_____, 2018

CARY COLT PAYNE, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 4357
CARY COLT PAYNE, CHTD.
700 S. Eighth Street
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Attorney for Petitioners



#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on April 19, 2018, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served to the following at the their last known address(es), facsimile numbers and/or e-mail/other electronic means, pursuant to:



3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

BY MAIL: N.R.C.P 5(b), I deposited for first class United States mailing, postage prepaid at Las Vegas, Nevada;

Tommy L. Christian 245 South Lemon, Apt C Orange, CA 92566

Christopher A. Christian 560 W. 20th Street #12 San Bernardino, CA 92405



BY E-MAIL AND/OR ELECTRONIC MEANS: Pursuant to Eighth Judicial District Court Administrative Order 14-2, Effective June 1, 2014, as identified in Rule 9 of the N.E.F.C.R. as having consented to electronic service, I served via e-mail or other electronic means (Wiznet) to the e-mail address(es) of the addressee(s).

Jerimy Kirschner, Esq. JERIMY KIRSCHNER & ASSOCIATES, LTD. 5550 Painted Mirage Rd., Suite 320 Las Vegas, NV 89149 Email: jerimy@jkirschnerlaw.com Attorney for Jacqueline Utkin

Joseph Powell, Esq. RUSHFORTH, LEE & KIEFER, LLP 1701 Village Center Circle, Suite 150 Las Vegas, NV 89134 email: joey@rushforth.com

Attorney for Monte Reason







## CARY COLT PAYNE, CHTD.

Attorney at Law 700 S. Eighth Street • Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 383-9010 • Fax (702) 383-9049

EXHIBIT PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

## **EXHIBIT "A"**

To: Cary Payne, Esq.

Fax: (702) 383-9049

Page 2 of 2 04/18/2018 9:33 AM

5550 Painted Mirage Rd, Suite 320 Las Vegas, NV 89149 (702) 563-4444 Fax (702)563-4445 Website: JKirschnerLaw.com



1326 Tacoma Ave. S., Suite 200 Tacoma, WA 98402 (206) 623-4444 Fax (206) 538-2008 Licensed in Nevada and Washington

April 18, 2018

Sent Via Fax/Email: (702) 383-9049, carycotpaynechtd@yahoo.com

Cary Colt Payne, Esq. 700 S 8th St Las Vegas, NV 89101

Re: Temporary address, In the Matter of THE CHRISTIAN FAMILY TRUST, Dated October 11, 2016, Case Number: P-17-092512-T

Hello Mr. Payne,

Please respond with the availabilities for your clients Susan Christian-Payne, Rosemary Keach, and Raymond Christian Jr. to be deposed within the next 30 days.

Sincerely

Jerimy Kirschner, Esq.



## CARY COLT PAYNE, CHTD.

Attorney at Law 700 S. Eighth Street • Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 383-9010 • Fax (702) 383-9049

EXHIBIT PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

## **EXHIBIT "B"**



#### Marj Arena <marja.carycoltpayne@gmail.com>

#### e: Fw: Re: Christian Family Trust

message

Jerimy Kirschner <jerimy@jkirschnerlaw.com>

Thu, Apr 19, 2018 at 10:48 AM

To: Cary Payne <carycoltpaynechtd@yahoo.com>

Cc: Marj Arena <marja.carycoltpayne@gmail.com>, Sarah Mintz <sarah@jkirschnerlaw.com>, Joey Powell <joey@rlklegal.com>

Hello Cary,

It is in our motion for sanctions, but for example: failure to provide an inventory stretching back to October 11, 2016.

Rebuild, i.e. prepare proper inventory and accounting.

There are outstanding demands for an accounting which have not yet been satisfied. It is a duty under the trust, statute, and court order. This is what is being accomplished.

We will have a SAO circulated shortly. Thank you.

On Thu, Apr 19, 2018 at 10:00 AM, Cary Payne <carycoltpaynechtd@yahoo.com> wrote:

Jerimy, please provide a specific (single) instance of what you claim the trustees did or did not do?

Rebuild trust financials-please clarify.

The inability of your response to explain how any of this benefits my client's or the trust speaks volumes.

I have already told your office I would agree to consolidate these hearings.

Sincerely,

Cary Colt Payne, Esq.

CARY COLT PAYNE, CHTD.

700 South Eighth Street

Las Vegas, NV 89101 Tel. (702) 383-9010 Fax: (702) 383-9049

Email: carycoltpaynechtd@yahoo.com

Web: carycoltpaynechtd.com

lasvegasarmstrust.com

Privileged and Confidential This email including attachments is intended for the person(s) or company named and may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. Unauthorized disclosure, copying or use of this information may be unlawful and is prohibited. This email and any attachments are believed to be free of any virus or other defect that might affect any computer into which it is received and opened, and it is the responsibility of the recipient to ensure it is virus free, and no responsibility is accepted by Cary Colt Payne, Chtd. for any loss or damage arising in any way from its use. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify the sender at (702) 383-9010 and delete this e-mail message and any attachments from your workstation and/or network mail system.

---- Forwarded Message -----

From: Jerimy Kirschner <jerimy@jkirschnerlaw.com>
To: Cary Payne <carycoltpaynechtd@yahoo.com>

Cc: Marj Arena <marja.carycoltpayne@gmail.com>; Sarah Mintz <sarah@jkirschnerlaw.com>; Joey Powell

<joey@rlklegal.com>

Sent: Wednesday, April 18, 2018, 3:44:08 PM PDT

Subject: Re: Christian Family Trust

Hello Cary,

Thank you for the response. To address your comments:

We have made no bones about what is occurring, or what is needed. The Trust has repeatedly and adamantly requested that a proper accounting and inventory be conducted. Nothing more. Requests have been made under the terms of the Trust, by statute, and by court order. Your clients, as former trustees, have repeatedly failed to do so or at best, partially complied. As a direct result, Trustee Utkin has been forced to rebuild Trust financials. This is not the preferred route, and it is costly to do so. She waited until your clients once again failed to provide a proper accounting before she started her investigation in earnest.

Your clients objections to discovery could be easily solved by providing a proper accounting and cooperation with Trustee Utkin. Full stop, and no more attorney fees. No one is hiding the ball here, they have been given explicit directions by the Court, and the requirements are also spelled out by statute. A rope-a-dope, partial compliance which leaves the Trust with blinds spots is not an option. This is not controversial in the least, it is the duty of a trustee to know what a trust has for assets, what it started with and what it is at currently.

Trustee Utkin is here to administer the Trust, however she is being fought on virtually every point by your clients. This has caused a significant increase in tensions and the cost of litigation. That being said, we take your threat to against Trustee Utkin very seriously. Please identify any provision of the Trust or order from the Court that she is violating and we will quickly move to remedy it. To be clear, a nebulous statement of unhappiness is not sufficient. The Trust provides Trustee Utkin substantial discretion to hire professionals and to conduct the business of the Trust, as your clients well know. This is all she has done.

I have repeatedly tried to engage you to provide a legal basis for objecting to the Barney Firm's fees. The fees were approved by Monte Reason as trustee before Jacqueline came on board, which was authorized by 4.3, and 10.1(m). Not only that, but the spendthrift provision of 14.2 specifically excludes creditors of the trustors, i.e. Nancy Christian. The provisions you cited in your opposition brief, and at oral arguments, simply do not apply. The battle you are fighting is not based on solid legal reasoning.

Finally, would you agree to a stipulation moving the hearings from the 14th to the 16th?

On Wed, Apr 18, 2018 at 2:08 PM, Cary Payne <carycoltpaynechtd@yahoo.com> wrote: Jerimy, I agree.

Can you enlight us on exactly what the hell you're doing? For example what the goal to spending this time and money when my clients object to EVERYTHING YOU HAVE done!

The District Court has already cautioned you about the needless discovery. Let me be clear the beneficiaries (Rose Mary, Susan Payne and Mr. Christian) strongly object to your continuing activities both as attorney for Utkin and the Trust. Discovery is over and you need to cease and desist with this unwanted horrible waste of everyone's time and money. I seriously doubt your client knows about the exposure you have placed her in. The next hearing we will be asking her to post a bond.

Lastly, I'm strongly recommending my clients appeal the Barney order and look for damages against you and your clients for the failure to object to the baseless Barney petition for fees.

Sincerely,



## CARY COLT PAYNE, CHTD.

Attorney at Law
700 S. Eighth Street • Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
(702) 383-9010 • Fax (702) 383-9049

EXHIBIT PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

# **EXHIBIT "C"**



January 8, 2018



Christian Family Trust 1704 Double Arch Court Las Vegas NV 89128

Re:

Voya Insurance and Annuity Company

Contract 90355653

Dear Representative,

We received your claimant statement for this annuity contract. However, our records do not show that you are a beneficiary. In order for us to release the name of the beneficiary(s), we need the executor of the estate of Raymond Christine to submit the estate documents and a written request for beneficiary information, signed by the executor. Documents can be sent to the address below. If you feel this is an error, the agent listed on the contract may be able to provide you with beneficiary information. Also, you can double check any contract documents or paperwork we may have sent Raymond Christine as part of servicing this contract.

Another option would be to reach out to the executor of the estate for beneficiary information.

If you have any questions, please contact us at the number shown below and a representative will be happy to assist you 8:30 a.m. to 6:30 p.m. Eastern Time Monday through Thursday, and 8:30 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. on Friday.

Customer Service PO Box 1337 Des Moines, IA 50305-1337 (800) 369-5303 voya.com

#### REQUEST FOR NON-FINANCIAL SERVICE

Voya Insurance and Annuity Company, Des Moines, IA
Voya Retirement Insurance and Annuity Company, Windsor, CT
ReliaStar Life Insurance Company, Minneapolis, MN
ReliaStar Life Insurance Company of New York, Woodbury, NY
Security Life of Denver Insurance Company, Denver, CO
(the "Company")

/ S / FINANCIAL

Members of the Voya² family of companies

#### Fax: 515-698-2034 (Variable Annuity) 515-698-2001 (Fixed Annuity)

Customer Service: 909 Locust Street, Des Moines, IA 50309-2899

Website: Voya.com Phone: 800-366-0066 (Variable Annuity) 800-369-5303 (Fixed Annuity)

Annuities

The contract owner may use this form to request action by the Company. Check the appropriate boxes and supply the information indicated. Please remember to read Section 6, on page 2, and provide your signature of authorization to make this request effective.

CONTRACT INFORMATION	i page 2, and pr	and the Market		The second secon
Owner Name Raymond Christian	Contract # 90355653			355653
Owner S\$N/TIN 417-48-8865	Owner Phone	(702) 483-5847		Gender male
Joint Owner Name				
Joint Owner SSN/TIN	Joint Owner P	hone		Gender
2. ADDRESS CHANGE  Owner Joint Owner Annuitant				
New Address				
City				
New Phone		AND WALLEY TO STREET	o par <del>ed en</del> ence	na nandrovida - Smithida - co
3. NAME CHANGE (Select one. Not to be u	sed for owners	hip or beneficiary	changes.)	
Owner Joint Owner Annuitant				
From		To		
Reason for Change		etici la company		
Please provide a legal document (such as a r	narriage certifi	cate or divorce d	ecree) to supp	ort this change.
4. OWNER CHANGE Please note that as the current owner, certa				
1099-R reporting taxable income to you.				
Ownership changes may terminate systema complete a Request for Financial Service for		s from this conti	ract. To begin	a systematic withdrawal, please
New Individual Owner	er.	Custodian t	e Custodian	
New Individual Owner who is a current or fo	rmer spouse	Remove Joi		
New Custodian Owner		Remove Cu	stodian - Annu	tant will become owner.
✓ New Trust Owner (Certificate of Trust form re	eautred.)	Other (Trans	sfer from Trust,	etc.)
Add Joint Owner C		1	1	
New Owner Name On 15tic	an For	21/1/ 11	rust	
CONTROL 1117 117 - 301 C				6
Actress 1060 Dancing Vib	re Aus	City Liss	Vance	State NV ZIP 89163
Birth Date 10/11/10 Relationship to	Current Dwgs		-7	Phone
Some living and death benefit features are not available to may result in a change or deletion of this feature. See you "Changes to ar from custodion require a custodial back office for more information.) If changin dealer custodian. A change of producer may be necessarindly that awner change.	with joint ownership i prospectus for ad ed signature (the ri g ownership to a n	if your contract has a ditional details regured signer is spec- ew custadian, the pro-	ine of these featur fic to each custod ducer must be offi	es, any change in ownership ian's Custodiol Agreement ioted with the new proker-

Page 1 of 3 - Incomplete without all pages.

Order #129700 06/09/2015

#### 5. BENEFICIARY CHANGE

The designation of a new beneficiary revokes and replaces prior beneficiary designations for each beneficiary type. For example, if you change only the primary beneficiary, the contingent beneficiary remains unchanged. A beneficiary change may impact your contractual benefits. Please refer to your prospectus or contract or consult your tax advisor for additional details.

- If the annuity is corporately owned, an officer of the corporation must sign the form for the corporation. The officer's
  title must be included and a copy of the corporate resolution giving the officer authority to sign for the corporation
  must also be submitted with this form.
- If the current beneficiary designation is irrevocable, this form must also be signed by any irrevocable beneficiary(ies).
- if a trust is the beneficiary, please list the entire trust name and the trust date as applicable and complete the Certificate of Trust form.
- Total percentage of primary beneficiary shares must equal 100%. Total percentage of contingent beneficiary shares must also equal 100%. If no percentages are listed, beneficiaries' shares will be distributed equally.
- If no primary beneficiary is living, unless otherwise stated, proceeds will be paid equally to each living contingent beneficiary.
- For additional beneficiary designations, attach a separate page, signed and dated by the owner(s).
- If you would like to designate a restricted beneficiary, complete the Restricted Beneficiary form.

Name Posemacy K. Christian-Kenc Address Address Ave, LV, NV 871	ド	Sinh Date/Trust Date 10/31/60 Phone 102 271-3	SSN/TIN  5109-13-16  Relationship to to the Start Dawy (		Beneficiary Type:  Primary  Contingent
	ender : B	Birth Date/Trust Date	SSNITIN	, %	Beneficiary Type: Primary Contingent
	ender I	Birth Date/Trust Date	SUB-17-58	s 12 33.34	Beneficiary Type:
	ender (1	Birth Date/Trust Date	SSN/TIN Relationship to	* %	Beneficiary Type: Primary Contingent

### 6. AUTHORIZATION AND SIGNATURES (If there are joint owners, both must sign this form.)

I hereby certify that I, the owner, have an insurable interest in the life of the annultant. As defined in more detail in my prospectus, an insurable interest means I have a lawful and substantial economic interest in the continued life of the annultant. I hereby certify that I have read and understand the terms of this form and that the information provided on this form is true and complete to the best of my knowledge, and I authorize the transactions requested.

### IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT PROCEDURES FOR OWNING A REGISTERED ANNUITY CONTRACT:

To help the government fight the funding of terrorism and money laundering activities, federal law requires all financial institutions to obtain, verify and record information that identifies each person who purchases a registered annuity contract. What this means for you: When a change of ownership is submitted, we will ask for the new owner's name, address, date of birth, Social Security number and other identifying information. We may also request a copy of additional identifying documentation and use the information provided to further verify the new owner's identity through the use of third-party sources.

The Irrevo (Please print) Venue Subscribed a Notary Public My commission	and sworn before me on this : Nameion expires	day of	, 20
The Irrevo (Please print, Venue Subscribed a Notary Public	and sworn before me on this	day of	, 20
The Irrevo (Please print) Venue Subscribed a	and sworn before me on this	day of	. 20
The Irrevo (Please print) Venue			
<ul> <li>The Irrevo</li> <li>(Please print)</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>The Irrevo</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>A valid sig</li> </ul>	guarantee of notarized signatt nature of owner or power of atto cable Beneficiary signs the form	orney is not on file.	
		ure is required in the following instance:	2010
		cable)ablej	
		pplicable)	
3	Owner Signature (if applicable)	or Basta Kelli	
4	Owner Signature (if applicable)		THE Date
	nt Owner Signature 500	Man X ( ) (M)	Date 10 01/1
	ve) required to evoid back-up v		20 Malal
The Internal	Revenue Service does not red	ruire your consent to any provision of this d	ocument other than the certifications
The amount preduced rate	paid to you will be subject to 30% of withholding under the applica	s tax withholding unless you submit an IRS Form ble U.S. tax treaty.	w-8 and are entitled to claim a
\$1770° I O	nalties of perjury, I certify that I a		18 2 V (VW 40 114
CT-	on-Resident Allen, please check		
Under penal 1. 2. 3.	I am not subject to back-up	n Number that appears on this form is corre o withholding due to failure to report interes p withholding, I have checked here.	ct. st and dividend income;
DEPOSIT VOCALORIZA	ER CERTIFICATIONS FOR NEV	W OWNER	
reduced rate	of withholding under the applicat	tax withholding unless you submit an IRS Form ble U.S. tax treaty.	STATE OF STA
A COLD NEWS	nalties of perjury, I certify that I a		W 8 and are entitled to claim a
	on-Resident Alien, please check		
1. 2. 3.	The Taxpayer Identification I am not subject to back-up If I am subject to back-up I am a U.S. person.	Number that appears on this form is correct withholding due to failure to report interes withholding, I have checked here.	ct. t and dividend income;
	ties of perjury, I certify that:	KREINT OWNER	
	ER CERTIFICATIONS FOR CUR		
		LE TO OWNERSHIP CHANGES ONLY.	
	IZATION AND SIGNATURES	(continued) (If there are joint owners, both	must sign this form.)

APP-ROA--1254

### **OPPS**

CARY COLT PAYNE, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 4357
CARY COLT PAYNE, CHTD.
700 South Eighth Street
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
(702) 383-9010
carycoltpaynechtd@yahoo.com
Attorney for Petitioners

# DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

In the Matter of	) Case No.: ) Dept. No.:	P-17-092512-T S (Probate)
THE CHRISTIAN FAMILY	) · · · ·	,
TRUST u.a.d. 10/11/16	) Date: ) Time:	5/16/18 2:00 PM
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	·)	
SUSAN CHRISTIAN-PAYNE,)	
ROSEMARY KEACH AND)	
RAYMOND CHRISTIAN)	
Petitioners,)	
-VS-)	
NANCY I CHRISTIAN, MONTE)	
REASON and JACQUIELINE UTKIN,)	
Respondents.)	
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	<b>~</b> }	

# OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR (1) FEES, (2) COMPLIANCE, (3) FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AND (4) EXTENSION OF DISCOVERY

# COUNTERMOTION TO DISTRIBUTE TRUST PROPERTY (2nd Request)

COMES NOW Petitioners, SUSAN CHRISTIAN-PAYNE, ROSEMARY KEACH and RAYMOND CHRISTIAN, original co-trustees and primary beneficiaries of The Christian Family Trust u.a.d. 10/11/16, by and through their attorney, CARY COLT PAYNE, ESQ., of the lawfirm of CARY COLT PAYNE, CHTD., hereby submits this Opposition to the Motion for (1) Fees, (2) Compliance, (3) For Order To Show Cause And (4) Extension Of Discovery, and Countermoves to Distribute, which is made and based upon the attached Points and Authorities, Exhibits, pleadings on file to date, and any oral argument that the Court may allow at the time of the hearing.



## 1. Introduction

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

 On October 11, 2016, Raymond Christian, Sr., and Nancy Christian, together with their children, (within Petitioners) Susan Christian-Payne, Rosemary Keach and Raymond Christian, Jr., created The Christian Family Trust ("CFT").

I. POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

- 2. Raymond Christian, Sr. died January 31, 2017.
- 3. The Petitioners, as co-trustees commence to administer the CFT. There were no assets to marshall until after their father's death.
- 4. Petitioners filed an accounting (first) on October 25, 2017 (for the period 10/27/16-9/30/17), with sufficient information for a reasonable individual to understand and/or follow the document to conclusion.
- 5. Petitioners also filed an Inventory (10/25/17), which correctly listed the distributable trust assets, including real property (\$357,048.00), "cash" and insurance proceeds (\$428,828.93) and working accounts (\$10,871.29) at \$796,748.22.
- 6. There was a Voya (Wells Fargo) account owned by the trust, but it has designated beneficiaries (Petitioners), and is not part of the CFT distributable trust assets. (Exhibit "A")
  - 7. Nancy Christian dies December 14, 2017.
- 8. At the first hearing after Nancy's death, Utkin suddenly appears claiming they need an accounting. The court ordered Utkin's attorney to forward a letter stating exactly what they are seeking. No letter was ever sent. The court also ordered that "within 45 days, Petitioners are to provide an accounting from the moment they became trustee 10/11/16, until they ceased all trustee functions, or were "removed" by settlor, whichever is later." The Petitioners awaited any sort of "letter", and served the second/amended accounting on March 20, 2018 (covering the period 10/16/16- 2/28/18



3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

9. On 3/16/18, Utkin serves her First Request for Production of Documents to Petitioners asking for "other" accounting documents. Pursuant to court rules, those documents were not due until April 16, 2018.

- 10. Despite the court's order for Utkin to forward a letter regarding what exactly they wanted regarding the "accounting", but never did so, on March 20, 2018 the petitioners filed their "Account and Report" (10-27/16-9/30/18), including a copy of the Inventory. In an abundance of caution, petitioners also identified the Voya account with Wells Fargo (\$143,056.28). (Exhibit "A") This particular account (a) had not been marshaled by original trustees; (b) has specific beneficiaries (the 3 petitioners), and should not be marshaled; (c) and is not part of the trust distributable assets under this court's jurisdiction.
- 11. This matter was scheduled for settlement conference March 27, 2018. It is now clear that Utkin nor Monte ever had any intent to settle these matters whatsoever, and did not participate in the conference in good faith. At the outset, Monte requested half of the trust estate as his share. Further, despite the court's order that the Barney firm not participate, they intentionally interfered with the settlement conference by emailing an affidavit from Petitioner Susan Christian Payne's soon to be ex-husband, claiming some sort of theft- specifically the above noted Voya account. Michael Payne's divorce attorney apparently contacted the Barney firm regarding this, after rifling through Susan's private papers. This email and it's attachments invaded the settlement process and ended any sort settlement conference. (email- Exhibit "B")
- 12. On April 2, 2018 Motion to Turnover Assets and Dissolve Injunction Over Trust Assets; on April 10, 2018 Utkin files her Motion to Expunge Lis Pendens and Strike Pleading and for Preliminary Injunction.
  - 13. On April 10, 2018, Utkin files the within motion.



## 2. Opposition to Sanctions, OSC, etc.

Utkin asserts "RRS beneficiaries failed to provide an accounting within 45 days."

This is the pot calling the kettle black. Utkin and/or her counsel <u>did not provide the ordered letter detailing what they wanted</u>. Petitioners were then left to guess exactly what they were actually looking for.

"it does not comport with NRS 165.135" is getting old. The undersigned stated in open court that no matter what they received it would not be good enough, and that statement has come true. Utkin conitnes that she "finally produced an Amended Inventory and accounting and it still fails to satisfy NRS 165.135. " (Id page 3 lines 13-17).

The documentation provided is everything the petitioners have in their possession, and it all shows what money came in, what assets there are, and what was spent, which is what is required by the court.

Since Utkin has come into this matter, there has been an endless barrage of motions, discovery requests, and further litigation. All when she is supposed to maintain the assets for the beneficiaries, not spend it on her own needless litigation for the benefit of her attorney. Utkin's attorney has admitted that he is seeking sanctions for the period from the day the trust was executed (10/11/16) and the day the petitioners actually commenced as trustees over accounts, etc. (10/16/16)- a period of five (5) days. They have created multiple thousands of dollars in attorneys fees for a <u>5 day period</u> wherein nothing changed. (email-**Exhibit "C"**) The Inventory and Amended Account are correct. During those five days, accounts were created, funds transferred, deeds recorded, etc. For this Utkin and/or her attorneys want sanctions. This is manufacturing an issue where none exist to seek to justify the frivolousness of their multiple petitions/motions, subpoenas, etc.



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

"THE COURT: The case is going to be settled in 120 days. So I'll give you 90 days to do some discovery. But, you know, this is not going to be an attorneys' fees case. I want to get this case resolved. They want their money. Your client wants your money. The trust is written clearly enough after the death. There might have been some confusion before, but it's clear afterwards what's going to happen." (transcript 1/17/18 page 56, lines 1-10)

THE COURT: Well, if you guys want to litigate everything you guys have discussed here today, you might as well just give me all the money and I'll just distribute it to attorneys' fees tomorrow. And that will be the end of the trial. (transcript 1/17/18 page 60-51 lines 24-2)

That was in January. In the few months following, there has been three motions, a dozen subpoenas, some of which indicate there were no accounts (therefore a needless fishing expedition) and a settlement conference in which both Monte Reason and Utkin (she did not appear) failed to even participate. Monte waltzed in and absurdly wanted a high amount of money as his share. Utkin is intentionally and frivolously is racking up attorneys fees.

What there has been, since the petitioners put in their inventory are the assets of the trust: proceeds of Dancing Vines property sale, proceeds of insurance (Oxford), some other "cash", and two other real properties. Not more, not less. Has not changed.

There is nothing in the accounting to complain about. In fact at this point, the purpose of the accounting is for the beneficiaries, not Utkin. She has yet to account for the \$5,000 the court wanted. "THE COURT: But I want accounting on both sides." (Transcript 1/17/18 -page 63, line 13)

This motion should be denied, and the trust distributed. Without repeating the entirety of the pleading, Petitioners also Countermove for Distribution as stated in their



Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Tel: 702. 383.9010 • Fax 702. 383.9049

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Combined Opposition filed contemporaneously herewith, which they repeat and reallege as if fully set forth herein.

Finally, there is no showing that with the ninety days allotted to them, that Utkin requires to reopen discovery to conduct depositions. At this point it is unwarranted and unnecessary.

### 3. Countermotion for Bond

Utkin is an out of State party, who resides in Hawaii, who has yet to step foot in the State of Nevada. When this court essentially imposed an injunction over the funds, and appointed an out of state party as trustee, Utkin should have posted a bond. See NRS 18.090, 18.130.

NRCP 65(c) requires the posting of a bond for any preliminary injunction, which states in pertinent part:

> (c) Security. No restraining order or preliminary injunction shall issue except upon the giving of security by the applicant, in such sum as the court deems proper, for the payment of such costs and damages as may be incurred or suffered by any party who is found to have been wrongfully enjoined or restrained.

"The expressed purpose of posting a security bond is to protect a party from damages incurred as a result of a wrongful injunction, not from damages existing before the injunction was issued." American Bonding Co. v. Roggen Enterprises, 109 Nev. 588, 591 (1993). The Petitioners, as major beneficiaries, have now been denied their beneficial share. The longer they are is denied, the more the damage to them becomes. There is still no adequate protection for the petitioners/beneficiaries.

Given the litigious nature of Utkin in her continuous motions, subpoenas, etc., it is entirely probably that this out of state individual, who has yet to step foot in the State of Nevada and/or her attorney will seek usurious fees and costs for their continued harassment of the beneficiaries/petitioners.



3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

This Court should required Utkin to post a bond in an amount in at least \$50,000 or more to cover the potential losses to the trust and/or damages to the beneficiaries for her litigious actions.

### CONCLUSION

This motion and the relief requested is frivolous, and the facts do not support the relief requested.

The continued motion practice is in contravention to this court's specific orders that the court wants this matter resolved, without incurring extensive attorneys fees. This should be taken into consideration when Utkin files for future request for usurious fees.

The motions should be denied in their entirety, and the countermotion for Utkin to post a suitable bond, for distribution/stay, and to set an evidentiary hearing be granted.

Dated: April (2), 2018

CARY COLT PAYNE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 4357 CARY COLT PAYNE, CHTD. 700 S. Eighth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorney for Petitioners



### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that on April  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ , 2018, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served to the following at the their last known address(es), facsimile numbers and/or e-mail/other electronic means, pursuant to:

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

BY MAIL: N.R.C.P 5(b), I deposited for first class United States mailing, postage prepaid at Las Vegas, Nevada;

Tommy L. Christian 245 South Lemon, Apt C Orange, CA 92566

Christopher A. Christian 560 W. 20th Street #12 San Bernardino, CA 92405



BY E-MAIL AND/OR ELECTRONIC MEANS: Pursuant to Eighth Judicial District Court Administrative Order 14-2, Effective June 1, 2014, as identified in Rule 9 of the N.E.F.C.R. as having consented to electronic service, I served via e-mail or other electronic means (Wiznet) to the e-mail address(es) of the addressee(s).

Jerimy Kirschner, Esq. JERIMY KIRSCHNER & ASSOCIATES, LTD. 5550 Painted Mirage Rd., Suite 320 Las Vegas, NV 89149 Email: jerimy@jkirschnerlaw.com Attorney for Jacqueline Utkin

Joseph Powell, Esq. RUSHFORTH, LEE & KIEFER, LLP 1701 Village Center Circle, Suite 150 Las Vegas, NV 89134

email: joey@rushforth.com Attorney for Monte Reason







# CARY COLT PAYNE, CHTD.

Attorney at Law
700 S. Eighth Street • Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
(702) 383-9010 • Fax (702) 383-9049

EXHIBIT PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

# **TRANSCRIPT 1/17/18**



800-843-7348 - SOUSA.COM - 877-843-8443

# **In the Matter of the Christian Family Trust**

# Audio Transcription of **Hearing**

Date: 1/17/2018

Job #: 608764

Court Reporting - Videoconferencing - Trial Presentation - Nationwide Networking

1013 Garces Avenue | Las Vegas | 89101 702-765-7100

```
1
                       DISTRICT COURT
2
                    CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
3
                            ***
4
5
    In the Matter of
6
    THE CHRISTIAN FAMILY
    TRUST u.a.d. 10/11/16
                              ) CASE NO. P-17-092512T
                              ) DEPT NO. S (Probate)
7
8
    SUSAN CHRISTIAN-PAYNE, ) Date: January 17, 2018
                         ) Time: 2:00 p.m.
9
    ROSEMARY KEACH AND
    RAYMOND CHRISTIAN
10
                 Petitioners,)
        -vs-
11
    NANCY I CHRISTIAN and
    MONTE REASON and
12
    JACQUELIN UTKIN
                  Respondents.)
13
14
15
                   AUDIO TRANSCRIPTION OF
16
                Hearing before the Honorable
17
                    JUDGE VINCENT OCHOA
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
    Transcribed by Kathy Hoffman
25
```

```
1
                         APPEARANCES
2
3
4
    For the Petitioners:
5
    CARY COLT PAYNE, ESQ
    CARY COLT PAYNE, CHTD
6
    700 South 8th Street
    Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
7
    (702) 383-9016
8
    For the Respondent, Jacqueline Utkin:
9
    JERIMY KIRSCHNER, ESQ.
    JERIMY KIRSCHNER & ASSOCIATES, PLLC.
10
    10000 West Charleston Boulevard
    Las Vegas, Nevada 89135
11
    (702) 255-6161
12
13
    For the Respondent, Monte Reason:
    JOSEPH POWELL, ESQ.
14
    RUSHFORTH, LEE & KIEFER, LLP.
    1707 Village Center Circle, Ste. 150
15
    Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
     (702) 255-4552
16
17
    For Nancy Christian, deceased:
18
    ZACHARY HOLYOAK, ESQ.
19
    ANTHONY BARNEY, LTD
    3317 West Charleston Boulevard
    Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
20
     (702) 438-7878
21
22
    ALSO PRESENT:
23
     Susan Christian-Payne
     Rosemary Keach
24
25
```

12

13

14

16

19

23

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

24

### **PROCEEDING**

THE COURT: This is in the matter of the Christianson [sic] family. Can we have introductions and who you represent?

MR. KIRSCHNER: Yes, Your Honor. My name is Jerimy Kirschner, Bar No. 12012. I'm here on behalf of Jacquelin Utkin. We filed a substitution of party in interest under 17A. We are the successor trustee to the Christian Family Trust at 11 this time.

THE COURT: Who were you representing again? I'm sorry.

MR. KIRSCHNER: Her name is Jacquelin, 15 J-a-c-q-u-e-l-i-n, last name Utkin, U-t-k-i-n.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. KIRSCHNER: And we've accepted the 17 appointment as the successor trustee. 18

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HOLYOAK: Zach Holyoak, Bar 20 21 No. 14217. We represented Nancy Christian before 22

MR. POWELL: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 24 Joey Powell appearing on behalf of Monte Reason in 25 his capacity as trustee of the Nancy Christian

MR. KIRSCHNER: She is the aunt and the sister of the settler to the trust, the father.

THE COURT: Okay. Counselor?

2

3

8

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

19

20

21

23 24

25

12

13

14

15

17

19

MR. PAYNE: Good morning, Your Honor. Cary Colt Payne on behalf of Susan Christian-Payne. Rosemary Keach, who are also both present, and Raymond Christian is not here today. 4357.

THE COURT: I understand that maybe the second settler has died?

MR. HOLYOAK: Correct.

THE COURT: Has anyone filed anything officially to let me know that?

MR. KIRSCHNER: Yes. There was a notice of death that was filed, I believe, on January 4th of this year.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. KIRSCHNER: A Suggestion of Death that was filed by Mr. Payne.

THE COURT: Well, maybe I have a simple mind, but to my mind I would maybe have provided some area of negotiations to try to get this resolved now since there is a trust, and the trust now by its language, we should take care of what the trust requested. Or am I wrong?

MR. KIRSCHNER: Well, I think that there

Page 5

Page 3

Trust.

THE COURT: And who's the successor trustee to what trust?

MR. KIRSCHNER: So we are here for the primary party in interest, the Christian Family Trust that was executed on October 11, 2016, the one that's the subject of this action that we've asked for jurisdiction over the trust.

THE COURT: And you represent the trustee?

MR. KIRSCHNER: I represent the current trustee, yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Is your client the current trustee anymore or not?

MR. POWELL: No. He executed a ¹⁶ resignation, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. KIRSCHNER: And under the 19 modification, it was first offered to Wells Fargo 20 Bank. Wells Fargo Bank declined it. And then it was offered to my client under a delegation ²² authority under the trust. My client has accepted 23 it.

THE COURT: And how is she related to the |24| family?

was a number of petitions that were being on before the Court that were to be heard today that we were ratifying as the successor trustee that we need assistance with the court for.

One of them is as far as the accounting of the original trustees, the accounting was deficient as to the substantiation of the documents that were behind it. There were a number of expenses that were simply unexplainable that we're requiring a little bit of discovery for to find out what happened to the assets.

So there's a body of it in the original petitions that were before the Court that we're prepare today argue today, Your Honor. And we're ratifying as the successor trustee that we need assistance with.

THE COURT: So is there any objection to 18 the successor trustee, first?

MR. PAYNE: Absolutely. There's no need, 20 Your Honor. As you kind of picked up on this 21 thing, when Mrs. Christianson [sic] passed, the 22 primary beneficiaries, the ultimate beneficiaries are my three clients who were the original trustees. And then there are two other 25 beneficiaries which is their brother, Tommy

> Page 6 Page: 3 (3 - 6)

13

14

16

18

23

7

8

9

11

12

14

17

19

23

24

25

Christianson and Christopher Christianson, who are 10 percent and 20 percent beneficiaries under one of the pieces of properties that was previously sold.

What I think is going on here, Your Honor, is kind of a cute way to kind of stay into this proceeding a little bit longer for some other purpose. Because when Mrs. Christianson died, Nancy, her interest are no longer -- cease to exist. And so what has gone on here is Mr. Powell 11 has nominated this aunt to try and do something 12 that doesn't need to be done.

The trust by its terms need to be distributed, and we brought a petition forward to final this thing out. I'm not --

THE COURT: Well, does the trust provide ¹⁷ for a trustee successor?

MR. PAYNE: No, it does not, Your Honor. 19 And that's why I'm asking you to just reinstate the ²⁰ original trustees. There's nothing to administer. There was -- if you recall, there was a -- I may ²² have misspoke.

There is a California piece of real estate and there's a Nevada real estate. The house that was sold during Mr. Christianson's lifetime

to be the trustee, do you have a right to object?

MR. KIRSCHNER: No, Your Honor. That's one of the things inside of the trust that it was a delegated authority for that trustee. First they had to offer it to Wells Fargo. And then if Wells Fargo did not accept the appointment, then that trustee was within their power to assign the next trustee, which is what has happened in this case.

We have on there that Wells Fargo -- and 11 it was as part of our notice of substitution of the real property -- or party that Wells Fargo declined the appointment. We have the written declination from Wells Fargo. And then in his power as the then existing trustee, he assigned the trustee afterwards.

And I want to make sure that we're not simplifying this thing beyond what it is. We have more than just three beneficiaries involved in this matter. We have a total of six beneficiaries who are set to receive distributions underneath this trust.

And as part of having six beneficiaries, we have to perform an accounting up to date of what the assets of the trust are, find out if there were

Page 9

Page 7

10

12

13

16

17

18

21

22

23

10

11

12

16 17

21

22

23

24

25

was sold and has been held in an estate account that Your Honor ordered us to block, which we did. There's about, I believe, is it \$400,000 cash that's there. Under the trust --

THE COURT: Well, before we go there, there was a trustee.

MR. PAYNE: There was the original trustees.

THE COURT: There was the original, successor trustee and then there's this third trustee.

Did you come in after the death of ¹³ Mrs. Christianson or before?

MR. KIRSCHNER: We came in after the death of Mrs. Christianson. And to be clear. Your Honor --

THE COURT: Well, when did you come into 18 the case?

MR. KIRSCHNER: It would have been late 20 last week, Your Honor. I think we filed our -- it might be earlier this week. But we did file our notice of substitution of property -- of the party, and the appointment was accepted after the resignation.

THE COURT: Well, when you get appointed

any expenses that need to be surcharged against anybody for improper use of the trust, and then make those distributions.

So right now what we've asked for and which was in the petitions is we asked --

THE COURT: So we have six people that should be receiving something from the trust. But instead of receiving something from the trust, we're going to eat this money up with attorneys' fees.

MR. KIRSCHNER: It's not the --

THE COURT: If the six people want that to happen and that's the way they want to handle this and they're okay with getting less amount of money, a less amount of money, fine. We'll go that way. And then your firm will be the winner.

MR. KIRSCHNER: Well, it's not a matter 18 of winning, Your Honor. We're hoping significantly that we get a lot of these questions answered without litigation. We're hoping to get these questions answered without fights between the parties.

THE COURT: Well, why are you here then? Why didn't you do that?

MR. KIRSCHNER: Well, we'll go --

Page 10 Page: 4 (7 - 10)

11

12

14

15

17

19

21

7

8

14

15

16

17

19

23

24

THE COURT: Do you need time do that? MR. KIRSCHNER: Yes, we do need time do that, Your Honor. What we've asked for is 120 days of discovery so that we can subpoena the financial records involved in the case to find out what expenses were made because the previous accounting that was provided is insufficient. It provides information that is not -- that is not --THE COURT: How long was your client as trustee?

MR. POWELL: Since --

MR. KIRSCHNER: June 12th of 2017, so 13 that would be -- that was when the modification was done?

MR. POWELL: Was that approximately --16 was it June or August? June, okay.

MR. KIRSCHNER: Okay. June 12th of 2017. 18 So we've got --

THE COURT: Well, do you have an 20 accounting for that six-month period?

MR. POWELL: Well, Your Honor, that's the 22 problem is that when my client was appointed and then notified through my office, Mr. Payne to gain 24 access to the assets, the assets were removed from 25 the account, the account that belonged to the trust

MR. POWELL: Oh, I understand. But the problem becomes, Your Honor, is it's like anything else, is your rights don't simply just go away now. Mr. Payne's clients would like to just wipe it off the table and say, well, that's all water under the bridge and it's done.

Well, no, Your Honor, there's recourse that has to be taken here because of the fact that Nancy Christian never received a dime from this trust since it was formed, Your Honor, which was in October of 2016. A trust that she co-created and which held her assets.

THE COURT: And who's her attorney? MR. POWELL: It used to be Mr. Holyoak's office before she passed --

MR. HOLYOAK: We used to before she passed we represented Nancy. And that is also part of why we're here. And it goes to Mr. Payne's argument that there's no administration left in the trust.

We have substantial attorneys' fees that are payable by the trust. And if necessary we'll file a creditor's claim. But that's part of the reason that I'm here is to make sure that there's 25 no resolution without addressing the claims against

Page 13

Page 11

11

12

13

14

16

17

20

21

10

12

13

14

17

18

19

23

24

25

at Chase. And then they've been in Mr. Payne's trust account since that time.

THE COURT: Can you determine roughly how much that is?

MR. POWELL: Well, according to Mr. Payne's records, it's about \$400,000.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. POWELL: So from that time that my client was trustee, he was unable to take any action as a trustee because he was blocked by not 11 having any access to the funds to administer the trust, which at that point during Mrs. Christian's 13 lifetime was still -- she was the sole beneficiary of this trust, Your Honor.

So there's issues there. Mr. Payne's clients want --

THE COURT: So your client never touched 18 any assets then?

MR. POWELL: Correct. And that was part 20 of what we were here today on was gaining authority to be able to get possession of the assets to be able to make them productive and to be able to use them for Mrs. Christian who was --

THE COURT: Well, yeah, but she died in 25 January.

the trust.

THE COURT: Well, I can see -- I don't know what claims you're referring to. Attorneys' fees I can see as at least a reason to request -and obviously I think you're entitled to that for 6 her representation.

But I don't know what damage or recourse you're asking for Mrs. Christianson. This is Mrs. Christianson's attorney, so.

MR. HOLYOAK: I can address that if Your Honor would like me to. I don't know that it's my place to now that she's passed, though.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. KIRSCHNER: Your Honor, if I may. From the point of the trust, I can give just what we're looking to do. And it's going to be embodied in all the petitions that are before the Court right now.

Is that, one, we want to account for the 20 assets. We want to account from the assets from the moment that the trust was formed. There's approximately four to five months period of time when there are no records or no accounting whatsoever.

THE COURT: How long were these trustees

Page 14 Page: 5 (11 - 14)

15

16

19

14

17

in --

2 MR. KIRSCHNER: These trustees would have been in power for approximately ten to eleven months. And from what we know, Your Honor, there may have been some changes to assets, the beneficiaries on assets that we wanted to investigate.

We also wanted -- part of the problem is because we haven't been given a complete accounting. So normally when we ask for an accounting from the trust, we have substantiation with documents. We get to see bank statements. We 13 get to see checks. We get to see what actually happened.

Also that accounting normally takes place from the time that the person became trustee until the time that they're removed. What we have is we have a gap of time when there is no explanation as to any expenses.

20 And, one, we're looking for that gap of 21 time to be filled. Hopefully we can file that gap of time without any litigation, without any subpoenas, but it needs to be done.

Part of the problem is that this request 25 that I'm making right now and has been made in then be forced to account for those assets between the time that he took over as trustee and the time that my client took over as trustee.

There are outstanding demands and requests for information. And, like I said, we get these questions answered, we get these documents turned over, there's no reason for litigation in this case.

But the trust allows for beneficiaries to demand an accounting. There's an outstanding demand. Statute allows for a demand for accounting. So all in all, we need this information. And we're able to either force it through the Court, which is why we're asking for the Court's assistance or they can do it voluntarily.

But simply saying we're not going to give it over to you is not covered by -- or saying is not a defense on either the trust or the statute. 20 So the first thing we've asked for is information. 21 We need that information.

The second thing we've asked for is that we have assets that are outstanding that are sitting in a trust account. Well, as the trustee, we have two problems. We have to preserve the

4

10

11

12

13

16

17

19

22

23

7

11

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

Page 15

Page 17

these petitions has already been requested of them separately through letters between attorneys.

They said give us this period of time when there was a gap when you guys haven't provided us records or an accounting. Just give that to us. Give us substantiation of the documents, show us the documents support that these expenses were the expenses of the trust.

Things like if you guys went on a trip or vacation somewhere and you're claiming that this was a trust expense -- and we do have evidence that shows that they went on a trip after their father passed away, paid for a trip for all the trustees to go somewhere -- we just need substantiation that 15 these were a trust expense.

16 Because understand, we have six beneficiaries, not just three. Let's not confuse the issue. So if we see the trustees of the trust spending money to go on a vacation or a memorial ²⁰ trip, whatever it may be, we need to be able to detail this is a trust expense.

22 Or alternatively, this is -- were the 23 original trust assets when we took over. This is what happened to the trust assets. When the 25 handoff then occurs to Mr. Monte, Mr. Monte can assets. Now we have a house here in Nevada that is currently being the subject to utility liens

because they have -- even though one of the beneficiaries was living in it for a period of

time, they didn't pay any utilities on the 6 property.

So now we have Republic Services letting us know, we're filing a lien on the property that can give us the right to sale. And we don't know 10 how many other bills are outstanding with it.

Well, my client has the option. Either 12 we let these liens go forward and we continue to put the property of the trust at risk or she loans her own money. Because the October 31st order from this Court puts a freeze order on everything. And Mr. Payne has locked up the assets in his trust account. At a minimum --

THE COURT: What would it take to save that house?

MR. KIRSCHNER: I think --THE COURT: At a minimum. MR. KIRSCHNER: I think at this point ²³ it's probably between a thousand and \$2,000. I'm shooting from the hip on that one, Your Honor. I apologize I don't have the exact number.

Page 16

20

21

6

10

11

19

21

23

24

But we want to be able to, first, make sure that any utilities, any liens against the house right now are being paid. The house is vacant so we're not asking for somebody to live in the house. My client isn't asking to live in the house. We're not asking to put a beneficiary in it. We just want the assets preserved. That's the first job of us as a trustee.

Secondarily, we have assets sitting in an 10 IOLTA account where the interest that's being earned on the money, which should go to the beneficiaries is instead going to the Nevada State Bar. And although on a personal level I have no problem with that. As my client as a beneficiary, 15 I have to account for those interests.

We at a minimum need to stick that in an account that's frozen for the trust. The interest on it is incurred for the beneficiaries during that period of time. This is part of preserving the assets.

As part of the preservation, if we're looking back and we start discovering, oh, my goodness, there was either thousands of dollars or tens of thousands of dollars that were taken from prior trustees.

Page 19

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

2

3

6

7

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

24

MR. KIRSCHNER: The claim as I understand it from Mr. Joey Powell was that the prior settler of the trust, Ms. Nancy Christianson is -- well, she took issue, and I guess the estate would take issue with the fact that there were distributions that she was demanding during her lifetime. And those distributions were never made during the time 8

THE COURT: They were never made. They were never resolved. They were never litigated. They were just discussed, and then she died. So I don't know what Monte Reason's claim would be to file a lawsuit except to eat up the estate.

MR. POWELL: Your Honor, if I could jump in here. Let's use, I guess, more of a common, simple sorts of --

THE COURT: Well, that's okay. We don't need to address it today. I'm just saying I don't know as of today what reason he would file a lawsuit for the time he was a trustee when I don't think he was entitled to some unless he wants to say that his mother should have received something and it didn't happen.

MR. POWELL: That's exactly it, 25 Your Honor.

Page 21

Well, what we may ask is can we get a surcharge against them. But I'm not prepared to argue that today. And I don't want to argue that because we don't have the information to be able to make an educated claim on either way.

We also have the problem of liability. So I guess Mr. Powell has stated that he's worried that his client may have a claim on behalf of his client's estate that whether there's bad actions by the trustee.

I need to get that resolved before any 12 distributions are done. Because if he's going to sue somebody, particularly even the trust, for not distributing to his clients, I need to make sure 15 that liability is settled and outstanding prior to me making a distribution. Because if my client distributes while there's an outstanding liability, 18 it might suscept her to become a liability.

THE COURT: Well, I don't know what 20 Monte Reason's claim would be because he was only the trustee -- and there wasn't, I don't think, any 22 money due to him --

MR. KIRSCHNER: I think that the claim --THE COURT: -- at the time for those six months he was a trustee.

THE COURT: For during those six months. MR. POWELL: That's exactly it.

THE COURT: And he would do more damage to the trust and to his mother's trust and the father's trust than what he would be claiming. So think about what you're doing.

Counselor, would you like to address what he stated? He would like some money released to save the house and pay any -- everything and anything that's necessary within reason to preserve the estate. And we would also like the money transferred to a different account than your trust account.

Is that a fair --

MR. KIRSCHNER: Yes, Your Honor. We're asking it to be put into a blocked account, which I think was under the original court orders.

THE COURT: Well, I want to see why not. MR. PAYNE: Your Honor, let me just back 20 up and let me make one observation about Nancy's entitled her debts to be paid. She only had a 22 right to income. So your comment about having her 23 attorney's --

THE COURT: Like I told him, today is not 25 the day --

> Page 22 Page: 7 (19 - 22)

13

18

21

6

8

10

11

13

20

22

MR. PAYNE: No, I know that, but --THE COURT: I just -- I'm telling everyone here they better think carefully about bringing lawsuits to discuss that six-month period. Because it's going to be more expensive than it's going to be productive.

MR. PAYNE: And I agree, Your Honor. THE COURT: I don't see much of a damage there, and it was never litigated. I don't even know if he can litigate for his mother, and even 11 his mother can litigate for something now that 12 she's deceased.

MR. PAYNE: Right. And I agree. And I'm 14 trying to get to the end result. And the end 15 result is that upon mother's dying, Rosemary ¹⁶ Christian Keach is to get 20 percent. Raymond is to get 20 percent.

THE COURT: They're trying to get to the same point, but they're saying we got to know what 20 first.

MR. PAYNE: What difference does it make? 22 It makes absolutely no difference on this side of the balance sheet except for attorneys' fees. And that's what this is all about. This is a grab to see if they can bring in some assets because at

the attachments. It's not there, so.

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

22

Page 23

THE COURT: I've heard enough. What I'm going to do, I'm going to order whatever's in the trust, your trust account to be transferred to an interest-bearing account and it will be frozen.

Once you open that account, provide them the account number and the amount that you put into that account.

MR. PAYNE: What do we do for a taxpayer ID number?

11 MR. KIRSCHNER: Does the trust have the 12 EIN?

THE COURT: Okay. We can put --MR. POWELL: I don't know if it was --MR. KIRSCHNER: Okay. If not we can obtain an EIN for the trust, Your Honor, to put that on it.

THE COURT: How long?

MR. KIRSCHNER: I would say if we can 30 20 to 45 days on that, Your Honor.

21 MR. PAYNE: Your Honor, I was just 22 informed that she has one. So we already have one.

23 THE COURT: Okay. 24

MR. KIRSCHNER: If we have one, we can 25 use the EIN for the account.

Page 25

this point --

THE COURT: Well, it may make a difference if there was \$500,000 to start at one time and your clients took \$400,000 and there's only a hundred --

MR. PAYNE: Your Honor, we filed -- you ordered us to file an inventory --

THE COURT: Well, all they want is discovery. They may not object.

MR. PAYNE: What they want is --

THE COURT: They want an accounting and they may not object after that.

MR. PAYNE: Your Honor, we already provided an accounting. We gave the accounting. 15 We filed the accounting on October 25th, 2017. We attached all the receipts. What they're saying is -- and somebody jumps into this late and says 18 there's this missing information. I don't know what he's talking about. Okay?

MR. HOLYOAK: Again, Your Honor, I know 21 I'm not here with standing, but --

MR. KIRSCHNER: Here, here. Let me say. There were no receipts attached to it. It's an equivalent of an Excel spreadsheet, Your Honor. I've reviewed the accounting. I've reviewed all

THE COURT: So this will be done within the week, seven days.

MR. PAYNE: Your Honor, I'm a sole practitioner. Just give me a little due diligence. I've got to go down to U.S. Bank. If for some reason they're not there or the person is not there. I will do my best, but I'm a sole practitioner.

THE COURT: Seven days.

MR. PAYNE: Seven banking days, please? THE COURT: Okay. Seven banking days which will put it next Friday.

MR. PAYNE: I'll do the best I can.

THE COURT: Thursday, Friday of this week, five days next week.

MR. KIRSCHNER: Is it a matter -- I guess it's a matter of time to get the funds over to the account?

THE COURT: I don't know exactly, but 20 he's a sole practitioner. I guess he'll have to do it himself and get the accounting done.

But I want that information in your hands ²³ after the account is made within two weeks from today's date so you know what amount was 25 transferred.

Page 26

Page: 8 (23 - 26)

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

10

15

16

17

MR. KIRSCHNER: Your Honor, can we release at least \$5,000 of that for administrative costs at least for the house so we don't have any issue with my client paying that out of pocket for any bills or liens on the property?

MR. PAYNE: Your Honor, my clients are the ultimate beneficiaries. They --

THE COURT: Well, yeah, but we need -they're going to get the house if they save it. If they lose the house, they're going to be harmed 11 too.

