	Case	No.	
--	------	-----	--

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

HARVEST MANAGEMENT SUB LLC, Apr 18 2019 01:38 p.m. Petitioner,

Electronically Filed Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

VS.

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, THE HONORABLE LINDA MARIE BELL, DISTRICT COURT CHIEF JUDGE.

Respondent,

- and -

AARON M. MORGAN and DAVID E. LUJAN, **Real Parties in Interest.**

District Court Case No. A-15-718679-C, Department VII

APPENDIX TO PETITION FOR EXTRAORDINARY WRIT RELIEF VOLUME 4 OF 14

DENNIS L. KENNEDY, Nevada Bar No. 1462 SARAH E. HARMON, Nevada Bar No. 8106 ANDREA M. CHAMPION, Nevada Bar No. 13461 **BAILEY KENNED Y** 8984 Spanish Ridge Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89148-1302 Telephone: 702.562.8820 Facsimile: 702.562.8821 DKennedy@BaileyKennedy.com SHarmon@BaileyKennedy.com AChampion@BaileyKennedy.com Attorneys for Petitioner HARVEST MANAGEMENT SUB LLC April 18, 2019

APPENDIX TO PETITION FOR EXTRAORDINARY WRIT RELIEF VOLUME 4 OF 14

TABLE OF CONTENTS

No.	Document Title	Page Nos.
8	Transcript of Jury Trial (November 8, 2017)	492-660
9A	Transcript of Jury Trial (April 2, 2018) - Part 1	661-729

APPENDIX TO PETITION FOR EXTRAORDINARY WRIT RELIEF

INDEX

Document Title	Volume No.	Tab No.	Page Nos.
Complaint (May 20, 2015)	1	1	1-6
Decision and Order (April 5, 2019)	14	39	2447-2454
Defendant Harvest Management Sub	12	24	2091-2119
LLC's Motion for Entry of Judgment			
(December 21, 2018)			
Defendant Harvest Management Sub	13	32	2369-2373
LLC's Notice of Objection and			
Reservation of Rights to Order Regarding			
Plaintiff's Counter-Motion to Transfer			
Case Back to Chief Judge Bell for			
Resolution of Post-Verdict Issues			
(February 7, 2019)			
Defendant Harvest Management Sub	11	19	1911-1937
LLC's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for			
Entry of Judgment (August 16, 2018)			
Defendant, Harvest Management Sub,	1	4	23-30
LLC's Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of			
Interrogatories (October 12, 2016)			
Defendants' Answer to Plaintiff's	1	2	7-13
Complaint (June 16, 2015)			
Docket Report for Department	10	17	1846-1852
Reassignment (July 2, 2018)			
Docketing Statement Civil Appeals	13	30	2312-2358
(January 31, 2019)			
Jury Instructions (April 9, 2018)	10	15	1804-1843
Minute Order (April 24, 2017)	1	5	31
Minute Order (March 14, 2019)	14	37	2441-2443
Notice of Appeal (December 18, 2018)	12	23	2012-2090
Notice of Entry of Judgment (January 2,	12	25	2120-2129
2019)			
Notice of Entry of Order on Plaintiff's	11	22	2005-2011
Motion for Entry of Judgment (November			
28, 2018)			
Notice of Entry of Order Regarding	13	31	2359-2368

Plaintiff's Counter-Motion to Transfer			
Case Back to Chief Judge Bell for Resolution of Post-Verdict Issues			
(February 7, 2019)			
Opposition to Defendant Harvest	12	26	2130-2171
Management Sub LLC's Motion for Entry	12	20	2130 21/1
of Judgment and Counter-Motion to			
Transfer Case Back to Chief Judge Bell			
for Resolution of Post-Verdict Issues			
Order Denying Motion to Dismiss (March	14	36	2438-2440
7, 2019)			
Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories to	1	3	14-22
Defendant Harvest Management Sub LLC			
(April 14, 2016)			
Plaintiff's Motion for Entry of Judgment	11	18	1853-1910
(July 30, 2018)			
Plaintiff's Reply in Support of Motion for	11	20	1938-1992
Entry of Judgment (September 7, 2018)			
Recorder's Transcript of Defendant	14	35	2420-2437
Harvest Management Sub LLC's Motion			
for Entry of Judgment (March 5, 2019)			
Reply in Support of Defendant Harvest	13	28	2285-2308
Management Sub LLC's Motion for Entry			
of Judgment; and Opposition to Plaintiff's			
Counter-Motion to Transfer Case Back to			
Chief Judge Bell for Resolution of Post-			
Verdict Issues (January 23, 2019)			
Respondent Harvest Management Sub	13	27	2172-2284
LLC's Motion to Dismiss Appeal as			
Premature (January 23, 2019)			
Respondent Harvest Management Sub	13	33	2374-2380
LLC's Response to Docketing Statement			
(February 11, 2019)	1.2	•	
Settlement Program Early Case	13	29	2309-2311
Assessment Report (January 24, 2019)	1.4	20	
Settlement Program Status Report (April	14	38	2444-2446
1, 2019)	10	1.0	1044 1045
Special Verdict (April 9, 2018)	10	16	1844-1845

Supplement to Harvest Management Sub	14	34	2381-2419
LLC's Motion for Entry of Judgment (March 5, 2019)			
Transcript of Hearing on Plaintiff's	11	21	1993-2004
Motion for Entry of Judgment (November			
6, 2018)			
Transcript of Jury Trial (November 6,	2	6A	32-271
2017) - Part 1			
Transcript of Jury Trial (November 6,	3	6B	272-365
2017) - Part 2			
Transcript of Jury Trial (November 7,	3	7	366-491
2017)			
Transcript of Jury Trial (November 8,	4	8	492-660
2017)			
Transcript of Jury Trial (April 2, 2018) -	4	9A	661-729
Part 1			
Transcript of Jury Trial (April 2, 2018) -	5	9B	730-936
Part 2			
Transcript of Jury Trial (April 3, 2018)	6	10	937-1092
Transcript of Jury Trial (April 4, 2018)	7	11	1093-1246
Transcript of Jury Trial (April 5, 2018)	8	12	1247-1426
Transcript of Jury Trial (April 6, 2018)	9	13	1427-1635
Transcript of Jury Trial (April 9, 2018)	10	14	1636-1803

TAB 8

TAB 8

		Electronically Filed 2/8/2018 1:48 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT	um
RTRAN		allun	
DISTRICT C	OURT		
	τ, IN⊏ V	ADA	
AARON MORGAN,)		
Plaintiff, vs.)	CASE NO. A718679	
)		
)		
))		
TRANSCRIPT OF	JURY	TRIAL	
APPEARANCES:			
RECORDED BY: RENEE VINCENT, CO	OURT	RECORDER	
1		492	
	DISTRICT C CLARK COUNTY AARON MORGAN, Plaintiff, vs. HARVEST MANAGEMENT, SUB, LLC, Defendants. BEFORE THE HONORABLE LINDA MAR WEDNESDAY, NOVE TRANSCRIPT OF APPEARANCES: For the Plaintiff: BE For the Defendants: DC RECORDED BY: RENEE VINCENT, CO	DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEV AARON MORGAN,) Plaintiff,) vs.) HARVEST MANAGEMENT, SUB, LLC,) HARVEST MANAGEMENT, SUB, LLC,) Defendants.) BEFORE THE HONORABLE LINDA MARIE BELL WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER TRANSCRIPT OF JURY T APPEARANCES: For the Plaintiff: BENJAM BRYAN E For the Defendants: DOUGLA RECORDED BY: RENEE VINCENT, COURT	RTRAN DISTRICT COURT CLERK OF THE COURT CLERK COUNTY, NEVADA AARON MORGAN, Plaintiff, Vs. Plaintiff, Vs. CASE NO. A718679 HARVEST MANAGEMENT, SUB, LLC, Defendants. BEFORE THE HONORABLE LINDA MARIE BELL, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 2017 TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL APPEARANCES: For the Plaintiff: BENJAMIN CLOWARD, ESQ. BRYAN BOYACK, ESQ. For the Defendants: DOUGLAS GARDNER, ESQ. RECORDED BY: RENEE VINCENT, COURT RECORDER

 \sim

1	INDEX	
2	PAGE DISCUSSION REGAREDING MR. LUJAN'S TESTIMONY117	<u> </u>
3	MOTION FOR MISTRIAL BY PLAINTIFF	
4	JUDGE'S RULING, MOTION FOR MISTRIAL GRANTED116	
5	WITNESSES FOR THE PLAINTIFF:	
6	ALIAN COPPEL	
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Cloward4 Cross-Examination by Mr. Gardner30	
8	Redirect Examination by Mr. Cloward40	
9	Recross-Examination by Mr. Gardner44 Further Redirect Examination by Mr. Cloward52	
10	ANDREW CASH	
11	Direct Examination by Mr. Cloward57 Cross-Examination by Mr. Rands90	
12	Redirect Examination by Mr. Cloward	
13	DAVID LUJAN	
14	Direct Examination by Mr. Boyack108	
15	Questions from Jurors132	
16 17	REBECCA MORGAN Direct Examination by Mr. Cloward134	
18	Cross-Examinatino by Mr. Gardner139	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		4
	2	4

1	Las Vegas, Nevada, Wednesday, November 8, 2018
2	THE MARSHAL: Judge, ma'am they're all here. Do you want
3	them in?
4	THE COURT: Yep.
5	THE MARSHAL: Please rise for the jury.
6	[Jury in at 10:18 a.m.]
7	THE MARSHAL: Please be seated.
8	THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. We're back on the
9	record in case number A718679, Morgan versus Lujan. I'll reflect the
10	presence of all of our jurors, counsel, and parties.
11	Mr. Cloward, please call your next witness.
12	MR. CLOWARD: Your Honor, Plaintiff calls Dr. Alian Coppel.
13	THE MARSHAL: If you would, remain standing, raise your right
14	hand, and face the court clerk for me, please.
15	ALIAN COPPEL
16	[having been called as witness and being duly sworn testified as follows:]
17	THE CLERK: Thank you.
18	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
19	THE COURT: Good morning, sir. Go ahead and have a seat.
20	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
21	THE COURT: And if you could, state your name and please
22	spell it for the record.
23	THE WITNESS: Sure. First name is Alain, A-L-I-A-N. The last
24	name is Coppel, C-O-P-P-E-L.
25	THE COURT: Thank you.
	3
	494 TheRecordXchange v1.1

1	Mr. Cloward, whenever you are ready.
2	MR. CLOWARD: Thank you, Your Honor. I just need to grab a
3	couple of the articles I have.
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. CLOWARD:
6	Q How are you today, Dr. Coppel?
7	A Good. Thank you.
8	Q Good. Dr. Coppel, like we've done with the other witnesses, I
9	guess the first thing I'd like to have you do is just explain for the jurors a little
10	bit about your practice, just tell these nice folks a little bit about yourself.
11	A Sure. So I'm a pain management physician here in Las Vegas.
12	I did kind of medical school I did at University of Arizona. Once I graduated
13	from the University of Arizona, I went to do my residency in anesthesia with
14	critical care at the University of Chicago. From there that was four years
15	total. Did my pain management fellowship at Johns Hopkins in Baltimore,
16	and then from there I came to Las Vegas, started practicing pain
17	management.
18	So currently I practice at Nevada Comprehensive Pain Center.
19	We're basically the largest pain management clinics in the State of Nevada.
20	And what we deal with typically is patients that are in acute and chronic
21	pain. The majority of it, about 80 percent of it will be spinal pain and the rest
22	of it will be kind of more of a joint pain on larger joints like the knees and
23	shoulders at things like that.
24	I'm board certified in anesthesiology with critical care, addiction
25	medicine, as well as pain management.

Q Okay. So Doctor, I guess on a day-to-day basis, can you give us an explanation or a description of what are some of the things that I guess how does your day -- what does your day entail?

Sure. So for pain management physicians, we physically -- we 4 Α 5 kind of act like the quarterbacks of when people have acute and chronic pain. For pain management, there's only -- only four things that can ever be 6 done for anybody. That includes therapies, oral medications, injections 7 which is liquid medications that we need a needle to place at a specific 8 location, and in surgeries. And then what we do is when a patient comes in 9 10 in pain, we evaluate the patient in terms of the history of their pain, the type of pain that they're having, the location of their pain, and where they are on 11 12 the treatment algorithm.

So if somebody was recently injured, we will recommend more
of the conservative therapies, the medications, and time. If the issues do
continue, then you kind of work your way up that ladder and what you have
available to the patient are injections and surgeries. So it's up to the
physician to decide with each individual patient what their symptoms are,
how it's affecting them personally, and then where on that treatment
algorithm they are.

20 Q Okay. And fair to say you treat patients from our community on 21 a day-to-day basis?

22

Yes, I do.

А

Q Okay. So what I'd like to do, Doctor, I guess is kind of explain -and there's a little demonstrative. I don't know if that would be helpful. It may or may not be, but what I'd like to do is kind of just talk about the different sources of pain that folks may -- that they may have in the spine.
Say, for instance, if they're in a traumatic event like a slip-and-fall or motor
vehicle accident, what are some of the things that I guess you as a
physician are looking for?

5 Α Right. So basically, when somebody comes in with pain in the spine, it typically can be one of three things. It could be muscles and 6 ligaments, it could be the joints of the spine or it could be the disk of the 7 8 actual spine. And it's up to the physician to try to determine sort of where those issues are coming from. And the determination is based on the way 9 10 the patient is describing their symptoms, kind of where they are in the treatment algorithm, and their response to previous other therapies or 11 12 injections and things like that.

So, typically, if it's a simple muscle sprain or a strain, most
people, about 80, 90 percent of the people will respond to therapies,
medication, and time. When the symptoms do continue, then we have to
say as physicians, okay, well, if it was just a simple sprain or a strain, it
should have gone away on its own but it hasn't so what else can possibly be
going on back there. And the only other two possibilities are either issues
with the joints of the spine or issues with the disks themselves.

And the way that we're able to delineate which one it may be, it's going to be the way the patient is describing their symptoms, what we're seeing on MRI findings, and then we can use injection therapies which are both diagnostic and therapeutic. The injection therapies are geared -there's only basically two types we can do. There's those that are geared towards just the joints themselves or those that are those that are geared

497

towards the disk nerve junctions. And each one is completely separate.

1

So if we think it's a joint issue, what we do is we inject the joint and we'll say, well, how did you respond to that. If somebody responds positively to it, then you can say, yes, this is most likely the cause of your issues. If your response is medium, like say 50 percent, 60 percent, then you can say it's this plus probably something else. And that something else may be the actual disk nerve junction itself.

The reason for that is because the ultimate treatment for each one's a little bit different. If it's a joint issue, it's what's called the rhizotomy or heat therapy to the joint that gives you the longer-term benefit. If it's a disk issue, then the actual long-term benefit is more of like a surgery. It could be a microdiscectomy or a fusion, different types of things. But those are basically the only two things that we have to investigate whether it's a joint or a disk issue.

Q Okay. And when you say a joint, what is I guess the scientific
 or technical name for that?

A What's called the -- it's a facet joint and basically what they are,
they're on each side of your spine and they go all the way from the neck all
the way down to your tailbone. And that's what allows your spine to
basically move in all sorts of direction, forward, backward, side-to-side,
bending at different angles.

Sometimes those joints can be injured in what's called
acceleration- deceleration injuries. So when you get hit and your spine does
this motion, it can injure the actual joints. And the joints that we have here
are very similar to like a knee joint. They're almost the exact same thing

498

where you have two -- two bones on top, one on bottom that join together
and they have a joint capsule. So imagine if I grab your knee and just did
this very quickly, it can actually injure the actual joint itself and cause pain at
the joint level.

5 Now, the joints when you do have joint pain, it's going to end up being in the central part of your spine, but depending on what joint's 6 affected, it could radiate to different areas. So if in the neck, it can either be 7 the upper neck joints. It could be pain that's at the top of the neck that 8 comes up like this. Middle neck would be pain that comes -- the middle 9 10 neck that comes like this, and then enter the intrascapular area like this. In the mid portion, which is the thoracic spine, it's usually pain that goes like 11 12 this. Occasionally it can wrap a little bit to the flank area. And in the low back, it's typically low back pain, comes across like this. Occasionally it can 13 go into the thigh areas but not really below the knee. 14

15 If you're having any issues that are travelling below, for
16 instance, of the neck into the hand or in the low back that travel into the feet,
17 calf or foot area, that's usually more of a disk issue.

Q Okay. And, Doctor, what I'd like to do is I guess show first off,
 can you explain to the jurors what a -- what it means to have an article that's
 peer reviewed?

A Right. So in medicine basically, there's different types of articles that are publishers. There's -- in the old days, it gets -- they don't get published anymore, but it's basically you as a physician saying I have this one case study and I'm going to publish it. We typically don't publish this anymore because they're not really peer review. Then there's no

scientific data behind it because it's just basically one patient that had a 1 specific condition that you treated and they responded one way or the other. 2 3 Peer review means that there's a confluence of patients that we 4 see. We basically put the together. We do a treatment on them, and then 5 that treatment, they respond to it one way or the other. And then 6 independent people are reviewing that to make sure there are just no false positive or false responses to it. 7 Q Okay. And is the American Society of Anesthesiologists' 8 9 publication <u>Anesthesiology</u>, is that a reliable and authoritative source? А Yes. That's -- that's basically the main association for 10 anesthesiologists and also for pain management as well. 11 12 Q Okay. And then also, is the journal, <u>The Spine</u>, is that an authoritative source? 13 Yeah. That's the main -- that's the main journal for 14 Α 15 neurosurgeons for spine surgeries. Q 16 Okay. So what I'd like to do is show you a couple of articles 17 and show you kind of the diagrams that -- and the first article is "The Prevalence of Chronic Cervical Zygapophyseal Joint Pain After Whiplash". 18 19 My understanding is zygapophyseal is a fancy way to say facet joint? А Yeah, exactly. 20 21 Q Okay. Did I pronounce that correctly? А Yeah. Close enough. 22 Q Okay. So I'm going to just show this. And good deal. This is 23 24 working today. 25 А Okay. Oh, there it is.

1	Q	Cooking with gas. Doctor, is this an accurate you were kind
2	of explain	ing to the jurors, you know upper cervical is going to affect certain
3	levels, mi	d cervical is going to affect certain or certain areas. Lower
4	cervical is	going to affect certain areas.
5	А	Yes. That's correct.
6	Q	Okay. Now these are this is for the cervical spine. Is there
7	some ove	rlap with the thoracic spine if you have, say, a thoracic facet or a
8	thoracic d	isk generator or
9	А	There is.
10	Q	[indiscernible]?
11	А	There is. There is. There's overlap, specifically more can
12	you yea	h. Oh, sorry. Okay. So in between that area, if they have joints in
13	the mid ba	ack, it could actually split across like this and sometimes it could
14	spread up	as well.
15	Q	Okay. Now this is this article is about the disks. I also
16	showed ir	opening statement, I guess, a dermatomal pattern out of <u>Netters</u>
17	<u>Science</u> .	What is <u>Netters</u> by the way?
18	А	It's the main the main anatomy book that everybody uses in
19	medical s	chool, across the world actually.
20	Q	Okay. So I showed a dermatomal pattern out of <u>Netters that</u>
21	showed th	ne different, I guess, nerve root distribution. Is there also overlap?
22	This is for	the facets?
23	А	Yes.
24	Q	Is there overlap with the disks?
25	А	There is.
		10 501

- 1
- Okay.

Q

2

There's -- there's partial overlap, yes. А

3 Q Okay. And can you just, I guess, use the monitor to kind of 4 show just generally speaking cervical spine what areas you might have?

5 Α Right. So the disks -- so if you look at this right here, so at 6 every level, there's a disk and then there's a keyhole where the nerves come out of. If a disk becomes inflamed and starts to move backwards, what 7 happens is it moves backwards. What's back there is the spinal cord and 8 the nerves coming off the spinal cord. And it starts to rub up against a 9 nerve. When a nerve gets rubbed up against, it gets irritated and then you 10 can end up having pain as well as burning, numbress, tingling in the 11 12 distribution wherever that nerve is going.

The higher nerves in the neck can give you headaches and kind 13 14 of pain that stays in this area. As you slowly start to come down, it starts to 15 radiate into the lateral aspects of the arm, then inner aspects of the arm. As 16 you get into the thoracic area, it could wrap around into the check into the 17 abdomen area. And then the low back, it could actually travel first into the anterior portion of your legs to the feet and then the lateral portions to the 18 19 feet, and then finally at the back of your butt cheeks and back of your leg all the way into your feet. 20

21

So there is overlap. The thing for the physician that needs to be 22 done is to say, you know, there is some overlap. Which one is most likely not causing the pain or is it a combination of both? And the injections, which 23 are therapeutic and diagnostic, help us differentiate that. 24

25

Q

Okay. Perfect. Now while we are on the diagrams, I'm just

going to show you one other diagram to see if that is accurate. And this is -this article is out of <u>Anesthesiology</u>. It's called "The Pathogenesis Diagnosis
and Treatment of Lumbar, Zygapophyseal Facet Joint Pain". And this talks
about the common referral patterns from the lumbar facet joints.

A Right. And it's basically the same distribution we were talking about is that just like in the neck. The way they actually came up with these diagrams is they would have volunteers actually on the table. They would put a needle next to a joint and then they would stimulate that joint and they would ask the patients to draw where they're actually having their pain. So this is how they actually got the ones in the neck and the mid back and the low back.

So this is the typical distribution. If the higher -- the higher joints
in the low back will mostly be paralumbar area like this. The lower you
come down, that's when I was saying it could start travelling into the thigh
areas, and it typically stays above the knee.

Q Okay. Great. Thank you, Doctor. Now are there challenges in
 determining a patient's I guess the generator of pain?

A There is because it's not always a hundred percent classical one thing or the other. It could end up being two things that are overlapping. So when we do, let's say, a procedure and you say, I have 50 percent benefit, that means 50 percent of the pain is probably coming from the area where we injected, but we have to figure out why you didn't get a hundred percent benefit. Is there a different pain generator that may be causing some of those issues?

25

Q

Okay. Now in the opening, I kind of talked about how the

injections are similar to, say, for instance, a toothache. You know, when you
go to the dentist, they blow the air on the tooth. If it causes pain, then they
go in there with the injection and they give you, I think it's Marcaine. And if it
numbs the problem, then the dentist knows, okay, that's where we need to
fix the cavity or, you know, do a root canal. However, if the doctor didn't fix
the issue right then and there and sent the patient home, would the pain
come back?

A Yes.

8

9 Q And is that kind of the same principle with the facet injections 10 that if you go in there, you do a block and then the patient comes out and 11 you ask how they do -- how they're doing but then they come back and 12 follow up with you?

А Right. So when we do the injections, there -- there's two parts 13 to it. There's the diagnostic part and therapeutic part. The diagnostic part, 14 15 meaning that I think your issue's coming from the joint so what I'm going to 16 do is I'm going to numb up those joints. If the pain is coming from the joints, 17 you should get relief because I just numbed up the area that's painful, right? 18 If you come back or immediately say, yes, I had some benefit but the pain came back, I can say to you, you told me you had a 50 percent benefit. 19 20 That means 50 percent of the pain is coming from the area I injected, right? 21 We do add a little bit of serotonin to our injections in the hopes 22 that the benefit that you get actually is longer-term and long-lasting, but it 23 doesn't necessarily mean that it's going to happen. So the diagnostic, once again, is I think it's the joint. I'm going to inject the joint. Did you get benefit, 24

25 yes or no? Even if it's just short-term, it's going to say that's your pain

504

1 generator or part of your pain generator.

Okay.

Q

2

A And then the long-term treatment if it's a joint, then it would be the rhizotomy type of injections. If it's a disk issue and you don't get the benefit you want satisfactorily with the epidural injections, it would be surgery.

Q Okay. So we've kind of talked about I guess the ways that the
physician through the clinical examination and subject of reporting of the
patient of their pain complaints gives an idea for I guess the physicians on
what to focus on. Do you also look at radiographic studies to determine, you
know, where you want to focus at?

A Right. So it's a combination of everything. It's actually the way the patient's describing their symptoms is important. What we're seeing on the MRI is important. And then also our physical examination is important. And then the way they responded to previous therapies is also important.

So a physician will take a little bit of everything and try to combine it
together and meld it together to say my differential diagnosis is most likely
it's going to be one, if not, then 2, if not, then 3. And we always address the
one we think is the most likely cause of your issues, whether that being
cardiology, internal medicine, whatever it is. First, it's pain management.
And then we attack it with either therapies, medications, or injections.

Q Okay. So in this specific instance, now shifting focus from I
 guess the kind of general information to the specific case of Mr. Morgan.
 What was your initial impression of what might be Mr. Morgan's problems?
 A So initially when he came in, he was complaining of neck pain

with headaches, mid back pain and the wrist pain. In terms of the spinal 1 issues, I thought it was just a whiplash injury. He was involved in a motor 2 3 vehicle accident. These symptoms are very typical to be seen after people 4 that are involved in an accident.

5 Now initially you don't know whether it's just a simple sprain or a 6 strain or is there something more there. But to us it's sort of irrelevant because at the beginning we treat everybody essentially the same. We say 7 go to therapy. We have options of medications which are anti-8 inflammatories, muscle relaxers, and pain medications. And hopefully with 9 10 the combination of therapy, medication, and time, those issues should go away. 11

12 When the symptoms do continue after six to eight weeks of those conservative measures, you have to say, okay, what else is going on. 13 14 And that's when you look at the MRIs and the continued symptoms to say, 15 okay, it must not be just a simple strain or a sprain because those issues 16 should have gone away. And if they don't go away, is it a disk issue or is it a 17 joint issue. Those are the only other two things. And then depending on our physical examination and the way you're describing your symptoms, that 18 leads us to say it's more this, more that, or maybe a combination of both. 19

20 Then we perform the injections, and depending on your 21 response to the injections, that clarified things a little bit more to say, okay, 22 now it's really more of a joint issue. It's not really a disk or vice versa.

Q 23 Okay. Now prior to your first injection, had Mr. Morgan undergone some conservative therapy in the form of chiropractic care? 24 25 Α

He had, and he also did medication management.

506

Q Okay. Did you feel that that he had given those treatments I
guess a reasonable opportunity to improve his condition prior to performing
the first injection?

A I did.

4

Q Okay. Now what did the MRIs in this case reveal to you, if
anything?

A Sure. So the original -- let me bring them up real quick. So the
original two MRIs were a cervical MRI and a thoracic MRI that were done on
6/13/14, which is about I think it was close of two months after the accident
itself. The neck MRI showed two small disk protrusions at two levels,
C3/C4, and C4/C5. And the low back -- and the thoracic MRI showed one
disk protrusion at T3/T4.

Q Okay. So based on your I guess the radiographic imaging, your
 clinical examination, and the subjective complaints, what did you feel like
 needed to happen with Mr. Morgan?

16 А I think the issue was at that point mostly a -- even though we some small [indiscernible] in the neck, I thought the issue was more of a 17 18 joint issue because, once again, he wasn't having any travelling pain that was going all the way into his arms. He wasn't having burning, numbress, 19 20 and tingling in the arms, and subjective weakness in the arms. So the way 21 he was injured, which is the acceleration-deceleration injury and what we 22 were seeing in the MRI and the way he was describing his symptoms kind of made me believe more of it was a joint issue than really a disk issue. 23

Q Okay. And what level of the spine did you feel like was I guess
 problematic for Mr. Morgan?

A I thought it was -- I think it was a combination of levels to be honest with you. The main issue -- the most injections that we can do is three -- three levels at one time. And I thought most of the issues were kind of in the lower neck, upper thoracic area. So that's kind of the combination of like the lower cervical and upper thoracic facet joints.

Q And so did you actually perform an injection based on your
 examination?

A We did. So we performed an injection that was the facet blocks
that we talked about. And those were done on 8/8/14, which is almost four
months after his -- his accident. They were done at C67, C71, and T1/T2
which is the lower cervical and upper thoracic area.

12 When somebody comes in for their injections -- well, I'll just take you through it. So they come in, they check in. And we -- they're seen by 13 14 preoperative nurse. They're asked all the questions they needed to be 15 asked, and then put a BB IV in. We bring them into the procedure room. 16 They're actually placed face down on a fluoroscopic table which is a special 17 table which allows x-rays to go through. We use a live x-ray machine, which is called the C-arm to actually look at the joints and then we use a needle 18 which is about this long, 3-1/2 inches, and under live x-rate, we put the 19 20 needle next to the joints. And there will be pictures in there.

Once we verify that the needles in the correct position, what we do is we inject a local anesthetic and a little bit steroid. The local anesthetic kicks in fairly quickly. So what happens is we inject the medications, the needles come out, the patients gets taken to recovery. And then we say, when you came in you were describing your pain level is whatever it is. In

508

1	his case, it was a 7 out of 10 that day. And then now as the procedure is
2	over before you go home, what's your pain level, and he described his pain
3	over before you go home, what's your pain level, and he described his pain level as 3 out of 10. So he had a response of about 50 to 60 percent with
4	that procedure, which is diagnostic portion of it.

Patient goes home, and we typically say come back in two
weeks and let us know how it did, right. The reason we do that is because,
once again, we add a little bit of steroid in the hopes of that benefit lasting
long-term. He came back and he basically said initially I had good
response, 7 to a 3, but it really didn't provide any long-term benefit. So what
that tells us is that about 50 to 60 percent of his pain is coming from the
joints that we injected.

Q Okay. It gives you a piece of the puzzle that you're able to put
on the table, I guess if you will. If you're putting together a puzzle, it gives
you a piece of the puzzle. It doesn't give you the full picture but gives you at
least a piece of the puzzle in helping to figure things out.

15	least a ple	ce of the puzzle in helping to figure things out.
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	Is that fair?
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	Okay. So based on the response of not having a complete
20	relief, what	t did you determine needed to be next
21	А	So he didn't have complete
22	Q	that needed to be done
23	А	Right. So
24	Q	needed to be done next?
25	А	So he didn't have complete relief, so you have to say, okay,

1	well, why n	not. Is there a different pain generator? That different pain	
2	generator can be the joints that are higher up in the spine that we didn't		
3	inject or it o	could be the disk issues. Once again, in the neck, I didn't thi	nk
4	the disk iss	sues were much of a problem because they were only movin	g
5	backwards	slightly, and he wasn't having any issues that were really	
6	traveling de	own his arms. So what we decided to do is do the injections	at
7	levels sligh	ntly above that.	
8	Q	Okay. So you basically moved from kind of the lower neck	ζ,
9	upper thora	acic to the lower and upper neck	
10	А	Yes.	
11	Q	is that fair?	
12	А	Correct.	
13	Q	Okay. And what were the specific levels that you injected	
14	there?		
15	А	We did C3/C4, C4/C5, and C5/C6.	
16	Q	Okay. And what kind of a response did he have as a resul	t of
17	that?		
18	А	So he had a 40 percent response to that to that procedu	re.
19	Q	Okay.	
20	А	So basically if you kind of look at it globally, so let's say the	ese
21	are all the levels that you can do, right. We did these down here, and he		
22	said 50 to 60 percent benefit temporarily. Okay. We said, well, let's look at		
23	the levels above. Then you got an additional 40 percent benefit up there.		
24	So kind of if you combine the two, that's if we were able to do all at one time.		time.
25	Most likely than not, the benefit would be much greater. It would be like 80		
		19 5	510

1 2 percent, 80, 90 percent.

Q Okay.

А

3

But, unfortunately, we're not able to do all levels at one time.

Q Gotcha. So you do the levels above, and I guess that gives you
another piece of the puzzle you put on the table. It gives you little better
picture of what's going on but doesn't complete the puzzle. What is it that
you decide needed to be done next to figure out what was going on?

А So at that point, that was kind of the -- in my mind, I said, all 8 right, the issues are coming from the facet joints. I don't think it's mostly the 9 10 disk. You can repeat it. You can repeat the procedure again to make sure it's not a false positive because sometimes if you don't have more than 80 11 12 percent benefit, it could be a false positive, let's say, like a placebo effect. That's the simple way to put it. The way you rule that out is by doing the 13 14 block again. If they once again receive the same type of benefit, then you 15 know that it wasn't a placebo effect. It was actually effect from the 16 injections.

Q Okay. So what did you determine should be done next as far
as the neck or the scapular symptoms?

A Right. So in terms -- in terms of the neck issues, he later on subsequently had another injection in the neck area, much longer and he once against received 40 percent benefit from that. So in my mind, we have basically three blocks that we've done in the next that have all responded similarly to it, so that means it's not a false response. That's where the pain is coming from.

25

We did do the same type of blocks in the mid back lower --

lower down, and he once again with those he ended up receiving only about 1 20 percent benefit for four to five days. 2 Okay. 3 Q А So that was lower, but that was lower in the thoracic area and 4 5 that was T3/T4, T4/T5, and T5/T6. Q Okay. So I guess if you're looking --6 MR. CLOWARD: I would need another hand here. Mr. Boyack, 7 you want to come up and -- just put your hand right below me. 8 9 BY MR. CLOWARD: Q So I guess you kind of looked at these, you kind of looked at 10 these and then you shake it there, you go -- you kind of looked at those, 11 12 right? А Correct. Yes, sir. 13 All right. So that gives you another piece of the puzzle you put 14 Q 15 on to the table. You're able to I guess kind of look at the response? 16 А Correct. And I think once again in the neck area, it's a joint 17 issue that's -- that's going on. Most likely, once again, that the joints can get 18 injured when they had that sudden acceleration-deceleration injuries. 19 Q Okay. So after having all of the pieces of puzzle that you've 20 had, were there any other injections in the neck that you performed? А No, I did not. 21 Q 22 Okay. So based on the information that you have before you as you sit here today and I would ask that all of your opinions be to a 23 24 reasonable degree of medical probability on a more likely than not basis, 25 what do you think is the ultimate source of the pain in the upper neck or, I

1	mean, exc	use me, the scapular area, neck area? What do you think is	
2	causing the problems?		
3	A	It was from the joints of the neck.	
4	Q	Okay.	
5	A	I don't think I don't think it's a disk issue. Once again, he	
6	doesn't hav	ve pain that travels down an arm. If you look classically at the	
7	diagrams,	you put in what he was describing, they just match up. And he	
8	responded	well to multiple injections of the joints on the necks.	
9	Q	Okay.	
10	A	Short-term but it did respond to him.	
11	Q	Okay. And if you were to narrow it down to what specific joint	ts
12	do you thin	k are causing him the problems, what would you think that that	
13	would be?		
14	A	Probably like the C4/C5, C5/C6 area. It's difficult to say	
15	because w	e did a couple of levels, but those are probably the main ones.	lf
16	you look at your radiating patterns, that would give him kind of the pain that		at
17	he's actually having.		
18	Q	Okay. And yesterday, Dr. Muir testified that based on his	
19	review of everything, he felt like that those were the I guess the culprits, if		
20	you will		
21	A	Right.	
22	Q	and that based on the response that Mr. Morgan had, he	
23	would be a candidate for the rhizotomy or radiofrequency ablation.		
24	A	Correct.	
25	Q	Would you agree with that or not?	

A Yeah. That's -- that's the final -- so for the joints what you do is you do the initial block or a subsequent block. If you get a positive response, the long-term treatment is the rhizotomy. And basically what the rhizotomy does is you have a very small nerve that's going from your joint to the spinal cord. So if your joint's inflamed or painful, the pain signals go through that very small nerve, hit the spinal cord, and then go up to your brain to be felt this pain.

What we do is we put the same needle goes in the same 8 location. And instead of just giving the local anesthetic or the steroid, we put 9 10 a probe through that needle and that needle heats up to 90 degrees Celsius. By doing that, it causes that very small nerve to break down over a two-11 12 week period. So as that nerve breaks down, you lose the connection between the painful joint and your spinal cord. So even though this still may 13 14 have the same pathology there, you don't feel it as pain anymore because 15 that nerve is no longer existing.

However, all nerves do grow back. So just like if I cut your
finger and reattach it, you'll start getting sensation back later. And the
reason for that is because as the nerves start to grow back, if they grow
back in the same direction and reconnect, the pain signals start all over
again. So that's why the procedure which is called the rhizotomy may have
to repeat it on average like once every nine months to a year.

22

Q Okay.

A For the disk itself, that's -- that procedure doesn't exist. For the
disk itself, it would be surgery in the form either of a microdiscectomy,
plasma decompressions, fusions. There's a variety of surgeries.

1	Q Okay. You don't think that Mr. Morgan is a candidate for like a		
2	fusion-type that's not his issue. His issue doesn't have anything to do with		
3	the disk. It has to do with the facets; is that		
4	A That's correct.		
5	Q Okay. Now you mentioned that there is a nerve that connects.		
6	I guess what is the name of that nerve?		
7	A It's medial branch nerve.		
8	Q Okay. And so the rhizotomy would be to, I guess, destroy or I		
9	don't know the technical name, but you're focusing in on that part of the		
10	nerve?		
11	A Yes.		
12	Q Okay. Now you mentioned 90 degrees Celsius. What is that in		
13	Fahrenheit? I grew up in the United States here, so.		
14	A I mean what do you do, you multiply it be 1.8 and then you add		
15	32 to it. So it's hot. I mean it's 200 degrees, a little bit hotter than that.		
16	Q Okay. All right. Now let's focus in on the I guess the lower		
17	spine, the lumbar spine and we'll talk about that and I guess what your		
18	opinions in that regard are.		
19	A Okay. So in the low back, so he was describing pain that was		
20	in the low back that was going down the leg to the calf area. That can be		
21	reproduced either by lower facet joints or by a disk issue, all right. On the		
22	MRI, he had actually two MRIs done, and both MRIs showed joint issues as		
23	well as disk issues. For us, it's up to us to try to determine really where that		
24	issue is coming from. Because it wasn't classically going all the way into the		
25	foot, I thought it was a joint issue. So what we did, we did a joint block of		

the facets only on the right side. He didn't respond well to it at well. He only
 had like 10 percent, 15 percent benefit.

So with that, you can say it's not the joints because if it was the joints, he would have got like 50 percent, 40 percent, 60 percent, 80 percent benefit from it. Because we ruled that out, we can say, okay, what other things can contribute to that kind of pain. A disk issue can. We did an epidural injection at one particular level, which is L4/L5, and he responded well to that, about 50 percent benefit from it.

Q Okay. Now before I go on to that injection, what I wanted to do
I guess was ask you, you say he had a -- on the MRI, he had a joint issue
and a disk issue. What were you referring to there?

A So if you look at the -- one second -- if you look at the original MRI report on 7/31/14, he had disk bulges at three locations, and he had facet hypertrophy, which is the joint issues at several locations, at the last two actually. The subsequent MRI on 11/15/16 showed the same thing, the facet issues as well as the disk issues.

17 So once again, it's unlikely that every disk bulge was symptomatic because you can actually have disk bulges that don't hurt. So 18 19 it's up to us to say, okay, well, how is he describing his pain, what's the 20 physical examination to determine what's the most likely cause of the symptoms. Once again, we injected the joints. He didn't receive good 21 22 benefit from that, so we can kind of rule that out as a pain generator. We 23 ruled out muscles, ligaments, and sprains because this the pain has been going on for multiple, multiple months. by that point that should have 24 25 resolved if that was the case.

1		The only thing we're left with is the disk. We did a disk in	jection
2	which is the	e epidural at one level L4/L5 and he responded with a 50 p	ercent
3	benefit, temporary but 50 percent benefit with that. So that means that's the		
4	cause of his issues.		
5	Q	Okay. And	
6		MR. GARDNER: Counsel can we get the Bates number	on the
7	documents	he's looking at?	
8		THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry.	
9		MR. GARDNER: That's okay. Just	
10		THE WITNESS: Yeah.	
11		MR. GARDNER: if you could.	
12		THE CLERK: Just so we have it for the record.	
13		THE WITNESS: I don't the problem I'm looking at my o	own.
14		UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It probably doesn't have a d	ate.
15		UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: They're right behind you.	
16		THE WITNESS: Oh, these big ones?	
17		UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah.	
18		MR. GARDNER: Thank you.	
19		THE WITNESS: Let's see if I can find them in here.	
20		MR. CLOWARD: Yeah. They're under Dr. Coppel.	
21		THE WITNESS: Okay. It just has exhibits. Do you know	v
22	which		
23		UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Exhibit 9, I believe.	
24		THE WITNESS: Exhibit 9.	
25		[Counsel confer]	
		26	517

1		THE WITNESS: Sorry, this is kind of confusing the way you	
2	guys have it.		
3	BY MR. CL	-OWARD:	
4	Q	Doctor, if you'll look at Exhibit 9, the Bate label is NCP-000101.	
5		MR. CLOWARD: Your Honor, may I approach and hand this	
6	up?		
7		THE COURT: Go ahead.	
8		MR. CLOWARD: Okay.	
9	BY MR. CL	-OWARD:	
10	Q	So let's see, 90	
11	А	So if you actually if you look at it's NCP-000160 which is my	
12	last just r	my last note because it summaries everything in there.	
13	Q	160?	
14	А	160, yes.	
15	Q	Okay. Perfect.	
16	А	So it summarizes everything in there, but at the bottom of the	
17	page is the results of the radiological studies. So that kind of summarizes		
18	what they a	are.	
19	Q	Okay.	
20	А	And then I'm sure in here you guys actually have the readings	
21	for him. I think it was Las Vegas Radiology.		
22		MR. CLOWARD: Was that good enough?	
23		MR. GARDNER: Oh, that's perfectly fine. I just wanted to	
24	make sure that it's [indiscernible]. So it is Bates stamp 160 in Plaintiff's		
25	Exhibit 9?		
		27 518	

1	MR. CLOWARD: NCP-000160.		
2	MR. GARDNER: Got it. Thank you.		
3	MR. CLOWARD: Okay.		
4	MR. GARDNER: Thank you.		
5	BY MR. CLOWARD:		
6	Q Okay. So, Doctor, as you sit here having I guess the		
7	opportunity to look at that, look at the summary of the course of the		
8	treatment that you've had and was there something also significant that		
9	happened as far as Mr. Morgan? Was he able after that injection to go off of		
10	the pain medication at that time?		
11	A Yes. So he was. Then if you look at Bates stamp NCP-159		
12	Q Okay.		
13	A that's when he came back to see us two weeks after the		
14	procedure. So the procedure was done on 3/10/17. We saw him on		
15	3/28/17, which is about 18 days later. He said he received about 50 percent		
16	benefit from the procedure for one week and then during the time he had		
17	better functionality and better sleep patterns. It started to dissipate. Pain		
18	relief was only 20 percent at that point which sometimes happens, but he		
19	was able to discontinue the Norco, which is the narcotic.		
20	So, you know, when we look at this in terms of relief, we always		
21	say, pain level, what percentage did it go down but also how did it affect		
22	your functionality and were you able to take less medications as well. So if		
23	you say my pain level got better but it's still a 6 and it was a 6 before but		
24	now I don't need my narcotic medications and I'm sleeping better and I'm		
25	more functional, obviously, that's a good response.		

