LEWIS⁸
BRISBOIS
BISGAARD
& SMITH LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

27

4824-3873-0605.2 33947-19

INDEX TO APPELLANTS' APPENDIX¹

PLEADING, MOTION, ORDER,	VOLUME	PAGE NUMBER
TRANSCRIPT, EXHIBIT		
CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	3	540-545
CLAIMANT'S DOCUMENTARY	2	212-288
EVIDENCE PACKET AND WITNESS		
DISCLOSURE (CLAIMANT'S		
EXHIBIT 1) FILED JULY 12, 2017		
CLAIMANT'S FIRST	1	99-109
SUPPLEMENTED DOCUMENTARY		
EVIDENCE PACKET AND WITNESS		
DISCLOSURE (CLAIMANT'S		
EXHIBIT 2) FILED NOVEMBER 22,		
2017		
CLAIMANT'S OPPOSITION TO	1	204-211
EMPLOYER'S MOTION FOR STAY		
FILED JULY 17, 2017		
CLAIMANT'S SECOND	1	89-96
SUPPLEMENTED DOCUMENTARY		
EVIDENCE PACKET AND WITNESS		
DISCLOSURE (CLAIMANT'S		
EXHIBIT 3) FILED JANUARY 19, 2018		
CLAIMANT'S SECONDARY	1	75-88
SUPPLEMENTED DOCUMENTARY		
EVIDENCE PACKET AND WITNESS		
DISCLOSURE (CLAIMANT'S		
EXHIBIT 4) FILED JANUARY 31, 2018		
CORRESPONDENCE (DECISION	1	73-74
LETTER) FROM APPEALS OFFICER		
CHARLES YORK TO ALIKA		
ANGERMAN ESQ. DATED		
FEBRUARY 13, 2018		

¹ Note: This Appendix contains the Record on Appeal exactly as it appeared in District Court. District Court documents are included after the formal Record on Appeal at Volume 3.



- 1			
1	CORRESPONDENCE (PROPOSED	1	72
2	DECISION AND ORDER) FROM		
_	ALIKA ANGERMAN ESQ. TO		
3	APPEALS OFFICER CHARLES YORK		
4	FILED APRIL 16, 2018		
	DECISION AND ORDER OF APPEALS	1	65-71
5	OFFICER CHARLES YORK, FILED		
6	MAY 3, 2018		
	INSURER'S APPEAL MEMORANDUM	1	110-120
7	FILED SEPTEMBER 11, 2017		
8	INSURER'S INDEX OF DOCUMENTS	1	121-192
	(INSURER'S EXHIBIT A) FILED		
9	SEPTEMBER 11, 2017		
10	INSURER'S MOTION FOR STAY	2	295-370
	PENDING APPEAL FILED JUNE 30,		
11	2017		
12	INSURER'S REPLY BRIEF IN	1	197-203
12	SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR STAY		
13	PENDING APPEAL FILED JULY 28,		
14	2017		
15	MINUTES FROM HEARING ON	3	475
13	PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW,		
16	DATED JANUARY 15, 2019		
17	MINUTES FROM HEARING ON	3	476
1	PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW,		
18	DATED JANUARY 22, 2019		
19	MINUTES FROM HEARING ON	3	488
	PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW,		
20	DATED JANUARY 29, 2019		
21	MINUTES FROM HEARING ON	3	489
	PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW,		
22	DATED JANUARY 31, 2019		
23	MINUTES FROM MOTION FOR	3	413
	STAY, DATED JUNE 19, 2018		
24	MINUTES FROM MOTION FOR	3	414-415
25	STAY, DATED JUNE 26, 2018		~~~
26	MINUTES FROM MOTION FOR STAY	3	550
26	PENDING SUPREME COURT		
27	APPEAL, DATED OCTOBER 17, 2019		



1	NOTICE OF APPEAL	3	528-539
2	NOTICE OF APPEAL AND ORDER TO	2	289-294
	APPEAR FILED JULY 5, 2017		
3	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER	3	490-495
4	DENYING PETITION FOR JUDICIAL		
	REVIEW		
5	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER	3	416-419
6	GRANTING MOTIONN FOR STAY		
	NOTICE OF FILING BOND	3	546-549
7	NOTICE OF RESETTING DATED	1	97-98
8	DECEMBER 12, 2017		
9	NOTICE OF RESETTING DATED	1	193-194
9	SEPTEMBER 8, 2017		
10	ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR	1	195-196
11	STAY PENDING APPEAL, FILED		
11	AUGUST 2, 2017		
12	PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW	3	371-381
13	PETITIONERS' MOTION FOR STAY	3	382-401
	PENDING APPEAL AND REQUEST		
14	FOR ORDER SHORTENING TIME		
15	(EXHIBITS OMITED FOR BREVITY)		10 5 71 7
	PETITIONERS' MOTION FOR STAY	3	496-515
16	PENDING SUPREME COURT		
17	APPEAL AND REQUEST FOR ORDER		
10	SHORTENING TIME	2	420, 441
18	PETITIONERS' OPENING BRIEF	3	420-441
19	PETITIONERS' REPLY BRIEF	3	462-470
20	RECORD ON APPEAL IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NEVADA	1	2
20	ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE		
21	ACT		
22	REQUEST FOR HEARING ON	3	471-474
	PETITIONERS' PETITION FOR	3	4/1-4/4
23	JUDICIAL REVIEW		
24	RESPONDENTS' ANSWERING BRIEF	3	442-461
25	RESPONDENT'S OPPOSITION TO	3	402-412
25	PETITIONERS' MOTION FOR STAY	3	102 112
26			
27			



1	RESPONDENT'S OPPOSITION TO	3	516-527
2	PETITIONERS' MOTION FOR STAY		
	PENDING SUPREME COURT		
3	APPEAL		
4	TRANSCRIPT OF PETITION FOR	3	477-487
_	JUDICIAL REVIEW		
5	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	1	3-64
6	HELD ON FEBRUARY 9, 2018, FILED		
7	MAY 17, 2018		
'	TRANSMITTAL OF RECORD ON	1	1
8	APPEAL		
9			
10	<u>CERTIFICATE O</u>	F MAILING	
10	Pursuant to Nevada Rules of Civil Pr	ocedure 5(b) I he	reby certify that on
11		· / /	
12	the <u>10</u> day of April 2020, service	e of the attached	d APPELLANTS'
	APPENDIX VOLUME 1 was made this	date by depositing	g a true copy of the
13		otronio somico os fe	2110we
	leama tar mailing tiret clace mail and/ar alac		
14	same for mailing, first class mail, and/or elec	cuonic service as ic	mows.
	same for mailing, first class mail, and/or elec	ctroffic service as re	onows.
14 15	same for mailing, first class mail, and/or election. Alika Angerman, Esq.	thome service as re	onows.

Focus Framing
C/O Sun City Electric
Focus Framing
C/O Sun City Electric
ATTN: Patty Pizano
1220 S. Commerce St., #120

Las Vegas, NV 89102

716 S. Jones Blvd.

Las Vegas, NV 89107

17

24

25

26

27

/s/ Joel P. Reeves, Esq.
An employee of LEWIS, BRISBOIS,



BISGAARD & SMITH, LLP

Electronically Filed 7/24/2018 8:34 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COUR 1 TROA 2 APPEALS OFFICE 2200 S. Rancho Drive Suite 220 3 Las Vegas NV 89102 (702) 486-2527 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 FOCUS FRAMING and SUN CITY ELECTRIC,) 7 Petitioner, 8 Case No.: A-18774772-J VS. 9 Dept. No.: 10 MARTIN DURAN PEREZ, and the ROA No.: 1900964-CJY 10 DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION, Appeal No.: 1714955-CJY HEARINGS DIVISION, APPEALS OFFICE, 11 an Agency of the State of Nevada, 12 Respondents. 13 TRANSMITTAL OF RECORD ON APPEAL 14 TO: STEVEN GRIERSON, Clerk of the above-captioned Court: 15 Pursuant to NRS 233B.131, the transmittal of the entire Record on Appeal, in 16 accordance with the Nevada Administrative Procedure Act (Chapter 233B of the Nevada 17 Revised Statutes), is hereby made as follows: 18 1. The entire Record herein, including each and every pleading, document, affidavit, 19 order, decision and exhibit now on file with the Appeal Office, at 2200 S. Rancho Drive Suite 20 220, Las Vegas, Nevada 89102, under the Nevada Industrial Insurance Act, in the above-21 captioned action, including the court reporter's transcripts if available, of the testimony of the 22 23 Appeal Officer hearing. 2. This Transmittal. 24 DATED this 24th day of July, 2018. 25 re McCough 26 27 Zoe McGorigh, Legal Secretary I

> DOC001 00001

28

An Employee of the Hearings Division

		•
1	ROA APPEALS OFFICE	
2	2200 S. Rancho Drive Suite 220 Las Vegas NV 89102	
3	(702) 486-2527	
4	DISTRICT	COURT
5	CLARK COUNT	Y, NEVADA
6	FOCUS FRAMING and SUN CITY ELECTRIC,)
7	Petitioner,	
8	VS.) Case No.: A-18774772-J) Dept. No.: 10
9	MARTIN DURAN PEREZ, and the DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION,) ROA No.: 1900964-CJY) Appeal No.: 1714955-CJY
10	HEARINGS DIVISION, APPEALS OFFICE, an Agency of the State of Nevada,)
11	Respondents.	\
12	Trosponation.	3
13	RECORD ON APPEAL IN AC NEVADA ADMINISTRATI	
14		VE I ROCEDURE ACT
15	MARTIN DURAN PEREZ	
16	1512 CHAPEL COVE CT LAS VEGAS NV 89106-1900	
17	ALIKA ANGERMAN ESQ	
18	BIGHORN LAW 716 S JONES BLVD	
19	LAS VEGAS NV 89101	
20	FOCUS PLUMBING	
21	ATTN PATTY PAIZANO 1220 S COMMERCE ST STE 120	
22	LAS VEGAS NV 89102	
23	DANIEL SCHWARTZ ESQ	
24	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 2300 W SAHARA AVE STE 300 BOX 28	
25	LAS VEGAS NV 89102-4375	
26	FOCUS FRAMING	
27	C/O SUN CITY ELECTRIC 1220 S COMMERCE ST STE 120	DOC002
28	LAS VEGAS NV 89102-2501	00002

INDEX

2	?			
3	ROA NUMBER: Appeal No.:	1900964-CJY 1714955-CJY		
4	1			
5	DESCRIPTION	,	DOC NO	PAGE NUMBERS
6	TRANSMITTAL OF	RECORD ON APPEAL	001	00001
7 8	NEVADA ADMINIS	AL IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT	E 002	00002
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PR	ROCEEDINGS HELD ON , FILED MAY 17, 2018	003	00003-00064
10	DECISION AND OR	DER OF APPEALS OFFICER		
11	CHARLES YORK, F	ILED MAY 3, 2018	004	00065-00071
12	CORRESPONDENCI	E (PROPOSED DECISION		
13	TO APPEALS OFFIC	M ALIKA ANGERMAN ESQ. CER CHARLES YORK		
14	FILED APRIL 16, 201	18	005	00072
15	CORRESPONDENCE	E (DECISION LETTER) FROM CHARLES YORK TO		
16				
17	DATED FEBRUARY	13, 2018	006	00073-00074
18	CLAIMANT'S SECO	NDARY SUPPLEMENTED		
19	WITNESS DISCLOSE	VIDENCE PACKET AND URE (CLAIMANT'S EXHIBIT 4)	•	
	FILED JANUARY 31,	, 2018	007	00075-00088
20	CLAIMANT'S SECO	ND SUPPLEMENTED		
21	DOCUMENTARY EV	IDENCE PACKET AND		
22	WITNESS DISCLOSU FILED JANUARY 19,	JRE (CLAIMANT'S EXHIBIT 3) . 2018	008	00000 00006
23			000	00089-00096
24	NOTICE OF RESETTED DATED DECEMBER		009	00007 00000
25			009	00097-00098
i	CLAIMANT'S FIRST	SUPPLEMENTED IDENCE PACKET AND		
26	WITNESS DISCLOSU	JRE (CLAIMANT'S EXHIBIT 2)		
27	FILED NOVEMBER 2	2, 2017	010	00099-00109
28	INSURER'S APPEAL FILED SEPTEMBER 1		011	00110-00120
- 11				

INDEX

1

28

2 **ROA NUMBER:** 1900964-CJY 3 Appeal No.: 1714955-CJY 4 **DESCRIPTION DOC NO PAGE NUMBERS** 5 INSURER'S INDEX OF DOCUMENTS 6 (INSURER'S EXHIBIT A) FILED SEPTEMBER 11, 2017 012 00121-00192 7 NOTICE OF RESETTING 8 DATED SEPTEMBER 8, 2017 013 00193-00194 9 ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR STAY PENDING 10 APPEAL, FILED AUGUST 2, 2017 014 00195-00196 11 INSURER'S REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL 12 FILED JULY 28, 2017 015 00197-00203 13 CLAIMANT'S OPPOSITION TO EMPLOYER'S 14 MOTION FOR STAY FILED JULY 17, 2017 016 00204-00211 15 CLAIMANT'S DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE 16 PACKET AND WITNESS DISCLOSURE 17 (CLAIMANT'S EXHIBIT 1) FILED JULY 12, 2017 017 00212-00288 18 NOTICE OF APPEAL AND ORDER TO APPEAR 19 **FILED JULY 5, 2017** 018 00289-00294 20 INSURER'S MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL 21 **FILED JUNE 30, 2017** 019 00295-00370 22 AFFIDAVIT AND CERTIFICATION 020 00371 23 CERTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL 021 00372-00373 24 25 26 27

NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION

FILED

BEFORE THE APPEALS OFFICER

MAY 1 7 2018

APPEALS OFFICE

In the Matter of the: Contested Industrial Insurance Claim,

of

Claim No.: 2016-0022

Appeal No.:

1714955-CJY

MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ,

Claimant

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHARLES J. YORK, ESQ. APPEALS OFFICER

> FEBRUARY 9, 2018 9:05 AM

2200 SOUTH RANCHO DRIVE, SUITE 220 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89102

Ordered by: Lewis, Brisbois, Bisgaard & Smith, LLP 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 300, Box 28 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102-4375

Transcribed By: Jaime Caris, Always On Time

DOC00

1	<u>APPEARANCES</u>
2	
3	On behalf of the Claimant:
4	Alika Angerman, Esq.
5	Bighorn Law
6	716 South Jones Boulevard
7	Las Vegas, Nevada 89107-3614
8	
9	
10	On behalf of the Insurer:
L1	Daniel Schwartz, Esq.
L2	Lewis, Brisbois, Bisgaard & Smith, LLP
L3	2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 300, Box 28
L4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102-4375
L 5	, ·
16	
L7	
8	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1		<u>I</u> N	DEX			
2	EXAMINATION	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT		RECROSS
3	Martin Duran-Perez	6	8	14		
4	Eduardo Leon	15	17			
5	Nicholas Pao	26	34	36		
6	Kevin Mendoza	39	45			
7						
8						
9						
10		<u>E X H I</u>	BITS			
11			IDE	NTIFIED	IN	
12	EVIDENCE					
13	Insurer's Exhibit 1		3		3	
14	Claimant's Exhibit	l	3		4	
15	Claimant's Exhibit 2	2	4		4	
16	Claimant's Exhibit 3	3	4		4	
17	Claimant's Exhibit 4	1	4		5	
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
11						00005

PROCEEDINGS

APPEALS OFFICER: Okay, we're on the record in the Matter of the Contested Industrial Insurance Claim of Mr. Martin Duran Perez, who is present. Represented by his Counsel, Mr. Alika Angerman. The Insurer is represented by their Counsel, Mr. Daniel Schwartz.

On behalf of the Insurer, one packet, 68-pages, filed on September $11^{\rm th}$ of last year. Any objections?

ALIKA ANGERMAN: No objections, Your Honor.

APPEALS OFFICER: That will be marked as the Insurer's Exhibit A. And, Mr. Schwartz, on behalf of the Claimant, I believe I have four packets. The first filed, July 12th of last year. Have you received that and any objections?

DANIEL SCHWARTZ: I'm just looking for dates,
Your Honor. Just to Page 60, which is an unsworn statement
from somebody—

APPEALS OFFICER: The Detective, theoretically?

DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Theoretically. Just to the

legal conclusions it draws, I don't think whoever Detective

Watford [phonetic] that apparently doesn't sign documents

but prints his name instead, really has any legal bearing

on this case.

APPEALS OFFICER: Right. Alika?

ALIKA ANGERMAN: That's fine, Your Honor.

```
1
              APPEALS OFFICER: We'll admit this, minus Page
    60. So, it will be a packet of 73 pages. That will be
 2
    introduced as Claimant's Exhibit 1. Next we have a packet
 3
 4
    filed by the Claimant on November 22<sup>nd</sup> of last year,
 5
    consisting of eight pages. Mr. Schwartz?
 6
              DANIEL SCHWARTZ:
                                  No objection, Your Honor.
 7
              APPEALS OFFICER:
                                  That will be Claimant's #2.
    Then we have a packet filed January 19th of this year,
 8
    consisting of five pages. Mr. Schwartz?
10
              DANIEL SCHWARTZ:
                                  No objection, Your Honor.
11
              APPEALS OFFICER:
                                  That will be marked as
12
    Claimant's Exhibit #3. Then, #4-
13
              DANIEL SCHWARTZ:
                                  When was that filed?
14
              APPEALS OFFICER: Number four?
15
              DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Correct, well, what you're
    calling #4.
16
17
                                  January 31st.
              APPEALS OFFICER:
18
              DANIEL SCHWARTZ:
                                  May I see it?
19
              APPEALS OFFICER:
                                  I think you might want to
20
    take a look at it. Let's go off the record.
21
              OFF THE RECORD
22
              ON THE RECORD
23
              APPEALS OFFICER:
                                  Mr. Schwartz, you had a
24
    chance to take a look a look at Claimant's proposed Exhibit
25
    4?
```

1	DANIEL SCHWARTZ:	That's correct, Your Honor.
2	APPEALS OFFICER:	And, with no objections?
3	DANIEL SCHWARTZ:	No objections.
4	APPEALS OFFICER:	That will be marked as
5	Claimant's Exhibit #4. No fu	urther documentation?
6	ALIKA ANGERMAN:	No, Your Honor.
7	APPEALS OFFICER:	We do have an interpreter
8	here today. Sir, if you coul	d state your name for the
9	record.	
10	INTERPRETER:	Interpreter's name for the
11	record is Napoleon Buenrostra	[phonetic].
12	APPEALS OFFICER:	And, sir, do you solemnly
13	swear to translate from Engli	sh to Spanish and Spanish to
14	English to the best of your a	bility, the testimony in this
15	matter?	
16	INTERPRETER:	I do.
17	APPEALS OFFICER:	Opening statement or waive?
18	ALIKA ANGERMAN:	I waive, Your Honor and allow
19	the Claimant to testify.	
20	APPEALS OFFICER:	Waive?
21	DANIEL SCHWARTZ:	I'll waive opening as well,
22	Your Honor. I believe you've	already indicated that we're
23	going to-well, I'm going to in	nvoke the-
24	APPEALS OFFICER:	Right.
25	DANIEL SCHWARTZ:	Invoke the Exclusionary Rule.

1 APPEALS OFFICER: Have your witness step 2 outside and wait in the lobby. 3 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: And, I don't know if you want to tell them, there are going to be a hundred attorneys 4 sitting out there. I've told my witnesses, they might be 5 more comfortable-well, too late. He may just be sitting 6 out there with a bunch of-there's a meeting going on. 7 8 APPEALS OFFICER: Right. Okay. Let's get the witness sworn in. Sir, do you swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to give in this matter shall be the 10 truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? 11 12 MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: I do. 13 APPEALS OFFICER: Thank you. Mr. Angerman? 14 ALIKA ANGERMAN: Yes, Your Honor. Mr. Perez, can you tell me the name of the company you worked for on 15 16 the day of the accident? 17 MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: Focus. 18 ALIKA ANGERMAN: And, what was your job title? 19 MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: Carpentry. 20 ALIKA ANGERMAN: And, can you please explain 21 what happened on the day of the accident? 22 MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: I was working and I received my paycheck and I noticed that the amount was low. 23 talked to Pedro and asked him what had happened, what was 24

going on. I asked him if there was some kind of error.

1	said it was okay. I asked him, how is it that it is okay?
2	He always does the same thing to everyone. When I told him
3	it wasn't okay, what he was doing, his son pushed me from
4	behind.
5	ALIKA ANGERMAN: Who is Pedro?
6	MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: Pedro is the father to the
7	guy who pushed me.
8	ALIKA ANGERMAN: And, what was Pedro's job
9	title in Focus?
10	MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: Pedro had his team and he's
11	the one that gave us work at the company.
12	ALIKA ANGERMAN: And, were you working for
13	Pedro on the day of the accident?
14	MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: No.
15	ALIKA ANGERMAN: Why did you speak with Pedro
16	if you weren't working for him?
17	MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: Because he's the one that
18	gave me the check.
19	ALIKA ANGERMAN: And, who do you normally talk
20	to regarding your checks?
21	MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: Well, the thing is, he gave
22	it to me and I was working with him, so I was supposed to
23	talk to him.
24	ALIKA ANGERMAN: And, do you recall what day
25	the accident occurred on?

1	MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: December 30, 2016.
2	ALIKA ANGERMAN: And, what happened after you
3	were pushed?
4	MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: So, he pushed me and then
5	Eduardo took me to the truck and then he even said, so you
6	remember me, you son of a bitch.
7	ALIKA ANGERMAN: And, who is Eduardo?
8	MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: My brother-in-law and co-
9	worker.
10	ALIKA ANGERMAN: And, do you know the name of
11	Pedro's son?
12	MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: I don't remember.
13	ALIKA ANGERMAN: And, did you go to a medical
14	facility on the day of the accident?
15	MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: I was in a hospital in
16	Henderson, yes.
17	ALIKA ANGERMAN: And, who took you there?
18	MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: Well, when I came to, I was
19	at the hospital.
20	ALIKA ANGERMAN: No further questions, Your
21	Honor.
22	APPEALS OFFICER: Mr. Schwartz?
23	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Mr. Duran-Perez, for Focus,
24	what was your job title?
25	MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: Priming.

1	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: I'm sorry?
2	INTERPRETER: Priming.
3	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: So, you did Priming at Focus?
4	MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: Uh huh.
5	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: You have to say 'yes' or 'no'
6	please.
7	MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: Yes.
8	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: What does 'Priming' mean,
9	what do you do?
10	MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: Carpentry.
11	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Okay and so, what-does Focus
12	do the carpentry work on residential houses?
13	MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: Yes.
14	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: And, by that, I mean, these
15	are like the initial framing that's done, the skeleton, so
16	to speak of a house, is that correct?
17	MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: Yes.
18	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: And, how long had you worked
19	for Focus at the time of this incident?
20	MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: About a month, month and a
21	half.
22	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Am I correct that there are
23	what I would call crews, which is groups of individuals
24	that work together on a specific house at a specific time?
25	MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: I don't remember.

1 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Okay. I'm just going to use the word 'father' and 'son', so we don't have an issue with 2 3 names. Is that okay, do you understand who I mean when I 4 say the father and the son? 5 MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: Yes. 6 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: On this—on the day of the 7 incident, was the father your crew leader? 8 MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: Yes. 9 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: So, he was in charge of your 10 crew that day? 11 MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: No. DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Okay. Who was in charge of 12 your crew on the day of the incident? 13 14 MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: Francisco. 15 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: And, were you working on the same house as the father or a different house? 16 17 MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: In front, across. 18 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: So, it was a different house. MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: Yes. 19 20 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: How far away was the house you were working on from the house that ultimately the 21 22 incident happened at? 23 MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: Here to here, is in front, 24 across. 25 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: About 100 feet?

DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Okay. And, these are jages are these normal residential houses? MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: Yes.	ust-
The state of the s	
4 MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: Yes.	
11	
DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Am I correct that—well,	let
6 me ask this question, do you know who Nick Pao, P-A-O	is?
7 INTERPRETER: I'd like to make a	
8 clarification.	
9 MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: Well, he-he was working	
10 there, he does inspection.	
DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Okay, I'm just going to	call
12 him Nick. As far as you know, is Nick the Safety Dire	ector,
or the person who is in charge of Safety?	
MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: Yes.	
DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Did you speak to Nick th	ıat
day, before the incident?	
MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: No.	
DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Did you discuss with Nic	k the
problem with your paycheck, before the incident?	,
MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: No.	
DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Did you talk to anyone,	in
22 the Safety Department, on the day of the incident, bef	ore
II	
the incident, about your paycheck?	

1	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Where were you right before
2	you got to the house where the father and son were working?
3	MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: I was working Francisco-
4	Pancho's house.
5	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: And, did you leave
6	Francisco's house to go talk to the father?
7	MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: Yes.
8	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: And, the purpose of that was
9	to discuss your paycheck?
10	MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: Yes.
11	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Was the father on the roof of
12	the house, when you got to the house?
13	MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: Yes.
14	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Did you climb up a ladder to
15	get to the roof of the house?
16	MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: Yes.
17	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Do you remember what kind of
18	ladder it was?
19	MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: Don't recall.
20	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Did you climb up the front or
21	the back of the house?
22	MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: The middle.
23	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: The middle portion of the
24	house?
25	MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: The right side.

1	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Okay. When you got to the
2	roof, did you utilize fall protection?
3	MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: No one had it.
4	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: So, no one on the roof was
5	using fall protection?
6	MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: No.
7	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: How long was your
8	conversation with the father before the incident happened?
9	MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: Not much, it was about 10
10	minutes.
11	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: And, what did the father say
12	to you during the conversation?
13	MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: Well, the thing is, I asked
14	him about the check. I asked him why the check was-the
15	amount was so little.
16	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: And, did he tell you
17	anything?
18	MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: No.
19	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Did he tell you that you need
20	to go to the office to sort that out?
21	MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: No.
22	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Have you ever had a problem
23	with your check being short in the past?
24	MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: It had never happened.
25	

DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Was your discussion with the 1 father, did it become more of an argument than a 2 3 discussion? MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: The only thing that happened 4 was, I asked him what was going on and that it wasn't fair. 5 6 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: I guess what I'm trying to figure out is if you talked to him for 10 minutes, and you 7 said to him, my check is short, it isn't fair and he didn't 8 tell you anything, what was the rest of the conversation? MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: He said it was okay. I told 10 him it wasn't fair. 11 12 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Okay, did you raise your voice or did he raise his voice, or both? 13 MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: No, I just told him that it 14 15 wasn't right. 16 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: So, that's the extent of the conversation, what you've testified to, is that correct? 17 18 MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: Yes. DANIEL SCHWARTZ: I don't have any other 19 questions, Your Honor. 20 21 APPEALS OFFICER: Any redirect? 22 ALIKA ANGERMAN: Just one question, Your Honor. When did you last work for Pedro before the 23 24 accident? 25 MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: It was on a Friday.

1	ALIKA ANGERMAN: When did you-did you work for
2	Pedro the week prior to the accident?
3	MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ: Yes.
4	ALIKA ANGERMAN: No further questions, Your
5	Honor.
6	APPEALS OFFICER: Thank you for your testimony,
7	sir. Mr. Angerman, next witness? Could you have your
8	client, perhaps sit in the back for a second while they—
9	and, this witness is who?
10	ALIKA ANGERMAN: I think it's—what's his full
11	name? Eduardo is the last name? [crosstalk]
12	APPEALS OFFICER: Let's get the name of the
13	witness and spelling.
14	EDUARDO LEON: Eduardo Leon. L-E-O-N.
15	APPEALS OFFICER: Thank you sir. And, Mr.
16	Leon, do you solemnly swear or affirm that the testimony
17	you're about to give in this matter shall be the truth, the
18	whole truth and nothing but the truth?
19	EDUARDO LEON: Yes.
20	APPEALS OFFICER: Direct?
21	ALIKA ANGERMAN: Mr. Leon, were you at the
22	work site on the date of the accident?
23	EDUARDO LEON: Yes.
24	ALIKA ANGERMAN: And, did you observe the
25	conversation between Pedro, the Foreman and the Claimant?

1	EDUARDO LEON:	Yes.
2	ALIKA ANGERMAN:	And, what did you observe?
3	EDUARDO LEON:	Well, he went to ask him
4	about his money and they were	arguing and then he was
5	pushed. That's what I saw.	
6	ALIKA ANGERMAN:	Who pushed who?
7	EDUARDO LEON:	Pedro's son pushed Martin.
8	ALIKA ANGERMAN:	Was Pedro's son arguing with
9	Martin?	
10	EDUARDO LEON:	No.
11	ALIKA ANGERMAN:	And, where was Pedro's son
12	when the argument happened?	
13	EDUARDO LEON:	He was at the bottom and in
14	less than a minute, he went up	o to listen to the
15	conversation they were having	•
16	ALIKA ANGERMAN:	How far away were you from
17	Pedro and Mr. Perez?	
18	EDUARDO LEON:	Ten feet.
19	ALIKA ANGERMAN:	And, what happened after Mr.
20	Perez was pushed?	
21	EDUARDO LEON:	I called 9-1-1 and Pedro's
22	son was laughing. He said tha	at he wanted him to remember
23	him for the rest of his life.	
24	ALIKA ANGERMAN:	And then what happened?
25		

1	INTERPRETER:	I'd like to make a
2	clarification, please.	
3	EDUARDO LEON:	The ambulance showed up and
4	we took Martin to-the ambulance	e showed up, the people in
5	charge of the building showed to	up and we took Martin to the
6	hospital.	
7	ALIKA ANGERMAN:	Do you know the names of the
8	people in charge of the building	ng?
9	EDUARDO LEON:	His name was Rafa, but I
10	don't know his last name.	
11	ALIKA ANGERMAN: I	Do you know his title?
12	EDUARDO LEON:	He's in charge of the
13	building.	
14	ALIKA ANGERMAN: V	What is your relationship
15	with Mr. Perez?	
16	EDUARDO LEON:	He's my brother-in-law.
17	APPEALS OFFICER: F	Brother-in-law?
18	INTERPRETER: E	Brother-in-law, Your Honor.
19	ALIKA ANGERMAN: N	No further questions, Your
20	Honor.	
21	APPEALS OFFICER: C	Cross?
22	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: N	Mr. Leon, on the day of the
23	incident, who were you employed	d by?
24	EDUARDO LEON: F	Focus.
25		

1	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: What was your job title, wha	ıt
2	did you do for them?	
3	EDUARDO LEON: I'm a carpenter, I'm a	
4	framer. `	
5	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: I'm sorry, carpenter, framer	:
6	is that what you said?	
7	INTERPRETER: Framer/carpenter, yes.	
8	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: How long had you worked for	
9	Focus?	
10	EDUARDO LEON: A year.	
11	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: When working for Focus, as a	l
12	carpenter, are there different crews or teams that work on	l
13	houses, or do you just go during the course of a day, from	ì
14	one house, to another house, to another house, to another	
15	house?	
16	EDUARDO LEON: You finish a house and then	
17	you're sent to a different one.	
18	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Okay. On the day of the	
19	incident, you said you were about 10 feet away from the	
20	conversation, is that accurate?	
21	EDUARDO LEON: Yes.	
22	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: And, the conversation was	
23	happening on a roof, is that correct? You have to say yes	;
24	or no please.	
25	EDUARDO LEON: Yes.	

1	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: And, just, if you don't mind
2	explaining, I'm not telling you to say yes or no, just one
3	of the two because nodding doesn't come on.
4	EDUARDO LEON: Okay.
5	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Were you on the roof when the
6	conversation was happening or were you on the ground?
7	EDUARDO LEON: I was on the sidewalk.
8	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: The roof was—it was a roof of
9	a house, correct? A house in the process of being built?
10	EDUARDO LEON: Yes, it's called decking.
11	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Was that house the house that
12	you were working on, on the day of the incident?
13	EDUARDO LEON: My house was across, they
14	were at the house across from where I was.
15	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Okay. So, you were working
16	on a different house then where the incident occurred.
17	EDUARDO LEON: Yes.
18	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Was
19	EDUARDO LEON: I didn't work for Pedro.
20	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Okay. So, was Martin working
21	on the same house as you, on the day of the incident?
22	EDUARDO LEON: He was working on the same
23	house that I was and he went to talk to Pedro.
24	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Okay. Who was your and
25	Martin's crew leader on the day of the incident?

1	EDUARDO LEON:	Francisco Conzaloz
2		
		And you said, you didn't work
3	for Pedro, does that mean you r	never worked for Pedro?
4	EDUARDO LEON:	No. I didn't work for Pedro.
5	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: C	Okay.
6	ALIKA ANGERMAN: C	Counsel-
7	INTERPRETER:	I don't think he understood
8	the question, do you mind if I	ask it again?
9	APPEALS OFFICER: 0	Go ahead.
10	EDUARDO LEON: N	Never worked for Pedro.
11	APPEALS OFFICER: C	Okay.
12	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: C	Counsel, can you show him
13	Page 14 of my Exhibit? And, if	f Your Honor doesn't mind,
14	I'm going to approach the Inter	rpreter.
15	APPEALS OFFICER: C	Certainly.
16	INTERPRETER: T	Thank you, Counsel.
17	ALIKA ANGERMAN: 1	14?
18	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: 1	4. [inaudible]. Okay,
19	yeah. Mr. Leon, do you recogni	ze the handwriting on Page
20	14?	
21	EDUARDO LEON: U	Jh huh.
22	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Y	You have to say yes or no,
23	please.	
24	EDUARDO LEON: Y	es.
25		

1	DANIEL SCHWARTZ:	Mr. Angerman, can you show
2	him the next page? How about	the handwriting on Page 15?
3	I don't have a copy so I'm ju	st blindly asking you.
4	EDUARDO LEON:	Yes.
5	DANIEL SCHWARTZ:	The handwriting on Pages 14
6	and 15, is that yours?	
7	EDUARDO LEON:	Yes.
8	DANIEL SCHWARTZ:	Do you remember preparing
9	this statement?	
10	EDUARDO LEON:	No.
11	DANIEL SCHWARTZ:	You do not remember doing
12	this statement, is that correct	ct?
13	EDUARDO LEON:	I did write it.
14	DANIEL SCHWARTZ:	Okay. I'm asking you if you
15	remember writing it.	
16	EDUARDO LEON:	Yes.
17	DANIEL SCHWARTZ:	Were you asked to prepare a
18	statement?	
19	EDUARDO LEON:	Yes, by the people in charge
20	of Safety. The company's Safe	ety Department.
21	DANIEL SCHWARTZ:	Do you remember the names of
22	anyone who asked you to prepar	re the statement?
23	EDUARDO LEON:	Kevin and the boss' name, but
24	I don't recall his name.	
25	DANIEL SCHWARTZ:	Did they tell what to write?

1 EDUARDO LEON: No. 2 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: If you could, can you read 3 that statement, in Spanish, but not all at once, if you 4 could maybe read a couple lines and then allow the 5 Interpreter to interpret and then a couple lines and allow 6 the Interpreter to interpret so we can have a translation 7 of that in the record. 8 EDUARDO LEON: Okay. 9 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: And, please read it word for 10 word. 11 EDUARDO LEON: Okay. 12 INTERPRETER: Thank you, it makes it easier 13 [inaudible] 14 EDUARDO LEON: Pancho gave Martin his check 15 and he looked at him. It was very little and he went up to ask Pedro about it. They started talking and after, they 16 17 got a little-they were a little altered but they didn't 18 shout. They used their hands and Pedro's son went up a 19 little upset. In some instance, he pushed him. I, 20 Eduardo, went up and asked what happened. Martin was on 21 the floor after. I asked for help and nobody wanted to 22 help me because they were in shock. We picked him up and 23 then Pedro's son was laughing or making fun with hatred in

his voice, so that you remember me.

25

1	DANIEL SCHWARTZ:	Is that statement true and
2	accurate?	
3	EDUARDO LEON:	Yes.
4	DANIEL SCHWARTZ:	If you don't mind, do you-I
5	know you said you've never wo	orked with Pedro, have you ever
6	seen Pedro before?	
7	EDUARDO LEON:	Yes, we work at the same
8	company.	
9	DANIEL SCHWARTZ:	Do you know how old or
10	approximately how old Pedro	Ls?
11	EDUARDO LEON:	No.
12	DANIEL SCHWARTZ:	How about compared to me, do
13	you think he's younger or old	der than me, if you have an
14	opinion?	
15	EDUARDO LEON:	Younger.
16	DANIEL SCHWARTZ:	Is he tall, is he short?
17	EDUARDO LEON:	Medium height.
18	DANIEL SCHWARTZ:	Is he big or small, size
19	wise?	
20	EDUARDO LEON:	Average.
21	DANIEL SCHWARTZ:	How about his sons, I'm
22	assuming he's younger than hi	.m.
23	EDUARDO LEON:	Uh huh.
24	DANIEL SCHWARTZ:	Is he big or small, his son?
25	EDUARDO LEON:	Average and thin.

```
1
             DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Okay. I don't have any other
 2
    questions, Your Honor.
 3
             APPEALS OFFICER:
                               Any redirect?
 4
             ALIKA ANGERMAN: No redirect, Your Honor.
 5
             APPEALS OFFICER: Okay, thank you, Mr. Leon,
 6
   for your testimony.
 7
             EDUARDO LEON:
                                Okay.
             APPEALS OFFICER: Mr. Angerman, do you want to
 8
   release Mr. Leon to head home, unless somebody is going to
 9
10
   recall him?
11
             DANIEL SCHWARTZ: I think they came together,
12
   Your Honor.
13
             ALIKA ANGERMAN: Yeah, I think they came
14
   together, so it's fine.
15
             APPEALS OFFICER: Okay. Are there any other
16
   witnesses?
17
            ALIKA ANGERMAN: No other witnesses, Your
18
   Honor.
19
             APPEALS OFFICER: And, Mr. Schwartz, what
20
   witnesses do you have?
21
             DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Well, I'll start with Nick,
22
   who you have a statement from. I have the two safety
23
   people.
24
                               Okay.
             APPEALS OFFICER:
25
            DANIEL SCHWARTZ: May I go?
```

1 APPEALS OFFICER: Yeah, of course. 2 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Just asking. [pause] Nick, 3 you're going to go to that chair right there, that I didn't have a chance to show you. It's a little bit crazy out 5 there, Your Honor. 6 APPEALS OFFICER: I only asked you-we're still 7 on the record. I didn't know if Pedro and/or his son was going to be here-DANIEL SCHWARTZ: I didn't think it was wise, Your Honor. 10 11 APPEALS OFFICER: If there's any animosity or-12 right, okay. That's why I asked. 13 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: So, this is Nick. His last name is-we're still on the record, correct? 14 15 APPEALS OFFICER: Yes. DANIEL SCHWARTZ: His last name is P-A-O, I 16 haven't asked him how to pronounce it yet. 17 18 APPEALS OFFICER: Okay. 19 NICHOLAS PAO: Pao. P-A-O. 20 APPEALS OFFICER: 21 NICHOLAS PAO: Yes. 22 APPEALS OFFICER: Mr. Pao, do you solemnly 23 swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to give in 24 this matter shall be the truth, the whole truth and nothing 25 but the truth?

1	NICHOLAS PAO: Yes sir.
2	APPEALS OFFICER: Okay, thank you. Mr.
3	Schwartz.
4	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Could you state your name and
5	spell your last name for the record, even though you just
6	did it?
7	NICHOLAS PAO: Okay. My name is Nicholas
8	Pao. P-A-O.
9	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Do you mind if I call you
10	Nick?
11	NICHOLAS PAO: Yeah, that's fine.
12	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Nick, where do you work?
13	NICHOLAS PAO: I work for Focus Companies.
14	My position is Safety Director.
15	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: How long have you been there?
16	NICHOLAS PAO: This June will be five years.
17	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Have you ever testified in a
18	Worker's Compensation Claim before?
19	NICHOLAS PAO: No, this is the first time.
20	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Okay. If any of us say
21	anything to you, like you have to say yes or no, or you're
22	talking too quietly, it's not because we're trying to
23	offend you, it's because the microphone in front of you
24	records, it doesn't amplify and we need the questions
25	answered in either yes or no. Is that okay?

1	NICHOLAS PAO: Okay, yes.
2	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: As the Safety Director, do
3	you deal with the Framing Department?
4	NICHOLAS PAO: Yes.
5	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Is that-does that have a
6	different title, the Framing Department or is it all part
7	of Focus?
8	NICHOLAS PAO: No, it's all part of Focus.
9	We have a plumbing division, electrical division, a
10	landscape division, a concrete division and framing
11	division.
12	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: And, are you the Safety
13	Director over all the different
14	NICHOLAS PAO: Over all the companies, yes.
15	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Is there a Safety Director
16	just for the Framing Division, or safety person?
17	NICHOLAS PAO: I have two managers, Kevin
18	Mendoza which he's outside and Angel Cabrera, are the two
19	Safety Managers for-strictly for the Framing Division.
20	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Okay. So, the Framing
21	Division has two managers and then you oversee both of them
22	as the Safety Director.
23	NICHOLAS PAO: Yes. Yes.
24	

1 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Are you familiar with Martin Duran-Perez who is the gentleman in the black coat, sitting 2 next to Mr. Angerman, who is the guy in the tie? 3 NICHOLAS PAO: 4 Yes. 5 How do you know him? DANIEL SCHWARTZ: 6 NICHOLAS PAO: He was working for Focus 7 Framing. 8 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: As a-in the Framing Division, 9 are there things called crews? 10 NICHOLAS PAO: Yeah, we have-right now, we have a total of 33 crews out in the Valley. We have what's 11 12 called a Crew Leader. They are like the designated person 13 who takes charge of that crew. 14 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: And, how many people, 15 approximately are on each crew, or does it just vary? 16 NICHOLAS PAO: It varies on average betweensometimes, five-five man crews upwards of-we have some 17 18 crews that are 13-13-man crews out there. 19 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: How does a person get on a 20 crew, if that makes sense? 21 NICHOLAS PAO: Usually the Crew Leader will 22 request if they can get them hired on and then they fill 23 out all the proper documentation, new hire orientation and 24 then they go to work for that crew.

```
1
              DANIEL SCHWARTZ:
                                  And, do people sometimes
 2
    switch from one crew to the other, once they're employed by
   Focus?
 3
 4
              NICHOLAS PAO:
                                  Yes.
                                         They do switch off and
 5
         I mean, sometimes, the-another crew may offer them
 6
   more money, so what they'll do is they'll switch over to
 7
    another crew.
 8
              DANIEL SCHWARTZ:
                                   Prior to being in the Safety
 9
   Department, did you work in the construction industry?
10
                                  I've been in the construction
              NICHOLAS PAO:
11
    industry for 15 years. I've worked for MS Concrete and
12
    then after that, I worked in Safety and Health for two and
13
    a half years at a medical facility. I've been with Focus,
14
    in Safety for just under five years.
15
              DANIEL SCHWARTZ:
                                  And, are you working-I mean,
   I know you're here with us today, but are you working
16
17
    today?
18
              NICHOLAS PAO:
                                  Yes, I am working today.
19
              DANIEL SCHWARTZ:
                                  The orange shirt, underneath,
20
   is that like designed to--
21
              NICHOLAS PAO:
                                  That's safety, you know.
22
   Yeah.
23
                                  Okay. Are you familiar with
              DANIEL SCHWARTZ:
24
   a Crew Leader named Pedro?
25
              NICHOLAS PAO:
                                  Yes.
```

1	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: What's Pedro's last name?)
2	NICHOLAS PAO: Rosales.	
3	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: And there's been some	
4	testimony earlier about a Crew Leader named-within the	
5	Framing Department named Francisco, are you familiar wi	th
6	him?	
7	NICHOLAS PAO: Yes, Francisco Gonzalez.	
8	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Are those two different of	rew
9	leaders?	
10	NICHOLAS PAO: Two different crew leader	s,
11	yes.	
12	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Do you remember the day o	f
13	the incident involving Mr. Duran-Perez?	
14	NICHOLAS PAO: Yes.	
15	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Do you remember the locat	ion
16	of the incident?	
17	NICHOLAS PAO: It was in Inspirada Green	
18	Courts.	
19	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Is that a housing	
20	development?	
21	NICHOLAS PAO: Yeah, for KB Homes.	
22	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Okay. What does Focus, t	he
23	Framing Department, at that time, what were they doing	for
24	KB Homes?	
25		

1 NICHOLAS PAO: We were framing single-family 2 resident homes, over there. 3 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: So, just so I have the picture in my head, is that kind of putting up the skeleton 5 of the house? 6 NICHOLAS PAO: Yeah. Yeah, the framing 7 structure of a house. 8 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Were you on the jobsite when the incident occurred with Mr. Duran-Perez? 10 NICHOLAS PAO: I was not on the jobsite at the time it happened, but I was there earlier that day. 11 12 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Okay, that was going to be 13 next question, had you been on that jobsite that day? 14 NICHOLAS PAO: Yes, I was on the jobsite that day. 15 16 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Did you talk to Mr. Duran-17 Perez that day? 18 NICHOLAS PAO: Yes, I did, matter of fact, speak to Martin that day because there was somewhat of a 19 20 language barrier. My other Safety Manager, Kevin Mendoza, 21 was there present too. 22 So, do you not speak Spanish? DANIEL SCHWARTZ: 23 NICHOLAS PAO: I speak, not fluent Spanish, 24 but I do speak Spanish to where I can understand some, but if they start speaking real fast, I do have a little hard 25

1 time understanding. So, when it gets to that point, that's when I'll call in to ask for help. 3 Do you remember what the DANIEL SCHWARTZ: 4 conversation you had, obviously with Kevin's assistance, 5 was about with Mr. Duran-Perez? 6 NICHOLAS PAO: Yeah, he brought it to our attention that there was a discrepancy on his paycheck from 7 Pedro. We had basically told him, at the end of the day, 8 take it to the office and Lucy would get that corrected. 10 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: At that point in time, when 11 you were having this conversation with him, would Pedro 12 have had any ability to do anything with that paycheck? 13 NICHOLAS PAO: No. He couldn't have done 14 nothing. He could've maybe made a phone call and told Lucy 15 to get the check corrected, but as far as him cutting a 16 check for him, no. 17 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: So, your-your-I don't want to 18 say advice, but what you told Mr. Duran-Perez, concerning 19 the paycheck was to go to the office. 20 NICHOLAS PAO: Go to the office at the end 21 of the day. Yeah. 22 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: And then did you leave the 23 jobsite? 24 NICHOLAS PAO: Yeah.

1		DANIEL SCHWARTZ:	Are you familiar that—are you
2	aware tha	t an incident happen	ed later?
3		NICHOLAS PAO:	Yeah. I did find out that
4	there was	an incident.	
5	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	DANIEL SCHWARTZ:	And, as part of the incident,
6	did you do	o some investigative	, getting some statements, et
7	cetera?		
8		NICHOLAS PAO:	Uh huh. Yes
9		DANIEL SCHWARTZ:	You have to say yes or no.
10		NICHOLAS PAO:	I went and—I went and did my
11	investigat	tion. I took my pho	tos. I even met him at UMC,
12	just to fo	ollow-up and make su	re his condition was okay.
13		DANIEL SCHWARTZ:	So, just from a-from a very
14	simplistic	c version of what hap	ppened, Mr. Duran-Perez was
15	pushed of	f a roof, or a deck,	is that your understanding?
16		NICHOLAS PAO:	Correct, yes.
17		DANIEL SCHWARTZ:	The roof-am I using the right
18	term when	I say 'roof' or	
19		NICHOLAS PAO:	It's—it's not a roof, it's a
20	deck to a	second story home.	
21		DANIEL SCHWARTZ:	Okay. So, that would be the
22	floor of t	the second story?	
23		NICHOLAS PAO:	The floor of the second,
24	yeah—appro	eximately about 12 for	eet from the subfloor, to the
25	ground is	about 12 feet that h	ne fell.

1	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Okay. When you're on a deck
2	that's 12 feet above the ground level, from a safety
3	perspective, are you supposed to be using fall protection?
4	NICHOLAS PAO: Yes, correct. Under
5	1926.500(m), any time you work above six feet, you are
6	required to wear fall protection.
7	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Mr. Duran-Perez has said that
8	none of the other guys on the roof were wearing fall
9	protection, is that-did you yield that from your
10	investigation?
11	NICHOLAS PAO: No. Not that I know. Pedro
12	said-what he had stated to me, in my investigation, he was
13	tied off.
14	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Okay. Obviously, Mr. Duran-
15	Perez wasn't tied off
16	NICHOLAS PAO: Correct.
17	DANIEL SCHWARTZ:because he came off the
18	roof.
19	NICHOLAS PAO: Yes.
20	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: I don't have any other
21	questions, Your Honor.
22	APPEALS OFFICER: Cross?
23	ALIKA ANGERMAN: Yes, Your Honor. Just to
24	focus on the checks for a moment. Who approves of the time
25	periods that are added to the checks?

1 NICHOLAS PAO: The checks are actually 2 written out by the crew leaders and then they're given to the foremans and then the foremans turn it into payroll. 3 4 ALIKA ANGERMAN: So, does the foremans have 5 any say as far as like, the hours that people work? 6 NICHOLAS PAO: They're not going to really 7 keep control of the-of who approves what, you know. Usually the crew leaders are the ones that, you know, determine how many hours they work, you know, for that 10 week. 11 ALIKA ANGERMAN: So, the crew leader is going 12 to be the ones that would be tracking the hours? 13 NICHOLAS PAO: Somewhat, yeah. 14 ALIKA ANGERMAN: Okay. Now, with-mentioning 15 the Claimant was on the deck, it was about 12 feet from the 16 ground. 17 NICHOLAS PAO: Correct. 18 ALIKA ANGERMAN: Had he been wearing the safety equipment, would he still have hit-would he still 19 have hit the ground or--20 21 NICHOLAS PAO: Absolutely not. 22 retractables that we use, they have a stopping distance of 23 18-inches. So, even if-if he had the harness, proper harness, retractable and a 5,000 pound anchor point, if 24 they do fall off, they're going to fall approximately 18

1	inches and he would've been hanging on the side of the
2	building.
3	ALIKA ANGERMAN: And, as far as your
4	investigation into determining whether people, whether the
5	crew was wearing the safety equipment, the extent was just
6	asking Mror, excuse me, asking Pedro, whether the rest of
7	the crew was compliant?
8	NICHOLAS PAO: From during my investigation,
9	Pedro was the only one that was up on the roof, or not the
10	roof, the deck and he was tied off.
11	ALIKA ANGERMAN: Oh.
12	NICHOLAS PAO: Yeah.
13	ALIKA ANGERMAN: So, you asked him and he said
14	he was tied off?
15	NICHOLAS PAO: Yes.
16	ALIKA ANGERMAN: All right. No further
17	questions.
18	NICHOLAS PAO: No further questions?
19	APPEALS OFFICER: Any redirect?
20	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Yes. You obviously know that
21	Pedro's son was also up there at some point, correct?
22	NICHOLAS PAO: Correct, yes.
23	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Did you speak to him
24	afterwards?

1 NICHOLAS PAO: Yes, we did also get a statement from him. I did speak to him too. 2 3 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Did you ask him about being 4 tied off? 5 NICHOLAS PAO: Yes, I did and he stated he 6 was not tied off. He-he had stated to me, I know it's-he 7 stated that he heard his dad and Martin arguing up there on 8 the top, so he went up there in defense of his father. 9 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Okay. 10 NICHOLAS PAO: Yeah. 11 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Just one question about theabout the keeping track of payroll, for lack of a better 12 13 way of putting it. So I understand correctly, the crew 14 leader somehow keeps track, is that accurate? 15 NICHOLAS PAO: Yeah. He'll submit his time into the foreman. The foreman will check to make sure, 16 17 like if they're going to turn in time for standing the 18 walls or doing the deck, it's all based on a piece working system. So, he just wants to make sure that the work that 19 20 they've done, that they're turning in the time for. The 21 work is completed. 22 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: So, the crew leader turns in 23 the time to a foreman. 24 NICHOLAS PAO: Yeah.

1	DANIEL COMMADES. EL C
	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: The foreman verifies that
2	whatever the tasks are were actually done.
3	NICHOLAS PAO: Were completed, yeah.
4	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: And then the foreman turns it
5	into the office.
6	NICHOLAS PAO: Turns it into the office.
7	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: The office then generates
8	paychecks.
9	NICHOLAS PAO: Generates the checks, yes.
10	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: So, again, if there's a
11	problem with the check, the person who generated the check
12	is the office.
13	NICHOLAS PAO: Correct.
14	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Okay. I don't have any other
15	questions, Your Honor.
16	APPEALS OFFICER: Okay, thank you sir, for your
17	testimony.
18	NICHOLAS PAO: Yes sir, thank you.
19	APPEALS OFFICER: Can this witness be excused?
20	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Both guys-we had this
21	conversation. They came together as well, so.
22	NICHOLAS PAO: Yeah, I'll hang out outside.
23	APPEALS OFFICER: Okay, thank you sir.
24	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: May I go get my next witness?
25	[pause] You're going to-oh, I'm sorry. I didn't get to

1	show you before. You're going to sit in the chair-the
2	chair with the microphone please.
3	APPEALS OFFICER: Sir, if you'd have a seat
4	there. Do you need an interpreter or-
5	KEVIN MENDOZA: No, we're okay.
6	APPEALS OFFICER: Okay. And, if you could
7	please state your name?
8	KEVIN MENDOZA: Kevin Mendoza.
9	APPEALS OFFICER: Okay. Mr. Mendoza, do you
10	solemnly swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to
11	give in this matter shall be the truth, the whole truth and
12	nothing but the truth?
13	KEVIN MENDOZA: Yes sir.
14	APPEALS OFFICER: Thank you sir. Mr. Schwartz.
15	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Mr. Mendoza, first name is
16	spelled the normal Kevin, K-E-V-I-N?
17	KEVIN MENDOZA: Correct.
18	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: And, will you just spell your
19	last name for the record?
20	KEVIN MENDOZA: M-E-N-D-O-Z-A.
21	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Mr. Mendoza, where do you-do
22	you mind if I call you Kevin?
23	KEVIN MENDOZA: Yeah, that's fine.
24	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Okay. Where do you work?
25	KEVIN MENDOZA: Focus Framing.

	1		
1		DANIEL SCHWARTZ:	How long have you worked
2	there?		
3		KEVIN MENDOZA:	About a year and three
4	months, f	our months.	
5		DANIEL SCHWARTZ:	Do you have a job title at
6	Focus Fra	ming?	
7		KEVIN MENDOZA:	Yeah, I'm a Safety
8	Superviso	r.	
9		DANIEL SCHWARTZ:	Can you give us a little bit
10	of backgr	ound, as to how you l	pecome a safety supervisor?
11		KEVIN MENDOZA:	I started off being a
12	plumber.	Ended up taking my (OSHA 30, came in contact with
13	Nick and	I'm here now.	
14		DANIEL SCHWARTZ:	So, you have OSHA 30, that's
15	a class?		
16		KEVIN MENDOZA:	Correct.
17		DANIEL SCHWARTZ:	Okay. Are there more than
18	one person	n in your role for Fo	ocus Framing?
19		KEVIN MENDOZA:	Yes.
20		DANIEL SCHWARTZ:	How many others are there?
21		KEVIN MENDOZA:	Other than Nick, there would
22	be one mo	re other person.	
23	:	DANIEL SCHWARTZ:	Okay. So, there's a total of
24	three peop	ole in the Safety Dep	partment?
25		KEVIN MENDOZA:	Correct.

1	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Are you familiar with Martin
2	Duran-Perez, who is the gentleman in the black coat,
3	sitting next to Mr. Angerman who is wearing a tie?
4	KEVIN MENDOZA: Yes sir.
5	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: How do you know him?
6	KEVIN MENDOZA: He used to be an employee for
7	Focus Framing.
8	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Okay. Are you aware that an
9	incident occurred back in December of 2016 involving Mr.
10	Duran-Perez?
11	KEVIN MENDOZA: Yes sir.
12	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Do you know where that
13	incident occurred, what location?
14	KEVIN MENDOZA: It'd be Inspirada Green
15	Courts, the jobsite.
16	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: And, the Inspirada Green
17	Courts, what was Focus Framing doing at that location?
18	KEVIN MENDOZA: Framing houses.
19	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: And, just again, for the
20	record, so we're painting a picture, is that kind of doing
21	the skeleton of a house?
22	KEVIN MENDOZA: Exact-that's exactly what it
23	is.
24	

1	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: So, when I drive by and see
2	the wood skeletons of houses, that's what Focus Framing was
3	doing that day?
4	KEVIN MENDOZA: Yes sir.
5	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Were you on the jobsite when
6	the incident happened?
7	KEVIN MENDOZA: No.
8	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Were you on the jobsite at
9	all that day, prior to the incident happening?
10	KEVIN MENDOZA: Yes.
11	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Do you remember talking to
12	Mr. Duran-Perez, prior to the incident happening that day?
13	KEVIN MENDOZA: Yes.
14	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: What was the subject of the
15	conversation?
16	KEVIN MENDOZA: He came up in regards to some
17	problem he was having with his check. So, I told him to
18	take it up to the office and get it handled there.
19	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Was Nick there when this
20	conversation was happening?
21	KEVIN MENDOZA: Yes.
22	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Was Nick using you to help
23	interpret as well?
24	KEVIN MENDOZA: Yes.
25	

1	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Okay. And, do you speak
2	Spanish?
3	KEVIN MENDOZA: Yes, I do.
4	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: So I understand correctly,
5	Mr. Duran-Perez had an issue with his paycheck?
6	KEVIN MENDOZA: Correct.
7	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: And, you and Nick told him,
8	if you have a question, you need to talk to the office?
9	KEVIN MENDOZA: Yes sir.
10	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Okay. Did you leave the
11	jobsite at some point that day?
12	KEVIN MENDOZA: Did we leave? Yes. We left.
13	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Did you later find out that
14	this incident had occurred?
15	KEVIN MENDOZA: Yes.
16	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Nick's already testified
17	about what he did, as far as the investigation, did you
18	have any role in the investigation, once the incident
19	occurred?
20	KEVIN MENDOZA: I took testimony from the
21	gentlemen. That's about it. I showed up to the hospital.
22	when Mr. Duran was in the hospital.
23	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Okay. Are you familiar,
24	being one of the safety people for Focus Framing, of the
25	concept of a crew?

1	KEVIN MENDOZA: Yes.
2	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Can you explain to us what
3	that means?
4	KEVIN MENDOZA: So, a person, there's a crew
5	leader, which is whoever is responsible for the whole crew
6	itself. It can be up to-it can be as low as two people or
7	it can be as much as 10 people, just depending on the crew
8	itself. Each individual has his own responsibility that we
9	don't know. That's between the crew leader and the workers
10	themselves and they build the house. Each crew is
11	responsible for a house at a time.
12	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Are you familiar with a Crew
13	Leader named Pedro?
14	KEVIN MENDOZA: Yes.
15	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Was Pedro at one point in
16	time a Crew Leader for Focus Framing?
17	KEVIN MENDOZA: Yes, he was.
18	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: And, how about a Crew Leader
19	named Francisco?
20	KEVIN MENDOZA: Yes.
21	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Was Francisco a Crew Leader
22	for Focus at one point in time?
23	KEVIN MENDOZA: Yes.
24	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: As Crew Leaders, according to
25	what you just said, I just want to make sure I'm clear,

1	they would each have their own group of people working		
2	under them, is that accurate?		
3	KEVIN MENDOZA: Correct.		
4	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Are you aware of how the pay		
5	works from the Crew Leader level up, meaning, do you know		
6	what a crew leader does to get their crew members paid?		
7	KEVIN MENDOZA: That I know of, personally,		
8	they fill out a timecard per employee and then it gets		
9	taken up to management.		
10	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Okay. Management meaning,		
11	does it go to a supervisor first?		
12	KEVIN MENDOZA: It goes from the foreman and		
13	then the foreman take it up to the supervisor, correct.		
14	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: So, crew leader to foreman,		
15	to the office?		
16	KEVIN MENDOZA: To the office, right.		
17	DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Is that-okay. I don't have		
18	any other questions, Your Honor.		
19	APPEALS OFFICER: Cross?		
20	ALIKA ANGERMAN: Yes, Your Honor. How often		
21	were you on the jobsite prior to the accident?		
22	KEVIN MENDOZA: We do routinely [sic]		
23	inspections, so I would say on the jobsite, probably to		
24	that, that week, or the days prior to it, maybe twice.		
25			

```
1
              ALIKA ANGERMAN: How well do you know my
 2
    client, Mr. Perez?
 3
              KEVIN MENDOZA:
                                 I met him actually the day
 4
    that I first spoke to him because at the time, I was
 5
    training with Nick. So, I was pretty much just-just
 6
    learning everybody and who was who.
 7
             ALIKA ANGERMAN:
                                 So, just to be clear, that
    was your first interaction with Mr. Perez?
- 8
 9
             KEVIN MENDOZA:
                                 With Mr. Duran, yes sir.
10
             ALIKA ANGERMAN:
                                All right. And, if a worker
11
   has an issue with a check, who do they go to discuss that
12
    issue?
13
             KEVIN MENDOZA:
                                 It should be directly to the
14
   payroll office.
15
             ALIKA ANGERMAN:
                                 Where is that located?
16
             KEVIN MENDOZA:
                                 At our main office which is
17
   1220 South Commerce.
18
             ALIKA ANGERMAN: Okay. So, there's nothing on
   the actual jobsite.
19
20
             KEVIN MENDOZA:
                                 No, no.
21
             ALIKA ANGERMAN:
                             Can you please describe some
22
   of your duties as a Safety Manager?
23
             KEVIN MENDOZA:
                                 Yes sir. So, I show up to
24
   the jobsite and do my routine inspection where we check the
25
   cords, check their tools, make sure the proper corrals,
```

```
1
    guards are up on the house. Make sure they're wearing
 2
    proper [inaudible] and that's about it. Do the training,
 3
    whatever training is mandated for us to go do that day or
 4
    for the month.
 5
              ALIKA ANGERMAN:
                                  Okay, thank you. I believe
 6
    that's it. I have no further questions.
 7
              APPEALS OFFICER:
                                  Any redirect?
 8
              DANIEL SCHWARTZ:
                                 No redirect, Your Honor.
 9
              APPEALS OFFICER: Thank you sir, for your
10
   testimony.
11
              KEVIN MENDOZA:
                                  Thank you.
12
              APPEALS OFFICER:
                                 Next witness?
13
              DANIEL SCHWARTZ:
                                  I don't have a next witness,
14
   Your Honor. Oh, I'm sorry, your-since you guys came
15
   together, you're free to get out of here.
16
              KEVIN MENDOZA:
                                 All right, thank you.
17
              DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Have another donut, if you
18
   want.
19
              KEVIN MENDOZA:
                                  Thank you.
20
              APPEALS OFFICER:
                                 Oh, there's donuts out there?
21
              DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Ms. Morrison brought donuts
22
    for the meeting. So, there's donuts and these little water
23
   bottles.
24
              APPEALS OFFICER:
                                 That's information I could've
25
   used about an hour ago.
```

1 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: I'm sorry, Your Honor, would 2 you like me to get you a donut before we proceed? 3 APPEALS OFFICER: That's all right. Okay, no 4 further witnesses? 5 ALIKA ANGERMAN: Correct. 6 APPEALS OFFICER: Mr. Schwartz, no other 7 witness? 8 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Correct, Your Honor, sorry. 9 APPEALS OFFICER: Okay, closing. 10 ALIKA ANGERMAN: Yes, Your Honor. As you heard today in the-through the evidence that's been 11 12 submitted, we were arguing that the Claimant has a 13 compensable claim. There was an accident. In this situation, he—the Claimant has testified 14 15 that there was an issue with his paycheck and that he went 16 to Pedro, Pedro Gonzalez, who was his foreman the prior week to the accident to discuss this issue. 17 There was an 18 argument, but as everyone involved has testified to, there was a disagreement but there was no shouting and they 19 discussed the issue with the check. What the Claimant 20 21 believes to be a shortage on his check, with not an 22 accurate accounting of the hours. 23 As this discussion was going on, Mr. Rosales' son 24 entered into the situation and pushed my client off of thewhat has been described as a deck, which is apparently 12-25

feet from the ground, which resulted in the injury to the Claimant as described on Page 6 of the Claimant's packet, through the C4 Form.

So, we do have an accident/injury during the course and scope of his employment because the whole issue centers around the discussion of the paycheck and the Claimant was working at the time of the accident.

We would argue that the elements of accident/injury in the course and scope have been met. There's no notice issue, as everyone has discussed and it's in the evidence. The Claimant was taken to UMC on the date of the accident, in which he was evaluated by a physician there who had evaluated the Claimant and found the subdural hematoma or the brain bleed, to be work-incurred.

So, we are arguing that all the elements of a compensable claim have been met.

APPEALS OFFICER: Thank you. Mr. Schwartz.

DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Your Honor, I think you first need to pay a little bit of close attention to the testimony you've heard. According to Mr. Duran-Perez, he did not speak with either of the safety people the morning of the incident. They both testified that they did.

According to Mr. Duran-Perez, he says that he was having a 10-minute conversation with Pedro when Pedro's son entered the picture. I tried to get him to tell me what

happened in those 10 minutes and all he could tell me was,

I asked him about my check being shorted and he said,

basically, I can't help you with it. That's a pretty quick

10-minute conversation.

We asked Mr. Duran-Perez if it was an argument. He said, no, but Mr. Leon testified and he used the word 'they were arguing'. If you read his written statement, Mr. Leon, he indicates in his written statement that they were—the voices were raised, I guess, we'll put it that way. Again, I don't expect Mr. Leon to throw his brother—in—law under the bus, proverbially and to say they were arguing, but clearly, according to all the documents you have, except for Mr. Duran-Perez, they were arguing.

Now, let's kind of back up to how we got to that point. By the way, I just looked at a calendar, December 30, 2016 was a Friday. So, we're talking about an entire work week, in a different crew, at a different location that Mr. Duran-Perez was on, versus Pedro.

So, an entire week, basically not working with Pedro, Mr. Duran-Perez looks at his check and I assume he got it that day, I'm not—I mean, I would assume they get paid every Friday—finds a shortfall in his check.

According to the testimony of the two safety officers, they are confronted by Mr. Duran-Perez. I don't mean in a negative way, just converse with, I guess, is probably a

better way of putting it, not the term 'confronted'. They advise him to take it up with the office. And he doesn't.

So, starting from that point, I would argue, he is going against the instructions of the Employer, when he chooses to go talk to Pedro about the check.

You also have no testimony other than Nick said,
Pedro might have been able to call the office and tell the
office and then they would have to follow this chain that
we've talked about, of Pedro, to foreman, to office, etc.
There was nothing, according to any documents you have,
that Pedro could've done about this check. So, there's
zero reason why Mr. Duran-Perez should've even been talking
to Pedro about it.

It's not just talking, Your Honor. Mr. Duran-Perez left the job he was working on, which was according to the testimony of Mr. Duran-Perez, approximately three houses away. He didn't wait for Pedro to come down, you know, for a coffee break or a lunch break or any kind of break or the beginning or end of their work day.

He climbed up on a ladder, to the second-floor decking or deck, to have this conversation, while Pedro was up there working. And, according to the testimony of Nick, and the statements you have, Pedro was tied off, doing his job when suddenly an individual who is not on his crew any longer, comes to talk to him about a check.

Again, although Mr. Duran-Perez says it didn't happen, all the rest of the statements say it happened, that voices escalated and at that point in time, Pedro's son got involved and the unfortunate incident happened.

The question becomes, Your Honor, is this work related? That's the question.

APPEALS OFFICER: That is the question.

DANIEL SCHWARTZ: So, I would direct you to a few different things. We have case law in Nevada, the Cummings case. That talks about the insane act of a coworker. Well, Claimant's Exhibit 1, towards the back shows you that apparently a District Court—and they submitted this, I didn't.

APPEALS OFFICER: Right.

DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Apparently a District Court did not find Pedro's son to be insane because they allowed him to make a plea agreement to a criminal charge, so he's not insane.

APPEALS OFFICER: Right.

DANIEL SCHWARTZ: I mean, we know that. As in Cummings, the person was actually declared insane. So, he's not insane. Cummings held the proposition that the insane act of a coworker is compensable within the course and scope of employment.

1 Cummings also cites us to cases from other 2 jurisdiction, as does Larson, Professor Larson's [inaudible] that talks about the fact that altercations on 3 the job are generally compensable unless they involve 4 5 something for which the two people involved have no 6 jurisdiction or ability to be involved with. 7 So, for example, if, Your Honor, right now in the 8 middle of this Hearing, got up, walked down the hall to confront Appeals Officer Morgando about the change in 9 leadership in the agency and your desire to have the old 10 11 leader back, versus Ms. Morgando, that's beyond the scope of what either one of you have the ability to discuss. 12 13 That's exactly what happened in this case, Your 14 Honor. 15 APPEALS OFFICER: What case law is that? 16 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: That's Larsen. It's cited in 17 Cummings. They give you all the different cases from all the wonderful different jurisdictions that talk about where 18 we draw the line of compensability between an altercation 19 20 involving individuals, coworkers. 21 APPEALS OFFICER: I mean, we all know that that's what this case comes down to. 22 23 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Absolutely. 24 APPEALS OFFICER: Right.

1 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: And the two [inaudible] witnesses, other than Mr. Duran-Perez who said to you, they 2 3 were asked by Mr. Duran-Perez earlier in the day about his check and they directed Mr. Duran-Perez to the office. 4 5 APPEALS OFFICER: Is there any Nevada Supreme 6 Court case law on this that's a little more close than 7 other jurisdictions? 8 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: You have Cummings, as far as I could find, you have the Cummings case, which obviously 9 10 talks about the insane act of a coworker. 11 APPEALS OFFICER: Right. 12 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: You have McColl, M-C-C-O-L-L. 13 APPEALS OFFICER: Right. 14 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: Which is actually a third-15 party coming in. 16 APPEALS OFFICER: Right. 17 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: So, I guess the analogy would 18 be if-19 APPEALS OFFICER: Yeah, he's a third-party. 20 DANIEL SCHWARTZ: If Pedro's wife just showed up on the jobsite, was involved, that would be different. 21 You really don't have, as lease as far as I could tell, any 22 23 other citable altercation cases. There are some uncitable ones but I'm not going to violate the Supreme Court rules, 24 25 even thoughAPPEALS OFFICER: Right.

DANIEL SCHWARTZ: I believe they support my position. I'm not going to violate the rules for that. So, that's why I'm referring to other jurisdictions, and specifically Larson, that seems to be where we're supposed to go when we don't have answers in Nevada, that's where the Cummings Court went when they didn't have answers in Nevada.

Again, that's the argument. I think the only factual question, Your Honor, in the case, is whether or not—well, I guess there's theoretically two. Whether or not Mr. Duran—Perez and Pedro had the ability to address this issue and whether or not Mr. Duran—Perez was told by the Safety Department, which are his supervisors, to go talk to the office.

Obviously, our argument goes further which is, by climbing up the ladder and going up to the decking area, without tying off and engaging in a 10-minute conversation, with Pedro, we would argue, he's taken himself out of the scope of employment.

I mean, he's clearly working. There's no question about that. He's clearly on a jobsite, although it's not his jobsite, it's the company's jobsite but not his jobsite. His jobsite was three houses away. We believe that also is a factor that should be considered.

I'm not arguing premises. I'm just saying, he left his jobsite which was a residential house, walked three houses away to discuss, although I would think more confront, Pedro about a check, but it not even stops there. He had to, in order to make this happen, had to climb up a ladder, go to a second-floor decking and have a 10-minute conversation. It's not a, simply poking his head up there and saying, I got a problem with my check and Pedro saying,

You have statements that support most of what I'm stating, as far as factual goes. So, our position is, at some point in time, Mr. Duran-Perez stepped out of the course and scope of this employment.

get out of here. The testimony, according to the Claimant

is, it was a 10-mintue conversation.

I could argue it ended the moment it walked off of his jobsite. I could argue it ended the moment he started climbing the ladder. I could argue it ended the moment he got to the roof and didn't tie off and went forward with his conversation. It's at one of those three points, he stepped outside the course and scope of his employment by deciding to confront a former crew leader—again, it's not even his crew leader at this point—about his check, despite being told what to do to fix the problem, or to at least discuss the problem with the appropriate people.

Under all the case law that we've cited and the Larsen authority, we believe the Claim is not compensable, Your Honor.

APPEALS OFFICER: Thank you. Mr. Angerman.

ALIKA ANGERMAN: Yes, Your Honor. A lot of
the discussion has been focused on the course and scope and
who is the appropriate person to discuss the checks with.

Both in the regards to whether it's Pedro or the Safety
Managers, it seems pretty obvious that Pedro would be the
one to discuss it with. The Safety Manager, especially the
way the company testified to, one of the safety managers,
all of his duties surrounded safety, not anything to do
with payroll whatsoever.

Versus, Pedro, who is along that chain, that opposing Counsel had mentioned, as far as how the actual check gets created. There's a dispute over the hours. Mr. Perez could go to the office, which it wasn't onsite. So, if he had an issue at that moment, he couldn't discuss it with anyone onsite besides Pedro. If he does go offsite, it's going to be the word of a worker versus the payroll department, unless Pedro, the person who is the foreman, verifies that the hours are wrong and that they need to be corrected.

This is exactly the reason why the Claimant has said he went to go discuss with Pedro the hours, because

Pedro was the supervisor the week prior for the check that's in dispute. So, as far as the Claimant's belief, Pedro is obviously the one to discuss the issue with.

As the Claimant testified to, he never spoke with Nick or Kevin, the Safety Managers that testified prior, regarding the check. It doesn't make sense that the Safety Managers would be the go-to people to discuss the check with when Kevin, the first time he ever met the Claimant was that day, according to Kevin himself.

So, we have this inconsistency there. Then, when we talked to Nick regarding the issue, we have Nick who is inconsistent when he's saying that everybody involved was tied down to—it was only Mr. Pedro that was tied down—Pedro was tied down and the only one there—Pedro and his son were there, and the son wasn't tied down.

So, we have the Safety Manager, who did his investigation and his investigation led to the extent of asking the other party involved, who isn't here to testified, what he did and whether the safety measures, as far as Pedro was concerned was followed. So, that's all we have of the discussion after the fact.

What we do know is Kevin and Nick weren't even involved in the situation and didn't come until after the fact. So, again, we are arguing the accident, which everybody that was involved point to Pedro's son pushing

the Claimant off of the deck and resulting in the injury as supported by the medical evidence in the C4. We do have the Notice, obviously same day, as the Claimant was taken from the site, in an ambulance, to UMC. And we have the C4 that also corroborates that it happened on the same day and then of course, the big issue is course and scope.

The issue revolves around the check which—the only reason why people work is for money. So, obviously, any discussion with the money has to do with the work, has to do with course and scope. It's a different situation. It's not like an office environment where we have a very designated HR and payroll department that's clearly defined and easily accessible to. This is construction. They're offsite. The Safety Manager gave the exact address of where it is. The important issue it's not on the actual site of the construction where the work is happening. The person who is in charge, most intimately with the workers is the foreman. The foreman is Pedro and Pedro is the one that was the supervisor the week prior, for the issues—for the check issue.

APPEALS OFFICER: Hold up, back up on that. Was that the testimony that he worked for Pedro?

1 ALIKA ANGERMAN: The week prior to the 2 accident. 3 APPEALS OFFICER: For the period of time that 4 the check was allegedly short. 5 ALIKA ANGERMAN: That's why he went to discuss 6 it with him, was that check. 7 APPEALS OFFICER: Okay. 8 ALIKA ANGERMAN: And so, as far as the 9 Claimant is concerned, that would be the person to talk to and the only ones we have saying that differently were the 10 people that weren't actually involved with the incident. 11 12 So, based off of that, it is within course and scope because the issue had to do with the actual pay 13 period. It had to do with the work hours. Based off of 14 15 that, we're arguing compensable claim, Your Honor. 16 APPEALS OFFICER: Okay, thank you, gentlemen. Matter shall stand submitted. 17 18 [end of proceeding 10:10:09] 19 20 21 22 23 24

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPT

I, Jaime Caris, as the Official Transcriber, hereby certify that the attached proceedings before the Judge,

In the Matter of the: Contested Industrial Insurance Claim,

of

Claim No.: 2016-0022

Appeal No.: 1714955-CJY

MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ,

Claimant

were held as herein appears and that this is the original transcript thereof and that the statements that appear in this transcript were transcribed by me to the best of my ability.

I further certify that this transcript is a true, complete and accurate record of the proceeding that took place in this matter on February 9, 2018 in Las Vegas, Nevada.

Jaime Caris Always On Time May 17, 2018

1 2 3 4 5	BIGHORN LAW ALIKA K. ANGERMAN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 12933 716 S. Jones Blvd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 Phone: (702) 333-1111 Fax: (702) 507-0092 Alika@bighornlaw.com Attorneys for Claimant	FILED MAY 0.5 2018 APPEALS OFFICE	
6	NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION		
7 8	BEFORE THE APPEALOFFICER		
9 10 11 12 13	In the Matter of the Contested Insurance Claim of MARTIN DURAN PEREZ, Claimant.	APPEAL NO: 1714955-CJY HEARING NO.: 1710955-MT EMPLOYER: Focus Plumbing/Framing CLAIM NO.: 2016-0022	
14 15 16 17 18	DECISION AND ORDER This matter was submitted for decision for the Appeals Officer. Claimant was		
19	-	sq. of the law Bighorn Law; Employer FOCUS	
20	PLUMBING (hereinafter referred to as "Employer"), was represented by DANIEL SCHWARTZ, ESQ. of Lewis Brisbois, Bisgaard & Smith LLP.		
21 22	///		
23	///		
24	///		
252627	///		
28	///		

D0C004

FINDING OF FACTS

- 1. On December 30, 2016, Claimant suffered an injury while in the course and scope of his employment as a laborer with Employer. Claimant was upset because he believed his paycheck was short from the work conducted a week earlier while he was a member of Pedro Rosale's crew. On December 30, 2016 Claimant went to ask Mr. Pedro Rosales about his check. Claimant climbed to the roof of the house where Mr. Rosales was working. Claimant was talking to Mr. Rosales when Mr. Rosales' son intervened and pushed Claimant off of the roof. Claimant fell to the ground where he landed sustaining serious injuries to include, but not limited to "1) traumatic fall 2) Traumatic subdural hematoma 3) Possible right 8th rib fracture 4) Musculoskeletal chest pain" as the initial hospital diagnosis. Although not working on Pedro Rosales' crew on December 30, 2016, Claimant credibly testified that if there was an issue with his check that he needed to talk to Pedro Rosales.
- 2. On December 30, 2016, Claimant underwent CT scans of the chest, abdomen, thoracic spine and lumbar spine.
- 3. On December 31, 2016, Claimant had an x-ray performed on his shoulder and a CT scan of his brain. In addition, Claimant underwent an MRI of his cervical.
- 4. On March 6, 2017, Employer issued a determination denying Claimant's claim.
- 5. On March 20, 2017, Claimant appealed Employer's claim denial determination.
- 6. On June 1, 2017, Hearing Officer Megan Trenkler issued her Decision and Order which REVERSED/REMANDED Employer's March 6, 2017 claim denial determination.
- 7. On June 30, 2017, Employer appealed Hearing Officer Trenkler's Decision and Order and filed a Motion for Stay Pending Appeal.
- 8. On July 17, 2017, Claimant filed an Opposition to Motion For Stay Pending Appeal.
- 9. On August 2, 2017, Employer's Motion for Stay was granted.
- 10. After consideration of the totality of the evidence presented in this case and the arguments of counsel, I find as follows:

- a. I find that Claimant was employed by Focus when, on December 30, 2016, he was assaulted and pushed off a roof of a house under construction. The circumstances of this assault lead me to conclude the claim is compensable. The decision of the Hearing Officer is proper and AFFIRMED.
- b. This is not a case where the assault and injuries were sustained through animosity and ill feelings arising from some cause entirely unrelated with the employee's company. There is a clear indication that the work issue (short paycheck) was the catalyst which led to this unfortunate incident. [Wood v. Safeway, Inc., 121 NEV 724 121 P.3d 1026 (2005)]. As such Claimant is entitled to all appropriate benefits.
- c. These Findings of Fact are based upon substantial evidence within the record.
 11. If any finding of fact is more appropriately deemed a conclusion of law it shall be so deemed, or vice versa.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

- 1. Nevada Revised Statutes ("NRS") 616C.150 only requires Claimant to demonstrate that he was injured within the course and scope of his employment by preponderance of the evidence, nothing greater. "NRS 616C.150 does not require an injured worker to offer a greater number of expert witnesses who express opinions in his favor to establish that an injury arose...[r]ather 'preponderance of the evidence' merely refers to the greater weight of the evidence." McClanahan v. Raley's, Inc. 34 P.3d 573, 576 (2001).
- 2. Workers' Compensation is statutorily driven and defined. Claimant must prove, by preponderance that he was in the course and scope when an accident occurred.
- 3. Rio All Suite Hotel & Casino v. Phillips, states that generally, "injuries caused by employment related risks are deemed to arise out of employment and are compensable." 240 P.3d 2, 5 (2010).
- 4. The threshold requirement in an industrial injury is that Claimant's injury must have occurred within

the course and scope of employment. Phillips, at 5. Course and Scope simply means that the injured worker was at work, and scheduled to be there, when the accident occurred. Here, Claimant was working at an assigned time and scheduled to be in the same construction housing complex. Claimant went to the house across the street to inquire with the foreman that he worked with the week prior as to why his hours were not properly reflected. His pay and hours are indeed work related. The fact that this claim was filed because of the intentional tort of Jose Rosales (Pedro's son) does not change compensability. These injuries arose out of and in the course of employment. Claimant was on the job when this incident occurred, and the injuries resulted by the assault due to work-related issues (short paycheck).

- 5. Accident is statutorily defined in NRS 616A.030 as "Accident" means an unexpected or unforeseen event happening suddenly and violently, with or without human fault, and producing at the time objective symptoms of an injury." Clearly, Claimant did not anticipate being pushed off of a roof by anyone let alone someone who was not a part of the conversation. Claimant wanted clarification for his hours from the foreman he worked with for the subject pay period. In the instant case, Claimant meets the statutory requirement of accident.
- 6. Injury is defined in NRS 616A.265 as a "sudden and tangible happening" that produces an "immediate or prompt result" which is established by medical evidence. Here, the initial treating physician who completed the Form C-4 causally related the injury to the work place incident. Employer bears, the burden under NRS 616C.175 if it believes Claimant has a prior condition. Employer must prove that the alleged prior condition is the substantial cause for the work injury, if not, then it is a compensable claim.

///

///

///

ORDER

Therefore, after considering the totality of the evidence presented in Appeal 1714955-CJY IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Hearing Officer's decision in June 1, 2017 is hereby AFFIRMED and the Employer's March 6, 2017 claim denial determination is REVERSED.

Dated this Lay of April, 2018

APPEALS OFFICER

CHARLES J. YORK, ESQ.

Submitted by:

BIGHORN LAW

ALIKA K/AMGERMAN, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 12933 716 South Jones Boulevard Las Vegas, NV 89107

NOTICE: Pursuant to NRS 616.543 and NRS 233B.130, should any party desire to appeal this determination of the Appeals Officer, a Petition of Judicial Review must be filed with the District Court within thirty (30) days after service by mail of this Decision.

5.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of the law firm of BIGHORN LAW
and that on the day of April, 2018, I duly deposited for mailing at Las Vegas, Nevada, a true copy of
the forgoing DECISION and ORDER, postage prepaid, addressed to the following:
Daniel Schwartz, Esq.
Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP 2300 W. Sahara Suite 300, Box 28
Las Vegas, NV 89102
Focus Framing/Plumbing 1220 S. Commerce Street Suite 120
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
Martin Duran Perez 3555 E. Lake Mead
Blvd Apt. #147 Las Vegas, NV 89115
An Employee of Bighorn/Law
Till Employee of Bignom/Law

6.

1	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
2 3 4	The undersigned, an employee of the State of Nevada, Department of Administration, Hearings Division, does hereby certify that on the date shown below, a true and correct copy of the foregoing ORDER was duly mailed, postage prepaid OR placed in the appropriate addressee runner file at the Department of Administration, Hearings Division, 2200 S. Rancho Drive, #220, Las Vegas, Nevada, to the following:
5 6 7	MARTIN DURAN PEREZ 3555 E LAKE MEAD BLVD #147 LAS VEGAS NV 89115
, 8 9	ALIKA ANGERMAN ESQ BIGHORN LAW 716 S JONES BLVD LAS VEGAS NV 89107-3614
101112	FOCUS PLUMBING ATTN PATTY PAIZANO 1220 S COMMERCE ST STE 120 LAS VEGAS NV 89102
131415	DANIEL SCHWARTZ ESQ LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 2300 W SAHARA AVE STE 300 BOX 28 LAS VEGAS NV 89102-4375
16 17	Dated this Srd day of April; 2018.
18 19	Estela Pinedo, Legal Secretary II Employee of the State of Nevada
20 21	
2223	
24 25	





716 S. Jones Blvd. Las Vegas, NV 89107

> P 702.333.1111 F 702.507.0092

bighornlaw.com

NATHAN R. MORRIS
RYAN M. ANDERSON
KIMBALL J. JONES
JACQUELINE R. BRETELL
LAUREN D. CALVERT
DANIEL M. SINGER
JONATHAN L. TAYLOR
JOSHUA P. BERRETT
NICHOLAS R. ANDERSON
DARREN J. LACH
GARRY B. TRINH
JACOB G. LEAVITT
ALIKA K. ANGERMAN

FILED

APR 16 2018

APPEALS OFFICE

April 16, 2018

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Charles J York, Esq., Appeals Officer
DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION
2200 South Rancho Dr. Suite 220
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

Re:

Claimant

Martin Duran-Perez

Claim No.

5001-1175-2016-0182

Appeal No. :

1714955-CJY

Dear Appeals Officer York:

Attached for your review is the proposed Decision and Order in the above-referenced matter. In the event that modifications to the decisions become necessary, I will amend the Decision and Order at your direction.

Please withhold signing this Decision and Order for a period of five (5) days to allow opposing counsel the opportunity to review the proposed Decision and Order.

Thank you for your time and attention in this matter. If you have any questions or comments regarding this letter, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Alika Angerman, Esq. BIGHORN LAW

cc:

Daniel Schwartz, Esq.

DOC005

Brian Sandoval Governor



Director

Patrick Cates

Michelle L. Morgando, Esq. Acting Senior Appeals Officer

Northern Nevada:

Hearing Office 1050 E. William St., Ste. 400 Carson City, Nevada 89701 (775) 687-8440 | Fax (775) 687-8441

Appeals Office 1050 E. William St., Ste. 450 Carson City, Nevada 89701 (775) 687-8420 | Fax (775) 687-8421

STATE OF NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION

Hearings Division

http://hearings.state.nv.us

Southern Nevada: Hearing Office 2200 S. Rancho Drive, Ste. 210 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 (702) 486-2525 | Fax (702) 486-2879

Appeals Office 2200 S. Rancho Drive, Ste. 220 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 (702) 486-2527 | Fax (702) 486-2555

February 13, 2018

ALIKA ANGERMAN ESO **BIGHORN LAW** 716 S JONES BLVD LAS VEGAS NV 89107-3614

> Re: DURAN PEREZ, MARTIN Appeal No.: 1714955-CJY

Dear Mr. Angerman:

This claim is compensable and thus the Hearing Officer's Decision and Order of June 1, 2017 is AFFIRMED

The claimant was employed by Focus when, on December 30, 2016, he was assaulted and pushed off a roof of a house under construction. The circumstances of this assault lead me to conclude the claim is compensable.

The claimant was upset because he believed his paycheck was short from the work conducted a week earlier while he was a member of Pedro Rosales's crew. On December 30, 2016 the claimant went to ask Mr. Pedro Rosales about his check. He climbed up to the roof of the house where Mr. Rosales was working and was talking to Mr. Rosales when Mr. Rosales' son intervened and pushed the claimant of the roof. Serious injuries were sustained. Although not working on Pedro Rosales' crew on December 30, 2016, the claimant credibly testified that if there was an issue with his check and he needed to talk to Pedro Rosales.

The fact that this claim was filed because of the intentional tort of Jose Rosales (Pedro's son) does not change compensability. These injuries arose out of and in the course of employment. The claimant was on the job when this incident occurred and the injuries resulted by the assault due to work-related issues (short paycheck).

This is not a case where the assault and injuries were sustained through animosity and ill feelings arising from some cause entirely unrelated with the employee's company. There is a clear indication that the work issue (short paycheck) was the catalyst which led to this unfortunate incident. [Wood v. Safeway, Inc., 121 NEV 724 121 P.3d 1026 (2005)] As such the claimant is entitled to all appropriate benefits.

Please prepare a Decision and Order consistent with the above as well as your argument at the time of the hearing no later than March 19, 2018.

Very truly yours,

CHARLES J YORK, ESQ. APPEALS OFFICER

CJY:ep

cc: DANIEL SCHWARTZ ESQ

MORRIS // ANDERSON LAW 1 2018 JAN 31 PM 4: ! 1 ALIKA ANGERMAN, ESQ 2 **NEVADA BAR #12933** TEOEINED 716 S. JONES BOULEVARD CIGINAL 3 LAS VEGAS, NV 89107 (702)333-1111- Tel No. (702)507-0092- Fax No. 4 5 NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION 6 BEFORE THE HEARING OFFICER 7 Claim No. 2016-0022 In the Matter of Contested 8 Industrial Insurance Claim Hearing No. 1714955-CJY 9 of 10 **Focus Plumbing Employer** MARTIN DURAN PEREZ, 11 12 13 CLAIMANT'S SECONDARY SUPPLEMENTED DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE PACKET AND 14 WITNESS DISCLOSURE 15 Description **Pages** Date 16 1-2 12.12.2017 Notice of Resetting 17 3-5 Ross Medical Group-Report 11.21.2017 6-7 12.19.2017 Ross Medical Group- Report 18 8 Ross Medical Group- Referral 12.20.2017 19 9-10 Desert Institute of Spine Care 12.21.2017 20 Confirming the Referral- Apt Ltr **Ross Medical Group- Prescriptions** 11 11.22.2017 21 22 CLAUDAT'S EXHIBIT! 23

DOC907

LIST OF WITNESSES Claimant may appear to testify on his behalf. Claimant further preserves the right to call rebuttal witnesses. Tay of January, 2018. Alika Angerman, Esq Nevada Bar No.12993 716 S. Jones Blvd Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 (702) 333-1111 Attorney for Claimant **AFFIRMATION** Pursuant to NRS 239B.030 The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding Documentary Evidence filed in or submitted for Pending Appeals Officer, Pending Appeal No., does not contain the Social Security number of any person. Morris/Anderson Law Alika Angerman, Esq.

1	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING				
2	Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that I am an employee of Morris Anderson Law, and that				
3	on the date indicated below, I duly deposited for mailing OR placed in the appropriate file				
4	maintained by the Division, 2200 S. Rancho Drive, Suite 210, Las Vegas, Nevada, a true and correct copy of the foregoing CLAIMANT'S SECONDARY SUPPLEMENTED				
5	DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE PACKET addressed to the following:				
6					
7					
8	DANIEL SCHWARTZ, ESQ. 2300 W. SAHARA AVE STE 300 BOX 28 LAS VEGAS, NV 89102 Regular U.S. Mail Via Hand Delivery				
9	LAS VEGAS, NV 89102				
10	FOCUS PLUMBING ATTN: PATTY PIZANO Regular U.S. Mail				
11	1220 S. COMMERCE ST STE 120 LAS VEGAS, NV 89102 Uia Hand Delivery Via Fax / Via E-Mail Mail Box at AO - HO				
12					
13	DATED this 30 th day of January, 2018.				
14					
15	Line.				
16	An Employee of Morris//Anderson Law				
17					
18	,				
19					
20					
21					
22	-				
23					

1		BEFORE	ETHE APPE	ALS OFFICE	2	A Andrew
2	In the Matter of the Industrial Insurance	: Contested e Claim of:)	Claim No:	2016-0022	
4 5	MARTIN DURAN)))	Appeal No:	1714955-CJY	· *
6		Claiman	t.)			
7		<u>NOT</u>	ICE OF RE	SETTING	A. T. T.	
8	TO ALL P	ARTIES-IN-INTE	REST:			
10	PLEASE 7	TAKE NOTICE tha	at the above-o	captioned matte	er will now be heard	l in front of
11	the Appeals Office	r for a HEARING o	n:			
12	DATE: Feb	ruary 9, 2018				
13	TIME: 9:0	0AM-11:00AM				
14 15	220	PARTMENT OF A 0 SOUTH RANCH S VEGAS, NV 8910	O DŖIVE#			
16	PLEASE 7	TAKE FURTHER	NOTICE th	at previously s	cheduled hearing d	ates in this
17	matter, if any, are h	ereby vacated and re	set to the abo	ove referenced	date and time.	
18	,		###			
19		OF THIS SCHED				
20	CONSIDERED O	N WRITTEN APP	LICATION	SUPPORTED	BY AFFIDAVITS	5.
21		H^{\prime}	###			
22	IT IS SO	(7) PRDERED this 12th	day of Dece	nber, 2017.		
23			,	Also III		
24			4	JUNGS -		
25	\mathcal{M}		HARLES J			
26	A Control of the Cont	. А	PPEALS OF	FICER		
27 II	44					

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

The undersigned, an employee of the State of Nevada, Department of Administration
Hearings Division, does hereby certify that on the date shown below, a true and correct copy of
the foregoing NOTICE OF RESETTING was duly mailed, postage prepaid OR placed in the
appropriate addressee runner file at the Department of Administration, Hearings Division, 2200
S. Rancho Drive, #220, Las Vegas, Nevada, to the following:

MARTIN DURAN PEREZ 3555 E LAKE MEAD BLVD #147 LAS VEGAS NV 89115

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

ALIKA ANGERMAN ESQ BIGHORN LAW 716 S JONES BLVD LAS VEGAS NV 89107-3614

FOCUS PLUMBING ATTN PATTY PAIZANO 1220 S COMMERCE ST STE 120 LAS VEGAS NV 89102

DANIEL SCHWARTZ ESQ LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 2300 W SAHARA AVE STE 300 BOX 28 LAS VEGAS NV 89102-4375

Dated this 12th day of December, 2017.

Estela Pinedo, Legat Secretary II C Employee of the State of Nevada



North Vista Medical Center 1905 McDaniel Street, Ste 101 North Las Vegas, NV 89030

Office Phone: (702)487-5586 Email: RossMedGroup@gmail.com Office Fax: (702) 487-5840

PATIENT: Martin Duran-Perez

DOS: 11/21/17 DOB: 01/08/78 DOI: 12/30/16

CHIEF COMPLAINT: Headache, neck pain, back pain, chest pain.

PAIN SCALE: Rated at 8/10 at today's visit and states his daily pain was 0/10 prior to the injury on 12/30/16.

HISTORY OF PRESENT ILLNESS: Mr. Duran-Perez presented ambulatory for an initial evaluation of injuries sustained in an alleged assault and battery and mechanical fall on 12/30/16. Patient is employed as construction and landscaping labor. He was at work on top of the house garage, standing on the roof. Patient states that he was in a verbal argument with his boss named Pedro concerning wages. The patient was surprised that the boss' son José pushed Mr. Durand Perez in his back with his hands. He fell off the roof. To his left, there was an overhang. He tried to grab on to as he was falling. He hit his chest against the side of the roof, scraped his chest and then fell one story backwards landing on his back and back of his head. Patient was knocked unconscious. He thinks the ground he fell on was concrete. Patient has no recollection of events after that and then remembers waking up at UMC Hospital. Per patient report, he was evaluated at the scene and transported to UMC Trauma Center, was found to have a head injury and possible intracranial bleeding and rib fracture. He was admitted for five days at UMC. He is unsure what exact radiology tests but thinks he received CAT scans and x-rays. Patient was discharged with medications. He does not remember the names and he has had no specialty followups scheduled. Patient persists with headache occipital, neck pain, low back pain and chest pain. Patient states that he did have difficulty with memory, grogginess, dizziness after the event for a few weeks that dissipated over time and has not been present for approximately six plus months. Only the pain is residual. Patient has no history of headaches. Patient has been unable to work as a landscaping construction laborer secondary to his injuries. Patient has begun conservative therapy at Nicola Chiropractic, going three times per week. Patient thinks he was sent for MRI scan of his brain, neck and low back but has not completed those studies and does not know where the referral was sent. He does not know which radiology location referral was sent to. The patient denies any previous trauma or injury and states that he was 100% pain-free and in good health prior to the event described above and he presents for further evaluation and management.

PHYSICAL EXAMINATION: Vital signs reveal blood is 136/86, heart rate 104, respiratory rate 18, pulse ox on room air 98%. He is 5 feet 6 inches and weighs 170 pounds. Head is normocephalic and atraumatic. There is tenderness in the nuchal joint and occiput. There is no bogginess, edema, contusion, or scar tissue. Eyes are PERRL. EOMI. No nystagmus. Oropharynx is clear. There is no pharyngeal, dental or facial trauma. Lungs are clear to auscultation. Heart is regular rate and rhythm. Diffuse inferior left chest wall pain over the inferior ribs. No crepitus. No edema. No contusion seen. Abdomen is soft, nontender and nondistended. Positive bowel sounds. Extremities: Anterior shoulders, elbows, wrists, hands, hips, knees, ankles and feet are nontender. No clubbing, cyanosis or edema. Neurovascularly intact distally. Neurologically, he is oriented x4. Cranial nerves II through XII are normal. Diffuse motor is 5/5 including grip strength. Sensory subjective non-dermatomal numbness in the dorsum of his bilateral hands and bilateral thighs and calves. Deep tendon reflexes are +2/4 in upper and lower extremities, biceps, triceps, wrist, knee and ankle. He has clear speech. There are no cerebellar signs. He does have slow and mildly antalgic gait. Cervical Spine: Generalized positive axial loading. Midline and bilateral paracervical tenderness. Myospasms are palpated. Positive trapezius sign. Decreased motion with flexion, extension and bilateral rotation. Thoracic Spine: Slight midline but bilateral interscapular and parathoracic tenderness. Myospasms are noted. Decreased range of motion with flexion, extension and bilateral rotation. Lumbar Spine: There is midline low lumbar and bilateral paralumbar tenderness. Positive gluteal tenderness and positive straight leg raise to approximately 60 degrees bilateral causing increased back discomfort. Positive quadriceps loading sign. 00080



North Vista Medical Center 1905 McDaniel Street, Ste 101 North Las Vegas, NV 89030

Office Phone: (702)487-5586 Email: RossMedGroup@gmail.com Office Fax: (702) 487-5840

Past Medical History: denies

Allergies: Denies

Surgical History: denies

Medications: Over the counter

Social History: denies tobacco, admits to social alcohol, employed as construction laborer

ROS: AT least 12 systems have been reviewed and are normal or negative as per discussed in HPI.



North Vista Medical Center 1905 McDaniel Street, Ste 101 North Las Vegas, NV 89030

Office Phone: (702)487-5586 Email: RossMedGroup@gmail.com Office Fax: (702) 487-5840

PATIENT: Martin Duran-Perez

DOS: 11/21/17 DOB: 01/08/78 DOI: 12/30/16

PAGE 2

CLINICAL IMPRESSION:

- 1. Assault and battery.
- 2. Mechanical fall.
- 3. Posttraumatic headache with concussion.
- 4. Cervicalgia.
- 5. Lumbago.
- 6. Lumbar radiculopathy.
- 7. Cervical radiculopathy.

PLAN:

- 1. Diagnostic Studies: Recommend MRI scan of lumbar spine, cervical spine and consider brain for persistent symptomatology and upper and lower extremity radicular symptoms with paresthesias.
- 2. Referral: Recommend continuance of conservative therapy at Nicola chiropractic. Recommend referral and evaluation by Spine Specialist Dr. Cash for persistent cervicalgia/lumbago s/p fall with radicular symptoms denoting likely discogenic etiology. Forward results of MRIs when obtained. Consider referral to pain specialist if TX/DX injections recommended by spine specialist. Recommend referral to Neurologist for persistent post-traumatic cephalgia.
- 3. Medications: Recommend ibuprofen for pain and inflammation, Flexeril for muscle relaxation and tramadol for pain.
- 4. Records: Obtain records from UMC trauma and admission visit on 12/30/16.
- 5. Followup: In approximately four weeks for further evaluation and management.

It is my professional opinion with a reasonable degree of medical certainty that the aforementioned complaints and injuries listed in my clinical impression are directly and causally related to the assault and battery and fall on 12/30/16. All treatment for these injuries will be deemed medically necessary and charges for my medical services are standard, reasonable and customary.

Douglas Ross, M.D. DR/ps

T: 12/20/17

*** DIGITALLY SIGNED ON 12/20/2017 5:12:29 PM BY Douglas Ross, MD ***



North Vista Medical Center 1905 McDaniel Street, Ste 101 North Las Vegas, NV 89030

Office Phone: (702)487-5586 Email: RossMedGroup@gmail.com Office Fax: (702) 487-5840

PATIENT: Martin Duran-Percz

DOS: 12/19/17 DOB: 01/08/78 DOI: 12/30/16

CHIEF COMPLAINT: Headache, neck pain, back pain, hand and leg numbness, chest pain.

PAIN SCALE: Pain level is rated at 6/10 today. It was rated at 8/10 on previous visit.

SUBJECTIVE: Mr. Duran-Perez returned ambulatory for reevaluation of injuries sustained in a mechanical fall secondary to an assault and battery on 12/30/16. The patient complains of persistent occipital headache non-radiating. Denies associated neurological symptoms such as blurred vision, dizziness or weakness. He does complain of numbness in his hands intermittently and both legs. He complains of persistent neck pain, sore, stiff, achy, does not radiate down his arms but does complain of intermittent hand numbness, persistent low back pain, denies bowel or bladder incontinence or saddle esthesia. Pain does not radiate to his legs. It does radiate to the buttocks area bilaterally and complains of intermittent numbness of his legs to his ankle excluding the feet. Patient continues with conservative therapy Nicola Chiropractic, going three times a week and states it is helping. Patient continues with medications including ibuprofen, tramadol and Flexeril. He says he is using as needed and is not requesting refills. Patient has had referrals for MRI scan of his cervical, lumbar and brain. Pending completion of those studies. Patient has had no new specialty referrals. Patient is still unable to work secondary to symptomatology of neck pain and back pain.

OBJECTIVE: Blood pressure 139/88, heart rate 102, respiratory rate 18, pulse ox on room air is 96%. Head is normocephalic and atraumatic. Eyes are PERRL. EOMI. No nystagmus. Cervical spine with generalized axial loading. Decreased range of motion with flexion, extension and bilateral rotation. Midline and bilateral paracervical tenderness. Myospasms are palpated. Slight positive trapezius sign bilateral with myospasms. Thoracic Spine: Slight midline and significant bilateral parathoracic interscapular tenderness. Myospasms are noted. Decreased range of motion with flexion, extension and bilateral rotation. Lumbar Spine: Midline tenderness, bilateral paralumbar tenderness. Myospasms are palpated. Decreased flexion, extension and bilateral rotation, bilateral superior gluteal tenderness. Positive straight leg raise bilateral to 60 degrees. Positive quadriceps loading sign. Lungs are clear to auscultation. Heart is regular rhythm. Chest wall with diffuse tenderness left mid and inferior area. There are healed abrasion scars. There is no edema, contusion or crepitus. Heart is mild tachycardic. No murmur. Abdomen is soft, nontender, nondistended, positive bowel sounds. Neurologically, he is oriented x4. Cranial nerves II through XII are normal. Diffuse motor is 5/5. Sensory with subjective numbness in bilateral lower extremities, nondermatomal. No cerebellar signs. Clear speech and mildly antalgic gait. Deep tendon reflexes are +2/4 in upper and lower extremities, biceps, patella and ankle.

CLINICAL IMPRESSION:

- 1. Status post assault and battery.
- 2. Mechanical fall.
- 3. Posttraumatic headache with concussion.
- 4. Chest wall pain.
- 5. Cervicalgia.
- 6. Lumbago.
- 7. Paresthesias, intermittent, upper and lower extremities.
- 8. Myalgia with myospasm.



North Vista Medical Center 1905 McDaniel Street, Ste 101 North Las Vegas, NV 89030

Office Phone: (702)487-5586 Email: RossMedGroup@gmail.com Office Fax: (702) 487-5840

PATIENT: Martin Duran-Perez

DOS: 12/19/17 DOB: 01/08/78 DOI: 12/30/16

PAGE 2

PLAN:

1. Diagnostic Studies: Obtain results MRI scan of brain, cervical, and lumbar spine without contrast.

2. Referral: Recommend referral to neurologist for post-traumatic cephalgia/concussion. Recommend referral to spine specialist. Consider referral to interventional pain management depending on patient's course, results of MRI scan, and recommendations of the spine specialist. Recommend to continue conservative therapy.

3. Medications: Continue present medications without change; refills are not required, ibuprofen, tramadol and Flexeril. The patient was reviewed in PMP AWARE.

4. Follow-up: In approximately four to six weeks for further evaluation and management.

Douglas Ross, M.D. DR/ps

T: 12/20/17

*** DIGITALLY SIGNED ON 12/20/2017 5:18:46 PM BY Douglas Ross, MD ***

Office Phone: (702) 487-5586



Email: awesley@rossmedgroup.com

North Vista Medical Center 1905 McDaniel Street, Ste 101 Las Vegas, NV 89030

Office Fax: (702) 487-5840

REFERRAL

Patient Name (Last, First)	12/20/17	
3555 & Lake Mead Blud 8911 Address	Date	
(1) 08 1978 (105) 827 - 7746 Date of Birth Phone Number		
Date of Injury		
Attamas: Afficially	3. 3333 One Number	
Steven Contact Person	: : :	
Desert Institute of spine Care-Dr. Referral To	<u>ortho spine</u> Specialist	
付の 130-3472 (知 946-5115 Office Phone Office Fax		
Reason for Referral		
persistent neck low back pain		
Referring Physician: Douglas Ross, MD, FACEP Co	ntact Person: April Wesley, Clinical Coordinator, MA nail: awesley@rossmedgroup.com	



Andrew M. Cash MD 9339 West Sunset Road Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89148

Phone: (702) 630-3472 Fax: (702) 946-5115

December 21, 2017
To whom it may concern:
We have received your referral regarding patient:
Duran-Perez, Martin 01/08/1978
This notice is to inform you we have called the patient to schedule, below is the outcome.
Our office was unable to reach the patient after attempts. Wrong number/Phone not in service Patient does not wish to be scheduled at this time
Dr.Cash is not a provider for the insurance. Other:PATIENT IS SCHEDULED FOR THURSDAY, JANUARY 11, 2018 @ 2:00P Thank you for your kind referral!
Sincerely,
Scheduling Department
Desert Institute of Spine Care

FAXSHEET

Date:

Dec-21-2017 02:34:54

To:

Subject:

Patient Document

Fax Number:

702-487-5840

To Company:

From Name:

Montgomery, Krystal

From Company:

Desert Institute of Spine Care

From Facility:

Desert Institute of Spine Care

Support Contact:

702-630-3472

Number of Page(s):

2

This facsimile transmission contains confidential information intended for the parties identified above. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify me by telephone and return the original message to me at the address listed above. Distribution, reproduction or any other use of this transmission by any party other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited.

Douglas Ro	Ilical Group MD FACER Certified MIE - LUC # 9436 679645444
2481 W. Hodzon Fluige Plawy Suite 100 Henderson, NV 89052 Tel: (702) 487-588 • Fax: (702) 487-5840 For the PATIENT Il this prescription is not covered by your Insurance, please tell the phemodist to Use	For the PHARMACIST Please note: If epoplicable, use the Bin, GRP, and PCN, muribers (see that bloom) to process this
the codes lies but below) to reduce your costs by up to 75%. This is not tries rende. Member ID: BHX 123497 BRI.	petient's prescription 11 you have questions please call our pharmacist help line et 1-800-776-0760 005947 CRP 96775MJ PCN: CLAIMCR DOB 18 18
Address: To-profess 800 Too: 5800	Date: 11/21/17
Tramadi SO	8
T PO P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P	
Dispense Only as Written L SCRIPT#5928	MD, FACEP Order #2170141-1 HOLD BETWEEN TRUME AND FORETINGER COLORWILL DISAPPEAR THEN REAPPEAR

1 2 3 4	MORRIS // ANDE ALIKA ANGERM NEVADA BAR #1: 716 S. JONES BOU LAS VEGAS, NV 8 (702)333-1111- Tel (702)507-0092- Fax	AN, ESQ 2933 JLEVARD 89107 - No.		CZIGINA			
5		NEVADA DEPAR	TMENT (OF ADMINIS	STRAT	ION	
6		BEFORE T	ГНЕ АРРІ	EALS OFFIC	CER		
7 8 9 10 11	In the Matter of Cont Industrial Insurance C of MARTIN DURAN	Claim)	Claim No. Appeal No. Employer	: :	2016-0022 1714955-CJY Focus Plumbing	
12 13 14	CLAIMANT'S SE WITNESS DISCLO)	CUMENTARY	Y EVII	DENCE PACKE	ET AND
15	<u>Date</u>	Description_			Pages		
16	12.12.2017	Notice of Resetting			1-2		
17	01.11.2018	Dr. Andrew Cash- M	ledical Rep	ort	3-5		
18							
19							
20			el minas	TS LEAST	. 3		
21			50 fruit 44, 24 to 16. 1	3 3 4 3 64 4 C		_	
22					(3)	
23							
24							
		D (000	08		00000	

1	<u>LIST OF WITNESSES</u>
2	Claimant may appear to testify on his behalf. Claimant further preserves the right to call rebuttal witnesses.
3	
4	
5	DATED this // tlay of January, 2018.
6	
7	Alika Angerman, Esq
8	Nevada Bar No.12933 716 S. Jones Blvd
9	Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 (702) 333-1111
10	Attorney for Claimant
11	
12	
13	
14	<u>AFFIRMATION</u>
15	Pursuant to NRS 239B.030
16	The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding Documentary Evidence filed in or submitted for Pending Appeals Officer, Pending Appeal No., does not contain the Social Security
17	number of any person.
18	Morris/Anderson Law
19	Alika Angerman, Esq. Date
20	Alika Angerman, Esq. Date
21	
22	
23	

1 **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING** Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that I am an employee of Morris Anderson Law, and that 2 on the date indicated below, I duly deposited for mailing OR placed in the appropriate file 3 maintained by the Division, 2200 S. Rancho Drive, Suite 210, Las Vegas, Nevada, a true and 4 correct copy of the foregoing CLAIMANT'S SECOND SUPLLEMENTED DOCUMENTARY 5 EVIDENCE PACKET addressed to the following: 6 7 DANIEL SCHWARTZ, ESQ. Regular U.S. Mail 8 2300 W. SAHARA AVÉ STÈ 300 BOX 28 Via Hand Delivery LAS VEGAS, NV 89102 Via Fax / Via E-Mail Mail Box at To-HO Regular U.S. Mail **FOCUS PLUMBING** 10 Via Hand Delivery 1220 S. COMMERCE ST STE 120 Via Fax / Via E-Mail LAS VEGAS, NV 89102 11 Mail Box at AO - HO 12 13 DATED this / 9¹ day of January, 2018. 14 15 An Employee of Morris/Anderson Law 16 17 18

19

20

21

22

23

1	BEFORE THE APPEALS OFFICER
2	In the Manual State Contract
3	In the Matter of the Contested Industrial Insurance Claim of: Claim No: 2016-0022
4) Appeal No: 1714955-CJY MARTIN DURAN PEREZ,
5	Claimant.
6	
7	NOTICE OF RESETTING
8	TO ALL PARTIES-IN-INTEREST:
9	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the above-captioned matter will now be heard in front of
10	the Appeals Officer for a HEARING on:
11	DATE: February 9, 2018
12	TIME: 9:00AM - 11:00AM
13	PLACE: DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION
14	2200 SOUTH RANCHO DRIVÉ #220
15	DI FASE TAKE EUDTHED NOTICE 4
16	PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that previously scheduled hearing dates in this
17	matter, if any, are hereby vacated and reset to the above referenced date and time.
18	CONTINUANCE OF THIS SCHEDULED HEADING DATE CHARLES
19	CONTINUANCE OF THIS SCHEDULED HEARING DATE SHALL ONLY BE CONSIDERED ON WRITTEN APPLICATION SUPPORTED BY AFFIDAVITS.
20	
21	###
22	IT IS SO ORDERED this 12th day of December, 2017.
23	Minost
24	
25	CHARLES J YORK, ESQ.
26	APPEALS OFFICER
- 11	

1	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
2	The undersigned, an employee of the State of Nevada, Department of Administration,
3	Hearings Division, does hereby certify that on the date shown below, a true and correct copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF RESETTING was duly mailed, postage prepaid OR placed in the
4	appropriate addressee runner file at the Department of Administration, Hearings Division, 2200
5	S. Rancho Drive, #220, Las Vegas, Nevada, to the following:
6	MARTIN DURAN PEREZ 3555 E LAKE MEAD BLVD #147
- 1	LAS VEGAS NV 89115
7	AT WELL AND FOR
8	ALIKA ANGERMAN ESQ BIGHORN LAW
9	716 S JONES BLVD
10	LAS VEGAS NV 89107-3614
11	FOCUS PLUMBING
12	ATTN PATTY PAIZANO 1220 S COMMERCE ST STE 120
	LAS VEGAS NV 89102
13	DANIEL SCHWARTZ ESQ
14	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
15	2300 W SAHARA AVE STE 300 BOX 28 LAS VEGAS NV 89102-4375
16	
17	Dated this 12th day of December 2017.
18	
	Estela Pinedo, Legal Secretary II Employee of the State of Nevada
19	Zingio, se or mie or ite inter
20	



Duran-Perez, Martin

40 Y old Male, DOB: 01/08/1978 Account Number: 10752

3555 Lake Mead Apt 57, LAS VEGAS, NV-89115

Home: 805-827-7746

Guarantor: Duran-Perez, Martin Insurance: ERIC P ROY Payer ID: PAPER

Referring: CHROPRACTIC NICOLA Appointment Facility: Desert Institute of Spine Care

Progress Notes: Andrew M. Cash, MD

amont rounty, post institute of Opine of

01/11/2018

Past Medical History Noncontributory

Surgical History Denies Past Surgical History

Family History Non-Contributory

Social History

Tobacco Use: Tobacco Use/Smoking

Smoking Status: nonsmoker

Occupational:

Occupation History: The patient is not currently working.

Drugs/Alcohol:

Do you drink alcohol?: No. Do you smoke marijuana?: Denies.

Mscellaneous: Marital status: single. Children: has children.

Allergies NKDA

Hospitalization/Major Diagnostic Procedure

Denies Past Hospitalization

Review of Systems General/Constitutional:

Chills denies. Fatigue denies. Fever denies. Sweats denies. Weight gain denies. Weight loss denies.

<u> BNT</u>:

Hoarseness denies. Visual changes denies. Difficulty swallowing denies. Stuffed nose or sinuses denies. Sore throat denies.

Respiratory:

Breathing problems denies. Frequent coughing denies. Blood in sputumdenies. Sputum production denies.

Cardiovascular:

Chest pain denies. Irregular heartbeat denies.

Castrointestinal:

Hemorrhoids denies. Ulcers denies. Painful bowel movements denies. Black stool denies. Uncontrollable bowel movements denies. Abdominal pain denies. Blood in stool denies. Change in bowel habits denies. Diarrhea denies. Heartburn denies. Nausea denies. Vomiting denies.

Genitourinary:

Loss of urine denies. Uncontrollable urination denies. Blood in urine denies. Frequent urination denies. Kidney stones denies. Painful urination denies.

Musculoskeletal:

Neck pain admits. Upper back pain denies. Pain down the legs(s) denies. Pain down the arm(s) denies. Low back pain admits. Hip pain denies. Skin:

Facus ha dicina donine - Eacus bloodina donine

Reason for Appointment

1. Neck/Back

History of Present Illness

Todays Visit:

The patient is a 40 year old male who was involved in an altercation with his boss on 12/30/2016. The patient was on a roof when he was pushed off and fell 1 story below. He lost consiousness and was taken to a hospital in Henderson to be evaluated, he was transported to UMC later that day as well. When he regained consiousness he began to feel pain in his neck and back. He reports that his pain comes and goes throughout the day. On average his neck pain is 5/10, 6/10 at its worst. On average his back pain is 6/10, 6/10 at its worst. He complains of occasional tingling in his arms and tingling in his legs.

Current Treatment:

Chiropractic.

Prior Injuries::

None.

Vital Signs

Ht 5 ft 4 in, Wt 170 lbs, BMI 29.18 Index, RR 16 /min, Taken by br.

Examination

General Examination:

GENERAL APPEARANCE: well nourished and hydrated.

EYES/ENT: Pupil: Bilateral equal and direct reaction to normal light, normal conjuctive and lids.

ENT inspection shows no scars, lesions or foreign bodies. Lips, teeth, and gums appear normal.

NECK, THYROID: No masses, symmetrical, no enlargement of thyroid.

NEUROLOGIC: Cranial nerves: Il Optic: Bilateral visual acuity

Ill Oculomotor: Normal pupillary constriction.

IV Trochlear: Normal bilateral. V Trigeminal: Normal bilateral. VI Abducens: Normal bilateral. VII Facial: Normal bilateral.

VIII Acoustic: Normal hearing bilateral.

NEUROPSYCHIATRIC: Approprite judgement and insight, alert and oriented x3. Associations- Intact.

Thought Processes/Cognitive Function-Approprite fund of knowledge. <u>Imaging Studies</u>:

Results: Cervical disc protrusion(s), Lumbar disc protrusion(s), Lumbar annular tear(s), Thoracic disc protrusion(s), thoracic compression fracture.

Time was spent with the patient reviewing imaging in the office today offering full explanations of the pathology therein, as well as different treatment options that could be provided for such pathological findings. As appropriate, the patient was shown illustrations and models for a better understanding of the condition as well as given literature. I reviewed with the patient the records, images, and diagnostic/therapeutic protocol in detail and to their satisfaction.

Spine:

Cervical

There is painful flexion. There is bilateral paraspinal musculature pain and tendemess. There is associated bilateral trapezii pain and tendemess. Muscle strength is 5/5 bilaterally.

Langua under the skin denies. Rash denies. Neurologio:

Blackouts denies. Sturred Speech denies. Fainting denies. Headache denies. Loss of strength denies. Seizures denies. Stroke denies. Tingling/Numbness denies. Tremor denies. Psychiatric:

Tension denies. Memory loss denies. Anxiety denies. Depressed mood denies. Difficulty sleeping denies.

The patient's handwritten intake forms and information has been reviewed, documented, verified, & reconciled, through oral confirmation, and the type written dictation incorporated all information, representing the complete and corroborated historical and current account.

Deep tendon reflexes are symmetrical.

Light touch sensation is intact.

The shoulder exam is unremarkable.

There is a negative compression at the wrist and negative Tinel's at the elbow

Lumbar.

There is painful flexion. There is bilateral paraspinal musculature pain and tendemess. Muscle strength is 5/5 bilaterally.

Deep tendon reflexes are symmetrical.

Light touch sensation is intact.

The hip exam is unremarkable.

The sacroiliac joint exam is unremarkable

Thoracic:

There is painful flexion. There is bilateral paraspinal musculature pain and tendemess.

Assessments

- 1. Other intervertebral disc displacement, lumbar region M51.26 (Primary)
- Mid-cervical disc disorder, unspecified M50.120
- 3. Other intervertebral disc displacement, thoracic region M51.24
- Wedge compression fracture of unspecified thoracic vertebra, initial encounter for closed fracture - S22,000A

Treatment

Other intervertebral disc displacement, lumbar region

Referral To: Pain Medicine

Reason: diagnostic/therapeutic epidural injections

Diagnostic Imaging

Imaging: CRV MINUMUM 4 VIEWS

Imaging: RADEX SPI LUMBOSAC MINIMUM 4 VIEWS

Disability/Prognosis/Causation

Medical Records:

Reviewed records from SimonMed and Dr.Nicola.

DISABILITY:

Cervical restrictions: No overhead lifting or reaching and no lifting more than 10 pounds frequently or 20 pounds occasionally...

Lumbar restrictions: No repetitive bending, twisting, stooping crawling, climbing, squatting, or lifting more than 10 pounds frequently or 20 pounds occasionally.

Thoracic restrictions: No repetitive bending, twisting, stooping crawling, climbing, squatting, or lifting more than 10 pounds frequently or 20 pounds occasionally. PROGNOSIS:

Prognosis: Diminished without the recommended treatment...

Prognosis: The patient may experience future exacerbations as there is structural compromise to the spine and will require future treatment. .

CAUSATION:

In my opinion the patient's symptoms which we are evaluating are directly related to the above mentioned accident(s). This opinion is based on patient's history, physical exam, diagnostic studies, and medical records provided. I welcome the opportunity to review any and all medical records regarding past or present treatment of the patient which could possibly reinforce or otherwise affect the above opinions. Final causation requires review of records.

Opioid Risk:

The risks of opioid medications were explained to the patient. The patient understands and agrees to use these medications only as prescribed. The patient agrees to obtain pain medications from this practice only. We have fully discussed the potential side effects of the medication with the patient. These include, but are not limited to, constipation, drowsiness, addiction, nausea, vomiting, impaired judgment and the risk of fatal overdose if not taken as prescribed. We have warned the patient that sharing medications is a felony. We have warned the patient against driving while taking sedating medications.

Procedure Codes

72050 X-RAY EXAM OF NECK SPINE 72110 X-RAY EXAM OF LOWER SPINE IN CASH

Electronically signed by Andrew Cash MD, MD on 01/12/2018 at 11:08 AM PST Sign off status: Completed

Desert Institute of Spine Care 9339 W SUNSET RD LAS VEGAS, NV 89148-4849 Tel: 702-630-3472 Fax: 702-946-5115

Patient: Duran-Perez, Martin DOB: 01/08/1978 Progress Note: Andrew M. Cash, MD 01/11/2018

Note generated by eClinicalWorks EMR/PM Software (www.eClinicalWorks.com)

1	BEFORE THE APPEALS OFFICER					
23456	In the Matter of the Contested Industrial Insurance Claim of: MARTIN DURAN PEREZ, Claimant.					
7	NOTICE OF RESETTING					
8	TO ALL PARTIES-IN-INTEREST:					
9	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the above-captioned matter will now be heard in front of					
10						
11	DATE: February 9, 2018					
2	TIME: 9:00AM – 11:00AM					
13 14 15	PLACE: DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION 2200 SOUTH RANCHO DRIVE #220 LAS VEGAS, NV 89102					
16	PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that previously scheduled hearing dates in this					
7	matter, if any, are hereby vacated and reset to the above referenced date and time.					
8	. ###					
9	CONTINUANCE OF THIS SCHEDULED HEARING DATE SHALL ONLY BE					
20	CONSIDERED ON WRITTEN APPLICATION SUPPORTED BY AFFIDAVITS.					
21	###					
22	IT IS SO ORDERED this 12th day of December, 2017.					
23	All seller					
24						
25	CHARLES J YORK, ESQ. APPEALS OFFICER					
26	ALI EALS OFFICER					

DOC009

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF MAILING</u>					
2	The undersigned, an employee of the State of Nevada, Department of Administration, Hearings Division, does hereby certify that on the date shown below, a true and correct copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF RESETTING was duly mailed, postage prepaid OR placed in the					
4						
5	MARTIN DURAN PEREZ					
6	3555 E LAKE MEAD BLVD #147					
7	LAS VEGAS NV 89115					
8	ALIKA ANGERMAN ESQ BIGHORN LAW					
9	716 S JONES BLVD					
10	LAS VEGAS NV 89107-3614					
11	FOCUS PLUMBING ATTN PATTY PAIZANO					
12	1220 S COMMERCE ST STE 120 LAS VEGAS NV 89102					
13						
14	DANIEL SCHWARTZ ESQ LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP					
15	2300 W SAHARA AVE STE 300 BOX 28 LAS VEGAS NV 89102-4375					
16	Dated this 12th day of December, 2017.					
17	Dated this 12th day of December 2017.					
18	Estela Pinedo, Legal Secretary II					
19	Employee of the State of Nevada					
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						

1 2 3 4	MORRIS // ANDI JACOB LEAVITT NEVADA BAR #1 716 S. JONES BOU LAS VEGAS, NV (702)333-1111- Te (702)507-0092- Fas	, ESQ 2608 JLEVARD 89107 I No.) ORIGIN	AL	THE RECEIVED THE RECEIVED	1606 TEXTS 161	
5	(,02)60, 0032 14						
6		NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION					
7	BEFORE THE APPEALS OFFICER						
8	In the Matter of Cont Industrial Insurance (Claim No.	:	2016-0022		
9)	Appeal No.	:	1714955-CJY		
10	of))	Employer	:	Focus Plumbing		
11	MARTIN DURAN,			•	1 outs 1 famoling		
12)					
13							
14	CLAIMANT'S FIRST SUPPLEMENTED DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE PACKET						
15	<u>Date</u>	<u>Description</u>		Pages			
16	11.06.2017	Nicola Chiropractic Medical Reporting		1-7			
17	11.14.2017	Nicola Chiropractic-Bill		8			
18		•					
19							
20							
21	CLAMATS EXHIST & 2						
22							
23							
24		A -	0 1 A	(
		DOC	$\varphi r 0$				

1	<u>LIST OF WITNESSES</u>				
2	Claimant may appear to testify on his behalf. Claimant further preserves the right to call rebuttal witnesses.				
3	Eduardo Leon				
4					
5	DATED this Aday of November, 2017.				
6	Aller 41653 For				
7	Jacob Leavitt, Esq				
8	Nevada Bar No.12608 716 S. Jones Blvd Las Vegas, Nevada 89107				
9	(702) 333-1111 Attorney for Claimant				
10					
11					
12					
13					
14	<u>AFFIRMATION</u>				
15	Pursuant to NRS 239B.030				
16	The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding Documentary Evidence filed in or submitted for Pending Appeals Officer, Pending Appeal No., does not contain the Social Security				
17	number of any person.				
18	Morris/Anderson Law 1193360				
19	Jacob G. Leavitt, Esq.				
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that I am an employee of Morris Anderson Law, and that
on the date indicated below, I duly deposited for mailing OR placed in the appropriate file
maintained by the Division, 2200 S. Rancho Drive, Suite 210, Las Vegas, Nevada, a true and
correct copy of the foregoing CLAIMANT'S FIRST DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE PACKET
addressed to the following:

7 DANIEL SCHWARTZ, ESQ. 2300 W. SAHARA AVE STE 300 BOX 28 LAS VEGAS, NV 89102

DATED this ______ day of November, 2017.

1220 S. COMMERCE ST STE 120

FOCUS PLUMBING

LAS VEGAS, NV 89102

Via Fax / Via E-Mail
Mail Box at 60 - HO

Regular U.S. Mail
Via Hand Delivery
Via Fax / Via E-Mail
Mail Box at AO - HO

Regular U.S. Mail

Via Hand Delivery

- -

An Employee of Morris//Anderson Law

Chart Notes Martin Duran-Perez

Nicola Chiropractic 7380 Sahara Ave #100 Las Vegas, NV 89117 Phone: 702-252-7246

Fax: 702-251-9650

Patient: Duran-Perez, Martin DOB: 01/08/1978 Ins Co Pol# Insured ID **Date** 11/06/2017

Provider: Jaime DiOrio, D.C.

Subjective:

Mechanism of Injury

Mr. Martin Duran-Perez presents today for evaluation of injuries sustained as the result of an altercation and fall on 12/30/2016. Martin was working on a job site when he was assaulted by another employee. He was pushed off of a building and fell approximately 15-20 feet to the ground below. He landed on his back, hitting the back of his head.

Post Injury

Mr. Duran-Perez reports that he did lose consciousness upon impact. He recalls going in and out of consciousness while in transport. He states he really only remembers waking in the hospital. Mr. Duran-Perez was initially transported from the scene of the fall to a hospital in Henderson by ambulance. He was then transferred again to UMC Hospital by ambulance. Mr. Duran-Perez experienced head, upper/mid back, low back and left rib cage pain when he woke in the hospital. He was evaluated, admitted and stayed 5 days at UMC. Imaging was performed. He relates that he was told he had a bleed in his head and needed to stay for observation. He was eventually discharged for having no insurance. Since that time, he has suffered with headaches, neck, mid and lower back pain. He continued to experience significant spinal, rib and head pain for several months. Because he had no job and no insurance, he was unable to seek further treatment for his injuries.

Subjective Complaints

Mr. Duran-Perez presents today with headaches, neck pain, mid back pain and low back pain. He also has complaints of intermittent left arm pain and numbness and bilateral leg numbness and pain.

He currently rates his lower back pain as moderate to severe. The pain is located across the belt area and is described as a deep ache. He also describes frequent episodes of bilateral leg pain and numbness which extends from his buttocks to his thighs. He often feels weak, like his legs are giving out. Activities that increase his symptoms include: prolonged sitting, lifting, bending, stooping and lying down.

He currently rates his neck and mid back pain as mild to moderate. The pain is located midline along the spine and is most intense at the base of his skull. He describes the pain as sharp, stabbing and tight with movement. Activities that increase his symptoms include: turning the head, looking up, bending the neck side to side, prolong sitting and lifting.

He currently rates his headaches as moderate and intermittent. He states that initially the headaches were constant and located at the back of his head. Over time the frequency and intensity decreased. He still has headaches on a weekly basis and seem to be spontaneous. When they occur he states that it feels like the back of his skull is "open". He denies having any dizziness or visual disturbances in recent weeks.

Chart Notes

Martin Duran-Perez

Nicola Chiropractic 7380 Sahara Ave #100 Las Vegas, NV 89117 Phone: 702-252-7246

Fax: 702-251-9650

Patient:	Duran-Perez, Martin		DOB: 01/08/1978
ins Co		Pol#	insured ID
Date	11/06/2017		

Provider: Jaime DiOrio, D.C.

*** continued from previous page ***

Mr. Duran-Perez has had no medical care since being discharged from the hospital and related that over the counter Advil, rest and decreased physical activities were all that would give him relief.

Duties Under Duress / Activities of Daily Living

Mr. Duran-Perez is currently not working due to pain. Days missed because the injury: approximately 10 months. His job as a carpenter requires strenuous physical activity. Due to his injuries, he has been unable to return to working. He was let go from his previous job following the altercation.

He is also experiencing difficulty performing the following home activities due to discomfort: household cleaning. He does not have assistance for help with daily activities, due to his injuries. Martin's family status would best be described as: Single.

Activities of daily living (ADL's) have also been affected negatively in the following area(s): sleep. Martin also states that he has lost 1-2 hours per night of sleep since the injury occurred.

Neck Pain Disability Index Score: 31 Oswestry Low Back Pain Score: 50

Medical History

Prior Injuries: Denies any previous motor vehicle collisions, recreational or work injuries

Surgeries: Denies any past surgical procedures

<u>Fractures/Dislocations:</u> Left rib fractures which resulted from this fall <u>Current Medical Conditions:</u> Denies any current medical conditions

Current Medication: None

Other: Denies history of neck, back, or extremity pain prior to this injury

Social History

Mr. Duran-Perez is a 39 year old Male. He is a non-smoker that is currently not working due to pain

ROS

HEENT: Headache. Remaining ROS is unremarkable

Objective:

He is a 39 year old Male. He is right handed. He stands 5'4" tall and weighs 224 pounds. His blood pressure was 124/77 and his pulse rate was 98 bpm.

Chart Notes Martin Duran-Perez

Nicola Chiropractic 7380 Sahara Ave #100 Las Vegas, NV 89117 Phone: 702-252-7246 Fax: 702-251-9650

Patient: Duran-Perez, Martin		DOB: 01/08/1978
Ins Co	Pol#	Insured ID
Date 11/06/2017		

Provider: Jaime DiOrio, D.C.

*** continued from previous page ***

Psychiatric: He was co-operative, alert, and oriented x3.

Respiratory: His breathing was normal, without apparent distress. Lung sounds were normal.

Cardiovascular: No peripheral edema or jugular distension was noted. Skin color was good. Vertebrobasilar maneuver was negative, and carotid auscultation was normal.

Abdominal: Patient has a large ventral hernia visible with supine trunk flexion.

Tenderness to palpation was noted on the following areas: neck, upper back, mid back, low back, right shoulder and left shoulder.

Cervical Spine

Muscle spasm / hypertonicity was noted on the following spinal musculature: cervical paraspinal, right trapezius, left trapezius and suboccipitals.

Cervical range of motion was evaluated and revealed the following:

Flexion: normal with pain.

Extension: moderately decreased with pain.

Right Lateral Flexion: moderately decreased with pain. Left Lateral Flexion: mildly decreased with mild pain.

Right Rotation: mildly decreased with pain.

Left Rotation: normal without pain.

The following cervical orthopedic tests were positive for local neck pain: shoulder depression.

The following cervical orthopedic tests were positive for left radiating symptoms: Max foraminal compression on the left.

Segmental dysfunction noted in the craniocervical and cervicothoracic regions.

Thoracolumbar Spine

Muscle spasm / hypertonicity was noted on the following spinal musculature: thoracic paraspinal and lumbar paraspinal.

Thoracolumbar range of motion was evaluated and revealed the following:

Flexion: moderately decreased with pain. Extension: severely decreased with pain.

Right Lateral Flexion: mildly decreased with pain.

Chart Notes

Martin Duran-Perez

Nicola Chiropractic 7380 Sahara Ave #100 Las Vegas, NV 89117 Phone: 702-252-7246 Fax: 702-251-9650

Patient: Duran-Perez, Martin		DOB: 01/08/1978	
Ins Co	Pol #	Insured ID	
Date 11/06/2017			

Provider: Jaime DiOrio, D.C.

*** continued from previous page ***

Left Lateral Flexion: mildly decreased with pain. Right Rotation: moderately decreased with pain. Left Rotation: moderately decreased with pain.

The following orthopedic tests were positive for **local** low back pain: Lasegue's, Patrick's, Yeoman's and Hibb's bilaterally, L>R.

Segmental dysfunction noted throughout the thoracic and lumbosacral spine.

Shoulders

Range of motion was limited and painful in the following extremities: bilateral shoulders Most pronounced shoulder dysfunction and pain was noted with Add/IR/Ext. Pain is present bilaterally but is worse on the right.

Orthopedic testing of the left shoulder and right shoulder found the following to be positive for increased pain: Apley's Scratch. Thumb only able to reach T3 and L4.

Assessment:

The patient's initial diagnosis is:

(W13.0XXA) Fall from, out of othrough building or structure, initial encounter, (G44.329) Chronic post-traumatic headache, not intract, (M54.17) Radiculopathy, lumbosacral reg, (M62.830) Muscle spasm of back, (M50.23) Other cervical disc displacement, cervicothoracic region, (M54.2) Cervicalgia, (M54.6) Pain in thoracic spine, (M54.5) Low back pain, (M25.512) Pain in LT shoulder, (M25.511) Pain in RT shoulder, (M99.01) Seg and somatic dysf of cervical reg, (M99.02) Seg and somatic dysf of lumbar reg

Records from UMC have been requested. Current diagnoses may change based upon review of hospital records.

Plan:

Physical Restrictions

Restrictions include no standing for more than 30 minutes at a time without a rest and no sitting for more than 1 hour at a time. No lifting greater than 10 pounds. No repetitive tasks with shoulders.

Short and Long Term Goals

Problems for this patient include increased pain, decreased range of motion, decreased tolerance to prolonged positions, decreased endurance, and decreased ability to perform activities of daily living (ADLs) and required job duties.

Chart Notes Martin Duran-Perez

Nicola Chiropractic 7380 Sahara Ave #100 Las Vegas, NV 89117 Phone: 702-252-7248 Fax: 702-251-9650

Patient: Duran-Perez, Martin
Ins Co
Pol #
Insured ID

Date 11/06/2017

Provider: Jaime DiOrio, D.C.

*** continued from previous page ***

Short term goals for this patient are to decrease pain, break up scar tissue and fibrous adhesions, decrease muscle spasms, increase active and passive range of motion, restore bio-mechanical integrity and prevent re-injury. We will work on increasing spinal ROM by 2 weeks. Mr. Duran-Perez will decrease pain in 3 weeks. One of the patient's long term goals is to become independent in all ADLs without painful limits. He is to become independent in all recreational activities without painful limits. He will strive to become independent in all work-related activities. He is to receive treatment involving strengthening, ROM, neuromuscular reeducation, mechanical traction, physio-therapeutic modalities as indicated and a home exercise program (HEP).

The long term goals for this patient are to return to pre-injury state.

Prognosis

Mr. Duran-Perez's prognosis is guarded, pending results of the current treatment. The length of the treatment is expected to be prolonged due to lack of initial care following the injury. Scar tissue development and functional limitations have already occurred which will make progress challenging. The patient may or may not recover completely. He will require medical co-management. He is in the chronic phase of care.

Procedures

Physical examination was performed.

Interferential therapy was performed on the patient to stimulate circulation and decrease muscle spasms and/or pain. This therapy was performed for approximately 15 minutes to the areas of involvement.

Moist heat was applied to the area of involvement to reduce muscle spasm and increase circulation. The therapy was applied for approximately 15 minutes.

Imaging Performed

Imaging was ordered while at UMC. Those records were not available today for my review but have been requested. MRI's of the cervical, thoracic and lumbar spine are indicated due to length of time this patient has been symptomatic.

Recommendations:

Mr. Duran-Perez was referred for a medical co-management. Medication for his injuries will be dispensed at the discretion of the medical physician.

Plan

Mr. Duran-Perez was evaluated today for injuries sustained from a fall which occurred on 12/30/16. This patient states he was pain-free and asymptomatic preceding the fall. Based

Chart Notes Martin Duran-Perez

Nicola Chiropractic 7380 Sahara Ave #100 Las Vegas, NV 89117 Phone: 702-252-7246 Fax: 702-251-9650

Patient: Duran-Perez, Martin
Ins Co
Pol # Insured ID

Date 11/06/2017

upon the history provided, review of available medical records and the physical examination performed today, it is my conclusion that all injuries and functional limitations are directly related to the assault and fall from a great height. The presenting complaints are consistent with the mechanism of injury. I am recommending conservative care at this time.

Given the amount of time that has lapsed since the original injury occurred, it is important to implement active care as soon as possible. As long as the patient responds well to joint mobilization, I will begin him on rehabilitative exercises. I am also recommending myofascial release therapy to help decrease excess scar tissue development to restore normal joint and muscle flexibility. The patient will also be given a home stretching program to help control symptoms at work and home. I am recommending the use of moist heat at home to alleviate any symptoms of muscle tension. Physiotherapy modalities will be used as needed for pain control. As a result of the delay in receiving care for these injuries, it is expected that recovery will be slower than normal. I am recommending the patient be seen 2 to 3 times per week for 4 weeks at which time I will re-evaluate and modify the treatment plan accordingly.

Evaluation and treatment for Martin's injuries has been deemed medically necessary and causally related to the fall which occurred on 12/30/16.

Nicola Chiropractic

Personal Injury

1735 N. Nellis Blvd. ste. F Las Vegas, NV 89115 Phone (702) 998-6740 Fax (702) 476-4661

Physician's Lien and Medical Reports Authorization
Patient Name (Print):
Chiropractic and their staff. I hereby authorize and direct my attorney or insurance company to pay directly to Nicola Chiropractic as may be due and owing them for medical service rendered me both by reason of this accident and by reason of any other bills that are due his office, and to withhold such sums from any settlement, judgment or verdict as may be necessary to adequately protect said doctors. And I hereby further give a lien on my case to said doctors against any and all proceeds of my settlement, judgment or verdict which may be paid to you, my attorney, or myself, as the result of the injuries for which I have been treated or injuries in connection therewith.
I agree that I will not rescind this document and that a rescission will not be honored by my attorney. My agreement not to rescind this document is a consideration for my doctor rendering treatment to me while my case is being actively pursued through the process of negotiation, settlement and/or litigation. In the event that my first attorney discontinues representation, I grant Nicola Chiropractic an irrevocable assignment of proceeds up to the amount of medical bills. In the event that another attorney is substituted in this matter the assignment of proceeds may be assigned back to me at the discretion of Nicola Chiropractic.
I fully understand that I am directly and fully responsible to said doctor for all medical bills submitted by him for services rendered to me, and that this agreement is made solely for said doctor's additional protection and in consideration of his awaiting payment, and I further understand that such payment is not contingent on any settlement, judgment or verdict by which I may eventually recover said fee.
I therefore acknowledge and fully accept the terms of this document by signing below. I have been advised that if my attorney does not wish to co-operate in protecting the doctor's interest, the doctor will not await payment but will require me to make payments on a current basis, and that my doctor may also proceed against my attorney to recover such funds if my attorney received such funds and refuses or fails to disperse such funds to my doctor. I expressly waive the Statute of Limitations regarding my doctor's right to recover.
It is further understood that the doctor shall be entitled to all reasonable costs of collection including, but not limited to his attorney's fees and costs of suit to recover his full costs of treatment as a result of myself or my attorney receiving any recovery, settlement or compromise and failing and/or refusing to pay promptly the doctor for all medical services he and his office have rendered on my behalf.
Patient or Guardian Signature MUY FIN DUY AN PEYEZ Date 11-6-12
Witness Signature The Control of the
The undersigned being attorney of record or insurance company representative for the above patient does hereby acknowledge receipt of Lien and agree to honor above and agrees to withhold such sums from any settlement, judgment or verdict as may be necessary to adequately protect said doctor/healthcare facility above named.
Attorney Signature

Nicola Chiropractic

7380 Sahara Ave #100 Las Vegas, NV 89117

Phone: 702-252-7246 Fax: 702-251-9650

Superbill

Superbill Date: 11/14/2017

Service

11/1/2017 thru 11/6/2017

Patient Information

Payor Information

Martin Duran-Perez 3555 E. Lakemead Blvd Las Vegas, NV 89115

Account:

17853

Date of birth: 1/8/1978

Employer:

Insurance Phone:

Insured ID:

Insurance Policy Group: Insurance Plan Name:

Dx: (W13.0XXA) Fall from, out of othrough building or structure, initial encounter, (G44.329) Chronic post-traumatic headache, not intract, (M54.17) Radiculopathy, lumbosacral reg, (M62.830) Muscle spasm of back, (M50.23) Other cervical disc displacement, cervicothoracic region, (M54.2) Cervicalgia, (M54.6) Pain in thoracic spine, (M54.5) Low back pain, (M25.512) Pain in LT shoulder, (M25.511) Pain in RT shoulder, (M99.01) Seg and somatic dysf of cervical reg, (M99.02) Seg and somatic dysf of thoracic reg, (M99.03) Seg and somatic dysf of lumbar reg

Date	Туре	Code	Mod	Units	Description	Date of injury PO	S Tax	Amount
11/06/2017	CRG	99203	25	1	E/ M New Patient Moderate 99203	1	1 0.00	255.94
11/06/2017	CRG	97010	59	1	Hot/cold 97010	1	1 0.00	37.77
11/06/2017	CRG	97014	59	1	Electrical Stimulation unattended	1	1 0.00	42.49
Provider I	nforma	tion				Total Charg	es	\$336.20
Name:	J	aime DiOrio	, D.C.			Total Tax	es	\$0.00
License:	В	888800				Tot	tal	\$336.20

Tax ID:

331010872

NPI:

NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION

BEFORE THE APPEALS OFFICER

In the Matter of the Contested Industrial Insurance Claim

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

of

MARTIN DURAN PEREZ 3555 E. LAKE MEAD BLVD., #147 LAS VEGAS, NV 89115,

Claimant.

Claim No.:

2016-0022

Hearing No.:

1710955-MT

Appeal No.:

1714955-CJY

Employer:

FOCUS PLUMBING

C/O SUN CITY ELECTRIC ATTN: PATTY PIZANO

1220 S. COMMERCE ST., #120 LAS VEGAS, NV 89102

DOH: 09/12/17 AT 9:30 A.M.

INSURER'S APPEAL MEMORANDUM

COMES NOW the Insurer, FOCUS PLUMBING (hereinafter referred to as "Insurer"), by and through its attorneys, DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ., and LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP, and submits its Appeal Memorandum for the hearing on the instant, matter currently set to be heard on September 12, 2017, at 9:30 a.m. In support of its position, the Insurer states as follows.

- 1. That there is no medical, legal or factual basis upon which to warrant an entitlement to any benefits for the claimant due to his failure to meet his burden in establishing that he was injured or developed a condition in the course of and arising out of his employment.
- 2. That there is no medical, legal or factual basis upon which to warrant an entitlement to any benefits for the claimant as he has failed to prove that it was improper to deny his claim.

WHEREFORE, the Insurer, FOCUS PLUMBING, respectfully requests that the Appeals Officer provide the following relief:

1. That the Appeals Officer reverse the Hearing Officer's Decision and Order dated June 1, 2017, which reversed and remanded Insurer's March 6, 2017 determination to deny the claim.

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

4837-2421-7166.1 /33947-19

DOCO11

That the Appeals Officer affirm the March 6, 2017 determination to deny 2. 1 2 the claim. DATED this 5 day of September, 2017. 3 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 4 5 6 DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 5125 7 2300 W. Sahara Ave., Ste. 300, Box 28 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 8 Attorneys for the Insurer 9 DOCUMENTS TO BE INTRODUCED AT HEARING 10 The Insurer shall rely upon its Index of Documents filed separately herein. Further, 11 the Insurer shall rely upon any documents produced by the claimant, subject to objection. 12 STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES 13 The following issues are before the Appeals Officer for review: 14 Whether the determination to deny the claim for compensation was proper. 1. 15 Whether the Hearing Officer erred in reversing the claim denial 2. 16 determination. 17 WITNESSES 18 The Insurer may call the following witnesses at the time of hearing: 19 Proper representatives of the Employer including those who provided 1. 20 written statements; 21 Further, the Insurer does reserve the right to call the claimant himself, together with 22 any treating or examining physicians of the claimant, for rebuttal and other purposes at the time of 23 hearing. 24 TIME ESTIMATED FOR HEARING 25 It is estimated that the time for hearing of the Insurer's case as petitioner will be 26 one (1) hour or less. 28

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

4837-2421-7166.1

33947-19

1 =

12-13)

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The claimant, MARTIN DURAN PEREZ (hereinafter referred to as "claimant"), alleges that he was pushed off of the roof on December 30, 2016. The claimant was treated at UMC Trauma for subdural hematoma on the date of the incident. The claimant was taken off of work. (Exhibit p. 1)

A Supervisor Accident Investigation Report notes that the claimant went to the second floor with no fall protection and was involved in **work place violence**. (Exhibit p. 2)

Foreman Rafael Benitez noted that he did not witness the event but found Mr. Perez passed out on the ground and called 911 and checked vitals. (Exhibit pp. 3-4)

A Safety Report completed by Safety Manager Nicholas Pao, which noted that the claimant was mad due to an alleged pay check shortage while working on Pedro's crew. The claimant climbed an 8 foot ladder to get to where Pedro was working on a second floor, tied off. The claimant started yelling at Pedro and Pedro stated that they could fix the problem the following Tuesday at the office. However, the discussion with the two got "elevated." Pedro's son came up from the first floor to aid his father, and when the Pedro's son asked the claimant to stop, the claimant allegedly started yelling at him and the son put his hands on the claimant and pushed him away and the claimant eventually fell off of the roof. (Exhibit pp. 5-9)

Pedro Rosales also gave a statement and alleged that the claimant, at 5:00 came up to the second floor where he was working and began to say bad words to him and tried to hit him. He told the claimant to give him time and he would try and resolve the problem on January 2, 2017. His son heard the offensive comments and came up to defend him and other people also were involved verbally, including an unidentified person who also came up to the second floor and later left. (Exhibit pp. 10-11)

Pedro's son, Jose Rosales gave his version of what happened, as well. (Exhibit pp.

Statements by Eduardo Leon and Elvis Herrera noted that the son of the man working on the second floor pushed the claimant who fell off of roof after a discussion between 4837-2421-7166.1
33947-19
3

1 the parties. (Exhibit pp. 14-17) 2 A statement from the claimant indicated that he climbed to where Pedro was working and showed him his check and Pedro stated that houses do not make money. He then 3 states that Pedro's son stated it wasn't good and that he was then grabbed and pushed off of the 4 5 roof. (Exhibit p. 18) 6 An Industrial Injury or Illness form in Spanish was also executed by the claimant. 7 (Exhibit pp. 19-20) 8 A Criminal Complaint was issued against Pedro's son, Jose Rosales. (Exhibit p. 9 21) 10 The claimant was treated at UMC on the date of the incident described as a 20 foot 11 fall after being pushed off of a roof. The claimant was transferred out of the Emergency Department after a subdural bleed was discovered along with a possible right 8th rib fracture. X-12 13 rays of the left shoulder revealed no acute osseous abnormality, and a CT scan of the brain revealed a subdural hematoma, and a MRI of the cervical spine was normal except for soft tissue swelling from T-1 through T-3. Other diagnostic testing was essentially normal. (Exhibit pp. 22-15 16 56) 17 A claim denial determination was issued on March 6, 2017(Exhibit pp. 57-59) 18 On March 21, 2017, the claimant appealed the claim denial determination. (Exhibit 19 p. 60) 20 On March 30, 2017, the adjuster denied March 21, 2017, requests for 1) TTD 21 beginning on December 30, 2016, to present and 2) request for transfer of care to Dr. Garber. (Exhibit pp. 61-62) 22 23 Following Hearing No. 1710955-MT, the Hearing Officer issued a Decision and 24 Order dated June 1, 2017, reversing the March 6, 2017 determination denying the claim. (Exhibit 25 pp. 63-65.) Insurer filed a timely appeal. (Exhibit p. 66.) In addition, the Insurer filed a Motion for 26 a Stay of the Hearing Officer's decision, which was granted. (Exhibit p. 68.) 27 This appeal ensues.

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

4837-2421-7166.1 33947**-**19

1	ľ
	l
-	

_

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD

& SMITH LLP

<u>ARGUMENT</u>

A.

The Claimant Bears the Burden

It is the claimant, not the Insurer, who has the burden of proving his case by a preponderance of all the evidence. <u>State Indus. Ins. Sys. v. Hicks</u>, 100 Nev. 567, 688 P.2d 324 (1984); <u>Johnson v. State ex rel. Wyoming Worker's Comp. Div.</u>, 798 P.2d 323 (1990); <u>Hagler v. Micron Tech.</u>, 118 Idaho 596, 798 P.2d 55 (1990).

In attempting to prove his case, the claimant has the burden of going beyond speculation and conjecture. That means that the claimant must establish all facets of the claim by a preponderance of all the evidence. To prevail, a claimant must present and prove more evidence than an amount which would make his case and his opponent's "evenly balanced." Maxwell v. SIIS, 109 Nev. 327, 849 P.2d 267 (1993); SIIS v. Khweiss, 108 Nev. 123, 825 P.2d 218 (1992); SIIS v. Kelly, 99 Nev. 774, 671 P.2d 29 (1983); 3, A. Larson, The Law of Workmen's Compensation, §80.33(a).

NRS 616A.010(2) makes it clear that:

A claim for compensation filed pursuant to the provisions of chapters 616A to 616D, inclusive, or chapter 617 of NRS must be decided on its merit and not according to the principle of common law that requires statutes governing workers' compensation to be liberally construed because they are remedial in nature.

The evidence supports the Insurer's position that the claimant has failed to meet his burden of establishing that he has a compensable claim arising out of and in the course and scope of his employment.

В.

The Claim Denial Determination was Legal and Proper

The issue is whether the determination to deny the claim was proper, and/or whether the Hearing Officer erred in reversing the denial of this claim. The Insurer asserts that based upon the totality of the evidence presented, the Hearing Officer did so err. The incident did not arise out of nor was it in the course and scope of the claimant's employment.

4837-2421-7166.1 **7-**19

Under NRS 616C.150(1), the <u>claimant</u> has the burden of proof to show that the injury arose out of and in the course and scope of his employment. The claimant must satisfy this burden by a preponderance of the factual and medical evidence. Further, NRS 616B.612 mandates that an employee is only entitled to compensation if he is injured in the course and scope of his employment.

The claimant's injuries arose out of a *personal dispute*, rather than in the course of his employment. The facts are not disputed and are as follows: The claimant was working on a job site. He took it upon himself to go to *another* job site, where his former lead was working. He ascended a ladder, without any protective equipment, and confronted his old lead regarding a pay check. His former lead informed him that they would take care of it at the office. Claimant continued to argue and shout profanities at his former lead. His former lead's son, then became involved and pushed the claimant. The claimant fell off of the roof and sustained injury. Nothing within this fact pattern points to a compensable claim. The claimant was wrong in going to a different job site. The claimant was wrong for ascending a ladder without fall protection. The aggressor was wrong in pushing the claimant; however, there is absolutely nothing compensable about this incident.

The Nevada Supreme Court has held that:

An accident or injury is said to arise out of employment when there is a causal connection between the injury and the employee's work ... the injured party must establish a link between the workplace conditions and how those conditions caused the injury ... a claimant must demonstrate that the origin of the injury is related to some risk involved within the scope of employment.

Rio Suite Hotel v. Gorsky, 113 Nev. 600, 939 P.2d 1043(1997). (emphasis added)

The same Court further stated that the "Nevada Industrial Insurance Act is not a mechanism which makes insurers/employers absolutely liable for injuries suffered by employees who are on the job." (Id.)

Here, the claimant was involved in a <u>personal dispute</u> with a co-worker and the injuries <u>did not arise from the Employer's business or the claimant's job</u>. The claimant clearly has not established that the injury occurred within the course and scope of his employment,

VIS 28

BISGAARD

4837-2421-7166.1 **-**19

as he was not acting on behalf of the Employer when he verbally assaulted his former lead and another co-worker pushed him, causing injury. What is clear is that this incident had nothing to do with his work duties. It was clearly a personal matter between him and the co-worker. The fact that claimant was injured after he verbally assaulted his former lead, does not make this a compensable injury arising out of and in the course and scope of his employment. Conversely, claimant cannot be rewarded for his behavior that was clearly outside of the scope of his employment and not in furtherance his Employer's business.

The Nevada Supreme Court has held that an employee who is injured in the course of his work by the insane act of a fellow employee sustains injury which does not arise out of employment. Cummings v. United Resort Hotels, Inc., 85 Nev. 23 (1969); Corrao Const. Co. v. Curtis, 94 Nev. 569 (1978); Outboard Marine Corp. v. Schupbach, 93 Nev. 158 (1977).

The <u>Cummings</u> decision went further and adopted the general rule that injuries resulting from assaults by fellow workmen when the attack results from personal animosity are not compensable. (<u>Id.</u>) (Citing <u>Pacific Employers Ins. Co. v. Industrial Acc. Comm.</u>, 293 P.2d 502 (Cal. App. 1956).

<u>Larson's</u> treatise on worker's compensation also speaks to this issue:

When the animosity or dispute that culminates in an assault is imported into the employment from claimant's domestic or private life, the assault does not arise out of the employment under any test.

3, A. Larson, The Law of Workmen's Compensation, §11.21(a) (emphasis added).

Larson cites to a number of cases which are helpful in adjudicating the matter before this Court. In <u>City of Atlanta v. Shaw</u>, 345 S.E.2d 642 (1986), an employee sought benefits for an injury sustained during a fight with a fellow employee. The fight concerned the use of the employer's telephone. In reaching the conclusion that the claim was non-compensable, the Georgia court focused on the history of personal animosity.

Further, the Nevada Supreme Court held in Mitchell v. Clark County School District, 121 Nev. 179, 111 P.3d 1104 (2005):

An accident or injury is said to arise out of employment when there is a causal connection between the injury and the employee's work. In other words, the injured party must establish a link between the workplace conditions and how those conditions caused the injury. Further, a claimant must demonstrate that the origin of the injury is related to some risk involved within the scope of employment. However, if an accident is not fairly traceable to the nature of employment or the workplace environment, then the injury cannot be said to arise out of the claimant's employment. Finally, resolving whether an injury arose out of employment is examined by a totality of the circumstances.

The Court in Rio Suite Hotel & Casino v. Gorsky, 113 Nev. 600, 605 939 P2d. 1043 (1997) held that the "Nevada Industrial Insurance Act is not a mechanism which makes insurers absolutely liable for injuries suffered by employees who are on the job." The Court concluded by stating, "The requirements of 'arising out of and in the course of employment' make it clear that a claimant must establish more than being at work and suffering an injury in order to recover."

The Court in <u>Rio All Suite Hotel and Casino v. Phillips</u>, 126 Nev. _, 240 P.3d 2 (2010) clarified <u>Mitchell</u>. It indicated that:

The appeals officer found that Phillips' case was 'distinguishable' from Mitchell because Phillips' injury did not result from an 'unexplained fall.' Without elaborating, the appeals officer also stated that '[t]he Mitchell [c]ourt mentions the inherent dangerousness of stairways.' . . . [The Court in Rio further discussed Mitchell: "The employee argued that because she did not have a health affliction that caused her to fall and 'because staircases are inherently dangerous,' her injury "arose out of her employment." . . . The appeals officer determined that the employee's fall did not arise out of her employment, and the district court denied her petition for judicial review."... [Our finding in Mitchell was that] "[T]he employee must show that 'the origin of the injury is related to some risk involved within the scope of employment . . . thus, because the [Mitchell] employee could not explain how the conditions of her employment caused her to fall . . . we determined that the appeals officer correctly concluded that she failed to demonstrate the requisite 'causal connection.

There is simply now showing at this time that there is any origin of injury related to some risk within the scope of employment, given the personal nature of the dispute between the

& SMITH LLP

26

claimant and his co-worker. The Hearing Officer incorrectly concluded that the injury occurred within the course and scope of the employment. The case law does not support this conclusion, nor was the case law even addressed by the Hearing Officer. The claimant had no right to approach a job site, in which he was not working on, ascend a ladder without safety equipment, and begin verbally assaulting his former supervisor and/or co-worker(s). The act that followed, though unfortunate, from a legal perspective is certainly nothing that arose from the employment. The personal dispute and corresponding injury among co-workers is not compensable. The claim was properly denied. **CONCLUSION** Based upon the foregoing points and authorities, it is clear that the claimant has failed to meet his burden of establishing an entitlement to an accepted claim. WHEREFORE, the Insurer, FOCUS PLUMBING, respectfully requests that the Appeals Officer provide the following relief: 1. That the Appeals Officer reverse the Hearing Officer's Decision and Order dated June 1, 2017, which reversed and remanded Insurer's March 6, 2017 determination to deny the claim.

4837-2421-7166.1 33947-19

1		2.	That the Appeals Officer affirm the March 6, 2017 determination to deny
2	the claim.		
3		DATE	ED this day of September, 2017.
4			Respectfully submitted,
5			LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
6			
7			- SM1.
8			By: DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ FGO
9			DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 5125
10			2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 300, Box 28 Las Vegas, NV 89101
11			Phone: (702) 893-3383 Fax: (702) 366-9689
12			Attorneys for the Insurer
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

4837-2421-7166.1 33947-19

1 2 3 4 MORRIS ANDERSON LAW JACOB LEAVITT, ESQ. 716 S. JONES BLVD. LAS VEGAS, NV 89107 **FOCUS PLUMBING** 8 C/O SUN CITY ELECTRIC FOCUS PLUMBING C/O SUN CITY ELECTRIC 10 ATTN: PATTY PIZANO 1220 S. COMMERCE ST., #120 11 LAS VEGAS, NV 89102 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

Pursuant to Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure 5(b), I hereby certify that service of the foregoing INSURER'S APPEAL MEMORANDUM was made this date by depositing a true copy of the same for mailing, first class mail, at Las Vegas, Nevada, addressed as follows:

DATED this ft day of September, 2017.

An employee of LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

27

28

4837-2421-7166.1 33947-19

1 NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRAT BEFORE THE APPEALS OFFICER 2 In the Matter of the Contested Claim No.: 2016-0022 3 Industrial Insurance Claim Hearing No.: 1710955-MT 4 Appeal No.: 1714955-CJY 5 MARTIN DURAN PEREZ 3555 E. LAKE MEAD BLVD., #147 Employer: 6 LAS VEGAS, NV 89115, FOCUS PLUMBING C/O SUN CITY ELECTRIC 7 Claimant. ATTN: PATTY PIZANO 1220 S. COMMERCE ST., #120 8 LAS VEGAS, NV 89102 DOH: 09/12/17 AT 9:30 A.M. 9 **INSURER'S INDEX OF DOCUMENTS** 10 COMES NOW the Insurer, FOCUS PLUMBING (hereinafter referred to as "Insurer"), 11 by and through its attorneys, DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ., of LEWIS BRISBOIS 12 BISGAARD & SMITH LLP, and submit the attached Index of Documents relating to the above-13 14 referenced matter. 15 **AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030** 16 The undersigned does hereby affirm that the attached exhibits do not contain the personal 17 information of any person. 18 DATED this day of September, 2017. 19 Respectfully submitted. 20 21 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 22 23 DANIEL L. SCNWARTZ, ESO. 24 Nevada Bar No. 005125 2300 W. Sahara Ave., Ste. 300, Box 28 25 Las Vegas, NV 89102 26 Tel.: (702) 893-3383 Fax: (702) 366-9563 27 Attorneys for the Insurer, 28

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

4837-2732-5007.1 /33947-16

DOC012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2	<u>Document</u>	Page No(s).
3	Form C-4 for doi 12/30/16 completed by Claimant, dated 12-30-16	1
5	Supervisor's Accident Investigation Report, dated 12-30-16	
6 7	Incident Report Form / Safety Report by Foreman Rafael Benitez, dated 12-30-16	
8 9	Incident Report Form / Safety Report by Safety Manager Nicholas Pao, dated 12-30-16	
10 11	Incident Report Form / Safety Report by Pedro Rosales, dated 12-30-16	
12	Incident Report Form / Safety Report by Jose Rosales, dated 12-30-16	
13 14	Incident Report Form / Safety Report by Eduardo Leon, dated 12-30-16	
15 16	Incident Report Form / Safety Report by Elvis Herrera, dated 12-30-16	
17	Incident Report Form / Safety Report by Claimant, dated 12-30-16	
18 19	Industrial Injury or Illness Form in Spanish, dated 01-31-17	
20 21	Criminal complaint against Jose Rosales, dated 02-16-17	
22 23	UMC's medical reporting, dated 12-30-16	
24	Administrator's notice of claim denial, dated 03-06-17	
2526	Claimant's request for hearing of the 03-06-17 determination re claim denial, dated 03-20-17	
27 28	Administrator's letter denying request for TTD benefits and for transfer of care, dated 03-30-17	
	4837-2732-5007 1 / 33047 16	

Hearing Officer Trenkler's Decision and Order, dated 06-01-17......63-65 Employer's request for hearing before the Appeals Officer of the 06-01-17 Decision and Order, dated 06-29-17......66 Claimant's request for hearing of the 05-30-17 determination, dated 06-01-17......67 Order Granting Motion For Stay Pending Appeal, dated 08-02-17......68 /// /// /// /// /// /// /// /// /// ///

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that, on the day of September, 2017, I served a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing INSURER'S INDEX OF DOCUMENTS by depositing a true and correct copy of the same for mailing, postage prepaid thereon, in an envelope addressed to the following:

MORRIS ANDERSON LAW JACOB LEAVITT, ESQ. 716 S. JONES BLVD. LAS VEGAS, NV 89107

FOCUS PLUMBING C/O SUN CITY ELECTRIC

FOCUS PLUMBING SUN CITY ELECTRIC ATTN: PATTY PIZANO 1220 S. COMMERCE ST., #120 LAS VEGAS, NV 89102

De to

An employee of LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

2223

24

25

2627

28

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

4837-2732-5007.1 / 33947-16

EMPLOYEE'S CLAIM FOR COMPENSATION/REPORT OF INITIAL TREATMENT FORM C-4

EMPLOYEE'S CLAIM FOR COMPENSATION/REPORT OF INITIAL TREATMENT
1 Old 6-4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
FIRM NOTICE STOCK THE STOC
Claim Numbers
nome Address
City QS AS Q State Zin
Physical Address 705-877 77(1)
State Zip Primary Language Snokon
INSURER FOCUS THIRD-PARTY ADMINISTRATOR Employee's Occupation (Job.Title) When Injury or Occupational Disease Occurred
Employer's Name/Company Name FOCUS Plumbing Telephone
Office Mail Address (Number and Street) 1220 S Common Street
Date of Injury (if applicable) Hours Injury (if applicable) Date Smaller N. 112 Cas Vegas, XVV X 4/07
Address or Location of Accident (If applicable) Last Day of Work After Injury or Occupational Disease 12.30.10 Last Day of Work After Injury or Occupational Disease 12.30.10
130 V naul O
What were you doing at the time of the accident? (if applicable) Pushed off Roof
ridw did this injury or occupational disease occur? (Be specific and answer in detail. Use additional sheet if processed
el 1)0 de predo me aven to Repente
If you believe that you have an occupational eleganders.
relationship to your employment? Witnesses to the Accident (if applicable)
артсане)
Nature of Injury or Occupational Disease Start b Fracture Part(s) of Body Injured or Affected
INDUSTRIAL INSURANCE AND COCUPATIONAL DISEASES ACTS (NRS 6154 TO 6160, INCLUSIVE OR CHAPTER 617 OF NRS). I HEREBY ALITHORIZE AND PROVIDED ANY PROSPETAL INSURANCE AND OCCUPATIONAL DISEASES ACTS (NRS 6154 TO 6160, INCLUSIVE OR CHAPTER 617 OF NRS). I HEREBY ALITHORIZE ANY PROSPETAL INCLUSIVE OR CHAPTER 617 OF NRS). I HEREBY ALITHORIZE ANY PROSPETAL INCLUSIVE OR CHAPTER 617 OF NRS). I HEREBY ALITHORIZE ANY PROSPETAL INCLUSIVE OR CHAPTER 617 OF NRS). I HEREBY ALITHORIZE ANY PROSPETAL INCLUSIVE OR CHAPTER 617 OF NRS).
PERTINENT TO THIS INJURY OR DISEASE, EXCEPT INFORMATION RELEASE TO EACH OTHER, ANY MEDICAL OR OTHER INFORMATION, INCLUDING BENEFITS PAID OR PAYABLE.
ICERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND THAT I HAVE PROVIDED THIS INFORMATION IN ORDER TO OBTAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S INDUSTRIAL INSURANCE AND OCCUPATIONAL DISEASES ACTS (NRS 616A TO 616D, INCLUSIVE OR CHAPTER 617 OF NRS). I HEREBY AUTHORIZE ANY PHYSICIAN, CHIROPRACTOR, INSURANCE COMPANY, OR OTHER INSTITUTION OR ORGANIZATION TO RELEASE TO EACH OTHER, ANY MEDICAL OR OTHER INFORMATION, INCLUDING BENEFITS PAID OR ANY PERTINENT TO THIS INJURY OR DISEASE, EXCEPT INFORMATION RELATIVE TO DIAGNOSIS, TREATMENT AND/OR COUNSELING FOR AIDS, PSYCHOLOGICAL CONDITIONS, ALCOHOLOR PHYSICIAN CHIROPRACTION, INCLUDING BENEFITS PAID OR PAYABLE, CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES, FOR WHICH I MUST GIVE SPECIFIC AUTHORIZATION, A PHOTOSTAT OF THIS AUTHORIZATION SHALL BE AS VALID AS THE ORIGINAL. Date 30 - 30 - 40 Place
THIS REPORT MUST BE COMPLETED AND MALED MUTTURES SIGNATURE TY (C) THE PORT MUST BE COMPLETED AND MALED MUTTURES SIGNATURE TY (C) THE PORT MUST BE COMPLETED AND MALED MUTTURES SIGNATURE TY (C) THE PORT MUST BE COMPLETED AND MALED MUTTURES SIGNATURE TY (C) THE PORT MUST BE COMPLETED AND MALED MUTTURES SIGNATURE TY (C) THE PORT MUST BE COMPLETED AND MALED MUTTURES SIGNATURE TY (C) THE PORT MUST BE COMPLETED AND MALED MUTTURES SIGNATURE TY (C) THE PORT MUST BE COMPLETED AND MALED MUTTURES SIGNATURE TY (C) THE PORT MUST BE COMPLETED AND MALED MUTTURES SIGNATURE TY (C) THE PORT MUST BE COMPLETED AND MALED MUTTURES SIGNATURE TY (C) THE PORT MUST BE COMPLETED AND MALED MUTTURES SIGNATURE TY (C) THE PORT MUST BE COMPLETED AND MALED MUTTURES SIGNATURE TY (C) THE PORT MUST BE COMPLETED AND MALED MUST BE COMPLETED AND MUST BE COMPLETE
Place TRAUMA ED Name of Facility UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER
Date Diagnosis and Description of Injury or Occupational Disease Is there evidence that the injured applications
Hour Howaroma No 17 Yes (if yes, please exolain)
Treatment: ANTI-SETZURE MEDICATIONS Have you advised the patient to remain off work five days or more?
Y. Yes Indicate dates: from 12/30/16 to 1/7/16
Y-Ray Findings: SUBDURAL HEMATOMA No If no, is the injured employee capable of: full duty modified duty
From Information given by the employee, together with medical gvidence, can you directly connect this injury or occupational disease as job incurred? A Yes No ACTIVITIES THAT PUT HIM AT
Is additional medical care by a physician indicated? Yes I No IZISK For REPEAT HEAD INVERY FOR
Do you know of any previous injury or disease contributing to this condition or occupational disease? Yes No (Explain If yes)
Date Print Doctor's Name I certify that the employer's copy of
Address this form was mailed to the employer on:
1800 W. Charleston INSURER'S USE ONLY Oity Las Vegas State NV Zip 20103 Provider's Tax I.D. Number Telephone
88-6000436 702-383-2000
Octor's Signature Degree MD
ORIGINAL - TREATING PHYSICIAN OR CHIROPRACTOR PAGE 2 - INSURER/TPA PAGE 3 - EMPLOYER PAGE 4 - EMPLOYEE FORM C-4 (MV 01478)

Form C-4 (rev.01/03) BYL

Supervisor Accident Investigation Report (Must be filled out by the Supervisor)

1.	Telephone or radio your report to the SAFETY OFFICE IMMEDIATELY.	Be prepared to give the following information:
	,	F F

Injured Employee's Name Social Security Number

Time of Accident
Name of Medical Facility

	•	Job N	ame / Location		Details of Acci	dent	
2.	Complete the fo	llowing report for so	rious and non-seriou	s injuries and or illi	nesses alike.		•
	COMPANY:	Focus F	ramina		JOB NAME: GI	een Cou	iters Lot 90
3.	Injured Employe	ee's Full Name:	Martin	Duran	Perez		Sex: MM DF
	Job Classificati	on: Frame	<u>/</u> S	upervisor's Name	: Rataci	Benit	ez
4.	Injury or Illness Date of Injury or	Information: · Illness: 12/30	//6 Time of Day	: <u>4:30</u> 🗆 a.1	n. D p.m. Da	ite you reported inju	ry: 12130116
	Did injury requir	e: 🗆 First Aid (On J	obsite) Med	lical Treatment (Cli	nic / Hospital)	☐ No Treatmer	nt (Information Only)
			ent at the time of reped any medical treatn			Ilness? Ye	s 🗆 No s 🗆 No
5.	Type of injury or ☐ Abrasion ☐ Amputation ☐ Avulsion	□ Burn	☐ Foreign Body ☐ Fracture ☐ Hearing Loss	☐ Strain ☐ Hernia ☐ Irritation	☐ Laceration☐ Puncture☐ Multiple	☐ Rash ☐ Sprain ☐ Wound	☐ Heart Attack☐ Skin Disease☐ Trauma
6.	Part of the body o ☐ Arm ☐ Ankle ☐ Back	effected: Chest Elbow Eye	☐ Finger ☐ Foot ☐ Hand	Head Hip Knee	☐ Leg ☐ Multiple ☐ Neck	☐ Shoulder☐ Thumb☐ Toc	☐ Trunk ☐ Wrist ☐ Lungs
	Body side Indicat Upper	or: Lower	De Len	□ Right	□ Both	'	
7.	objects and how t	hev were involved)	Use a senarate sheet	of naper if necessa	rv		it happened and name
	Employee from 12	vent 7	o Al floo	r without	PPE, Fal	I protection	and fall
8.	Was the employee	e at work on compan lain:	y time? 🔑 Yes	□ No			
9.	Did a "hazardous If YES, please exp	condition" exist? plain: <u>The</u> cr	n player M	No artin Puran	elimbed	on a 2nd fla	or without the proper protect
10.	were safety proce	dures observed?	m 162	No K			
	If NO, please expl	ain which safety pro	cedure(s) were viola	led: No PPE	, No Fall p	rotection and	Work place viole
11.	What is the root ca	use of the accident?	Work pla	_			
12.	Recurrence Rate:	ne to prevent the acc reviously reported?	ident from reoccurrin Often Occas Yes No		ning st time	• Mily 19 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
	Supervisor Name (PRINT Ben, to		Supervisor Signat	yre f		Date 12 /30/16

INCIDENT REPORT FORM SAFETY REPORT

COMPANY NAME: FOCUS Framing
NAME OF INVOLVED PERSON: Martin Duran Perez
ADDRESS:
PHONE:
DATE & TIME OF INCIDENT: 12/30/16 4:30 PM
LOCATION: Green Courts Lot 90
WAS ILLNESS OR INJURY INVOLVED (if yes, describe below)?
/ DESCRIPTION OF INCIDENT (Please include names of individuals involved, nature of the
incident, if injury or illness give name of physician/hospital used, names & addresses
of witnesses, and narrative of what occurred)
At the time the incident becomed I did not
see it happend myself, when I got there
the employee was lying on the ground passed
out, I checked vitels and notified 911.
Also notified upper managment and satex
departament
- Company of the Comp

required, or likely outco	omes)	le the inciden	t, any next steps		•
		, .	•		
					All and a second
			•-		1
			•		
					·
OLLOW UP:				1	
			•		
RINT NAME OF PERSON S		Ratac	1 Benit	, C7	•
GNATURE OF PERSON SU		RAS	Bet		
ATE OF REPORT: 12/	30/16	14/100	m,		
OTE: Incident Report Forn	, ,	ed and submitt	ed to Safety Depa	artment with	in 48 hours

INCIDENT REPORT FORM SAFETY REPORT

COMPANY NAME: Focus Framing
NAME OF INVOLVED PERSON: Martine Duran-Percz
ADDRESS:
PHONE:
DATE & TIME OF INCIDENT: 12/30/16 @ 4:30 to 5:00 PM
LOCATION: Cyreen Courts lot #90 KB Homes.
WAS ILLNESS OR INJURY INVOLVED (if yes, describe below)?
DESCRIPTION OF INCIDENT (Please include names of individuals involved, nature of the
incident, if injury or illness give name of physician/hospital used, names & addresses
of witnesses, and narrative of what occurred)
from taken from Collowing Statements of employees.
Employee Martine was mad cause his pay check was
Short from the week before when he was working
on Pedro's Crew. That evening at about 4:30 pm
Martine walked across the street from lot # 98 to where
Pedro was working on lot #90 and climbed a 8 FT. I colder
to get onto second floor where Pedro was wrorking
tied off. He confronted Pedro and Started yelling at
him, Pedro stated he flat told him that they could go
to office on tuesday to Gip it, but it only got elevated
and then Pedros son who was working down

FINAL DISPOSITION (how you intend to handle the incident, any next steps

required, or likely outcomes)

Stairs Climbed up to help his dad out. He stated
he told Martine to stop, but he started yelling at him
and then put hands on him and that's when he pushed
him away and was about 36t away Grom edge and
tried to grab coral post but ended up falling to the
ground approximately 126+ to the ground, Martine was
Knowled un constions. Employee Educato Leon then
Climbed up and confronted Pedro and his feat Son
and wanted to fight. Pedro stated that he told
him stop- and he went back down.
FOLLOW UP:
PRINT NAME OF PERSON SUBMITTING REPORT: Nicholas Pars
TITLE: Safety Manager
SIGNATURE OF PERSON SUBMITTING REPORT:
DATE OF REPORT: 1/2/17.

NOTE: Incident Report Forms MUST be completed and submitted to Safety Department within 48 hours of the incident.

INCIDENT REPORT FORM SAFETY REPORT

COMPANY NAME: Focus Framing
NAME OF INVOLVED PERSON: Martine Duran - Percz
ADDRESS:
PHONE:
DATE & TIME OF INCIDENT: 12/30/16 & 4:30 to 5:00 PM.
LOCATION: Green Court #90
WAS ILLNESS OR INJURY INVOLVED (if yes, describe below)?
DESCRIPTION OF INCIDENT (Please include names of individuals involved, nature of the
incident, if injury or illness give name of physician/hospital used, names & addresses
of witnesses, and narrative of what occurred)
When they got down. Foreman Rafael Bunitez was already there and started proper Evist
was already there and started proper Girst
Aid protocal and notified Girst Nesponders.
Ratael then notified Upper management and
the Salety Department.
The employee was taken to St. Ruse Sizna
Gor Gurther Evaluation. The Gollowin morning
Henderson police were notified but they had
already had opened up as in vestagation,
and we are working with them to get all
Statements.


FINAL DISPOSITION (how you intend to handle the incident, any next steps
required, or likely outcomes)
to their and any information that they
may request.
to home from the hospital.
to home from the hospital.
••
PRINT NAME OF PERSON SUBMITTING REPORT: Nicholas Par
TITLE: Salety Manager
SIGNATURE OF PERSON SUBMITTING REPORT:
DATE OF REPORT: 11 2/17
NOTE: Incident Report Forms MUST be completed and submitted to Safety Department within 48 hours of the incident.

of the incident.

Forcident Martine Daran - Perez

report 16-23551 Police Report

Pedro's house for #90 300 3161 Via Tellaro Sr. Francisco house lot # 500 9H 3156 Florence Gallo Walle.



INCIDENT REPORT FORM SAFETY REPORT

COMPANY NAME: FOCUS Framing
NAME OF INVOLVED PERSON: Pedro Resolvis C.
ADDRESS: 2182 N. PECOS Rd 769
195 Vegas Niv 89115
PHONE: (402) 439-9511
DATE & TIME OF INCIDENT: 12/30/2016 5! PM
LOCATION: Green Court & inspirada
WAS ILLNESS OR INJURY INVOLVED (if yes, describe below)?
DESCRIPTION OF INCIDENT (Please include names of individuals involved, nature of th

incident, if injury or illness give name of physician/hospital used, names & addresses

of witnesses, and narrative of what occurred)

las 5: Pm este Senor bino de un lot, escucho los Grita ofencivos del Señor acia Situacian Para Pero seportave my Subio tanvien al sesondo Pizo a tratar de Pelarnos a Mi ivos ablava malas Palavras acianosotros, vo y Mi no Pucimos Atencion acia el Porque vole dive a 10 : ita itas a no setrata da Dalanzon al sistemation

FINAL DISPOSITION (how you intend to handle the incident, any next steps

required, or likely outcomes)
el señor Pasa a Relinarse bajon do del segundo Piza
Sin Protection's, dro tercer Serior Gritava Palauras
del otro lado de la calle tratondo como de
agredirnos tanbien, nosatros siemprenos entocamos
en lograr nuestaro travaJo y nuestra meta.
Para la compania (tacos Framing company)
Respotar 195 Reglas y Farmas que senos
enserra no Peleas No discuciones,
Cho ofensans) and only Resolver tas
Situaciones de la manera correcta,
yo iva a llamar al(911) Perano lo ise Porque
FOLLOW UP:
en carge de la siguienta
••
PRINT NAME OF PERSON SUBMITTING REPORT: Pedro Rosdes-C
TITLE: Lareman
SIGNATURE OF PERSON SUBMITTING REPORT: Pedro Rosalos. C
DATE OF PEDODT: 17 1 7 1 1 1

NOTE: Incident Report Forms MUST be completed and submitted to Safety Department within 48 hours of the incident.

INCIDENT REPORT FORM SAFETY REPORT

COMPANY NAME: FOUS Framing
NAME OF INVOLVED PERSON: 509e 709ales
ADDRESS: 2182 NPECOS W NY 89115 #109
PHONE: 102 · 238 · 925 8
DATE & TIME OF INCIDENT: 12-30-10 4:45 pm
LOCATION: CYOUR LOT 90
WAS ILLNESS OR INJURY INVOLVED (if yes, describe below)?
DESCRIPTION OF INCIDENT (Please include names of individuals involved, nature of the
ncident, if injury or illness give name of physician/hospital used, names & addresses
of witnesses, and narrative of what occurred)
Z was crean down stains
and the guy came up stairs
aggeriuse, xo m + + ather so x
rished up mere and & xold
from come gown in so many
mode any no comember oddressing
to me so re 100x ox me xill
xo xuxondu niz nonge of we
and the overled him and he
Markey 1:16 2 th and sam and
tobe as doind and sweet so mon-
re cell

FINAL DISPOSITION (how you intend to handle the incident, any next steps

required, or likely outcomes)
There was a second only mino
XO KIDUX WITH ME 400 DUX X
sort is and lex vino se
FONW OB OX Sixwonos & OQ
I was doing and went to
down sorrais and faxed xoox
core of the serin mind
vous sons about 2 910,4
you so reserve prop
med were doing.
FOLLOW UP:
,.
PRINT NAME OF PERSON SUBMITTING REPORT: 509 E 4090 YES
TITLE: & DY MEY
SIGNATURE OF PERSON SUBMITTING REPORT: JUNE POSSIBLE
DATE OF REPORT: \2 · 3\ · \Q

NOTE: Incident Report Forms MUST be completed and submitted to Safety Department within 48 hours of the incident.

INCIDENT REPORT FORM SAFETY REPORT

COMPANY NAME: Facus Fraiming
NAME OF INVOLVED PERSON: Eduardo Lean
ADDRESS: 3555 loke medd Blud APT #147
PHONE (805) 9078011 4:30 pm E.L.
DATE & TIME OF INCIDENT: 17-30 - 16
LOCATION: Green Cors 1.#90
WAS ILLNESS OR INJURY INVOLVED (if yes, describe below)?
DESCRIPTION OF INCIDENT (Please include names of individuals involved, nature of the
incident, if injury or illness give name of physician/hospital used, names & addresses
of witnesses, and narrative of what occurred)
Pandro la dio su cheke a martin y miro
K era moy poco y subje a reclamarle
a Padio ampesaron a platicar y pues se
exsettaron un goco pero no hubo ovitros
ni monoteos el hijo de pedro subvo un
po co moloste en infantes lo empuso
eso rue en intentes, yo aboardo subi
dise Le paso wondo menos medi coenta
Martin 1 a stopa en el suelo fues
podi ayuda y nalie la hiza x x andaban
en sock la lebantamos del lugar.y
burlando se el hijo de Pedro le dio con una boz como de odio. PARA K. TE
AWERDEZ DEMT
NUCKTEC DEINI

FINAL DISPOSITION (how you intend to handle the incident, any next steps
required, or likely outcomes)
,
FOLLOW UP:
PRINT NAME OF PERSON SUBMITTING REPORT: Eduardo Leon
TITLE: Carpinta o
TITLE: <u>Carpinta o</u> SIGNATURE OF PERSON SUBMITTING REPORT: <u>Educado Lega</u>
DATE OF REPORT: 2-30-16
NOTE: Incident Report Forms MUST be completed and submitted to Safety Department within 48 hours

of the incident.

K

INCIDENT REPORT FORM SAFETY REPORT

FOLICS
COMPANY NAME: FOUCS
NAME OF INVOLVED PERSON: E/U'S HERRERA
ADDRESS: 1908 W/A
,
PHONE (702) 689-2460
DATE & TIME OF INCIDENT: 12/30/16
LOCATION: GREEN COURTS (0H/90)
WAS ILLNESS OR INJURY INVOLVED (if yes, describe below)?
DESCRIPTION OF INCIDENT (Please include names of individuals involved, nature of the
incident, if injury or illness give name of physician/hospital used, names & addresses
of witnesses, and narrative of what occurred)
Will I saw That MartiNE Was pushed
from The Second Floor and hit The floor
The son and father were on the second
Floor Talking and Then Martine Started
Talking to the mans son and out of No
Where The son Of The man Pushed Martine
from The Second Floor

FINAL DISPOSITION (how you intend to handle the incident, any next steps
required, or likely outcomes)
,
FOLLOW UP:
• •
-
PRINT NAME OF PERSON SUBMITTING REPORT: Elvis HERRERA
TITLE: FRAMER
SIGNATURE OF PERSON SUBMITTING REPORT:
DATE OF REPORT: 12/31/160
/ (IOTE: Incident Report Forms MUST be completed and submitted to Safety Department within 48 hours

of the incident.

00141

INCIDENT REPORT FORM SAFETY REPORT

COMPANY NAME: TOCUS
NAME OF INVOLVED PERSON: Martin Duran Peroz
ADDRESS: 3555 E Lakemend Rlud Apt # 147
Las 1/2905 NV 89115
PHONE: (805) 990-1923
DATE & TIME OF INCIDENT: 4:30 pm
LOCATION: Green Courts Late # 90
WAS ILLNESS OR INJURY INVOLVED (if yes, describe below)?
DESCRIPTION OF INCIDENT (Please include names of individuals involved, nature of the
incident, if injury or illness give name of physician/hospital used, names & addresses
of witnesses, and narrative of what occurred)
Viernes 30 de Diciembre de 2016 10 Martin Duran Perez
Subi donde estaba el señar tedro. Abri mi Cheque
Y le dije que no era Justo la que me paga. 750
no esta bien. El me respondio que los casas no tenia
Dinera. El hijo del señor Predro me dija como no
Esta bien. Me agarra desprebenido y me abento dest
Arriba. Elvis miro todo y mi curado Ibma al 911
Yo no me acurdo despues de nada hasta que llegue al
dospital.
march M
Martin Durán Peréz

Reporte de Lesión Industrial o Enfermedad (Debe ser rellenado por el Empleado)

1.	Rellene el siguiente informe en caso de herida grave, no- grave, o enfermedad.
	COMPAÑÍA: FOCOS Framíny Nombre del Proyecto: Green Coarts 10+9
	Nombre del Foreman: Rafael Benites
2.	Numbre del Empleado (favor de escribir en letra): Maytin Duvan Perez
	Domicilió, y Número de Teléfono: 3555 E La Kmed BIV d HP+ 147 89/15 105 Vegas
	Sexo: Hombre Mujer Fecha de Nacimiento: 1 / 08/1978 Casado?: DSi El No
	Clasificación de Trabajo: CQYP'(n + CYO Número del Seguro Social:
	Duración de Empleo: Menos de 6 meses
3.	Información del Lesión o Enfermedad: Fecha del Lesión o Enfermedad: 18 /30/16 Hora del Día: 450 🗆 a.m. 🗷 p.m. Fecha que reportó la Lesión: 12 /30/16
	¿Requirió el Lesión?: ☐ Primeros Auxilios
	¿Pidió tratamiento médico cuando reporto la lesión o enfermedad? 🏿 Sí 🔻 No Debe marcar una
	¿Le ofreció tratamiento médico su supervisor / foreman cuando le informo de la lesión o enfermedad?
4.	Tipo de herida o enfermedad: Raspadura
5.	Parte del cuerpo afectado: Brazo
	Indicador de la Parte / Lado del Cuerpo: ☐ Superior ☐ Inferior ☐ Izquierdo ☐ Derecho ☐ Ambos
6.	Lugar exacto donde ocurrió el lesión: 6 Y C CN CO QY 7 S LOT 90
7.	¿Qué hacia cuando sufrió la herida? (Sea específico. Si usaba herramientas o equipo, o manejaba material, favor de nombrarlos y explicar lo que estaba haciendo con ellos.) Si es necesario añada otra hoja de papel.
	El iso de pedro meabento del primer giso
8.	Explique cómo sucedió la herida o enfermedad (Describa los acontecimientos que ocasionaron en la herida o enfermedad, ¿qué sucedió? ¿Cómo sucedió?, y los objetos / herramientas que fueron involucrados.) Si es necesario añada otra hoja de papel.
	Icha Lann Ellis Ererra
	Testigo(s): Nombre, Domicilio, número de teléfono: La La Leon EV (5 E 9 2 1 3 a)
	Nombre del Empleado (letra) Maytin Duran peréz Firma del Empleado Dura peréz Fecha Maytin Duran peréz Maytin Dura peréz 1.31.17
	Blanco – Departamento de Seguridad Amarillo – Empleado

yo martin estaba Abrando con pedro me
paga 11. dolares La ora y medio mí
paga 11. dolares La ora y medio mí
chequi de seis días 250 Potare x
chequi de seis días 250 Potare x
l/o dedige qui poera gusto y yo
l/o dedige qui po estaba bien Loquimedio
dige qui po estaba bien Loquimedio

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Jose Manuel Rosales #8175632.

-VS-

JOSE ROSALES, aka,

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

JUSTICE COURT, HENDERSON TOWNSHIP CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

2

1

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

17CRH000232-000

DEPT NO:

CRIMINAL COMPLAINT


The Defendant above named having committed the crime of BATTERY WITH SUBSTANTIAL BODILY HARM (Category C Felony - NRS 200.481 - NOC 50214), in the manner following, to-wit: That the said Defendant, on or about the 30th day of December, 2016, at and within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, did willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously use force or violence upon the person of another, to wit: MARTIN PEREZ, by pushing the said MARTIN PEREZ, resulting in substantial bodily harm to MARTIN PEREZ.

All of which is contrary to the form, force and effect of Statutes in such cases made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada. Said Complainant makes this declaration subject to the penalty of perjury.

- Mes - 1286

17FH0191X/lal HPD EV# 1623551

W:\2017\2017F\H01\91\17FH0191-COMP-001.DOCX



University Medical Center 1800 W Charleston Blvd Las Vegas, NV 89102 702-383-2000

ED Chart View



Patient Name:

PEREZ, MARTIN

Sex:

М

Birthdate:

01/08/1978

Age:

38

Acct No:

9931347349

Medical Rec No:

0030138209

Arrival Dt.:

12/30/2016 20:54

1st Chart Launch Dt.:

12/30/2016 21:02

Primary MD:

Treating Provider:

RANDALL BESS MD

Attending MD:

MITZI A DILLON MD

Chart Status:

Final

NO KNOWN ALLERGIES [Confirmed by ROBERT WILSON RN on 12/30/2016 21:09:00.] (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 21:09:00)

Primary Diagnosis

1) Free text DX: Traumatic fall (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:22:29) 2) Traumatic subdural hematoma (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:22:29) 3) Free text DX: Possible right 8th rib fracture (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:22:29) 4) Musculoskeletal chest pain (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016

History of Present Illness

HPI: Exam started at 21:03 (MITZI A DILLON MD 12/30/2016 21:03:00) 38-year-old Hispanic speaking male who presents from Saint Rose Sienna after sustaining a 20 foot fall after being pushed off of a roof top by a co-worker and sustaining a loss of consciousness for unknown amount of time. Patient was found unconscious on the ground. Patient presents from outside hospital with outside labs and imaging and is transferred for a subdural bleed measuring 7 millimeters, otherwise injuries noted were possible right 8th rib fracture, no other injuries were reported on transport. Patient denies any past medical history, denies any smoking alcohol or drug use, denies any allergies to any medications. Patient denies any loss of sensation, nausea or vomiting, headache, numbness weakness or tingling, patient does complain of posterior head pain, neck pain and upper back pain. as well as left chest wall pain. Denies any abdominal pain.REVIEW OF SYSTEMS - 10 systems were independently reviewed and are otherwise negative with the exception of those items previously documented in the HPI and nursing notes. (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:17:00)

Past Surgical History/Major Procedures

PMHx: [as mentioned in HPI] SHx: [none] Social: [negative x3] FHx: [non contributory] (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:09:00) PMHx: [as mentioned in HPI] SHx: [none] Social: [negative x3] FHx: [non contributory] (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:17:00)

Vital Signs							
Time	Blood Pressure	Pulse	PulseOx	Respiration	Temperature	Pain	
12/31 00:07				The state of the s		0/10 - AM33	
12/30 23:39	132/91 mm Hg. - AM33	94 /min - AM33	98 % Room air - AM33	13 /min - AM33			
12/30 21:51	135/81 mm Hg.	99 /min - MK23	99 % 2 liter/min	18 /min - MK23		0/10 - MK23	

01/01/2017 00:17

Confidential Medical Record

Page 1 of 19

	- MK23		- MK23			
12/30 21:14	141/88 mm Hg.	94 /min - RW4	98 % Room air -	18 /min - RW4	98.7 F - RW4	
	- RW4		RW4			

Other Vital Signs

Height: 162 cm (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) Weight: 91 kg (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) bmi: 34.7 (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) bsa: 2.02 sq. m (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00)

Current Medications

1) 12/30/2016 21:08:47 Patient reports, "No Current Meds" [Confirmed by ROBERT WILSON RN on 12/30/2016 21:08:47.] (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 21:08:47)

Med Orders

MedOrder	Entered By	Ordered By	Completed		Note	Comment/ Indication
ED: morphine inj 4 MG IV ONCE NOW	SL8 RN 12/30 21:11		SL8 12/30 21:10		12/30 21:10:Dose given IV push. (SL8); 12/30 21:10:No complications. (SL6); 12/30 21:10:Just given ED: morphine inj. (SL8); 12/30 21:10:Awake and alert. (SL8)	
levETIRAcetam inj [KEPPRA] 1000 MG IVPB 15 MIN NOW ROUTINE	RW4 RN 12/30 21:49	MD28 MD 12/30 21:49	RW4 12/30 21:49			
fentaNYL inj [SUBLIMAZE] 50 MCG IV Q2H PRN ROUTINE	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	MNP MD 12/30 22:57	12/30 23:59	SB61 12/30 22:57		Indication: severe pain
ondansetron [ZOFRAN] 4 MG ORAL Q6H PRN ROUTINE	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	MNP MD 12/30 22:57	12/31 05:18	SB61 12/30 22:57		Indication: naus- ea
docusate sodi- um [COLACE] 100 MG ORAL BID ROUTINE	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	MNP MD 12/30 22:57	12/30 23:59	SB61 12/30 22:57		
	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	JS58 12/30 22:57		SB61 12/30 22:57	i	Indication: mod- erate pain

01/01/2017 00:17

Confidential Medical Record

Page 2 of 19

Patient: PEREZ, MARTIN MRN: 0030138209 Page 2 of 19

PACKET ORAL PRN ROUTINE If serum creatinine is >/= 1.4 or UO < 0.5 ml/ kg/hr x 3 hrs, DO NOT USE PROTOCOL; contact provider for new orders	}		-				
1000 ML IV 100 ML/H GON ML/	Q8H PRN	AL		Van derrommen eine eine eine eine eine eine eine			
Inj SUBLIM- AZE 50 MCG V ONCE NOW	1000 ML IV 10 ML/HR CON- TINUOUS ROUTINE Ma	22:57	1	12/30 23:49	1		
[KEPPRA] 500 MG ORAL BID ROUTINE potassium chloride [KLOR- 23:49 23	inj [SUBLIM- AZE] 50 MCG	22:59	•	1		22:56:Just given ED: fentaNYL inj [SUBLIM-	
ide [KLOR-CON] 40 MEQ ORAL PRN ROUTINE If ser- um creatinine is >/= 1.4 or UO < 0.5 ml/kg/hr x 3 hrs, DO NOT USE PRO- TOCOL; contact provider for new orders MNP MD 12/30 23:49 MNP MD 12/30 23:49 MNP MD 12/30 23:49 MNP MD 12/30 23:49 Indication: per Electrolyte Pro- tocol (PROT #383)	[KEPPRA] 50 MG ORAL BID	0 23:06	i	1	1		
powder packet (8 mmol phos) [PHOS-NAK] 2 PACKET ORAL PRN ROUTINE If serum creatinine is >/= 1.4 or UO < 0.5 ml/ kg/hr x 3 hrs, DO NOT USE PROTOCOL; contact provider for new orders 23:49 Electrolyte Protocol (PROT #383)	ide [KLOR- CON] 40 MEQ ORAL PRN ROUTINE If se um creatinine is >/= 1.4 or UO < 0.5 ml/kg/hr x 3 hrs, DO NOT USE PRO- TOCOL; contac provider for new	23:49			1		Electrolyte Pro- tocol (PROT
KCI 40 mEq MNP MD 12/30 MNP MD 12/30 MNP 12/30 Indication: per	powder packet (8 mmol phos) [PHOS-NAK] 2 PACKET ORAL PRN ROUTINE If serum creatinine is >/= 1.4 or UO < 0.5 ml/kg/hr x 3 hrs, DO NOT USE PROTOCOL; contact provider for new orders	23:49	23:49		23:49	t +	Electrolyte Pro- ocol (PROT #383)
	KCI 40 mEq	MNP MD 12/30	MNP MD 12/30		MNP 12/30	İr	ndication: per

01/01/2017 00:17

Confidential Medical Record

Page 3 of 19

Patient: PEREZ, MARTIN MRN: 0030138209 Page 3 of 19

24

rider 40 MEQ IVPB 10 MEQ HR PRN ROUTINE If sum creatinine >/= 1.4 or UO 0.5 ml/kg/hr x hrs, DO NOT	er- is	23:49		23:49		Electrolyte Pro- tocol (PROT #383)
USE PRO- TOCOL; conta provider for ne orders Periphe al Line	w					
KPhos inj 40 MMOL IVPB 7 MMOL/HR PRI ROUTINE Peri pheral Line If serum creatin- ine is >/= 1.4 or UO < 0.5 ml/ kg/hr x 3 hrs, DO NOT USE PROTOCOL; contact provider	N -	MNP MD 12/30 23:49		MNP 12/30 23:49		Indication: per Electrolyte Pro- tocol (PROT #383)
	MNP MD 12/30 23:49	NJ7 MD 12/30 23:49	NJ7 12/31 11:18	MNP 12/30 23:49		
NaPhos inj 20 MMOL IVPB 7 MMOL/HR PRN ROUTINE If ser- um creatinine is >/= 1.4 or UO < 0.5 ml/kg/hr x 3 hrs, DO NOT USE PRO- TOCOL; contact provider for new orders	23:49	MNP MD 12/30 23:49		MNP 12/30 23:49		Indication: per Electrolyte Pro- ocol (PROT #383)
magnesium sulfate 2 gm rider 2 GM IVPB 30 MIN PRN	MNP MD 12/30 23:49	MNP MD 12/30 23:49	1	MNP 12/30 23:49	E to	ndication: per lectrolyte Pro- pcol (PROT 383)

01/01/2017 00:17

Confidential Medical Record

Page 4 of 19

ROUTINE If se um creatinine is >/= 1.4 or UO < 0.5 ml/kg/hr x 3 hrs, DO NOT USE PRO- TOCOL; contact provider for new orders	t.					
NaPhos inj 40 MMOL IVPB 7 MMOL/HR PRN ROUTINE If ser um creatinine is >/= 1.4 or UO < 0.5 ml/kg/hr x 3 hrs, DO NOT USE PRO- TOCOL; contact provider for new orders		MNP MD 12/30 23:49		MNP 12/30 23:49		Indication: per Electrolyte Pro- tocol (PROT #383)
sodium chloride 0.9% 500 ML IVPB PRN ROUTINE	MNP MD 12/30 23:56	MNP MD 12/30 23:56		MNP 12/30 23:56		
sodium chloride 0.9% 250 ML IVPB PRN ROUTINE	MNP MD 12/30 23:56	MNP MD 12/30 23:56		MNP 12/30 23:56		
famotidine inj [PEPCID] 20 MG IV Q12H ROUTINE	MNP MD 12/30 23:57	JS58 12/30 23:57	12/31 09:40	MNP 12/30 23:57		
		MNP MD 12/30 23:58	12/31 03:47	MNP 12/30 23:58		ndication: severe pain
insulin lispro inj [HumaLOG] 3-15 UNIT SUB- CUT PRN ROUTINE 70-130= 0 units; 131-150= 3 units; 151-170=	MNP MD 12/30 23:59	MNP MD 12/30 23:59		MNP 12/30 23:59	F	ndication: PROT-14 sliding scale (A):

01/01/2017 00:17

Confidential Medical Record

Page 5 of 19

5 units;						
171-190= 7						
units; 191-210=			West Control of the C			
9 units;						1
211-230 = 11				4		
units; 231-250=			*			
13 units; >250=						
15 units and call		1				
House Officer to						
consider scale B						
(if ICU pt) or						
scale D (if non-						
ICU pt)						
dextrose 50%	MNP MD 12/30	MNP MD 12/30	•	MNP 12/30		Indication:
	23:59	23:59		23:59		Blood Glucose
PRN ROUTINE						35-69; recheck
						BGM in 30min
dextrose 50%	MNP MD 12/30	MNP MD 12/30		MNP 12/30		Indication:
	23:59	23:59		23:59		Blood Glucose
PRN ROUTINE						<35; recheck
						BGM in 30min
PHARMACY	MNP MD 12/30	MNP MD 12/30		MNP 12/30		
	23:59	23:59		23:59		
TION 1 EA						
MISC PRN						
ROUTINE Rx to						
D/C ALL previ-						
ously ordered						
anti-diabetic						
medications						
					The transfer of the second of the	the last of the second

Non-MedOrde	Entered By	Ordered By	Completed :	Results Back	MD Sign		Comment/ :: Indication
TR CT CHEST WITH	AM80 UNIT CLERK 12/30 21:16	MAD1 MD	12/30 21:32	12/30 21:32	MAD1 12/30 21:16	12/30 21:18:Taken to CT. (CJ22)	
TR CT THORACIC	AM80 UNIT CLERK 12/30 21:16	MAD1 MD 12/30 21:16	12/30 21:32	12/30 21:32	MAD1 12/30 21:16	12/30 21:18:Taken to CT. (CJ22)	

01/01/2017 00:17

Confidential Medical Record

Page 6 of 19

Patient: PEREZ, MARTIN MRN: 0030138209 Page 6 of 19

·	~ {						
TR CT LUMBAR SPINE RE- CONSTRUCT ONCE STAT Pain - Trauma Related		MAD1 MD 12/30 21:16	12/30 21:32	12/30 21:32	MAD1 12/30 21:16	12/30 21:18:Taken to CT. (CJ22)	
TR CT ABDO MEN AND PELVIS IV ONLY ONCE STAT Pain - Trauma Re- lated	AM80 UNIT CLERK 12/30 21:16	MAD1 MD 12/30 21:16	12/30 21:32	12/30 21:32	MAD1 12/30 21:16	12/30 21:18:Taken to CT. (CJ22)	
PT + APTT ONCE STAT	RW4 RN 12/30 21:50	MAD1 MD 12/30 21:50	12/30 22:29	12/30 22:29		12/30 21:50:Blood Drawn - RN. (RW4); 12/30 21:50:Blood obtained from the right antecubital fossa. (RW4)	
TYPE AND SCREEN ONCE STAT		MAD1 MD 12/30 21:50				12/30 21:50:Blood Drawn - RN. (RW4); 12/30 21:50:Blood obtained from the right antecubital fossa. (RW4)	
BASIC META- BOLIC PAN- EL ONCE STAT		MAD1 MD 12/30 21:50	12/30 22:28	12/30 22:28		12/30 21:50:Blood Drawn - RN. (RW4); 12/30 21:50:Blood obtained from the right antecubital fossa. (RW4)	
		MAD1 MD 1 2/30 21:50	12/30 22:08	12/30 22:08		12/30 21:50:Blood Drawn - RN. (RW4); 12/30 21:50:Blood	

01/01/2017 00:17

Confidential Medical Record

Page 7 of 19

}							
						obtained from	
			I			the right	and the same of th
						antecubital	
				_		fossa. (RW4)	<u> </u>
ABO RH	SS21 12/30	MAD1 MD	12/30 23:10	12/30 23:10	MAD1 12/30	12/30	
TYPE ONCE	22:06	12/30 22:06			22:06	22:09:Blood	
STAT						Drawn - RN.	
						(KR25); 12/30	***************************************
						22:09:Blood	·
			İ			Drawn - RN.	
						(RW4); 12/30	
						22:09:Blood	
		-				obtained from	
			-			the right	
						antecubital	
No.			l			fossa. (RW4)	
ANTIBODY	SS21 12/30	MAD1 MD	12/30 23:10	12/30 23:10	MAD1 12/30	12/30	
SCREEN -	22:06	12/30 22:06			22:06	22:09:Blood	
GEL TECH-						Drawn - RN.	
NIQUE ONCE			İ			(KR25); 12/30	
STAT		Ī				22:09:Blood	
	ĺ	-				Drawn - RN.	
						(RW4); 12/30	
		.				22:09:Blood	
						obtained from	
						the right	
						antecubital	
						fossa. (RW4)	
EKG 12 LEAD	AM80 UNIT	SB61 MD	12/31 12:52	12/31 12:52	SB61 12/30	12/30	į
ONCE STAT	CLERK 12/30	12/30 22:55			22:55	23:11:First	4
	22:55					EKG in de-	-
						partment ob-	-
						tained. (CJ22)	ĺ
Surgery Admit	SB61 MD	SB61 MD	12/30 23:37		SB61 12/30		
	12/30 22:56	12/30 22:56			22:56		
requirements)							
ONCE							į
ROUTINE In-	į					1	
patient TICU							į
Standard No		Ì		Are denoted		**************************************	
7.3mm Sub-			l	Table State		-	Ī
dural hemat-		ŀ	I	1		nego-sala	***
oma, 8th right		1			bakwamm	W. seems	P.P.CARABAR
rib fracture,	Į]	į			Menderoffe	. September 1
Fall off	1	I	ł		***************************************	renewaday.	Минеста
second story	I		- Investment		***************************************	***************************************	abereson.
roof	ĺ		-	1		, and a second	- Indiana
						·····	

01/01/2017 00:17

Confidential Medical Record

Page 8 of 19

!

PEREZ, MARTIN; MR#: 0030138209; Acct#: 9931347349; Arrival Dt.: 12/30/2016 20:54; Chart Status: Final

	T		<u> </u>			1	
CHESTOVIC				***			***
H, PAUL J		-					
[GENERAL	1						
SURGERY							
(22358)							
Measure	SB61 MD	SB61 MD	12/31 22:53		SB61 12/30		
Weight	12/30 22:57	12/30 22:57	İ		22:57		
EVERY DAY							
ROUTINE		l					
Vital Signs	SB61 MD	MNP MD			SB61 12/30		
Q2H	12/30 22:57	12/30 22:57			22:57		
ROUTINE(*C			1				
ancel*)							
Intake & Out-	SB61 MD	SB61 MD	12/31 20:17		SB61 12/30		
put Q2H	12/30 22:57	12/30 22:57	1		22:57		
ROUTINE	1200 22.07	12.00 22.07			1.07		
	SB61 MD	SB61 MD	12/31 20:17		SB61 12/30		
Call MD:	1	12/30 22:57	12/31 20.17		22:57		
CONTIN ROUTINE HR	12/30 22:57	12/30 22:57			22.57		
							•
< 50 HR >		ĺ			1		
130 R < 8 R >							
30 SBP < 90		***************************************					
SBP > 180							
Temp > 38.5							
degree C							
UOP < 0.5 ml/							
kg/hr		<u> </u>		<u> </u>			
;	SB61 MD	SB61 MD	12/31 20:17		SB61 12/30		
TIN ROUTINE	12/30 22:57	12/30 22:57			22:57		
SaO2 < 88%							
INSERT: Sa-	SB61 MD	SB61 MD	12/31 20:17		SB61 12/30		Com-
line Lock	12/30 22:57	12/30 22:57			22:57		ment:(Periphe
CONTIN							ral IV #1)
ROUTINE							
INSERT: Sa-	SB61 MD	SB61 MD	12/31 20:17		SB61 12/30		Com-
line Lock	12/30 22:57	12/30 22:57			22:57		ment:Peripher
CONTIN					I		al IV #2
ROUTINE							
	SB61 MD	SB61 MD			SB61 12/30		
1	12/30 22:57	12/30 22:57			22:57		
Colonization							•
Screen-							
ONCE					Tribition of the Control of the Cont		c. marroca
ROUTINE							
	CDC4 MD	SB61 MD			SB61 12/30		Comment:-
Initiate Influ-	SB61 MD	UIN I DOL			3301 1230		Continent.

01/01/2017 00:17

Confidential Medical Record

Page 9 of 19

enza Vaccino Assessment- CONTIN ROUTINE	•	12/30 22:57			22:57	Switch to In- fluenza vac- cine order if indicated
INCENTIVE SPIROMET- ER- RT to in- struct ONCE ROUTINE		SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	AA3 12/31 07:46		SB61 12/30 22:57	
Incentive Spirometry - NSG Q1H ROUTINE	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	12/31 19:28		SB61 12/30 22:57	Comment:X 10 Breaths
CASE MANAGEMENT CONSULT ONCE ROUTINE	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57			SB61 12/30 22:57	
Initiate Pneumococcal Vaccine Assessment- CONTIN ROUTINE	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57			SB61 12/30 22:57	Comment:- Switch to pneumococ- cal vaccine order if indic- ated
RD May Modify / Clarify Diet Orders CONTIN ROUTINE	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57		1 1	SB61 12/30 22:57	
SOCIAL SER- VICES CON- SULT ONCE ROUTINE	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57		1	SB61 12/30 22:57	·
Notify: CON- TIN ROUTINE DBP < 60 or > 110	ł .	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	12/31 20:17	1 1	SB61 12/30 22:57	
Activity CON- TIN ROUTINE (with nursing assistance)		SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	12/31 20:17	1	SB61 12/30 22:57	
	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	JS58 12/30 22:57		1	SB61 12/30 22:57	or many transfer and transfer a
Sequential	SB61 MD	SB61 MD	12/31 20:17		SB61 12/30	

01/01/2017 00:17

Confidential Medical Record

Page 10 of 19

PM Through the same of the Australia							
Compression Device CON- TIN ROUTINI		12/30 22:57			22:57		
No Parentera VTE Therapy CQM 2014 ONCE ROUTINE	1	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57			SB61 12/30 22:57		Com- ment:brain bleed
CBC/ AUTOMATED IN AM	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	12/31 01:29	12/31 01:29	SB61 12/30 22:57		
RENAL PAN- EL IN AM	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	12/31 01:53	12/31 01:53	SB61 12/30 22:57		
Neuro Checks Q1H ROUTINE	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	12/31 23:00		SB61 12/30 22:57		
CT BRAIN WO CON- TRAST ONCE TIMED subdural hem- atoma(*Cance I*)		SB61 MD 12/30 23:06			SB61 12/30 23:06	12/31 00:28:Cancel Reason: PA- TIENT IS TO BE DONE IN TRAUMA CENTER ()	
Vital Signs Q1H ROUTINE	MNP MD 12/30 23:49	MNP MD 12/30 23:49	01/01 00:00		MNP 12/30 23:49		
Elevate Head of Bed CON- TIN ROUTINE	12/30 23:49	MNP MD 12/30 23:49	12/31 20:17		MNP 12/30 23:49		Com- ment:Reverse trendelenberg at 30 degress if thoracic and lumbar spine are not cleared
MAGNESIUM LEVEL QDAY IN AM	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	MNP MD 12/30 23:49			MNP 12/30 23:49		
• •	MNP MD 12/30 23:49	MNP MD 12/30 23:49	12/31 20:17		MNP 12/30 23:49		

01/01/2017 00:17

Confidential Medical Record

Page 11 of 19



Protocol),							
place in char		_			_		<u> </u>
RN to Order: CONTIN ROUTINE Ro peat K level 2 hr after KCI,	12/30 23:49	MNP MD 12/30 23:49	12/31 20:17		MNP 12/30 23:49		Comment:per Electrolyte Protocol (PROT #383)
Phosphorous level 2 hr afte	1						
KPhos/Na-				**************************************			
PhosNaK,							
Magnesium 2	2		-				
hr after mag-							
nesium							
Blood Gluc-	MNP MD	MNP MD	12/31 20:17		MNP 12/30		
ose Testing - Bedside [AC-	12/30 23:59	12/30 23:59			23:59		
CU-CHECK]	•	State (Volume					
Q4H				<u> </u>			Warts appear
ROUTINE If						,	de contrate a
BGM< 35, re-			Í				#
peat and send	1						
serum gluc-			1				
ose level.						1	
Then give				·			
25gm D50W	1	1					1
IVP and recheck BGM							1
in 30min. If							
BGM 35-69,							an-management of the contract
give 12.5gm							
D50W IVP							
and recheck							
BGM in							
30min.							
1	MNP MD	MNP MD	12/31 20:17		MNP 12/30		
low Protocol:	12/30 23:59	12/30 23:59			23:59		
CONTIN							
ROUTINE							
Print and fol-							Transaction of the Control of the Co
low PROT #14-A						L. T. T. T. T. T. T. T. T. T. T. T. T. T.	
(TICU/SICU			İ				· service ·
Insulin Sliding						www.eni.en	
Scale), place						-	Translation of the Control of the Co
in chart		Į į				4A. Adar- was	-
		<u> </u>					

01/01/2017 00:17

Confidential Medical Record

Page 12 of 19

RN to Order: CONTIN ROUTINE serum gluc- ose level if BGM< 35 mg/ dL	MNP MD 12/30 23:59	MNP MD 12/30 23:59	12/31 20:17		MNP 12/30 23:59		
Switch to GLYC- OSYLATED HGB (HGA1C) CONTIN ROUTINE	MNP MD 12/30 23:59	MNP MD 12/30 23:59			MNP 12/30 23:59		Comment:if BGM not in target range after 12hrs on Sliding Scale Protocol and call physician
Notify: CON- TIN ROUTINE if BGM >250 and consider scale B (ICU pt) or scale D (non-ICU pt) House Officer	MNP MD 12/30 23:59	MNP MD 12/30 23:59	12/31 20:17		MNP 12/30 23:59		
Call MD: CONTIN ROUTINE (Non-ICU Pt) if BG not in range after 12h on sliding scale to con- sider NEXT Scale OR ICU transfer & In- sulin Infusion (PROT #15)	MNP MD 12/30 23:59	MNP MD 12/30 23:59	12/31 20:17		MNP 12/30 23:59		
1 1	MNP MD 12/30 23:59	MNP MD 12/30 23:59	12/31 20:17	1	MNP 12/30 23:59	-	

01/01/2017 00:17

Confidential Medical Record

Page 13 of 19

2					
HEMOGRAM 12/3	30/2016 21:47:00	BASIC METABOL	IC PANEL	PT AND APTT 12	30/2016 21:47:00
WHITE BLOOD CELL	13.20 K/MM3 H (NL = 3.40-10.30)	12/30/2016 21:47: SODIUM	139 MMOL/L (NL =	ļ	11.7 SEC. (NL = 9.3-12.4)
RED BLOOD CELL	4.67 M/MM3 (NL = 4.08-5.70)	POTASSIUM	3.9 MMOL/L (NL = 3.5-5.1)	INR	1.0 (NL = 0.8-1.2) Comment: Recom
HGB	13.9 G/DL (NL = 13.1-16.8)	CHLORIDE	106 MMOL/L (NL = 98-110)		mended therapeut ic range for oral anticoagulant there
HEMATOCRIT	41.4 % (NL = 38.2-48.4)	TOTAL CO2	24 MMOL/L (NL = 22-31)		apy (INR 2.0 - 3.0) INDICATION: Pro- phylaxis of venous thrombosis
MCV	88.6 FL (NL = 80.1-98.5)	BLOOD UREA NI-	13 mg/dL (NL = 9-26)		
MEAN CELL HEMOGLOBIN	29.7 pg (NL = 27.1-34.2)	CREATININE	0.7 mg/dL (NL =		(High-risk surgery) Treatment of ven-
MEAN CELL HEMOGLOBIN CONCENTRA-	33.6 % (NL = 33.0-35.6)	GLUCOSE	0.6-1.5) 122 mg/dL H (NL = 70-110)		ous thrombosis Treatment of pul- monary embolism
TION PLATELET	271 K/MM3 (NL =	CALCIUM	8.1 mg/dL L (NL = 8.4-10.2)		Prevention of Sys- temic embolism
rtaietei	130-351)	Anion Gap	9 MMOL/L (NL =		Acute myocardial
MPV	7.5 FL (NL = 7.5-11.2)		8-16)		infarction (To pre- vent systemic em- bolism) Valvular
Red Cell Diameter	13.8 % (NL =			1	heart disease Atrial

TIME	9.3-12.4)
INR	1.0 (NL = 0.8-1.2)
	Comment: Recom
	mended therapeut
	ic range for oral
	anticoagulant ther-
	apy (INR 2.0 - 3.0)
	INDICATION: Pro-
	phylaxis of venous
	thrombosis
	(High-risk surgery)
	Treatment of ven-
	ous thrombosis
	Treatment of pul-
	monary embolism
	Prevention of Sys-
	temic embolism
	Acute myocardial
	infarction (To pre-
	vent systemic em-
	bolism) Valvular
	heart disease Atrial
	fibrillation 1. It is
	strongly recom-
	mended that all pa-
	tients with mechan-
	ical prosthetic
	heart valves re-
	ceive oral antico-
	agulant (Grade C1
	recommendation)
	A goal INR of 2.5
	(range 2.0 - 3.0) is
	recommended for
	patients with a
	bileaflet mechanic-
	al valve in the aor-
	tic position,
	provided the left at-
`	rium is of normal
l	size, the patient is
ł	in sinus rhythm
Transport.	and the ejection
	fraction is normal

01/01/2017 00:17

Width

11.8-15.1)

Confidential Medical Record

Page 14 of 19

Patient: PEREZ, MARTIN MRN: 0030138209 Page 14 of 19

	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
	(Grade A2 recom
	mendation) 2. A
	goal INR of 3.0
	(range 2.5 - 3.5) i
	recommended for
	all other patients.
	oral anticoagulant
	therapy is elected
	to prevent recur-
	rent myocardial in
	farction, an INR of
	2.5 - 3.5 is recom-
	mended, consist-
	ent with Food and
	į.
	Drug Administra-
	tion recommenda-
	tions. Chest: 114:5
	November 1998
	Supplement
ACTIVATED PAR-	25 SEC. (NL =
TIAL THROM-	22-33) Comment:
BOPLASTIN	Based on the
	laboratory's aPTT /
	Heparin anti Xa
	correlation study,
	aPTT levels of 52 -
	78 seconds correl-
	ate with Heparin
	levels of 0.3 - 0.7
	anti Xa units.
GLOMERULAR FI	LTRATION RATE
12/30/2016 21:47:0	
Glomerular Filtra-	>60 Comment: Es-
tion Rate(GFR)	timated GLOMER-
Calc	ULAR FILTRA-
	TION RATE (GFR)
	Reference Ranges:
	>59 mL/min/1.73
	m2 GFR calcula-
	tion requires an ac-
	curate age and
	gender of the pa-
	tient. Ordered on
	patients 18 years
	and older. For
	African Americans,
	multiply GFR value
1	

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 15 of 19

00160

by 1.21 The estimated GFR is to be used for screening purposes. For drug dosing, use the Cockcroft-Gault calculation.

ANTIBODY SCREEN GEL 12/30/2016 21:47:00

ANTIBODY

NEG ABSCRN

SCREEN (Gel Method)

ABORH TYPE 12/30/2016 21:47:00

ABO/RH TYPING

A POS

#### Physical Exam

General Presentation: VITALS: Ivital signs documented prior to this note have been reviewed and noted, see HER] AIRWAY: [patent, patient phonating well] BREATHING: [no respiratory distress, breath sounds present bilaterally CIRCULATION: [heart sounds present, blood pressure adequate, skin well-perfused] DISABILITY: [Move all four extremities, GCS 15] GENERAL: [alert, awake, oriented x 3, mild distress, non-toxic] HEENT: [normocephalic, atraumatic, no bruising around eyes or ears, PERRL, EOMI, nares clear, no fluid from ears or nose, oropharynx clear, no dental malocclusion, no mandible tenderness] NECK: [arrived in c-collar, midline C-spine tenderness to palpation in the middle of the night, no step-offs, trachea midline, no bruising or swelling, no subcutaneous emphysema] CARDIOVASCULAR: [regular rate/rhythm, no murmurs,] Pulmonary/ Chest: [Non-labored. No obvious trauma. Patient does complain of left anterior chest wall pain chief to AP compression. No crepitus or flail segments. Lungs clear to auscultation. No crackles, wheezes or rubs, no respiratory distress, no ecchymoses, no deformity, no subcutaneous emphysema] ABDOMEN: [Atraumatic in appearance, soft, non-tender, non-distended, normoactive bowel sounds, no ecchymoses, benign exam] PELVIS: [stable to anterior-posterior and lateral compression] BACK: [normal appearance, atraumatic, patient did report upper T-spine tenderness to palpation, denied any lumbar tenderness, no step-offs, no crepitance, no CVA tenderness] EXTREMITIES: [warm, well-perfused, no gross deformities, 2+ pulses in all 4 extremities, full passive range-of-motion at bilateral shoulders/elbows/wrists/hips/knees/ankles without significant pain] SKIN: [warm, dry, no rashes or lesions] NEUROLOGIC: [GCS 15 (E4/V5/M6), cranial nerves III-XII intact, strength 5/5 in all 4 extremities, sensation to light-touch intact in all 4 extremities, deep tendon reflexes 2+ in all 4 extremities, no ataxia identified, perineal sensation intact] PSYCHIATRIC: [normal affect/insight/concentration] (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:17:00)

# Past Medical History/Patient Problems

1) Patient reports, "No Known Problems" [Confirmed by ROBERT WILSON RN on 12/30/2016 21:08:39.] (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 21:08:39) 2) Traumatic fall [Confirmed by RANDALL BESS MD on 12/30/2016 21:22:29.] (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:22:29) 3) Musculoskeletal chest pain [Confirmed by RANDALL BESS MD on 12/30/2016 21:22:29.] (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:22:29) 4) Traumatic subdural hematoma [Confirmed by RANDALL BESS MD on 12/30/2016 21:22:29.] (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:22:29)

Substance Use

Tobacco Smoking status:

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 16 of 19

Patient: PEREZ, MARTIN MRN: 0030138209 Page 16 of 19

never a smoker [Confirmed by: ROBERT WILSON RN on 12/30/2016 21:09:00]

#### Alcohol use:

no [ROBERT WILSON RN on 12/30/2016 21:09:00]

#### Acuity

Activation Level - ED. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) 3 - Urgent (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00)

## Progress Notes

12/30/2016 21:09:00 Imaging and laboratory workup provided from outside hospital seen in the Saint Rose. CT head without contrast: Shows subdural hemorrhage along the interhemispheric fissure and the tentorium common with maximal thickness measuring 7 millimeters, no midline shift or mass effect. CT C-spine shows no acute fracture or subluxation identified, no acute fracture. X-ray L-spine 2 to 3 view shows no acute fracture subluxation, no acute fracture. X-ray T-spine three view shows possible subtle fracture along the posterior 8th right rib, no other acute fracture or subluxation seen in the thoracic spine. Chest x-ray shows no acute cardiopulmonary process. X-ray of pelvis shows no acute fracture dislocation hip identified, hip joints well preserved. No acute fracture Laboratory workup: CBC is unremarkable, hemoglobin 15.3 white count 10.5, platelets 296, PT INR within normal limits and CMP shows mild hyperglycemia 109, ALT and AST elevation 57 and 39, otherwise unremarkable within normal limits. Urinalysis also within normal limits. (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:09:00)

## RN Continuation Notes

12/30/2016 21:09:00 Per ems pt was working on roof when he was pushed off. Pt fell approximately 12 feet hitting his head. Pt transferred from St. Rose Sienna. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 21:09:00) Bed Assignment: 12/30/2016 21:00:59 Assigned to bed TRM11 (ALEXIS MARTINEZ UNIT CLERK 12/30/2016 21:00:59)

## Triage and Nursing History

Acuity: 12/30/2016 20:54:00 Activation Level - ED. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) 12/30/2016 20:54:00 3 - Urgent (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00)

**Language:** 12/30/2016 20:54:00 No language or communication barrier. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00)

RN History: 12/30/2016 20:54:00 Mentation - Patient has periodic confusion. Score = 1 (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) 12/30/2016 20:54:00 Mobility - Patient is able to ambulate or transfer with assistive device or assistance. Score = 1 (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) 12/30/2016 20:54:00 Elimination - Patient is independent with frequency or diarrhea. Score = 1 (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) 12/30/2016 20:54:00 Prior Fall history at home or previous Inpatient care. Score = 1. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) 12/30/2016 20:54:00 Patient is at risk for falls and precautions have been instituted. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) 12/30/2016 20:54:00 Patient has no thoughts of suicide. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) 12/30/2016 20:54:00 Patient has no thoughts of harming self or others. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) 12/30/2016 20:54:00 Onset of symptoms was about 4 hrs ago. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) 12/30/2016 20:54:00 History comes from patient. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) 12/30/2016 20:54:00 History is supplied by the patient's ambulance record. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00)

Mental: 12/30/2016 20:54:00 Domestic violence survey shows NEGATIVE risk for this patient. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00)

## **Disposition**

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 17 of 19

Patient: PEREZ, MARTIN MRN: 0030138209 Page 17 of 19

This is a case of traumatic fall in a 30-year-old male with no reported past medical history who presented to the emergency department as a transfer from Saint Rose, after being pushed by a co-worker off of a roof assisting a 20 foot fall and loss of consciousness, being found on the ground for an unknown amount of time. Differential diagnosis included but was not limited to intracranial of/closed head injury, C-spine T-spine L-spine injury, chest wall injury, intra-abdominal, musculoskeletal injury. Initial vital signs within normal limits, hemodynamically appropriate and stable, physical exam showed tenderness posterior scalp as well as C-spine and T-spine, and left anterior chest wall tenderness to anterior compression, laboratory workup was unremarkable from outside hospital, imaging and diagnostic testing showed interhemispheric subdural measuring 7 millimeters with no midline shift common otherwise no acute injuries were noted from outside hospital imaging. At this time the patient is in stable condition, and is being consult to Trauma surgery for final disposition management. Clinical impression 1. Traumatic fall 2. Closed head injury 3. Subdural hematoma 4. Possible right 8th rib fracture (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:09:00) Disposition decision is admit. (MITZI A DILLON MD 12/30/2016 21:12:00) Admit to Intensive Care unit. (MITZI A DILLON MD 12/30/2016 21:12:00) Condition at discharge - stable. (MITZI A DILLON MD 12/30/2016 21:12:00) History, physical findings, and management plan discussed with ED attending physician. (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 22:50:06) Attending physically available and saw patient. (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 22:50:06) Electronically signed by RANDALL BESS MD. (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 22:50:06) The designated co-signing physician is MITZI DILLON MD. (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 22:50:06) I have reviewed the chart of MARTIN PEREZ and as the supervising staff physician concur on the final disposition - ELECTRONICALLY CO-SIGNED BY MITZI A DILLON MD. (MITZI A DILLON MD 01/01/2017 00:10:45)

A discharge pain score was documented: Pain 0/10 (no pain) at 00:07. (ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN 12/31/2016 00:07:00) IV capped and flushed. (ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN 12/31/2016 00:07:00) pt is admitted to room 810, report given to RN in TICU, pt was transported by trauma RN, vitals stable, no complaints at this time, pt is A+OX4, (ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN 12/31/2016 00:07:00) Disposition status is Admit. (ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN 12/31/2016 00:08:00) Admitted to Intensive Care Unit. (ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN 12/31/2016 00:08:00) RN accompanied patient. (ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN 12/31/2016 00:08:00) Monitor used during transport. (ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN 12/31/2016 00:08:00) Valuables inventoried and collected by UMC Public Safety. (ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN 12/31/2016 00:08:00) Patient physically left department and was removed from Tracking Board by ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN. (ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN 12/31/2016 00:08:00) Electronically signed by ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN. (ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN 12/31/2016 00:08:33)

Pre-Hospital Information Mode of arrival: (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) AMR (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00)

ROBERT WILSON RN printed Orders Report to Trauma RN 1 at 21:51 (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 21:51:15) ALEXIS MARTINEZ UNIT CLERK printed UMC-EDView to Trauma RN 1 at 23:29 (ALEXIS MARTINEZ UNIT CLERK 12/30/2016 23:29:47) MITZI A DILLON MD printed Emergency Department Chart to Archive to One Content at 00:10 (MITZI A DILLON MD 01/01/2017 00:10:48) MITZI A DILLON MD printed UMC-EDView to Archive to One Content at 00:10 (MITZI A DILLON MD 01/01/2017 00:10:48)

Discharge Summary Chief Complaint: NO DATA AVAILABLE.. Primary Diagnosis: Traumatic subdural hematoma; Free text DX: Possible right 8th rib fracture; Musculoskeletal chest pain; Free text DX: Traumatic fall... Disposition Notes: This is a case of traumatic fall in a 30-year-old male with no reported past medical history who presented to the emergency department as a transfer from Saint Rose, after being pushed by a co-worker off of a roof assisting a 20 foot fall and loss of consciousness, being

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 18 of 19

found on the ground for an unknown amount of time. Differential diagnosis included but was not limited to intracranial of/closed head injury, C-spine T-spine L-spine injury, chest wall injury, intra-abdominal, musculoskeletal injury. Initial vital signs within normal limits, hemodynamically appropriate and stable, physical exam showed tenderness posterior scalp as well as C-spine and T-spine, and left anterior chest wall tenderness to anterior compression, laboratory workup was unremarkable from outside hospital, imaging and diagnostic testing showed interhemispheric subdural measuring 7 millimeters with no midline shift common otherwise no acute injuries were noted from outside hospital imaging. At this time the patient is in stable condition, and is being consult to Trauma surgery for final disposition management. Clinical impression 1. Traumatic fall 2. Closed head injury 3. Subdural hematoma 4. Possible right 8th rib fracture; Disposition decision is admit; Condition at discharge - stable; Admit to Intensive Care unit; Electronically signed by RANDALL BESS MD; The designated co-signing physician is MITZI DILLON MD; History, physical findings, and management plan discussed with ED attending physician; Attending physically available and saw patient; I have reviewed the chart of MARTIN PEREZ and as the supervising staff physician concur on the final disposition - ELECTRONICALLY CO-SIGNED BY MITZI A DILLON MD., Discharge Prescriptions: NO DATA AVAILABLE.

# Staff Legend 显示操作的 操作器 化氯化物 经基础的 经证券

RW4 - ROBERT WILSON RN AM33 - ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN MK23 - MARTIN KOVACIK RN SL8 - SUSAN LALUMIA RN RB40 - RANDALL BESS MD - External Data SB61 - SAMUEL BERGIN MD CJ22 - CATHERINE JURGENS RN NJ7 - NATHANIEL JIMENEZ MD AM80 -ALEXIS MARTINEZ UNIT CLERK MAD1 - MITZI A DILLON MD AA3 - APRIL ALLEN-CARTER RT

Page 19 of 19

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Final

### **Emergency Department Chart**

Account Number: 9931347349 Patient Name: PEREZ, MARTIN Birthdate: 01/08/1978 Gender: M Medical Rec. Number: 0030138209 Primary MD: Arrival Date: 12/30/2016 20:54 Attending MD:MITZI DILLON MD Visit Date: 12/30/2016 21:02

#### Vital Signs/Data

Time	Staff	Temperature	Pulse	Respiration	Blood Pressure	Pulse Oximetry	Pain
12/31/2016 00:07	АМЗЗ	1					0/10
12/30/2016 23:39	АМЗЗ		94 /min	13 /min	132/91 mm Hg.	98% on Room air	
12/30/2016 21:51	MK23		99 /min	18 /min	135/81 mm Hg.	99% on 2 liter/mir	0/10
12/30/2016 21:14	RW4	98.7 F	94 /min	18 /min		98% on Room air	
12/30/2016 21:14	RW4				141/88 mm Hg.		1

#### **Allergies**

## NO KNOWN ALLERGIES [Confirmed by ROBERT WILSON RN on 12/30/2016 21:09:00.] (RW4 12/30/2016 21:09)

#### **Pre-Hospital Treatment**

Mode of arrival: AMR (RW4) 12/30/2016 20:54

#### Triage

Activation Level - ED. (RW4 12/30/2016 20:54) 3 - Urgent (RW4 20:54) No language or communication barrier. (RW4 20:54) Domestic violence survey shows NEGATIVE risk for this patient. (RW4 20:54) Mentation - Patient has periodic confusion. Score = 1 (RW4 20:54) Mobility - Patient is able to ambulate or transfer with assistive device or assistance. Score = 1 (RW4 20:54) Elimination - Patient is independent with frequency or diarrhea. Score = 1 (RW4 20:54) Prior Fall history at home or previous Inpatient care. Score = 1. (RW4 20:54) Patient is at risk for falls and precautions have been instituted. (RW4 20:54) Patient has no thoughts of suicide. (RW4 20:54)

Patient has no thoughts of harming self or others. (RW4 20:54)

Onset of symptoms was about 4 hrs ago. (RW4 20:54) History comes from patient. (RW4 20:54)

History is supplied by the patient's ambulance record. (RW4 20:54)

#### Height/Weight

Hgt: 162 cm at 20:54 (RW4 12/30/2016 20:54)

Wgt: 91 kg at 20:54 (RW4 20:54) BMI: 34.7 (RW4 20:54) BSA: 2.02 sq. m (RW4 20:54)

#### **Current Medications**

1) 12/30/2016 21:08 RW4 Patient reports, "No Current Meds" [Confirmed by ROBERT WILSON RN on 12/30/2016 21:08:47.]

### Nursing Continuation Notes - Refer to Orders section for all orders

Per ems pt was working on roof when he was pushed off. Pt fell approximately 12 feet hitting his head. Pt transferred from St. Rose Sienna. (RW4 12/30/2016 21:09)

Print Date: 01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 1 of 9

Patient: PEREZ, MARTIN MRN: 0030138209 Page 1 of 9

Final

**Emergency Department Chart** 

Patient Name: PEREZ, MARTIN Account Number: 9931347349 Medical Rec. Number: 0030138209 Birthdate: 01/08/1978 Gender: M

Arrival Date: 12/30/2016 20:54

Visit Date: 12/30/2016 21:02 Attending MD:MITZI DILLON MD

#### **Clinician History of Present Illness**

Exam started at 21:03 (MAD1) 12/30/2016 21:03 38-year-old Hispanic speaking male who presents from Saint Rose Sienna after sustaining a 20 foot fall after being pushed off of a roof top by a co-worker and sustaining a loss of consciousness for unknown amount of time. Patient was found unconscious on the ground. Patient presents from outside hospital with outside labs and imaging and is transferred for a subdural bleed measuring 7 millimeters, otherwise injuries noted were possible right 8th rib fracture, no other injuries were reported on transport. Patient denies any past medical history, denies any smoking alcohol or drug use, denies any allergies to any medications. Patient denies any loss of sensation, nausea or vomiting, headache, numbness weakness or tingling, patient does complain of posterior head pain, neck pain and upper back pain, as well as left chest wall pain. Denies any abdominal pain.REVIEW OF SYSTEMS - 10 systems were independently reviewed and are otherwise negative with the exception of those items previously documented in the HPI and nursing notes. (RB40) 12/30/2016 21:17

#### **Patient Problems**

Patient reports, "No Known Problems" [Confirmed by ROBERT WILSON RN on 12/30/2016 21:08:39.] (RW4 12/30/2016 21:08) Traumatic fall [Confirmed by RANDALL BESS MD on 12/30/2016 21:22:29.] (RB40 21:22) Musculoskeletal chest pain [Confirmed by RANDALL BESS MD on 12/30/2016 21:22:29.] (RB40 21:22) Traumatic subdural hematoma [Confirmed by RANDALL BESS MD on 12/30/2016 21:22:29.] (RB40 21:22)

#### Past Surgical History/Major Procedures

PMHx: [as mentioned in HPI]

SHx: [none]

Social: [negative x3]

FHx: [non contributory] PMHx: [as mentioned in HPI]

SHx: [none]

Social: [negative x3]

FHx: [non contributory] (RB40) 12/30/2016 21:09

#### **Physical Exam**

#### **GENERAL:**

VITALS: [vital signs documented prior to this note have been reviewed and noted, see HER] AIRWAY: [patent, patient phonating well]

BREATHING: [no respiratory distress, breath sounds present bilaterally]

CIRCULATION: [heart sounds present, blood pressure adequate, skin well-perfused]

DISABILITY: [Move all four extremities, GCS 15]

GENERAL: [alert, awake, oriented x 3, mild distress, non-toxic]

HEENT: [normocephalic, atraumatic, no bruising around eyes or ears, PERRL, EOMI, nares clear, no fluid from ears or nose,

oropharynx clear, no dental malocclusion, no mandible tenderness]

NECK: [arrived in c-collar, midline C-spine tendemess to palpation in the middle of the night, no step-offs, trachea midline, no bruising or swelling, no subcutaneous emphysema]

CARDIOVASCULAR: [regular rate/rhythm, no murmurs,]
Pulmonary/ Chest: [Non-labored. No obvious trauma. Patient does complain of left anterior chest wall pain chief to AP compression. No crepitus or flail segments. Lungs clear to auscultation. No crackles, wheezes or rubs, no respiratory distress, no ecchymoses, no deformity, no subcutaneous emphysema]

ABDOMEN: [Atraumatic in appearance, soft, non-tender, non-distended, normoactive bowel sounds, no ecchymoses, benign exam]

PELVIS: Istable to anterior-posterior and lateral compression]

BACK: [normal appearance, atraumatic, patient did report upper T-spine tenderness to palpation, denied any lumbar tenderness, no step-offs, no crepitance, no CVA tenderness]

EXTREMITIES: [warm, well-perfused, no gross deformities, 2+ pulses in all 4 extremities, full passive range-of-motion at bilateral shoulders/elbows/wrists/hips/knees/ankles without significant pain]

SKIN: [warm, dry, no rashes or lesions]

NEUROLOGIC: [GCS 15 (E4/V5/M6), cranial nerves III-XII intact, strength 5/5 in all 4 extremities, sensation to light-touch intact in all 4 extremities, deep tendon reflexes 2+ in all 4 extremities, no ataxia identified, perineal sensation intact]

PSYCHIATRIC: [normal affect/insight/concentration]

(RB40) 12/30/2016 21:17

Print Date: 01/01/2017 00:17

Confidential Medical Record

Page 2 of 9

Final

**Emergency Department Chart** 

Account Number: 9931347349 Patient Name: PEREZ, MARTIN Birthdate: 01/08/1978 Gender: M Medical Rec. Number: 0030138209

Arrival Date: 12/30/2016 20:54 Primary MD:

Attending MD:MITZI DILLON MD Visit Date: 12/30/2016 21:02

#### **Progress Notes**

Imaging and laboratory workup provided from outside hospital seen in the Saint Rose.

CT head without contrast: Shows subdural hemorrhage along the interhemispheric fissure and the tentorium common with maximal thickness measuring 7 millimeters, no midline shift or mass effect. CT C-spine shows no acute fracture or subluxation identified, no acute fracture.

X-ray L-spine 2 to 3 view shows no acute fracture subluxation, no acute fracture. X-ray T-spine three view shows possible subtle fracture along the posterior 8th right rib, no other acute fracture or subluxation seen in the thoracic spine. Chest x-ray shows no acute cardiopulmonary process.

