	Case No	
IN THE SU	UPREME COURT OF NEVADA	A
HARVES	ST MANAGEMENT SUB LLC, Petitioner,	
	VS.	
EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT CO COUNTY OF CLARK, THE HO		LL, DISTRICT COURT
	- and -	
AARON M.	MORGAN and DAVID E. LUJA Real Parties	
District Court Ca	se No. A-15-718679-C, Departm	nent VII
APPENDIX TO PETITION	ON FOR EXTRAORDINARY VOLUME 1 OF 14	WRIT RELIEF
	DENNIS L. KENNEDY, Neva SARAH E. HARMON, Nevad ANDREA M. CHAMPION, Ne BAILEY & KENNEDY	la Bar No. 8106

8984 Spanish Ridge Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89148-1302 Telephone: 702.562.8820

Facsimile: 702.562.8821

DKennedy@BaileyKennedy.com SHarmon@BaileyKennedy.com AChampion@BaileyKennedy.com

Attorneys for Petitioner HARVEST MANAGEMENT SUB LLC

April 18, 2019

APPENDIX TO PETITION FOR EXTRAORDINARY WRIT RELIEF VOLUME 1 OF 14

TABLE OF CONTENTS

No.	Document Title	Page Nos.
1	Complaint (May 20, 2015)	1-6
2	Defendants' Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint (June 16,	7-13
	2015)	
3	Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories to Defendant	14-22
	Harvest Management Sub LLC (April 14, 2016)	
4	Defendant, Harvest Management Sub, LLC's	23-30
	Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories	
	(October 12, 2016)	
5	Minute Order (April 24, 2017)	31

APPENDIX TO PETITION FOR EXTRAORDINARY WRIT RELIEF

INDEX

Document Title	Volume No.	Tab No.	Page Nos.
Complaint (May 20, 2015)	1	1	1-6
Decision and Order (April 5, 2019)	14	39	2447-2454
Defendant Harvest Management Sub	12	24	2091-2119
LLC's Motion for Entry of Judgment			
(December 21, 2018)			
Defendant Harvest Management Sub	13	32	2369-2373
LLC's Notice of Objection and			
Reservation of Rights to Order Regarding			
Plaintiff's Counter-Motion to Transfer			
Case Back to Chief Judge Bell for			
Resolution of Post-Verdict Issues			
(February 7, 2019)			
Defendant Harvest Management Sub	11	19	1911-1937
LLC's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for			
Entry of Judgment (August 16, 2018)			
Defendant, Harvest Management Sub,	1	4	23-30
LLC's Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of			
Interrogatories (October 12, 2016)			
Defendants' Answer to Plaintiff's	1	2	7-13
Complaint (June 16, 2015)			
Docket Report for Department	10	17	1846-1852
Reassignment (July 2, 2018)			
Docketing Statement Civil Appeals	13	30	2312-2358
(January 31, 2019)			
Jury Instructions (April 9, 2018)	10	15	1804-1843
Minute Order (April 24, 2017)	1	5	31
Minute Order (March 14, 2019)	14	37	2441-2443
Notice of Appeal (December 18, 2018)	12	23	2012-2090
Notice of Entry of Judgment (January 2,	12	25	2120-2129
2019)			
Notice of Entry of Order on Plaintiff's	11	22	2005-2011
Motion for Entry of Judgment (November			
28, 2018)			
Notice of Entry of Order Regarding	13	31	2359-2368

Plaintiff's Counter-Motion to Transfer Case Back to Chief Judge Bell for			
Resolution of Post-Verdict Issues (February 7, 2019)			
Opposition to Defendant Harvest	12	26	2130-2171
Management Sub LLC's Motion for Entry			
of Judgment and Counter-Motion to			
Transfer Case Back to Chief Judge Bell			
for Resolution of Post-Verdict Issues			
Order Denying Motion to Dismiss (March	14	36	2438-2440
7, 2019)			
Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories to	1	3	14-22
Defendant Harvest Management Sub LLC			
(April 14, 2016)			
Plaintiff's Motion for Entry of Judgment	11	18	1853-1910
(July 30, 2018)			
Plaintiff's Reply in Support of Motion for	11	20	1938-1992
Entry of Judgment (September 7, 2018)			
Recorder's Transcript of Defendant	14	35	2420-2437
Harvest Management Sub LLC's Motion			
for Entry of Judgment (March 5, 2019)			
Reply in Support of Defendant Harvest	13	28	2285-2308
Management Sub LLC's Motion for Entry			
of Judgment; and Opposition to Plaintiff's			
Counter-Motion to Transfer Case Back to			
Chief Judge Bell for Resolution of Post-			
Verdict Issues (January 23, 2019)	12	27	2172 2204
Respondent Harvest Management Sub	13	27	2172-2284
LLC's Motion to Dismiss Appeal as			
Premature (January 23, 2019)	13	33	2374-2380
Respondent Harvest Management Sub LLC's Response to Docketing Statement	13	33	23/4-2380
(February 11, 2019)			
Settlement Program Early Case	13	29	2309-2311
Assessment Report (January 24, 2019)	13	29	2307-2311
Settlement Program Status Report (April	14	38	2444-2446
1, 2019)	17	30	21112770
Special Verdict (April 9, 2018)	10	16	1844-1845

Supplement to Harvest Management Sub	14	34	2381-2419
LLC's Motion for Entry of Judgment			
(March 5, 2019)	11	21	1002 2004
Transcript of Hearing on Plaintiff's	11	21	1993-2004
Motion for Entry of Judgment (November 6, 2018)			
Transcript of Jury Trial (November 6,	2	6A	32-271
2017) - Part 1	2	071	32-271
Transcript of Jury Trial (November 6,	3	6B	272-365
2017) - Part 2		02	
Transcript of Jury Trial (November 7,	3	7	366-491
2017)			
Transcript of Jury Trial (November 8,	4	8	492-660
2017)			
Transcript of Jury Trial (April 2, 2018) -	4	9A	661-729
Part 1			
Transcript of Jury Trial (April 2, 2018) -	5	9B	730-936
Part 2			
Transcript of Jury Trial (April 3, 2018)	6	10	937-1092
Transcript of Jury Trial (April 4, 2018)	7	11	1093-1246
Transcript of Jury Trial (April 5, 2018)	8	12	1247-1426
Transcript of Jury Trial (April 6, 2018)	9	13	1427-1635
Transcript of Jury Trial (April 9, 2018)	10	14	1636-1803

TAB 1

TAB 1

DISTRICT COURT CIVIL COVER SHEET

A-15-718679-C

County, Nevada

VII

Case No.

(Assigned by Clork's Office)

I. Party Information (provide both h	ome and mailing addresses if different)	27
Plaintiff(s) (name/address/phone);		Defendant(s) (name/address/phone):
Aaron M. N	lorgan	David E. Lujan; Harvest Management Sub LLC.
Attorney (name/address/phone):		Attorney (name/address/phone);
Adam W. W	/illiams	
Richard Harris	Law Frim	
801 S. 4th	Street	
Las Vegas, Nev	rada 89101	
II. Nature of Controversy (please	select the one most applicable filing type	: below)
Civil Case Filing Types		
Real Property		Torts
Landlord/Tenant	Negligence	Other Torts
Unlawful Detainer	Auto	Product Liability
Other Landlord/Tenant	Premises Liability	Intentional Misconduct
Title to Property	Other Negligence	Employment Tort
Indicial Foreclosure	Malpractice	Insurance Tort
Other Title to Property	Medical/Dental	Other Tost
Other Real Property	Legal	
Condemnation/Eminent Domain	Accounting	
Other Real Property	Other Malpractice	
Probate	Construction Defect & Cont	ruct Judicial Review/Appeal
Probate (select case type and estate value)	Construction Defect	Judicial Review
Summary Administration	Chapter 40	Foreclosure Mediation Case
General Administration	Other Construction Defect	Petition to Seal Records
Special Administration	Contract Case	Mental Competency
Set Aside	Uniform Commercial Code	Nevada State Agency Appeal
Trust/Conservatorship	Building and Construction	Department of Motor Vehicle
Other Probate	Insurance Carner	Worker's Compensation
Estate Value	Commercial Instrument	Other Nevada State Agency
Over \$200,000	Collection of Accounts	Appeal Other
Between \$100,000 and \$200,000	Employment Contract	Appeal from Lower Court
Under \$100,000 or Unknown	Other Contract	Other Judicial Review/Appeal
Under \$2.500		lund " West of the second seco
Civ	il Writ	Other Civil Filing
Civil Writ		Other Civil Filing
Writ of Habeas Corpus	Writ of Prohibition	Compromise of Minor's Claim
Writ of Mandamus	Other Civil Writ	Foreign Judgment
Writ of Quo Warrant	_	Other Civil Matters
Business (Tourt filings should be filed using th	e Business Court civil coversheet.
5/20/15	***************************************	
	·······	Circumstance of Carifolic Construction and the second of the construction of the const
Date		Signature of initiating party or representative

See other side for family-related case filings.

then & Lower

CLERK OF THE COURT

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

VS.

COMP 1 ADAM W. WILLIAMS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 13617 RICHARD HARRIS LAW FIRM 3 801 South Fourth St. Las Vegas, NV 89101 Tel. (702) 444-4444 Fax (702) 444-4455 Email Adam. Williams@richardharrislaw.com 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff 8 **DISTRICT COURT** 9 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 10 AARON M. MORGAN, individually 11

CASE NO.: A-15-718679-C

DEPT. NO.: VII

COMPLAINT

DAVID E. LUJAN, individually; HARVEST MANAGEMENT SUB LLC; a Foreign Limited-Liability Company; DOES 1 through 20; ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES 1 through 20, inclusive jointly and severally,

Defendants.

Plaintiff,

COMES NOW, Plaintiff AARON M. MORGAN, individually, by and through his attorney of record ADAM W. WILLIAMS, ESQ. of the RICHARD HARRIS LAW FIRM, and complains and alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION

- 1. That at all times relevant herein, Plaintiff AARON M. MORGAN (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff") is, a resident of Clark County, Nevada.
- 2. That at all times relevant herein, Defendant, DAVID E. LUJAN was, and is, a resident of Clark County, Nevada.

- 3. That at all times relevant herein, Defendant, HARVEST MANAGEMENT SUB LLC, was, and is, a foreign limited-liability Company licensed and actively conducting business in Clark County, Nevada
- 4. All the facts and circumstances that gave rise to the subject lawsuit occurred in Clark County, Nevada.
- 5. The identities of Defendant DOES 1 through 20, and ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES 1 through 20, are unknown at this time and are individuals, corporations, associations, partnerships, subsidiaries, holding companies, owners, predecessor or successor entities, joint venturers, parent corporations or related business entities of Defendants, inclusive, who were acting on behalf of or in concert with, or at the direction of Defendants and are responsible for the injurious activities of the other Defendants.
- 6. Plaintiff alleges that each named and Doe and Roe Defendant negligently, willfully, intentionally, recklessly, vicariously, or otherwise, caused, directed, allowed or set in motion the injurious events set forth herein.
- 7. Each named and Doe and Roe Defendant is legally responsible for the events and happenings stated in this Complaint, and thus proximately caused injury and damages to Plaintiff.
- 8. Plaintiff requests leave of the Court to amend this Complaint to specify the Doe and Roe Defendants when their identities become known.
- 9. On or about April 1, 2014, Defendants, were the owners, employers, family members and/or operators of a motor vehicle, while in the course and scope of employment and/or family purpose and/or other purpose, which was entrusted and/or driven in such a negligent and careless manner so as to cause a collision with the vehicle occupied by Plaintiff.

///

///

28 ///

RICHARD HARRIS

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION Negligence Against Employee Defendant, DAVID E. LUJAN

- 10. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 9 of the Complaint as though said paragraphs were fully set forth herein.
- 11. Defendant DAVID E. LUJAN owed Plaintiff a duty of care. Defendant DAVID E. LUJAN breached that duty of care.
- 12. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendant, Plaintiff was seriously injured and caused to suffer great pain of body and mind, some of which conditions are permanent and disabling all to her general damage in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION Negligence Per Se Against Employee Defendant, DAVID E. LUJAN

- 13. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 12 of the Complaint as though said paragraphs were fully set forth herein.
- 14. The acts of Defendant DAVID E. LUJAN as described herein violated the traffic laws of the State of Nevada and Clark County, constituting negligence per se, and Plaintiff has been damaged as a direct and proximate result thereof in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION Vicarious Liability/Respondent Superior Against Defendant HARVEST MANAGEMENT SUB LLC.

- 15. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 14 of the Complaint as though said paragraphs were fully set forth herein.
- 16. Plaintiff is informed and believes that DAVID E. LUJAN was employed as a driver for Defendant HARVEST MANAGEMENT SUB LLC.
- 17. At all times mentioned herein, Defendant HARVEST MANAGEMENT SUB LLC. was the owner of, or had custody and control of, the Vehicle.
- 18. That Defendant HARVEST MANAGEMENT SUB LLC. did entrust the Vehicle to the control of Defendant DAVID E. LUJAN.

3		
*** ***		
٠. در		

]

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

1)

12

13

4

15

16

17

18

19

20

2 }

22

23

54

25

26

27

28

- 19. That Defendant DAVID E. LUJAN was incompetent, inexperienced, or reckless in the operation of the Vehicle.
- 20. That Defendant HARVEST MANAGEMENT SUB LLC, actually knew, or by the exercise of reasonable care should have known, that Defendant DAVID E. LUJAN was incompetent, inexperienced, or reckless in the operation of motor vehicles.
- 21. That Plaintiff was injured as a proximate consequence of the negligence and incompetence of Defendant DAVID E. LUJAN, concurring with the negligent entrustment of the Vehicle by Defendant HARVEST MANAGEMENT SUB LLC..
- 22. That as a direct and proximate cause of the negligent entrustment of the Vehicle by Defendant HARVEST MANAGEMENT SUB LLC. to Defendant DAVID E. LUJAN, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment against Defendants as follows:

- 1. General damages in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00;
- 2. Special damages for medical and incidental expenses incurred and to be incurred;
- 3. Special damages for lost earnings and earning capacity;
- 4. Attorney's fees and costs off suit incurred herein; and
- 5. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DATED this 20 day of May, 2015.

RICHARD HARRIS LAW FIRM

ADAM W. WILLIAMS, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 13617

801 S. Fourth Street

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorneys for Plaintiff

	<u> </u>	
}	IAFD	
2	ADAM W. WILLIAMS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 13617	
3	RICHARD HARRIS LAW FIRM	
5	Las Vegas, NV 89101	
6	Tel. (702) 444-4444	
7	Fax (702) 444-4455 Email <u>Adam. Williams@richardharristaw.com</u>	
S	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
9	DISTRICT C	COURT
, and the second	CLARK COUNTY	Y, NEVADA
10.	AARON M. MORGAN, individually	
11		CASE NO.:
12	Plaintiff, vs.	DEPT. NO.:
13		
14	DAVID E. LUJAN, individually; HARVEST MANAGEMENT SUB LLC; a Foreign Limited-	INITIAL APPEARANCE FEE DISCLOSURE
15	Liability Company; DOES 1 through 20; ROE	
1.6	BUSINESS ENTITIES 1 through 20, inclusive jointly and severally,	
17	Defendants.	
18	Descritation.	
19	Decomposition NIDS (Change on 10)	
20	Pursuant to NRS Chapter 19, as amended by	Senate Bill 100, ning fees are submitted to
21	parties appearing in the above entitled action as indi	cated below:
22	AARON M. MORGAN	\$270.00
23	TOTAL REMITTED:	\$270.00
24	DATED this <u>20</u> day of May, 2015.	RICHARD HARRIS LAW FIRM
25		
26		
27		ADĂM W. WILLIAMS Nevada Bar No. 13617
28		801 S. Fourth Street
		Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorneys for Plaintiff
	1	en e

TAB 2

TAB 2

				Alun D. Colim
1	ANS DOUGLAS J. GARDNER, ESQ.			CLERK OF THE COURT
2	Nevada Bar No. 4609 RANDS, SOUTH & GARDNER			SELIKI SI TILE SOSIKI
3	1055 Whitney Ranch Drive, Suite 220			
4	Henderson, Nevada 89014 (702) 940-2222			
5	(702) 940-2220 - Facsimile dgardner@rsglawfirm.com			
6	Attorneys for Defendants			
7	DAVID E. LUJAN; HARVEST MANAGEMENT SUB, LLC			
8				
9		STRIC	T COURT	
10	BEER A 87%	Z <i>6</i> ~888111	NTY, NEVADA	
11	W. S. J. C. D. E. B. S.	8 8 8 8 8 8 1 L	**************************************	X
12	AARON M. MORGAN, individually)		
13	Plaintiff,	Ì	CASE NO.:	A-15-718679-C
14	VS.	(DEPT. NO.:	VII
15	DAVID E. LUJAN, individually; HARVEST MANAGEMENT SUB LLC;	\		
16	a Foreign Limited-Liability Company; DOES 1 through 20; ROE BUSINESS)		
17	ENTITIES 1 through 20, inclusive jointly	Ì		
18	and severally, Defendants.	\		
19	LICICIRALIS.			
20	DEFENDANTS' ANSV	VER T()PLAINTIFF'	SCOMPLAINT
21	COME NOW, Defendants, DAVID	E. LU	JAN and HARV	EST MANAGEMENT SUB LLC
23	by and through their attorneys of record,	the Lav	Offices of RA	NDS, SOUTH & GARDNER, and
24	answer Plaintiff's Complaint on file hereir	a as foll	ows:	
25				
26				
27				
28				
	ALTERNATION OF THE PROPERTY OF			

JURISDICTION

- 1. Answering Paragraphs 1, 5, and 8 of Plaintiff's Complaint, these answering Defendants are without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and for that reason, deny them.
- 2. Answering Paragraphs 2, 3, and 4 of Plaintiff's Complaint, these answering Defendants admit each and every allegation contained therein.
- 3. Answering Paragraphs 6, 7, and 9 of Plaintiff's Complaint, these answering Defendants deny each and every allegation therein.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION Negligence Against Employee Defendant, DAVID E. LUJAN

- 4. Answering Paragraph 10 of the First Cause of Action of Plaintiff's Complaint, these answering Defendants repeat and incorporate each and every response to Paragraphs 1 through 9 as though fully set forth therein.
- 5. Answering Paragraphs 11 and 12 of Plaintiff's Complaint, these answering Defendants deny each and every allegation therein.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION Negligence Per Se Against Employee Defendant, DAVID E. LUJAN

- 6. Answering Paragraph 13 of the Second Cause of Action of Plaintiff's Complaint, these answering Defendants repeat and incorporate each and every response to Paragraphs 1 through 12 as though fully set forth therein.
- 7. Answering Paragraph 14 of Plaintiff's Complaint, these answering Defendants deny each and every allegation therein.

///

///

Ą

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION Vicarious Liability/Respondent Superior Against Defendant HARVEST MANAGEMENT SUB LLC.

- 8. Answering Paragraph 15 of the Third Cause of Action of Plaintiff's Complaint, these answering Defendants repeat and incorporate each and every response to Paragraphs 1 through 14 as though fully set forth therein.
- 7. Answering Paragraphs 16, 17 and 18 of Plaintiff's Complaint, these answering Defendants admit each and every allegation contained therein.
- 8. Answering Paragraphs 19, 20, 21 and 22 of Plaintiff's Complaint, these answering Defendants deny each and every allegation therein.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's Complaint fails to state a claim against Defendants upon which relief may be granted.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The negligence of Plaintiff caused or contributed to any injuries or damages that Plaintiff may have sustained; and the negligence of Plaintiff in comparison with the alleged negligence of Defendants, if any, requires that the damages of Plaintiff be denied or be diminished in proportion to the amount of the negligence attributable to the Plaintiff.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff had knowledge of and was fully aware of the condition existing at the time of the incident and assumed any risks incident thereto by voluntarily encountering said conditions. The injuries alleged by Plaintiff were caused by and arose out of such risks. Plaintiff appreciated and knew of the possibility of injury at the time.

///

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The damages and injuries sustained by the Plaintiff, if any, as alleged in the Complaint, were caused in whole or in part, or were contributed to by reason of Plaintiff's violation of the Nevada Revised Statutes and the provision of applicable codes and ordinances concerning the operation of a motor vehicle.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

That the Plaintiff has failed to mitigate his damages.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

That the occurrence during which Plaintiff received said injuries, if any, as alleged in the Complaint, was the result of an unavoidable accident and occurred without the fault of either the Plaintiff or Defendants.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

That the injuries sustained by the Plaintiff, if any, were caused by acts of unknown third persons who are not agents, servants or employees of these answering Defendants and who were not acting on behalf of these answering Defendants in any manner or form and, as such, these Defendants are not liable in any manner to the Plaintiff.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

That the damages and injuries sustained by Plaintiff, as alleged in the Complaint herein, if any, were the result of an unavoidable accident.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed based upon the doctrines of laches, estopped and waiver.

A

1-1

///

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

That the injuries sustained by the Plaintiff, if any, were caused by acts of unknown third persons who were not agents, servants or employees of these answering Defendants and who were not acting on behalf of these answering Defendants in any manner or form and over whom these answering Defendants have exercised no control and over whom these answering Defendants have no right or duty to control, nor have ever had a right or duty to exercise control. As such, Defendants are not liable in any manner to the Plaintiff.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

That Defendants have been caused to employ counsel to defend this action and are entitled to a reasonable attorney's fee therefor.

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Pursuant to N.R.C.P. 11, as amended, all possible affirmative defenses may not have been alleged therein insofar as sufficient facts were not available after reasonable inquiry upon the filing of Plaintiff's Complaint and these answering Defendants reserve the right to amend this answer to allege additional affirmative defenses, if subsequent investigation so warrants.

THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Some or all of the affirmative defenses above pled may have been pled for purposes of nonwaiver pending discovery.

WHEREFORE, Defendants pray for judgment as follows:

- 1. That Plaintiff take nothing by reason of his Complaint on file herein;
- 2. That Defendants be awarded reasonable attorney's fees and costs for the defense of this matter; and

3. For such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.

DATED this _____ day of June, 2015.

RANDS, SOUTH & GARDNER

Ву

DOUGLAS J. GARDNER, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 4609
1055 Whitney Ranch Drive, Suite 220
Henderson, Nevada 89014
Attorneys for Defendants,
DAVID E. LUJAN; HARVEST
MANAGEMENT SUB, LLC

б

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the _____ day of June, 2015, I served a correct copy of the foregoing DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT via electronic means in the Eighth Judicial District Court pursuant to Administrative Order 14-2.

Authorized by & ANDS, SOUTH & GARDNER

TAB 3

TAB 3

1	INTG	
2	BRYAN A. BOYACK, ESQ.	
2	Nevada Bar No. 9980	
3	RICHARD HARRIS LAW FIRM	
_	801 South Fourth St.	
5	Las Vegas, NV 89101	
6	Tel. (702) 444-4444	
	Fax (702) 444-4455	
7	Email Bryan@richardharrislaw.com	
8	Attorney for Plaintiff	
9	DISTRICT CO	URT
10	CLARK COUNTY, I	NEVADA
11	AARON M. MORGAN, individually	1
	I MICON WI. WOROAN, Illulviquatiy	CASE NO.: A-15-718679-C
12	Plaintiff,	DEPT. NO.: VII
13	vs.	
14	DAVID E. LUJAN, individually; HARVEST	DI AINTURESO EIDOT OET OE
1.5	MANAGEMENT SUB LLC; a Foreign Limited-	PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO
15	Liability Company; DOES 1 through 20; ROE	DEFENDANT HARVEST
16	BUSINESS ENTITIES 1 through 20, inclusive	MANAGEMENT SUB LLC
	jointly and severally,	1.1111111111111111111111111111111111111
17		
18	Defendants.	
19		
20	TO: HARVEST MANAGEMENT SUB LLC, De	efendant:
20	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
21	TO: DOUGLAS J. GARDNER, ESQ., of the	law office of RANDS, SOUTH &
22	GARDNER, Attorney for Defendant.	
~~		
23	COMES NOW, the Plaintiff, AARON M. M	ORGAN, under the authority of Rule 33 of
24	the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure, by and through	h his attorney, BRYAN A. BOYACK,
25		
	ESQ., of the RICHARD HARRIS LAW FIRM, and	hereby requests that Defendant, HARVEST
26	MANIACEMENT SUDIIC	Arradon outh mithing this (200) 1 C
27	MANAGEMENT SUB LLC., answer, in writing and	under oath, within thirty (30) days of
, e	receipt hereof, the Interrogatories, hereinafter, set fo	rth.

NOTE: When used in these interrogatories, the term "Defendant", its plural or any synonym thereof, is intended to and shall embrace and include in addition to the named party or parties, counsel for said party, and all agents, servants, employees, representatives, investigators, and others who are in possession of or may have obtained information for or on behalf of the named party or parties Defendant. As to each person named in response to each question herein, state the person's full name, last known residence address and telephone number, his last known business address and telephone number, and his job title, capacity or position at such last known employment.

These interrogatories shall be deemed continuing, and as additional information concerning the answers is secured, such additional information shall be supplied to Plaintiff.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT AND DEFINITIONS

The following Preliminary Statement and Definitions apply to each of the Interrogatories set forth hereinafter and are deemed to be incorporated therein:

- 1. The singular number and the masculine gender, as used herein, also mean the plural, feminine or neuter, as may be appropriate.
- 2. These interrogatories call for all information (including information contained in writing) as is known or reasonably available to Defendant, Defendant's attorneys or any investigators or representatives or others acting on Defendant's behalf or under Defendant's direction or control, not merely such information as is known of Defendant's own personal knowledge.
- 3. If you cannot answer any of these Interrogatories in full after exercising due diligence to secure the information to do so, so state and answer the Interrogatory to the extent possible, specifying your inability to answer the remainder, the reasons therefor, the steps

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

taken to secure the answers to the unanswered portions, and stating whatever information or knowledge you have concerning the unanswered portions, please also identify the persons you believe to have such knowledge, what you believe the correct answer to be and the facts upon which you base your answer.

- 4. If you consult any document or person in answering these Interrogatories, identify in regard to each such Interrogatory the person and/or document consulted.
- The term "person" as used herein shall be deemed to mean any natural person, firm, association, partnership, corporation or any other form of legal entity or governmental body, unless the context otherwise dictates.
- The term "document" as used in these Interrogatories means all written, recorded or graphic matters, however produced or reproduced and includes, but is not limited to, any record, report, paper, writing, book, letter, note, memorandum, correspondence, agreement, contract, journal, ledger, summary, minute of meeting, photograph, interoffice communication, telegram, schedule, diary, log, memorandum of telephone or in-person communication, meeting or conversation, Telex, cable, tape, transcript, recording, photograph, picture or film, computer printout, program or data of other graphic, symbolic, recorded or written materials of any nature whatsoever. Any document, as hereinabove defined, which contains any comment, notation, addition, insertion or marking of any kind which is part of another document, is to be considered a separate document.
- The term "communication" as used in these Interrogatories shall mean any dissemination of information of transmission or a statement from one person to another or in the presence of another, whether by writing, orally or by action or conduct.

RICHARD HARRIS	LAW FIRM

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	8.	The term	"fact" as used in	these Interrogatories s	shall include, without limita	ıtion
every r	matte	er occurre	nce, act, event, tra	insaction, occasion, ir	nstance, circumstance,	
represe	entat	ion or oth	er happening, by	whatever name it is ki	nown.	

9. The term "identify" or request to "state the identity" as used in these Interrogatories shall call for the following information:

With respect to a person:

- (1) His full name;
- His last known business and residence address. (2)
- His last known business and residence telephone numbers; (3)
- (4) His last know job title and capacity;
- His relationship to you, by blood or marriage, including former marriages; (5)
- Whether any statement pertaining to any matter involved in this litigation, (6) whether written or oral, or by recording device or by court reporter, or whether signed or unsigned, has been taken from him, and if so, how many such statements, and as to each statement, state the identity of thereof.

With respect to each document:

- (1) Its nature (e.g., letter, memorandum, etc.);
- Its title; (2)
- (3) The date it bears;
- The date it was sent;
- (5) The date it was received;
- The identity of all persons who prepared it or participated in anyway in its (6) preparation;

•	(7)	The identity of the person sending it and who such person represented at that		
2		time;		
3	(8)	The identity of the person to whom it was sent;		
5 6	(9)	The identity of the person who presently has custody of it and its present		
7		location;		
8	(10)	Its subject matter and its substance;		
9	(11)			
10	(11)	Whether the document is claimed to be privileged;		
11	(12)	If you exercise the option to produce business records pursuant to NRCP		
12	34(c),	please answer, nonetheless, subparts (1) through (9) hereof in regard to each		
13		pertinent Interrogatory.		
14	With respect to "communication":			
15	(1)	The maker;		
16	(2)	The receiver;		
17 18	(3)	When made;		
19	(4)	Where made;		
20	(5)	The identity of all persons present when made;		
21		The racinity of all persons present when made,		
22	(6)	The mode of communication;		
23	(7)	The subject matter and substance;		
24	(8)	Whether the communication is claimed to be privileged.		
25	With respect to each "fact":			
26	(1)	The date and time it occurred;		
27	(2)	The place where it occurred;		
28	(3)	The identity of each person present;		

3
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

28

1

2

(4)	An identification	of the subje	ect matter, nature	and substance	of the fact
٦.	- /	1 111 100 111111 100 110 1	I OT HIS DROLE	voc illuctor, littific	and paronumer	or me rac

- 10. With respect to each document or communication identified and claimed to be privileged, state the type of privilege claimed and its basis.
- 11. If you object in whole or in part to any of the following Interrogatories, please state in complete detail the basis for your objection and all the facts in which you rely to support your objection.

INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

State the name and address of each person who was a witness to or has any knowledge of the relevant facts related to the subject matter.

INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

Please identify any and all documents, color photographs, surveillance video, or other items in your possession regarding the subject incident.

INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

Please identify any statements you have from Plaintiff AARON M. MORGAN, either written, verbal or recorded, regarding the subject incident.

INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

Please identify any and all insurance agreements and/or policies you had at the time or the subject incident. Your response should include but not be limited to the insurance carrier, the policy number and the policy liability limits.

INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

Please identify all pre-hiring procedures you performed prior to hiring Defendant, DAVID E. LUJAN. Your response should include, but not be limited to, any background

LAW FIRM

checks performed, any pre-hiring testing performed and any other procedure followed by you prior to hiring Mr. Lugan.

INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Please identify any and all documents in your possession and/or information pertaining to any property damage to your vehicle or to Plaintiff's vehicle. Your response should include but not be limited to the amount of property damage sustained, where the property damage was repaired, how any repairs were paid for and the contact information for the insurance company that paid for the property damage to be repaired.

INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

Describe in your own words and in detail, without legal conclusion, how you believe the subject accident occurred.

INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

Please identify any disciplinary actions taken against Defendant, DAVID E. LUJAN, during the five years immediately preceding the subject accident through the present regarding Mr. Lujan's driving or operating one of your vehicles.

INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

Please give the date on which Defendant, DAVID E. LUJAN, was hired by you.

INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

Please identify what Defendant, DAVID E. LUJAN's job duties were at the time of the subject accident.

INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

Is Defendant, DAVID E. LUJAN, still employed by you?

INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

If your answer to Interrogatory No. 11 is "yes", please identify Mr. Lujan's current job title and current job duties.

INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

Was the vehicle that was owned and operated by the Defendant(s) with regard to the subject accident equipped with any devices which monitor the driver's performance, behavior, driving habits or speed at the time of the incident that is the subject of this lawsuit.

INTERROGATORY NO. 15:

Please provide the full name of the person answering these interrogatories on behalf of Defendant HARVEST MANAGEMENT SUB LLC, and state in what capacity are you authorized to respond on behalf of said Defendant.

DATED THIS 12 day of April, 2016.

RICHARD HARRIS LAW FIRM

BY:

BRYAN A. BOYACK, ES Nevada Bar No. 9980 801 South Fourth Street

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Attorney for Plaintiff

-

RICHARD HARRIS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of RICHARD HARRIS LAW FIRM, and that on this day of April, 2016, I served a copy of the foregoing					
PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO DEFENDANT HARVEST					
MANAGEMENT SUB LLC as follows:					
[] U.S. Mail—By depositing a true copy thereof in the U.S. mail, first class postage prepaid and addressed as listed below; and/or					
Pursuant to N.E.F.C.R. 9 by serving it via electronic service					
[] Facsimile—By facsimile transmission pursuant to EDCR 7.26 to the facsimile number(s) shown below and in the confirmation sheet filed herewith. Consent to service under NRCP 5(b)(2)(D) shall be assumed unless an objection to service by facsimile transmission is made in writing and sent to the sender via facsimile within 24 hours of receipt of this Certificate of Service; and/or					
[] Hand Delivery—By hand-delivery to the addresses listed below.					
Douglas J. Gardner, Esq. RANDS, SOUTH & GARDNER 1055 Whitney Ranch Drive, Suite 220 Henderson, Nevada 89014 Attorneys for Defendant					

Braunn Jusah

An employee of the Richard Harris Law Firm

TAB 4

TAB 4

	TASE 23 68						
1	RSPN DOUGLAS J. GARDNER, ESQ.						
2	Nevada Bar No. 4609						
3	RANDS, SOUTH & GARDNER 1055 Whitney Ranch Drive, Suite 220	RANDS, SOUTH & GARDNER 1055 Whitney Ranch Drive, Suite 220					
4	Henderson, Nevada 89014						
5	(702) 940-2222 (702) 940-2220 - Facsimile						
6	dgardner@rsglawfirm.com Attorneys for Defendants						
7	DAVID E. LUJAN; HARVEST						
3	MANAGEMENT SUB, LLC						
-							
9	DIST	RICT COURT					
10	CIADK	COUNTY, NEVADA					
11	* NXNEXILK.S	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
12	AARON M. MORGAN, individually						
13	Plaintiff,	CASE NO.: A-15-718679-C					
14	VS.	DEPT. NO.: VII					
15	DAVID E. LUIAN, individually:						
16	HARVEST MANAGEMENT SUB LLC; a Foreign Limited-Liability Company;						
17	DOES 1 through 20; ROE BUSINESS						
18	ENTITIES 1 through 20, inclusive jointly and severally,						
1.9	Defendants.	<i>)</i>					
20		}					
21	RESISTATE FINE FRESTRICA FRESTRES	ENT SUB. LLC.'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S					
22	FIRST SET O	FINTERROGATORIES					
23	COMES NOW, Defendant HARVES	T MANAGEMENT SUB, LLC, by and through their					
24	attorneys of record, the Law Office of RANDS, SOUTH & GARDNER, and hereby responds to						
25		PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES as follows:					
26	TELEMENTEE SERVE SET OF IMPROVE.	is as a figure as the second of the second o					
27	///						
28							
۷٥							
		1					

INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Please state the name and address of each person who was a witness to or has any knowledge of the relevant facts related to the subject matter.

ANSWER TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

Defendant David Lujan, Plaintiff Aaron Morgan, LVMPD Officer R. Schmitt

INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

Please identify any and all documents, color photographs, surveillance video, or other items in your possession regarding the subject incident.

ANSWER TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

Please see photographs and documents previously in Defendants ECC List of Witnesses and Documents and any supplements thereto. Discovery is continuing.

INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

Please identify any statements you have from Plaintiff AARON M. MORGAN, either written, verbal or recorded, regarding the subject incident.

ANSWER TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

None.

<u>INTERROGATORY NO. 4:</u>

Please identify any and all insurance agreements and/or policies you had at the time or the subject incident. Your response should include but not be limited to the insurance carrier, the policy number and the policy limits.

ANSWER TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

Alterra Markel Insurance Policy: MAX8HC0000440

Limit: \$8 million after \$2 million self-insured retention

1.

4 5

The subject incident has been reported to the excess carrier under claim MXUL35043.

INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

Please identify all pre-hiring procedures you performed prior to hiring Defendant, DAVID E. LUJAN. Your response should include, but not be limited to, any background checks performed, any pre-hiring testing performed and any other procedure followed by you prior to hiring Mr. Lujan.

ANSWER TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

Mr. Lujan was hired in 2009. As part of the qualification process, a pre-employment DOT drug test was conducted as well as a criminal background screen and a motor vehicle record. Also, since he held a CDL, an inquiry with past/current employers within three years of the date of application was conducted and were satisfactory. A DOT physical medical certification was obtained and monitored for renewal as required. MVR was ordered yearly to monitor activity of personal driving history and always came back clear. Required Drug and Alcohol Training was also completed at the time of hire and included the effects of alcohol use and controlled substances use on an individual's health, safety, work environment and personal life, signs of a problem with these and available methods of intervention.

INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Please identify any and all documents in your possession and/or information pertaining to any property damage to your vehicle or to Plaintiff's vehicle. Your response should include but be limited to the amount of property damage sustained, where the property damage was repaired, how any repairs were paid for and the contact information for the insurance company that paid for the property damage to be repaired.

ANSWER TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Objection. Relevance. Without waiving this objection the Defendants says this about the Property Damage: The Plaintiff's vehicle was considered a total loss and not repaired. This responding Defendant reimbursed Mercury Insurance for the combined total loss and vehicle rental costs. Please see Defendants ECC List of Witnesses and Production of Documents for copies of documents pertaining to Plaintiff's property damage and Defendant's Responses to Request for Production of Documents for documents pertaining to Defendant's vehicle damage.

INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

1

2

3

Ą

5

6

7

 \Im

9

10

11

12

1.3

1.4

15

16

17

18

10

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Describe in your own words and in detail, without legal conclusion, how you believe the subject accident occurred.

ANSWER TO INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

Objection as to form of the question. Without waiving said objection, please refer to all applicable documents previously produced in Defendants ECC List of Witnesses and Production and any supplements thereto. This question also improperly invades the role of the finders of fact.

INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

Please identify any disciplinary actions taken against Defendant, DAVID E. LUJAN, during the five years immediately preceding the subject accident through the present regarding Mr. Lujan's driving or operating one of your vehicles.

ANSWER TO INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

None.

INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

Please give the date on which Defendant, DAVID E. LUJAN, was hired by you.

27 ///

ANSWER TO INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

June 24, 2009

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

Please identify what Defendant, DAVID E. LUJAN's job duties were at the time of the subject accident.

ANSWER TO INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

Operating the Commercial Bus

INTERROGATORY NO. 11

Is Defendant, DAVID E. LUJAN, still employed by you?

ANSWER TO INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

No, his last day of employment was July 28, 2015.

INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

If your answer to Interrogatory No. 11 is "yes", please identify Mr. Lujan's current job title and current job duties.

ANSWER TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

Not applicable.

INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

Was the vehicle that was owned and operated by the Defendant(s) with regard to the subject accident equipped with any devices which monitor the driver's performance, behavior, driving habits or speed at the time of the incident that is the subject of this lawsuit.

ANSWER TO INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

No.

7 | | / / /

INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

Please provide the full name of the person answering the interrogatories on behalf of Defendant, HARVEST MANAGEMENT SUB LLC, and state in what capacity are you authorized to respond on behalf of said Defendant.

ANSWER TO INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

Erica Janssen, Holiday Retirement Risk Management

Ö

1.0

1.8

Z 4

1 **VERIFICATION** 2 3 STATE OF OREGON):55 4 COUNTY OF CLACKAMAS 5 I, Erica Janssen, being first duly sworn, deposes and says: \mathbb{S} I am the, Defendant's representative in the instant action; I have read the foregoing 7 DEFENDANT'S ANSWERS TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES and know 8 the contents thereof; that the answers made therein are true to the best of my knowledge, except as to 9 10 those answers made on information and belief, and as to those answers, I believe them to be true. 11 Erica Janssen, Holiday Retirement Risk Management 12 13 14 15 Subscribed and sworn to before me 16 this 12 day of October, 2016. OFFICIAL STAMP 17 NOTARY PUBLIC-OREGON COMMISSION NO. 942113 NOTARY PUBLIC 18 My commission expires september 17, 2019 19 Submitted by: 20 RANDS, SOUTH & GARDNER 21 22 23 DOUGLAS J. GARDNER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 4609 24 1055 Whitney Ranch Drive, Suite 220 25 Henderson, Nevada 89014 Attorneys for Defendants 26 DAVID E. LUJAN and HARVEST MANAGEMENT SUB, LLC. 27

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Ž

 $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{R}}$

1.7

1.8

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the ______ day of October, 2016, I served a correct copy of the foregoing DEFENDANT'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S INTERROGATORIES via electronic means in the Eighth Judicial District Court pursuant to Administrative Order 14-2.

Authorized by RANDS, SOUTH & GARDNER

TAB 5

TAB 5

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Negligence - Auto COURT MINUTES April 24, 2017

A-15-718679-C Aaron Morgan, Plaintiff(s)

VS.

David Lujan, Defendant(s)

April 24, 2017 9:00 AM Jury Trial - FIRM

HEARD BY: Bell, Linda Marie COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 15A

COURT CLERK: Sylvia Perez

RECORDER: Renee Vincent

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Boyack, Bryan A. Attorney for the Plaintiff

Cloward, Benjamin P. Attorney for the Plaintiff
Rands, Douglas R Attorney for the Defendant

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Jury Trial

OUTSIDE THE PERSPECTIVE JURY

Mr. Rands advised the defendant, Mr. Lujan, has been hospitalized and requested to continue the jury trial. No opposition by Mr. Cloward, requesting a status check be set. COURT ORDERED, jury trial CONTINUED and Mr. Rands to provide medical documentation as to Mr. Lujan's hospital stay by the upcoming court date. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, exhibits returned to Counsel and trial OFF CALENDAR.

5/16/17 9:00 AM STATUS CHECK: STATUS OF THE CASE

PRINT DATE: 04/24/2017 Page 1 of 1 Minutes Date: April 24, 2017