Q Okay. So he had not only a reduction in the pain but he's able to go off the pain medication, he's able to sleep better, doesn't give him a hundred percent relief, but he has improved functionality in these other factors that I guess give you an idea of the efficacy or usefulness of that injection?

6

Yes.

А

А

Q Okay. Now, Doctor, as you sit here today, is it -- I guess what is
your opinion, again to a reasonable degree of medical probability on a more
than likely than not basis, what level is it that is the level in the lumbar spine
that is causing the issues for Mr. Morgan?

11

I think it's the L4/L5, the level we injected.

Q Okay. And Dr. Muir talked about the course of treatment for
that as the surgeon, so we've already gone over that. We'll also talk to Dr.
Cash about that. But what is -- I guess what is your understanding of the
cause of these symptoms?

16 А Well, so when we as physicians talk about causation, it's sort of 17 very basic as when somebody comes in and says, I was involved in a 18 specific accident, whether it be a car accident, a slip-and-fall work injury, I was picking up a box, picking up my grandkids. And they say, well, when 19 did my pain begin and that's what it is. So either you had pain before the 20 21 specific instigating event, whatever that may be, and you have pain afterwards. If you say before this, I was perfectly fine, never had these 22 23 issues and this one thing happened to me, whether, once again, accident, 24 pick up my grandkid or what have you, and the pain began after that, then 25 we can say that thing is what led to you to have your symptoms.

And then what we do is we treat symptoms. We don't		
necessarily treat what we're seeing, one again, on an MRI. So even like I		
said in the	low back, he had several disk bulges on the low back on the MRI	,
I don't thin	k those were symptomatic, all of them, because we did one	
injection a	nd he got great benefit at just the L4/L5. So I think the L4/L5 is	
the main is	ssue.	
Q	Okay. Are you aware of any other potential cause of these	
symptoms	other than the car crash on 4/1 of 2014?	
А	No.	
Q	Okay. Now, I wanted to ask you about the treatment that you	
rendered.	Was the treatment that you rendered for this patient for both the	
complaints	s of pain in the neck, thoracic area, as well as the lumbar spine,	
was that re	easonable and necessary?	
А	Yes.	
Q	Was the billing that you billed for those services usual and	
customary	for the Las Vegas community?	
А	Yes.	
Q	Okay. Doctor, have all of your opinions been stated to a	
reasonable degree of medical probability on a more likely than not basis?		
А	Yes.	
Q	Okay. Thank you.	
	THE COURT: Mr. Gardner?	
	MR. GARDNER: Thank you, Your Honor.	
	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
BY MR. G	ARDNER:	
	30 521	
	said in the I don't thin injection a the main is Q symptoms A Q rendered. complaints was that re A Q customary A Q reasonable A Q	necessarily treat what we're seeing, one again, on an MRI. So even like I said in the low back, he had several disk bulges on the low back on the MRI I don't think those were symptomatic, all of them, because we did one injection and he got great benefit at just the L4/L5. So I think the L4/L5 is the main issue. Q Okay. Are you aware of any other potential cause of these symptoms other than the car crash on 4/1 of 2014? A No. Q Okay. Now, I wanted to ask you about the treatment that you rendered. Was the treatment that you rendered for this patient for both the complaints of pain in the neck, thoracic area, as well as the lumbar spine, was that reasonable and necessary? A Yes. Q Was the billing that you billed for those services usual and customary for the Las Vegas community? A Yes. Q Okay. Doctor, have all of your opinions been stated to a reasonable degree of medical probability on a more likely than not basis? A Yes. Q Okay. Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Gardner? MR. GARDNER: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GARDNER:

1	Q	Hello,	Doctor.
---	---	--------	---------

2 **A Hi**.

Q And one of those pesky questions I do need to ask you is how much are you being -- how much are you charging today for your testimony?

A Five thousand dollars.

Q Okay. Did you do anything special to prepare for your
testimony today?

8

5

A Just reviewed my medical records.

9 Q Did you see anything in your review of your medical records 10 that stood out that you hadn't remembered or something unusual or odd?

11

A No.

Q Dr. Muir testified yesterday that if any of us would undergo an
 MRI of our neck or our thoracic area and lumbar that it's likely that all of us
 would have certain defects, small bulges or cuts. Is that correct?

Yeah. I mean typically what I tell my patients is starting when 15 А 16 you're about 30 year old, it's when the degeneration process starts all over 17 your body. So that includes the spine. You know, your hair starts to go, fall out, go gray, wrinkles, things like that. And the spine's not any different. 18 19 And the way that typically presents in the spine will be issues with the disk 20 themselves. At 22 years old, it's kind of unlikely unless, you know, you did a 21 lot of sports or some type of genetic issues or significantly overweight, 22 things like that can play into it. But it's a possibility.

But, yes, there's studies that have been done that have taken people that are asymptomatic, meaning no pain, they stick them in an MRI machine, they come back and you see degenerative changes.

Q Okay. And thank you for that. You just made me depressed for
 the future by the way.

A All of us.

3

Q I'll get over it I guess. Now is there a difference between
perhaps the pain of a, I'll say, a youngster versus someone that's more
middle-aged? Is there -- do the pain generators treat old and young alike?

A Yeah. I mean basically pathology is pathology. So if you have
a pain at a specific nerve root, it's going to cause the same type of pain no
matter whether you're old or young. Now the response to your treatment
might be a little bit different, obviously. So, you know, when we're young
and we were 18, things happen and you go like, oh, I'm fine the next day.
As you get older, you'll feel it for more days and more days and sometimes it
just becomes chronic.

Q Yeah. Well, in this circumstance, Mr. Morgan was a young
 man, early twenties. Is that the kind of physiology that he would have that
 would do better and more quicker heal than maybe like a 40-year-old?

A Yeah. So typically the younger you are, obviously, the better you recuperate for any type of disease, whether it be pain, diabetes, anything like that. In terms of, also, the recuperation time, things that go into it is your physical state beforehand, whether you smoke or not, whether you were overweight or not but then genetics can sometimes play into it and the type of work that you do.

Q Okay. Well, did you -- do you know anything about the history
 of Mr. Morgan, whether he was a weightlifter or a runner or an athlete or
 anything like that?

32

52:

1	А	No, I remember he worked like at a I think at an athlet	ic club
2	or somethin	ng like that when he was seeing me, but not not before t	that.
3	Q	Okay. Do you know about any of his previous workout r	outines
4	or anything	g I'm not saying that he had them, but	
5	А	No, I	
6	Q	were you aware of any?	
7	А	l don't, no.	
8	Q	Where is your physical office? Where are you located?	
9	А	We have five locations in the city, but the main office is a	on
10	Charleston	and Rancho.	
11	Q	Okay. Now do you not only own your business but also	the
12	physical lo	cation that you're in?	
13	A	Yes. We we have the building as ours, yes.	
14	Q	Okay. How many partners are there that are involved in	that
15	А	None, just me. I'm the sole owner of the practice.	
16	Q	Okay. You're the sole owner of the practice	
17	А	Yes.	
18	Q	and also the five locations; is that correct?	
19	А	Yes. I'm sorry. I own the practice. I started the practice	about
20	eight years	s ago. We just had our anniversary. In the practice right n	OW
21	there is my	vself as a physician, four other doctors, and we have I thinl	k ten
22	mid-levels	that help basically treat patients.	
23	Q	Okay. But those other doctors and the lower level emplo	oyees,
24	they don't h	have any ownership in any of the buildings? Those are yo	urs?
25	А	Yes, sir.	
		33	524

Q Okay. How long have you -- have you owned all five of them for
about eight years?

3 А No, not at all. No. So the very first one we bought actually from 4 foreclosure from a pediatrician which was tragically actually from his wife 5 because he was jogging and he was killed while he was jogging hit by a car. 6 And then that was probably seven years ago. So what we normally do is we'll run in a location. If it feels like this is the location that needs our 7 8 services, then we typically will buy a building. And the reason we do that is 9 just economically to try to keep the rent stable for the long-term. Q 10 Okay. Now do you and Dr. Muir have any kind of a business relationship? 11 А 12 No.

Q He's not involved with any of the buildings that you own? 13 No. 14 А Okay. Do you get referrals from him? 15 Q Yes. 16 А 17 Q Okay. Was Mr. Morgan a referral from him to you? No. Α 18 Q How did he find you? How did Mr. Morgan get to you? 19 He -- let me look at my first -- so he was referred through us 20 Α 21 through Urgent Care Extra which is Urgent Care's are I think there's like 17 in the city. 22 Q Okay. So if someone goes in with a certain set of symptoms, 23 24 they will automatically refer them to you or are there several that they had 25 referred to --

1	А	I don't
2	Q	several doctors?
3	А	You would have to ask Urgent Care Extra. But basically I'm
4	assuming t	here's something like 60 different pain physicians in the city, so
5	they would	basically refer the patient to whatever's closest.
6	Q	Have you ever received a referral from Dr. Muir?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	Okay. About how many?
9	А	Less than 1 percent of what we see.
10	Q	Okay. Can you give us a number?
11	А	I haven't seen any this month or the month before, so.
12	Q	Okay. If he were to give you one referral a month or one or two,
13	that would	be pretty normal for you?
14	А	Yeah. I mean we get referrals from probably three to four
15	hundred dif	ferent physicians in the city. So we're one of the biggest pain
16	practice in	the state, so we take pretty much all the insurances. We treat all
17	patients, so	o that's why we get so many referrals.
18	Q	Okay. Are you someone that would actually refer something to
19	Dr. Muir?	
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	Can you give me a circumstance when you would actually refer
22	something	over to the surgeon?
23	А	Sure. So the basics is, once again, as I told you, there's only
24	four things	that can be done for pain. There's therapies, there's
25	medication	s, there's injections, and surgeries. We typically don't like to skip
		35 526

any of the treatment algorithms. For instance, we won't necessarily send
you to a surgeon if you haven't tried therapies because there's no point
because you're going to send the surgeon, you're going to waste your time
going there, waste your money, and then he's going to say, did you try the
therapies? No. Well, try the therapies because that may help, right?

So we typically want the patient to try therapies and 6 medications for a couple of months and see if their symptoms get better. If 7 8 they don't better, we typically obtain the MRIs at that point to say, okay, what's going on. Why are you not getting any better? If you're still 9 continuing to have symptoms, then we have the option of offering you the 10 treatment choice of doing injections. Not all patients take it. Some people 11 12 are very scared of needles. They say, hell, no, I don't want this done. No way that anybody's putting a needle in my spine. Other people will say, no, 13 14 the pain sucks. It's bothering me. It affects my work, my sleep. That's an 15 extreme option. I'll take it.

16 If you don't respond to the injections, then that's where the
17 surgeons come in because at the last treatment option for any of it is
18 surgery. But we typically, once again, don't like to skip any of those, at least
19 in our practice, skip any of those -- those steps.

20QOkay. Now did I hear you correctly by saying that Mr. Morgan21was not a surgical candidate for any level from thoracic down to the lumbar?

22 23 No. I think in the neck, the main issue was the joints.

Q Okay.

А

A Right? So there is no surgery for that. There's the rhizotomy.
Those are the injections with the heat therapy.

- 1
- Okay.

Q

A In the low back, we did the joint blocks. He didn't respond well to that, so we said, okay, it may be a disk issue. We did the disk injection and he responded well temporarily to that. The ultimate thing for a disk is surgery. And there's different types of surgeries. There's microdiscectomies which is the least -- the most conservative and least invasive is the microdiscectomy all the way up to fusions.

Q Do you have any explanation about why perhaps Mr. Morgan
has not undergone lumbar surgery?

10 Α No. I mean I wouldn't necessarily recommend it. The issue with surgeries is as follows: as soon as you do surgery on a disk, whether it 11 12 be a microdiscectomy or an actual fusion with hardware is that you change the anatomy of the spine. And what happens is you'll have what's called an 13 14 adjacent segment breakdown. By changing that anatomy and fusion it, it 15 becomes -- you get greater pressures above and below the level of your 16 fusion. It causes those levels to break down faster and you may require 17 surgery in those levels anywhere from five to ten years down the road.

18

Q Okay.

A So what most people will say is surgery should be your last
treatment option. The reason for that is it's irreversible. So a pill that I
prescribe to you can be stopped. When we do an injection, needles goes in,
needle comes out. There's nothing changed permanently, right? But a
surgery, once I take a portion of your body out or put hardware in there,
that's irreversible. So you have to make sure that most people -- and most
surgeons would say this, this is the last treatment option. If you can live with

528

your pain right now, live with the pain. If you say -- you get to the point
 where you say this is unbearable now, then surgery should be done.

3

Q Okay. Now, you see -- you see him monthly; is that correct?

A I haven't seen him for actually some time now. So the last time
I saw him was after the lumbar epidural injection. That was on 3/28/17. He
was able to discontinue the Norco medications, so at that point there's no
reason for him to come back and see him unless he wants more treatment
from me because just to come in and say hello, I still have my issues but I
don't need meds, I don't want an injection right now, I don't want to waste his
time or money.

11

22

Q Is that the only thing you prescribed to him was the Norco?

A No. I think we did a muscle relaxer, an anti-inflammatory, and a pain medication.

14 Q Okay. What kind of pain medication?

A I think it was Norco was the pain medication was the narcotic.

16 Q Oh, okay.

A And then the muscle relaxer, I think, was --

18 Q Soma?

A -- Soma, and then he had Naproxen as the anti-inflammatory.

20 Q What does it suggest to you that he ultimately got to the point

where he didn't feel like he needed pain medication anymore?

- A Yeah. I mean at this point, yeah, because --
- 23 Q That's a good thing, right?

A Well, yeah, of course. You don't want to be on narcotics,

especially with all the addiction stuff that's going on and he's young. You

1	want to avo	bid that if at all possible.
2	Q	Okay. But the last time you saw him was what, at the end of
3	March of th	is year?
4	А	Yes, sir.
5	Q	Is there any kind of a record or any kind of a document that
6	suggests th	nat he has gone back on to medication?
7	А	Not that I know of. So I don't know because that's the last time I
8	saw him, s	o we don't unless he comes back to the office, we have no way
9	of knowing	what his current condition is.
10	Q	Fair enough. That makes sense, so.
11	А	Yeah.
12	Q	Will you describe what a rhizotomy is one more time just real
13	А	Sure.
14	Q	slow for
15	А	Yeah, no problem. So, once again, you have I'll just take this
16	as I don'	want to take it off, but let's say this is your painful joint and you
17	have a sma	all nerve that goes from the painful joint to your spinal cord, right?
18	In this case	e, let's say the computer. When we do the original block the
19	needle con	nes in here. We numb up this joint right here in this nerve
20	temporarily	. We stun this so basically there's no pain signals that
21	temporarily	go across this. And we'll say, did you get any benefit, yes or no?
22	If the answ	er is yes, whatever percent you got means it's coming from this
23	joint.	
24		If the issues come back and responded well to the original
25	blocks, we	could do what's called the rhizotomy. The rhizotomy is needle
		³⁹ 530
1	•	

goes in the same spot, but instead of just giving you a local anesthetic, we 1 apply heat to this at 90 degrees Celsius. What that does is causes this to 2 3 break down, so it's just like if I cut this wire. This still exists, but there's no 4 longer a connection anymore to your spinal cord to go up to the brain. So 5 the pain signals stop, right? The pain signal gets generated, goes this way, connection's 6 lost. You don't feel anything. The reason it doesn't last forever is, once 7 8 again, the nerves grow back. If they grow back in the same direction and reconnect, those signals start all over again. 9 Q All right. Let me ask, is there a procedure, a rhizotomy that 10 perhaps could reduce pain in the lower legs? 11 12 Α No, because you don't want to do a rhizotomy on any other 13 nerve because if you do a rhizotomy on a major nerve that's coming out of the spinal cord like these guys, it'll lead you to have paralysis because that's 14 15 a motor nerve as well. MR. GARDNER: Just one moment, Your Honor? 16 [Pause] 17 MR. GARDNER: I'll pass the witness. Thank you. 18 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Cloward? 19 MR. CLOWARD: Just a couple of follow-ups, Your Honor. 20 **REDIRECT EXAMINATION** 21 BY MR. CLOWARD: 22 Doctor, just very briefly, I just have a couple of follow-ups. Now 23 Q I guess the first thing I wanted to ask you is are you aware of the recent 24 25 treatment that or consultations that Dr. Muir has had with Mr. Morgan? 531

A No. Once again, the last time I saw him was on 3/28/17. So I'm not sure what's gone on after that.

Q Okay. If Dr. Muir has seen the patient within the last couple of months for complaints of neck and back pain and it's been over three years since the crash, do you have any reason to believe that Mr. Morgan's problems are going to spontaneously go away?

A No. Typically, you know, what we tell people is the longer you go with your symptoms, the more likely they're going to be chronic longterm. So if you told me right now, look my accident was yesterday and I have symptoms. What's the likelihood of me having this long-term? I'm going to say, like 10 percent, right? If you say, look, I've had these issues three years. What's the likelihood of me having these symptoms next month? Almost a hundred percent. I don't think it's going to go away --

14

15

Q Okay.

A -- unless something else is done for it.

16 Q Okay. And, again, another spine article, this is called "Radio 17 Frequency Medial Branch Neurotomy in Litigant Versus Non-Litigant 18 Patients With Cervical Whiplash". And I just would ask you to agree or disagree whether you agree with this statement that it says, "Studies show 19 20 that 15 percent of whiplash patients suffer severe pain for one to three 21 years. Between 26 percent and 44 percent of patients will develop longterm problems." -- do you believe that -- oh wait, excuse me -- "And 5 22 percent of patients develop chronic unremitting pain." Do you agree with 23 that statement? 24

25

А

Yeah. It's sort of what I told you initially. About 80 percent of

41

1	the people	e get better with the conservative. Of the 20 percent that's	left,
2	another sa	ay 10 another half of those would get better with injection	s and
3	the rest of	them are left with chronic issues that may require surgery.	
4	Q	Okay. And on a more likely than not basis based on the	!
5	ongoing co	omplaints that I've represented Dr. Muir testified that jurors	heard
6	that testim	iony, on a more likely than not basis, is it reasonable to suc	ggest
7	that it's mo	ore probable that Mr. Morgan is going to have ongoing pair	ı
8	complaints	s into the future?	
9	А	Yes. It's most likely than not.	
10	Q	Okay. And, again, more likely than not, is it reasonable	to
11	suggest th	at Mr. Morgan will have ongoing needs for medical treatme	ent?
12	А	Yes.	
13	Q	And, again, on a more likely than not basis, is it reasona	ble to
14	suggest th	at he will continue to experience pain and suffering into the	Ð
15	future?		
16	А	Yes.	
17	Q	Now you were asked some questions about your relation	nships
18	with Dr. M	uir and the ownership. It sounds like you've done well.	
19	А	Yeah, with a lot of work. A lot of long hours.	
20	Q	And you say there are 60 pain physicians in the Valley?	
21	А	Yeah, at least 60. Yes.	
22	Q	That do similar I guess work as you?	
23	А	Yes.	
24	Q	Have you heard of the name of Steven Sanders?	
25	А	Yes.	
		42	533
I	-		

1	Q	Is Steven Sanders a pain management doctor?	
2	А	I think yeah, I believe so. But I mean there's 60, so I c	lon't
3	know every	body, but I know most most of the people.	
4	Q	If he testified that he's actually not a pain management of	loctor,
5	he's an orth	opedic joint, bone and joint	
6	А	Right.	
7	Q	I guess, well, we'll leave that to him.	
8	А	Okay.	
9	Q	Have you heard of Bone and Joint Specialists?	
10	А	Yes, I have.	
11	Q	I'm going to just show you just this article, this here. Have	/e you
12	heard of Mi	chael Elkanich?	
13	А	Yes. He's an orthopedic spine surgeon.	
14	Q	He's an orthopedic spine surgeon, and he does spine	
15	surgeries?		
16	А	Yes, and then Dr. Manning, Dr. Mendez are joints.	
17	Q	Okay.	
18	А	Usually I think Manning is more like hippish, a hip guy.	Mendez
19	is usually sl	noulders and knees. Rosen, same thing. And then Sande	ers,
20	same thing.	And I don't know who Jocelyn [indiscernible] physician's	
21	assistant the	at helps him out.	
22	Q	Okay. But you are aware of Michael Elkanich?	
23	А	Yes. I've known him for almost ten years. I think he did	med
24	school at th	e University of Arizona and he was there before I was the	ere.
25	Q	Has he ever referred you patients?	
		43	534

1	А	Yes.
2	Q	Okay. And he's a spine surgeon?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. How many spine surgeons are there here in the Valley?
5	A	Oh, boy. My best estimate would probably be about 15 to 20.
6	Q	Fifteen to twenty, okay. Just a kind of a random question, if you
7	had an ele	ctrical problem at your house, would you call a plumber?
8	А	No, an electrician.
9	Q	Okay. Have all of your opinions been to a reasonable degree of
10	medical pr	obability, Doctor, on a more likely than not basis?
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	Okay. Thank you.
13	A	You're welcome.
14		RECROSS-EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. G	ARDNER:
16	Q	Doctor, what do you know about the accident that Mr. Morgan
16 17	Q was involv	
17	was involv A	ed in?
17 18	was involv A	ed in? Simply what he told me at his initial visit, which was he was
17 18 19	was involv A driving his	ed in? Simply what he told me at his initial visit, which was he was Ford Mustang and then collided with a retirement bus.
17 18 19 20	was involv A driving his Q A	ed in? Simply what he told me at his initial visit, which was he was Ford Mustang and then collided with a retirement bus. Do you know anything further, like
17 18 19 20 21	was involv A driving his Q A reconstruc	ed in? Simply what he told me at his initial visit, which was he was Ford Mustang and then collided with a retirement bus. Do you know anything further, like No. I haven't seen police reports. I'm not an accident
17 18 19 20 21 22	was involv A driving his Q A reconstruc	ed in? Simply what he told me at his initial visit, which was he was Ford Mustang and then collided with a retirement bus. Do you know anything further, like No. I haven't seen police reports. I'm not an accident tion specialist. I didn't go to the scene of the accident or any of
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	was involv A driving his Q A reconstruc that. I sim Q	ed in? Simply what he told me at his initial visit, which was he was Ford Mustang and then collided with a retirement bus. Do you know anything further, like No. I haven't seen police reports. I'm not an accident tion specialist. I didn't go to the scene of the accident or any of ply what the patient told us.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	was involv A driving his Q A reconstruc that. I sim Q	ed in? Simply what he told me at his initial visit, which was he was Ford Mustang and then collided with a retirement bus. Do you know anything further, like No. I haven't seen police reports. I'm not an accident tion specialist. I didn't go to the scene of the accident or any of ply what the patient told us. Okay. Now if the patient began to be injured, let's just say

- 1
- Yes.

А

Q When would he start showing or feeling those pains that we've
 been talking about throughout this trial?

Α It's variable. In my experience, I've seen them from 4 5 immediately right after the accident to it could be most likely not -- most people within a week, a couple of days. A lot of times what happens is the 6 adrenaline itself is so ongoing. Then when that starts to go down a little bit, 7 8 the symptoms start to appear. The other times that -- sometimes what will happen is that people will be feeling normal until they return back to work 9 and do the physical activities. And they start noticing that they're actually 10 having symptoms that doesn't allow them to use the normal activities. But 11 12 the longer you go from an accident without any symptoms, the less likelihood it is that those symptoms are related to the accident. 13

So if you, for instance, if he said I had knee pain and it began
six months after an accident and he says, I believe this is from the accident,
I'm going to say no. It's most likely not related to the accident.

Q Okay. Well, you've rendered some opinions about the condition
of his cervical, thoracic, and lower back. Are those injuries things that would
have been generating pain sooner or later after an accident?

A Usually the joint issues are sooner and the disk issues can
develop later.

22

Q Why is that?

A Because the disk itself takes time -- if you start to break down
 the process of the disk with an injury, it may not appear -- the symptoms
 may not appear for weeks afterwards or sometimes even like a month or two

months afterwards. Because as that degeneration process progresses,
that's when the disk starts moving backwards and backwards more and then
it finally reaches to a point where it really causes irritation of the spine or the
nerves and that's when you get the symptoms. A whiplash injury is an
immediate injury to the joints because you have that sudden accelerationdeceleration injury, so the joint capsules and the nerves and muscles and
ligaments get inflamed right away.

8

Q Did we have that whiplash motion in this case?

A Yes. So any time you have a sudden acceleration-deceleration
injury, it doesn't necessarily mean a rear-end. It could be a side collision,
impact collision. It's just basically the sudden quick motion like this.

Q Okay. If he -- say, for example, this incident was on an angle.
Would you expect the neck to have gone straight forward and straight back
or would you have expected it to go in the direction of the angle that caused
the accident?

A It depends on the way the patient's seated. It depends which
way they're looking, and it depends on the angle. And then the forces that
are transferred, it also depends on the weights and velocities of the vehicle.
So I did chemical engineering in college, so I know a lot about engineering
and principles and all that.

But, once again, not all collisions result in injuries. I've seen people have rollover accidents and they get out and they're perfectly fine. And then there's been cases where there have been minor accidents and it was in the news like six months ago or almost a year where a lady ended up dying that she had a aortic dissection she didn't know developed after the

accident, and it was a minor accident. So every patient is different, and
 that's why we always try the conservative stuff first in the hopes that most of
 the issues resolve on their own.

Q How would you have expected Mr. Morgan to react immediately
after this accident from a physiological standpoint? Would he be walking
around, laying down? What would you have expected based upon these
alleged injuries?

А Well, everybody's different. It just depends on how it affects 8 him right then and there. Some people are dazed and confused when they 9 10 get out. Some people are taken immediately to the hospital. Other people are taken by family members. Other people wait several days to seek out 11 12 any medical attention. I mean everybody's honestly a little bit different, and I wouldn't put a lot of basis on the way you react immediately afterwards to 13 really whether or not you're having symptoms that are going to be long-term. 14 15 Q Okay. Take that one step further though. We're dealing with a

- 16 young man --
 - A Yes.

Q -- presumably in good shape. Would that have any impact on
 the -- on your opinions regarding what he would have been expected to be
 like after the accident being young --

- 21
- A No.
- 22

17

Q -- young like that?

A No, not really. That has nothing to do with it. There's a lot of issues that go into that are more important, once again, some of the ones that I mentioned, than really your age.

1QOther than looking at your chart, did you do anything else2prepare for your testimony today?3A4Q4Have you had an opportunity to speak with counsel?	had to
3 A No .	
4 Q Have you had an opportunity to speak with counsel?	
5 A Yeah, last night a little bit because I didn't know where I h	why l
6 show up and we didn't have a depo so I was a little confused. That's	
7 was going to court.	
8 Q Okay. And that's all you spoke about?	
9 A Yes.	
10 MR. GARDNER: I'll pass. Thank you.	
THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
12THE COURT: Do we have any questions from the jury?	
13 MR. CLOWARD: Your Honor, can I approach?	
14 THE COURT: Sure.	
15[Bench conference begins at 11:25 a.m.]	
16 MR. CLOWARD: I was going to say he went I don't lik	e to
¹⁷ object a lot, but he went outside the scope. I wanted to ask a couple	of
18 follow-ups if the Court would let me.	
19 THE COURT: What did you want to ask?	
20 MR. CLOWARD: Some of the questions about the disk a	and
21 then also just to I guess there was something else I had in my note, b	out
22 mainly just that.	
23 MR. GARDNER: For what it's worth, I don't have a probl	em
24 with it.	
25 MR. CLOWARD: Oh, and the age.	
48	539

1	THE COURT: You can just ask him just ask him in follow-up.
2	when we do follow-up?
3	MR. CLOWARD: Sure.
4	THE COURT: Okay. I'll give you a little latitude in the follow
5	up.
6	MR. CLOWARD: Okay.
7	MR. GARDNER: I'm fine with all these.
8	MR. CLOWARD: Okay.
9	THE COURT: You're fine with all of them?
10	MR. CLOWARD: What's that?
11	THE COURT: You're fine with all of them?
12	MR. CLOWARD: I am.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	MR. CLOWARD: I guess I would be fine with them so long as
15	they're not going to open the door to because this one might open the
16	door to the secondary gain for Sanders, and I don't want to say that I'm okay
17	with this and
18	THE COURT: Well, you didn't ask the question.
19	MR. CLOWARD: And then the same thing with the work. I
20	don't want to say that that opens the door.
21	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I don't think the jury can open the
22	door for you.
23	THE COURT: Yeah. I don't think so.
24	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. We're just making sure.
25	[Bench conference ends at 11:28 a.m.]
	49 540

1	THE COURT: Okay. So I'm going to ask you questions. I'm
2	going to ask you to look at the jury when you answer them so that they can
3	hear you.
4	THE WITNESS: Okay.
5	THE COURT: How many days after the crash did Mr. Morgan
6	seek out help from a doctor for pain for his back pain?
7	THE WITNESS: Can you for the spine or the low back? I
8	guess that's the
9	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That would
10	THE COURT: We can't really ask the question.
11	THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. I'm sorry. Sure. So basically on
12	the day of the accident, he was taken to Sunrise Hospital, so he sought out
13	medical attention the day of the accident. He went to Urgent Care before he
14	came to see me, and he saw me the accident was on 4/1/14, and I saw
15	him on 4/21/14. So in that timeframe, which is twenty days, he was seen at
16	Urgent Care and also the same day at the emergency department. So he
17	sought out care right away.
18	THE COURT: In your professional opinion, is Mr. Morgan able
19	to work and hold a job based on his current condition?
20	THE WITNESS: It depends on the job. So basically, like
21	everything else, it depends on the physical activity and what he's able to
22	perform. In my opinion, most people are able to hold a job. It just has to be
23	the right job. So if he says, look we treat a lot of firefighters, a lot of police
24	officers. So their job requirements are much, much different than somebody
25	who basically would be somebody I don't know typing types all day

that's in a chair, right? So he should be able to hold some type of job, but I
don't know if returning back to his previous job, which was working at a gym
that requires a lot of physical activity is doable. He's just going to have to
find something that's -- that's more amicable to his symptoms.

5 THE COURT: How do you determine or can you determine if 6 Mr. Morgan's faking his injuries and pain?

THE WITNESS: So we do -- at the initial office when we do an
examination, we do it with Dell signs and what that basically does is it's
physical examination maneuvers that we can do that you don't even know
what we're doing in order to see whether you're faking it or not, so like nonanatomic tenderness if -- or over-embellishing.

12 Or when you say -- and it's happened before -- like I can barely move my neck and then when you go pick up your phone and it rings in the 13 office and you go like this, we're like really, right? Or I can't pick up more 14 15 than two -- two pounds but you come with your purse that has ten or fifteen 16 pounds, you're like that doesn't make sense to me, right. The same thing if I 17 go to touch you and dong an exam and I barely touch you and you go, oh, my god. I'm like I just barely literally brushed your -- your shirt and this 18 doesn't make up. I didn't pick any of that up with him, but and so, yeah. 19

20THE COURT: When you saw Mr. Morgan, where specifically21did he report lower extremity pain? Was the pain in both legs or one leg?

THE WITNESS: It was one leg. It was the right leg, lateral aspect of it going into the calf area. And that's why we did the injection only on one side, which is the right, and it one level L4/L5 which would be the distribution that you would see where he was describing his symptoms.

542

1		THE COURT: All right. Mr. Cloward, follow-up?	
2		MR. CLOWARD: Just a couple of follow-up.	
3		FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION	
4	BY MR. CI	_OWARD:	
5	Q	Dr. Coppel, you were asked some questions about I guess	
6	when sym	ptom would present, what's reasonable for symptoms to preser	nt
7	and you ki	nd of mentioned, look, if somebody has a symptom that presen	ts
8	like six mo	nths later, that's not normally going to be likely caused by the	
9	traumatic e	event. You said specifically with facets due to the acceleration,	
10	sudden ac	celeration, sudden deceleration, those are something that	
11	manifest e	arly on right off the bat.	
12	А	Yes.	
13	Q	Is that fair?	
14	А	Yes.	
15	Q	Okay. But I want to make sure that I heard you correctly. Yo	bu
16	said when	it's a discogenic pain generator, sometimes that can take a mo	nth
17	or even tw	o to develop due to the I guess the anatomy of the disk.	
18	А	Right. It's a longer natural progressing course than it would be	ре
19	for the join	ts. Sometimes you do see it immediately, but it wouldn't be	
20	surprising	to say if it develop four weeks, six weeks into it or eight weeks	
21	into it.		
22	Q	Okay. So in this case, Dr. Muir testified yesterday and we we	ent
23	over the sp	pecific I guess presentation of injuries. The neck was right off t	he
24	bat, the fire	st visit with the ER. One week later at the emergence or at the	ne
25	Urgent Ca	re, it was neck and now wrists. And then I believe about a coup	ple
		52 54	3
	-		

1	of weeks at	fter that, I think on the 23rd is when you saw him and then	the
2	24th is when the chiropractor saw him. And it's around that area so that		
3	would be ro	would be roughly 23 days after the accident Mr. Morgan is now complaining	
4	of pain into	his lower back.	
5	Give	n that his pain generator in the low back is discogenic, is t	hat an
6	abnormal p	resentation for that pain?	
7	А	No.	
8	Q	Is there anything inconsistent about that?	
9	А	No.	
10	Q	Okay. Does I guess a multi-trauma is it unusual for a	multi-
11	trauma situ	ation, like say, for instance, in his case he had wrist pain	which
12	he had sur	gery for. Does I guess sometimes one injury take precede	ent over
13	another?		
14		MR. GARDNER: Object. Leading, but	
15		THE COURT: Overruled.	
16		MR. CLOWARD: I can I'll	
17		THE COURT: No, it's [indiscernible]	
18		MR. GARDNER: Thank you.	
19		THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, so when somebody cor	nes in
20	with multipl	e areas, we say what's the worst area. That's what we're	going
21	to treat first	. So we don't we don't like to just jump around and do a	a whole
22	lot of differe	ent things. It's you're coming in, you have neck pain, mid	back
23	pain, low ba	ack pain. I'm going to say what's the level and what does	it vary
24	in each loca	ation. What's the worst and what area would you like me	to treat
25	first becaus	se we can't really do at least with the injections, we can't	t do
		53	544

everything at one time. When we do give you medications though, it helps
everything because when you take the pill, it goes in your stomach,
dissolves, it gets absorbed in your bloodstream, so it's going to help any
type of pain that you're having from the wrist, neck, head all the way down to
your feet.

6 BY MR. CLOWARD:

Q Okay. And just a final I guess question based on one of the
thoughtful questions from the jurors. Was there anything at all about
Aaron's presentation that caused you to say, you know what, something's
not right here. You know, this isn't -- he's not really hurt. You know,
something else is going on.

12 А No, I mean I didn't -- I didn't pick any of that up to be honest with you. I think he tried to work consistently throughout. There was points 13 where he actually increased his work hours and made his symptoms worse. 14 15 He wasn't requiring early refills on narcotics, didn't want to go up on 16 narcotics. In fact, at the very end, he just decided discontinue the narcotics 17 even though he was still having issues. So there wasn't anything really that 18 I was picking up to say this is a little bit concerning. I think this guy is playing me for more narcotics, which is what sometimes happens in pain 19 20 management or other things like that.

Q So he wasn't coming in saying, hey, doctor, I want you to
 prescribe OxyContin, you know, in the strongest dose, nothing like that?
 A Right. It's not like he's coming in and saying give me an
 elevation of my narcotic medications, more frequency, more strength. Oh,
 and by the way, I don't want to do any of the conservative stuff. I just want

545

1	to stick to the pain pills.				
2	Q	Okay.			
3	А	So I think he was trying to do what we what he was request	ted		
4	by his physicians to do.				
5	Q	Fair enough. Thank you, Doctor.			
6		THE COURT: Mr. Garner?			
7		MR. GARDNER: Nothing further. Thank you.			
8		THE COURT: All right. Thank you.			
9		THE WITNESS: Thank you, Judge Bell. I appreciate it.			
10		THE COURT: Okay, folks. We're going to go I'm sorry.			
11	Could I see counsel for just one second?				
12		[Bench conference begins at 11:35 a.m.]			
13		THE COURT: So you got the next witness coming out?			
14		MR. CLOWARD: Yeah. If the Court would we're very			
15	respectful of the Court's time, so we're prepared to call the Defendant if				
16	you'd like us to.				
17	THE COURT: No. Let's just go ahead and [indiscernible].				
18	We'll give them a little bit longer for lunch. It's a little easier just getting in				
19	and out in time, so.				
20		MR. CLOWARD: Okay.			
21		THE COURT: So we have a little bit longer than an hour, so			
22	just we'll br	eak into then you have the doctor next and your clients ready	Y		
23	whenever [Dr. Cash is done then?			
24		MR. CLOWARD: Yep. Thank you.			
25		THE COURT: Okay.			
		55 54	6		

1	[Bench conference ends at 11:36 a.m.]		
2	THE COURT: All right. Folks, we're going to go ahead and		
3	break for lunch until 1:00. During this break, you are admonished not to talk		
4	or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject		
5	connected with this trial or watch or listen to any report of or commentary on		
6	the trial or any person connected to the trial by any medium of information,		
7	including without limitation newspapers, television, internet, and radio or		
8	form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the		
9	case is finally submitted to you.		
10	I'll remind you again not to do any independent research. And		
11	we'll see you back at 1:00.		
12	THE MARSHAL: Please rise for the jury.		
13	[Jury out at 11:37 a.m.]		
14	THE COURT: All right. Anything we need to take care of		
15	outside the presence of the jury?		
16	MR. CLOWARD: No, Your Honor.		
17	THE COURT: I do have copies of the instructions if you want		
18	those.		
19	[Recess at 11:38 a.m., recommencing at 1:00 p.m.]		
20	THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.		
21	[Jury in at 1:13 p.m.]		
22	THE MARSHAL: Please be seated.		
23	THE COURT: Back on the record in case number A718679,		
24	Morgan vs. Lujan. And let the record reflect the presence of all of our jurors,		
25	parties, and counsel.		
	₅₆ 547		
I			

1	Ladies and Gentlemen, I am so sorry for the delay. It was			
2	entirely my fault. I had a mandatory judges' meeting, like all of the judges			
3	had a meeting during lunch. So while you were eating lunch, I was at a			
4	meeting, which was kind of boring. And it ran long. In fact, they're still up			
5	there and I left, but I needed to stay just for a little bit to deal with some			
6	things. So I apologize for that.			
7	All right. Mr. Cloward, please call your next witness.			
8	MR. CLOWARD: Your Honor, the Plaintiff calls Dr. Andrew			
9	Cash.			
10	THE MARSHAL: Remain standing and raise your right hand			
11	and face the Clerk to be sworn in, please.			
12	ANDREW CASH			
13	[having been called as witness and being duly sworn testified as follows:]			
14	THE CLERK: Thank you.			
15	THE COURT: Good afternoon, sir. Go ahead and have a seat.			
16	If you could please state your name and then spell it for the record?			
17	THE WITNESS: Absolutely. Good afternoon. My name is			
18	Dr. Andrew Cash, C-A-S-H.			
19	THE COURT: Go ahead.			
20	MR. CLOWARD: Thank you, Your Honor.			
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION			
22	BY MR. CLOWARD:			
23	Q How are you doing today, Dr. Cash?			
24	A Great, counsel. How are you doing?			
25	Q Good. Now, like we've started with all of the witness in the			
	57 548			
		•		

case, I guess if you could just take a moment and explain to the jurors some
 of your qualifications, if you're a doctor. Just let us know a little bit about
 yourself, if you would.

А Sure. So I went to college at the University of North Carolina at 4 5 Chapel Hill and I stayed there for medical school and decided I wanted to be an orthopaedic surgeon when I grew up. So I went to Atlanta, Georgia for a 6 7 60-month program, which is five years, and I studied orthopaedics 8 exclusively. And that's the whole musculoskeletal nervous system for the human body, so any kind of car wrecks, any kind of blown out knees, any 9 10 kind of knee replacements, anything that can get broken, essentially, long bones, arms, legs, hips, pelvis, shoulders, neck, and back, spine. I studied 11 12 that for five years.

But then I decided I wanted to do a little bit more intensive study in spine surgery and I was lucky enough to meet up with Bob Watkins out of Los Angeles who takes care of all the major athletic teams in the country as well as just everyday common injuries. And so I was very fortunate to get a lot of training with him. And that was in Los Angeles.

And then I came out here, having my residency and my fellowship, which is 14 years combined. I also had done a year of research back in med school, so it was about 15 years. I passed my written boards, passed my oral board examinations, and I started practicing here around 2006. I maintain a current, active licensure here. I recertified for my boards last year. It's good until 2029.

I'm an orthopaedic surgeon. I'm a fellowship-trained spine
surgeon. So what I do routinely is I look at patients that come in either

through car wrecks, falls, worker's comp, aging, any kind of minor or major
accidents, and I evaluate their necks and backs, any other orthopaedic
conditions, and decide if they can benefit from a treatment modality other
than surgery to try to get them better. And then if and when they do need
surgery, I try to perform it in the most minimally-invasive way possible using
state-of-the-art techniques which they actually teach internationally and I go
and take courses on internationally. That's what I do.

8

9

Q You do actually treat patients?

A Absolutely. All the time. Every week.

Q Like, for instance, give me a -- I guess a day-to-day -- earlier
today were you treating patients?

A Yes, sir. Earlier today I went by the surgery center to prepare
for a surgery, make sure they had everything. And then I went and saw
about a dozen patients in my office and I worked on a couple of reports.
And then I came over here. So I see patients routinely three or four times a
week, almost every week.

Q Okay. So I guess the one question I did have to -- or I did want
to ask you is you treat patients. Do you also do what's called forensic expert
work?

A Absolutely. When I say I was working on some reports, oftentimes in cases like these I'll be asked if I would look at some records either on behalf of the plaintiff's counsel or the defense counsel and ask if these records make sense, were there any injuries at all, if so what were they, what were they caused by, was there anything preexisting, was there anything subsequent, and if the billing corresponds with what was

55C

1	performed.	So I look at the causation and the damages and the med	dicals in	
2	cases both for defense and plaintiffs.			
3	Q	Okay. Now, obviously, if you're a treating physician you	would	
4	come and testify. Are you aware of the difference between what's called a			
5	treating phy	vician expert versus a consulting forensic expert?		
6	А	Yes, absolutely.		
7	Q	Okay. So just to be clear, you sometimes will come to c	ourt	
8	and you'll be, I guess, the treating patient's physician expert?			
9	А	That's correct.		
10	Q	And then other times maybe you're hired by the plaintiff,	maybe	
11	you're hired by a defendant to come and testify in a forensic capacity as			
12	a as an expert witness?			
13	А	Yeah. In a case where I did not actually meet the patier	nt or	
14	perhaps met them just for an independent medical evaluation, but I was not			
15	a treater in that instance.			
16	Q	Okay. So in that I guess in that setting when you're h	ired as	
17	a treating or, excuse me. See, I get like this every single day. I get you			
18	know, around 2:00. I apologize. It's just how it is. I'm sorry. But so if you're			
19	hired now	I get flustered. Now I'm embarrassed so I'm forgetting v	vhat I'm	
20	supposed to say or my next question. Okay. So when you're			
21		MR. GARDNER: Do you need a Snickers?		
22		MR. CLOWARD: What's that?		
23		MR. GARDNER: You need a Snickers?		
24		MR. CLOWARD: That might help, actually. I don't eat of	during	
25	trial becaus	e I get stomach problems, but		
		60	551	
			I	

1			
_	L		

BY MR. CLOWARD:

Q When you're hired -- when you're brought to court either by the
plaintiff or the defense, do you have a breakdown of what that percentage
is?

A Yeah. So when I'm not treating a patient, I'm being retained just
to review records and give opinions as a forensics expert only, I'd say
probably 70 to 80% of the time it's for defense and the other 20 to 30% of
the time it would be for the plaintiffs.

Q Okay. So when you come and testify as a retained expert,
more times than not it's actually as -- for the defense?

11

А

Yeah, that's correct.

Q Okay. Now, another question that I had, Dr. Cash, was did you
 talk about your fellowship training?

A Yeah, I mentioned it briefly, that I spent a year with Bob
 Watkins out of Los Angeles and studied exclusively spine and how to treat it
 in the most minimally-invasive and best ways possible.

Q Okay. And we're going to be hearing tomorrow from Dr. Steven
Sanders. Is he a spine-fellowship trained spine surgeon?

A No. I think Dr. Sanders -- and I worked with him when I was at
UMC Hospital -- I forgot to mention that. I took care of patients at UMC
Hospital, a level one trauma center here. The worst of the worst
orthopaedic injuries come in. I was probably one of the only two people in

the state or at least west of the Mississippi that would take care of

orthopaedics, pelvis, and spine. At UMC Hospital, we had to break those

25 into compartmentalized specialties, but I did all three of those when I was

there for three years.

So I worked with Dr. Sanders at the time. He didn't do spine
there. He didn't do pelvis. He did orthopaedics. And I don't believe he did a
fellowship in spine. I don't believe he's -- he might not have ever done a
spine surgery as an attending. I don't think he's qualified with his privileges
in the hospitals and I've never heard of him doing spine surgery. So I
believe he's exclusively orthopaedics.

Q Okay. And when we talked to Dr. Muir, he explained a little bit
about getting privileges and what's involved with that. Now, because you've
had a fellowship training in the spine, does that mean that you can go in and
perform any surgery you want at the facilities that you had privileges at?

12

А

Now, are you talking about just spine surgeries or just --

13QNo. I mean like if you wanted to go do surgery -- if you wanted14to do a total hip replacement, could you go and do that?

15 Α I couldn't right now because what they do is the hospitals have 16 to look out for the patients that are being brought there, and part of that is 17 making sure their doctors are competent to do the surgeries for which they're trying to perform. So what you'll do when you'll first come to town is 18 you'll submit all the cases that you've done like in the last six months or 19 20 year, whatever the independent hospital requirements are. And you'll have 21 to tutor under somebody. They'll have to proctor you. Another doctor in 22 town will have to proctor you for several cases and make sure they think 23 you're competent. And then you fill out the paperwork and you get vetted by 24 a board and everything like that. And you have to renew that every couple 25 of years, too, at the hospital. So I haven't submitted for a knee replacement

1	or hip replacement in five, ten years at least. So I'd be I would never take			
2	a patient for one of those surgeries because I probably wouldn't be doing it			
3	as well as I could have ten years ago when I was doing those routinely. So			
4	they wou	ld not let me do that tomorrow and I wouldn't even ask that.		
5	So	if you're asking if Dr if this Dr. Smith can do a spine surgery, I		
6	doubt it.	I don't think he's ever done one in the ten or		
7	Q	Dr. Sanders?		
8	А	Or, sorry, Dr. Sanders in the last 10 or 15 year		
9	Q	Okay.		
10	A that he's been here. So the hospitals really make sure that			
11	you're up to date and are doing these surgeries often enough that you can			
12	be doing them safely in the hospital.			
13	Q	Okay. One other question I had was approximately how man	у	
14	spine surgeons and that could be either orthopaedic spine surgeons or			
15	neuro spine surgeons are there in town?			
16	А	I'd say between 25 and 30 of orthopaedic-trained spine		
17	surgeons and neurosurgeons.			
18	Q And then do you know approximately how many pain			
19	managen	nent doctors there are in town that do, you know, injections, thing	IS	
20	of that nature?			
21	А	I think the pain management doctors that are invasive and do		
22	interventi	onal injections would be somewhere around 80 to 100.		
23	Q	Okay. Now, have you heard of bone and joint specialists?		
24	А	Yes.		
25	Q	Have you heard of Dr. Michael Elkanich?		
		63 554	4	

1	А	Absolutely.	
2	Q	Is he a spine surgeon?	
3	А	Yes. He's also a fellowship-trained spine surgeon.	
4	Q	Okay. Do you know if he does expert work, for instance,	
5	forensic ex	pert	
6	А	Oh, absolutely. Well, he I know he used to. I think he	still
7	does a little bit.		
8	Q	Okay. So now what I'd like to do, I guess, is to talk a little	e bit
9	about the treatment of the spine. And there's a there's the model to your		
10	left if you if that'd be helpful to use. If not, you don't have to use that, if		
11	you feel like it would be. But I'd like to just talk about the spine and some of		
12	the things that can cause folks problems and how they cause problems and		
13	why they cause problems.		
14	А	Sure. Okay. Can everyone see that from there or should	d I
15	move?		
16	Q	If you feel like it	
17		MR. CLOWARD: Your Honor, if may the doctor	
18		THE COURT: You can stand up.	
19		THE WITNESS: Stand? Okay. Sure.	
20		THE COURT: Like whatever you're more comfortable w	ith is
21	fine.		
22		THE WITNESS: So essentially, we're talking this is a	umbar
23	spine. That means lower back. Anytime you have an L with a number on it,		
24	it's lumbar o	one, two, three, four, five. It's very analogous to the cervic	al
25	spine with a	a C and then the numbering system. It looks very similar.	The
		64	555
I	•		

bones are smaller. There's subtle differences, but for demonstration purposes it's pretty much the same. And the mid-back, the same thing.

1

2

You have a unit at each level and you have a vertebral body. It's very weird-shaped, if you will. It's got a body here. It's got projections out to the side. It has projections up and down. They articulate or form joints with the next section down. So this bone has a disc in between it and the other vertebral body. There's a motion segment. It's kind of like a joint. It moves. It restricts some motion, but it can be injured and become painful.

9 Then on the back side, it's kind of like a -- think of a big wheel.
10 You got the big wheel in the front and the two little wheels in the back.
11 These knuckles back here are called facets. Those also allow motion but
12 restrain too much motion, can be injured, can be painful.

So any time at any level of the neck, mid-back or low back, you
can have a disc and/or facet problem or both at any level. So part of
learning what the patient's condition is, is identifying which of these
structures are injured. And you can see you have five discs here on this
model, so I have ten facets in the back. You also have a pair of nerves out
of each side. You got to see if they're being pressed. So there's a lot of
different structures.

Oftentimes, maybe one or two structures are injured or even more. So it takes a lot of -- it takes a lot of investigation through history, physical examinations, sometimes multiple physical examinations by the same provider or providers, MRIs, x-rays, maybe a CT scan, maybe a nerve conduction study, maybe an injection to a facet to see if it -- the pain went away, an injection towards a disc or a nerve block to see if pain went away.

556

You have to put all this information together because there's so many
 structures, you just can't really afford to guess. So you have to sometimes
 start with an estimation until you finetune what structures are responsible for
 the pain.

5 So oftentimes, after you get an MRI, you'll find several 6 candidates that look like they might be painful. But MRI doesn't say painful, it just says what the findings are potentially. So then you'll start with an 7 8 investigation with the injections and you'll systematically identify what's the most likely pain generator and you'll give an estimate -- you'll give it a shot, 9 no pun intended. And if it comes back positive, meaning the pain is 10 reduced, then you know some pain must be coming from there where you 11 12 put the medicine. So that's a way to kind of deduce and narrow the suspects down to figure out where the pain is coming from. 13

Q Okay. Now, I just really briefly want to touch on -- a facet, is that also -- is there another more longer name for that --

16

17

A Well, yeah. So --

Okay.

Q -- facet joint?

A -- facets are called zygapophysial joints. That's not commonly
used. I'm not sure if that shows up in the records. But --

20 **Q**

А

21

-- facets is the most common.

Q I just wanted to make sure. And then while we're here talking
about just the -- kind of the basic anatomy, where is it, say, for instance, that
a patient would have pain if they had, say, a cervical facet-related issue?
Where are you going to look for the pain to be reported by the patient?

А So most commonly, it's -- well, there's a lot of facets, so it's 1 going to be kind of local to where the facets are. If you have a high facet 2 3 problem, high up in the neck, you're going to think the pain is going to be high up by the base of the skull. If the -- if it's in the middle, maybe the 4 5 middle of the neck. If the facets at the bottom are injured, it might be in the bottom of the neck, kind of between the -- between the shoulder blades or 6 else the trapezius. Sometimes facets can fool you a little bit. They radiate 7 down to the shoulders and maybe even down the arm, infrequently to the 8 elbow, but sometimes as far as that. So it's kind of a regional type thing. 9

You also -- you try to provocate extension. Facets are in the back of the spinal column, so if you extend it or turn to the side, you're liable to pinch those facet knuckles even more and cause pain. So it's more than just the region, which is also important, but it's what produces more pain.

Q Okay. I'm going to just -- I'm going to show you a diagram out
 of a peer-reviewed article that came out of the spine journal. The name of
 the article is "The Prevalence of Chronic Cervical Zygapophysial Joint Pain
 After Whiplash." Can I just show you the diagram and see if this would be
 an accurate representation of facet distribution in the cervical spine?

A Right. So I forget. Can I draw on this with my finger?MR. CLOWARD: Oh, yes.

19

20

25

THE WITNESS: Okay. So up high in the neck is -- mine's off by about an inch down to the right. No? Well, okay.

MR. CLOWARD: Your Honor, may I have the -- Dr. Cash just
 come down and point to the screen?

THE COURT: Sure. That's fine. That's fine.

THE WITNESS: Okay. 1 THE COURT: If that's easier. 2 3 [Counsel confer] THE WITNESS: So the numbering system starts with the lower 4 5 numbers at the top. So the cervical one, two, three, four, five, six, seven comes down here. So as you're going down, you're increasing in number. 6 7 So if you have 2-3 up here, I think I told you it's kind of regional in that area. Upper cervical facets cause upper cervical pain generally. And as you get 8 lower, it kind of moves down these pathways. So if you have C6-7, you're 9 coming down here. So there's a little bit of overlap for each of them, but 10 generally if you're having a 2-3 up here, it's not going to cause pain down 11 12 here. If you have a 6-7, it's not going to cause pain up here. So it's kind of just a very basic schematic to kind of get the idea that the higher up you 13 14 have, it's more regional in that area. As you come down, it just -- it would be representing as the lower spine. 15

16 BY MR. CLOWARD:

Q Dr. Cash, while you're there, I'm going to just have you touch
briefly on discogenic pain, pain source, and where if somebody had a
discogenic pain generator, where they might expect to have pain in the
cervical spine.

A Yeah. So also, if you have -- so each of the facets have a disc associated with it. It's one unit and there's seven of them in the neck. So just as the higher up C2-3 is up at the top of the neck, so are the discs at C2-3. It'd be higher up. If you have a C6-7, it would be at the very bottom. Now, the discs all throughout the back -- the nerve roots, they are paired on

each side. So you see how close the disc is to each one of the nerve roots? 1 So if you have a 6-7 nerve root, it actually starts going down the arm and off 2 3 to the -- now, it doesn't always, so you can't just say it's set in stone. But when it does go down the arm, you know it's out this way. And as you move 4 5 up the numbering system, 6 will be here, 5 will be here, 4 will be here. So it's the same kind of illustration that higher up in the neck it will start fanning 6 out. And as you get lower, it'll start spreading out further. But it does top 7 down generally. 8

Q And before -- and just one other question, Dr. Cash, while we
got you here. Do patients also have pain in the thoracic spine at times?
Can that cause pain into those areas?

A So exactly the same concept. The thoracic spine, each level is going to have the disc and two facets and two pairs of nerves on each side. So as you go from T1 very high up to T12, lower, it's going to regionally be in that area, whether it's a disc or a facet. And if it's a disc irritating the nerves, it'll start coming around 4 [indiscernible] 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, the belly button, 11, 12. So it just follows the same kind of pattern [indiscernible].

Q Okay. Fair to say there's a little bit of overlap in those patterns? 18 А Oh, yeah. Absolutely there's overlap. People are always wired 19 20 a little bit differently. This is just a basic illustration, trying to learn the 21 concept. And we have to apply -- it's kind of a puzzle. It's a little bit of an art 22 and a science. You have to apply [indiscernible]. You know, it's very tricky trying to figure out what -- which disc or which facet sometimes. It ultimately 23 requires physical -- serial -- multiple physical examinations, MRIs, and even 24 25 multiple injections just to identify find which pain generator at the time.

Q Okay. I just -- I'm asking you, if it's okay, because I'm going to
 show you one other diagram.

A Sure.

3

Ω That way, you know, you -- if you feel like you need to reference 4 5 it, then we'll have you there. We won't have you going back and forth. So, Dr. Cash, this is an article out of the American Society of 6 Anesthesiologists -- Anesthesiology and it's called "Pathogenesis, 7 Diagnosis, and Treatment of Lumbar Zygapophysial or Facet Joint Pain." 8 So this is an article about that -- the lumbar. And what we have here 9 is -- that is some distribution on there, some -- it basically says these are the 10 most common referral patterns extended from darkest low back to lightest 11 12 regions and so forth for the lumbar. Is that a -- and is that an accurate description of the referral patterns that one could expect if there was a facet 13 14 involvement in the lumbar spine?

А Yeah. So most commonly a facet involvement is going to cause 15 16 pain in the lower back or lumbar spine. And then it can, like I said earlier, 17 radiate down the elbow from the neck. It can radiate down -- down the leg for the -- for the back. Now, the most common is -- in the back does not 18 have to be down the buttocks or legs, but often it is. You have to be aware 19 of it. So this is just a -- kind of a basic illustration that not only would you 20 21 have back pain, but it can come down in these lower extremities if it were a facet. 22

Q Okay. And I believe the article indicates that the most common
 referral patterns extend from the darkest -- so you know, we're talking the
 most common or the areas there, whereas the least or the not so common

70

or however you want to say that would be the lightest regions. That'll be
 down there and --

A So most commonly, if you have back pain [indiscernible] lumbar facet -- low back facet problem. And then the second most common would be down in the buttocks. And as you're getting further away, it's just less common. So as you're getting down here, it happens, but down here it's very rare. Extremely common, very rare, somewhere in between. It happens with facets all the time.

Q Okay. Now, the fact that, I guess, it's rare, doesn't mean that it
doesn't happen. That just kind of shows the confusing part of medicine.
And you can --

12

13

A Thank you.

Q Is that fair?

A Yeah, absolutely. Just think of those illustrations as trying to
say that you should expect at least back pain most likely when you have a
lumbar facet injury and don't be surprised if you have radiating pain down
into the legs because it happens.

18QOkay. So what I would like to do now that we've kind of talked19a little bit about, I guess, a general overview of the medicine, I'd like to talk a20little bit about your specific treatment in this case. And I have a copy of your21report for the benefit of counsel. Did you bring a copy of your report?

A I did.

MR. CLOWARD: Okay.

24

22

23

[Counsel confer]

25 BY MR. CLOWARD:

1	Q	So can
2		THE COURT: Sir, I'm going to ask you if you could just do me
3	a favor.	
4		THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Uh-huh.
5		THE COURT: I know you have your report, but if you could
6	work from	the exhibit book
7		THE WITNESS: I'd be glad to.
8		THE COURT: we have? That way we have the right pages
9	for the reco	ord.
10		THE WITNESS: Absolutely. Is this the correct one?
11		THE COURT: Thank you so much. Yep.
12		THE WITNESS: Okay.
13		THE COURT: That should be it. 19.
14		THE WITNESS: All right. Thank you.
15		THE COURT: Does that look right?
16		THE WITNESS: Yep. I have it.
17		THE COURT: Great.
18		THE WITNESS: Thank you.
19	BY MR. CI	_OWARD:
20	Q	Okay. So, Dr. Cash, can you I guess explain to me your
21	involvemer	nt in this case? Did Mr. Morgan come to you for a second
22	opinion?	
23	А	Yeah. So essentially, Mr. Morgan came over to my office. He
24	had been t	reating for a while with other providers, even another spine
25	surgeon w	ho's very competent. And a lot of times patients, especially when
		EGO
		72 563

faced with potential lumbar surgery or any surgery, they want to come over
and maybe get a second opinion, get another set of eyeballs to just see if
everybody is on the same page. So this patient came over and kind of
explained what had happened back in 2014, kind of gave me a rundown
generally of what had transpired as far as treatment, as far as progression of
the injuries and symptoms.

And so after the history was performed, I put my hands on the
patient and do an examination and then I look at any extraneous data, MRIs,
perform x-rays, look at the records from other doctors, look at the results
from injections, and try to come up with an idea of what I would do next and
kind of a quick differential of where I think the main problem is for the main
problem he's presenting for and kind of what I would just do next. Not every
treatment step down the road, but just what would I do next.

Q Okay. And in seeing Mr. Morgan, did you in fact do a physical
 examination?

16

А

Oh, absolutely. Every time.

Q And can you tell the jurors what, if any, examination findings
there were at the time of that examination?

A So essentially we start off by looking at the patient's back and
we know he's having -- this patient's having pain. We're trying to identify
where the source is. So like I told you earlier, when you extend the patient,
meaning make them bend backwards, and they press those irritated facets,
it'll cause worse pain. So that's the first line was painful extension. So I'm
thinking maybe there's a facet component, not for sure, but at least there's
some symptoms correlating with a facet problem.

Then I'll have the patient bend forward, just bend all the way
forward until they can't stand it anymore. And then that's indicative of
potential a disc problem because they're putting pressure on the disc now
instead of the facets. It could be a contribution from the facets and the disc.
It happens often because as each level -- that's each motion segment -- has
a disc and facets, they can have to -- they can happen -- they can be injured
in unison.

So next I just kind of felt the back. The patient indicated there 8 was pain and tenderness and spasms. You could feel the muscle 9 10 spasming. That doesn't mean the patient has a muscular injury because a muscular injury would have resolved in 6 to 12 weeks after the accident, but 11 12 this is really persistent. The muscle spasms and tenderness is a result of the nerves being irritated by structure, either the facets or the disc in his 13 14 back, and just causing the muscles to kind of lock up to prevent painful motion. 15

16 So nothing's really specific as far as a disc only or a facet only 17 or both. There's just some vague ideas of both might be involved. So I look 18 at the muscle strength. Now, here I'm trying to really hone in on the levels. 19 So I test all the muscle strengths, whether they can straighten their knee out 20 very strong or its weak, and the same with their ankle and their toes. Each 21 one of those characteristically tells me which nerve root level might be 22 involved. He had full strength, so it wasn't very helpful. It doesn't mean there's no injury to the disc. It just -- it wasn't irritating the nerve enough to 23 24 make muscle loss or weakness.

25

I did the same thing for the reflexes at the knee and the ankle.

It didn't give me any more information specifically which nerve root would be 1 irritated. Then I felt like there was sensation diminished in the right lateral 2 3 thigh. That's characteristically L4-5. It doesn't have to be. There's some overlap. But 4-5 would be right down the side of the leg, so that at least 4 5 gives me something to pinpoint what level this might be in the lumbar spine. And it's most commonly a disc that runs down the leg and causes decreased 6 7 sensation, but sometimes a facet. So both are still possibly at play, although I think it's a disc at 4-5 at this point. 8

I have to rule out hip pathology, meaning structures inside the
actual hip itself sometimes cause some pain in the hip or in the side of the
leg or in the back. So I tested the hips and ruled them out. So now we're
still looking at the back only.

And I looked at the sacroiliac joint, which are these side joints over here. And they sometimes mimic disc injuries and nerve injuries in the back, so I had to rule those out for sure. I ruled them out.

16 So now I'm looking at either a disc and/or facet, most likely at 17 L4-5 but possible a different level. So with a physical examination, I'm able 18 to narrow it down that much. I looked at the actual MRIs that were performed. There were several disc bulges and facet findings, so that's not 19 20 particularly helpful. And then I decided what would be best to do next is 21 update the lumbar MRI because the other one was a couple years old. I 22 want to see if there's any large disc protrusion or tear, something that would identify more which level it was. 23

But in the meantime, I recommended an epidural injection,
which is a nerve block. It's not like you'd get when you're pregnant. They

put you to sleep for it. They come in over on the side. You wake up and it's 1 done. It comes in at L4-5 and that puts the area to sleep. And if they get a 2 3 tremendous amount of pain relief just momentarily even, that means the pain must have been coming from there because I put it to sleep. So I 4 5 wanted to use the epidural injection at 4-5, not only to treat the patient's pain to make him feel better -- that's the goal -- but also to help diagnose 6 7 because we're still a little bit of vague where -- how many structures are 8 involved, how many discs, how many facets. If this came back with some conclusive evidence that it was mainly at L4-5 disc, that would lead me in 9 one direction of what the next step was. And if it came back with information 10 that it was not helpful at all, on a diagnostic basis it would give me more 11 12 information. So it's another test and a treatment at the same time for what's most likely the primary pain generator in the low back. 13

Q Okay. And it's my understanding -- Dr. Coppel testified earlier.
He indicated that the T -- or, excuse me, the L4-5 was also an injection that
was requested by Dr. Muir. That was performed and gave the patient a 50%
relief. What does that tell you about, I guess, your hypothesis or your initial
impression about the case?

A So it's not really surprising that another well-trained spine
surgeon would identify the same injection to reduce the patient's pain. So if
the injection were performed at L4-5 as we both requested and the pain was
reduced immediately, diagnostically, 50%, that seems pretty significant. The
disc at L4-5 is most likely the primary pain generator in the low back. Fifty
percent is not 100, so I don't think it's the only pain generator. There still
could be some facets at that level or a different level. But it's 50% so it's

76

around half of the pain is probably coming from the L4-5 level. That's just a
 generalization.

Q Okay. And now I don't see in your report that you specifically, I guess, addressed the cervical. It sounds like Mr. Morgan was there more for the second opinion on the lumbar. Did you in fact formulate any -- I see that you had records from -- you had reviewed records from Dr. Coppel, Dr. Muir, Las Vegas Radiology. That's in your report. Did you have any thoughts about the cervical spine?

Yeah. So part of the paperwork that he fills out in the office 9 А is -- this is before I actually get to meet the patient -- is they fill the 10 paperwork out in the front and it has a section for neck and back. And if you 11 12 have no neck pain, you just put no and -- or leave it blank. But in this case, he had significant neck pain also. The pain diagram, the body diagram that 13 14 was filled out by the patient had marked the neck as well as the back. I 15 knew there was significant pain in the neck as well as significant pain in the back. Looking at Dr. Coppel and Muir's records, there was complaints of 16 17 neck and back pain all the way through. And he actually came in with 18 imaging studies. But when I talked to the patient specifically, they wanted to come in for a second opinion, they really wanted one on their back. It 19 20 makes sense because Dr. Muir was recommending a discogram, which is a 21 pre-procedure to a surgery, a plasma disc decompression. Oftentimes that 22 leads to a fusion later. So it wasn't surprising he was coming in for his lumbar spine specifically at that time and not just the whole shebang. 23

So, yes, I knew he had problems with his neck at the time.
 Looking at Dr. Coppel's records, which nicely lists the injections that were

568

performed and the response, it looks like the attention was drawn initially to
the cervical facets and the responses reduced the pain and so I thought the
patient had a cervical facet problem, although he really wanted to talk about
is back.

Q Okay. Now, can you tell us a little bit more about the tests you just talked about, the discography, the plasma disc decompression. What is that?

Α So discography is most commonly used as a way to figure out 8 is it more than one disc that you're suspecting. So Dr. Muir was looking at 9 10 the L4-5. They performed the injection. The injection ruled in that level. The question is, if he's going to do a plasma disc decompression, which I'll 11 12 explain in a minute, is should he just do the L4-5 or is there a secondary disc. This is the primary disc. It might be the only disc. But you have to go 13 14 in there and do a plasma disc decompression on one disc, not knowing that there was a second disc. 15

So what's commonly performed is a discogram. The needle is
placed inside the actual disc. Not by the nerve root, not in the facet. It's
actually punctured into the disc itself. So the disc is like a jelly donut and
there's a steel-belted radial tire around the outside. That's what gives it
strength. So if you put pressure on your disc like when you're sitting there in
the chairs or bending forward or jumping, it doesn't explode because the
outside of the annulus, the circle. Okay?

So by putting a little needle through there and injecting some
fluid, you'll pressurize that jelly donut pretty quickly. If the pressure is
maintained, there starts getting to be a lot of pressure, well, there's

something keeping it there and that's an intact, competent circle. If there's a
tear in that circle, you start putting pressure in, the pressure out goes out the
path of least resistance. It's going to go out the hole. So you can tell by the
pressure study and by injecting the fluid and then watching the fluid seep
out, because we put a little radiopaque dye in there and you can see it seep
out, and the patient has pain, you'll know that that level is positive.

So we're pretty sure 4-5 is going to be positive. You want to do
the discogram at the other levels to make sure that these were competent
and didn't need the plasma disc decompression and only one level did or if
you needed to include a second level. So that was his plan.

If it's positive, then what Dr. Muir's plan for the plasma disc 11 12 decompression was is to inject a little wand in there, vaporize, heat up the disc, and try to like scar it down and take out the pressure that's in the disc. 13 So he was trying to heal it through a plasma disc decompression procedure. 14 15 That's why they were combining those and making the next 16 recommendation. He knew 4-5 was a problem. He was trying to figure out 17 if he should include the next level with the plasma disc decompression so it's surgical. 18

Oftentimes, those things don't last for a very long time and you
wind up getting a lumbar fusion. So I think it's a nice way for some patients
to avoid getting a lumbar fusion or at least postpone it for a while and try to
put something on there to give them a year, two, or three of significant
enough benefit that they can postpone the fusion because that's a big -- very
big, invasive, risky surgery.

25

Q And obviously if somebody is younger, if they're 24, 25 -- your

exam date was 10/12/16, so at the time Mr. Morgan was only 24 years old.
 Would that be something that you would offer a younger patient rather than
 somebody who's maybe a little middle-aged?

A Yeah. So I hope you're not talking about me there, but -- but,
yeah. I mean, really, we don't want to operate on anybody we don't have to.
This is elective surgery. We want to hold off surgery until the last resort.
And that's especially true the younger you get. Early 20s, 24 is very young.
Because it's not just the surgery they're going to get to make them feel
better, it's what -- what's going to happen to their body after surgery.

So you have all these motion segments. You were born and 10 made to have motion in these segments. For whatever reason, if you have 11 12 to do a fusion and you lock just one in position, now you have four box springs instead of five, so the other ones are going to take up more stress 13 14 and they're going to start wearing down faster, abnormally faster. So in 10 years, 15 years, this one's worn out and now you're putting a 15 16 second -- taking a second box spring out. Now you got three left to take all your weight and all this stuff. You probably gain weight because you're in 17 pain and you're -- you know? You're wearing these devils down. 18

So if you're 24, yeah, you get another one of these surgeries at
15 years. Now you're 39. And then in another 15 years you're 54 and you
got half your spine fused. That's not what you want to do.

So you want to hold off on surgery as long as you can,
especially something that's going to biomechanically alter your lumbar
biomechanics as much as a fusion. That's especially through -- true when
you're 24. So if it's -- it's more reasonable -- I mean, it's definitely

reasonable if Dr. Muir wanted to offer him a plasma disc decompression
just to get some mileage out of it because it's a slippery slope of future
surgeries once you start fusing the low back.

Q Okay. And, Doctor, generally speaking, for a one or -- I guess a
two-level fusion, what's the cost of a procedure like that?

A So the hospital -- well, the hospital is the biggest cost and that's
up in the couple hundred thousands of dollars on average. So including
everybody else, you might reach 300,000, maybe more, maybe a little bit
less. It depends on what's -- what they find when they're in there. But it's in
the range of six figures.

Q And you were talking about this adjacent segment. Are you
aware of any literature, spine literature or otherwise, that would, I guess,
forecast looking at a data set of how often or how -- you know, if somebody
in the future would have this adjacent segment break down that you're
talking about?

A Yeah. So it's very common in the literature. It's called adjacent segment degeneration or breakdown. And so what they've studied is that on average, the fusion will wear down the next level to the point that they'll not just have a breakdown or symptoms or treatment, they'll need surgery for the next level at a rate of 3% per year.

So what that means is, very basically, 100 of us get a
lumbar -- lumbar fusion today. In one year from now, already three of us will
have a revision surgery at the next level. And that's just -- and, I mean, they
must have been going through pain for at least six or nine of those months
already before we commit to another fusion. At -- so you already got those

three. So the rest of the 97 of us are out there at one year. Well, three
more of us will have a lumbar fusion at the next level then, so it'll be 94 left
after two years without a lumbar fusion. Six people after two years will have
an adjacent level fusion. And then three more of us will go over to the fused
side to make nine more fusions within three years. Ninety-one of us are left
over here.

So what I also cite in my reports when testifying is that by the
time you get to 17 years, you will have had 51 of us over on the fused side.
So more of us will have a fusion than not have a fusion at 17 years. So
within 17 years, at 17 years, it's more likely the next level is going to be
fused. So he's 24. We're talking about 41, next level, 58, the next level, on
average. It could be sooner, maybe a little longer.

Q Okay. Now, Dr. Muir, he prepared a life care plan in this case.
He did not think that Mr. Morgan was a candidate for the fusion. He said,
you know what, I think that plasma disc decompression would be the -- a
more conservative, appropriate approach. Do you agree or disagree with
that?

A I don't know what he [indiscernible] for it, but I will tell you what's likely that he means, is that he wasn't a candidate at the time because he felt the plasma disc decompression was a safer, easier, and a procedure that he would get more use of for only two or three years. I don't think Dr. Muir would say it's going to last him ten years, but --

MR. RANDS: Objection. This calls for speculation. Dr. Muir
 has already testified.

25

THE COURT: Sustained. Mr. Cloward, if you could just --

3

BY MR. CLOWARD:

Q

Q Just your --

THE COURT: -- ask [indiscernible].

4 BY MR. CLOWARD:

Q Just your -- I guess, your opinion as to whether you agree with that or don't agree with that, and I guess what your views are of those two procedures.

А Okay. So if you're a candidate for a plasma disc 8 decompression, you are also a candidate for a lumbar fusion. By the time 9 10 you've had the workup for a plasma disc decompression in which the last step is the discogram, it's identical to a lumbar fusion. The only time that 11 12 you would pick one or the other is patient would say they want to try something less invasive and they don't want the screws and rods in this area 13 and they want to buy some time. So if you're a candidate for one, you're a 14 15 candidate for the other, unless you're 80 years old and you can't have rods 16 and screws because it's going to stress you out too much, you might die. 17 Then that's -- obviously you would get the plasma disc decompression only. 18 But generally, the workup and the diagnoses and the medicals preceding each one of those would be the same. 19

So I would say for him at 24, I would agree that, yes, let's go for a plasma disc decompression if you feel like you want to put this fusion off for a while. But if you didn't want to, you know, beat around the bush and get right to it, you're definitely a candidate for the lumbar fusion at the same -- or alternately.

25

Q Okay. Now, I want to level with the jurors here. You and I met

last night, right?

A Absolutely.

Q Okay. And I asked you about a -- about Dr. Muir testified that he believes that Aaron would be a candidate for radiofrequency ablation once a year for the rest of his life. And I asked you about that. And do you share that opinion?

А No. So I've been asked this many times over the last ten years. 7 I don't think the majority of patients that have a facet injury are treated for 8 9 their entire life on an annual basis with facets radiofrequency ablations. 10 What I commonly see and testify to is that if they have a set of those and they do need another one, that they're likely to proceed with that second, 11 12 maybe third, maybe fourth, maybe fifth, but that would encapsulate the vast majority of the patients with either a second, third, fourth, or fifth set of 13 14 injections of radiofrequency ablations. I don't see it going on forever on a 15 more likely than not basis. You might find some people that have facet 16 treatments every year for the rest of their life. They're in that one percenter or, you know, that very small. It's not a more likely than not situation. The 17 more likely than not is the second, third, fourth, or fifth subsequent 18 procedures. 19

Q Okay. So as far as the future treatment regarding the lower
back, you agree with Dr. Muir that he's a candidate for the plasma disc
decompression. You also agree that he's a candidate for a lumbar fusion.
However, you disagree with Dr. Muir as far as the radiofrequency ablation.
You don't believe that that's appropriate to go that far into the future. You
say it's more likely than not reasonable up to five of those radiofrequency

1 ablations in the neck, but not anything past that. Is that fair?

A At this point, yes. If the patient were to have lived another ten years and we're sitting here ten years from now and they've had one every year, that changes the story. But at this point, with this set of medical data, I think it's fair to say expect a repeat second, third, fourth, and fifth, somewhere in that range, but not a lifetime.

Q Okay. And any -- I guess, in addition to the rhizotomies, is
there other treatment that would be expected along with that?

So when the facet joint is treated with a rhizotomy, that's the 9 А definitive, that's the all-in, that's the most you can do for the facets as far as 10 a local -- a spot -- a site-specific procedure. When the pain reoccurs in 6 11 12 months, a year, 18 months, 2 years, whenever it does, then the same doctor that's going to do the injection really is kind of obligated to make sure it's still 13 14 a facet problem. Because what if he had a disc injury in the meantime and 15 you start treating the facets with radiofrequency ablation and it did nothing, it 16 should have been -- the disc should have been worked up?

So often, most commonly, the doctor will reinject the facets to
confirm it's still a disc facet problem, which it most likely is, but they're going
to -- it's a higher standard than just testifying. It's medicine. So they're
going to reconfirm with the facet injections -- or alternately called medial
branch blocks -- before doing the radiofrequency ablation.

Q Okay. So as I understand it, you would think it would be
reasonable on a more likely than not basis that the future care for the neck
would be five injections and also five -- the rhizotomies? Is that fair?
A That's right.

1	Q	Not
2	А	That's correct.
3	Q	But not over that?
4	А	No, not the lifetime that Dr. Muir is saying Dr. Muir opined to.
5	No.	
6	Q	Okay. Now, another issue that some of the other doctors have
7	talked about	ut and I wanted to talk to you about it's not in your report, I did
8	not have a	chance to ask you about this last night but what is a Waddell
9	sign?	
10	А	Okay. So Waddell was a doctor who published an article
11	talking abo	ut what are the nonorganic factors with this patient. That means
12	is there so	mething more than just physical problems. Is it in their head?
13	Are they fa	king? Is there something nonorganic, meaning physical in their
14	body?	
15	Q	So if you suspect a patient is, let's just say, malingering or
16	faking, you	can apply some of these Waddell signs and try to get a better
17	understand	ling if that supports your suspicion. So when a physician
18	performs V	Vaddell signs, they're trying to either distract the patient and do
19	the same p	procedure they did when the patient thought they should have
20	pain and th	ney did it when they're distracted or they didn't do it when
21	they're dist	racted, then it tells you that they were probably anticipating or
22	maybe faki	ng the pain when they did the exact same procedure distracted
23	produced r	no pain. Or they have some other signs. I could go through them
24	all, but ess	entially it identifies things that might be not physical injuries with
25	the patients	s, but might be something from an outside source, whether

1 || they're faking or secondary gain, something like that.

2 Q What I wanted to do is to ask you whether there's any 3 controversy about Waddells and if you perform those tests yourself.

So with most things in spine there's some controversy. But I 4 Α 5 don't always perform those. I know some doctors that do as a matter of 6 routine. But I look at the patient and talk to them in-depth about, you know, their history and if I identify anything that's suspicious or inconsistent, I'll 7 8 investigate further with the history, but also I might do it with the exam. But 9 if their exam is matching up and they seem like they're forthright, I have no reason to not believe what they're saying and it seems consistent and the 10 exam is consistent, I don't always do Waddells. But I do reserve those in 11 12 cases where I am suspicious.

Q Okay. Is there a potential for the examiner to have bias in 13 14 performing the Waddell testing? Meaning, you know, if you're a defense 15 doctor, you know, you're going to say, hey, you know what, they -- the 16 patient exhibited this based on that bias that the defense doctor have, or 17 even on the other hand if a plaintiff doctor says, hey, you know what, they 18 didn't have any Waddells? I mean, level with me on that. Is there examiner 19 basis [sic] both for the plaintiff and for the defense in preparing those -- or performing those tests? 20

A So certainly bias can work either way for any physician. I'm not saying any was done in this case. I didn't see evidence that a bias was performed through what I reviewed in the clinical treatment records. But it's possible that a doctor who performs examinations for a defense firm might be biased towards finding positive Waddell signs. It's possible that a doctor

578

who's doing it on the treatment side or for a plaintiff's firm might not find
Waddell signs. But, yeah, I don't find that very often. That would mean that
either consciously or subconsciously the performing physician is biasing one
way or the other. I mean, it's possible, but I just don't think it's very likely.

Q Okay. Do you think that that would change if the examining
physician only did, say, for instance, defense exams?

А No, I can't say that. I mean, there's a potential that on the 7 8 subconscious level this could affect a provider or a expert. It would be kind 9 of a stretch -- it would be a lot to prove if somebody were actively either, let's say, exaggerating or falsifying or making up findings when they weren't there 10 or doing just the opposite, hiding them, excluding them, or omitting them 11 12 when they weren't [sic] there. That's a hard -- I've never seen any evidence of that. And I don't think if you did a thousand defense reports you would 13 veer and have that bias necessarily, or the same with the plaintiff's side. So, 14 15 I mean, like you said, if you're asking me if it's possible, certainly -- or the 16 operator bias is possible, but I think it's just highly unlikely.

Q Okay. Now, Doctor, I guess a -- just kind of a recap of your
 opinions today. I would ask that your opinions be to a reasonable degree of
 medical probability. Have all of your opinions been to a reasonable degree
 of medical probability on a more likely than not basis?

21

A Absolutely.

Q Okay. And fair to say you're of the opinion that the pain
generator that is causing the symptom -- the symptoms in the neck would be
facet related, whereas the pain generator in the lumbar spine would be a
discogenic pain generator?

1	А	That's correct.
2	Q	You could state that to a reasonable degree of medical
3	probability	on a more likely than not basis?
4	А	Definitely.
5	Q	Now, other than the automobile accident of April 1, 2014, are
6	you aware	of any other cause that would have caused those symptoms?
7	А	No.
8	Q	Okay. Doctor, was the treatment that you rendered in this
9	case reaso	nable and necessary for the injuries that Mr. Morgan sustained?
10	А	Definitely.
11	Q	And were your bills usual and customary for the Las Vegas
12	community	?
13	А	Absolutely.
14	Q	And you gave the charges for the fusion surgery of 350 250-,
15	I think, to 3	50,000 or 300,000?
16	А	Somewhere in that range, yeah.
17	Q	Is that usual and customary for the Las Vegas community?
18	А	Yes, it is.
19	Q	And is the fusion surgery, is that a reasonable and necessary
20	option for a	a patient to pursue to relieve lumbar or cervical pain caused by a
21	discogenic	source?
22	А	Yes, that's correct.
23		MR. CLOWARD: Doctor, I have no further questions. Thank
24	you.	
25		THE WITNESS: Thank you.
		₈₉ 580

1		THE COURT: Mr. Rands?	
2		CROSS-EXAMINATION	
3	BY MR. RA	ANDS:	
4	Q	Dr. Cash, how are you doing?	
5	А	Good. How are you doing?	
6	Q	It's Doug Rands. I represent the Defendant in this matte	er or
7	one of the a	attorneys for the Defendant. I got a report or it appears	to be a
8	report from	your office, your Desert Institute of Spine Care?	
9	А	That's correct.	
10	Q	Okay. And the report I have is date of service 10/12/16.	Is that
11	when you s	saw	
12	А	That's correct, sir.	
13	Q	Okay. And the report I have is I think the Bates numb	er I
14	have goes	through CASH000005. Is there any more of that report the	nat I
15	don't have?	?	
16	А	Technically, it's just an electronic signature on the back	of 6,
17	but, yeah, t	the substance are on pages 2 through 5.	
18	Q	2 through 5? Okay. And then page 1 of the of what I	have is
19	the it lool	ks like your billing record?	
20	А	Yes, sir.	
21	Q	And you billed \$850 plus \$400, correct?	
22	А	That is correct.	
23	Q	How much are you billing for your time today?	
24	А	So I think it's I renew this every year. I think it's \$6,00	0 for a
25	half day.		
		90	581

1	Q	Okay. And so even if we get out of here early, you got p	aid
2	\$6,000 for this afternoon?		
3	А	I'm reimbursed for my time today. I will not be able to so	lueeze
4	in patients	even if I got in early, so it's I'm the time is reserved. I'	m here
5	all afternoo	n for you.	
6	Q	Well, we we'll try not to keep you here all afternoon.	
7	А	You always say that. I'm on to you.	
8	Q	Okay. We'll set a time. I guaranty you I'll have less time	e with
9	you than M	Ir. Cloward did.	
10	А	Did you get that?	
11	Q	You mentioned some other documents that you had, a re	eport or
12	something	that was filled out by the patient. Did you provide that to	
13	counsel?		
14	А	We should have, yeah. That's part of the chart.	
15	Q	Is it in that exhibit?	
16	А	I don't know. I've only been directed to 19.	
17	Q	No, just the 19, the one that you	
18	А	In 19? No, I don't well, there's a little more back here.	Nope,
19	it's not in 1	9.	
20	Q	Okay. Let's just go through a little bit oh, before we ge	et
21	started, you	u were asked some questions from counsel about your	
22	qualification	ns and that you spent time getting a spine fellowship and v	with the
23	gentleman	in Los Angeles.	
24	А	Bob Watkins, yeah.	
25	Q	Bob Watkins. And but you also said that you spent six	
		91	582

1 years -- if I'm remembering right, six years in Atlanta?

2

3

4

So I said 60 months in --

- Q Five years in Atlanta?
- A Five years, yeah.

А

Q Okay. I got the six mixed up. Five years in Atlanta. And we've
already established that math isn't my strong suit. That's why I'm here. But
five years in Atlanta. And that was just a -- that was -- not just. I apologize
for any -- I don't mean any fault. But that was your orthopaedic residency,
correct, or fellowship?

A That was orthopaedic residency. And the first year is a general surgery categorical where I did three months of orthopaedics and seven months of general surgery, and some of that was plastics and some other specialties, and did, I think, a month of medicine and maybe either a month -- maybe a month of research. So it was not exclusively in the first year, but the latter four years two through five are exclusively orthopaedics.

Q And you've answered some questions and said that, you know,
 you -- after that, you went and got the spine fellowship and decided to
 concentrate almost exclusively on spine, I think you said?

A Yeah. So the spine fellowship was exclusively looking at spine.
We had -- you know, as part of -- exclusively spine means you have to look
at shoulders and hips and rule out other pathologies.

22 **Q** Sure.

A And so we're seeing musculoskeletal conditions, but we're
focused --

25

Q Because the body is connected, right?

1	А	Yeah. We're focused on spine.
2	Q	But questions were that you when a patient comes in today,
3	you're yo	our practice is the spine today?
4	А	No, it's still orthopaedic. I still look at orthopaedic injuries, but I
5	rarely wou	ld do, like I said, a knee replacement.
6	Q	And that's where I was going. A patient comes in to you, just
7	for an exar	nple, and says, okay, I've broken my neck, I've got a bad neck,
8	something	
9	А	Uh-huh.
10	Q	and also the patient is maybe has some instability in their
11	knee. And	you can evaluate the knee and say I think you need surgery on
12	the knee and I'm going to refer you to my partner or somebody else for the	
13	surgery on	the knee?
14	А	Sure could, yes.
15	Q	Or I think your hips you know, you have the ability as a
16	surgeon, a	s a board-trained orthopaedic surgeon to do evaluations on a
17	patient's hi	p
18	А	Certainly.
19	Q	and say that's not my specialty, I'm not going to do the
20	surgery, bu	ut I think you need a hip replacement?
21	А	Yes. Sure.
22	Q	And do the various evaluations. You can read the reports. You
23	can read th	ne x-rays, the CT scans. You have the ability to do that even
24	though you	u don't do that kind of surgery, correct?
25	А	That's correct.
		₉₃ 584

1	Q Okay. Let's go through your report just real quick, a couple of
2	things. On page 2, I'm just going to refer to the last number so we're on the
3	same page, literally. History of present illness, is that something that the
4	patient the Plaintiff gave to you?
5	A Yes. So the patient's in there for an illness and we're trying to
6	get a history of it. And so it's performed on uniformly every patient that
7	comes in and they kind of give their historical account.
8	Q Okay. And so where he says where it says in here patient
9	reports he felt shaken up, adrenaline, and upset, is that what he told you or
10	is that your
11	A That would have come
12	Q what you interpreted what he told you?
13	A That would have been straight from the horse's mouth.
14	Q Okay. He felt immediate pain in his neck, right shoulder, and
15	upper back. His low back and headaches began later that day. Is that what
16	he told you?
17	A That's correct.
18	Q Okay. And then this next pain is 810 8 out of 10, 10 out of 10
19	at the worst. Back pain, 8.5 out of 10, 10 out of 10 at the worst. Denies
20	radicular symptoms in the upper and lower extremities. That means he
21	doesn't have numbness and tingling going down into his foot or his hand?
22	A So essentially what you ask him yeah. You ask if you have
23	numbness and tingling going down your arms, do you have any like patterns
24	of like pain, numbness and tingling, or some kind of weakness. And that's
25	what they're usually asked.
	₉₄ 585

1	Q	And then prior injuries, you said he reported a motor an MVA,
2	motor vehi	cle accident, right?
3	А	That's correct.
4	Q	Ten years ago with no residuals? And he had another MVA
5	four month	is ago and reports that his symptoms were not aggravated?
6	А	That's correct.
7	Q	If someone in the condition of the patient or the Plaintiff
8	comes in t	o you, does it make any sense that his symptoms aren't
9	aggravated	d when he rear-ends another vehicle?
10	А	No. I mean, there's no details really right there except that
11	the it i	t happened and it wasn't aggravated.
12	Q	Okay.
13	А	So the most important part is was it aggravated. I don't have
14	any details	about mechanisms or anything like that. But he said, yeah, it
15	didn't do a	nything to me. It's I don't have any contradictory information.
16	Q	Yeah. So somebody in his position, in his at for what
17	your under	rstanding was, he was still suffering from pain and from this
18	collision th	at we're talking about here today, right
19	А	Yes, that's correct.
20	Q	when he came to see you?
21	A	Yes, that's correct.
22	Q	Okay. And then four months before that he was in another
23	accident, c	lidn't cause any additional pain, discomfort?
24	A	Well, fortunately not. I mean, he already had facets that
25	needed rad	diofrequency ablations and disc injury to his back. It didn't make
		₉₅ 586

1 him any more surgical that he had an accident.

- Q Okay.
- 3

2

A So he didn't have more -- worse pain.

Q All right. Let's go down to the review of the systems. I
think -- and one of the doctors yesterday said that these are just something
you have in your computer and if you don't check the box, it just goes in. Is
this something that you actually did on this patient?

A So uniformly -- or most commonly, these are in probably all the
doctors', no matter what kind of specialty or not specialist. They kind of just
look at these systems. So you don't want to -- the first one really looks if
somebody's having like symptoms -- red flags of cancer and stuff like that.
So you kind of just get this review going on. And so I wouldn't usually go
through this necessarily. This is on a checkbox format for the patient on the
paperwork.

Q

A And they just fill it out and they start checking things. And that's how we --

Q So but someone said in the -- for example, you said,
psychiatric, the patient denies depression, anxiety, tension, memory loss,
difficulty sleeping. Somebody told you that he didn't have any difficulty
sleeping, correct?

22 23

15

Q Okay.

Uh-huh.

A for difficulty sleeping.

А

25 Q But as -- you couldn't really substantiate that unless you had a

Yes. He would not likely have checked the box --

1	sleep study	/ on him, right?	
2	А	Well, you know, so most commonly they have to come in and	
3	they say, y	eah, I I wake up every night or it's tough falling asleep, the pain	
4	keeps me f	rom sleeping or it wakes me up. That's usually what it means.	
5	Q	Okay. And he said there was no difficulty in this when he came	
6	to see you	?	
7	А	It looks like he probably didn't check the box on that	
8	Q	All right.	
9	А	occasion.	
10	Q	And musculoskeletal, the patient denies pain down the legs,	
11	pain down	the arms. Reports neck pain, hip pain, and low back pain. That	
12	was somet	hing that you that he checked the box on that, too, correct?	
13	А	Yeah. It'd be either checked the box or wrote that out. I don't	
14	know if it's a checkbox or a line format for that, something like that		
15	Q	And then the physical examination is what you actually did,	
16	correct?		
17	А	That's correct.	
18	Q	And you did a did you do a neurological exam of the cranial	
19	nerves?		
20	А	Yes.	
21	Q	Okay. And neuropsychiatric exam. Musculoskeletal, that's	
22	where you	a musculoskeletal exam it says the lumbar spine. Did you do	
23	an exam of	f his cervical spine when you	
24	А	No, I don't recall doing a cervical spine exam.	
25	Q	Okay. Or a thoracic spine?	
		97 588	

А

No. Just lumbar.

1	A No. Just lumbar.
2	Q Just the lumbar? Okay. And you've already been through
3	painful extension. I'm not going to waste any of our time to go through it
4	again because you've already been through that, but the you talked about
5	the imaging studies, the MRI. And then your recommendations, that's on
6	page 3 or 5. You said you recommended an updated MRI lumbar,
7	correct?
8	A That's correct.
9	Q Do you know if he ever had that?
10	A I don't recall if he's had that.
11	Q Okay. And an epidural at L4-5. Do you know if he had that?
12	A We discussed that he had 50% improvement earlier.
13	Q Okay. And then follow up as needed. That just means he can
14	come back and see you if he needs to?
15	A Yeah. So essentially, he just wanted to get my opinion and
16	wanted to go back and do whatever he was going to do after that. So that's
17	why I put updated MRI lumbar and the epidurals, in case Dr. Coppel or
18	somebody else saw the record, they or Dr. Muir, they'd know what my next
19	step would be. So that's why there was no follow-up. Usually that would be
20	a two-week follow-up for the MRI and a one-month follow-up for the
21	epidural. But since he decided he was going to just hold on to those
22	recommendations and think about it, then I just put follow up as needed.
23	Q Okay. And you didn't put anywhere in here about surgery. You
24	just told him to go back to the doctors he was treating with, correct?
25	A I told him this these are the options I would recommend next

1	and if he w	ants to come see me again, I'd be more than happy to.	
2	Q	Okay. I just didn't see anywhere in your report anyway t	hat you
3	had recom	mended any specific procedure or surgery.	
4	А	The procedure I recommended was epidural at L4-5.	
5	Q	Okay.	
6	А	But I didn't recommend a definitive surgery at that time b	ecause
7	we're in tha	at because it wasn't appropriate at that stage of the work	up.
8	Q	Perfect. And you did give him a disability recommendati	on, no
9	bending, st	tooping, squatting, climbing, lifting more than 10 pounds of	r 20
10	pounds occ	casionally, right?	
11	А	Yeah. I felt that those restrictions would serve him best	to be
12	protective of	of his injured low back.	
13		MR. RANDS: Just one minute. Can I have the Court's	
14	indulgence	?	
15		THE COURT: Sure.	
16		[Counsel confer]	
17		MR. RANDS: Thank you. That's all I have.	
18		THE WITNESS: Oh, thank you.	
19		THE COURT: Mr. Cloward?	
20		MR. CLOWARD: Your Honor, just a couple brief follow-	ups.
21		REDIRECT EXAMINATION	
22	BY MR. CL	_OWARD:	
23	Q	Dr. Cash, you were asked some questions about hips ar	nd if you
24	could do ce	ertain surgeries and stuff like that. Would you agree with r	ne that
25	theoreticall	ly you can have an electrician replace somebody's toilet?	
		99	590

1	А	Theoretically, sure.
2	Q	Okay. If you had a complicated plumbing issue, would you call
3	an electrici	ian to come evaluate that?
4	А	Very unlikely.
5	Q	You'd probably call an expert in the field?
6	А	Yeah, most likely.
7	Q	Okay.
8	А	I'd probably call my dad first.
9	Q	Is he a plumber?
10	А	He does everything, man. He can do everything.
11	Q	Okay. Now, regarding this second accident, I think your record
12	was October of 2016, correct?	
13	А	That's correct.
14	Q	You said four months before that there was another accident,
15	so that wo	uld have been, doing the math, I believe 6 of 2016, which would
16	be June 20	016?
17	А	Yeah, roughly around June. You know, it's not like he didn't
18	say it was	exactly four months. It was somewhere around four months, so
19	probably a	round June.
20	Q	Okay. And now prior to June of 2016, is it your understanding
21	that the red	commendations for Mr. Morgan were PDD for the low back and
22	for rhizot	tomies for the neck?
23	А	Yes. That's what I was mentioning earlier. I mean, he already
24	had those	recommendations. Even if he had a subsequent accident and he
25	says there	no worsening, it matches up with the recommendations. He
		100 591

 14 saying? 15 Q Sorry. I'm getting the red herring, that was just one question. 16 A Okay. 17 Q Now the next question is was there anything that caused any 18 red flags 19 MR. RANDS: You're mixing your metaphors, Ben. 20 MR. CLOWARD: I know I'm mixing my metaphors. It's getting 21 late in the day. Hopefully I can bring it together. 22 BY MR. CLOWARD: 23 Q Was there anything that caused any red flags for you regarding 						
3 A So preexisting or from the 4 Q It didn't 5 A subject accident. 6 Q It didn't change the recommendations? 7 A That's correct. 8 Q Have you ever heard of a red herring? 9 A Yes. 10 Q Okay. Now, in your examination of Mr. Morgan, was there 11 anything that raised any red flags whatsoever for you about him, about his 12 treatment, about his complaints? 13 A What do you mean? A red herring or a red flag? What are you 14 saying? Image: Sorry. I'm getting the red herring, that was just one question. 16 A Okay. 17 Q Now the next question is was there anything that caused any red flags 18 red flags Image: MR. RANDS: You're mixing your metaphors, Ben. 19 MR. RANDS: You're mixing your metaphors, Ben. MR. CLOWARD: I know I'm mixing my metaphors. It's getting 12 late in the day. Hopefully I can bring it together. Image: BY MR. CLOWARD: 12 Q Was there anything that caused any red flags for you regarding <th>1</th> <th colspan="5">didn't become more surgical. He's just still surgical.</th>	1	didn't become more surgical. He's just still surgical.				
 Q It didn't A subject accident. Q It didn't change the recommendations? A That's correct. Q Have you ever heard of a red herring? A Yes. Q Okay. Now, in your examination of Mr. Morgan, was there anything that raised any red flags whatsoever for you about him, about his treatment, about his complaints? A What do you mean? A red herring or a red flag? What are you saying? Q Sorry. I'm getting the red herring, that was just one question. A Okay. Q Now the next question is was there anything that caused any red flags MR. RANDS: You're mixing your metaphors, Ben. MR. CLOWARD: I know I'm mixing my metaphors. It's getting Iate in the day. Hopefully I can bring it together. BY MR. CLOWARD: Q Was there anything that caused any red flags for you regarding 	2	Q	Okay. So it didn't			
 A subject accident. Q It didn't change the recommendations? A That's correct. Q Have you ever heard of a red herring? A Yes. Q Okay. Now, in your examination of Mr. Morgan, was there anything that raised any red flags whatsoever for you about him, about his treatment, about his complaints? A What do you mean? A red herring or a red flag? What are you saying? Q Sorry. I'm getting the red herring, that was just one question. A Okay. Q Now the next question is was there anything that caused any red flags MR. RANDS: You're mixing your metaphors, Ben. MR. CLOWARD: I know I'm mixing my metaphors. It's getting late in the day. Hopefully I can bring it together. BY MR. CLOWARD: Q Was there anything that caused any red flags for you regarding 	3	А	So preexisting or from the			
6 Q It didn't change the recommendations? 7 A That's correct. 8 Q Have you ever heard of a red herring? 9 A Yes. 10 Q Okay. Now, in your examination of Mr. Morgan, was there 11 anything that raised any red flags whatsoever for you about him, about his 12 treatment, about his complaints? 13 A What do you mean? A red herring or a red flag? What are you 14 saying? 15 Q Sorry. I'm getting the red herring, that was just one question. 16 A Okay. 17 Q Now the next question is was there anything that caused any 18 red flags 19 MR. RANDS: You're mixing your metaphors, Ben. 10 MR. CLOWARD: I know I'm mixing my metaphors. It's getting 12 late in the day. Hopefully I can bring it together. 12 BY MR. CLOWARD: 12 Q 13 Was there anything that caused any red flags for you regarding	4	Q	It didn't			
7 A That's correct. 8 Q Have you ever heard of a red herring? 9 A Yes. 10 Q Okay. Now, in your examination of Mr. Morgan, was there 11 anything that raised any red flags whatsoever for you about him, about his 12 treatment, about his complaints? 13 A What do you mean? A red herring or a red flag? What are you 14 saying? 15 Q Sorry. I'm getting the red herring, that was just one question. 16 A Okay. 17 Q Now the next question is was there anything that caused any 18 red flags MR. RANDS: You're mixing your metaphors, Ben. 19 MR. CLOWARD: I know I'm mixing my metaphors. It's getting 12 Iate in the day. Hopefully I can bring it together. 12 BY MR. CLOWARD: 23 Q Was there anything that caused any red flags for you regarding	5	А	subject accident.			
8 Q Have you ever heard of a red herring? 9 A Yes. 10 Q Okay. Now, in your examination of Mr. Morgan, was there 11 anything that raised any red flags whatsoever for you about him, about his 12 treatment, about his complaints? 13 A What do you mean? A red herring or a red flag? What are you 14 saying? 15 Q Sorry. I'm getting the red herring, that was just one question. 16 A Okay. 17 Q Now the next question is was there anything that caused any 18 red flags 19 MR. RANDS: You're mixing your metaphors, Ben. 20 MR. CLOWARD: I know I'm mixing my metaphors. It's getting 21 late in the day. Hopefully I can bring it together. 22 Q Was there anything that caused any red flags for you regarding	6	Q	It didn't change the recommendations?			
 A Yes. Q Okay. Now, in your examination of Mr. Morgan, was there anything that raised any red flags whatsoever for you about him, about his treatment, about his complaints? A What do you mean? A red herring or a red flag? What are you saying? Q Sorry. I'm getting the red herring, that was just one question. A Okay. Q Now the next question is was there anything that caused any red flags MR. RANDS: You're mixing your metaphors, Ben. MR. CLOWARD: I know I'm mixing my metaphors. It's getting late in the day. Hopefully I can bring it together. BY MR. CLOWARD: Q Was there anything that caused any red flags for you regarding 	7	А	That's correct.			
 Q Okay. Now, in your examination of Mr. Morgan, was there anything that raised any red flags whatsoever for you about him, about his treatment, about his complaints? A What do you mean? A red herring or a red flag? What are you saying? Q Sorry. I'm getting the red herring, that was just one question. A Okay. Q Now the next question is was there anything that caused any red flags MR. RANDS: You're mixing your metaphors, Ben. MR. CLOWARD: I know I'm mixing my metaphors. It's getting late in the day. Hopefully I can bring it together. Q Was there anything that caused any red flags for you regarding 	8	Q	Have you ever heard of a red herring?			
 anything that raised any red flags whatsoever for you about him, about his treatment, about his complaints? A What do you mean? A red herring or a red flag? What are you saying? Q Sorry. I'm getting the red herring, that was just one question. A Okay. Q Now the next question is was there anything that caused any red flags MR. RANDS: You're mixing your metaphors, Ben. MR. CLOWARD: I know I'm mixing my metaphors. It's getting late in the day. Hopefully I can bring it together. BY MR. CLOWARD: Q Was there anything that caused any red flags for you regarding 	9	А	Yes.			
 treatment, about his complaints? A What do you mean? A red herring or a red flag? What are you saying? Q Sorry. I'm getting the red herring, that was just one question. A Okay. Q Now the next question is was there anything that caused any red flags MR. RANDS: You're mixing your metaphors, Ben. MR. CLOWARD: I know I'm mixing my metaphors. It's getting late in the day. Hopefully I can bring it together. BY MR. CLOWARD: Q Was there anything that caused any red flags for you regarding 	10	Q	Okay. Now, in your examination of Mr. Morgan, was there			
13 A What do you mean? A red herring or a red flag? What are you saying? 14 saying? 15 Q Sorry. I'm getting the red herring, that was just one question. 16 A Okay. 17 Q Now the next question is was there anything that caused any 18 red flags 19 MR. RANDS: You're mixing your metaphors, Ben. 20 MR. CLOWARD: I know I'm mixing my metaphors. It's getting 21 late in the day. Hopefully I can bring it together. 22 Q Was there anything that caused any red flags for you regarding	11	anything that raised any red flags whatsoever for you about him, about his				
 saying? Q Sorry. I'm getting the red herring, that was just one question. A Okay. Q Now the next question is was there anything that caused any red flags MR. RANDS: You're mixing your metaphors, Ben. MR. CLOWARD: I know I'm mixing my metaphors. It's getting late in the day. Hopefully I can bring it together. BY MR. CLOWARD: Q Was there anything that caused any red flags for you regarding 	12	treatment, about his complaints?				
 Q Sorry. I'm getting the red herring, that was just one question. A Okay. Q Now the next question is was there anything that caused any red flags MR. RANDS: You're mixing your metaphors, Ben. MR. CLOWARD: I know I'm mixing my metaphors. It's getting late in the day. Hopefully I can bring it together. BY MR. CLOWARD: Q Was there anything that caused any red flags for you regarding 	13	А	What do you mean? A red herring or a red flag? What are you			
 A Okay. Q Now the next question is was there anything that caused any red flags MR. RANDS: You're mixing your metaphors, Ben. MR. CLOWARD: I know I'm mixing my metaphors. It's getting late in the day. Hopefully I can bring it together. BY MR. CLOWARD: Q Was there anything that caused any red flags for you regarding 	14	saying?				
17 Q Now the next question is was there anything that caused any 18 red flags 19 MR. RANDS: You're mixing your metaphors, Ben. 20 MR. CLOWARD: I know I'm mixing my metaphors. It's getting 21 late in the day. Hopefully I can bring it together. 22 Q Was there anything that caused any red flags for you regarding	15	Q	Sorry. I'm getting the red herring, that was just one question.			
 red flags MR. RANDS: You're mixing your metaphors, Ben. MR. CLOWARD: I know I'm mixing my metaphors. It's getting late in the day. Hopefully I can bring it together. BY MR. CLOWARD: Q Was there anything that caused any red flags for you regarding 	16	А	Okay.			
 MR. RANDS: You're mixing your metaphors, Ben. MR. CLOWARD: I know I'm mixing my metaphors. It's getting late in the day. Hopefully I can bring it together. BY MR. CLOWARD: Q Was there anything that caused any red flags for you regarding 	17	Q	Now the next question is was there anything that caused any			
 MR. CLOWARD: I know I'm mixing my metaphors. It's getting late in the day. Hopefully I can bring it together. BY MR. CLOWARD: Q Was there anything that caused any red flags for you regarding 	18	red flags				
 21 late in the day. Hopefully I can bring it together. 22 BY MR. CLOWARD: 23 Q Was there anything that caused any red flags for you regarding 	19		MR. RANDS: You're mixing your metaphors, Ben.			
 BY MR. CLOWARD: Q Was there anything that caused any red flags for you regarding 	20		MR. CLOWARD: I know I'm mixing my metaphors. It's getting			
23 Q Was there anything that caused any red flags for you regarding	21					
	22	BY MR. CLOWARD:				
24 Mr. Morgan's presentation when he came to see you back in October of	23	Q	Was there anything that caused any red flags for you regarding			
	24					
25 2016?	25	2016?				
101 592			101 592			

1	A No	. Had there been any red flags, I would have docum	ented		
2	those. I would have done a further history into why there was				
3	inconsistencies. I would have looked at probably the Waddell signs. I would				
4	have commented on it in the report. I would have specifically looked even				
5	further in the reports I have with Coppel and Muir, which I did look				
6	thoroughly through. I didn't see any red flags there either.				
7	Q Ok	ay. And finally, you were asked about whether or no	ot you		
8	believed that the car crash of April 1, 2014, were the cause of the symptoms				
9	that Mr. Morgan was seeing you for. Would a is it usual, I guess, that you				
10	would find a 22, 23 year old, at the time he saw you 24 year old, having				
11	these symptoms without a traumatic event?				
12	A No	, not usually. I mean, my office is full of patients and	we		
13	rarely see a 24 year old. I mean, it does happen, but it's very unlikely and				
14	when it does it's usually traumatic.				
15	Q Ok	ay. So if Mr. Morgan had not been in a car crash on	April 1,		
16	2014, do you believe that he would have still gone ahead and had to have				
17	the treatment that he had in this case?				
18	A Ab	solutely not.			
19	Q Do	you think			
20	A No	way.			
21	Q Do	you think that without the car crash of April 2014, do	you		
22	think that Mr. Morgan would have to go on and have to have a either a				
23	plasma disc decompression or rhizotomy treatment in the cervical spine?				
24	A No				
25	Q Ok	ay. So you agree or I guess your opinion would be	e that the		
		102	593		

1	car crash was the ultimate cause of all of these issues?			
2	А	That's correct.		
3		[Counsel confer]		
4	BY MR. CL	_OWARD:		
5	Q	If you did an IME in this case, would you have done any	thing	
6	differently	or		
7	А	No. I still would have performed a history. I still would h	nave	
8	performed	a physical examination. I still would have performed x-ray	/s and	
9	looked at the MRIs that had been performed and looked at all the records			
10	from his tre	eating providers. And it would be very similar and I would	give my	
11	recommen	dations and I would talk about what caused the symptoms	and	
12	what's nee	ded next as far as treatment.		
13	Q	Okay. And the final question was, you actually did view	the	
14	discograph	ny studies, the MRIs; is that correct?		
15	А	That's correct.		
16	Q	And the MRIs, I guess, or the findings on the MRIs clinic	ally	
17	correlate to	correlate to the subjective complaints of pain as well as your objective		
18	examinatio	n?		
19	А	Yes.		
20	Q	Okay. Thank you, Dr. Cash. And have all of your opinio	ons	
21	been to a r	reasonable degree of medical probability on a more likely	han not	
22	basis?			
23	А	Yes.		
24		MR. CLOWARD: Thank you.		
25		THE COURT: Mr. Rands?		
		103	594	

1	MR. RANDS: I want to get my own flag in, so I'm just waving
2	the checkered flag. I think we're done with this witness.
3	THE COURT: All right. Any questions from the jury?
4	UNIDENTIFIED JUROR: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Counsel, approach, please.
6	THE WITNESS: Here's your lovely
7	THE COURT: Oh, that's Mr. Cloward's. You can just leave it
8	right there.
9	THE WITNESS: Okay.
10	[Bench conference begins at 2:23 p.m.]
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: [Indiscernible].
13	MR. CLOWARD: [Indiscernible] fine with all of the questions.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: [Indiscernible].
16	MR. CLOWARD: Thanks, Judge.
17	THE COURT: All right.
18	[Bench conference ends at 2:24 p.m.]
19	THE COURT: All right, sir. I'm going to ask you some
20	questions. I'm going to ask you to look at the jury, though, when you answer
21	so that they can hear you.
22	THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Did Mr. Morgan discuss with you any functional
24	limitations he has? If so, what are those functional limitations or
25	impairments?
	104 595

THE WITNESS: Okay. That's a good question. So I knew at the time he was being evaluated by me that he was not at work and I knew he was having significant pain. He rated it 8 out of 10. Because I wasn't assuming his care and he wanted my opinion on what the next step, I don't think I investigated too fully on what specific -- you know, actually, let me look at one other thing in my records.

1

2

3

4

5

6

So what I have on page 6 of my intake form is something we 7 8 call a back disability index. And it has ten parameters and it identifies what functional limitations they have based on their pain. And so we ask them 9 10 about their pain intensity. It said it was severe and did not wobble too much, it was always severe. Asked about personal care and they said that they 11 12 did -- they needed help every day in most aspects of their personal care. I 13 asked him about lifting. They could only lift very lightweight objects. I asked 14 him about walking. They said they could not walk without increasing their 15 pain. I asked him about sitting. They said they avoided sitting because it caused immediate pain. I asked him about standing and he said he avoided 16 standing because it caused immediate pain. His social life was hard 17 because of his pain. Driving, restricted, form -- the pain restricted the form 18 of travel to driving. His sleep was disturbed, five to seven hours. And his 19 20 recreation was gradually worsening because of pain. So, yes, we have a lot of functional indices right here, page 6. 21

THE COURT: All right. Did Mr. Morgan report any lower
 extremity numbness or tingling to you?

THE WITNESS: So when he was asked about if he had radiating pain down the arms, hands, and feet, he said no. But when I

looked at his pain diagram and corroborated that with the physical
 examination, he had numbness and tingling down the right thigh. And
 then -- that's on my report Bates 4. And the pain diagram or body diagram
 shows back markings as well as markings out towards the side. So it looked
 like there was radiating pain and numbness and tingling.

THE COURT: How would you treat chronic pain associated
 with facet issues after the fifth ablation and is that treatment associated with
 adjacent cervical issues?

9 THE WITNESS: Okay. So there's two questions there. So 10 we're looking at a patient now who is up for radiofrequency ablation and 11 we're trying to figure out and estimate to the best of our ability how many 12 he'll need in his lifetime. At this point, we're very limited. We don't have five 13 radiofrequency ablations. We just have what we have now. So I think he's 14 going to fall within two to five. That's just what I see as a standard deviation 15 from the bell curve.

16 If, like I said, there's -- ten years from now and in fact he has had five radiofrequency ablations and the historical representation by the 17 records show he has had five, then we will continue them on at that point. 18 I'd have to re-estimate how many he'll need, how often he's having them, 19 20 how often will he have them. So that question is in the future, provided he 21 has five. I don't know if he will have the whole five. I don't know if he will 22 have more. But we're talking about a bell curve of most people at this point 23 needing two to five. But if he does get up to five, then he will probably need 24 more and I have to re-estimate at that point based on what the records 25 show.

597

And is that adjacent level? Adjacent level degeneration occurs with a lumbar or cervical fusion, either one, and it's the forces from the fusion being pushed up. The injury in the neck is the facets. There's not going to be an adjacent level breakdown because there's no fusion necessary for the cervical spine, just the radiofrequency ablations.

THE COURT: All right. How many times is the plasma disc
 decompression procedure recommended prior to committing to a lumbar
 fusion?

THE WITNESS: Rarely. So I do a lot of lumbar fusions. I'm 9 sure Dr. Muir does, too. He does more plasma disc decompressions than I 10 do. But it's very rare. This is often -- if we think that the patient is very 11 12 young and we would like to kick the can down the road a little bit or our patient is very old and has very debilitating medical conditions like heart or 13 14 lung and we think they wouldn't be safe for a fusion, we might go with a 15 plasma disc decompression. But the fusion usually is gone -- is usually 16 performed more often than a preceding plasma disc decompression by a 17 number of magnitudes. It's just very rare we do the plasma disc decompression first. Now, this is a case where the patient is so young it 18 might benefit to try that. 19

THE COURT: All right. Any follow-up, Mr. Cloward?
MR. CLOWARD: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
MR. RANDS: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right.
MR. RANDS: Thank you for your time, Doctor.
THE COURT: Sir, you are free to go.

1	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Thank you.
3	Mr. Cloward, please call your next witness.
4	MR. CLOWARD: Thank you, Your Honor. We would call the
5	Defendant
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	MR. CLOWARD: Mr. Lujan.
8	THE COURT: Sir, come on up, please.
9	[Counsel confer]
10	THE MARSHAL: If you would remain standing, face the Clerk,
11	raise your right hand to be sworn in, please.
12	DAVID LUJAN
13	[having been called as witness and being duly sworn testified as
14	follows:]
15	THE CLERK: Thank you.
16	THE COURT: Good afternoon, sir. Go ahead and have a seat.
17	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
18	THE COURT: And if you could please state your name and
19	then spell it for the record.
20	THE WITNESS: Okay. David Lujan, D-A-V-I-D L-U-J-A-N.
21	THE COURT: Thank you.
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. BOYACK:
24	Q All right. Mr. Lujan, at the time of the accident in April of 2014,
25	were you employed with Montara Meadows?
	108 599

1	А	Yes.
2	Q	And what was your employment?
3	А	I was the bus driver.
4	Q	Okay. And what is your understanding of the relationship of
5	Montara Me	eadows to Harvest Management?
6	А	Harvest Management was our corporate office.
7	Q	Okay.
8	А	Montara Meadows is just the local
9	Q	Okay. All right. And this accident happened April 1, 2014,
10	correct?	
11	А	Yes, sir.
12	Q	All right. And
13		THE COURT: I'm sorry, Mr. Boyack. Could counsel approach
14	for a secon	d?
15		[Bench conference begins at 2:31 p.m.]
16		THE COURT: It's nothing you did. I just have an IT guy here to
17	look at som	nething that's wrong with my computer.
18		MR. BOYACK: Oh, okay. Okay.
19		THE COURT: So I'm just going to take a break, if that's all right
20	with you.	
21		MR. BOYACK: Okay. Yeah. We can take a break.
22		THE COURT: I just need to take a break because the IT guy is
23	here to do s	something with my computer.
24		MR. GARDNER: No problem, Judge. No problem.
25		THE COURT: All right.
		109 600

1	[Bench conference ends at 2:31 p.m.]	
2	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we're just going to take a	
3	short break right now. During the break, you are admonished not to talk or	
4	converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected	
5	with this trial or read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the	
6	trial or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information,	
7	including without limitation newspapers, television, internet, and radio, or	
8	form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the	
9	case is finally submitted to you. I remind you not to do any independent	
10	research. We'll come back in ten minutes.	
11	THE MARSHAL: Please rise for the jury.	
12	[Jury out at 2:32 p.m.]	
13	[Recess taken at 2:32 p.m.]	
14	[Proceeding resumed at 2:48 p.m.]	
15	THE MARSHAL: Please rise for the jury.	
16	[Jury in at 2:49 p.m.]	
17	THE COURT: We're back on the record in	
18	THE MARSHAL: Please be seated.	
19	THE COURT: Case Number A718679, Morgan versus Lujan.	
20	The record will reflect the presence of all of our jurors, counsel, and the	
21	parties.	
22	And, sir, I will just remind you, you are still under oath.	
23	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
24	THE COURT: Mr. Boyack, whenever you're ready.	
25	MR. BOYACK: All right. Thank you.	
	110 601	
I	•	•

1	BY MR. BOYACK:		
2	Q	Okay. So this accident happened April 1, 2014, right?	
3	А	Yes, sir.	
4	Q	And it happened you pulled out of the what's that park,	
5	Paradise Pa	ark?	
6	А	Yes.	
7	Q	Pulled out of the parking lot and drove right in front of	
8	Mr. Morgar	n; is that right?	
9	А	Well, I looked both ways and then I didn't see any traffic	
10	coming, and	d then so I proceeded across three lanes. And then we collide)d
11	on the right	lane where he was going north, I believe.	
12	Q	Okay. All right. And at the scene of the accident, did you sp	eak
13	to anyone?		
14	А	Just the officer and then briefly him and his mother. I mean,	his
15	mother and	I were talked about him being I was concerned about him	۱.
16	Q	Okay. And isn't it true that you said to his mother you were	
17	sorry for thi	s accident?	
18	А	Yes.	
19	Q	And that you were actually pretty worked up and crying after	the
20	accident?		
21	А	I don't know that I was crying. I was more concerned than I was	was
22	crying		
23	Q	Okay.	
24	А	because I never been in an accident like that.	
25	Q	Okay. And isn't it true that you continued to apologize to	
		111 60	2

1 Mr. Morgan's mother for this crash?

A I apologized. I don't know if I did it continuously, but I did
apologize.

Q Okay. And in fact, you were so concerned about Aaron after
the accident, isn't it true that you told her [sic] mother that you would
continue to pray for Aaron?

A Yes.

7

8

Q Okay. So this was a big accident?

9 A Well, it was for me because I've never been in one in a bus, so 10 it was for me.

11QOkay. But you were so concerned about Aaron and what he12may have injured that you felt the need to pray for him?

A Well, based on my religion -- I can't speak for anybody
 else -- but my -- I'm a very religious person, so, you know, prayer is, at least
 in our church, something you would do for somebody who you think might
 be ill or sick.

Q Okay. And you said you spoke to the officer, correct?

18 **A Yes.**

Q And you didn't tell the officer at the scene it was Aaron's fault,
correct?

A No. I never placed blame.

Q Okay. And -- okay. And so tell me how this works. You cause
this accident and how does it work corporate wise? You got to fill out
paperwork?

25 A

Yes. I go --

1		MR. RANDS: First of all, let me object to the form of the
2	question.	think he's referenced cause and I'm not sure that's been
3	established	d.
4		MR. BOYACK: Your Honor, this is cross-examination.
5		THE COURT: Yeah. Overruled.
6	BY MR. BO	DYACK:
7	Q	You can answer. What's the
8	А	The protocol was I was then went back to the facility. I was
9	sent for im	mediate drug and alcohol test and I filled out our accident report.
10	Q	Okay. All right. I've got those copies here. This is Defense
11	Exhibit A th	nat I'm going to show you, the incident accident report. Is that
12	your handw	vriting?
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	Okay. And I'm just going to scroll down. If you can, read for us
15	what you w	vrote there.
16	А	It says that I stopped at the driveway, I looked both ways and
17	then I pulle	ed out I pulled out, not and I did not see him and then that's
18	when the c	collision happened or
19	Q	Right. Just read it word for word, if you can. I can zoom in if
20	you want n	ne to.
21	А	All right. I stopped at the driveway and looked both ways and
22	pulled	
23		MR. GARDNER: When you zoom out like this, you really can't
24	see it, cour	nsel, on these monitors, these screens.
25		MR. BOYACK: Oh, okay. On the smaller ones?
		113 604

 MR. GARDNER: Yeah. There you go. MR. BOYACK: There you go. Sorry. THE WITNESS: Okay. Again, I stopped at the driveway, looked both ways, pulled out, and he hit the bus by the passenger door BY MR. BOYACK: Q Okay. By you writing he hit the bus, are you putting fault o A I was saying where the vehicle struck our vehicle. I wasn't 	n
 THE WITNESS: Okay. Again, I stopped at the driveway, looked both ways, pulled out, and he hit the bus by the passenger door BY MR. BOYACK: Q Okay. By you writing he hit the bus, are you putting fault o Aaron? 	n
 4 looked both ways, pulled out, and he hit the bus by the passenger door 5 BY MR. BOYACK: 6 Q Okay. By you writing he hit the bus, are you putting fault of 7 Aaron? 	n
 BY MR. BOYACK: Q Okay. By you writing he hit the bus, are you putting fault of 7 Aaron? 	n
Q Okay. By you writing he hit the bus, are you putting fault o Aaron?	
7 Aaron?	
A I was saving where the vehicle struck our vehicle. I wasn't	
	it B,
9 placing blame.	it B,
Q Okay. And now I'll have you look at, I think, which is Exhib	
11 the driver's statement report. If you can read that?	
A I was pulling out of the driveway, stopped and looked both	or
13 stopped and looked and pulled out and the Mustang hit the bus.	
Q Okay. And then this is the bottom of it. This is all your	
15 handwriting?	
16 A Yes.	
17 Q Okay.	
18AIt was dry. It was windy and clear.	
Q Okay. And you mark was a police report filed, you mark	ed
20 yes?	
21 A Yes.	
22 Q Were you issued a citation, you marked no?	
23 MR. GARDNER: Objection. Relevance.	
24 THE WITNESS: Yes.	
25 MR. GARDNER: Relevance.	
	_
114 6	05

1	THE COURT: Counsel, approach.
2	[Bench conference begins at 2:55 p.m.]
3	MR. BOYACK: It's cross-examination. He's
4	MR. GARDNER: It's [indiscernible].
5	THE COURT: [Indiscernible].
6	MR. GARDNER: He can't reference a ticket or a citation.
7	That's irrelevant.
8	MR. BOYACK: We can.
9	MR. GARDNER: There's no foundation for it.
10	MR. BOYACK: We can for impeachment purposes because he
11	was issued a citation. He had it. On a corporate form, he's marking that he
12	did not receive a citation.
13	MR. GARDNER: Well, what does that mean?
14	THE COURT: What does that mean?
15	MR. BOYACK: It's just impeachment, that he's telling
16	MR. GARDNER: Citation from the company or a citation from
17	the cops [indiscernible]?
18	MR. CLOWARRD: We'll, ask him let him ask that question.
19	MR. BOYACK: Yeah.
20	MR. CLOWARD: Let him ask the question. This is
21	cross-examination. You can rehabilitate.
22	THE COURT: I understand that, Mr. Cloward. But, you know,
23	the citations are not admissible [indiscernible].
24	MR. GARDNER: Yeah.
25	MR. BOYACK: We understand that.
	115 606

1	MR. CLOWARD: For specific purposes, but there are
2	exceptions. There are exceptions to the rules of evidence.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	MR. CLOWARD: Things are allowed for other purposes.
5	THE COURT: But what is the exception [indiscernible]?
6	MR. BOYACK: The exception would be it's not offered for the
7	truth of the matter asserted. He was issued a citation. It's impeachment.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Hold on a second.
9	MR. GARDNER: That's getting it in the back door.
10	MR. BOYACK: It's impeachment that he's changing the stories.
11	[Bench conference ends at 2:56 p.m.]
12	THE COURT: Okay, folks. I'm going to ask you to step out for
13	a minute. During this break, you are admonished not to talk or converse
14	among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this
15	trial or read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or
16	any person connected with this trial by any medium of information, including
17	without limitation newspapers, television, internet, and radio, or form or
18	express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is
19	finally submitted to you. I remind you not to do any independent research.
20	Hopefully it'll be just a couple minutes.
21	THE MARSHAL: Please rise for the jury.
22	[Jury out at 2:56 p.m.]
23	[Outside the presence of the jury]
24	THE COURT: Go ahead and have a seat.
25	All right. Mr. Gardner, why don't we just start from the top so

1 that we make sure we have a good record.

	-
2	MR. GARDNER: There's reference to an automobile citation
3	that was allegedly issued to my client. Number one, it's not relevant. And
4	number two, no one really knows what happened to that ticket, whether it
5	was pled guilty or pled down or whatever happened to it. Not to mention the
6	fact that I think it's prejudicial, especially when it's not admissible. I am not
7	aware of any exceptions to that.
8	THE COURT: All right.
9	MR. BOYACK: We're relying on this is used solely for
10	impeachment purposes. In a corporate document he's stating to a
11	supervisor I was not issued a citation, yet, he was.
12	MR. GARDNER: [Indiscernible].
13	MR. BOYACK: We're not looking to pursue that anything
14	further other than it's than to his corporation or to his bosses, he said I
15	wasn't issued a citation and that's it. So it
16	[Counsel confer]
17	THE COURT: All right.
18	MR. CLOWARD: And, Your Honor, if we can have the Court's
19	indulgence? I've got the case up right now.
20	THE COURT: Sure. What's the case?
21	MR. CLOWARD: It is, I believe I thought that was the case. I
22	thought it was Johnstone v. State, but that looks like a criminal it's 548
23	P.2d 1362. I'm not sure if that's actually the case, though. But I think the
24	analogy would be just like insurance is not admissible for certain purposes, it
25	is admissible for other purposes, for control, ownership, things of that

nature. And in this instance, if, you know, after the crash, the second day
when we've had a -- we have an opportunity -- the Defendants have had an
opportunity to think about it, the story starts to change, and now fault starts
to be placed on Mr. Morgan, that is impeachment. That is impeachment
information.

MR. RANDS: But, Your Honor, if I may, since counsel has
jumped in on it, they can't use this as impeachment unless they try and say,
okay, you say you weren't cited here, well, were you cited. How does it
become impeachment if they can't get the citation in as evidence?

Mr. CLOWARD: Because the fact that he reported that he - MR. RANDS: So what they're saying now is that they want to
 have this -- okay, we showed that he said he was not cited, now we get to
 go talk about the citation. And that's not relevant. That's not impeachment.
 MR. CLOWARD: I think --

MR. RANDS: It's irrelevant to the case. It's not used -- it can't
be used to establish liability. And that's what they're trying to do through the
back door.

MR. CLOWARD: No. I think if we were to try and admit the 18 19 police report as a result of that, that would be inappropriate. But the sole 20 question of were -- you know, did you fill out this report accurately, yes or 21 no, isn't it true that you actually were cited for this so this isn't accurate, and 22 then that's the end of the inquiry. You don't get to -- this wouldn't open the 23 door for us to say, well, okay, let's take a look at the police report, let's get 24 into the police officer's opinion, let's do that. That's not what we're trying to 25 do and I don't think that that would be appropriate.

MR. RANDS: The --1 MR. CLOWAD: But I think to impeach his statement of what he 2 put on this report, because it is not accurate --3 MR. GARDNER: The case is Frias v. Valle, 101 Nev. 219 4 5 (1985). And it's illustrating the Nevada court's, it says, hesitation to admit traffic accident report and traffic citations and places limits on an 6 7 investigating officer's testimony regarding liability. Basically, because they 8 weren't there, they -- I mean, they didn't witness this, it's all -- the information that they're getting is not firsthand. It's -- frankly, it's probably biased and it's 9 probably -- I mean, it's just totally irrelevant. 10 MR. CLOWARD: But again, all we're introducing, even the 11 12 mention of it, is he filled out a form telling his supervisors, no, I was not issued a citation, when in actually he was. So he's not being truthful with his 13 supervisors. That's the only thing we're bringing it up for. I mean, it --14 15 THE COURT: All right. So the authority I have right now is 16 *Frias*, which says evidence of traffic citation is inadmissible. 17 MR. CLOWARD: And I think that's -- that was because the reason that it was admitted was for the officer's conclusions as to cause of 18 the accident. 19 THE COURT: Right. I understand and I understand what 20 21 you're saying. But do you have any authority to support that since the 22 default for traffic citations is that they are inadmissible? MR. CLOWARD: Well, I think that the Court needs to look at 23 24 what we're trying to do. We're not trying to admit the police report, so we 25 would not run afoul of *Frias v. Valle* because we're not attempting to admit

the police report into evidence. The analogy would be if Mr. Morgan told the		
police officer I am absolutely not hurt, I am completely fine, and then goes,		
you know, to the hospital and says, hey, I have all these problems, and they		
wanted to admit it for you know, to for impeachment purposes. That's		
the same thing. Here, the Defendant goes back to the office and says,		
yeah, you know what, I wasn't at fault, I didn't I wasn't issued a citation.		
That's not true.		
MR. RANDS: I don't think		
MR. CLOWARD: It		
MR. RANDS: Sorry. I don't think the analogy works though		
because if the police officer were here to testify, there would be hearsay		
issues but he could still testify this is what he told me. There he wouldn't be		
trying to admit the fact of a citation.		
THE COURT: Well, no. But, I mean, the		
MR. RANDS: And I think that's where we're going. Oh, yeah,		
you could		
THE COURT: But the issue is that I mean, what they're		
saying is that he lied on the form to the company.		
MR. CLOWARD: Yeah. That's exactly it.		
THE COURT: That's what they're trying to admit it for.		
MR. RANDS: How do they establish that without getting the		
citation in or the fact of the citation in?		
THE COURT: Right. But can't they introduce that for the		
limited purpose of impeachment, not to show that he		
MR. RANDS: That's		
120 611		

1	THE COURT: actually was responsible for the accident.
2	MR. RANDS: That's just a back door to try and get it in, Your
3	Honor.
4	THE COURT: Well
5	[Pause]
6	MR. CLOWARD: I guess if we were to admit the police report
7	to prove or to prove liability, that would not be appropriate. We are not
8	attempting to do that. We are simply attempting to establish that Mr. Lujan
9	was not truthful on this report. That's it.
10	Because the fact that, you know, he received a citation, that
11	doesn't mean anything. That doesn't mean anything. He could be issued a
12	citation for a failure to wear a seatbelt. He could be issued a citation for, you
13	know, anything. You know? The fact that he was issued a citation does not
14	prove conclusively liability in the case.
15	And we're not attempting to show him the citation and say, well,
16	Mr. Lujan, here's a copy of the citation, isn't it true you you know, the
17	police officer said you were at fault for pulling out in front of Mr. Morgan,
18	failure to, you know I think it's the citation was something along the lines
19	of failure to yield out of a private driveway. We're not attempting to do that.
20	All we're trying to do is to say on this corporate form, the next
21	day you marked I received no citation, when in fact you did receive a
22	citation. But we're not trying to take the next step, which is, hey, ladies and
23	gentlemen, here's a copy of the citation and we're going to attempt to use
24	the citation to show that he was at fault for this crash. We're not going there.
25	And I think for that for the limited very limited

1	purpose because even in traffic citation cases, the court has said that
2	statements made to the police officer are admissible. You can actually
3	admit a a citation. You just can't admit the officer's opinion. We're not
4	even close to getting to the officer's opinion.
5	THE COURT: Well, I mean, no. All right. So, I mean,
6	statements of a party opponent to an officer are admissions and so they
7	would be admissible in that
8	Mr. CLOWARD: Yeah.
9	THE COURT: way, right? So
10	MR. RANDS: Sure.
11	THE COURT: I mean, I understand what you're what your
12	intention is. My question is, is there any authority? Because the case law
13	that I mean, that we have seems to suggest that citations are
14	inadmissible. It is not clear to me, though, whether it is inadmissible for all
15	purposes or no.
16	MR. CLOWARD: I'm just trying to find some authority, Your
17	Honor.
18	[Pause]
19	MR. CLOWARD: Your Honor, I can't I don't I'm not able to
20	find any authority one way or another. I guess, you know, our ultimate
21	position would be we're not attempting to have the police report admitted.
22	We're not having the opinions admitted from the police report. We're not
23	attempting to represent to the jurors what the police what the police
24	ultimately found, any conclusions that the police officer made, anything
25	along those lines. All we're attempting to do is to show that Mr. Lujan was
	122 613

1	not accurate in his reporting of this event in corporate documents.
2	MR. RANDS: And we just stand by our position that we've
3	already discussed.
4	[Pause]
5	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Cloward, I'm not I don't know if you
6	found anything. I'm not really finding anything.
7	MR. CLOWARD: I'm not either, Your Honor. I'm trying to do
8	KeyCite research and I'm not finding anything, so
9	MR. RANDS: I didn't find anything under Shepard, so
10	MR. GARDNER: I guess we'll have to go to Google to break
11	the tie.
12	MR. RANDS: I tried Google, too. It didn't work either.
13	[Pause]
14	MR. CLOWARD: Your Honor, without conceding the position
15	for appellate purposes, I think I would recognize the Court's position that
16	Valle v. Frias [sic] is the I guess the standard that we start from and that
17	Plaintiffs have been unable to present authority for the Court to consider
18	whether or not an exception would be appropriate.
19	THE COURT: All right, Mr. Cloward. Really what I've been
20	able to find from other jurisdictions, if anything, suggests that they're just
21	simply not admissible. But, you know, I obviously I don't know. This is a
22	very difficult thing to compare. I mean, it makes it hard because there's
23	really no Nevada law on it and we have
24	MR. CLOWARD: Sure.
25	THE COURT: a particular ruling in Nevada and how that
	123 614

1	stacks up to, you know, a statute in another or legal opinions in other
2	jurisdictions. I mean, I just don't know the answer to that. It just appears
3	that all we have suggests that they are not admissible. So I am going to
4	sustain the objection.
5	MR. CLOWARD: Okay.
6	MR. GARDNER: Thank you, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: All right.
8	Mr. RANDS: And [indiscernible] objection, I'd like it pulled off
9	the screen
10	MR. BOYACK: Yeah.
11	MR. RANDS: Your Honor,
12	THE COURT: Yeah. Yeah.
13	MR. RANDS: before the jury comes back.
14	THE COURT: Yeah. I'll instruct the jury to disregard the
15	answer or the last question and answer.
16	MR. GARDNER: Thank you.
17	[Counsel confer]
18	MR. CLOWARD: Can we make an offer of proof? Outside the
19	presence or afterwards?
20	THE COURT: Yeah. Can we just wait? Do you mind? I mean,
21	I don't
22	MR. CLOWARD: Not
23	THE COURT: I think I
24	MR. CLOWARD: Not a problem.
25	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Cloward, I think I understand your
	124 615

1	position fully. If you feel like you need to flesh out the record some, we'll do
2	that
3	MR. CLOWARD: No. I just thought I was
4	THE COURT: later outside the presence of the jury. All
5	right?
6	MR. CLOWARD: supposed to do that.
7	MR. MARSHAL: Please rise for the jury.
8	[Jury in at 3:27 p.m.]
9	THE COURT: Yeah. No. I want you to be able to make a
10	record. I just I don't want you to feel like it would have a different
11	outcome.
12	MR. CLOWARD: Let's do it at the end of the day, though
13	THE COURT: Yeah, yeah.
14	MR. CLOWARD: when we send the jury home.
15	THE COURT: That's fine. No, I just don't
16	MR. CLOWARD: Fair enough.
17	THE COURT: You understand what I'm saying?
18	MR. CLOWARD: Yep.
19	THE COURT: All right.
20	MR. CLOWARD: I know what you're saying.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Folks, sorry about that. We're back on
22	the record in Case Number A718679, Morgan versus Lujan. Let the record
23	reflect the presence of all of our jurors, parties, and counsel.
24	I'm going to ask the jury to disregard the last question and
25	answer.
	125 616

1		And Mr. Boyack, if you will continue, please?	
2		MR. BOYACK: You bet. Thank you, Your Honor.	
3		DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED	
4	BY MR. BO	YACK:	
5	Q	Mr. Lujan, earlier you testified I don't want to put words in	
6	your mouth,	so I'm going to ask you it this way. Did you testify earlier that	
7	you've neve	er placed blame on Aaron for this accident?	
8		THE COURT: Sir, I'm going to ask if you could just scoot up	
9		THE WITNESS: Oh.	
10		THE COURT: because the microphone is that little black box	
11	thing there.	Thank you.	
12		THE WITNESS: Okay.	
13		MR. GARDNER: I think that's been asked and answered, Your	
14	Honor, so I	would object.	
15		THE COURT: Overruled.	
16		THE WITNESS: No, I don't think I placed blame on Aaron.	
17	BY MR. BO	YACK:	
18	Q	Okay.	
19	А	Or I wasn't.	
20	Q	Okay.	
21	А	I mean, I wasn't.	
22	Q	Okay. So when you filled out reports and other documents with	
23	your corpor	ation, your intent was never to place blame on Aaron?	
24	А	Right. It was just I was stating what had happened with the	
25	accident.		
		126 617	

1 Q Okay. 2 A That's what the report says, state what happened. 3 Q Okay. All right. I'm going to show you a document that is a 4 court document. I'm going to show you portions of it. Mr. Cloward spoke 5 about this in his opening about the answer to our complaint. The 6 complaint's a lawsuit. The answer is filed by the attorneys in the matter. 7 And there's a section called affirmative defenses. Can you see that on your 8 screen? 9 A 9 A 10 MR. GARDNER: Let me object, Your Honor. He knows that 11 the Defendant had nothing to do with the answers. 12 MR. CLOWARD: Please, speaking objections, Your Honor. 13 Please no more speaking objections. 14 THE COURT: Yeah. 15 MR. CLOWARD: That's not fair. 16 THE COURT: All right. Counsel, approach, please. 17 MR. GARDNER: Okay. Excuse me. 18 THE COURT: Yeah, Counsel approach. 19 [Bench conference begins at 3:29 p.m.] 20 THE COURT: All right. [Indiscernible], Mr. Gardner, if you have 21				
3 Q Okay. All right. I'm going to show you a document that is a 4 court document. I'm going to show you portions of it. Mr. Cloward spoke 5 about this in his opening about the answer to our complaint. The 6 complaint's a lawsuit. The answer is filed by the attorneys in the matter. 7 And there's a section called affirmative defenses. Can you see that on your 8 screen? 9 A 10 MR. GARDNER: Let me object, Your Honor. He knows that 11 the Defendant had nothing to do with the answers. 12 MR. CLOWARD: Please, speaking objections, Your Honor. 13 Please no more speaking objections. 14 THE COURT: Yeah. 15 MR. CLOWARD: That's not fair. 16 THE COURT: All right. Counsel, approach, please. 17 MR. GARDNER: Okay. Excuse me. 18 THE COURT: Yeah, Counsel approach. 19 [Bench conference begins at 3:29 p.m.] 20 THE COURT: All right. [Indiscernible], Mr. Gardner, if you have something beyond the objection hearsay, please approach the bench. But 21 he's [indiscernible]. 23 MR. GARDNER: I apologize. 24 THE COURT: S	1	Q	Okay.	
 court document. I'm going to show you portions of it. Mr. Cloward spoke about this in his opening about the answer to our complaint. The complaint's a lawsuit. The answer is filed by the attorneys in the matter. And there's a section called affirmative defenses. Can you see that on your screen? A Uh-huh. MR. GARDNER: Let me object, Your Honor. He knows that the Defendant had nothing to do with the answers. MR. CLOWARD: Please, speaking objections, Your Honor. Please no more speaking objections. THE COURT: Yeah. MR. CLOWARD: That's not fair. THE COURT: All right. Counsel, approach, please. MR. GARDNER: Okay. Excuse me. THE COURT: Yeah, Counsel approach. [Bench conference begins at 3:29 p.m.] THE COURT: All right. [Indiscernible], Mr. Gardner, if you have something beyond the objection hearsay, please approach the bench. But he's [indiscernible]. MR. GARDNER: I apologize. THE COURT: So all right. So the objection's overruled. [Indiscernible]. 	2	А	That's what the report says, state what happened.	
 about this in his opening about the answer to our complaint. The complaint's a lawsuit. The answer is filed by the attorneys in the matter. And there's a section called affirmative defenses. Can you see that on your screen? A Uh-huh. MR. GARDNER: Let me object, Your Honor. He knows that the Defendant had nothing to do with the answers. MR. CLOWARD: Please, speaking objections, Your Honor. Please no more speaking objections. THE COURT: Yeah. MR. CLOWARD: That's not fair. THE COURT: All right. Counsel, approach, please. MR. GARDNER: Okay. Excuse me. THE COURT: Yeah, Counsel approach. [Bench conference begins at 3:29 p.m.] THE COURT: All right. [Indiscernible], Mr. Gardner, if you have something beyond the objection hearsay, please approach the bench. But he's [indiscernible]. MR. GARDNER: I apologize. THE COURT: So all right. So the objection's overruled. [Indiscernible]. 	3	Q	Okay. All right. I'm going to show you a document that is a	
 complaint's a lawsuit. The answer is filed by the attorneys in the matter. And there's a section called affirmative defenses. Can you see that on your screen? A Uh-huh. MR. GARDNER: Let me object, Your Honor. He knows that the Defendant had nothing to do with the answers. MR. CLOWARD: Please, speaking objections, Your Honor. Please no more speaking objections. THE COURT: Yeah. MR. GARDNER: Okay. Excuse me. THE COURT: Yeah, Counsel, approach, please. MR. GARDNER: Okay. Excuse me. THE COURT: Yeah, Counsel approach. Eench conference begins at 3:29 p.m.] THE COURT: All right. [Indiscernible], Mr. Gardner, if you have something beyond the objection hearsay, please approach the bench. But he's [indiscernible]. MR. GARDNER: I apologize. THE COURT: So all right. So the objection's overruled. [Indiscernible]. 	4	court docu	ment. I'm going to show you portions of it. Mr. Cloward spoke	
 And there's a section called affirmative defenses. Can you see that on your screen? A Uh-huh. MR. GARDNER: Let me object, Your Honor. He knows that the Defendant had nothing to do with the answers. MR. CLOWARD: Please, speaking objections, Your Honor. Please no more speaking objections. THE COURT: Yeah. MR. CLOWARD: That's not fair. THE COURT: All right. Counsel, approach, please. MR. GARDNER: Okay. Excuse me. THE COURT: Yeah, Counsel approach. [Bench conference begins at 3:29 p.m.] THE COURT: All right. [Indiscernible], Mr. Gardner, if you have something beyond the objection hearsay, please approach the bench. But he's [indiscernible]. MR. GARDNER: I apologize. THE COURT: So all right. So the objection's overruled. 	5	about this i	n his opening about the answer to our complaint. The	
 screen? A Uh-huh. MR. GARDNER: Let me object, Your Honor. He knows that the Defendant had nothing to do with the answers. MR. CLOWARD: Please, speaking objections, Your Honor. Please no more speaking objections. THE COURT: Yeah. MR. CLOWARD: That's not fair. THE COURT: All right. Counsel, approach, please. MR. GARDNER: Okay. Excuse me. THE COURT: Yeah, Counsel approach. [Bench conference begins at 3:29 p.m.] THE COURT: All right. [Indiscernible], Mr. Gardner, if you have something beyond the objection hearsay, please approach the bench. But he's [indiscernible]. MR. GARDNER: I apologize. THE COURT: So all right. So the objection's overruled. 	6	complaint's	a lawsuit. The answer is filed by the attorneys in the matter.	
9 A Uh-huh. 10 MR. GARDNER: Let me object, Your Honor. He knows that 11 the Defendant had nothing to do with the answers. 12 MR. CLOWARD: Please, speaking objections, Your Honor. 13 Please no more speaking objections. 14 THE COURT: Yeah. 15 MR. CLOWARD: That's not fair. 16 THE COURT: All right. Counsel, approach, please. 17 MR. GARDNER: Okay. Excuse me. 18 THE COURT: Yeah, Counsel approach. 19 [Bench conference begins at 3:29 p.m.] 20 THE COURT: All right. [Indiscernible], Mr. Gardner, if you have 21 something beyond the objection hearsay, please approach the bench. But 22 MR. GARDNER: I apologize. 23 MR. GARDNER: I apologize. 24 THE COURT: So all right. So the objection's overruled. 25 [Indiscernible].	7	And there's	s a section called affirmative defenses. Can you see that on you	r
 MR. GARDNER: Let me object, Your Honor. He knows that the Defendant had nothing to do with the answers. MR. CLOWARD: Please, speaking objections, Your Honor. Please no more speaking objections. THE COURT: Yeah. MR. CLOWARD: That's not fair. THE COURT: All right. Counsel, approach, please. MR. GARDNER: Okay. Excuse me. THE COURT: Yeah, Counsel approach. [Bench conference begins at 3:29 p.m.] THE COURT: All right. [Indiscernible], Mr. Gardner, if you have something beyond the objection hearsay, please approach the bench. But he's [indiscernible]. MR. GARDNER: I apologize. THE COURT: So all right. So the objection's overruled. 	8	screen?		
 the Defendant had nothing to do with the answers. MR. CLOWARD: Please, speaking objections, Your Honor. Please no more speaking objections. THE COURT: Yeah. MR. CLOWARD: That's not fair. THE COURT: All right. Counsel, approach, please. MR. GARDNER: Okay. Excuse me. THE COURT: Yeah, Counsel approach. [Bench conference begins at 3:29 p.m.] THE COURT: All right. [Indiscernible], Mr. Gardner, if you have something beyond the objection hearsay, please approach the bench. But he's [indiscernible]. MR. GARDNER: I apologize. THE COURT: So all right. So the objection's overruled. [Indiscernible]. 	9	А	Uh-huh.	
 MR. CLOWARD: Please, speaking objections, Your Honor. Please no more speaking objections. THE COURT: Yeah. MR. CLOWARD: That's not fair. THE COURT: All right. Counsel, approach, please. MR. GARDNER: Okay. Excuse me. THE COURT: Yeah, Counsel approach. [Bench conference begins at 3:29 p.m.] THE COURT: All right. [Indiscernible], Mr. Gardner, if you have something beyond the objection hearsay, please approach the bench. But he's [indiscernible]. MR. GARDNER: I apologize. THE COURT: So all right. So the objection's overruled. [Indiscernible]. 	10		MR. GARDNER: Let me object, Your Honor. He knows that	
 Please no more speaking objections. THE COURT: Yeah. MR. CLOWARD: That's not fair. THE COURT: All right. Counsel, approach, please. MR. GARDNER: Okay. Excuse me. THE COURT: Yeah, Counsel approach. [Bench conference begins at 3:29 p.m.] THE COURT: All right. [Indiscernible], Mr. Gardner, if you have something beyond the objection hearsay, please approach the bench. But he's [indiscernible]. MR. GARDNER: I apologize. THE COURT: So all right. So the objection's overruled. [Indiscernible]. 	11	the Defend	lant had nothing to do with the answers.	
 14 THE COURT: Yeah. 15 MR. CLOWARD: That's not fair. 16 THE COURT: All right. Counsel, approach, please. 17 MR. GARDNER: Okay. Excuse me. 18 THE COURT: Yeah, Counsel approach. 19 [Bench conference begins at 3:29 p.m.] 20 THE COURT: All right. [Indiscernible], Mr. Gardner, if you have 21 something beyond the objection hearsay, please approach the bench. But 22 he's [indiscernible]. 23 MR. GARDNER: I apologize. 24 THE COURT: So all right. So the objection's overruled. 25 [Indiscernible]. 	12		MR. CLOWARD: Please, speaking objections, Your Honor.	
 MR. CLOWARD: That's not fair. THE COURT: All right. Counsel, approach, please. MR. GARDNER: Okay. Excuse me. THE COURT: Yeah, Counsel approach. [Bench conference begins at 3:29 p.m.] THE COURT: All right. [Indiscernible], Mr. Gardner, if you have something beyond the objection hearsay, please approach the bench. But he's [indiscernible]. MR. GARDNER: I apologize. THE COURT: So all right. So the objection's overruled. 	13	Please no	more speaking objections.	
 THE COURT: All right. Counsel, approach, please. MR. GARDNER: Okay. Excuse me. THE COURT: Yeah, Counsel approach. [Bench conference begins at 3:29 p.m.] THE COURT: All right. [Indiscernible], Mr. Gardner, if you have something beyond the objection hearsay, please approach the bench. But he's [indiscernible]. MR. GARDNER: I apologize. THE COURT: So all right. So the objection's overruled. 	14		THE COURT: Yeah.	
 MR. GARDNER: Okay. Excuse me. THE COURT: Yeah, Counsel approach. [Bench conference begins at 3:29 p.m.] THE COURT: All right. [Indiscernible], Mr. Gardner, if you have something beyond the objection hearsay, please approach the bench. But he's [indiscernible]. MR. GARDNER: I apologize. THE COURT: So all right. So the objection's overruled. [Indiscernible]. 	15		MR. CLOWARD: That's not fair.	
 THE COURT: Yeah, Counsel approach. [Bench conference begins at 3:29 p.m.] THE COURT: All right. [Indiscernible], Mr. Gardner, if you have something beyond the objection hearsay, please approach the bench. But he's [indiscernible]. MR. GARDNER: I apologize. THE COURT: So all right. So the objection's overruled. [Indiscernible]. 	16		THE COURT: All right. Counsel, approach, please.	
 [Bench conference begins at 3:29 p.m.] THE COURT: All right. [Indiscernible], Mr. Gardner, if you have something beyond the objection hearsay, please approach the bench. But he's [indiscernible]. MR. GARDNER: I apologize. THE COURT: So all right. So the objection's overruled. [Indiscernible]. 	17		MR. GARDNER: Okay. Excuse me.	
 THE COURT: All right. [Indiscernible], Mr. Gardner, if you have something beyond the objection hearsay, please approach the bench. But he's [indiscernible]. MR. GARDNER: I apologize. THE COURT: So all right. So the objection's overruled. [Indiscernible]. 	18		THE COURT: Yeah, Counsel approach.	
 something beyond the objection hearsay, please approach the bench. But he's [indiscernible]. MR. GARDNER: I apologize. THE COURT: So all right. So the objection's overruled. [Indiscernible]. 	19		[Bench conference begins at 3:29 p.m.]	
 he's [indiscernible]. MR. GARDNER: I apologize. THE COURT: So all right. So the objection's overruled. [Indiscernible]. 	20		THE COURT: All right. [Indiscernible], Mr. Gardner, if you hav	'e
 MR. GARDNER: I apologize. THE COURT: So all right. So the objection's overruled. [Indiscernible]. 	21	something	beyond the objection hearsay, please approach the bench. But	
 THE COURT: So all right. So the objection's overruled. [Indiscernible]. 	22	he's [indisc	ernible].	
25 [Indiscernible].	23		MR. GARDNER: I apologize.	
619	24		THE COURT: So all right. So the objection's overruled.	
618	25	[Indiscernit	ble].	
618				
			127 618	,

1		[Bench conference ends at 3:30 p.m.]	
2		DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED	
3	BY MR. BC	DYACK:	
4	Q	Okay. If you will look at I highlighted this portion, but a	
5	Second Aff	firmative Defense. It says negligence the Plaintiff caused c	or
6	contributed	to any injuries or damages that Plaintiff may have sustaine	ed, and
7	the neglige	ence of Plaintiff in comparison with the alleged negligence of	of
8	Defendant,	, if any requires that the damages of Plaintiff be denied or b	e
9	diminished	in proportion to the amount of negligence attributable to th	е
10	Plaintiff. Le	et's just focus on that highlighted section.	
11		Do you have any proof that negligence of Aaron caused	or
12	contributed	to any injuries?	
13	А	No.	
14	Q	Thank you. Also, Third Affirmative Defense. First section	n. The
15	Plaintiff had	d knowledge of and was fully aware of the condition existin	g at
16	the time of	the incident and assumed any risks.	
17		MR. GARDNER: Object. Foundation.	
18		MR. CLOWARD: Are you aware of any proof that you ha	ive?
19		THE COURT: Hold on. Overruled.	
20		MR. CLOWARD: I'm sorry, Your Honor.	
21	BY MR. BC	DYACK:	
22	Q	Are you aware of any proof that Aaron was aware of the	
23	condition o	f your vehicle entering McCloud Drive?	
24	А	No.	
25	Q	Now, we'll focus on Number 6. The occurrence during w	hich
		128	619

1	Plaintiff red	Plaintiff received said injuries, if any, as alleged in the Complaint was the		
2	result of an unavoidable accident and occurred without the fault of either of			
3	the Plaintif	f or Defendant.		
4		MR. GARDNER: Same objection.		
5		THE COURT: Overruled.		
6	BY MR. BO	DYACK:		
7	Q	Do you have any proof that this crash was the result of an		
8	unavoidab	le accident or occurred without the fault of either side?		
9	А	No. I don't have any proof.		
10	Q	Seventh Affirmative Defense. That the injuries sustained by th	е	
11	Plaintiff, if	any, were caused by acts of unknown third persons who were no	ot	
12	agents, se	rvants, or employees of these answering Defendants who were		
13	acting on b	pehalf of these answering Defendants in any manner or form, and	k	
14	as such, th	nese Defendants are not liable in any manner to the Plaintiff.		
15		MR. GARDNER: Same objection. Foundation.		
16		THE COURT: Sustained.		
17	BY MR. BO	DYACK:		
18	Q	Are you aware of any		
19		THE COURT: I'm sorry. Overruled.		
20		MR. GARDNER: Dang it. I thought we had one.		
21		THE COURT: [Indiscernible]. I mess it up at least once a trial.	-	
22	[indiscernil	ole]. Yeah. Overruled. That's what I meant.		
23		MR. GARDNER: Thank, Your Honor.		
24		THE COURT: You know what I meant.		
25	BY MR. BO	DYACK:		
		129 620)	

1	Q involved in	Are you aware of any unknown third party or person that is
	involved in	
2 i		this accident and is at fault?
3	А	No. No.
4	Q	All right. And I did want to just go through real fast. I believe
5 t	this is Exhil	bit D. Accident Information Card, Other Vehicle.
6		Is this your handwriting?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	If you can, read for us the description of events.
9	А	I was pulling out of the driveway to cross on McCloud Drive.
10	Car was on	McCloud Drive on McCloud Drive. Did not see him. He ran
11 i	into the bus	S.
12	Q	So you wrote you did not see Aaron or his vehicle?
13	А	Right.
14	Q	Is that true today?
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	And yet, you pulled out onto McCloud Drive in front of his
17	vehicle and	the crash happened?
18	А	After looking both ways.
19		MR. GARDNER: Object to argumentative. Speculation.
20		THE COURT: Overruled.
21 E	BY MR. BC	YACK:
22	Q	Can you state that answer again? Sorry.
23	А	After looking both ways.
24		MR. BOYACK: Okay. I don't think I have any further questions.
25 [[Counsel co	onfer off the record]
		130 621

1		MR. CLOWARD: There may be another question pending,	
2	Your Honor	r.	
3		THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry.	
4		MR. BOYACK: Yeah. I apologize, Your Honor. I spoke a little	Э
5	soon.		
6	BY MR. BC	DYACK:	
7	Q	You would agree with me that Aaron driving on McCloud at thi	s
8	intersection	had the right-of-way at the time of the accident. Correct?	
9	A	Yes.	
10	Q	Okay.	
11	А	Yes.	
12	Q	And you would agree with me Aaron did nothing to cause this	
13	accident?		
14		MR. GARDNER: Object. Foundation. Speculation.	
15		THE COURT: Overruled.	
16		THE WITNESS: No. I mean, yes. I agree. Sorry.	
17		MR. BOYACK: No other questions for real.	
18		MR. GARDNER: Thank goodness.	
19		I'll just I'll defer my cross-examination until my case-in-chief.	
20		THE COURT: All right.	
21		Sir, you can go ahead and have a seat. If you folks have	
22	are actua	ally, you know what? If you have questions right now, just any	
23	other quest	ion here a second?	
24		Counsel approach.	
25		[Bench conference begins at 3:35 p.m.]	
		131 622	2
·	-		

1	THE COURT: I don't know what I was thinking because it's with
2	every single trial
3	MR. CLOWARD: Overruled. Equals. It's okay.
4	THE COURT: at least once. Actually I didn't do it in almost
5	every single one.
6	MR. GARDNER: You've been perfect in every other way.
7	THE COURT: Just in case I get confused.
8	MR. GARDNER: Okay? You're fine.
9	MR. CLOWARD: I got to take a picture of that. That's
10	awesome.
11	THE COURT: It also took me about ten years to keep Plaintiff
12	and Defendant straight, but
13	MR. CLOWARD: The question is okay.
14	THE COURT: I just [indiscernible]. I'll lose sleep over it.
15	Okay.
16	MR. CLOWARD: That is a good question. Thanks.
17	[Bench conference ends at 3:35 p.m.]
18	THE COURT: All right. Sir, I'm going to ask you a question.
19	I'm going to ask you to look at the jury when you answer so they can hear
20	you.
21	THE WITNESS: Okay.
22	THE COURT: Where were you going at the time of the
23	accident?
24	THE WITNESS: I was coming back from lunch. I had just
25	ended my lunch break.
	132 623

1	THE COURT: Any follow up? Okay. Sorry. Any follow up?		
2	MR. BOYACK: No, Your Honor.		
3	THE COURT: Mr. Gardner?		
4	MR. GARDNER: No, Your Honor.		
5	THE COURT: Mr. Rands?		
6	MR. RANDS: No. We're going to reserve our		
7	THE COURT: Go ahead and take your seat, sir.		
8	THE WITNESS: Okay.		
9	THE COURT: Mr. Cloward? Please call your next witness.		
10	MR. BOYACK: Thank you, sir.		
11	MR. CLOWARD: Your Honor, we would call Rebecca Morgan.		
12	THE COURT: All right.		
13	THE MARSHAL: And if you would please remain standing, face		
14	the clerk, raise your right hand to be sworn.		
15	REBECCA MORGAN		
16	[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as		
17	follows:]		
18	THE COURT: Good afternoon, ma'am. Have a seat.		
19	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.		
20	THE COURT: And scoot up [indiscernible]. And if you could		
21	please state your name and then spell it for the record.		
22	THE WITNESS: Sure. Rebecca Morgan. R-E-B-E-C-C-A		
23	M-O-R-G-A-N.		
24	THE COURT: Do me a favor. That little black square box, if		
25	you can [indiscernible] just because your voice is a little soft.		
	133 624		

1		THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.	
2		THE COURT: Mr. Cloward?	
3		MR. CLOWARD: Thank you, Your Honor.	
4	DIRECT E	EXAMINATION	
5	BY MR. CLOWARD:		
6	Q	How are you today, Ms. Morgan?	
7	А	I'm fine. Thank you.	
8	Q	Are you nervous?	
9	А	Yes. Sorry.	
10	Q	It's okay. I'd like you to ask I guess I would like to ask you to	
11	talk to the jurors a little bit about your son. Do you know Aaron's your		
12	biological son, right?		
13	А	Yes. He is. Yes.	
14	Q	Give us a little bit of an idea of Aaron, his personality. Tell us a	
15	little bit about your son.		
16	А	He it's changed a bit, but he's definitely a caring, wonderful,	
17	gentle I'm a proud mama. What can I say? He		
18	Q	You're not biased, are you?	
19	А	Sorry. I know. I love him so much. I'm sorry. He's a really	
20	good kid.	This has been hard. So this has changed him a little bit, but.	
21	Q	Did Aaron, I guess, you know, growing up did he play a lot of	
22	sports? D	oid he he's kind of a bigger guy. Did he play football? Did he	
23	play hock	ey?	
24	А	No.	
25	Q	Anything like that?	
		134 625	

1	A No. We I guess he could have, but he didn't. My husband's		
2	very tall. I know he said that they always go for the tall guy's legs, so we		
3	didn't put him in sports. So he never really played sports. I mean, he was		
4	an active kid, so to speak, but no. No sports. I don't I sorry.		
5	Q Just curious. So he didn't play sports growing up. What are		
6	some of the things that he liked to do?		
7	A He liked skateboarding, reading. He liked to work out. Hang		
8	out with his friends. Just pretty much the normal things.		
9	Q Okay. Prior to the crash he had a crash in April of 2014,		
10	right?		
11	A Uh-huh.		
12	Q Is that a yes?		
13	A Yes. Yes. Sorry. Excuse me.		
14	Q Prior to the crash had you ever had to take him to the doctor,		
15	say, for any sort of a neck or back problem?		
16	A No. No. I'm very lucky with Aaron especially. He's not broken		
17	any bones. Like, he was an easy boy compared to some of my other kids.		
18	But, yeah, no broken bones. No nothing. No. He was easy. So no issues		
19	until the accident, mind you.		
20	Q And can you give us a little bit of an idea of what it's like		
21	been since the accident?		
22	A It's not been easy. It's I mean, it's not been easy for me. So I		
23	can imagine how it's been for him. The last couple years have been really,		
24	really hard especially when the pain was at it's worse. Because he is such		
25	a he's always been that courteous, helpful guy. Like, he's always, you		
	135 626		
I			

1	know, from the time he was knee-high he'd open up doors for people and		
2	everything. So he's a helper. And so, when he was unable to like help me		
3	at home with things, it was very frustrating to him, and I know it affected him		
4	a lot. He'd recluse to his bedroom a lot. You know on the bad days or bad		
5	nights, I'd walk out into the living room and he'd be on the floor just in pain,		
6	and that really sucks as a mom, you know, because there's not much I can		
7	do. I mean, I can get out the heating pad, get out the ice, try to massage it,		
8	but it was not helpful. It's what I'm it's not it's just been horrible. It's		
9	been horrible to watch him. His friendships I'm sorry. I'm a little scattered,		
10	but I'm trying to get across to you guys what I'm feeling inside.		
11	He used to be very social with, you know, with his buddies and		
12	everything. And after the accident that really declined quite a bit to the point		
13	where he really didn't want to, you know, be around his friends. Because		
14	and I would imagine that's from hurting so much and just not wanting to do		
15	anything because of the pain. So it's just It's not been fun. It's been a		
16	horrible experience. He has and I don't think he, you know, with kind of		
17	looking back and thinking here -		
18	MR. GARDNER: Object, Your Honor. Foundation.		
19	THE COURT: Hang on just a second.		
20	THE WITNESS: Sorry.		
21	THE COURT: Mr. Cloward, how about if you ask another		
22	question?		
23	MR. CLOWARD: Yeah. That's fine.		
24	THE WITNESS: I apologize.		
25	THE COURT: No. That's fine.		
	136 627		
I			

1 BY MR. CLC	WARD:
--------------	-------

2 Q Has it always been that bad or is it -- have there been times 3 where it's gotten better? Is it -- has he always had --

A Yeah.

Q -- I guess, those types of -- I guess has it always been like that
or not?

7

8

4

A After -- since the accident on you -- are we talking about?Q Yeah.

А No. We actually got blessed, I think in -- I think it was March, I 9 think he had a nerve block in his back that really helped. And it seems like 10 after that, Aaron started doing a few more things. So it's -- he's started to 11 12 feel a little bit better. I've noticed him doing a little bit more around the house. I've noticed his demeanor has changed a little bit and he seems to 13 be a happier fellow. He has -- he's working again, which is amazingly 14 15 wonderful. When the accident happened, it was hard. He wasn't able to 16 continue to work because of the pain. So it's exciting that now he's working 17 full time. So we've gotten in a better place. We're not in a perfect place, but we have hope now that that -- he will continue to figure out what he needs to 18 do to get better. 19

20 Q Okay. Is he still continuing to go see the doctors or is he done 21 doing that?

22

A No. He's still seeing doctors.

Q Okay. When you say that Aaron kind of reclused or, you know,
spent some time in his room, what do you mean by that?

25

A He just pretty much kind of turned off to the world. He used to

be social and happy and, you know, more, you know, in and out of the 1 house, and communitive. And he pretty much shut off. And, you know, and 2 3 I know it was he was depressed. And I understand because of the situation. 4 That's not something that he wanted to happen and I don't think he could 5 control what was happening, so I think he just shut off. Q Okay. Now you mentioned that he was an easy kid. Fair to say 6 that this is the most traumatic thing that's happened to him in particular? 7 А 8 Definitely. Definitely. The most life-changing thing, too, unfortunately. Not, you know, --9 Q And have your other kids, have they had things that have 10 happened to them that, you know, they experienced? 11 12 А Not like this, no. Q Okay. Now you say that, I guess, that Aaron, you know, at 13 certain points that he was kind of recluse and depressed. And has he 14 15 gotten better since then, though? 16 А Yeah. And, yes, and it's really nice. It's -- I don't have my old Aaron back, but I have a piece of him back. You know, he's out in the living 17 18 room more, and he actually, hey, mom, come sit with me. Hey, mom, come, you know, hang out with me. And that wasn't happening. It just, you know, 19 it was really sad. It's before, you know, before he started feeling better. So I 20 21 feel like, you know, we're getting better. We're getting to a place where we were before. 22 Q Okay. Does Aaron historically, does he have a high tolerance 23 for pain or does he have a low tolerance for pain? 24 I think he has a -- I've -- I think he has a pretty high tolerance for 25 Α

138

1	pain. I mean, I mean, he's not a you know, he you know what I I'm
2	sorry. I'm like, that's a hard I'm like, you know what I mean? It's like he
3	doesn't he's not a complainer. He's not a complainer. He's never been
4	that one that, you know what I mean, oh, I stubbed my foot or anything. So
5	he's definitely I mean, if he says something usually, I'm like, okay, it's true.
6	Q Okay. All right. Well, Rebecca, we certainly appreciate the
7	time you've given us to talk a little more about your son.
8	MR. CLOWARD: I don't have any other questions, Your Honor.
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. GARDNER:
11	Q Hello, Ms. Morgan.
12	A Hi.
13	Q I'm Douglas Gardner. You and me met out in the other room,
14	didn't we?
15	A Yeah.
16	Q I barged in on you. I didn't know I didn't even know who you
17	were. So good to see you again. First of all, I believe that the way you feel
18	about your son, if more people felt about their kids that way, we'd be living in
19	a better world. So I congratulate you for that. That is spectacular. And so,
20	and I love the relationship that you've testified about. Fantastic. Now you
21	did say that he used to be a helper.
22	What did you mean by that?
23	A Just he's always willing to help with whatever you need. If the
24	dishes need to be loaded, he'll load the dishes. If I'm bringing in groceries,
25	he's willing to help me with the groceries. He's, you know, just if someone
	139 630

 dropped something that, you know, say you drop up for you. He's just that person. Q I can't even get my kids to do that. No. whip them or something? A No. Q Yeah. A Listen, so anyway, I just wanted to refer to the fantastic. Now where what is the address that of this accident? 	
 Q I can't even get my kids to do that. A - whip them or something? A No. Q Yeah. A Listen, so anyway, I just wanted to real a fantastic. Now where what is the address that 	ped something, he'll pick it
 4 - whip them or something? 5 A No. 6 Q Yeah. 7 A Listen, so anyway, I just wanted to response to the solution of the solution. 8 fantastic. Now where what is the address that 	
 A No. Q Yeah. A Listen, so anyway, I just wanted to real a fantastic. Now where what is the address that 	What do you need to do to -
 Q Yeah. A Listen, so anyway, I just wanted to real fantastic. Now where what is the address that 	
 A Listen, so anyway, I just wanted to real a fantastic. Now where what is the address that 	
8 fantastic. Now where what is the address that	
	eiterate that. I think it's
9 of this accident?	Aaron was living at the time
10Q4453 McCloud Drive, our house.	
11 A Okay.	
12 Q Your	
13AWe've been there three years.	
14QOkay. And does it have a basement	? Does it have a second
15 story? What does it have?	
A No. It's just a regular house, just a,	you know, a medium
17 income house. Just a house.	
18QWell, one story?	
19ALike no one story house, yeah.	
20 Q Well, does it it's a one-story house	e. And how many
21 bedrooms? How many baths?	
A It's got three bedrooms, two bath.	
23 Q Okay. Now the reason I asked that	is I understand that at the
time of this accident Aaron was living at home?	
25 A Yes.	
140	

1	Q And with him was his girlfriend who was also living at the home.	
2	Correct?	
3	A Uh-huh. In and out, yeah.	
4	Q Okay. In and out. I was just wondering if it was difficult to have	
5	enough room to use the bathrooms and things like that. No problems there?	
6	A No. I mean, not for me. My husband, we have our own	
7	pathroom. So I don't know if the other kids had their problems, but we were	
8	ïne.	
9	Q Okay. Okay. But you didn't hear any fighting or	
10	A No.	
11	Q bickering about that or anything? That's pretty neat, too.	
12	_et's see. Now how long did well, Aaron said that he'd been living at the	
13	nouse with his girlfriend for approximately four years by the time this	
14	accident occurred. Does that sound right to you?	
15	A Probably.	
16	Q Okay. When he was living at the house during then, maybe just	
17	he last four years, what did he do around the house? What were his did	
18	ne have set jobs or something like that?	
19	A No. We just I just ask my kids if I need something done or	
20	hey see something done, they do it. I mean, no. I don't have a board on	
21	he wall saying, no. I'm sorry.	
22	Q Remember, you're under oath. You asked your kids to do	
23	something and they do it?	
24	A Yeah.	
25	Q Wow. It's pretty good.	
	141 632	

1	А	You don't know their father, so.	
2	Q	Okay. I got it. I got it. What did you do to prepare for this	
3	hearing tod	ay?	
4	А	Nothing really. Just got dressed. Sorry. I didn't know I was	·
5	mean, othe	r than they asked me to come here.	
6	Q	Well, thank goodness for that at least.	
7	А	Sorry. I mean, I they just asked me to come. So nothing.	
8	Q	Okay. And that's no problem. Let's see. Would you explain to	0
9	the jury why	Aaron ended up going to three separate high schools during h	nis
10	teen years?		
11	А	Yeah. The one that he was going to was he had asked me	if
12	he could go	to Coronado because the education's better, and so I was all f	or
13	it. It was be	etter. And then, the last one?	
14	Q	Why did he transfer from Coronado?	
15	А	Why did he transfer to Coronado?	
16	Q	Or did he transfer from Coronado?	
17	А	Yeah. He went from Coronado to Del Sol, I believe.	
18	Q	Okay. Why?	
19	A	Because then it got too much. The driving got too much	
20	because I d	lidn't see my son. Because he'd hang out at my grandma's	
21	house, my i	nom's house.	
22	Q	Okay. So instead of Coronado, and I know where both of thos	se
23	are. Instea	d of Coronado you were closer to home	
24	А	Right.	
25	Q	to go to Del Sol. Right?	
		142 633	3

А	Yes.
Q	Okay. Okay. From Del Sol, where did he go?
А	He went to he did the classes, the Nevada I think it's
Nevada	the classes that you take. I don't remember the name to get
your Neva	da high school I'm sorry.
Q	It wasn't CEPTA, was it?
А	Pardon?
Q	Was it CEPTA that he went to?
А	No.
	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: CERT?
	THE WITNESS: I don't know what that is. It's sorry. It he
wasn't in tr	rouble or anything if that's the case. It's just he wanted to get his
high schoo	ol diploma.
BY MR. G	ARDNER:
Q	Yeah. I was I didn't think that he'd been getting in trouble or
anything.	But Coronado and then Del Sol and but there weren't any grade
problems o	or anything else that caused him to go from Del Sol to the online
stuff?	
А	His attendance a little bit.
Q	What do you mean?
А	Just he didn't' go all the time.
Q	He sounds more like me every day. It really does. Did you
know he w	ants to be a lawyer?
А	Yes.
Q	Okay. And you're
	143 634
	Q A Nevada your Neva Q A Q A Q A wasn't in tr high school BY MR. G Q anything. problems o stuff? A Q anything. Q anything. Q anything.

1	А	He'll be a very good one, because he's
2	Q	And you
3	А	he's very good. Yes.
4	Q	And you still love him.
5	А	Very smart. Yes. Of course.
6	Q	Okay. I got it. That's good. Now, he has told me that he
7	worked t	hat he was still living at the house. Is he still there?
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	Is he still got the girlfriend there with him?
10	А	No. She comes and goes but not as much, no.
11	Q	Did they break up or something?
12	А	No. No, no, no, not at all. She just got a nice job and it's closer
13	to her pare	ent's house, and it just makes it easier, I believe.
14	Q	Okay. Now he said that he when he's there now, he kind of
15	watches ov	ver the place
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	while you're working? Your husband's at work? What does
18	that entail?	
19	А	It's just having an extra body there just to make sure that, you
20	know, ever	rything's good. We, unfortunately, as most areas, our area has
21	changed a	bit, and, you know, you can turn a corner and see a couple
22	homeless	people. And I get I'm on the neighborhood watch, and quite a
23	few houses	s have been broken into. So it's nice, because I also have my
24	other son v	who's 22 years old living at the house. And we try to always make
25	sure that s	omeone is in the house. Someone is always there.

Q Okay. Now your 22-year-old son, does he have a girlfriend
living there also?

A No.

No.

Q Now he told me that, well, I asked him. I said, well, what do you
do at the house during the day. And he kind of -- he didn't really have a
great answer, but I asked him. I said, do you mow the lawn? And he said,
no.

А

3

8

Q I said, okay. Just -- what -- who does the lawn? Oh, my dad
does it. Okay, fine. So then I asked, well, what is it that you do then during
the day typically, even before this accident? And apparently, he liked to be
kind of a, I say, a homebody. That's what I am, too. It -- would you describe
him as a homebody?

Yeah -- no. It depends on what period of his life. See, the sad 14 Α 15 thing is, is right when the stupid freaking accident happened, Aaron was 16 doing so freaking good. You know what I mean? He was on a good path. 17 He was on a great path. So homebody, maybe a little bit before that. Then 18 the accident. Then definitely homebody, you know. He's an -- and now he's 19 breaking out of his shell. It seems like now that he's feeling a little bit better, 20 he's starting to get more social. So it just -- that's a hard question to answer. Q 21 Okay. But slowly but surely he's becoming more of himself? Is that what you're saying kind of? 22

23

A A little bit. Since the last block it seems like he's doing more.

Q Okay. Now do you have anything at the house that you would like him to do during the days that he doesn't do?

1	А	No.
2	Q	Does his girlfriend stay there during the day?
3	А	No.
4	Q	What time of the day or night is she there?
5	А	If she well, not so much anymore, but usually just after work
6	and then th	roughout the night and then she'll leave in the morning.
7	Q	Okay. so after work she comes over. She spends the night
8	and then sl	ne goes to work.
9	А	Uh-huh.
10	Q	Right? Right? Okay. And then, during this time, Aaron, he
11	would see	her off so to speak and then stay at the house? Is that what he is
12	doing?	
13	А	Right now or?
14	Q	That's a good question.
15	А	Yes. I mean
16	Q	Yeah.
17	А	Not now. No. Now he works. He's working full time. But, yes,
18	he did whe	n things, yes, when he was at his worst, yeah. And that's pretty
19	much it.	
20	Q	Okay. And let's go back even maybe the day before this
21	incident oc	curred. Before anything came about, is that a typical progression
22	for Aaron to	b have gone through during the day? Remember the accident
23	hasn't occu	irred.
24	А	To just stay at home and not do anything?
25	Q	Yeah. Did he stay at home? Did he work at home? What did
		146 637

- he do at home?

2	A	Oh, my God. I don't even. Sorry. It's hard enough for	r me to
3	remember	yesterday. I don't remember if he was working right bef	ore the
4	accident. I	don't but no. I mean, he I mean, yeah, he'd hang c	out with
5	his friends.	He was he loves his tennis like he loved. He really	y hasn't
6	done it, but	right when the accident happened, like right before, he	really got
7	into the ten	nis shoes. Was it the Jordans and the I'm going to in	sult him if
8	I say Nike.	So I think it's the Jordan shoes.	
9	Q	Oh, that's right.	
10	А	And how the kids, they buy them and trade them and a	all that
11	stuff. So th	at was a big one of his big passions were the tennis s	shoes.
12	Q	Okay.	
13	А	Kind of, you know.	
14	Q	But you got it right, because Jordan is Nike.	
15	А	Okay. All right. There you go.	
16	Q	So you dodged the bullet there. Listen. When you sa	id that he
17	was, I think	you used the words right track before this accident, wh	at did you
18	mean by th	at?	
19	A	Just meaning just he you know, he was positive.	He was
20	happy. He	was working out. He was hanging out with his friends.	You
21	know, it jus	t it just was a good time. I a good time in his life. N	lot a good
22	time. But ju	ust he was a happy kid, you know.	
23	Q	Okay. Now how old was he when this accident happe	ned?
24	A	Fourteen, 17, so we're 25, so like 22, 23, I believe.	
25	Q	Okay. So he was 22, 23, and he was living at the hou	se with
		147	638

1	his girlfrie	end at night and then you'd send her off to work in	
2	А	Yeah. Or if she didn't have to work, she'd, you know, y	eah.
3	Q	Okay . Okay.	
4	А	Hang out.	
5	Q	And you said he liked hanging out with his friends durin	ng that
6	time. Is t	that what you said?	
7	А	Before.	
8	Q	Before the accident even.	
9	А	Right.	
10	Q	What were his friends' names?	
11	А	Oh my God. Now I'm going to blank. Aren't you doing	that to
12	me? Mag	y I look if I had my phone, I'm sorry. Sorry.	
13	Q	Let me make it easier.	
14	А	I can tell you where he lives and I can tell you what he	does.
15	But I'm bl	lanking on his name. I know his mom's name. Susie. I'm	sorry.
16	l'm so so	rry. I'm	
17	Q	You know the moment we walk out	
18	А	I don't do good under pressure, obviously. I'm like, sor	ry.
19	Q	The moment we walk out of here it's going to come to y	/OU.
20	А	Yeah. And you guys are going to laugh the moment I w	walk out
21	of here.	What a scatterbrain, but.	
22	Q	What does he do with his friends that you like?	
23	А	They hung out. They played video games, I believe. T	hey'd
24	one had a	a band and Aaron was the one who I'm thinking of and h	is mom's
25	Susie, an	nd he's go hang out with them. I know that his father install	S
		148	639
	-		

1	elevators a	nd he was talking about maybe working with him and doing tha	at
2	as well at th	hat time. It, you know, just the normal. He'd, you know, the	
3	normal stuf	f guys do, I guess. Working out.	
4	Q	Now look, we're not going to go into the secrets of what guys	
5	do, okay?	We don't want to go there, but when you say hung out, does th	at
6	mean they	went over to 7-Eleven?	
7	А	Like he'd go over to his buddy's house all the time.	
8	Q	Okay.	
9	А	So I wasn't there, but I assumed that they were doing video	
10	games or tl	he music. Music was a big thing with a lot of his friends.	
11	Q	Okay. So 22, 23 he's hanging out with his friends playing	
12	video game	es and doing band things, right? Am I saying it right?	
13	А	Yeah. Like music. Yeah. His friend is in a band, yes.	
14	Q	Okay. Now did Aaron play an instrument?	
15	А	No.	
16	Q	What was his part in the band?	
17	А	Just a good guy in support of Aaron's just a good guy. Just	t
18	support.		
19	Q	And you're not saying that he was a groupie, right?	
20	А	No. He was going to promote it. He was a promoter.	
21	Q	Okay.	
22	А	No.	
23	Q	That's a better explanation. Promoter.	
24	А	Yeah.	
25	Q	What did he do to promote the band. Do you know?	
		149 64	0

1	А	I was being facetious there. I mean, he's no groupie.	
2	Q	Oh. I	
3	А	I was just being, I'm sorry. I was being facetious.	
4	Q	You know what, that I didn't mean that in a negative way, bu	t
5	okay. So y	ou don't really know exactly what they did other than the video	
6	games and	maybe doing the band stuff?	
7	А	Right.	
8	Q	Okay. And do you realize or remember that he was	
9	unemploye	d at the time of this accident?	
10	А	I don't remember. I'm sorry. But you're telling me?	
11	Q	Yeah. That's	
12	А	Okay. That could be. I it's that could be.	
13	Q	Okay.	
14	А	I'm sorry.	
15	Q	Now from what I understand, a few months after this incident	
16	that he drov	ve your car and he rear-ended another vehicle. Do you	
17	remember	that?	
18	А	When was this? Like	
19	Q	A couple months after the accident. Isn't that true? In fact, let	
20	me do it thi	s way.	
21	А	Yeah, please.	
22	Q	I'm not really I'm not trying to	
23	А	Sorry. I'm sorry.	
24	Q	No. That's okay. That's okay. But what I'm trying to figure our	t
25	is and if I'm	saying the wrong dates then that's on me. And I will check it.	
		150 641	

1	But it's my understanding that several months maybe after the incident that
2	he had another crash where he rear-ended some a lady. Do you
3	remember that?
4	MR. CLOWARD: Hold on.
5	Your Honor, can we approach really quick?
6	THE COURT: Sure.
7	MR. CLOWARD: You just said several months after.
8	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
9	MR. GARDNER: Oh, wasn't it four months or two months?
10	MR. CLOWARD: No. It was in 2016.
11	MR. GARDNER: Oh.
12	MR. CLOWARD: And you're a couple years off.
13	MR. GARDNER: Okay.
14	BY MR. GARDNER:
15	Q And I didn't really mean anything by that, but let's go to 2016
16	then. I'm just going from things that I thought that I remembered from his
17	deposition. Okay. So 2016, do you recall or remember that accident that he
18	was in?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Okay. If we get it right here
21	A I'm sorry. I didn't remember when a few months after. So I was
22	like, God, I'm really I'm losing it.
23	Q That's okay. You know
24	A Sorry.
25	Q you got to have the right year, I guess.
	151 642

1	А	Thank you.			
2	Q	Okay. So he gets in an accident. Now, was he at fault in that			
3	accident?				
4	А	Yes.			
5	Q	And do you know whether he was arrested after that accident?			
6	А	Yes. He was.			
7	Q	For?			
8		MR. CLOWARD: Your Honor? Your Honor?			
9		THE WITNESS: For			
10		THE COURT: Counsel, approach.			
11	MR. GARDNER: I mean, good grief.				
12	[Bench conference begins at 4:05 p.m.]				
13	MR. CLOWARD: I'd ask for a mistrial immediately. I mean, this				
14	is geez almighty.				
15	MR. GARDNER: Hold on. We're talking about an automobile				
16	accident that he's suing my client for and now I'm talking				
17	THE COURT: [Indiscernible].				
18	MR. CLOWARD: Yeah. It's completely ridiculous.				
19		MR. GARDNER: No. It's			
20		MR. CLOWARD: I'm asking you to dismiss the jurors so that I			
21	can make a	a complete and full record.			
22		THE COURT: Okay.			
23		MR. CLOWARD: Is there it's this, I mean, there's no way			
24	that this I	mean, it's a mistrial.			
25		MR. GARDNER: No way. Come on.			
		152 643			

1	MR. CLOWARD: Yeah. Yeah.
2	MR. GARDNER: Why? We're defending an auto accident. He
3	had another auto accident when he was under the influence.
4	MR. CLOWARD: Of pain medications. And Holderer v I
5	mean, this is so far off the
6	THE COURT: All right. [indiscernible].
7	[Bench conference concludes at 4:06 p.m.]
8	All right, folks. We're just going to take a little break. During
9	this break, you're not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone
10	else on any subject connected with this trial; or to read watch or listen to any
11	report of, or commentary on, the trial or any person connected with this trial
12	by any medium of information including, without limitation, newspapers,
13	television, the Internet and radio. And you're also admonished not to form or
14	express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is
15	finally submitted to you. And you are not to do any independent research.
16	Thank you.
17	THE MARSHAL: Please rise for the jury.
18	[Jury out at 4:07 p.m.]
19	THE COURT: You can have a seat.
20	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
21	THE COURT: You can step down, whatever you'd like to do.
22	Just make yourself comfortable, because I think this is going to be a minute.
23	MR. CLOWARD: Your Honor, never in my career this is, I
24	believe, my 25th jury trial, have I insisted on a mistrial. I am insisting on a
25	mistrial.

1	In this case, what has just happened is incurable. Period. End			
2	of story. It is incurable. There is nothing the Court can instruct the jurors,			
3	there is nothing the Court can ask the jurors to disregard that will unring the			
4	bell that has just taken place. To leave the jurors with the impression well,			
5	I mean, the factual the facts of the matter are that my client did rear-end			
6	an individual and he told the police officer. He said, hey, just want to let you			
7	know I just want to let you know I have had I have pain medication in my			
8	system. I take pain medication on a daily basis for pain. And the police			
9	officer took him on a per se. He didn't get pulled over because he was			
10	driving erratically. He didn't get pulled over because he was doing anything			
11	that would suggest a level of impairment rather			
12	MR. GARDNER: He ran into a vehicle. He rear-ended it.			
13	MR. CLOWARD: Hey, let me finish.			
14	MR. GARDNER: So			
15	MR. CLOWARD: Can I finish?			
16	THE COURT: Mr. Gardner, [indiscernible] make his record. All			
17	right.?			
18	MR. GARDNER: No.			
19	MR. CLOWARD: Can I finish?			
20	THE COURT: All right.			
21	MR. CLOWARD: And to suggest, to leave these jurors now			
22	with the impression that who knows what took place, but the impression is			
23	the facts are the evidence that's been developed from the witness stand is			
24	that he was arrested as a result of this. Was arrested as a result of this.			
25	They don't get to know that, you know what, we're actually disputing this.			
	154 645			
I	I			

We are fighting this. It's not adjudicated. It's not anything. We're going to
 take this as far as it's going to go.

3	The criminal component Mr. Ryan Helmick of our office is
4	representing Mr. Morgan on that because we don't believe that the level of
5	impairment or the toxicology, the amount of pain medication that was in his
6	system gives rise to impairment to even suggest that there was anything
7	wrong. And I think that that's a flaw in our system. That's a side note.
8	But the levels of per se impairment to get a DUI for a drug
9	offense are arbitrary. The numbers don't mean anything. If you have
10	smoked marijuana 30 days before you get a blood sample and it comes up
11	on a positive toxicology, you get DUI, driving under the influence. And there
12	is nothing to
13	THE COURT: Mr. Cloward, can I ask you a question?
14	MR. CLOWARD: Yeah.
15	THE COURT: What was the ultimate outcome of that arrest?
16	MR. CLOWARD: It's pending. It's set for trial in, I believe,
17	February of 2018.
18	THE COURT: In District Court?
19	MR. CLOWARD: I believe so. But Holderer v. Aetna, Holderer
20	v. Aetna was a case, a Nevada Supreme Court case where the individual
21	was actually, I think it's applicable in this case. Holderer v. Aetna was the
22	Plaintiff was doctor shopping, going around doctor shopping, getting
23	different medications from different providers and, obviously, that would be a
24	significant component of whether or not they were in pain or suffering,
25	whether they were having the issues that they were complaining of. And in

that case, the Court held that it was complete error, reversible error for the
 Defense to try and present that evidence. There is no --

3 THE COURT: All right. I mean, well we have a statute that 4 covers this issue.

5 MR. CLOWARD: We have a -- but I guess what I'm -- the point that I'm trying to make is that the prejudicial effect, the Court held in 6 7 Holderer v. Aetna that the prejudicial effect of admitting evidence of the 8 medication overuse in that case was so prejudicial that it had zero relevance. And in this case, the fact that the Defense would bring this up for 9 some sort of a cheap shot, for some sort of a who knows what, but it has 10 zero application. It -- there is zero relevant purpose to bring this up other 11 12 than try and muddy the waters and the prejudicial effect is so significant, that I really, truly, honestly -- I've never asked for a -- insisted on a mistrial. 13 14 Never have -- I've said, you know what, Judge. You know, we probably 15 need a mistrial. But I don't know. This bell cannot be unrung. The 16 toothpaste is completely out of the bottle. There is nothing that can put the toothpaste back in the toothpaste bottle. 17 THE COURT: All right. 18 Mr. Gardner? 19 20 MR. GARDNER: First of all, I'd like to know what the big deal 21 is. What has gone wrong? What is -- what rule have I violated? THE COURT: Okay. So --22 23 MR. GARDNER: We are defending this man --24 THE COURT: Mr. Gardner, hold on just a second. So you 25 can't impeach someone with evidence of a crime they have not been

convicted of. So 50.095, requires a conviction. And, otherwise, it's not
 proper impeachment evidence.

3 MR. GARDNER: Your Honor, I didn't call this witness. They called this witness. This witness came into bolster the credibility and the 4 5 testimony of the Plaintiff. Now remember, we are defending our client, who was in an accident with the Plaintiff. And it was an automobile accident. 6 And notwithstanding that the fact that the pleadings were used earlier to 7 denigrate, perhaps, even my client when everyone knows that the pleadings 8 don't -- aren't done by the clients. I mean, that was unbelievable. But the 9 point is, is that --10

THE COURT: Mr. Gardner, I need you to focus on this. Well,
first, and just for the record. You know the pleadings are the pleading of the
parties. That's the position of the parties. So if there's an issue, I mean, I
don't -- I don't think that that's unreasonable.

But let's focus on this, because under 50.085 and 50.095, you know, an arrest without a conviction is not proper impeachment. So I --

MR. GARDNER: If we were dealing with a trip and fall, Your
 Honor, or some other thing, but there's a question about the way this
 automobile accident occurred. There is a question about whether --

THE COURT: Oh yeah, but he wasn't arrested in this case. It's
 a car accident two years later. So I -- I mean, I don't know how we could
 take that as anything except for impeaching the character of Mr. Morgan.
 MR. GARDNER: Hold on, Your Honor. This witness was
 brought in to bolster the credibility of the Plaintiff. I did not call her. She was

25 called by her -- her attorneys, okay?

1	MR. GARDNER: And I was not trying to			
2	THE COURT: I understand that.			
3	MR. GARDNER: impeach his testimony. But what I am			
4	doing here is I'm defending my client who was in a questionable			
5	automobile			
6	THE COURT: Okay. So, Mr			
7	MR. GARDNER: accident with him, and I think I have every			
8	right to			
9	THE COURT: Gardner			
10	MR. GARDNER: deal with the concept of whether he is a			
11	good or safe driver. And that's what I was doing.			
12	THE COURT: Okay. But, Mr. Gardner, you need to give me			
13	some evidentiary basis for that, because, as I understand the Rules of			
14	Evidence, you cannot bring in specific instances of conduct of a witness to			
15	attack their credibility. And I don't know what an arrest would do other than			
16	attack the credibility of Mr. Morgan.			
17	MR. GARDNER: I just I'm frankly, I'm dumbfounded. This			
18	witness was brought to bolster. I have every right to talk to her about the			
19	realities and the truths. Okay? It's			
20	THE COURT: But, Mr. Gardner, you're not answering my			
21	question. So, I mean, we have limitations on character and conduct			
22	evidence that can be admitted. And particularly in the area of crimes. And			
23	so what I'm asking is how, you know, what the legal basis that allows you to			
24	bring out that evidence is, because it's a specific instant of conduct, right,			
25	that he was arrested?			

1	How about you know what? I'm just going to take a break for		
2	a couple minutes. And I'll be back.		
3	THE MARSHAL: Ma'am, you can come down from the witness		
4	stand.		
5	[Recess at 4:16 p.m., recommencing at 4:40 p.m.]		
6	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Cloward, did you want to make		
7	THE CLERK: Hold on.		
8	THE COURT: I'm so sorry.		
9	THE CLERK: It always at the end of the day.		
10	THE COURT: It gets tired like all the rest of us.		
11	[Pause in proceedings]		
12	THE CLERK: Okay. Thank you.		
13	THE COURT: All right.		
14	Mr. Cloward, is there any additional record you would like to		
15	make?		
16	MR. CLOWARD: Yes, Your Honor. I would. The case that I		
17	cited earlier, I had a chance to pull it up. It's Holderer v. Aetna, H-o-I-d-e-r-		
18	e-r v. Etna Casualty & Surety Company. The citation is 114 Nevada 845.		
19	It's a 1998 Nevada Supreme Court case. And there were ultimately two		
20	issues that dealt with kind of a 403(b) analysis, probative versus prejudicial		
21	analysis. The first was the Plaintiffs obtaining or the Plaintiff obtaining		
22	prescription medications. There was some potential evidence to suggest		
23	that the Plaintiff was illegally or inappropriately obtaining medication. The		
24	Court discussed that under the subheading of Holderer's alleged improper		
25	acquisition of prescription medications. Then it and the parties,		

importantly, they briefed one issue. They briefed it on a hearsay analysis.
The Supreme Court actually skipped over the hearsay analysis. Said, we
don't even go there. We decline to address the party's specific hearsay
arguments pertaining to the admissibility or inadmissibility of the
pharmacist's testimony. But instead, conclude that evidence indicating
Holderer may have improperly acquired prescription medications was error.

⁷ Ultimately, the Court said because of its marginal relevance and
⁸ inflammatory nature, we conclude that the probative value of evidence
⁹ pertaining to Holderer's alleged improver acquisition of prescription
¹⁰ medication was substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice.
¹¹ Accordingly, on remand, we instruct the District Court to exclude all
¹² evidence suggesting that Holderer may have obtained her medication
¹³ unlawfully.

14 Then the next issue that the Court addressed regarding that medication was whether or not there should have been evidence presented 15 16 to establish a causal relationship between Holderer's prescription medication usage and the accident. In that case, the expert for, I believe, Aetna, would 17 have testified or did testify that the use of the -- this is what it says. 18 19 Although Aetna's expert witness testified that Holderer's dosage of Xanax, 20 which was the largest dosage of the antidepressant he had ever seen could 21 have affected her reaction time at the time of the accident, he did not explain 22 the extent of the alleged impairment or how such impairment contributed to the accident. 23

24Other than speculating that Holderer's reactive abilities could25have been affected, Aetna never demonstrated the Holderer's prescription

medication use was a contributing cause of the accident. And here in this
case, the Defense essentially has one and only one reason that I think that it
could give on an evidentiary basis to present the evidence of a subsequent
crash, which his -- which would go to Mr. Morgan's comparative negligence.
However, out of the mouth of its own witness, Mr. Lujan admitted that there
was no evidence that he was aware of that would suggest that Mr. Morgan
did anything wrong in the instant crash.

8 So instead, what the Defense does is they try to cite to an 9 accident that took place two years after to suggest that well, you know what, 10 he was in an accident and he rear-ended somebody so he's not a very safe driver. And so, we're going to use that. That's the evidentiary basis for the, 11 12 I guess, the use of that piece of evidence. And the probative value of that 13 teeny shred, number one, they don't have an expert that would even say, 14 hey, look. He was in an accident two years later. And so, somehow he's an unsafe driver. And so, somehow in this instant case he should be assessed 15 16 a comparative negligence amount. And I'm sorry for sitting, Your Honor. I just -- it's been a long day. 17

18

THE COURT: That's all right. That's fine.

19 MR. CLOWARD: May I continue to sit?

20 THE COURT: You're fine.

MR. CLOWARD: Thank you. But there is zero evidentiary
basis -- zero. In order for evidence to even be relevant to get through a 401
analysis, or the, you know, the comparative Nevada statute, it has to be
relevant for a certain particular purpose. Once it's determined that it's
relevant for a particular purpose, then you move on to -- then you move on

to an analysis, the 403(b) which is a federal component of our statutory
authority about whether or not it -- there -- you know, the probative value is
not substantially outweighed by the prejudicial effect.

Here, the Defense does not even meet the initial burden or 4 5 relevance. It -- a crash that took place two years later has zero bearing -zero bearing on any factual issue in this case. To suggest that, hey, you 6 7 know what? You got into an accident two years later, so that's somehow 8 relevant to determine Mr. Morgan's comparative fault in this case, you don't even meet the initial threshold analysis of relevance. And then when you 9 look at the 403(b), the -- and I cite to the Federal Rules because I know that 10 Your Honor is extremely well-versed in the federal system. And -- but it's 11 12 the same statute. I believe it's R -- NRS.48.025. It's the same analysis. You look at the probative value of evidence. 13

14 And in this case, number one, you don't even have the relevance. And when you look at Holderer v. Aetna, Holderer v. Aetna looks 15 16 at this exact analysis and it basically says, hey, look. This issue, even if it did come in, the substantial prejudice to the party is so outweighed that 17 there is no way you would ever admit this. I, sincerely in my heart of hearts, 18 I would never ask for a mistrial especially after calling all of my witnesses, 19 20 being done with my case in chief, being on the last witness other than my 21 client if I did not sincerely believe that there is absolutely no way that we can 22 cure this. And I sincerely, in my bottom of my heart do not believe that there 23 is anything that we can tell these jurors that is going to cure the prejudice of 24 them knowing that Aaron was arrested in a subsequent event. It's just --25 there is no -- there is not even a good faith basis. I can't -- I -- the -- it was --

653

the question was even asked is beyond me. And I don't know what else to
 say, Your Honor.

3	THE COURT: Mr		
4	MR. GARDNER: Your Honor, I won't belabor the point. If I		
5	stepped over the line, I stepped over the line. I was I was almost relied		
6	upon NRS-50.0853, discussing specific instances of a witness for		
7	truthfulness. But if I stepped over the line then that's on me, and I'm sorry		
8	for that. But the case that's being cited, the Holderer v. Aetna case, they		
9	actually allowed the evidence in and just allowed a limiting instruction.		
10	MR. RANDS: No. They didn't do limiting instruction. They just		
11	disallowed the information in. They didn't do a		
12	MR. GARDNER: Okay. Okay.		
13	MR. RANDS: limiting instruction.		
14	MR. GARDNER: They disallowed it to come in. And again, I		
15	haven't briefed the issue. But yeah, I'm not going to sit here and be defiant		
16	to the Court or to my colleagues. I just want to see if we can get this going.		
17	I		
18	THE COURT: Right. But so, 50.085(3) says specific instances		
19	of conduct of a witness for the purpose of attacking or supporting credibility		
20	other than conviction of a crime may not be proved by extrinsic evidence.		
21	They may, however, if relevant to truthfulness be inquired into on cross-		
22	examination of the witness or on cross-examination of a witness who		
23	testifies to an opinion of his or her character for truthfulness or		
24	untruthfulness subject to the general limitations upon relevant evidence and		
25	the limitations upon interrogation and subject to the provisions of NRS		

50.090.

And then, we have 50.095 that is the specific statute that deals with when evidence of a crime is admissible, which does require a conviction within the last -- it has to be ten years since the completion -- no more than ten years since the completion of the sentence for that particular crime. But it does require a conviction. And, in fact, in criminal cases the prosecutors are not allowed to ask about the convictions unless they possess a certified copy of the conviction.

So I'm struggling a little bit to find out how that question was a
proper question under the rule. And my concern is that, you know, it's an
extraordinarily -- I mean the reason we have the rule, right, is it's
extraordinarily prejudicial to someone to say that they have been arrested,
particularly given, you know, that whole presumption of innocence thing.
So I'm concerned, Mr. Gardner.

MR. GARDNER: Again, Your Honor, I'm not going to sit here
 and be defiant. If --

THE COURT: No. I just want to give you the opportunity to 17 make whatever record. I mean, I'm, you know, certainly not taking that as 18 being defiant. I -- this was a -- you know we're three days into a four-day 19 20 trial. I do not take, you know, which is why I took a long break. I consulted 21 with a fellow colleague, which is something I always do when I have a 22 motion for mistrial that I'm taking seriously, because I never want to make a 23 decision like this in haste. And I want to give you every opportunity to make 24 the record or make any arguments that you have to me why I should not 25 mistry this case at this point. So please --

1	MR. RANDS: If I might, Your Honor?		
2	THE COURT: Mr. Rands, go ahead.		
3	MR. RANDS: The question was inappropriate. I think we've		
4	established that. But I believe that it's not so inappropriate with the way it		
5	came down here and the answer that it couldn't be a limiting instruction.		
6	The case cited by Counsel, the judge let it in and, in fact, in that case, the		
7	judge compounded it by in the voir dire saying particularly one of the		
8	jurors said I don't care for personal injuries attorneys. And the judge says I		
9	don't particularly care for them either. I mean, that was another issue in that		
10	particular case. They allowed the evidence in. They didn't put a limiting		
11	instruction on it. It was just allowed in as evidence. And the Court said, no,		
12	that's not appropriate, shouldn't have been allowed in, go back and try		
13	again.		
14	In this particular case, I believe that a limiting instruction could		
15	be made to the jury to and, you know, if they want to explain the issue, it's		
16	certainly within their purview to do so. But I think that this case can be		
17	saved by a limiting instruction to the jury that that was an inappropriate		
18	question, that Counsel shouldn't have asked it, and disregard it and let's go		
19	forward.		
20	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.		
21	THE COURT: Mr. Cloward, anything you want to say?		
22	MR. CLOWARD: I mean it's incurable. There's no way that I		
23	could get up there and explain away that he was arrested. I mean, imagine		
24	that. Ladies and Gentlemen, you know, my client, he was arrested, but, you		
25	know, he admitted that he had pain medication. And he rear-ended		
	165 656		
-			

somebody and he was DUI and hauled off in the police car. There is no
way, no way to unring the bell. There is no way to unring the bell, and I
have never -- I truthfully have never insisted on a mistrial. I've halfheartedly,
you know, Your Honor, I may be way out of -- to kind of create a record, but
there's no way to recover from this. There is no way to recover from this.

THE COURT: All right. Anything else, Mr. Rands?

6

MR. RANDS: I just disagree on that issue. I mean, he
presented the case that, yes, my client, due to this accident he was arrested
because he had his medication -- pain medication, nothing more than that
and we're fighting it to -- he hasn't been convicted. I think that would cure
the issue and we could move on.

12 THE COURT: You know, I actually was hoping that when Mr. Gardner said it that was just a mistake that we could just tell the jurors 13 14 that he hadn't been arrested, which, I think, might be something that was 15 fixable. Unfortunately, under the circumstances, I just don't think so. So I'm 16 going to grant Mr. Cloward's motion for mistrial. We're going to have to 17 figure out when we can do this again. I can start Monday if you want. I actually could start tomorrow if you want, but we might not have a jury panel. 18 MR. CLOWARD: I would have to confer, obviously, with the 19 20 witnesses. I would be open Monday, fortunately, to do it. I do -- I could do it 21 Monday. I'd have to --you know, I'd need --THE COURT: Mr. Rands? 22

MR. CLOWARD: -- I'd need some time -- Your Honor, I'd need
some time to confer with the experts. I can take a moment and begin to
make those phone calls now.

1	THE COURT: Yeah.		
2	MR. RANDS: Monday's fine.		
3	MR. GARDNER: Monday's fine.		
4	THE COURT: All right. So why don't we bring in the jury. We'll		
5	let them go and then we'll see if we can start this on Monday. And I will		
6	[indiscernible].		
7	THE MARSHAL: Please rise for the jury.		
8	[Jury enters at 4:57 p.m.]		
9	THE MARSHAL: Please be seated.		
10	THE COURT: All right. Ladies and Gentlemen, so there's good		
11	news and bad news. The good news is that you're going to go home today		
12	and not come back. The bad news is we're just not going to be able to finish		
13	the trial [indiscernible]. So I want to thank you for your time.		
14	If you are called again to serve on a jury in the next two years,		
15	please contact my Department and I will have you excused from jury duty		
16	unless this was so fun you want to come back and do it again sooner. But		
17	please feel free to contact us. But I really appreciate all your time and		
18	attention to the case. I could tell from the questions that you were all paying		
19	close attention. There were some very good questions, and I do appreciate.		
20	Everyone have a good evening.		
21	THE MARSHAL: Go ahead and leave your notebooks and		
22	binders and I'll meet you guys outside.		
23	Please rise for the jury.		
24	[Jury exits at 4:59 p.m.]		
25	MR. CLOWARD: Your Honor, may I?		
	167 658		

1	THE COURT: Yes. Mr. Cloward, I was going to suggest that			
2	maybe everybody can come about 10:30 tomorrow when I finish my regular			
3	calendar and then that will give you a little bit of time to sort it out. Make			
4	sure we can start on Monday. That if there's any way we can do it, I			
5	would really prefer to.			
6	MR. CLOWARD: Me, too.			
7	THE COURT: I'm not sure. I have I just know we are really			
8	stacked up, I think, probably through February or March. And so, I am			
9	concerned about my ability to get you in for trial if we can't do it next week.			
10	MR. CLOWARD: Fair enough. I			
11	THE COURT: But I do have next week available.			
12	MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Thank you.			
13	THE COURT: I let them know I can't take another full case, so.			
14	MR. CLOWARD: Thank you.			
15	THE COURT: All right. Everyone have a good evening.			
16	MR. CLOWARD: 10:30 tomorrow.			
17	MR. GARDNER: 10:30 tomorrow?			
18	THE COURT: At 10:30 tomorrow. That should give			
19	Hopefully, Mr. Cloward, that will give you enough time to			
20	MR. GARDNER: All right.			
21	MR. CLOWARD: have stuff taken care of.			
22	MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Thank you.			
23	MR. GARDNER: Thank you.			
24	THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.			
25	THE DEFENDANT: I don't have to come tomorrow then?			

THE COURT: No, sir. You don't need to come tomorrow, but
they'll let you know if we're going to start again on Monday, all right?
THE DEFENDANT: Okay. Yeah. Because I need to request
the time off from work.
THE COURT: Okay. Well, if you need something from the
Court, we can always get you something, too, sir. All right.
THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.
[Proceeding concluded at 5:04 p.m.]
ATTEST: We do hereby certify that we have truly and correctly transcribed
the audio-visual recording of the proceeding in the above-entitled case to
the best of our ability.
Debash Anderson
Jehant Mansur
Deborah Anderson, Transcriber, CET-998
Liest Springer
Liesl Springer, Transcriber
Date: February 5, 2018
169 660

TAB 9A

TAB 9A

RTRAN			
	DISTRICT CO	URT	
	CLARK COUNTY,	NEVADA	
AARON MORGAN,))) CASE#: A-15-718679)-C
Plaintiff,)) DEPT. VII	
VS.)	
DAVID LUJAN			
Defendar	nt.		
BEFORE THE HONORABLE LINDA MARIE BELL , DISTRICT COURT JUDGE			IRT
MONDAY, APRIL 2, 2018 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING CIVIL JURY TRIAL			
<u>APPEARANCES:</u>			
For the Plaintiff:			
	200		
For the Defendents			
For the Delendant:			
RECORDED BY: RE	NEE VINCENT, CO	URT RECORDER	
	1		661
	AARON MORGAN, Plaintiff, vs. DAVID LUJAN Defendar BEFORE THE HON RECOR APPEARANCES: For the Plaintiff: For the Defendant:	DISTRICT CO CLARK COUNTY, AARON MORGAN, Plaintiff, vs. DAVID LUJAN Defendant. BEFORE THE HONORABLE LINDA MA JUDGE MONDAY, APRIL RECORDER'S TRANSCRI CIVIL JURY T APPEARANCES: For the Plaintiff: DOUG For the Defendant: BRY/ BEN. RECORDED BY: RENEE VINCENT, CO	DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA AARON MORGAN, Plaintiff, DEPT. VII vs. DAVID LUJAN Defendant. BEFORE THE HONORABLE LINDA MARIE BELL, DISTRICT COL JUDGE MONDAY, APRIL 2, 2018 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING CIVIL JURY TRIAL APPEARANCES: For the Plaintiff: DOUGLAS GARDNER, ESQ. DOUGLAS RANDS, ESQ. For the Defendant: BRYAN BOYACK, ESQ. BENJAMIN CLOWARD, ESQ. RECORDED BY: RENEE VINCENT, COURT RECORDER

1	INDEX
2	
3	Voir Dire19
4	
5	WITNESSES FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
6	None
7	
8	WITNESSES FOR THE DEFENDANT:
9	None
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	2 662

1	Las Vegas, Nevada, Monday, April 2, 2018
2	THE COURT: Just a reminder to everybody that do you have
3	copies of your proposed voir dire? That is something I don't have right now.
4	MR. CLOWARD: You know, I can email that over.
5	THE COURT: They filed if they're filed.
6	MR. CLOWARD: I Your Honor, to be honest if we refiled
7	them, but I can have them refiled right away.
8	THE COURT: If you filed them ever, I can find them.
9	MR. CLOWARD: Okay.
10	THE COURT: Or maybe not. I'd just remind everybody under
11	EDCR 7.70 that you can't ask questions that have already been asked and
12	answered, questions touching on anticipated instructions on the law,
13	questions touching on a verdict a juror would return based on hypothetical
14	facts, questions that are in substance arguments of the case. I'll ask
15	questions of the whole jury panel. We'll start then with juror number 1.
16	We did something in my last trial that I thought a court's really
17	lost and if we can do that again, which is if you have a challenge for cause,
18	please make at the time you're on that juror, but we're not going to let them
19	go until we get to the end and then we'll excuse the jurors who've been
20	challenged for cause and then replace them and go through those. It helps
21	a little with the learning how to get out of
22	MR. CLOWARD: And they don't know what the guy said or
23	lady said, yeah, that makes sense.
24	THE COURT: Right. Because if you let one person go
25	because they say, oh, I $$ possibly be fair or they have a hang nail or
	663

1 whatever.

2 MR. GARDNER: Maybe if you do -- to the death penalty and 3 criminal cases and civil cases. 4 MR. CLOWARD: That makes sense. 5 THE COURT: Whatever excuse. So we'll -- please make the 6 challenge as we are on that juror, but we will not excuse them at that 7 particular time. We'll wait until we get all 20 -- through all 20 guestioning 8 and then we'll excuse the ones who've been challenged for cause. And then 9 we'll replace those. 10 MR. CLOWARD: Okay. 11 THE COURT: And I'd ask everybody to stay at counsel table 12 for -- turn during voir dire. And remind you all that you have the right to ask 13 questions, but not have a conversation, and thank the jurors. Please don't 14 make personal comments, Mr. Cloward. 15 MR. CLOWARD: Mr. Gardner was guilty of that last time too. 16 MR. GARDNER: I don't know what you're talking about. 17 THE COURT: He was guilty, but less guilty. 18 MR. CLOWARD: Okay. 19 MR. GARDNER: But it was a good for the goose, good for the 20 gander situation. 21 MR. CLOWARD: I like that, yeah. 22 THE COURT: He was less guilty. And if you -- okay, so when 23 we get to the end, I've never had a problem with this until my last trial and 24 then somehow it got very confusing for everybody. 25 You have four peremptory challenges to exercise for the jurors

1	in seats 1 through 16. That is the entire back row. In the first six seats from
2	the right to the left. So starting with the blue chair, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, then
3	16. Your first four peremptory challenges are exercised against those jurors
4	and those are the jurors who would be the deliberating jurors. Your last
5	peremptory challenge is exercised against the alternates. And those are the
6	jurors, the potential alternates are seated in seats 17, 18, 19, 20. So the last
7	four seats are the four seats that are closest to counsel table.
8	If you do if you are if you waive a peremptory challenge,
9	you waive one. You don't waive them all. But if you do waive a peremptory
10	challenge, then we would strike from the bottom. So I will have extra jurors
11	if there are waived peremptory challenges. So for example, if each side
12	waived one peremptory challenge, we would strike juror 16 and juror 15.
13	Does that make sense?
14	MR. CLOWARD: So a waiver counts to strike the bottom
15	people?
16	MR. GARDNER: 16.
17	MR. CLOWARD: Okay.
18	THE COURT: Just because we have extra. So we've got to
19	get rid of somebody.
20	MR. GARDNER: But your alternate still come from 17, 18, 19,
21	and 20?
22	THE COURT: Right. But if you waived if one side waived
23	their or both sides waived their peremptory challenges for the alternates,
24	we would also we would get rid of number 20, number 19.
25	MR. RANDS: Sure.

1	THE COURT: And so the alternates would be in seat 17, 18
2	MR. RANDS: What I'm saying is someone waives it in one of
3	your four, it would knock off 16 and you would still have the alternates out of
4	the last
5	THE COURT: Yes. So they don't just all move up.
6	MR. RANDS: 16 wouldn't move into the top space?
7	THE COURT: No.
8	MR. RANDS: Okay. I got it.
9	THE COURT: The alternates are completely separate from the
10	other jurors. It's fairly clear on the form. It says seats 1 through 16 and 17
11	through 20. Except in my last [indiscernible] for alternates. We got it
12	sorted out.
13	MR. RANDS: The only thing I've seen worse than that is in the
14	first trial I had years ago. It was the first civil trial for somebody to come out
15	of the public defender's office. And he [indiscernible] he tried to strike a juror
16	for cause, but then didn't use one of his peremptory challenges on that juror.
17	And I thought oh, okay. Well we'll leave him on. Came in the next day and
18	asked the judge to let him use one more peremptory challenge because he
19	meant to preempt the juror that he had tried to strike for cause and
20	THE COURT: That doesn't
21	MR. RANDS: I didn't get to make my objection. The judge just
22	said, no, that ain't going to happen. Move on.
23	THE COURT: All right. Bench conferences are part of the
24	record. So everybody watch their language.
25	MR. BOYACK: I didn't swear before.

1	THE COURT: I'm glad Mr. Boyack got that.
2	MR. BOYACK: Did I?
3	THE COURT: Mr. Cloward, have you ever sworn in court? No,
4	no. Nobody here has ever sworn in court except for perhaps me.
5	MR. GARDNER: Probably at me, too.
6	THE COURT: I was a public defender for a long time. So All
7	right. Please stand in the same place at the bench conferences every time.
8	It just helps with the transcriptions. Is anybody reading from a deposition,
9	not impeaching, but actually reading testimony? If you are, please make
10	sure that you have a reader who is not somebody sitting in the courtroom
11	right now.
12	Do we have stipulated exhibits?
13	MR. RANDS: We did last time.
14	THE COURT: I know. I just don't remember what that was.
15	MR. CLOWARD: I don't think we do. Actually, I think defense
16	has their set and we have our set.
17	THE COURT: All right. Do I have the defense
18	MR. RANDS: The last time we stipulated to them, but
19	MR. CLOWARD: Yeah, I think were sets, but we
20	THE COURT: Are they I have two plaintiff's binders. I don't
21	have a defense binder.
22	[Court and clerk confer]
23	THE COURT: Yeah, I have plaintiff's exhibits. I don't have
24	defense exhibits.
25	MR. BOYACK: Then we've got an addition to our Exhibit 12.
	667

1	THE COURT: All right. Are there additional defense exhibits?
2	Mr. Gardner?
3	MR. GARDNER: What's that? Oh, excuse me.
4	THE COURT: Are there additional defense exhibits?
5	MR. GARDNER: No.
6	THE COURT: Oh, all right. So this goes at the end?
7	MR. BOYACK: Correct. At the end of Number 12. Is this two
8	copies?
9	MR. BOYACK: No. I think it's just the one.
10	THE COURT: I need two of them please.
11	MR. BOYACK: Oh, okay. Here you go.
12	THE COURT: So it goes right at the end?
13	MR. BOYACK: Yes, of Number 12, yes.
14	THE COURT: All right. So nothing
15	MR. GARDNER: No. We're good.
16	THE COURT: Okay. So what exhibits are being stipulated to, if
17	any?
18	MR. CLOWARD: I don't think any.
19	THE COURT: None?
20	MR. CLOWARD: None. I don't think so.
21	THE COURT: Okay. And so in terms of publishing things to
22	the jury, you can publish anything that's been admitted, which at this point is
23	nothing. Anything that you've agreed on and anything that the Court has
24	said can be published to the jury.
25	So for your openings, just a reminder that if you have something
	668

1	in your opening, if you're doing a Power Point, you have something, a slide
2	that is something other than a summary of your argument, you need to run it
3	by the other side. So chart, diagram, deposition, testimony, whatever.
4	Obviously, the same goes if you're using an actual demonstrative exhibit. It
5	needs to be run by the other side. And if you can't agree, then let me know
6	and then I'll make a decision about it.
7	Okay. Anything else we need to take up outside the presence
8	of the jury?
9	MR. GARDNER: I don't think so.
10	MR. CLOWARD: Your Honor, I hate to I don't want to be a
11	pain. I know how you like things done. Can I just make a brief record?
12	THE COURT: Absolutely, Mr. Cloward. I was waiting for
13	you're later than I thought you would be with this. So
14	MR. CLOWARD: You know, I respect the Court. I don't want to
15	create a you know, any hard feelings with the Court by continuing to do
16	this every time I'm in here.
17	THE COURT: You will not, Mr. Cloward. I
18	MR. CLOWARD: Good.
19	THE COURT: You have a job and I have a job to do. So I
20	respect the job you have to do.
21	MR. CLOWARD: I hope at a minimum the Court believes my
22	belief that my client's ability to receive a fair trail is impaired by not being
23	able to ask group questions. And that's why you know, what kind of a
24	lawyer would I be if I didn't get up and try and
25	THE COURT: Right.

MR. CLOWARD: -- persuade the Court to deviate from the
 Court's preference. You know, I thought about this and I would just ask if
 the Court would give us 15 minutes of group questioning, and if it's -- if the
 Court feels like the record's not being protected, then we can go back to the
 way the Court wants to do it.

I know the Court has concerns about the record and preserving
the record. And that is definitely an important consideration. And I could
assure the Court that I would not let anybody talk without identifying their
number. So that there was a very clear record of every comment that was
made and who was making it. And I would be very vigilant in making sure
that those folks identified themselves so that we have a clean record in the
event that there are some challenges.

13 But, you know, I just -- I feel like the one by one is difficult to get 14 people to elicit their true feelings because after about the third person, their 15 just parroting what the previous two people have said. And so you don't 16 really get a good feeling of what people really think, number one. Number 17 two, it's extremely exhausting for me, as the lawyer, and I would imagine for 18 the jurors to have the same exact questions asked. And obviously we don't 19 want to waste anybody's time. If there's a juror that maybe doesn't have a 20 problem with personal injury cases, to me you know, asking that person 21 those same exact questions to try and find that out would be exhausting, 22 and by just simply asking, you know, a group question, you know, who here 23 has some strong feelings about a personal injury case or who has strong 24 feelings about what I just said. And allowing the individuals to raise their 25 hands and self identify, it allows more of a free flow of information.

1	And you know, so if the Court would even allow 15 minutes of
2	group questioning just to try it out and if it's not working, I promise I won't
3	squawk about going back to the way the Court wants to have it done
4	because I know that the Court is concerned about the record.
5	THE COURT: There are actually two concerns, Mr. Cloward.
6	MR. CLOWARD: Okay.
7	THE COURT: So the first concern is the record. The second is
8	my ability to do my job. So if there is a challenge for a cause, it is or a
9	Batson challenge, which I know does not happen frequently in civil, but you
10	absolutely are entitled to make those sorts of challenges.
11	It is very difficult for me to sort out that information if it comes
12	scatter shot. So I appreciate what you are saying, but I am going to
13	continue to select the jury in the method that I traditionally do.
14	MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Fair enough, Judge.
15	THE COURT: But you are welcome to make that record every
16	time we have a trial, Mr. Cloward. Well, because the Supreme Court may,
17	you know, disagree with me at some point and tell me I've got to do it
18	differently. And I certainly have changed my I used to not do the I used
19	to do it the really old-fashioned way. So where we would not prequalify 20
20	jurors, we would just have the 10 people up there and if somebody had
21	when somebody exercised their peremptory challenge, we'd just stick
22	another juror up there. So at the end, the lawyers didn't know exactly what
23	was particularly the plaintiffs. You're know, well I mean, you didn't know
24	exactly what was going to happen with the last couple of jurors, right?
25	MR. CLOWARD: Sure.

1	THE COURT: And the Supreme Court has come down and
2	said that's not a meaningful exercise of peremptory challenges and now, I
3	actually agree. Now, that I've done it this way I think it's better. It gives you
4	a better opportunity to exercise peremptory challenges. So I don't exclude
5	the possibility that I am incorrect and if the Supreme Court tells me I have to
6	do it differently, I absolutely will. So
7	MR. CLOWARD: Okay.
8	THE COURT: and you have are completely entitled to
9	make that sort of record.
10	MR. CLOWARD: Thank you.
11	THE COURT: All righty.
12	[Court and clerk confer]
13	THE COURT: And so everybody's clear, we're going to be
14	using the badge number, not any of the other numbers. So it'll be a number
15	somewhere between 3 and 48.
16	Does anyone know any of the jurors? I forgot to ask that.
17	MR. CLOWARD: I don't.
18	[Pause]
19	THE MARSHAL: Please rise for the jury.
20	[Prospective Jurors in at 9:43 a.m.]
21	THE MARSHAL: Please be seated.
22	THE COURT: Good morning everyone. This is the time set for
23	trial, Case Number A15718679, Aaron Morgan v. David Lujan. Are the
24	parties ready to proceed?
25	MR. CLOWARD: Yes, Your Honor. If I
	672

1	MR. GARDNER: Yes, Your Honor.
2	MR. CLOWARD: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, you are in Department
4	VII of the 8th Judicial District Court. My name is Linda Bell. I'm the judge of
5	this department. I want to thank you for being here today. Did you have a
6	judge come talk to you this morning?
7	GROUP RESPECTIVE: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Who came and talked to you this morning? Oh,
9	Judge Cadish? Okay.
10	So, see if I know who comes in to question you, I can
11	sometimes get a little bit of an idea what they might have said so I'm not
12	repeating things. But I just want to just talk to you a little bit about the
13	importance of what you're doing here today. When our founding fathers
14	wrote the constitution and greeted the idea of having trials by jury, the
15	purpose of that was to have everyday citizens be able to participate in the
16	judicial branch. That's some that hadn't happened before. Many countries
17	still have professional judges that hear all cases and there isn't really any
18	opportunity for citizens to participate in the judicial branch of government
19	unless they happen to be attorneys or judges.
20	So in order to allow that oversight of the judicial branch by
21	citizens, our founding fathers created this concept of having jurors come in
22	from all walks of life. And the jurors make the decision in the case. So this
23	allows you to take part in our democratic process by being here today. And
24	part of what you're doing here is being good citizens of our community. It's
25	a civic responsibility like obeying laws, paying taxes, but it's also an

1 opportunity to see what the judicial system's all about.

2 Most of our jurors find the process very interesting. We do 3 appreciate that you came here willing to do your job today. Even with all of 4 that, I know some of you are sitting here thinking, I'm going to answer these 5 questions in a way to try to get out of jury duty. And you may ask, how do I 6 know that? Well, I had jury duty. I had jury duty a few years ago, and I 7 didn't have this courtroom. But there was a different judge in this courtroom. 8 I was on the third floor at the time. I actually was a juror here. I was sitting 9 in the seat where you are, ma'am, up there on the top row in this very 10 courtroom. But before that, I was standing outside, just like you all were a 11 minute ago and the guy in front of me was talking to the lady in front of him 12 about how to answer the questions to get out of jury duty. I'm sure he was 13 very, very surprised when we came into court and he I was sitting up there 14 and explained what I did for a living.

So some of you might even be Googling right now how to get
out of jury duty. I understand. There are many of you who have -everybody has important things to do. Many of you don't want to be here.
And I understand, and I appreciate that. Let me ask you a question though,
if you had a dispute and you wanted to have people come in and listen to it
and make a decision, what kind of jurors would you want? You would want
good people like yourselves to come in and sit as jurors.

And second, you may get out of this particular jury here
answering questions, but you go back to jury services and they may put you
on a different jury and then you're answering questions again. And that's
the super boring part. It's -- right. Jury selection is boring. The interesting

part is the actual trial. So if you're seated on a jury and you get to hear the
 trial, it's much more interesting than going through the jury selection process
 a few times.

Also, this case, we anticipate this case will last a week. It's
possible it would go into Monday of next week. But some of our cases -this is a relatively quick case. My colleague down the hall, Judge Johnson
does construction defect cases. She has cases that sometimes last for six
months. So this one is relatively short in terms of our trial.

9 You have been summoned here today to serve as jurors in a
10 civil lawsuit. The subject of this civil trial is a disagreement between people.
11 The parties are here to have their disagreement resolved by a jury. And this
12 particular case involves an automobile crash.

We do anticipate the case will last no more than six days. And
our trials generally run from no earlier than 8:30 in the morning and usually
it's about 10:00, to no later than 6:00 at night. And usually it's five.
Sometimes, particularly if we're picking a jury and we haven't quite gotten

17 there or if we have a witness that isn't done, we'll stay a little bit past 5:00,

18 but generally we try to wrap up right at 5:00.

Under Nevada Law we need eight people for a civil jury trial.
So we will have eight plus two alternates. So for a total of 10 people who
will be going forward and listening to the trial.

Before we begin selecting a jury, I would like to introduce you to
the Court staff. You've already met our Marshal, Lamonce Walker
[phonetic]. Officer Walker is -- his job is to maintain order and security in the
courtroom. He's also my representative to the jury. So anything -- any

problem that you have during the course of your time here, please let him
know. Keep in mind he can't talk to you about anything to do with case, not
even, like, why did the lawyers ask so many questions or wasn't that witness
wearing a cute suit today, that sort of thing. He can't talk to you at all about
the case. But if you need to know where the restroom is, where a good
restaurant is for lunch, that sort of thing, he'd be happy to help you with that.

Renee Vincent at the desk down here, is the court recorder. So
everything that happens in the courtroom is recorded. You can see there's
cameras here and there. For those of you selected in the jury, the jurors are
not videoed, it's just audio, but the witnesses, the lawyers, me, we're all on
video when we're talking so that we have a record of everything that
happens during the trial. And Renee's job is to make sure that that's all
working the way that it's supposed to.

And Aja Brown is our court clerk today and she swears in
witnesses, marks exhibits, keeps track of evidence, and prepares minutes of
the proceedings for the court record.

You may also see in the courtroom from time to time, my
assistant, Sylvia Perry and my law clerk, Veronica Fink. They make sure
that everything runs -- keeps going whenever we're in here. So we have lots
of other cases. So they keep everything on track while we're sitting in here
during the trial.

Mr. Cloward, if you want to introduce yourself and your folks.
 MR. CLOWARD: Yes, Your Honor, absolutely. Do you want
 me to introduce the whole firm or?

THE COURT: That'd be great.

1	MR. CLOWARD: Okay. I was just writing making that list.
2	My name is Ben Cloward. I have the privilege of representing
3	Aaron Morgan here who is the who we call the plaintiff in the case,
4	meaning he's the individual bringing the lawsuit.
5	Marge Russell is my assistant and then Brian Boyack is my co-
6	counsel in the case. And I'm a partner at a firm called the Richard Harris
7	Law Firm. Members of that firm include Richard Harris, Joshua Harris,
8	Samantha Martin, Elaine Marzola, Ian Estrada, Travis Dunsmoor, Nia
9	Killebrew, Brian Unguren, Kris Helmick, Ryan Helmick those two are
10	brothers Adam Williams, Jonathan Leavitt, Jeff Scarborough. Anybody
11	else? I think that's it.
12	THE COURT: All right.
13	MR. CLOWARD: Thank you, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Mr. Gardner?
15	MR. GARDNER: Hello everyone. What a way to start a
16	Monday, right? In my firm we've got myself, Doug Gardner and then Brett
17	South, who is not here, but this is Doug Rands, and then my client, Erica is
18	right back here. Let's see, I think that's it for me.
19	THE COURT: All right.
20	Ms. Clerk, if you'll please call the roll of the panel of prospective
21	jurors?
22	When your name is called, if you'll just say "here" or "present"
23	please.
24	[Clerk calls roll]
25	THE COURT: All right. Anyone whose name was not called?
	677
	17

Great. So folks we're about to begin the jury selection process. This is a
 part of the case where the parties get to know a little bit about you in order
 to help them come up with their own conclusions about who will serve on the
 jury in this case.

The process is going to go like this. First, I'm going to ask
some general questions of all of you and then after those questions, we're
going to start with the folks who are currently sitting in the jury box and we'll
ask them individual questions. The attorneys will have the opportunity to
follow up with some more specific questions.

10 The questions that you are asked during this process are not 11 intended to embarrass you or unnecessarily pry into your personal affairs. It 12 is important that the parties and their attorneys know enough about you to 13 make the important decision about who will sit as jurors in this case.

14 If a question is asked that you would prefer not to answer in
15 front of all of the other prospective jurors, please let me know and you can
16 come up and answer the question just in front of me and the attorneys.

17 There are no right or wrong answers to the questions that will 18 be asked of you. The only thing that I ask is that you answer the questions 19 as honestly and completely as you can. You will take an oath to answer all 20 of the questions truthfully and you must do so. Remaining silent when you 21 have information you should disclose is a violation of that oath as well. If a 22 juror violates that oath, it can result in having to try the case all over again. 23 And it can result in penalties against the juror personally. That is my least 24 favorite thing to do, is to have a hearing involving penalties for jurors. We 25 really appreciate your time and your service to the Court and so I just don't

1 want to be put in that position because somebody didn't follow the rules.

It is important that you be as honest and complete with your
answers as possible. If you do not understand a question, please ask for a
clarification. At some point during the process of selecting a jury, the
attorneys for both sides will have the right to ask that a particular person not
serve as a juror and that's called a challenge. There are two types of
challenges.

8 The first type of challenge is a challenge for a cause. And a
9 challenge for a cause is a request to excuse a juror because the juror might
10 have a difficult time giving a fair and impartial hearing to this particular case.

The second type of challenge is a peremptory challenge. A
peremptory challenge means that a juror can be excused from duty without
counsel having to give any reason for excusing them. In this case, each
side gets five peremptory challenges.

Please do not be offended if you are excused by either of the
challenge procedures. In fact, whenever I was here for jury duty, I was
excused by a peremptory challenge. They're simply part of the process to
assist the parties and their attorneys to select a fair and impartial jury.

Once all the challenges are exercised, we'll have 10 qualified
jurors. At the end of the trial, two of the 10 will be identified as our
alternates. And the eight remaining jurors will deliberate in the case. The
selection process is done under oath, so if you'll all please stand and raise
your right hand, the clerk is [indiscernible].

24 [The Prospective Jury was sworn]
 25 THE COURT: All right, folks. Go ahead and have a seat.

679

1	I'll ask some questions of the group. If you wish to respond to a
2	question, please raise your hand and then I want your badge number. I
3	know there are about 50 numbers on that badge. So the one I'm looking for,
4	there is a 01 and a dash, and I want the number after that. It's going to be
5	something between 3 and 48.
6	Is there anyone here that has a disability or medical issue that
7	might impact their ability to serve as a juror in this case?
8	Yes, sir? What's your badge number?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 0013: Thirteen.
10	THE COURT: And sir, can you tell me
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 0013: I have a sciatic nerve
12	problem and a hard time focusing. Also, I have a problem right now that I'm
13	getting ready to have fixed is I have a dental problem and I have a lot of pain
14	in my teeth.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Sir, with respect to the sciatic nerve
16	problem, if you're able to stand up, does that help?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 0013: Yes, it does. I mean,
18	I had a hard time making it to from walking from the garage here today. I
19	had to stop two or three times because the pain was
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 0013: the pain was pretty
22	excruciating.
23	THE COURT: Thank you. Anyone else with a medical issue?
24	Yes?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 0035: I have a medical
	680
	20

1	procedure this Wednesday and another one next week.
2	THE COURT: All right. Sir, can I get your badge number
3	please?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 0035: Thirty-five.
5	THE COURT: So the procedure this week, when is that
6	scheduled for?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 0035: 9:15, Wednesday
8	morning.
9	THE COURT: And how long do you anticipate that that will
10	take?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 0035: Maybe an hour.
12	THE COURT: Okay. And then do you anticipate you would be
13	all right after that or are you going to be medicated?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 0035: I do not think I'll be
15	available after that for the rest of the day, if not [indiscernible].
16	THE COURT: All right. Anyone else? Yes, sir?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 0045: Number 45.
18	THE COURT: Yes, sir?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 0045: I have a heart
20	[indiscernible], 30 percent heart function. I also have a note from the doctor.
21	I'm on medication and this condition.
22	THE COURT: All right. Do you have that with you, sir?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 0045: Yes.
24	THE COURT: Would you just hand that to the Marshal? Sir, do
25	you take medication for that issue?
	681

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 0045: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Does that impact your ability to pay attention to
3	things?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 0045: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Anyone else with a medical issue? Yes, ma'am?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 0020: I just had my wisdom
7	tooth pulled last week.
8	THE COURT: I'm sorry, ma'am. Can I get your juror number
9	please?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 0020: Okay. I'm sorry, 20.
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 0020: I just had my wisdom
13	teeth pulled last week, ma'am. And I'm still swollen. And I'm on medication
14	for that right now.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. All right. Is there anyone
16	here who's been convicted of a felony? Is there anyone here who is not a
17	United States citizen or who is not a resident of Clark County? No response
18	to that question. No response to the previous question either.
19	Is there anyone here who has trouble understanding the English
20	language? No response to that question. We do anticipate this case will
21	last somewhere around five or six days. I recognize that serving on a jury is
22	almost always a personal or financial hardship. For that reason, financial
23	hardship is not generally considered an excuse to serving as a juror. We do
24	understand though you may be confronted with unique inconveniences or
25	hardships that would impact your service in this particular trial at this

1	particular time.
2	Is there anyone who has an extraordinary reason that they are
3	unable to serve as a juror in this case? Yes, ma'am?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 0015: I'm self-employed.
5	THE COURT: What's your badge number please?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 0015: Oh, 15.
7	THE COURT: Okay. What do you do, ma'am?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 0015: I'm a hairdresser.
9	THE COURT: What are your normal work hours?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 0015: I go in at 9 and I stay
11	as late as 7, 8.
12	THE COURT: And what days do you usually work?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 0015: I work every day but
14	Sunday and Thursday usually.
15	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, ma'am. Anyone else?
16	Yes?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 0039: I'm Juror Number 39.
18	I sought financial hardship, but it is a special circumstance. On Thursday,
19	the casino that I work at in southern California sponsors a minor league
20	baseball team for California Angels, Los Angeles Angels. And I am throwing
21	the first pitch [indiscernible].
22	THE COURT: What time's the game?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 0039: 7:00 in San
24	Bernadino.
25	THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
	683

1	Yes, sir?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 0044: Forty-four. Self-
3	employed.
4	THE COURT: What do you do, sir?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 0044: I drive for Uber.
6	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.
7	Yes?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 022: Juror Number 22.
9	THE COURT: Yes, sir.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 022: I was trying to get out
11	because my father was just in my 93-year-old dad was just put into the
12	hospice. I don't know how much longer he has and I wanted to go see him
13	before he passed.
14	THE COURT: Where is he, sir?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 022: He is in Honolulu,
16	Hawaii. World War II Vet.
17	THE COURT: Do you have plane reservations yet?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 022: No, not yet.
19	THE COURT: Yes, sir?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 0024: Juror Number 24.
21	I'm actually a consultant and I have a flight already scheduled for next
22	Sunday to go to my client in Boston.
23	THE COURT: Yes, sir?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 0013: Juror 13. It would be
25	a hardship for me to miss that many days of work. I have a part-time job
	684

1	with Clark County School District and it would be a hardship for me to miss
2	that many days of work.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 0013: It's pretty rough right
5	now as it is.
6	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
7	Did you raise your hand, sir? No. Anyone else? Does anyone
8	know the plaintiff in this case, Aaron Morgan? And there's no response to
9	that question. Does anyone know the plaintiff's attorney in this case, Mr.
10	Cloward? Any of the people he introduced? Any people on his firm? No
11	response to that question.
12	Do any of you know the defendant in this case, David Lujan?
13	There's no response to that question. Do any of you know Mr. Gardner or
14	any of the people he introduced, Mr. Rands? No response to that question.
15	I'm going to ask the attorneys to read a list of the witnesses who
16	might be called in the case and then after that I'll ask if anybody knows
17	them.
18	Mr. Cloward?
19	MR. CLOWARD: You bet. Alyssa Baker, Dr. William Muir,
20	orthopedic spine surgeon. Dr. Alain Coppel, pain management physician.
21	Dr. Andrew Cash, orthopedic spine surgeon. And then Dr. Bhuvana
22	Kittusamy, a radiologist.
23	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Gardner, you have any additional
24	witnesses? Mr. Gardner?
25	MR. GARDNER: Thank you, Your Honor. Okay. We're going
	685

1	to call a gentleman by the name of John Baker. We're going to call our
2	client, Mr. Lujan, and we may call a lady by the name of Sharon McNair
3	[phonetic]. And Dr. Steven Sanders is also we're going to call.
4	THE COURT: All right. Is anyone familiar with any of those
5	folks? Yes, ma'am?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 0017: I work at Summerlin.
7	I know all their names
8	THE COURT: And ma'am, can I get your badge number?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 0017: I'm sorry, 17.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 0017: I work as a nurse. I
12	probably know some of the doctors by name.
13	THE COURT: Do you work with any of them regularly?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 0017: I work in the ICU.
15	THE COURT: You don't have any social relationship with any
16	of the
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 0017: Not [indiscernible]
18	THE COURT: doctors that were listed? Okay. Thank you,
19	ma'am. Anyone else? I thought I saw another hand. No? Yes?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 0046: Your Honor, Juror
21	46. I know some of the physicians by name. I'm a pharmacist. No personal
22	relationship.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir. Do any of you know me
24	or any of the Court staff that I mentioned? No response to that question.
25	Do any of you know any of the other prospective jurors? And there's no
	686

1	response to that question. Does anyone believe that they may have heard
2	or read about the case before coming to court today? There is no response
3	to that question. Does anyone have philosophical, religious, or other beliefs
4	that would prevent them from serving as fair and impartial jurors? And
5	there's no response to that question. Are there any of you who believe that
6	for any other reason you would be unable to serve in this case? And there's
7	no response to that question.
8	Could counsel approach, please?
9	[Bench conference begins at 10:09 a.m.]
10	THE COURT: So [indiscernible] because I can't read my own
11	handwriting. All right. Okay, so you're all in places?
12	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. I mean [indiscernible].
13	THE COURT: All right, we have
14	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I don't want to come up
15	[indiscernible] you every time, though.
16	THE COURT: Well, Mr. Mabry who has turn number 13 who
17	has a number of health conditions and is working part time at the school
18	district
19	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No objection.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No objection.
22	THE COURT: So, we'll let him go. We have the lady who
23	works as a hairdresser. I'm not inclined to let her go right now unless
24	somebody has strong feelings about that.
25	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No.
	687

1	THE COURT: I prefer to keep her for now.
2	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, that's fine.
3	THE COURT: We have the lady who's a nurse at Summerlin.
4	Ms. Humphries who had a wisdom tooth pulled last week, let's just hang on
5	to her. And if it seems like she's having a hard time paying attention or
6	something, we can revisit that. The guy with the dad in Honolulu, can we I
7	know.
8	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I would want to know maybe a little
9	more detail.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's just hang on to him for right
11	now. The guy that's going to Boston. So, Mr. Rands, when is your doctor
12	scheduled [indiscernible]?
13	MR. RANDS: It will be probably on Thursday or Friday.
14	THE COURT: Okay. So I'm a little concerned because I think
15	it's cutting it close if he's got to go out of town. I mean, we can put an
16	alternate in but it just
17	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I didn't have a problem with any of
18	them.
19	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's if you want to adjourn
20	[indiscernible] to let him go, that's fine.
21	THE COURT: I'm going to prefer to keep him, but I'm just
22	concerned that we're not going to get this completely wrapped up by the end
23	of this week.
24	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, I mean
25	THE COURT: Because he's here this week.
	688

1	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. If he could move it to, like,
2	Monday.
3	THE COURT: It's, you know, because [indiscernible] already
4	got the tickets.
5	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, yes.
6	THE COURT: He posted on Sunday.
7	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I just, if they're going to call three
8	witnesses, last time I think they were only going to call one. So that would
9	lengthen the
10	THE COURT: Yes.
11	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: What other witness are you guys
12	[indiscernible] you're probably going to call and move on in your case.
13	THE COURT: Yes, right.
14	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It would be Sanders and then
15	Baker. Yes. I think chances are it would probably go into Monday.
16	THE COURT: Okay. [Indiscernible] with the medical
17	procedure, do you want to know more about that or do you want to excuse
18	him?
19	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: He's far enough down
20	[indiscernible] before we excuse him.
21	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I mean, if he's got something
22	Wednesday that there's no reason to keep him around.
23	THE COURT: Mr. Hall (phonetic) with the baseball.
24	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh yes, and that would be a big
25	deal if I was doing that.

1	THE COURT: I know it's a big deal. It's just
2	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: [Indiscernible].
3	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, it's only a minor league.
4	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I would be super bummed.
5	THE COURT: I know.
6	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Let's keep him all day now. Let's
7	not let him go yet.
8	THE COURT: Well, let's see where we get. I just, it seems not
9	right to any other juror to keep, like, because of job issues or whatever to let
10	somebody go over something that's
11	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm fine with whatever.
12	THE COURT: While I'm very sympathetic to it, let's just hold off
13	on that.
14	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. And if they're saying that
15	now, they just don't want to be here more than others don't want to be here.
16	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Defense [indiscernible].
17	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Turner, the Uber driver, I think he can
18	do that. That's the most flexible job on the planet. The guy with the heart
19	issue, so the thing that he had was just a list of his medical conditions, it
20	wasn't actually a note from the physician. But he does have a number of
21	heart conditions, and I know
22	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: How old is he, because he may
23	qualify for the statutory 70 years old.
24	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Sixty-four.
25	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: He's only sixty-four?
	690

1	THE COURT: Yes. It looks like we've got a couple that are
2	more than that, but it's not him. I mean, typically people with those kind of
3	medical issues fall asleep a lot, that's my concern.
4	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Medications, yes.
5	THE COURT: Because of the medication, because of the
6	heart. When your heart's not working right, you don't get good oxygen. So,
7	and we can hang on to him.
8	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm easy.
9	THE COURT: I know. It's just
10	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I prefer if we're going to let him go,
11	just
12	THE COURT: Just let him go?
13	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: let him go now and they don't
14	have to sit here.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Then the other guy was a pharmacist. So
16	I'm going to excuse 13, 24, 35, and 45?
17	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Wait, wait, wait. Thirteen, I
18	thought it was 23, the Boston fellow.
19	THE COURT: So 13 is Mr. Mabry in seat number five.
20	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, I got him.
21	THE COURT: Twenty-four is Mr. Shank in seat number 16.
22	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, I must have written it down
23	wrong. I thought he was, I thought that was juror 23 that said that, I'm sorry.
24	THE COURT: Juror number 23 is in seat 15.
25	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay.
	691

1	THE COURT: And then 35, Mr. Goldstein who is over there
2	still, and 45, Mr. Horton who is over there still.
3	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So those four?
4	THE COURT: Yes.
5	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thirteen, twenty-four, thirty-five,
6	forty-five.
7	THE COURT: And we'll hang onto the others at least for a little
8	bit.
9	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay, fair enough.
10	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No objection. Sounds good.
11	[Bench conference ends at 10:16 a.m.]
12	THE COURT: All right, I'm going to ask the following folks if
13	they would return to jury services. Juror number 13, Mr. Mabry, sir, good
14	luck to you. Juror number 24, Mr. Shank, juror number 35. Yes, go ahead
15	and just return to jury services, thank you. Mr. Goldstein, good luck with
16	that, sir. And Juror number 45, Mr. Horton.
17	All right. I'm going to call a couple people up to fill those seats
18	in the jury box and then we'll start with questions. It was 45, Horton. You
19	can call the next couple.
20	THE CLERK: Batch number 29, Kiesha Polla (phonetic). Batch
21	number 32, Sheena Keyho (phonetic). All right, Ms. Galicia, is that correct?
22	Okay. So we haven't met you. And we have, in this group a few of you
23	have fairly complicated names. So if we don't get it right the first time,
24	please make sure that you correct us so we can try to get it right. It may
25	take a couple times, but we really do want to get it right, we just haven't met

1	you yet.
2	So, ma'am, could you introduce yourself by just giving us the
3	information that's on the card on the seat?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Should I stand up?
5	THE COURT: If you want. It makes it a little bit easier to hear,
6	but you don't have to.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Okay. I'm Claire and I
8	currently live here in Clark County for about 12 years. How far did I go to
9	school? College graduate, Bachelors of Science in nursing, and currently
10	employed at one of the local hospitals here in the valley. Married for about
11	20 years, and I have two kids, 16 and 18 years old, and both are in high
12	school.
13	THE COURT: What does your spouse do?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Real estate.
15	THE COURT: Okay. And, ma'am, go ahead and have a seat.
16	What do you go ahead and sit down. What do you do at the hospital,
17	what specific area do you work in?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: I'm a registered nurse at
19	IMC, or intermediate care unit, or like a step-down unit.
20	THE COURT: Have you ever served as a juror before, ma'am?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: I haven't been selected
22	as a juror, no. But I think I've been in one of [indiscernible] five or six times
23	already.
24	THE COURT: Have you ever been a party to a lawsuit or a
25	witness in a lawsuit before?
	693

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: No.
2	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you worked in the
3	legal field?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: No.
5	THE COURT: You have medical training. Anyone else who's
6	close to you who's had medical training or worked in the medical field?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Most of my family
8	members are in the medical field.
9	THE COURT: Anyone here in Clark County?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: No.
11	THE COURT: What kinds of can you give me just a few
12	examples of people in your family and what they do?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: We have a doctor in the
14	family. We have about four or five nurses in the family, and two nurse
15	anesthesiologists.
16	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you suffered a
17	serious injury?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: No.
19	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you been in a car
20	crash?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Not a relative though, but
22	a close family friend.
23	THE COURT: Can you tell me a little about that?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: It's back in Michigan. It's
25	because of the bad weather in Michigan, they got hit from behind.
	694

1	THE COURT: Were they injured?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Not severely.
3	THE COURT: Can you wait to form an opinion until you've
4	heard all of the evidence?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Can you follow the instructions on the law that I
7	give you, even if you don't personally agree with them?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Could you set aside any sympathy you may
10	have for either side and base your verdict solely on the evidence and the
11	instructions on the law presented during the trial?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Is there any reason you couldn't be completely
14	fair and impartial if you were selected to serve as a juror?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: No.
16	THE COURT: And if you were party to this case, would you be
17	comfortable having someone like yourself as a juror?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Mr. Cloward?
20	MR. CLOWARD: Thank you, Your Honor. Good morning, how
21	are you?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Pretty good.
23	MR. CLOWARD: Good. So kind of, I guess, as a brief
24	introduction, this is an opportunity for the parties to ask questions on behalf
25	of their clients to find out certain things about the jurors. And the way that
	695

we do this is we ask questions kind of one at a time. And so it's important, I
guess, for the rest of the jurors and those folks sitting back here that if
something is said in a conversation that's important to you, that when I
come, or myself or Mr. Gardner, when we come to talk to you, I would love
for you to volunteer what was important when we discuss that with you.

