

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

FREDERICK HAROLD HARRIS, JR.,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 69093

FILED


JAN 04 2017

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY  DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Cause appearing, the motion for an extension of time to file the reply brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Appellant shall have until February 6, 2017, to file and serve the reply brief. Given the length of this initial extension request, no further extensions shall be permitted absent extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to file a timely reply brief may be treated as a waiver of the right to file a reply brief. NRAP 28(c).

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

cc: Christopher R. Oram
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney