IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DUSTIN BARRAL,

Appellant,

V.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

Electronically Filed Apr 19 2018 04:41 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

Case No. 74288

RESPONDENT'S APPENDIX

MICHAEL L. BECKER, ESQ. Nevada Bar #008765 MICHAEL V. CASTILLO, ESQ. Nevada Bar #011531 2970 W. Sahara Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 (702) 331-2725 STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 Regional Justice Center 200 Lewis Avenue Post Office Box 552212 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 State of Nevada

ADAM PAUL LAXALT Nevada Attorney General Nevada Bar # 012426 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (775) 684-1265

Counsel for Appellant

Counsel for Respondent

INDEX

<u>Document</u>	Page No.
Amended Information, filed 05/30/13	15-16
Recorder's Transcripts of 05/22/17 (State's Request Entry of Plea), filed 08/02/17	1-14

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify and affirm that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on 19th day of April, 2018. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

ADAM PAUL LAXALT Nevada Attorney General

MICHAEL L. BECKER, ESQ. MICHAEL V. CASTILLO, ESQ. Counsel for Appellant

JONATHAN E. VANBOSKERCK Chief Deputy District Attorney

/s/ J. Garcia
Employee, Clark County
District Attorney's Office

JEV/Madilyn Cole/jg

Electronically Filed 8/2/2017 10:57 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **RTRAN** 2 3 4 5 DISTRICT COURT 6 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 7 STATE OF NEVADA, 8 CASE NO. C269095 Plaintiff, 9 DEPT. VIII 10 VS. 11 DUSTIN JAMES BARRAL, 12 13 Defendant. BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGLAS E. SMITH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 14 MONDAY, MAY 22, 2017 15 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 16 STATE'S REQUEST ENTRY OF PLEA 17 APPEARANCES: 18 19 MICHELLE Y. JOBE, ESQ. For the State: Chief Deputy District Attorney 20 KELSEY EINHORN, ESQ. **Deputy District Attorney** 21 22 For the Defendant: MICHAEL V. CASTILLO, ESQ. 23 24 25 RECORDED BY: GINA VILLANI, COURT RECORDER

-1-

you for agreeing to trail this matter. This matter has been resolved.

25

MR. CASTILLO: Michael Castillo, 11531, for Michael Becker's office. Thank

May I approach your clerk for filing?

MS. JOBE: Good morning, Your Honor. Michelle Jobe, Bar No. 10575, for the State.

MR. CASTILLO: Filing a Plea Agreement pursuant to *Alford* and also Second Amended Information.

THE COURT: Would you recite the negotiations again so that Mr. Barral is familiar?

MR. CASTILLO: Yes, Your Honor.

MS. JOBE: Pursuant to negotiations, Your Honor, the Defendant's going to plead to Count 1, attempt sexual assault with a minor under 14 years of age, a category B felony. He's going to also plead guilty to Count 2, child abuse, neglect, or endangerment, also a category B Felony, pursuant to the Second Amended Information, the to wit on the child abuse describes mental suffering and/or sexual abuse. Defendant's pleading guilty to the *Alford* decision. At sentencing the State will retain the right to argue, including for consecutive time between counts.

THE COURT: Is that your understanding of the negotiation, Mr. Barral?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You accept those negotiations after discussing the matter fully with your attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You do read, write, and understand English?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You read through your Second Amended Information, you discussed it with your attorney, your attorney answered all your questions to your satisfaction, you're satisfied with his services?

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24 25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You want me to accept this deal?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The Second Information says that on or between July 10th, 2010 and July 12th, 2010, Clark County, Nevada, contrary to the form, force and effect of statutes in such cases made and provided, against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada that Count 1, you did then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously attempt to sexually assault and subject, the initials, J.C., a child under 14 years of age, to sexual penetration, to wit: digital penetration, by attempting to insert your fingers into the genital opening or anal opening of the said J.C., against his or her will, or under conditions in which you knew, or should have known, that J.C. was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of the conduct.

