IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ALFRED P. CENTOFANTI, III, Appellant,

vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 78193

FILED

OCT 1 4 2020

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY DEPUTY CLERK ()

ORDER REGARDING MOTIONS

Appellant filed a motion for leave to file a petition for en banc reconsideration and other relief. This court construed the motion as a motion for an extension of time to file a petition for review and granted the motion on September 10, 2020, giving appellant until September 30, 2020, to file a petition for review. Appellant filed a petition for review on September 11, 2020. He also filed a "Motion for Leave to Amend Petition for Rehearing and Other Relief" and a "Notice of Errata and Nunc Pro Tunc," in which he asks for leave to amend the petition for review, that filing fees be waived, and this court order he be granted physical access to the prison law library. The motion is granted to the following extent. Appellant shall have 14 days from the date of this order to file an amended petition for review. Appellant may not add to the record by attaching additional document or exhibits. See NRAP 30(i). The record on appeal has been filed in this appeal. This court takes no action regarding appellant's additional requests. The filing fees have been waived, and appellant's motion does not provide this court a basis to alter the lockdown restrictions at the prison.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

This court takes no action regarding appellant's renewed motion for an order to show cause. The court of appeals denied the motion, and appellant failed to demonstrate that reconsideration is warranted. See, e.g. McConnell v. State, 121 Nev. 25, 26, 107 P.3d 1287, 1288 (2005).

It is so ORDERED.

Pickering, C.J.

cc: Alfred P. Centofanti, III
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney