

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

FREDERICK HAROLD HARRIS, JR.,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 69093

FILED


AUG 09 2016

TRACIE K. HANDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY  DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Cause appearing, the motion for an extension of time to file the answering brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Respondent shall have until September 26, 2016, to file and serve the answering brief. Given the length of this initial extension request, no further extensions shall be permitted absent extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the answering brief may result in the imposition of sanctions. See NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

 , A.C.J.

cc: Christopher R. Oram
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney

116-24643