To that, through the *Alford* decision, how do you plead?

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty pursuant to the *Alford* decision.

THE COURT: And you've discussed the *Alford* decision with your attorney and you understand what that means?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: We'll go through that a little bit more in a second.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

THE COURT: On Count 2, child abuse, neglect, or endangerment you did willfully, unlawfully, feloniously cause a child under the age of 18 years, to wit: J.C., being approximately 5 years of age, to suffer unjustifiable pain or mental suffering as a result of abuse or neglect, to wit: mental injury of a non-accidental nature, and/or cause J.C. to be placed in a situation where she thought she might have suffered

and/or related equipment or weapons or any interest in electronic storage devices,

25

computers and/or related equipment and/or weapons seized or impounded in connection with this case or any case being negotiated in whole or in part?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do we have an assessment, a risk assessment?

MR. CASTILLO: One that needs to be done for this case, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

You understand and agree that -- when you say that, we have to do a new one?

MS. JOBE: Yes. The State's understanding is a new one has to be done because this is a --

THE COURT: All right.

If you fail to obtain an assessment of risk to reoffend before your scheduled sentencing date, you fail to interview at the Department of Parole and Probation, you fail to appear at any subsequent hearing in this case, or an independent magistrate, by affidavit review, confirms probable cause against you for new criminal charges, the State will have the unqualified right to argue for any legal sentence or term of confinement allowable for the crimes which you're pleading guilty.

Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And you still want me to accept this deal?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: By pleading guilty pursuant to the *Alford* decision, it is your desire to avoid the possibility of being convicted of more offenses or of a greater offense if you were to proceed to trial on the original charges and also receiving a

greater penalty.

Is that right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You understand that your decision to plead guilty by way of the *Alford* decision does not require you to admit guilt but is based upon your belief the State would present sufficient evidence at trial that a jury would return a verdict of guilty of a greater offense or of more offenses then that to which you're pleading guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE DEFENDANT: You understand by -- that by pleading guilty pursuant to the *Alford* decision you admit the State could have proven facts at trial which support all of the elements of the offenses to which you're now pleading guilty pursuant to the *Alford* decision as set forth in Exhibit 1?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And the State will now recite what they would prove at trial.

MS. JOBE: The State would have proven had we gone to trial that on or between July 10th of 2010 and July 12th of 2010 subject minor J.C., who was approximately 4 or 5 years old at the time, was the niece through marriage of the Defendant. That at the time J.C. was and her sibling were staying the night at the Defendant's -- sorry. J.C. was staying the night at the Defendant's residence with the Defendant, his wife at the time, their children, as well as J.C. because J.C.'s mother was at the hospital. Defendant's wife at the time was J.C.'s maternal aunt. That while on one of the nights where J.C. was staying the night with the Defendant, the Defendant went into the room where J.C. was staying, J.C. was staying in a room that also was where the Defendant's child, who's approximately six to eight

17

18

16

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

months old, was also sleeping in a crib at the time. J.C. was staying on the futon in that room as a bed. The Defendant went into that room where J.C. was trying to sleep, that he took his fingers and he placed his fingers inside J.C.'s genital or vaginal opening as well as her anal opening. That J.C. did not report this until she saw her mother the following Tuesday, which was the very first opportunity J.C. had contact with her mother after these events and the only person to whom J.C. felt comfortable initially disclosing. That the criminal investigation was conducted based on this disclosure and J.C.'s mother contacting law enforcement. That during the course of the investigation by law enforcement, I believe it's now Lieutenant Hatchett, he was a detective at the time, conducted a forensic interview with the very young J.C., that during the course of the forensic interview of the very young J.C. she was very descriptive in describing how the Defendant placed his fingers inside her vaginal and anal opening. She used the words dig, digged, and dug repeatedly throughout the course of her interview to describe how the Defendant's fingers were and what they did inside her vaginal opening as well as her anal opening.

That based on this, Your Honor, the State believes it would have proved beyond a reasonable doubt that the Defendant committed these acts that were for sexual purpose and included sexual penetration and were acts that J.C. did not wish to happen, that these acts were done willfully, unlawfully, feloniously and with the intent to commit these specific said acts.

THE COURT: Is that what the State would show if they went to trial?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You want me to accept this deal?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: As to Count 1 you understand that as a consequence of your plea of guilty by way of the *Alford* decision the Court must sentence you to imprisonment in Nevada Department of Corrections from 2 to 20 years, the minimum term of imprisonment may not exceed 40 percent of the maximum term and you may be required -- no, you will be required to pay an administrative assessment fee?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: As to Count 2 you understand that as a consequence of your plea through the *Alford* decision the Court must sentence you to imprisonment in the Nevada Department of Corrections for 1 to 6 years, again, the minimum term can't exceed 40 percent of the maximum term?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You understand if appropriate you'll be ordered to make restitution to the victim of the offense which you're pleading guilty and the victim of any related offense which is being dismissed or not prosecuted pursuant to this agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You understand that before you're eligible for parole a panel consisting of the Administrator of Mental Health and Developmental Services of the Department of Human Resources or their designee; and the Director of the Department of Corrections or their designee; and a psychologist licensed to practice in this state or a psychiatrist licensed to practice medicine in this state certifies that you -- that at under observation while confined in the institution of the Department of Corrections that you do not represent a high risk to reoffend based upon current accepted standards?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You understand that pursuant to NRS 176.0931, the Court must include as part of your sentence, in addition to any other penalties provided by law, a special sentence of lifetime supervision commencing after any period of probation or term of imprisonment and period of release from parole?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You understand the Court will include as part of their sentence, in addition to any other penalties provided by law, pursuant to NRS 179D.441 to 179D.550, inclusive, you must register as a sex offender within 48 hours of release from custody on parole?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: As to Count 2, you understand you're not eligible for probation pursuant to NRS 176A.110 unless the psychologist, the three people that we just addressed in Count 1, evaluate that you're not a high risk to reoffend?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: If you haven't, you must submit to a blood and/or saliva test under the direction of P&P to determine genetic marker or secretor status.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You understand that the sentence which may be imposed by the Court you are eligible to serve those sentences concurrently, the sentencing judge has discretion to order them concurrent or consecutive?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You understand that information regarding charges not filed, dismissed charges, or charges to be dismissed pursuant to this agreement may be considered by the Judge at sentencing?

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Except for what we've talked about here in Court, no one's promised you a sentence, have they?

THE DEFENDANT: No, they have not.

THE COURT: Are you a citizen of the United States?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.

THE COURT: Now P&P is going to prepare a report for the sentencing judge prior to sentencing, the report will include matters that are hearsay and -- about your criminal history and your background. If there are mistakes, you and your attorney can clarify those mistakes, but in essence, the Court relies on hearsay information.

Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: By enter of plea of guilty you understand you're waiving or forever giving up the following rights, the constitutional privilege against self-incrimination, including the right to refuse to testify at trial?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You're giving up the right to a speedy and a public trial by an impartial jury free of excessive pretrial publicity prejudicial to the defense?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You're giving up the right to confront and cross-examine witnesses who would testify against you, the right to subpoena witnesses to testify on your behalf, the right to testify in your own defense, and the right to appeal your conviction with the assistance of an attorney either appointed or retained.

Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

1	THE COURT: And you still want me to accept this deal?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: You've discussed the elements of the original charges against
4	you with your attorney and you understand what's going on today?
5	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
6	THE COURT: The State would have to prove each element of the charge
7	against you beyond a reasonable doubt to convict you at trial.
8	You understand?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
10	THE COURT: You've discussed with your attorney any possible defenses,
11	defense strategies, and circumstances which might be in your favor?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
13	THE COURT: All the foregoing elements, consequences, rights, and waiver
14	of rights have been thoroughly explained to you by your attorney?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
16	THE COURT: You believe pleading guilty pursuant to the Alford decision and
17	accepting this plea bargain is in your best interest and that a trial would be contrary
18	to your best interest?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: And you still want me to accept it?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
22	THE COURT: Then you signed the Guilty Plea Agreement voluntarily after
23	consultation with your attorney, you weren't acting under duress or coercion by
24	virtues of promises of leniency expect for those set forth in this agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

25

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: You're not under the influence of anything right now that would impair your ability to understand what's going on today?

THE DEFENDANT: No, I am not under any influence.

THE COURT: And, again, your attorney has answered all your questions regarding this Guilty Plea Agreement and its consequences to your satisfaction, you're satisfied with his services?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You still want me to accept the deal?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

MS. JOBE: Your Honor, if I may? You did inquire about lifetime supervision generally, I would specifically ask the Court to ask the Defendant if he went over what lifetime supervision requires with his attorney and that he's aware that there may be additional criminal penalties or consequences if he fails to comply with lifetime supervision.

THE COURT: You understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I've read the statutes and gone over them with my attorney so I know exactly what it's -- partakes of.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. CASTILLO: That's a correct statement, Your Honor.

THE CLERK: Do you want 90 days for the --

MR. CASTILLO: Based on other cases, it might take a little longer for the evaluation so --

MS. JOBE: And I interrupted you, Your Honor. Did you find he gave his --

THE COURT: It was freely and voluntarily given.

MR. CASTILLO: I would ask for a date approximately four months out, if the

1	Court would so allow.
2	THE COURT: All right.
3	THE CLERK: That would be September 18 th .
4	MR. CASTILLO: September 18 th at 8 o'clock, Madam Clerk?
5	THE CLERK: Yes.
6	MR. CASTILLO: Thank you very much.
7	[Proceeding concluded at 9:25 a.m.]
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/visual recording in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.
23	Hima Villoni
24	Gina Villani
25	Court Recorder

	ORIGINAL FILED IN OPEN COURT		
1	AINFO STEVEN B. WOLFSON	STEVEN D. GRIERSON CLERK OF THE COURT	
2	Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565	, I MAY 3 0 2013 A	
3	MICHELLE Y. EDWARDS		
4	Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #0010575 200 Lewis Avenue	KATHERINE STREUBER, DEPUTY	
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500		
6	Attorney for Plaintiff		
7 8	DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)		
10	Plaintiff,	Case No: C269095	
11	-vs-	Dept No: VIII	
12	DUSTIN JAMES BARRAL,	AMENDED	
13	#2755494 }	INFORMATION	
14	Defendant.		
15	STATE OF NEVADA)		
16	COUNTY OF CLARK) ss.		
17	STEVEN WOLFSON, District Attorney within and for the County of Clark, State of		
18	Nevada, in the name and by the authority of the	State of Nevada, informs the Court:	
19	That DUSTIN JAMES BARRAL, the D	Defendant(s) above named, having committed	
20	the crime of SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A N	MINOR UNDER FOURTEEN YEARS OF	
21	AGE (Felony - NRS 200.364, 200.366), on or	between the 10th day of July, 2010, and the	
22	12th day of July, 2010, within the County of (Clark, State of Nevada, contrary to the form,	
23	force and effect of statutes in such cases made	de and provided, and against the peace and	
24	dignity of the State of Nevada,		
25	//		
26	//		
27	. //		
28	//		
		P:\WPDOCS\INF\014\01493602.DOC	

RA 000015

COUNT 1

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject JOCELYN COLEMAN, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: digital penetration, by inserting his finger(s) into the genital opening of the said JOCELYN COLEMAN, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said JOCELYN COLEMAN was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

COUNT 2

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject JOCELYN COLEMAN, a female child under fourteen years of age, to sexual penetration, to-wit: digital penetration, by inserting his finger(s) into the anal opening of the said JOCELYN COLEMAN, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that the said JOCELYN COLEMAN was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct.

STEVEN WOLFSON DISTRICT ATTORNEY Nevada Bar #001565

MICHELLE Y. EDWARDS

Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #0010575

28 LV