MR. PAYNE: Right. But we're not aware of any bills that haven't been paid.

MS. CHRISTIAN-PAYNE: There was the Republic bill that we never received.

MR. PAYNE: Trash lien?

MS. CHRISTIAN-PAYNE: So it's for two quarters, sir.

THE COURT: Well, we can handle it this way. You'll be responsible for all the bills.

MS. CHRISTIAN-PAYNE: I understand, sir. THE COURT: And if there's damage done to the trust because you didn't handle the bills and

pay them, then you will be personally responsible ²⁵ for the damage.

Whether it's insurance costs that are coming up, whether we have any renewals going on. This needs to be done. This is standard stuff for a trustee. So I don't understand why even a few thousand dollars can't be released to pay administrative costs while we're resolving these issues. 8

I just don't want to have property risked regardless of whether they're the beneficiaries or somebody else is. We have six people involved in this trust.

THE COURT: I'm going to release 5,000 to an account that you're going to open up for the trust. And it's not for administrative expenses like you mentioned by mistake maybe.

MR. KIRSCHNER: Not attorneys' fees. THE COURT: Not attorneys' fees, not administrative fees. It's to save whatever property needs to be saved, whatever bills need to be paid. And you're going to be fully responsible for the accounting for every penny of that 5,000, okay?

MR. KIRSCHNER: No problem with that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: We don't need more accounting

Page 29

Page 27

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

8

10

11

12

13

16

17

19

20

21

23

MR. PAYNE: That's fine. They're the beneficiaries, their three brothers -- the three siblings.

MR. KIRSCHNER: Your Honor, if it's \$5,000 to just release to pay any liens on the property, any outstanding bills, any property taxes as it's ongoing as we're currently -- doing whatever, however long it takes them to get this matter.

I really don't want my client having to pay out of her own pocket for property taxes on it 12 or any utilities. That's not fair to her as the trustee. Anybody, regardless of whether it was their client who's trustee. Monte as trustee or my client as trustee somebody would have to pay these bills.

We also have a California property that we don't know any liabilities at. I'm not asking for hundreds of thousands of dollars to be released 20 to my client unchecked. But at least a few thousand dollars so that she can pay any 22 outstanding liabilities on these properties so we 23 don't lose it to somebody got getting a bill or somebody stupidly forgetting something and having something happen to the property.

Page 28

problems.

MR. PAYNE: For the record, Your Honor, my client has been administering that California asset. She knows the tenants there. She's been collecting the rent. The rent is deposited into the Chase account and the mortgage is being paid every month at \$326.

There was one account that I disclosed was not subject to the freeze order because this account has been -- was it established by your dad or established by you?

MS. CHRISTIAN-PAYNE: Yes.

MR. PAYNE: It was established by the decedent while he was alive. They collected the rent, deposited it into that account and the mortgage payment was made out of Wells Fargo.

The tenant has been there for how many 18 years?

MS. CHRISTIAN-PAYNE: Eleven, sir. MR. PAYNE: And long is his lease? MS. CHRISTIAN-PAYNE: It's never ending. 22 My dad said let them live there as long as they pay

the rent. MR. PAYNE: So this has been a long-term

relationship that's been set up for many, many

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

23

11

18

19

21

22

years. There's no reason to upset that current mechanism unless Your Honor thinks that they need to. And that was something that was established while Mr. Christianson was alive and continued on while the --

THE COURT: Are there any bills or debt on that?

MR. PAYNE: There's a mortgage payment. MS. CHRISTIAN-PAYNE: There's the mortgage.

> THE COURT: Yeah, but are you behind? MR. PAYNE: No.

MS. CHRISTIAN-PAYNE: No. sir.

THE COURT: Is there any lien notice on 15 that?

MS. CHRISTIAN-PAYNE: No, sir.

MR. KIRSCHNER: Your Honor, that's one of the problems is that we're having what appears to be a multi-facetted trusteeship. That's something 20 that should have been handled by his client while 21 they were the trustee. It needs to be handle by my 22 client as the trustee.

We don't have a de facto trustee under 24 the trust. There's nothing in there about that. 25 We need that information turned over to us. The

THE COURT: We never decided it. MR. KIRSCHNER: So we're essentially --THE COURT: That was the litigation that was ongoing and then she died a couple of weeks ago.

MR. KIRSCHNER: So we're going for a standing question. Whether or not my client has standing to appear before the action, whether Monte had action to appear before this Court as a successor trustee. If we want to do that, that's a pure contractual issue of law which is that the trust by itself allowed for this modification taking it by it --

THE COURT: I don't want to argue it right now. I'm just saying it was never decided.

MR. KIRSCHNER: We are happy to have a hearing on that issue, Your Honor, because we think that the trust itself is absolutely clear and unambiguous --

THE COURT: I think you want hearings on everything, and by the time these people get done, your client's not going to get much left. They're not going to have much left. So I think the family needs to discuss if they want to litigate this when we have a trust that spells everything out.

Page 33

Page 31

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

10

12

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

payment system, the bank accounts everything that's part of the trust needs to be turned over. Because these are trust assets is now responsible for. What we can't have is this de facto trustee.

THE COURT: Well, here's the big problem, you know, we kind of skipped over. He became trustee temporarily while we litigated whether he should have been trustee at all. And in the meantime the mother dies. So we kind of like 10 skipped the first point.

Okay. You're the trustee de facto because of the language in the trust, which we have 13 never addressed and made a ruling on or a decision. 14 They say it was misread, typo, and it doesn't make any sense to limit someone's proceeds from a trust and then make him possible the trustee when that whole purpose of it was to protect the trust from his client.

MR. PAYNE: Well, first, I would say, 20 Your Honor --

THE COURT: (Inaudible) argument. MR. KIRSCHNER: I understand. First. the 23 terms of the trust control as far as their claims

of a scriber's error, you have to look for either patent ambiguity --

Page 32

We do need an accounting. And we're going to order the accounting. I want a complete accounting during their period of time. And then once you get that accounting done. I'm going to give them -- how much time do you need for an accounting? I'm thinking 30 to 45 days because I want to get this resolved. Counselor?

MR. PAYNE: I've done the accounting. I don't know what else I need to do.

THE COURT: I think they want backup to your numbers.

MR. KIRSCHNER: The accounting needs to stretch back to the time of the trust formation when he became trustee first the time period. So it needs to be longer.

Two, we need substantiation of documents for anything in there. So if you're talking about the two things we need from the accounting from them, is we need -- that the time period has to be correct and then we need substantiation of the documents.

Simply sending us an Excel spreadsheet is not an accounting. We as the successor trustees are allowed to ask for the substantiation.

THE COURT: Well, you will write a letter

8

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

25

explaining what you need --MR. KIRSCHNER: This has been written to them as of October and September of this year. I will renew the letter to them today. THE COURT: And they will provide that information to you within 45 days. And thereafter how much time will you have for your client to follow up from their accounting for your time? MR. POWELL: I can do that basically the next day because my client never had access to any of the funds. 11 12 THE COURT: So after they've done there's in 45 days, you will have 15 days to file your 14 accounting. 15 MR. POWELL: Okay. 16 MR. KIRSCHNER: Your Honor, as far as the 17 assets that are currently being administered --18 THE COURT: You will receive the \$6,000 to pay whatever bills may be due and owing. 19 20 MR. KIRSCHNER: And for the record regarding the California property and control of the California property that's under the trust, are we also getting that turned over to the successor 24 trustee? 25 THE COURT: No. They're paying the bills. They're going to continue to pay the bills.

MS. CHRISTIAN-PAYNE: Yermo, California. THE COURT: Okay. You understand that, Counselor? MR. KIRSCHNER: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. They're going to be responsible for that property and the rent collection. And you're going to keep accounting of the rent collection and the bills. MR. KIRSCHNER: Your Honor, and can we make sure that the California property is included in the accounting they're going to be submitting to us and all the information on it? We're not asking for control of it, but as part of the accounting. THE COURT: No. Yeah, well, if it's part of the trust, it's part of the trust. And that's what the accounting is about. MR. PAYNE: There's one other issue, Your Honor, here, just so that we clarify. The Bluff Point property --

here in Las Vegas. Just by way of background --24 THE COURT: Is that the one that's in 25 arrears?

THE COURT: The what?

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

8

11

12

15

16

17

20

21

22

Page 37

Page 35

If you have any problems, send them a letter. Make sure you keep it so we'll have the letter as evidence that you requested additional sums of money.

But there's not going to be anymore sums of money released without a petition to the Court.

MR. KIRSCHNER: I understand, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You're to keep accounting of 10 the \$6,000 and what bills you pay from that.

You're responsible, you say, for the California property? Is that what we're talking about the rent?

MS. CHRISTIAN-PAYNE: Yes, sir. THE COURT: So you're going to be responsible for that, correct?

MS. CHRISTIAN-PAYNE: Yes, sir. THE COURT: So they're going to be responsible for the California property. Do we 20 have one property or city so we can make sure we put it on the record and they're clear that they're not supposed to pay any bills on that property?

MS. CHRISTIAN-PAYNE: Yermo, California, 24 is where the property is at.

THE COURT: Where is that again?

MR. KIRSCHNER: That's the one that's currently in arrears.

MR. PAYNE: The Bluff Point, the property

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. KIRSCHNER: And I think I know what he's going to say, but I'll let you go ahead and say it first. There was the freeze order from October 31st.

There was a listing of the property that I think -- it won't -- it's being stopped because it was subject to the October 31st, 2017, freeze order. So they had filed a lis pendens on the property in order to stop the sale. I'm telling the Court now, now that I'm in as the trustee's counsel and we have a trustee, the sale is being stopped because, one, we don't have a petition before this Court to do it.

If there were going to be any requests to sell a trust asset, there would be a petition before this Court to do it because we have a freeze order in place.

THE COURT: And you were going to say? MR. PAYNE: Monte with unbeknownst to us 23 I suspect before his mother died, listed the house 24 for sale. I reached out to Mr. Powell is said now 25 that Ms. Christianson has passed, my clients have

> Page 38 Page: 11 (35 - 38)

4

11

14 15

17

18

24

3

4

7

8

13

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

the rights to that house. Take it off the market. And then new counsel came in here, so here we're

Full disclosure, there was a -- Raymond, my other client, was living in the house. Was the subject of an eviction several weeks ago, a month ago. He was evicted from the house. The Court ruled that because Mrs. Christianson was alive she had the right to occupy the house. So the house is now sitting vacant.

So I just wanted to inform the Court. 12 I'm not sure who's really in control of that at 13 this point. The house is not in arrearages. The only thing is there's a lien from --

THE COURT: Is it paid? The mortgage? 16 Is it fully paid?

MR. PAYNE: Oh, it's --

MS. CHRISTIAN-PAYNE: It's paid off, sir.

19 MR. PAYNE: -- paid off. There is a ²⁰ trash lien, I guess is the only lien that I'm aware 21 of.

22 MR. KIRSCHNER: And quarterly property 23 taxes that have to be paid.

MR. PAYNE: That was my next point is, is that in the interim we don't want the house sold.

to sell it.

11

12

16

17

18

22

23

24

2

6

7

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

19

Page 40

2 MR. POWELL: His current home. Exactly. Well, there's also issues because the way that the trust was written is -- and you wouldn't, I don't think, know this unless it was pointed out to you, the original home that was referenced was a property called Dancing Vines. That was sold while Mr. Christian and Mrs. Christian were still alive. That house then turned into the Bluff Point Drive 10 house.

So the question there becomes is based on the way that the trust is written whether or not the sale of that property is then to be used to satisfy the specific percentages that are provided for to receive the sales proceeds of the home.

The question becomes is what home were we talking about and what was the intent there. That's not just a clear cut, clear and dry issue.

19 THE COURT: All we're trying to figure 20 out right now is who's going to live in the house. 21 MR. POWELL: I understand. I just wanted

THE COURT: In the next 90 days. MR. POWELL: I just wanted to point that out that when Mr. Payne is representing that, oh,

Page 41

Page 39

My clients are the beneficiaries.

THE COURT: It's not going to be sold.

MR. PAYNE: Correct.

THE COURT: Okav.

MR. PAYNE: And so we need to take it off the market.

THE COURT: Now, who's going to use it? MR. PAYNE: Well, that's why I'm asking you. I'm trying to anticipate another dispute. My clients are the residual beneficiaries of this trust. It flows through to them. The three of them equally would take this house. They don't want it sold.

Without violating any of Your Honor's orders or the order that he just referenced or the Justice Court action, I wanted to ask the Court if it was okay to reoccupy the house or re-possess it or not? Because it's in limbo.

THE COURT: Well, let's see.

MR. PAYNE: They've been paying all the bills up until the eviction.

MR. POWELL: Your Honor, just to be clear and put it on the record as well, there's also a dispute as to the proceeds of the sale of the home.

THE COURT: What home? We're not going

this is his client's house --

THE COURT: Yeah, there's a weird couple of sentences in that area.

MR. POWELL: Yes. Yeah. So I just didn't know if you were aware that the Dancing Vines --

THE COURT: I remember reading it and saying I don't know what this means exactly because this means hold it, if we do sell it before I die, hold that money ---

MR. POWELL: Correct. And there's a provision in there that says after the death --

THE COURT: And it was sold before he died, correct?

MR. PAYNE: Yes.

MR. POWELL: The Dancing Vines was, yes. MR. KIRSCHNER: And, Your Honor, before 18 anybody occupies any property I would request that a proper petition and/or request be made to the 20 trustee so we can get that resolved. Only because 21 asking somebody to move in after they were just

evicted a few days ago, I need to find out what's going on with that. I don't know the grounds for the eviction yet or what occurred. Whether they

25 were not paying bills or what --

```
THE COURT: What was the purpose? Do you
                                                           take everything out because we figured our mom
   know why he was evicted?
                                                           passed away and so now it's our house.
3
         MR. POWELL: I can tell you because -- it
                                                         3
                                                                 A week after the eviction, there was a
   was a co-petition, Your Honor. The reason why is
                                                           seal put on the house. We never got to get the
   because Nancy Christian was entitled to live in the
                                                           last bits of our property. But we went to go check
   home and she had been thrown out of the home by
                                                           the mail to make sure we had our mail, and then be
   Mr. Christian, Raymond Christian, Jr. And so the
                                                           found out that there's a sign in the yard.
   part of the petition was to gain access to the
                                                                  So the property that we had left behind,
   home, the trustee to gain access to the home.
                                                           we don't know what happened to it. I don't know if
10
         THE COURT: But she's died.
                                                           it got thrown away. It was my dad's papers, a
11
         MR. POWELL: Correct. But, again, there
                                                        11
                                                           couple of things from our family stuff. So we want
12
   was still damage that she had -- she wasn't allowed
                                                        12
                                                           to re-possess the house so we can go back and make
   to live in the home.
                                                        13
                                                           sure we can get our things back.
         THE COURT: Is your client living some
14
                                                        14
                                                                 THE COURT: So Raymond's not going to
   place now?
                                                        15
                                                           move back in?
16
                                                        16
         MR. POWELL: Sorry?
                                                                 MS. CHRISTIAN-PAYNE: No, sir.
17
                                                        17
         THE COURT: Where is your client living?
                                                                 THE COURT: Okay. Well, you and the
18
         MR. POWELL: He does not live in that
                                                           attorney for the trustee will make arrangements for
19
                                                        19
   property.
                                                           a time certain where you can go back and look at
                                                        20
20
         THE COURT: Well, where is he living --
                                                           the place and remove your personal property and
21
         MR. POWELL: The condo that he's been
                                                        21
                                                           whatever is under discussion, you just take
22
                                                        22
   living in that also Nancy --
                                                           pictures of. And you can move them for protection.
                                                        23
23
         THE COURT: So who do you think is going
                                                                 But some items will be given to you
24
   to move into the place?
                                                           outright. Some items may be under discussion with
                                                        25
25
         MR. PAYNE: Well, I just didn't want a
                                                           the trustee. But you can move them because
                                                                                                       Page 45
                                              Page 43
                                                           otherwise they're going to be left in a vacant
   dispute if we re-possess the house or reoccupy the
   house. I didn't want to violate any court order.
                                                           home. And whatever you have under discussion, make
                                                            sure you take pictures of for future litigation on
   And so I was just informing the Court.
 4
         Raymond lived there for how long? Two
                                                           that.
 5
                                                         5
                                                                 MR. KIRSCHNER: And, Your Honor, we're
   years?
 6
         MS. CHRISTIAN-PAYNE: A year and a half.
                                                           not going to withhold them getting their personal
                                                            belonging from them unreasonably, Your Honor.
         MR. PAYNE: A year and a half. Their
                                                            We're trying to do this by the book.
   brother lived there pursuant to an agreement with
                                                                 THE COURT: Well, you guys have never
   the father.
                                                        10
                                                           agreed on anything, so I'm glad there's some
10
         THE COURT: And when did he move out?
11
         MR. PAYNE: He was evicted, what, a month
                                                        11
                                                           agreement.
                                                        12
                                                                 I'm going to send this case to a
12
   ago?
                                                        13
13
         MR. POWELL: Approximately. It was a few
                                                           settlement judge.
                                                        14
                                                                 MR. KIRSCHNER: Could we at least get an
14
   weeks ago.
                                                        15
15
         MR. PAYNE: December 18th. And he was
                                                           opportunity first to get --
                                                        16
                                                                 THE COURT: You can get the discovery
   represented by counsel. So after me moved out,
                                                        17
   then Monte lists the house for sale, and here we
                                                            done.
17
                                                        18
18 are.
                                                                 MR. KIRSCHNER: Yeah, if we can get
19
                                                           discovery, give us about 120 days, I think,
         MS. CHRISTIAN-PAYNE: Can I say
                                                           Your Honor, is more than reasonable for anything
20 something?
21
         THE COURT: Yeah.
                                                            that we could potentially want. And that's only
22
                                                            really if we don't get the information we need from
         MS. CHRISTIAN-PAYNE: So he did move out
23 by the dateline. Then the house -- we found out
                                                        23
                                                           the other side that we're going to start engaging
                                                        24
                                                           in discovery.
   our mother passed away the week before. So he was
                                                        25
   moving out. He had another residence. We didn't
                                                                 So for right now, we're going to give the
```

Page 44

11

12

14

15

16

18

19

21

23

24

opportunity for them to provide us the information we need and then and only then will we decide, hey, we've got to issue a subpoena to find out further substantiating information. So it's not the goal to litigate to start with. The goal is to try to collaborate, and then if collaboration does not work, then litigate. THE COURT: So here's the situation. You are going to have to seriously think about that 10 six-month period if you and you would like to bring 11 a lawsuit regarding your client, the mother, and 12 your -- I don't know what you --13 MR. POWELL: He's the trustee of his 14 mother --15 THE COURT: But I don't know what injury 16 was done to your client. 17 MR. POWELL: And I can brief that and, 18 you know. 19 THE COURT: Yeah. 20 MR. HOLYOAK: If I may clarify, 21 Your Honor --THE COURT: But if we have to go that 22 23 far, we're going to have to go back all the way 24 back to point one is whether your client should 25 have even been the trustee temporarily based upon the language of the trust. So all I'm asking is you seriously consider is this going to be a productive lawsuit or is this just going to be resulting in money being transferred out of the trust from your client and from this side. 7 MR. KIRSCHNER: Understood.

MR. HOLYOAK: -- that's fixed with the new trustee. We would anticipate the payment. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Well, how much assets do we have with property and trust? 5 MR. KIRSCHNER: Well, we need a valuation of the California house. That's a complete question mark on that point. As far as the house in Las Vegas, I think --MR. POWELL: I believe 300,000 was kind 10 of the estimated value. MR. KIRSCHNER: The 300,000 for that, and 11 12 then we have approximately \$400,000 cash. So we're going to be closing in on probably a million, 14 Your Honor. 15 MR. PAYNE: Your Honor, the inventory 16 filed \$796,748. 17 THE COURT: Does that include the 18 California property? 19 MR. PAYNE: Yes, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 MR. KIRSCHNER: We're just looking for substantiation for everything, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Okay. So you'll be receiving 24 an order soon that probably Justice Becker will be hearing your case if you guys don't resolve it Page 49

Page 47

12

13

14

16

17

24

THE COURT: For no good reason. Is Nancy Becker, does anyone have any 10 issues for her appointment to be a settlement judge?

MR. POWELL: I like Nancy Becker. So 13 she's got my vote of confidence.

MR. KIRSCHNER: No objection, Your Honor. MR. PAYNE: No objection, Your Honor. MR. HOLYOAK: To the extent that we're still in it if our attorneys' fees haven't been paid by that point, I don't have any objection.

THE COURT: Well, we haven't had a 20 petition, have we?

MR. HOLYOAK: We haven't, but the former 22 trustee Monte has approved our fees and assuming that --

MR. KIRSCHNER: I need to see the fees before I can approve, Your Honor.

among yourselves.

I mean, we have brothers and sisters. We're going to do the accounting. We're going to have the accounting, and we're going to follow the language of the trust. So I don't know why this has to be turned into a federal case.

MR. KIRSCHNER: And, Your Honor, if you'd 8 like for today I can take the first crack at the order and pass it back and forth between me and 10 Mr. Cary with the results of what you're asking 11 for.

> Mr. Payne. I apologize. MR. PAYNE: That's fine.

MR. HOLYOAK: Would Your Honor like me to 15 submit a petition for our fees? Is that what I'm reading into what your question was earlier?

THE COURT: Well, considering everything, 18 I think you should file a petition and so we can have objections to it and we can have it resolved 20 by the Court.

21 MR. HOLYOAK: Okay. We will get that on. 22 THE COURT: Okay. So the home is going 23 to remain vacant?

MR. KIRSCHNER: I would ask -- I don't 25 want to make --

Page 48

THE COURT: That's what we resolved THE COURT: That doesn't seem to be a 2 2 very productive way of handling it. today, yes. 3 MR. KIRSCHNER: It's not that I'm trying 3 MR. KIRSCHNER: Okay. Just making sure. 4 THE COURT: You said wait a minute. to make the decision right this moment, Your Honor, MR. PAYNE: Well, I was a little confuse 5 it's that I want an opportunity to speak with Mr. Payne about how we're going to be resolving about -- I think you clarified on the 5,000. On the IOLTA account, that's just a that issue with the house. sub-account at U.S. Bank that's in the name of the THE COURT: I would recommend if Raymond wants to move back in and he's lived there all this trust, correct? 10 time that Raymond be permitted to move back in at MR. KIRSCHNER: We want a separate frozen 11 account. We don't want to associate -least to preserve the property for no other reason. 11 MR. KIRSCHNER: I think --12 12 THE COURT: It's going to be an 13 THE COURT: A vacant home is dangerous in interest-bearing account frozen. 13 14 MR. KIRSCHNER: Right. Right. 14 the city. 15 15 MR. KIRSCHNER: I completely understand, THE COURT: But it's not going to be in 16 your trust account. I don't care what the --16 Your Honor. I've seen break-ins happen over and 17 MR. KIRSCHNER: You want it titled in the over again. 18 name of the trust. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 MR. KIRSCHNER: I just want to make sure THE COURT: Yes. 19 20 MR. POWELL: It should be titled in the 20 I have an opportunity to, one, discuss it with my 21 client and -name of the trust. 22 THE COURT: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Well, I'm just putting that 23 out as a recommendation that you guys talk about MR. KIRSCHNER: Titled in the name of the 23 24 trust. 24 that. And if there's a way to do that, then do it 25 MR. PAYNE: But also, you're not making a 25 because the vacant home doesn't help anyone here. Page 53 Page 51 ruling that this nomination by Monte is that Mrs. MR. KIRSCHNER: So to confirm, we have jurisdiction of the trust today. This Court is -- is it Utkin? 3 taking jurisdiction. The Court's ordering the MR. KIRSCHNER: Utkin. MR. PAYNE: Utkin is the new trustee, accounting for them. They have 45 days in which to provide the accounting. correct? THE COURT: I've not accepted that. I'm Mr. Payne has seven days, business bank days in which to turn over the \$400,000 plus that's just working with that right now. MR. PAYNE: Gotcha. being held in his IOLTA account --9 THE COURT: We're all working with that. THE COURT: Minus the \$6,000 that he's 10 MR. PAYNE: Gotcha, just wanted to clear going to give -- 5,000. 11 MR. KIRSCHNER: Six -- was it 6,000 or that up. 11 12 THE COURT: I'm working with it to try to 5,000? 12 13 save everyone some money. 13 THE COURT: Five thousand, I'm sorry. MR. KIRSCHNER: So minus the \$5,000 14 MR. PAYNE: And we are too. Monte -- the 14 15 15 that's going to be distributed to my -- we'll put only provision --16 into an account that my client has to account for THE COURT: Once we get the accounting 17 done, and I don't care who the trustee is. The whatever funds and administrative cases that are language is very clear. So it's going to go to required. They're going to be turning over --18 them and it's going to go some to your client 19 THE COURT: Not administrative costs. 20 pursuant to another trust. 20 MR. POWELL: Fees related to the house. 21 MR. PAYNE: We represent 90 percent of 21 MR. KIRSCHNER: Fees related to the 22 the trust refs right here. Ten percent is what 22 homes. I apologize, Your Honor. More narrow on 23 that. And they're going to be providing us would go to Monte. 24 THE COURT: Well, I -substantiating documents related to the accounting, 25 MR. POWELL: Unless there is, again, like 25 right?

Page 52

11

18

20

21

11

14

15

16

18

19

20

22

24

Your Honor foreshadowed, there is likely to be briefing on the issue of what damages were caused to Mrs. Christian by the fact that she never received anything --

THE COURT: I said I foresee that and I'm asking it to stop.

MR. POWELL: I understand. And that's why once we see the accounting and then we'll hopefully go to Judge Becker at that point if needed.

THE COURT: Okay. Because your client 12 got -- the language after the death is very clear of the two settlers how much is going to go here. 14 How much is going to go here. We just have to 15 figure out how much there is now, and that's all 16 I'm giving you time to do and preserve the estate ¹⁷ until then.

MR. KIRSCHNER: And permitting discovery of 120 days. Is that fair, Your Honor?

THE COURT: For what reason?

MR. KIRSCHNER: In the event that we 22 don't get the substantiation from the accounting and we need to issue subpoenas to get the financial 24 documents supporting it. Do we have that ability 25 as part of this order?

case?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

23

24

25

1

2

3

8

9

14

16

17

18

21

25

2 MR. HOLYOAK: I just wanted to have it show that there was a suggestion of death and a substitution of attorneys. 5

THE COURT: Or? He's not substituting in for the mother, are you? You represent --

MR. POWELL: I represent her trust and --MR. KIRSCHNER: Her estate.

MR. POWELL: -- her estate through her right to -- but I don't know if it's clear to Your Honor. She created a trust --

THE COURT: Now, who's going to - you represent Monte Reason.

MR. POWELL: He is trustee of Nancy Christian Trust.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. PAYNE: Another trust. Another 18 trust.

19 MR. POWELL: This trust is the Christian 20 Family Trust. So just so you're clear Nancy created a trust after the Christian Family Trust in 21 22 116.

THE COURT: Do you represent Monte Reason in any --

MR. POWELL: Right. That's trustee of

Page 57

Page 55

THE COURT: The case is going to be settled in 120 days. So I'll give you 90 days to do some discovery. But, you know, this is not going to be an attorneys' fees case. I want to get this case resolved.

They want their money. Your client wants your money. The trust is written clearly enough after the death. There might have been some confusion before, but it's clear afterwards what's going to happen.

So let's get the money determined and then let's get it passed to the proper owners afterwards. And Judge Becker will help you if you need help.

MR. KIRSCHNER: Thank you, Your Honor. MR. HOLYOAK: Can the order include that the substitution of attorney's took place so that our firm is not still showing as representing Nancy Christian?

THE COURT: Who's representing Nancy Christian?

MR. HOLYOAK: Well, our firm still 23 technically.

THE COURT: Your client's deceased, so you can put down -- are you withdrawing from the that trust.

THE COURT: Well, isn't there a conflict? MR. POWELL: Which is why Monte Reason is no longer serving as trustee of the family trust.

THE COURT: Well, isn't there a conflict if you're going to represent him and the mother potential interest in the past?

MR. POWELL: I don't think, Your Honor, because as to the Christian Family Trust, there's two issues. The one is what is clearly articulated in the document. The other question is is during Nancy Christian's lifetime after formation of that trust, what damages occurred.

THE COURT: Well, for just purposes of this order, the substitution, it will reflect the substitution. I'm not clear whether there's is a conflict in my own mind.

MR. POWELL: I can brief that,

19 Your Honor, if need be. 20

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HOLYOAK: There shouldn't be a

22 conflict, though, because --23

THE COURT: I don't want anymore 24 briefing. I want this matter resolved.

MR. POWELL: I hear you.

Page 58

```
MR. HOLYOAK: There shouldn't be a
                                                           and I'll just distribute it to attorneys' fees
                                                          tomorrow. And that will be the end of the trial.
   conflict, though, because at no time did I
                                                        3
   represent Monte and at no time did he represent
                                                                MR. HOLYOAK: To be clear we're out of
   Nancy. And so as of her death, he's only
                                                          the case except for as to our attorneys' fees.
                                                        5
                                                                THE COURT: Okay. Because I'm not going
   representing Monte as her --
        THE COURT: And just for the purpose of
                                                          to permit all these back and forth. Why don't we
   putting it on the record, your client wanted more
                                                           get it resolved and get your client his money and
                                                           put in -- his money is going to go into a trust.
   money.
                                                           right? Isn't that what this trust says?
9
        MR. HOLYOAK: She wanted what she was
   entitled to under the terms of the trust, which
                                                       10
                                                                MR. POWELL: Through the Christian Family
                                                       11
                                                          Trust it stays -- his share stays in trust as its
   included --
11
                                                           written.
12
        THE COURT: She made a request -- she
                                                       12
                                                       13
13 made a request --
                                                                 THE COURT: And who's going to be the
14
        MR. HOLYOAK: Which included living in
                                                           trustee of that trust?
                                                       15
15
   the home that they --
                                                                MR. POWELL: Well, that's the issue, Your
                                                       16
16
         THE COURT: She made a request for more
                                                          Honor, is who is the trustee --
                                                       17
                                                                 MR. PAYNE: Right here. No. it's --
17 money and they rejected it.
                                                       18
         MR. HOLYOAK: -- prevented her from
                                                                 THE COURT: No, it's very clear who the
18
                                                       19
                                                           trustee is.
19
   doing.
                                                       20
20
                                                                MR. PAYNE: It's very clear who the
        THE COURT: How much more money does she
                                                       21
21
   want?
                                                           trustee is.
                                                       22
         MR. HOLYOAK: Well, she passed away, but
                                                                 MR. POWELL: Okay.
22
                                                       23
                                                                 THE COURT: Isn't it?
23
   at the time of her death there was --
                                                       24
                                                                 MR. POWELL: I don't know. If we're
24
         THE COURT: Well, that's what's going to
                                                       25
25 determine the damages if we have damages.
                                                           going to say by that --
                                              Page 59
                                                                                                      Page 61
                                                         1
                                                                 THE COURT: You were trying to school me
         MR. HOLYOAK: There was, I believe,
   almost a hundred thousand dollars that we
                                                           on the trust language --
                                                         3
                                                                 MR. POWELL: Oh, I'm not. No, I'm not
   calculated should have been paid to her through
   attorneys' fees and through medical --
                                                           trying --
                                                                 THE COURT: You forgot this part that
         THE COURT: In that six-month period when
   he was a trustee? Because he became --
                                                           she's supposed to be the trustee of your client's
                                                           new trust after the death of the mother.
         MR. HOLYOAK: No, no, not from when he
                                                         8
   was trustee, from when they took over as trustees
                                                                 MR. POWELL: Okay.
                                                                 MR. PAYNE: That's correct.
                                                         9
   and sequestered the funds while he was trustee. It
                                                        10
                                                                 MR. POWELL: Okay.
   was almost a year and a half period of time where
                                                                 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. You're
                                                        11
   she received nothing.
                                                           going to prepare the order. Run it over here. The
12
         THE COURT: Well, during that period of
                                                           money part is going to be taken care of promptly,
13 time --
         MR. HOLYOAK: And also -- and also wasn't
                                                        14
                                                           correct?
14
                                                        15
                                                                 MR. PAYNE: Yes, Your Honor.
15
   allowed to live in the house --
                                                        16
                                                                 THE COURT: Okay. And you're going to
         THE COURT: During that period of time
16
                                                        17
   they had the discretion to give her nothing.
                                                           get the money.
17
                                                        18
                                                                 MR. KIRSCHNER: And name Jerimy
18
         MR. HOLYOAK: They didn't have the
                                                           Kirschner, J-e-r-i-m-y, last name Kirschner, bar
19 discretion to prevent her from living in the house,
                                                           number 12012.
   though, and that's part of the issue with the
                                                        21
   house. And that's part of the calculation that
                                                                 THE COURT: And you're the attorney for a
                                                        22
   went into those figures.
                                                           new trustee.
                                                        23
                                                                 MR. KIRSCHNER: Yes, Your Honor.
23
          THE COURT: Well, if you guys want to
24 litigate everything you guys have discussed here
                                                        24
                                                                 MR. PAYNE: Your Honor, just
                                                        25 clarification. Am I taking that 5,000 out of the
   today, you might as well just give me all the money
                                                                                                      Page 62
```

```
430 that --
         THE COURT: Yes, you are.
 3
         MR. PAYNE: Okay. All right. Fine.
         THE COURT: Unless you have another place
   to take it from?
 6
         MR. PAYNE: No, no. There's one other
 7
   account as we indicated that manages the California
   property.
         THE COURT: No, no. You're taking it
   from the 400,000.
         MR. PAYNE: Fine. Fine, Your Honor.
11
12
         THE COURT: But I want accounting on both
13
   sides.
14
         MR. PAYNE: We understand.
15
         MR. KIRSCHNER: Thank you, Your Honor.
         MR. HOLYOAK: Thank you, Your Honor.
16
           (Whereupon, the recording ended.)
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                              Page 63
           TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE
   STATE OF NEVADA )
   COUNTY OF CLARK )
         I, Kathy Hoffman, do hereby certify:
 6
         That I listened to the recording of a
   hearing in the above entitled case of January 17,
   2018, at 2:00 p.m.;
         That I thereafter transcribed said
   recording into a typewritten transcript and that
   the typewritten transcript of said proceedings are
   a complete, true, and accurate transcription of
   said recording to the best of my ability to hear
11
   and understand the recording.
         I further certify that I am not a
    relative or employee of counsel involved in said
13
   action, nor a person financially interested in the
    outcome of said action.
14
15
16
               KATHY HOFFMAN, TRANSCRIBER
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
                                               Page 64
```



# CARY COLT PAYNE, CHTD.

Attorney at Law
700 S. Eighth Street • Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
(702) 383-9010 • Fax (702) 383-9049

EXHIBIT PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

# **EXHIBIT "A"**



January 8, 2018



Christian Family Trust 1704 Double Arch Court Las Vegas NV 89128

Re: Voya Insurance and Annuity Company

Contract 90355653

Dear Representative,

We received your claimant statement for this annuity contract. However, our records do not show that you are a beneficiary. In order for us to release the name of the beneficiary(s), we need the executor of the estate of Raymond Christine to submit the estate documents and a written request for beneficiary information, signed by the executor.

Documents can be sent to the address below. If you feel this is an error, the agent listed on the contract may be able to provide you with beneficiary information. Also, you can double check any contract documents or paperwork we may have sent Raymond Christine as part of servicing this contract.

Another option would be to reach out to the executor of the estate for beneficiary information.

If you have any questions, please contact us at the number shown below and a representative will be happy to assist you 8:30 a.m. to 6:30 p.m. Eastern Time Monday through Thursday, and 8:30 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. on Friday.

Customer Service PO Box 1337 Des Moines, IA 50305-1337 (800) 369-5303 voya.com

					18		
REQUEST FOR NON-FINANCIAL:	SERVICE						
Voya Insurance and Annuity Company, Des Moi Voya Retirement Insurance and Annuity Compar ReliaStar Life Insurance Company, Minneapolis, ReliaStar Life Insurance Company of New York, Security Life of Denver Insurance Company, Del (the "Company")  Members of the Voya® family of companies  Fax: 515-698-2034 (Variable Annuity) 515-698  Customer Service: 909 Locust Street, Des Moin Website: Voya.com Phone: 800-366-0066 (Variable Annuity)	ny, Windsor, CT MN Woodbury, NY nver, CO 8-2001 (Fixed Annies, IA 50309-289 liable Annuity) 800	9 )-369 <b>-</b> 5303		uity) A	Annuities	NANCIAL	
The contract owner may use this form to requestindicated. Please remember to read Section 6, or	st action by the Co page 2, and provide	mpany. Che de your sigi	eck the appropriature of aut	opriate bo thorization	oxes and supply the n to make this requ	e information lest effective.	
1. CONTRACT INFORMATION	han Made a di minimateri kutu kutumateri	manga ingging ngama na imban sa sa			in mag despending a process a grant despending	PP	
Owner Name Raymond Christian			Contrac	t # 9035	0355653		
Owner SSN/TIN 417-48-8865	Owner Phone (7	02) 483-58	47	(	Gender male	٠ مُوالْدُونْ مِيْنِيْنِ مُوْلِيْنِ مِيْنِيْنِ وَالْمِيْنِيْنِ مِيْنِيْنِيْنِ مِيْنِيْنِيْنِ مِيْنِ	
Joint Owner Name							
Joint Owner SSN/TIN				(	Gender	già à., à., ''Ser., dà diser : . vic 1700 er ?	
2. ADDRESS CHANGE	en e				management of the same	***********	
Owner Joint Owner Annuitant							
New Address				_			
City					ZIP		
Now Shape							
3. NAME CHANGE (Select one. Not to be u.	وأيت الموادد والمواد والوارين والوارين	or benefici	ary changes	araturude. Ril	سنفاد والمتلالا الانتفاد للسند	Barra	
Owner Joint Owner Annuitant	sed for ownership	Or Dericino	ary arreriges	,			
From	7	Το					
Reason for Change							
Please provide a legal document (such as a multiple of the such as a m	in changes in you	e or divord	e decree) to	p may re	sult in the Comp	any issuing a	
Ownership changes may terminate systema complete a Request for Financial Service form			ontract. To i		systematic withd	awal, please	
New Individual Owner  New Individual Owner who is a current or fo	l Irmer spouse	_	Joint Owner				
New Custodian Owner	e. spouse [				nt will become own	ner.	
New Trust Owner (Certificate of Trust form re	equired.)	$\square$ Other $\pi$	ransfer from	Trust, etc	:/		
Add Joint Owner	<del></del>	1	- 1				
New Owner Name	in tam	<del>' / /</del>	1 rust				
SSN/TIN 417-48-8865	_ Country of Citize	enship			Gender		
Address 1060 Dancing Viv	es Hue	تعير City	<u>s Ven</u>	<u> </u>	StateZ	15 <u> </u>	
Birth Date 10 11 10 Relationship to Some living and death benefit features are not available vinay result in a change or deletion of this feature. See your Changes to artioin custodian require a custodial park office for more information. If changing deater custodian. A change of producer may be necessariadividual owner change.	with joint ownership. If yor prospectus for additioned and algoriture (the require grownership to a new c	nal details. red signer is s custodian, the	pecific to each producer must	e features, a custadion's be offiliated	Custodial Agreement d with the new broker		

Page 1 of 3 - Incomplete without all pages.

Order #129700 06/01/2015 SG

## 5. BENEFICIARY CHANGE

The designation of a new beneficiary revokes and replaces prior beneficiary designations for each beneficiary type. For example, if you change only the primary beneficiary, the contingent beneficiary remains unchanged. A beneficiary change may impact your contractual benefits. Please refer to your prospectus or contract or consult your tax advisor for additional details.

and a second second

- If the annuity is corporately owned, an officer of the corporation must sign the form for the corporation. The officer's title must be included and a copy of the corporate resolution giving the officer authority to sign for the corporation must also be submitted with this form.
- . If the current beneficiary designation is irrevocable, this form must also be signed by any irrevocable beneficiary(ies).
- If a trust is the beneficiary, please list the entire trust name and the trust date as applicable and complete the Certificate of Trust form
- Total percentage of primary beneficiary shares must equal 100%. Total percentage of contingent beneficiary shares must also
  equal 100%. If no percentages are listed, beneficiaries' shares will be distributed equally.
- · If no primary beneficiary is living, unless otherwise stated, proceeds will be paid equally to each living contingent beneficiary.
- For additional beneficiary designations, attach a separate page, signed and dated by the owner(s).
- · If you would like to designate a restricted beneficiary, complete the Restricted Beneficiary form.

Name	Gender	Birth Date/Trust Date	SSN/TIN	% ~ 2> 22	Beneficiary Type:
Rosemacy K. Christian-K. Address Ave, LV, NV 8	eich Sigh	10/31/60 Phone 702 271-3	SW-13-6 Relationship to	Owner  N	Primary  Contingent
Name Raymond T. Christian, dr. Address 329 Little Stream St	Geliger	Billi oate iids oate	: 33M m	1803333 Owner	Beneficiary Type:  Primary  Contingent
Susan G. Christian Payne Addiess 104 Double Arch CT, Lu, NU	Gender :	Birth Date/Trust Date	SSN/11N 546-17-58	% 1 <u>33.34</u>	Beneficiary Type: Primary Contingent
Name			SSN/TIN  Relationship to		Beneficiary Type:
Address		ribile	· versionizab to		: Contingent

## 6. AUTHORIZATION AND SIGNATURES (If there are joint owners, both must sign this form.)

I hereby certify that I, the owner, have an insurable interest in the life of the annultant. As defined in more detail in my prospectus, an insurable interest means I have a lawful and substantial economic interest in the continued life of the annultant. I hereby certify that I have read and understand the terms of this form and that the information provided on this form is true and complete to the best of my knowledge, and I authorize the transactions requested.

## IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT PROCEDURES FOR OWNING A REGISTERED ANNUITY CONTRACT:

To help the government fight the funding of terrorism and money laundering activities, federal law requires all financial institutions to obtain, verify and record information that identifies each person who purchases a registered annuity contract. What this means for you: When a change of ownership is submitted, we will ask for the new owner's name, address, date of birth, Social Security number and other identifying information. We may also request a copy of additional identifying documentation and use the information provided to further verify the new owner's identity through the use of third-party sources.

6. AUTHORIZ	ZATION AND SIGNATURES (continued) (If it		ust sign this form.)	
THE FOLLOW!	NG LANGUAGE IS APPLICABLE TO OWNERSH	IP CHANGES ONLY.		
U.S. TAXPAYE	R CERTIFICATIONS FOR CURRENT OWNER			
Under penaltie 1. 2. 3.	es of perjury, I certify that:  The Taxpayer Identification Number that app I am not subject to back-up withholding due I If I am subject to backup withholding, I ha I am a U.S. person.	to failure to report interest a	nd dividend incor	ne;
If you are a Not	n-Resident Alien, please check the box below.			
☐ Under pena	ities of perjury, I certify that I am a Non-Resident	Allen.		
The amount pareduced rate of	id to you will be subject to 30% tax withholding unl I withholding under the applicable U.S. tax treaty.	ess you submit an IRS Form W-	8 and are entitled	to claim a
U.S. TAXPAYE	R CERTIFICATIONS FOR NEW OWNER			
Under penaltic 1. 2. 3.	es of perjury, I certify that:  The Taxpayer Identification Number that app I am not subject to back-up withholding due I I am subject to backup withholding, I ha I am a U.S. person.	to failure to report interest a	nd dividend inco	me;
If you are a No	n-Resident Allen, please check the box below.			
	itties of perjury, I certify that I am a Non-Resident			
reduced rate of	ld to you will be subject to 30% tax withholding unif withholding under the applicable U.S. tax treaty.			
The internal R (in bold above	Revenue Service does not require your consent e) required to avoid back-up withholding.	to any provision of this door	iment other than	
	Owner Signature South	( Jones	My	
, ,	wner Signature (if applicable) wner Signature (if applicable)		7155	
	Custodian Signature and Title (if applicable)			Date
	ustodian Signature and Title (if applicable)			Date
	able Beneficiary Signature (if applicable)			
A signature g  A valid signature	uarantee or notarized signature is required in the ature of owner or power of attorney is not on file. able Beneficiary signs the form.	ne following Instance:		
(Please print)				
	nd sworn before me on this			
Notary Public	Name	_ ID#	Phone	
	n expires			
Firm Name on	Medallion	_ ID#	Phone	
required. Plea	ary stamp, if state required, and/or medallion signs note that this form may be imaged and your transfer to act as not permitted to act as not act as not permitted to act as	ansaction may be delayed wh	nen the stamp is III	notary seal is not egible on scanned



# CARY COLT PAYNE, CHTD.

Attorney at Law 700 S. Eighth Street • Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 383-9010 • Fax (702) 383-9049

EXHIBIT PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

# **EXHIBIT "B"**



Marj Arena <marja.carycoltpayne@gmail.com>

### Fwd: Christian Family Trust

1 message

Cary Colt Payne, Chtd <arycoltpaynechtd@yahoo.com>
To: Mari Arena <maria.carycoltpayne@gmail.com>

Wed, Apr 4, 2018 at 9:31 AM

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Zachary Holyoak" <zach@anthonybarney.com>

Date: April 3, 2018 at 12:12:39 PM PDT

To: <jerimy@jkirschnerlaw.com>, <joey@rlklegal.com>, <carycoltpaynechtd@yahoo.com>

Cc: <anthony@anthonybarney.com> Subject: Christian Family Trust

All.

Please see the attached affidavit provided to our office just minutes ago by Michael Payne. We plan to provide this document as an exhibit to our soon to be filed motion to Strike the Former Trustee's supplement filed March 30, 2018. This is also provided to inform all parties of what appears to be imminent claims by Miles Payne against the former Trustees and the Trust.

Thanks,

Zachary D. Holyoak, Esq.

ANTHONY L. BARNEY, LTD.

3317 W. Charleston Boulevard, Suite B

Las Vegas, Nevada 89102-1835

Telephone: (702) 438-7878

Facsimile: (702) 259-1116

E-Mail: zach@anthonybarney.com

This e-mail message is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521 and is legally privileged. This message and any files attached hereto are confidential and are for the sole use of the intended recipient. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OF THE MESSAGE, PLEASE NOTIFY THE SENDER IMMEDIATELY BY RETURN E-MAIL OR TELEPHONE (702.438-7878), DELETE THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE INCLUDING ALL ATTACHMENTS, AND DESTROY ALL HARD COPIES. ANY UNAUTHORIZED REVIEW, DISTRIBUTION, DISCLOSURE, COPYING, USE, OR DISSEMINATION, EITHER WHOLE OR IN PART, IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. If you are the intended recipient, please be aware that since e-mails can be altered electronically, the integrity of this communication cannot be guaranteed without using digital signatures or encryption. The attorney-client privilege may apply to this message, but such privilege may be lost if it is shared with someone other than an employee of Anthony L. Barney, Ltd. or of another attorney or law firm who represents you.



# CARY COLT PAYNE, CHTD.

Attorney at Law
700 S. Eighth Street • Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
(702) 383-9010 • Fax (702) 383-9049

EXHIBIT PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

**EXHIBIT "C"** 



### Marj Arena <marja.carycoitpayne@gmail.com>

### Re: Fw: Re: Christian Family Trust

1 message

Jerlmy Kirschner <jerimy@jkirschnerlaw.com>

Thu, Apr 19, 2018 at 10:48 AM

To: Cary Payne <carycoltpaynechtd@yahoo.com>

Cc: Marj Arena <marja.carycoltpayne@gmail.com>, Sarah Mintz <sarah@jkirschnerlaw.com>, Joey Powell <joey@rlklegal.com>

Hello Cary,

It is in our motion for sanctions, but for example: failure to provide an inventory stretching back to October 11, 2016.

Rebuild, i.e. prepare proper inventory and accounting.

There are outstanding demands for an accounting which have not yet been satisfied. It is a duty under the trust, statute, and court order. This is what is being accomplished.

We will have a SAO circulated shortly. Thank you.

On Thu, Apr 19, 2018 at 10:00 AM, Cary Payne <carycoltpaynechtd@yahoo.com> wrote:

Jerimy, please provide a specific (single) instance of what you claim the trustees did or did not do?

Rebuild trust financials-please clarify.

The inability of your response to explain how any of this benefits my client's or the trust speaks volumes.

I have already told your office I would agree to consolidate these hearings.

Sincerely,

Cary Colt Payne, Esq.

CARY COLT PAYNE, CHTD.

700 South Eighth Street

Las Vegas, NV 89101 Tel. (702) 383-9010 Fax: (702) 383-9049

Email: carycoltpaynechtd@yahoo.com

Web: carycoltpaynechtd.com

lasvegasarmstrust.com

Privileged and Confidential This email including attachments is intended for the person(s) or company named and may contain confidential and/or legally privileged Information. Unauthorized disclosure, copying or use of this information may be unlawful and is prohibited. This email and any attachments are believed to be free of any virus or other defect that might affect any computer into which it is received and opened, and it is the responsibility of the recipient to ensure it is virus free, and no responsibility is accepted by Cary Colt Payne, Chtd. for any loss or damage arising in any way from its use. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify the sender at (702) 383-9010 and delete this e-mail message and any attachments from your workstation and/or network mail system.

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Jerimy Kirschner <jerimy@jkirschnerlaw.com>
To: Cary Payne <carycoltpaynechtd@yahoo.com>

Cc: Marj Arena <marja.carycoltpayne@gmail.com>; Sarah Mintz <sarah@jkirschnerlaw.com>; Joey Powell

<joey@rlklegal.com>

Sent: Wednesday, April 18, 2018, 3:44:08 PM PDT

Subject: Re: Christian Family Trust

Hello Cary,

Thank you for the response. To address your comments:

We have made no bones about what is occurring, or what is needed. The Trust has repeatedly and adamantly requested that a proper accounting and inventory be conducted. Nothing more. Requests have been made under the terms of the Trust, by statute, and by court order. Your clients, as former trustees, have repeatedly failed to do so or at best, partially complied. As a direct result, Trustee Utkin has been forced to rebuild Trust financials. This is not the preferred route, and it is costly to do so. She waited until your clients once again failed to provide a proper accounting before she started her investigation in earnest.

Your clients objections to discovery could be easily solved by providing a proper accounting and cooperation with Trustee Utkin. Full stop, and no more attorney fees. No one is hiding the ball here, they have been given explicit directions by the Court, and the requirements are also spelled out by statute. A rope-a-dope, partial compliance which leaves the Trust with blinds spots is not an option. This is not controversial in the least, it is the duty of a trustee to know what a trust has for assets, what it started with and what it is at currently.

Trustee Utkin is here to administer the Trust, however she is being fought on virtually every point by your clients. This has caused a significant increase in tensions and the cost of litigation. That being said, we take your threat to against Trustee Utkin very seriously. Please identify any provision of the Trust or order from the Court that she is violating and we will quickly move to remedy it. To be clear, a nebulous statement of unhappiness is not sufficient. The Trust provides Trustee Utkin substantial discretion to hire professionals and to conduct the business of the Trust, as your clients well know. This is all she has done.

I have repeatedly tried to engage you to provide a legal basis for objecting to the Barney Firm's fees. The fees were approved by Monte Reason as trustee before Jacqueline came on board, which was authorized by 4.3, and 10.1(m). Not only that, but the spendthrift provision of 14.2 specifically excludes creditors of the trustors, i.e. Nancy Christian. The provisions you cited in your opposition brief, and at oral arguments, simply do not apply. The battle you are fighting is not based on solid legal reasoning.

Finally, would you agree to a stipulation moving the hearings from the 14th to the 16th?

On Wed, Apr 18, 2018 at 2:08 PM, Cary Payne <carycoltpaynechtd@yahoo.com> wrote: | Jerimy, I agree.

Can you enlight us on exactly what the hell you're doing? For example what the goal to spending this time and money when my clients object to EVERYTHING YOU HAVE done!

The District Court has already cautioned you about the needless discovery. Let me be clear the beneficiaries (Rose Mary, Susan Payne and Mr. Christian) strongly object to your continuing activities both as attorney for Utkin and the Trust. Discovery is over and you need to cease and desist with this unwanted horrible waste of everyone's time and money. I seriously doubt your client knows about the exposure you have placed her in. The next hearing we will be asking her to post a bond.

Lastly, I'm strongly recommending my clients appeal the Barney order and look for damages against you and your clients for the failure to object to the baseless Barney petition for fees.

Sincerely,