6 That will help to speed the process. We'll find out what things
7 are actually important and what things aren't so important. We can kind of
8 hone in on the things that are meaningful rather than spending a lot of time
9 on the things that aren't really necessarily meaningful. So it will help the
10 whole process.

And I've done this a lot. This is, you know, I've talked to thousands of potential jurors. And I can tell you that what happens is a fear that I have is that sometimes the next juror will just kind of parrot what the last juror said, and then the third juror will kind of parrot what the second one said. And so we don't get a meaningful discussion of feelings.

And it would be like if I asked everyone if they like the Boston Red Sox. Not everybody's going to like the Red Sox, there are going to be some Yankee's fans and there will probably be some folks that actually really don't like them.

20

21

But Ms., is it Galicia?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Yes.

MR. CLOWARD: Okay. So I start talking to jurors and I tell two quick stories. One of them is that I don't like cherry pie. Okay? I really don't like it. And if you were a baker and you had worked on a cherry pie and you had entered a contest, say it was a Clark County pie baking

1	contest. And you found out that I was the judge, and you heard maybe from
2	one of the other contestants that I hated cherry pie. First, how would that
3	make you feel as a contestant?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Well, if it's a cherry pie
5	thing and I'm stuck, and I have to bake a cherry pie for you even though you
6	don't' like it because that's what you're judging for.
7	MR. CLOWARD: Would you want me to share that information
8	and divulge that to you?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: What, the
10	MR. CLOWARD: My preference, that I did not like cherry pie.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: I really don't mind.
12	MR. CLOWARD: Even if you knew that I absolutely just hated
13	cherry pie?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: I don't mind at all.
15	MR. CLOWARD: Okay. A lot of times
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: I might do some baking
17	that you might like and
18	MR. CLOWARD: Well, it's one of those things that, kind of like,
19	you know, asparagus or brussels sprouts, the older I've gotten I like those,
20	didn't when I was a kid. Cherry pie, never liked it. And so would you at least
21	want me to share that with you so that you could make a decision as to
22	whether or not you wanted to select a different judge to judge your pie?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: In a way, yes. But if I'm
24	a good baker, I think that I'm good enough to persuade you for liking the pie.
25	You know, because some people, they might get intimidated by knowing

1 that you don't like it. They might, you know, they might not do their best. 2 But if I don't know it, more likely, you know, I'll just be more confident in 3 making a good pie for you. 4 MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Do you think that it might influence my 5 decision one way or another, or it's possible that it could, the fact that I don't 6 like cherry pie and you're the --7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: It's possible. 8 MR. CLOWARD: Okay. And so I kind of share that story 9 because it helps to illustrate the importance of identifying potential feelings 10 or life experiences that each of you may have that might color your view of the case. 11 12 Another example that I give, and these will be the only two 13 stories that I really tell, is that I have two Nancys in my life. I have an Aunt 14 Nancy and then I have a mother-in-law Nancy. And my Aunt Nancy owned a fabric store in Provo, Utah. And she had somebody come into the store 15 and trip and fall. And they sued her. 16

And she does not like the fact that I am a personal injury lawyer
because of that experience. She's told me that, that I'm a disappointment.

My mother-in-law, however, she had a vastly different experience. She was walking outside of a restaurant one day out by the back door. And out by the back door, that's where they would drag the trash out. And they would drag bags of, you know, the hamburger grease, it was a burger joint, across the sidewalk.

And she was walking along and she slipped on the oil and grease, and she actually shattered her knee. And so she had to file a

698

1	lawsuit. And do you think that those two individuals, based on their life
2	experiences, might see a personal injury case differently?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Yes.
4	MR. CLOWARD: Do you think that it's fair to say that they
5	might not be the right fit for that particular type of case? You know, that they
6	can probably be on a contract case, a land dispute, a motor vehicle crash.
7	But for a slip and fall, due to their personal experiences, it might be too close
8	to them that they might not be fair to one party or the other.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Yes.
10	MR. CLOWARD: Do you agree with that?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Yes.
12	MR. CLOWARD: Okay. So with those two examples in mind,
13	you know, that's what this process is about because the unique thing about
14	our community is we all have different views. We have different feelings, we
15	have different experiences in life, and that's what makes us all unique.
16	And I would ask do you think that either of those two women are
17	bad people because of their experience with a slip and fall case?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: No.
19	MR. CLOWARD: They're not, are they? They just had a
20	different experience. Okay. So, throughout this process I would just ask
21	that everybody be brutally honest with me. And I promise you will not hurt
22	my feelings, you will not hurt Mr. Gardner's feelings, you will not hurt our
23	clients' feelings.
24	Even if you say, you know what, I hate lawyers and I especially
25	hate bald ones. It's not going to make me feel bad, I promise. I would
	699

1 rather know these things now than on the way to the parking lot, you know, 2 saying hey, you know what, I never told you this but I really hate personal 3 injury cases because I got sued and it was miserable and so forth. 4 So I'm going to ask some questions that are pertinent to this 5 type of a case to see how folks feel. And again, it will help the process go a 6 lot faster. I'm going to ask questions that I guarantee people will have strong feelings about. I promise you will, and that's completely fine. 7 I would just ask that you make a note so that when we get to 8 you, you can say hey, you know, when we were talking about this issue or 9 that issue, what was it on that that was important to you, and it will help us to 10 go faster. So, ma'am, what does brutal honesty mean to you, by the way? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Brutal honesty to me 12 means whether you like the response or not, you just have to accept it 13 because that's the fact. 14 MR. CLOWARD: Sure. It's the truth, regardless of whether 15 somebody's feelings will be hurt. It's just, it is. Do you agree with that? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Yes. 17 MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Will you be brutally honest with me in 18 your answers? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Always. 20 MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Will you also be very -- my hope is 21 that this will be the most talkative panel of prospective jurors that's ever 22 been in this courthouse. Will you agree to do that? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Yes. 24 MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Thank you. Thank you. So I'm going 25

1	to be brutally honest with you. We're here for money damages. And at the
2	end of the case, we're going to be asking for an amount into the millions of
3	dollars. I want to be brutally honest and tell you that right up front. How
4	does that make you feel, just hearing those hearing me say those things,
5	seeing my client. He's got all of his limbs, he's still here, he's not, you know,
6	passed away. How does that make you feel to hear that?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: It's okay.
8	MR. CLOWARD: Not even just a little bit of a problem?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: No.
10	MR. CLOWARD: Do you know folks that I guess that maybe
11	they see the system, they see the system and say to themselves you know
12	what, the system is set up for people to come into court and try and make a
13	quick buck, a quick buck or a quick payday. Have you heard people say
14	that?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Yes.
16	MR. CLOWARD: Who are some of the folks in your life that
17	maybe you've heard say those things?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Just some, you know,
19	like, friends for example. If there's, like, a gathering or get together.
20	MR. CLOWARD: Okay.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: [Inaudible.]
22	MR. CLOWARD: What are some of the things that maybe
23	you've heard on that?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Sometimes people are
25	just suing to get quick money.
	701

1	MR. CLOWARD: Yes. That happens. There are a lot of
2	frivolous cases; would you agree with that?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Yes.
4	MR. CLOWARD: Tell me some of the frivolous cases maybe
5	you've heard about in your experience.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Nothing really. But I've
7	been watching a lot of the investigational channels. So
8	MR. CLOWARD: I'm sorry, what was that?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Investigational channel.
10	MR. CLOWARD: Okay.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: And, you know, like, they
12	show cases like this where people are just suing one individual for money.
13	MR. CLOWARD: Yes. And is there a particular case that
14	maybe you've heard of? A lot of times I've talked to folks and they'll say you
15	know what, there's this McDonald's case and a lot of discussion about that.
16	Have you heard of that case?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Now that you mention it,
18	about that hot water? Is that
19	MR. CLOWARD: Yes.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Yes.
21	MR. CLOWARD: You've heard about that case? What are
22	your feelings about it?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: I've heard of it. At that
24	time I think it's ridiculous because burned by the hot water, I mean, that's
25	why you're ordering you know, I don't know about the temperature of the
	702

1	coffee on what can you sue. At that time it's kind of ridiculous, but I didn't
2	hear the whole case.
3	MR. CLOWARD: Yes. I mean, and there's other ones. I've
4	heard of one where somebody in New York, they sued the dry cleaner for,
5	like, \$10 million for losing his pair of pants or something along those lines. I
6	mean, I think, you know, you hear those and it's kind of like geez, what's
7	going on here.
8	Tell me some more about your feelings about law suits, I guess,
9	in general. You've had some discussions with family members, friends?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: No, not really. No. Well,
11	people are suing because there's, you know, there's, they have to prove
12	something. You know what I'm saying?
13	MR. CLOWARD: Yes.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: But I really didn't
15	experience personally about any specific cases.
16	MR. CLOWARD: Okay. A lot of times when we talk to jurors,
17	jurors will say things like, they'll say you know, I don't really have a problem
18	if somebody sues to get medical bills, to get their medical bills paid. Or I
19	don't really have a problem if somebody sues for maybe lost wages. But
20	where it crosses the line is where they're going to ask for pain and suffering.
21	I don't like the idea of pain and suffering. What are your feelings about that?
22	How do you view that topic?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Pain and suffering. I
24	guess, that's a hard question to ask but, or to answer. But I guess, yes,
25	people can sue for that. But you know, you have to hear the whole

1	scenario.
2	MR. CLOWARD: Sure.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: That's the thing.
4	MR. CLOWARD: What if, because I guess have you had any
5	experience with that?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: No.
7	MR. CLOWARD: I wrote down that you had a family member or
8	a friend that was in a car crash?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Yes.
10	MR. CLOWARD: Tell me a little bit about that.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Well, I just heard it from
12	my best friend, those are her parents. And just [indiscernible] accident, and
13	those are two elderly couple. But I just feel bad because one of the one who
14	got injured was my godmother who's also a cancer patient. So I just feel
15	bad that just kind of what's going on health wise she got into an accident
16	also, unfortunately.
17	MR. CLOWARD: It was another thing that she had to deal with.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Yes, on top of what's
19	going on.
20	MR. CLOWARD: Sure, okay.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: And they were okay.
22	MR. CLOWARD: Okay.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: They didn't sue anybody.
24	But everybody's alive.
25	MR. CLOWARD: Got you. I forgot to mention, one thing that's
	704

1 important to note is I have, you know, co-counsel and my assistant, Marge 2 here. And from time to time they're going to write questions, maybe Mr. 3 Morgan will write down some questions. And so they may hand me notes. 4 And I hope that's not, you know, disruptive. But sometimes I forget things or 5 they'll assist me in that way. 6 I guess on this one issue of money damages, you know, 7 imagine that you were injured. Would you file a law suit to recover for medical bills or for pain and suffering? How would that set with you 8 personally? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: I might because on the, 10 you know, what kind of injury I sustained [indiscernible] and if I lose a lot of 11 hours from work, for example. Then probably I would. 12 13 MR. CLOWARD: Okay. And you wouldn't, I guess you don't have a strong feeling one way or another about whether that's the right thing 14 to do or the wrong thing to do? Do you have any strong feelings even just a 15 little about the system itself? Do you feel like the system, it allows for 16 people to come in and ask for another person to be held accountable? Do 17 18 you think there's something wrong with that system, do you agree with it, do you disagree with it? Do you think that people should just, you know, 19 whatever happens happens? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: people sue for a reason. 21 So I don't have anything against that, people suing for damages. So for me, 22 it is okay. 23 MR. CLOWARD: Thank you for your honesty. So another 24 thing, I have an outline up here. And I like to kind of just go through the 25

705

outline to make sure that I hit everything that's important. And so if I refer to
 this, I'm sorry. I just want to make sure that I'm thorough on behalf of Mr.
 Morgan. I want to do a good job for him.

Have you ever had a, say a worker's compensation claim, or do
you know the different between maybe a worker's compensation claim
versus a personal injury claim like this?

7

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: [Indiscernible].

8 MR. CLOWARD: Okay. In cases like these, this is kind of the 9 one and only opportunity for Mr. Morgan to present his damages. Say for 10 instance if his problems get a lot worse five years from now, he can't call up 11 the judge and ask the judge to reconvene and have another trial. Or 20 12 years from now he can't ask for everybody to come back down here and do 13 it all over again whereas in a worker's compensation case, you can reopen 14 the case and they'll reevaluate it even, you know, down the road.

A lot of times, jurors, they think, and they say to me, they say well, you know, Mr. Cloward, you mean to tell me we have to decide medical care needs for somebody for 20 years in the future. I don't know, I don't feel comfortable with that. I don't want to do that. And I just wanted to see how you feel about that. You know, if you're asked to evaluate the medical needs way down the road, how does that make you feel as an individual?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: A lot of responsibility
because it's somebody's life we're talking about. And it's way down the
road. But then again, you know, thinking ahead of the things that he might
be needing in the future.

25

MR. CLOWARD: Does that responsibility, would that bother

1	you if you were asked to do that?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: No, but it is a big
3	responsibility, though.
4	MR. CLOWARD: And on the other side of the coin, you know,
5	the defendants in this case. You know, if the evidence shows what we
6	believe it will show, you know, they will be responsible. How does that make
7	you feel to know that your decision may affect it's going to affect one party
8	one way or another, you know, no question about it. It just, it is. And are
9	you okay with that?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Yes.
11	MR. CLOWARD: Do you have any reservations or problems
12	with that?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: No.
14	MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Have you ever had any maybe
15	setbacks in your life, things that, you know, were hard for you to get
16	through? You've never really been placed in that situation and maybe you
17	thought that you would react differently. But then when you actually were
18	placed in that situation you were kind of like oh, I didn't really, you know, this
19	was tougher than I thought or maybe this was easier than I thought?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Well, probably being a
21	possible juror right now.
22	MR. CLOWARD: Yes.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: I mean, it is a big
24	responsibility. But once you get selected, you just have to deal with it and
25	really learn the process.

1	MR. CLOWARD: Okay.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: You just got to do it, and
3	whatever's best for the person.
4	MR. CLOWARD: What's maybe the most difficult thing that
5	you've been through in your life?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: I really don't have
7	anything in my mind.
8	MR. CLOWARD: Okay. And you know, I apologize in advance
9	for the questions. A lot of the questions I'm going to ask you are going to be
10	very personal questions. If at any point anybody doesn't feel comfortable,
11	just please let me know. I do want to get everything out on the table. And
12	so I will ask a lot of questions that, you know, that are personal. And I
13	appreciate everybody's willingness to answer those for me.
14	So earlier we kind of, we talked a little bit about, you know,
15	frivolous cases, the McDonald's case, the case with the dry cleaner. I want
16	to ask about the other side of the coin and just ask if you think that maybe
17	sometimes defendants also have frivolous defenses, whether it's a one-way
18	street, it's only plaintiffs, only plaintiffs are the ones that come in and try and
19	take advantage of the system, or do you think that sometimes defendants do
20	that as well?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Well, it's the plaintiff, he's
22	the one that's doing the suing. So I'm not so sure.
23	MR. CLOWARD: Do you ever watch the show COPS?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Not really.
25	MR. CLOWARD: Okay. I watch the show, I think it's

entertaining. Sometimes you'll see the cops will come up on a situation and
 they'll have somebody on camera. And it's like the person, you know,
 they're being investigated for drugs. And you'll see the person drop it and
 then the cops will say hey, is this yours. And the guy will say no, it's not
 mine. And everybody knows, it's right there on camera. But they still kind of
 say, you know, no I'm going to fight this and so forth.

So I guess my question was, you know, do you think that
defendants sometimes do things that maybe it's obvious but they're going to
fight? I'm just trying to see if there's another side to the coin, or if it's only
plaintiffs that take advantage of the system.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Well, sometimes they
might because they think that their side is also correct.

13 MR. CLOWARD: Okay, sure.

14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Or might be right.

MR. CLOWARD: Tell me a little more about that. I would beinterested if there's more.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Because they're being
sued, but at the same time they might be thinking that they didn't do
anything wrong.

20 MR. CLOWARD: Sure. Okay. So maybe the way that they
21 see it is that, you know, it is okay to have that defense? Okay, fair enough.
22 Thank you for sharing that.

l'll ask a question, you know, one of the witnesses that they've
identified, there's going to be I guess some disagreement, so disagreements
in our doctors and some disagreements in their doctors. And I wanted to get

1	your feelings. It sounds like you work in a hospital and you treat folks that
2	are injured.
3	Do you know anybody that's ever been involved in say a minor
4	accident that maybe was hurt pretty badly, or do you know anybody that was
5	say involved in a really serious accident like a rollover and they walked
6	away?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: No.
8	MR. CLOWARD: Have you ever heard of that?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: I'm going to say no.
10	MR. CLOWARD: Have you ever heard of I guess the saying
11	yes, the guy, you know, he walked away without a scratch? Have you heard
12	that saying?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Yes.
14	MR. CLOWARD: So I wanted to just find out from you in
15	particular, some individuals that I've talked to in doing jury selection, they
16	say look, unless the cars are completely mangled, I don't think anybody
17	could be hurt seriously. And other folks, they say you know what, my
18	neighbor, they got into a fender bender and hurt themselves pretty badly.
19	And they're open to the possibility that people do get injured. And so I
20	wanted to see your kind of views on that.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Well, I mean, the person
22	needs to be checked internally also. You know what I'm saying. It's not just
23	the outside appearance. There might be bleeding inside, there might be
24	concussion, so on and so forth, fracture that, you know, the outer part you
25	probably don't see it. But once you get that person checked, that's when
	740

1	you see the injury. Do you know what I'm saying?
2	MR. CLOWARD: Sure.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: So it's not just the
4	outside appearance but also what's going on inside the body.
5	MR. CLOWARD: Kind of like maybe
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: So I would go with if it's a
7	big crash or a small crash, it really doesn't matter. It's you have to be
8	checked. And you have to
9	MR. CLOWARD: Case by case?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Yes. The total package.
11	MR. CLOWARD: Okay, that's a good point. Maybe kind of like
12	when you go to the grocery store and you buy eggs, you can't rely on just
13	the outside of the carton to see if the eggs on the inside are damaged. You
14	got to actually open it up and take a look. Is that kind of what you're getting
15	at?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Yes.
17	MR. CLOWARD: Okay, that's a great way to put it. So on that
18	issue, would you be willing to listen to the facts and evaluate the facts and
19	listen to what the doctors had to say?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Yes.
21	MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Just curious, in your treating folks in
22	the hospital setting, have you ever, say for instance, gone outside to
23	evaluate somebody's car?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: No.
25	MR. CLOWARD: Before making a determination as to the
	711

1	healthcare needs of that individual?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: No [indiscernible[.
3	MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Is that something that the EMTs will
4	come up and tell you?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: No.
6	MR. CLOWARD: Okay. have you ever had any experience in
7	a jury setting? Is this your very first experience?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: For possible juror, yes.
9	MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Have you heard of the difference
10	between say a criminal case and a civil case? Have you heard maybe proof
11	beyond a reasonable doubt? Have you heard those terms?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: I've heard it, but I don't
13	really, I didn't pay too much attention to what they mean.
14	MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Does everybody understand that this
15	is not a criminal case? Do you understand that this is not a criminal case,
16	this is a civil case. So the Judge, when she instructs on the law, she will let
17	you know what the burdens are, but they're not the same as in a criminal
18	case. It's a different burden. So we'll talk about that.
19	Do you have any, I guess being in the medical field, you
20	probably deal with doctors and so forth on a daily basis. Do you have any
21	feelings one way or another about different types of physicians? Maybe,
22	you know, maybe some types of physicians are not as nice as others.
23	Maybe, you know, surgeons aren't as nice as say hospitalists. Or you know,
24	is there anything along those lines that
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Well, every individual is

1	different. There might be surgeons that's really nice with a good bedside
2	manner, and some people that they're not. So you know, it's not particularly
3	like surgeons are good, internists are okay.
4	MR. CLOWARD: Got you.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: You know, it depends.
6	MR. CLOWARD: Okay, fair enough.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: It depends on the
8	individual.
9	MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Do you have any are there times in
10	your life where you've served in maybe a leadership capacity, or you've
11	served on, like, a board of directors or things of that nature?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: No.
13	MR. CLOWARD: Do you supervise folks at your current
14	position? Do you have, like, a team or a staff of individuals who are under
15	you?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Yes, we have nursing
17	assistants, we have secretaries.
18	MR. CLOWARD: Okay. How many people, say, do you
19	supervise in your job?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: [indiscernible] I would
21	say two nursing assistant, two secretaries.
22	MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Some nurses feel that doctors maybe
23	don't respect their expertise enough. How do you feel about that?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Repeat the question
25	again.

1	MR. CLOWARD: Sure. Some nurses feel that doctors don't
2	respect their expertise as nurses, that kind of doctors have this complex that
3	I'm always right or, you know, I can never be questioned kind of a thing.
4	How do you see that?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: Well, there are some
6	doctors that are really like that in reality. But the thing is we're a hospital.
7	They do rounds and they check with the nurses what, you know, what do
8	they think about what's going on with the patient, what do you suggest. So
9	it's like there's a collaboration between the nurses and the doctors. But at
10	the end, it's really the doctor who plans what's, you know, what's the next
11	plan for the patient.
12	MR. CLOWARD: Got you. It sounds like, and if I ever repeat
13	something back to somebody that's not their truth, please let me know. My
14	intention is not to I hope to understand exactly. And so if I ever repeat
15	anything that's not accurate, please let me know. But what I hear is that with
16	you it's a case by case. Maybe there are some doctors that feel that way,
17	but you don't categorize all doctors as that way. Is that fair?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: That is correct.
19	MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Thank you. Have you ever been sued
20	before for anything?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: No.
22	MR. CLOWARD: Have you ever had to sue or make a claim
23	against somebody else?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: No.
25	MR. CLOWARD: Do you have any family members that maybe

1	have had those experiences, family members or close friends that have had
2	that experience?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: No.
4	MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Did your godmother, did they make a
5	claim or do anything along those lines?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 3: I don't think so.
7	MR. CLOWARD: Okay. And as far as maybe your personality
8	goes, I'm just curious. If you believe something strongly, say for instance,
9	you know, we fast forward to this time next week or Friday, and you were
10	selected on the case and were the juror, and you felt strongly about
11	something and you felt like you know what, that's the right thing, but you
12	were outnumbered.
13	MR. GARDNER: Object, Your Honor.
14	MR. CLOWARD: On what basis?
15	MR. GARDNER: Using hypotheticals.
16	MR. CLOWARD: May we approach?
17	THE COURT: Sure.
18	MR. RANDS: My point is, he's giving scenarios that or
19	imagination, that's all. He's not referring to a particular path
20	THE COURT: All right. So -
21	MR. CLOWARD: That's what I'm prohibited from doing, is
22	giving particularized facts. That's what the 770 says I'm
23	THE COURT: And talking about a verdict, but talking about
24	MR. CLOWARD: not supposed to do.
25	THE COURT: My understanding of the question that he just

1	asked or what I believe what Mr. Cloward was getting at, I'm sure he'll
2	correct me if I'm wrong, but was whether she would full term ground if the
3	other jurors disagreed with her.
4	MR. CLOWARD: Yeah.
5	THE COURT: All right. So I think that's appropriate.
6	MR. CLOWARD: Yeah. I just want to make sure that people
7	will actually deliberate and talk about the case is
8	THE COURT: Please be careful, though. I already asked her
9	the question about whether she was a party or a witness in a lawsuit, and
10	there were a lot of personal stories, but let's just keep going.
11	MR. CLOWARD: Okay, thanks.
12	MR. RANDS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
13	[Bench conference ends at 10:55 a.m.]
14	MR. CLOWARD: Overruled, Your Honor, for the record.
15	THE COURT: That objection is overruled.
16	MR. CLOWARD: Okay.
17	MR. RANDS: Thank you.
18	MR. CLOWARD: So, I can't remember if I got the full question
19	out or
20	THE COURT: No, You didn't.
21	MR. CLOWARD: Okay. So let me try again. We'll take two.
22	So imagine, you know, we're a week from now we're maybe Friday, and
23	you're selected as a juror in the case and you're back in deliberations. If you
24	have some feelings about an issue and maybe you're outnumbered, I want
25	to just kind of found out a little about your personality. Are you one that will
	716

1	just say well, okay. You know what, if six other people say that, then I'll just
2	go along with the flow, or are you the type of person that will say you know
3	what, I'm going to going to I'm going to at least try and talk to the other
4	folks and let them see my perspective and see if they will at least listen to,
5	you know, my views?
6	UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: In that situation, I
7	would share my opinions, but at the same time I have to listen to their
8	opinions as I check and see why, you know, how come I'm outnumbered,
9	you know, they're one of mind, you know, because I might be wrong or I
10	might learn something that might persuade me, too, but at the same time, I
11	should share my opinions also.
12	MR. CLOWARD: Sure. So
13	UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Collaboration,
14	basically.
15	MR. CLOWARD: If I understand if I understood you correctly,
16	you would be willing to listen to another point of view
17	UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Uh-huh.
18	MR. CLOWARD: to see if maybe your point of view is wrong,
19	but you also would want to share your point of view to let them know how
20	you feel?
21	UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: That's right.
22	MR. CLOWARD: Perfect. That's the way to we all do,
23	everybody's voice to be heard, for everybody to have a seat at the table to
24	hear it all. So, thank you very much.
25	Your Honor, the Court's indulgence for one moment?
	717

1	THE COURT: Sure.
2	[Pause.]
3	MR. CLOWARD: Is there anything that feel like would be
4	important for me, as the attorney for Mr. Morgan or Mr. Gardner to know on
5	behalf of the Defendants about you?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: Well, it's fair to say
7	that to be a juror just have I'm just going to do my best and listen on both
8	sides of the story and collaboration by the other jurors and come to a
9	decision about the case.
10	MR. CLOWARD: Okay, fair enough. And would you feel
11	comfortable if you were seated in either Aaron's spot or the Defendant's
12	spot, would you feel comfortable having jurors with your same frame of mind
13	and same experiences sit on your
14	THE COURT: Mr. Cloward, she already answered that
15	question.
16	MR. CLOWARD: Oh, she did? I'm sorry. I did not know that
17	that was the core question
18	THE COURT: The last question I asked her.
19	MR. CLOWARD: Gotcha. Thank you, Judge. I'm sorry about
20	that.
21	Okay. Thank you so much. I appreciate it.
22	THE COURT: Mr. Gardner, Mr. Rands?
23	MR. GARDNER: Thank you, Your Honor.
24	Hello, everyone. You're going to find that I'm the Defendant's
25	lawyer. You're going to find that I always go second, so I try not to repeat
	718

1 things a lot, but sometimes I do.

0	Dut I had a couple of couple of guarties that I'm going to cold
2	But I had a couple of couple of question that I'm going to ask
3	it seems like you've been on the short end of all the questioning today,
4	doesn't it? Do you feel like you've got the bright, bright lights on you?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: Yes, I do.
6	MR. GARDNER: Let me ask you this, do you know what the
7	difference is between, say, a subjective injury verses an objective injury?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: No.
9	MR. GARDNER: The objective injury is something that you can
10	see, you can feel, you can touch. When the bone pops out, you can all see
11	that. The subjective is there might be something going on, but you can't find
12	it. You run tests, you run trials, and everything else like that, and you can't
13	find it. So that's the difference between those, objective and subjective
14	questions. And where I'm going is, do you have any strong feelings or
15	anything about what the Plaintiff would have to prove? Would he have to
16	prove objective damages or subjective damages? Does that make sense?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: I'm going to have to
18	I guess both, subjective and objective.
19	MR. GARDNER: Okay.
20	If you're injured in an accident and you claim that this accident
21	hurt you to the point where you couldn't work for a while, and then you
22	stopped working just because you can't get a [indiscernible] like you do
23	working someplace, and then you stopped working someplace. Okay?
24	That's [indiscernible].
25	What I'm wondering is, I'm going to use a fancy term called

1	mitigation. Mitigation is, even though I'm injured, I'm hurt, I still have to
2	continue to try and live. Okay? Does that make sense to you?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: Yeah.
4	MR. GARDNER: Okay. What do you think a person ought to
5	do ought to do to mitigate their damages? Are you familiar [indiscernible]
6	know that?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: I watched a person
8	[indiscernible] like a
9	MR. GARDNER: Okay. Fair enough.
10	For example, if you're injured and you've got lots of objective
11	injuries, a bone sticking out, or something like that, that's [indiscernible], and
12	the subjective is there's things that you believe you've got. Okay? Do you
13	think you would give both of those weight, the same weight?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: Yes, I would.
15	MR. GARDNER: Okay. But as far as mitigating the damages,
16	what would you expect a person to do after they've been injured in an
17	accident? Would you anticipate that they would be continuing to work or
18	laying on the bed all night and what's your thought on that? Mitigation of
19	damages.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: I'm not so sure about
21	that question.
22	MR. GARDNER: Okay. Let's do it this way now, hypothetic.
23	Hypothetically, you get into an accident. You go to the doctor, you've got
24	some you've got some objective injuries, you've got a broken arm, they all
25	get set. Now, with that injury, that broken arm, would you expect that
	700
	720

1 person to continue to go to work that afternoon or to go to work the next day, 2 or what would your expectation be? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: That's the severity of 4 the injury. [indiscernible] work at all. Even though you don't see broken 5 bones, broken hips, or whatever, but internally they're damaged. You don't 6 expect that person to go back to work. 7 MR. GARDNER: Okay. Now not going back to work 8 indefinitely or would you anticipate that --9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: Well, it depends on 10 the severity of the injury. 11 MR. GARDNER: Okay. But one way or another, based on the 12 severity and other factors, would you expect someone to mitigate or help 13 themselves by continuing to work? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: Well, I guess they 15 would have to -- [indiscernible] that individual, if they have -- if they have --16 they would have to go back to work and earn money to stay alive, then I 17 guess they have to. Some people, even though they're really injured, but if 18 they need the money, they continue to work. 19 MR. GARDNER: Okay. I agree with that. 20 Have you ever had injuries that you wanted to tell someone 21 about and then you did and they thought you were lying about it? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: [No verbal response]. 22 MR. GARDNER: No? How would that make you feel, though? 23 24 You've got an injury that you can feel, but it doesn't look like it and the 25 people you tell don't believe you, what would that make you feel like?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: You know, I cannot
2	force them, but if that's the truth and I know that's the truth, then I don't care
3	what other people say or think. Just like in the hospital, if a patient say I'm in
4	pain, but then again they're smiling, they're probably two friends, I can't help
5	it, say that the person is not in pain because that's very effective.
6	MR. GARDNER: Okay.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: So I think it's basically
8	[indiscernible] to this one, you cannot judge really.
9	MR. GARDNER: Okay. Did I write my notes down properly, do
10	you have children?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: Uh-huh.
12	MR. GARDNER: Okay. If someone were to ask you to
13	describe your feelings about your children, could you do that? I'm not going
14	to ask you, could you do it?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: Yeah.
16	MR. GARDNER: Okay. And in the description, would you
17	embellish, would you kind of make it better than they thought it was?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 003: No. I always just say
19	the truth because the truth is it will show. Whether, you know, even if you
20	if you sugar coat it, the truth will show. You know what I'm saying?
21	MR. GARDNER: Yeah.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: So just tell the truth.
23	MR. GARDNER: Well, say, for example, your kid is he's not
24	working, he's just laying around [indiscernible] you would still be able to find
25	good things to say about him, wouldn't you?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: Uh-huh.
2	MR. GARDNER: Okay. And that's what we would
3	[indiscernible].
4	MR. GARDNER: That's all if have, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: All right.
6	Ms. Patra-Martinez, ma'am, if you would introduce yourself?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: I'm Laura. I've lived
8	here for about 25 years. I'm back at school for finance and [indiscernible]
9	management. I am employed. I'm a sales manager. Married for, I don't
10	know, 20 years. Spouse is [indiscernible], electrician. Two kids. My
11	daughter is 18, about to graduate this year, and my son is 12.
12	THE COURT: Ma'am, what industry are you a sales manager?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: Promotional products.
14	THE COURT: And have you ever served as a juror before?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: No.
16	THE COURT: Have you ever been a party to a lawsuit or a
17	witness [indiscernible] before?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: No.
19	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you worked in the
20	legal field?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: No.
22	THE COURT: Have you or anything close to you had medical
23	training or worked in the medical field?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: Years ago, in like the
25	pharmacy side, but
	723

THE COURT: Was that you?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: That was me.
THE COURT: Okay. So what did you do?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: Helped like take
prescription orders, look up when their prescriptions were eligible for refill.
THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you suffered a
serious injury?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: No.
THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you been in a car
crash?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: Yes.
THE COURT: Can you tell me about that?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: Yeah. About two
years ago, almost two years ago, I was actually in a car accident. Making a
turn, a guy ran a light, no witnesses stopped, unfortunately. Neither one of
were ticketed, so it was kind of he said, she said type of thing. So I'm still
kind of dealing with that right now.
THE COURT: All right. Can you wait to form an opinion until
you've heard all of the evidence?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: Yes.
THE COURT: Can you follow the instructions on the law that I
give you, even if you don't personally agree with it?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: Yes.
THE COURT: Could you set aside any sympathy you may
have for either side, base your verdict solely on the evidence and the
724

1	instructions on the law presented during the trial?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Is there any reason you couldn't be completely
4	fair and impartial if you were selected to serve as a juror in this case?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: I don't think so.
6	THE COURT: And if you were a party to this case, would you
7	be comfortable having someone like yourself as a juror?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Mr. Cloward?
10	MR. CLOWARD: Thank you, Your Honor.
11	Ms. Patras-Martinez?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: Yes.
13	MR. CLOWARD: Did I get it right?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: [indiscernible] yes.
15	MR. CLOWARD: Sweet. Thank you. How are you this
16	morning?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: Pretty good.
18	[indiscernible]
19	MR. CLOWARD: A little hungry? Me, too. I'm always hungry.
20	Let me, I guess, start off just by asking whether there was
21	anything that was previously discussed by your neighbor there, that, you
22	know, you kind of thought I have strong feelings about that and I should talk
23	to Mr. Cloward or Mr. Gardner about that?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: [No response heard]
25	MR. CLOWARD: Nothing at all?
	705

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: I don't think so.
2	MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Do you mind if I kind of go through
3	some of them to see if that might evoke some additional feeling?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: Sure.
5	MR. CLOWARD: Okay. The Judge asked you about the car
6	crash. May I ask you some additional questions on that first?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: Yes.
8	MR. CLOWARD: All right. When you you said that you're
9	kind of still dealing with that.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: Uh-huh.
11	MR. CLOWARD: Can you share a little bit more about that?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: Yes. So both of us
13	had, I don't know, the minimum insurance required
14	MR. CLOWARD: Okay.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: I guess, and since
16	either one of us were committed or at fault. My insurance didn't pay him,
17	his insurance didn't pay me, so I just kind of okay, good, you know, It wasn't,
18	I want to say huge, like [indiscernible] chiropractor, but that's for myself and
19	my husband, some damage to his vehicle that I was riding [indiscernible]
20	whatever, deal with that.
21	But he did get a lawyer [indiscernible] insurance company,
22	United. I got a letter, called my insurance [indiscernible] absolutely not hit.
23	They sent it back, so I guess they [indiscernible] to see whether they
24	decided to pursue.
25	MR. CLOWARD: File a lawsuit?
	706

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: Yes.
2	MR. CLOWARD: Gotcha. Okay. Were you injured yourself in
3	that?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: I mean I was banged
5	up a little bit.
6	MR. CLOWARD: You went to the chiropractor and different
7	things?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: Yeah.
9	MR. CLOWARD: Now Mr. Gardner asked some questions
10	about subjective versus objective. Could you, you know, if someone were to
11	look on the outside of your body could they say hey, I can see the pain, it
12	looks like it's right there?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: I had a big old bruise
14	on my arm, but
15	MR. CLOWARD: Okay. So they could that one.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: Absolutely.
17	MR. CLOWARD: Okay. What about other parts of your body?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: No, I would not, just
19	the [indiscernible], but outside no, I do not.
20	MR. CLOWARD: Okay. You know some folks, I guess, they
21	have the position that look, you can show me then, okay, but if you can't
22	show me then too bad. And which what are you closer to?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: I mean both. Some of
24	them are very obvious. I have a bruise on my finger, it hurts, or, you know,
25	you have a broken ankle, you can't see it. That's where I'll show you it's
	707

1 broken. So it can work both ways.

Q Okay. For some things, you know, we would ask that you take
Aaron's word for it. I want to know how you feel about that? Are you the
type that says you know what, he can't show me an x-ray or a broken bone
or a scar, then I'm not going to take his word for anything? How do you -how do you feel about that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: I mean based on what
happened and, you know, everybody's going to have their side of what
happened probably to it.

MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Are you one that would automatically, I guess, discount if you're not able to show something on, you know, an xray or a scar? If you're not able to show that, then automatically you're going to -- it would be harder for Aaron with you, or are you one that's willing to just, you know, listen and I'll listen to all the facts and make a decision after I hear everything?

16PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: [Indiscernible]17decision.

18MR. CLOWARD: Okay, you're willing to do that?19PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: Yes.

25

MR. CLOWARD: Okay. Now, where did I put it? Oh, can I ask kind of a personal question, and this is the one personal question I'll likely ask, you know, the entire voir dire. Voir dire means everybody in the -- the jurors. But, you know, what is the biggest setback or challenge that you have faced in life?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: You know, I mean I --

1	a lot of them. Right now it's probably getting my daughter through college
2	and going in and doing that, put a dog down.
3	MR. CLOWARD: Oh, I'm sorry.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: It depends on the day
5	or
6	MR. CLOWARD: Sure.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: what happens then.
8	MR. CLOWARD: There are different things. How like when
9	you look back on difficulties in life, how well did you handle those? Were
10	there some that maybe you rose to the occasion, you handled well, and then
11	others that you look back and you say to yourself you know what, I didn't
12	handle that as well as thought I would or as well as other stuff that I should?
13	Did you ever have that?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: No. I mean I think I do
15	pretty well. As an example, my dad and my grandmother were in Hospice
16	two doors down from each at the same time.
17	MR. CLOWARD: Oh, my.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: [Indiscernible] my son,
19	daughter's in [Indiscernible], and finish out my Bachelor's program, you
20	know, I may still there's the [indiscernible] .
21	MR. CLOWARD: You're a very strong individual.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: I didn't I didn't sleep
23	all night
24	MR. CLOWARD: Yeah.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NUMBER 008: you know, I was
	729