X-ray of pelvis shows no acute fracture dislocation hip identified, hip joints well preserved. No acute fracture

Laboratory workup: CBC is unremarkable, hemoglobin 15.3 white count 10.5, platelets 296, PT INR within normal limits and CMP shows mild hyperglycemia 109, ALT and AST elevation 57 and 39, otherwise unremarkable within normal limits. Urinalysis also within normal limits. (RB40) 12/30/2016 21:09

#### **Primary Diagnosis**

Free text DX: Traumatic fall (RB40 12/30/2016 21:22)

Traumatic subdural hematoma (RB40 21:22)

Free text DX: Possible right 8th rib fracture (RB40 21:22)

Musculoskeletal chest pain (RB40 21:22)

Print Date: 01/01/2017 00:17 **Confidential Medical Record**  Page 3 of 9

Final

**Emergency Department Chart** 

Patient Name: PEREZ, MARTIN Account Number: 9931347349 Birthdate: 01/08/1978 Gender: M Medical Rec. Number: 0030138209

Arrival Date: 12/30/2016 20:54 Primary MD: Attending MD:MITZI DILLON MD

### **Med Orders**

ED: morphine inj 4 MG IV ONCE NOW

Visit Date: 12/30/2016 21:02

Entered By (SL8 RN 12/30/2016 21:11) Ordered By (RB40 MD 21:11) Completed By (SL8 RN 21:10) Notes: Dose given

IV push. No complications. Just given ED: morphine inj. Awake and alert. (SL8 21:10) levETIRAcetam inj [KEPPRA] 1000 MG IVPB 15 MIN NOW ROUTINE

Entered By (RW4 RN 12/30/2016 21:49) Completed By (RW4 RN 21:49)

lactated ringers 1000 ML IV 100 MLHR CONTINUOUS ROUTINE Maintenance

Entered By (SB61 MD 1230/2016 22:57) Completed By ( 23:49) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57)

acetaminophen [TYLENOL] 1000 MG ORAL Q8H PRN ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Completed By ( 12/31/2016 09:40) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57)

Indications: moderate pain

fentaNYL inj [SUBLIMAZE] 50 MCG IV Q2H PRN ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Completed By ( 23:59) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57) Indications: severe pain ondansetron [ZOFRAN] 4 MG ORAL Q6H PRN ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Completed By ( 12/31/2016 05:18) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Indications: nausea

docusate sodium [ COLACE ] 100 MG ORAL BID ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 1230/2016 22:57) Completed By ( 23:59) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57)

ED: fentaNYL inj [ SUBLIMAZE ] 50 MCG IV ONCE NOW

Entered By (CJ22 RN 1280/2016 22:59) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:59) Completed By (CJ22 RN 22:56) Notes: Just given
ED: fentaNYL inj [ SUBLIMAZE ]. (CJ22 22:56)

levETIRAcetam [ KEPPRA ] 500 MG ORAL BID ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 23:06) Ordered By (SB61 MD 23:06) Completed By (SB61 MD 12/31/2016 08:34) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 23:06)

potassium chloride [ KLOR- CON ] 40 MEQ ORAL PRN ROUTINE If serum creatinine is > = 1.4 or UO < 0.5 ml/kg/hr x 3 hrs, DO NOT USE PROTOCOL; contact provider for new orders

Indications: per Electrolyte Protocol (PROT #383)

KCI 40 mEq rider 40 MEQ IVPB 10 MEQ/HR PRN ROUTINE If serum creatinine is >/= 1.4 or UO < 0.5 ml/kg/hr x 3 hrs, DO NOT USE PROTOCOL; contact provider for new orders Peripheral Line Indications: per Electrolyte Protocol (PROT #383)

KPhos-NaPhos powder packet (8 mmol phos) [ PHOS-NAK ] 2 PACKET ORAL PRN ROUTINE If serum creatinine is >/= 1.4 or UO < 0.5 ml/kg/hr x 3 hrs, DO NOT USE PROTOCOL; contact provider for new orders

Indications: per Electrolyte Protocol (PROT #383)

KPhos inj 40 MMOL IVPB 7 MMOL/HR PRN ROUTINE Peripheral Line If serum creatinine is >/= 1.4 or UO < 0.5 ml/kg/hr x 3 hrs, DO NOT USE PROTOCOL; contact provider for new orders

Indications: per Electrolyte Protocol (PROT #383)

magnesium sulfate 2 gm rider 2 GM IVPB 30 MIN PRN ROUTINE If serum creatinine is >/= 1.4 or UO < 0.5 ml/kg/hr x 3 hrs, DO NOT USE PROTOCOL; contact provider for new orders

Indications: per Electrolyte Protocol (PROT #383)

NaPhos inj 20 MMOL IVPB 7 MMOL/HR`PRN ROUTINE If serum creatinine is >/= 1.4 or UO < 0.5 ml/kg/hr x 3 hrs, DO NOT USE PROTOCOL; contact provider for new orders

Indications: per Electrolyte Protocol (PROT #383)
NaPhos inj 40 MMOL IVPB 7 MMOL/HR PRN ROUTINE if serum creatinine is >/= 1.4 or UO < 0.5 ml/kg/hr x 3 hrs, DO NOT USE PROTOCOL; contact provider for new orders

Indications: per Electrolyte Protocol (PROT #383)

sodium chloride 0.9% 1000 ML IV 100 ML/HR CONTINUOUS ROUTINE

Ordered By (NJ7 MD 12/30/2016 23:49) Completed By (NJ7 MD 12/31/2016 11:18)

sodium chloride 0.9% 500 ML IVPB PRN ROUTINE

sodium chloride 0.9% 250 ML IVPB PRN ROUTINE

HYDROmorphone inj [ DILAUDID ] 1 MG IV Q4H PRN ROUTINE

Completed By ( 12/31/2016 03:47) Indications: severe pain

famotidine inj [ PÉPCID ] 20 MG IV Q12H ROUTINE

Completed By ( 12/31/2016 09:40)

insulin lispro inj [HumaLOG] 3-15 UNIT SUBCUT PRN ROUTINE 70-130= 0 units; 131-150= 3 units; 151-170= 5 units; 171- 190= 7 units; 191- 210= 9 units; 211- 230= 11 units; 231- 250= 13 units; >250= 15 units and call House Officer to

Print Date: 01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 4 of 9

Final

**Emergency Department Chart** 

Patient Name: PEREZ, MARTIN Account Number: 9931347349 Medical Rec. Number: 0030138209 Birthdate: 01/08/1978 Gender: M

Arrival Date: 12/30/2016 20:54 Primary MD:

Visit Date: 12/30/2016 21:02 Attending MD:MITZI DILLON MD

### **Med Orders**

consider scale B (if ICU pt) or scale D (if non-ICU pt) Indications: PROT- 14 sliding scale (A): dextrose 50% inj 25 GM IV PRN ROUTINE
Indications: Blood Glucose <35; recheck BGM in 30min
dextrose 50% inj 12.5 GM IV PRN ROUTINE

Indications: Blood Glucose 35-69; recheck BGM in 30min

PHARMACY COMMUNICATION 1 EA MISC PRN ROUTINE Rx to D/C ALL previously ordered anti-diabetic medications

Print Date: 01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 5 of 9

Fina!

**Emergency Department Chart** 

Account Number: 9931347349 Patient Name: PEREZ, MARTIN Birthdate: 01/08/1978 Gender: M Medical Rec. Number: 0030138209

Primary MD: Arrival Date: 12/30/2016 20:54 Attending MD:MITZI DILLON MD

Non-Med Orders

Visit Date: 12/30/2016 21:02

TR CT CHEST WITH CONTRAST ONCE STAT Pain - Trauma Related

Entered By (AM80 UNIT CLERK 1280/2016 21:16) Ordered By (MAD1 MD 21:16) Results Back (21:32) MD Sign (MAD1 MD 21:16) Notes: Taken to CT. (CJ22 21:18)
TR CT ABDOMEN AND PELVIS IV ONLY ONCE STAT Pain - Trauma Related

Entered By (AM80 UNIT CLERK 1230/2016 21:16) Ordered By (MAD1 MD 21:16) Results Back (21:32) MD Sign (MAD1 MD 21:16) Notes: Taken to CT. (CJ22 21:18)

TR CT LUMBAR SPINE RECONSTRUCT ONCE STAT Pain - Trauma Related

Entered By (AM80 UNIT CLERK 1230/2016 21:16) Ordered By (MAD1 MD 21:16) Results Back (21:32) MD Sign (MAD1

MD 21:16) Notes: Taken to CT. (CJ22 21:18)
TR CT THORACIC SPINE RECONSTRUCT ONCE STAT Pain - Trauma Related Entered By (AM80 UNIT CLERK 1280/2016 21:16) Ordered By (MAD1 MD 21:16) Results Back (21:32) MD Sign (MAD1 MD 21:16) Notes: Taken to CT. (CJ22 21:18) CBC NO DIFFERENTIAL ONCE STAT

Entered By (RW4 RN 12/30/2016 21:50) Ordered By (MAD1 MD 21:50) Results Back (22:08) Notes: Blood Drawn - RN. Blood obtained from the right antecubital fossa. (RW4 21:50)

BASIC METABOLIC PANEL ONCE STAT

Entered By (RW4 RN 12/30/2016 21:50) Ordered By (MAD1 MD 21:50) Results Back (22:28) Notes: Blood Drawn - RN. Blood obtained from the right antecubital fossa. (RW4 21:50)

PT + APTT ONCE STAT

Entered By (RW4 RN 12/30/2016 21:50) Ordered By (MAD1 MD 21:50) Results Back (22:29) Notes: Blood Drawn - RN. Blood obtained from the right antecubital fossa. (RW4 21:50)

TYPE AND SCREEN ONCE STAT

Entered By (RW4 RN 12/30/2016 21:50) Ordered By (MAD1 MD 21:50) Notes: Blood Drawn - RN. Blood obtained from the right antecubital fossa. (RW4 21:50)

ABO RH TYPE ONCE STAT

Entered By (1280/2016 22:06) Ordered By (MAD1 MD 22:06) Results Back (23:10) MD Sign (MAD1 MD 22:06) Notes: Blood Drawn - RN. Blood obtained from the right antecubital fossa. (RW4 22:09) Blood Drawn - RN. (KR25 22:09)

ANTIBODY SCREEN - GEL TECHNIQUE ONCE STAT

Entered By (12/30/2016 22:06) Ordered By (MAD1 MD 22:06) Results Back (23:10) MD Sign (MAD1 MD 22:06) Notes: Blood Drawn - RN. Blood obtained from the right antecubital fossa. (RW4 22:09) Blood Drawn - RN. (KR25 22:09)

Surgery Admit Order (basic requirements) ONCE ROUTINE Inpatient TICU Standard No 7.3mm Subdural hematoma, 8th Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:56) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:56) Completed By (23:37) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:56) Vital Signs Q2H ROUTINE right rib fracture, Fall off second story roof CHESTOVICH, PAUL J [GENERAL SURGERY] (22358)

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (22:57) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57) Order Cancelled (23:47) Intake & Output Q2H ROUTINE Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (SB61

MD 1230/2016 22:57

Measure Weight EVERY DAY ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (12/31/2016 22:53) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57)

INSERT: Saline Lock CONTIN ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Comments: (Peripheral IV #1)

INSERT: Saline Lock CONTIN ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (SB61

WILD 12/30/2016 22:57) Comments: Penpneral IV #2

Call MD: CONTIN ROUTINE HR < 50 HR > 130 R < 8 R > 30 SBP < 90 SBP > 180 Temp > 38.5 degree C UOP < 0.5 mlkg/hr

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57)

MD 12/30/2016 22:57)

Notify: CONTIN ROUTINE SaO2 < 88%

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57

INCENTIVE SPIROMETER- RT to instruct ONCE ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 1230/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (AA3 RT 12/31/2016 07:46) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57)

Print Date: 01/01/2017 00:17

Confidential Medical Record

Page 6 of 9

Final

**Emergency Department Chart** 

Account Number: 9931347349 Patient Name: PEREZ, MARTIN Birthdate: 01/08/1978 Gender: M Medical Rec. Number: 0030138209 Arrival Date: 12/30/2016 20:54 Primary MD: Attending MD:MITZI DILLON MD Visit Date: 12/30/2016 21:02

#### Non-Med Orders

Incentive Spirometry - NSG Q1H ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (12/31/2016 19:28) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Comments: X 10 Breaths

Admission Nasal MRSA Colonization Screen- ONCE ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57)

Initiate Influenza Vaccine Assessment- CONTIN ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57) Comments: - Switch to Influenza vaccine order if indicated

Initiate Pneumococcal Vaccine Assessment- CONTIN ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57) Comments: - Switch to pneumococcal vaccine order if indicated

RD May Modify / Clarify Diet Orders CONTIN ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57)

CASE MANAGEMENT CONSULT ONCE ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57) SOCIAL SERVICES CONSULT ONCE ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 1230/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57)

Activity CONTIN ROUTINE (with nursing assistance)

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57

Notify: CONTIN ROUTINE DBP < 60 or > 110

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57)

NPO MEALS

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (22:57) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57) Order Cancelled (12/31/2016 09:38)

Sequential Compression Device CONTIN ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57

No Parenteral VTE Therapy CQM 2014 ONCE ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57) Comments: brain bleed **CBC/AUTOMATED IN AM** 

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Results Back (12/31/2016 01:29) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57)

RENAL PANEL IN AM

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Results Back (12/31/2016 01:53) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57

**Neuro Checks Q1H ROUTINE** 

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (12/31/2016 23:00) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57

**EKG 12 LEAD ONCE STAT** 

Entered By (AM80 UNIT CLERK 1280/2016 22:55) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:55) Results Back (1281/2016 12:52) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:55) Notes: First EKG in department obtained. (CJ22 23:11)

CT BRAIN WO CONTRAST ONCE TIMED subdural hematoma

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 23:06) Ordered By (SB61 MD 23:06) MD Sign (SB61 MD 23:06) Order Cancelled (12/31/2016 00:28)

**Elevate Head of Bed CONTIN ROUTINE** 

Entered By (12/30/2016 23:49) Ordered By (23:49) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (12/30/2016 23:49) Comments: Reverse trendelenberg at 30 degress if thoracic and lumbar spine are not cleared Vital Signs Q1H ROUTINE

Entered By (12/30/2016 23:49) Ordered By (23:49) Completed By (01/01/2017 00:00) MD Sign (12/30/2016 23:49)

MAGNESIUM LEVEL QDAY IN AM

Entered By (12/30/2016 23:49) Ordered By (23:49) MD Sign (23:49) Nurse to Follow Protocol: CONTIN ROUTINE Print and follow PROT #383 (Electrolyte Protocol), place in chart Entered By (12/30/2016 23:49) Ordered By (23:49) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (12/30/2016 23:49) RN to Order: CONTIN ROUTINE Repeat K level 2 hr after KCl, Phosphorous level 2 hr after KPhos/NaPhos/PhosNaK,

Print Date: 01/01/2017 00:17

Confidential Medical Record

Page 7 of 9

Final

#### **Emergency Department Chart**

Patient Name: PEREZ, MARTIN Account Number: 9931347349 Medical Rec. Number: 0030138209 Birthdate: 01/08/1978 Gender: M Arrival Date: 12/30/2016 20:54 Primary MD:

Attending MD:MITZI DILLON MD Visit Date: 12/30/2016 21:02

#### **Non-Med Orders**

Magnesium 2 hr after magnesium

Entered By (12/30/2016 23:49) Ordered By (23:49) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (12/30/2016 23:49) Comments: per Electrolyte Protocol (PROT #383)

Blood Glucose Testing - Bedside [ ACCU- CHECK ] Q4H ROUTINE If BGM< 35, repeat and send serum glucose level. Then give 25gm D50W IVP and recheck BGM in 30min. If BGM 35-69, give 12.5gm D50W IVP and recheck BGM in 30min.

Entered By (12/30/2016 23:59) Ordered By (23:59) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (12/30/2016 23:59)
Notify: CONTIN ROUTINE if BGM >250 and consider scale B (ICU pt) or scale D (non- ICU pt) House Officer Entered By (12/30/2016 23:59) Ordered By (23:59) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (12/30/2016 23:59)
Switch to GLYCOSYLATED HGB (HGA1C) CONTIN ROUTINE
Entered By (12/30/2016 23:59) Ordered By (23:59) MD Sign (23:59) Comments: if BGM not in target range after 12hrs on

Sliding Scale Protocol and call physician

RN to Order: CONTIN ROUTINE serum glucose level if BGM< 35 mg/dL

Entered By (1280/2016 23:59) Ordered By (23:59) Completed By (1281/2016 20:17) MD Sign (1280/2016 23:59)
Nurse to Follow Protocol: CONTIN ROUTINE Print and follow PROT #14- A (TICU/SICU Insulin Sliding Scale), place in chart

Entered By (12/30/2016 23:59) Ordered By (23:59) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (12/30/2016 23:59) Call MD: CONTIN ROUTINE (ICU Pt) if BG not in range after 12h on sliding scale to consider NEXT Scale OR Insulin Infusion (PROT #15)

Entered By (12/30/2016 23:59) Ordered By (23:59) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (12/30/2016 23:59) Call MD: CONTIN ROUTINE (Non-ICU Pt) if BG not in range after 12h on sliding scale to consider NEXT Scale OR ICU transfer & Insulin Infusion (PROT #15)

Entered By (12/30/2016 23:59) Ordered By (23:59) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (12/30/2016 23:59)

#### Disposition

This is a case of traumatic fall in a 30-year-old male with no reported past medical history who presented to the emergency department as a transfer from Saint Rose, after being pushed by a co-worker off of a roof assisting a 20 foot fall and loss of consciousness, being found on the ground for an unknown amount of time. Differential diagnosis included but was not limited to intracranial of/closed head injury, C-spine T-spine L-spine injury, chest wall injury, intra-abdominal, musculoskeletal injury. Initial vital signs within normal limits, hemodynamically appropriate and stable, physical exam showed tendemess posterior scalp as well as C-spine and T-spine, and left anterior chest wall tenderness to anterior compression, laboratory workup was unremarkable from outside hospital, imaging and diagnostic testing showed interhemispheric subdural measuring 7 millimeters with no midline shift common otherwise no acute injuries were noted from outside hospital imaging. At this time the patient is in stable condition, and is being consult to Trauma surgery for final disposition management. Clinical impression 1. Traumatic fall 2. Closed head injury 3. Subdural hematoma 4. Possible right 8th rib fracture (RB40) 12/30/2016 21:09 Disposition decision is admit. Admit to Intensive Care unit. Condition at discharge - stable. (MAD1) 12/30/2016 21:12 History, physical findings, and management plan discussed with ED attending physician. Attending physically available and saw patient. Electronically signed by RANDALL BESS MD.

The designated co-signing physician is MITZI DILLON MD. (RB40) 12/30/2016 22:50 I have reviewed the chart of MARTIN PEREZ and as the supervising staff physician concur on the final disposition - ELECTRONICALLY CO-SIGNED BY MITZI A DILLON MD. (MAD1) 01/01/2017 00:10 A discharge pain score was documented: Pain 0/10 (no pain) at 00:07. IV capped and flushed. pt is admitted to room 810, report given to RN in TICU, pt was transported by trauma RN, vitals stable, no complaints at this time, pt is A+OX4, (AM33) 12/31/2016 00:07 Disposition status is Admit. Admitted to Intensive Care Unit. RN accompanied patient. Monitor used during transport. Valuables inventoried and collected by UMC Public Safety. Patient physically left department and was removed from Tracking Board by ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN. (AM33) 12/31/2016 00:08 Electronically signed by ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN. (AM33) 12/31/2016 00:08

Confidential Medical Record Print Date: 01/01/2017 00:17

Page 8 of 9

Final

**Emergency Department Chart** 

Patient Name: PEREZ, MARTIN Account Number: 9931347349
Medical Rec. Number: 0030138209 Birthdate: 01/08/1978 Gender: M

Arrival Date: 12/30/2016 20:54 Primary MD:

Visit Date: 12/30/2016 21:02 Attending MD:MITZI DILLON MD

#### **Discharge Summary**

Chief Complaint: NO DATA AVAILABLE.. Primary Diagnosis: Traumatic subdural hematoma; Free text DX: Possible right 8th rib fracture; Musculoskeletal chest pain; Free text DX: Traumatic fall.. Disposition Notes: This is a case of traumatic fall in a 30-year-old male with no reported past medical history who presented to the emergency department as a transfer from Saint Rose, after being pushed by a co-worker off of a roof assisting a 20 foot fall and loss of consciousness, being found on the ground for an unknown amount of time. Differential diagnosis included but was not limited to intracranial of/closed head injury, C-spine T-spine L-spine injury, chest wall injury, intra-abdominal, musculoskeletal injury. Initial vital signs within normal limits, hemodynamically appropriate and stable, physical exam showed tendemess posterior scalp as well as C-spine and T-spine, and left anterior chest wall tenderness to anterior compression, laboratory workup was unremarkable from outside hospital, imaging and diagnostic testing showed interhemispheric subdural measuring 7 millimeters with no midline shift common otherwise no acute injuries were noted from outside hospital imaging. At this time the patient is in stable condition, and is being consult to Trauma surgery for final disposition management. Clinical impression 1. Traumatic fall 2. Closed head injury 3. Subdural hematoma 4. Possible right 8th rib fracture; Disposition decision is admit; Condition at discharge - stable; Admit to Intensive Care unit; Electronically signed by RANDALL BESS MD; The designated co-signing physician is MITZI A DILLON MD; History, physical findings, and management plan discussed with ED attending physician; Attending physicial valiable and saw patient; I have reviewed the chart of MARTIN PEREZ and as the supervising staff physician concur on the final disposition - ELECTRONICALLY CO-SIGNED BY MITZI A DILLON MD.. Discharge Prescriptions: NO DATA AVAILABLE. ( 01/01/2017 00:10)

#### **Substance Use**

#### Tobacco

Smoking status

never a smoker [Confirmed by: ROBERT WILSON RN on 12/30/2016 21:09:00]

#### Alcohol

SL8

Alcohol use

no [ROBERT WILSON RN on 12/30/2016 21:09:00]

Staff Legend					
АМЗЗ	ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN				
AM80	ALEXIS MARTINEZ UNIT CLERK				
CJ22	CATHERINE JURGENS RN				
KR25	KRISTIN REED RN				
MAD1	MITZI DILLON MD				
MK23	MARTIN KOVACIK RN				
RB40	RANDALL BESS MD				
RW4	ROBERT WILSON RN				
SR61	SAMUEL REPGININD				

SUSAN LALUMIA RN

Print Date: 01/01/2017 00:17 Confidential Medical Record Page 9 of 9

Patient Date of Birth: 1/8/1978 12:00:00 AM

Medical Record Number: 030138209

Location: EMG UMC

Rendering Physician: CHIN MD, HUBERT Referring Physician: PHYSICIAN, UNKNOWN

Accession Number: UMC7360842
Date of Service: 12/30/2016 9:14:00 AM
Exam: TR CT ABD AND PELVIS IV ONLY

*__=__**__===__*

**EXAM: CT ABDOMEN PELVIS WITH CONTRAST** 

HISTORY: Trauma

COMPARISON: None.

TECHNIQUE: After the uneventful intravenous administration of nonionic iodinated contrast, thin section axial CT images were obtained from the lung bases to the pubis symphysis. Thin section coronal images were reconstructed from the axial data set. In accordance with CT protocols and the ALARA principle, radiation dose reduction techniques were utilized for this examination. Thin section coronal images were reconstructed from the axial data set. All images were reviewed and interpreted.

CONTRAST: Given IV contrast.

#### FINDINGS:

Ankylosis of the right SI joint noted.

Acute fracture deformity of the posterior right eighth rib is noted.


No acute fracture noted.

The lung bases are clear. The liver and portal veins are normal. The gallbladder is normal. The spleen is normal. The pancreas is normal. The adrenals are normal. The kidneys are normal. The distal esophagus and stomach are normal. The visualized portions of the small bowel are normal. The visualized portions of the colon are normal. The abdominal acrta is normal. The IVC is normal. There is no lymphadenopathy. Normal bladder.

#### IMPRESSION:

No acute traumatic abnormality noted.-/*_-/*_-/*_-/*_-/*_-

Electronically Signed By: HUBERT CHIN MD 12/30/2016 21:53



Page:

1

SU

Patient Date of Birth: 1/8/1978 12:00:00 AM

Medical Record Number: 030138209

Location: EMG UMC

Rendering Physician: CHIN MD, HUBERT Referring Physician: PHYSICIAN, UNKNOWN

Accession Number: UMC7360841 Date of Service: 12/30/2016 9:14:00 AM Exam: TR CT CHEST WITH CONTRAST

*____*

**EXAM: CT CHEST WITH CONTRAST** 

HISTORY: Trauma

COMPARISON: None.

TECHNIQUE: After the uneventful intravenous administration of nonionic iodinated contrast, thin section axial CT images were obtained from the thoracic inlet through the lung bases and adrenal glands. Thin section coronal images were reconstructed from the axial data set. In accordance with CT protocols and the ALARA principle, radiation dose reduction techniques were utilized for this examination. All images were reviewed and interpreted.

CONTRAST: Given IV IV.


#### FINDINGS:

No pulmonary infiltrates. No pulmonary nodules or masses. No pleural effusions. No hilar or mediastinal lymphadenopathy. Normal heart. Normal pulmonary vascularity. Normal thoracic aorta and great vessels. Normal adrenals. No fracture noted. No mediastinal hematoma, pneumothorax, pleural effusion or pericardial effusion.

#### IMPRESSION:

No traumatic thoracic abnormality noted.-/*_-/*_-/*_-/*_-/*_-/*_-

Electronically Signed By: HUBERT CHIN MD 12/30/2016 21:44



Page:

Patient Date of Birth: 1/8/1978 12:00:00 AM

Medical Record Number: 030138209

Location: EMG UMC

Rendering Physician: CHIN MD, HUBERT Referring Physician: PHYSICIAN, UNKNOWN

Accession Number: UMC7360844

Date of Service: 12/30/2016 9:15:00 AM

Exam: TR CT THORACIC SPINE RECONS

*___.**._=_.*

EXAM: Thoracic spine CT.

Information: Trauma, pain

Comparisons: None

#### Findings:

Axial, coronal and sagittal reformatted thoracic spine CT images are obtained.

Multilevel mild degenerative disk disease.

There is moderately severe bilateral T2-T3 neural foraminal stenosis secondary to facet hypertrophy.

No aggressive lytic or sclerotic bone lesions noted.

Thoracic vertebrae have intact cortical margins, normal height and normal alignment.

#### Impressions:

No thoracic spine fracture or malalignment noted.-/*_-/*_-/*_-/*_-/*_-/*_-

Electronically Signed By: HUBERT CHIN MD 12/30/2016 21:55

RECEIVED FEB 2 8 2017

Page:

Patient Date of Birth: 1/8/1978 12:00:00 AM

Medical Record Number: 030138209

Location: EMG UMC

Rendering Physician: CHIN MD, HUBERT Referring Physician: PHYSICIAN, UNKNOWN

Accession Number: UMC7360843 Date of Service: 12/30/2016 9:15:00 AM Exam: TR CT LUMBAR SPINE RECONS

*____**

EXAM: Lumbar spine CT.

Information: Trauma, pain

Comparisons: None

Findings:

Axial, coronal and sagittal reformatted lumbar spine CT images are obtained. Lumbar vertebrae have intact cortical margins, normal height and normal alignment.

Impressions:

No lumbar spine fracture or malalignment noted.-/*_-/*_-/*_-/*_-/*_-

Electronically Signed By: HUBERT CHIN MD 12/30/2016 22:1



Page:

1

Patient Date of Birth: 1/8/1978 12:00:00 AM

Medical Record Number: 030138209

Location: TRA UMC

Rendering Physician: HOYE MD, STEPHEN Referring Physician: PHYSICIAN, UNKNOWN

Accession Number: UMC7360899 Date of Service: 12/31/2016 12:22:00 PM Exam: TR SHOULDER 2V OR MORE (LEFT)

*-_==_.**-_==_.*

**EXAM: XR SHOULDER** 

HISTORY: Fracture

COMPARISON: None.


TECHNIQUE: Left shoulder, 3 views.

Bone mineralization appears age appropriate. No acute appearing fracture or dislocation.

IMPRESSION:

No acute osseous abnormality left shoulder-/*_-/*_-/*_-/*_-/*_-

Electronically Signed By: STEPHEN HOYE MD 2/8/2017 13:55



Page:

Patient Date of Birth: 1/8/1978 12:00:00 AM

Medical Record Number: 030138209

Location: TRA UMC

Rendering Physician: DOUGHERTY MD,DOUG Referring Physician: PHYSICIAN,UNKNOWN

Accession Number: UMC7360878

Date of Service: 12/31/2016 2:00:00 AM

Exam: TR CT BRAIN W/O CONTRAST

*._==_.**._==_.*

**EXAM: CT BRAIN WITHOUT CONTRAST** 

HISTORY: Subdural hematoma, trauma patient

COMPARISON: None.

TECHNIQUE: Thin section axial CT images were obtained from the vertex of the skull to the foramen magnum without contrast. In accordance with CT protocols and the ALARA principle, radiation dose reduction techniques were utilized for this examination. All images were reviewed and interpreted. CONTRAST: None.

#### FINDINGS:

A subdural hematoma is present within the interhemispheric fissure, greatest posteriorly where there is a maximal width of approximately 5 mm. There is layering of hemorrhagic material on the bilateral tentorium. No area of intraparenchymal, intraventricular, subarachnoid or epidural hematoma is currently identified. No intraparenchymal mass or mass effect is identified. There is chronic appearing tissue loss involving the anterior pole of the right temporal lobe. The ventricles and sulci are within normal limits for patient age. There is no hydrocephalus. Gray-white differentiation appears normal. There is no acute territorial infarct. The calvarium appears intact. The paranasal sinuses and mastoid air cells are grossly clear.


### ~Performed after hours

### IMPRESSION:

Subdural hematoma within the interhemispheric fissure measuring up to 5 mm in width and layering on the bilateral tentorium.

Chronic appearing tissue loss involving the anterior right temporal lobe.

Electronically Signed By: DOUG DOUGHERTY MD 12/31/2016 6:10



Page:

Patient Date of Birth: 1/8/1978 12:00:00 AM

Medical Record Number: 030138209

Location: TRA UMC

Rendering Physician: SINGH MD, SUKHJINDER Referring Physician: PHYSICIAN, UNKNOWN

Accession Number: UMC7361192
Date of Service: 12/31/2016 11:16:00 AM
Exam: MRI C-SPINE W/O CONTRAST

*-_==_-**-_==_-*

EXAM: MR CERVICAL SPINE WITHOUT CONTRAST

HISTORY: Neck pain, cervicalgia.

COMPARISON: None.

TECHNIQUE: Axial and sagittal T1-weighted, T2-weighted, and STIR fat-suppressed T2-weighted MR

images of the cervical spine were performed without contrast.

CONTRAST: None.

#### FINDINGS:

The intervertebral discs from C2 to T1 are normal in height and signal intensity. No significant disk bulges or herniated discs are present at any level. Normal vertebral alignment and spacing is present at all levels. Normal signal in the bone marrow and intervertebral disks. No spinal canal stenosis or neural foraminal narrowing. No vertebral or soft tissue edema. Normal signal in the cervical cord. No Chiari malformation.


There is right posterior paraspinal soft tissue swelling from T1 through T3.

#### IMPRESSION:

1. Normal MRI of the cervical spine without contrast.

2. Mild right posterior paraspinal soft tissue swelling from T1 through T3.-/*_-/*_-/*_-/*_-/*_--/*_--/*_--/*_-

Electronically Signed By: SUKHJINDER SINGH MD 12/31/2016 13:19



Page:



### Self-Insured Self-Administered Workers Compensation

1220 S. COMMERCE SUITE 120, LAS VEGAS, NV 89102 702.220.5621 - 702.851.7938

### NOTICE OF CLAIM DENIAL PLEASE READ THIS DOCUMENT

March 6, 2017

Martin Perez 3555 E. Lake Mead Blvd. #147 Las Vegas Nevada 89115

Re:

Employer:

Insurer:

Claim No:

Accident Date:

Body Part:

Focus Framing Focus Plumbing

2016-0022

12/30/2016

Head Injury – Hematoma Only

### Dear Martin Perez:

We are in receipt of your claim for the above-mentioned date of injury. Based on the information submitted to this office, your claim for date of injury of 12/30/2016 does not meet the requirements set forth in chapters 616A to 616D, inclusive, and 617, of NRS. The reason for denial is based on the following reasons and statutory authorities. The accident and/or injury described does not meet statutory requirements. We are unable to substantiate that your injury "arose out of and in the course and scope of your employment." Based on medical information submitted, it has been determined that the primary cause of your current disability is your pre-existing non-industrial condition, and that it has been established by a preponderance of the evidence that the injury and/or accident described is not a substantial contributing cause of the resulting condition.

NRS 616A030 defines an "Accident" as "...an unexpected or unforeseen event happening suddenly and violently, with or without human fault, and producing at the time objective symptoms of an injury," and/or as an "Injury" or "Personal Injury" as defined by NRS 616A.265 was "...a sudden and tangible happening of a traumatic nature, producing an immediate or prompt result which is established by medical evidence, including injuries to prosthetic devices ..."

NRS 616C.150 provides that an injured employee or his dependents are not entitled to receive compensation pursuant to the provisions of chapters 616A to 616D of the Nevada Revised Statutes unless the employee or his dependents establish by a preponderance of the evidence that the employee's injury arose out of and in the course of his employment. For the purposes of chapters 616A to 616D of the Nevada Revised Statutes, there is a rebuttal presumption if the employee files a notice of an injury pursuant to NRS 616C.015 after his employment is terminated for any reason.

NRS 616C.175(1) provides that if an employee has a preexisting condition from a cause or origin that did not arise out of or in the course of his current or past employment; and he subsequently sustains an injury by accident arising out of and in the course of his employment which aggravates, precipitates or accelerates his preexisting condition, the resulting injury shall be deemed to be an injury by accident that is compensable pursuant to the provisions of chapters 616A to 616D, inclusive of NRS, unless the insurer can prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the subsequent injury is not a substantial contributing cause of the resulting condition.

If you should have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact our office.

If you disagree with this determination, you may file the enclosed "Request for Hearing" form with the Department of Administration, Hearing Officer at the address listed on the form, within seventy (70) days from the date of this determination. Failure to file a timely request with the Department of Administration, Hearing Officer may result in an order dismissing your case.

Sincerely,

Patty Pizano, Claims Adjuster

cc:

**Focus Framing** 

University Medical Center Division of Industrial Relations

Enclosure(s): Request for Hearing; Brief Description of Rights and Benefits (Pursuant to NRS 616C.050)

### **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**


I, Patty Pizano, Claims Adjuster, do hereby declare under penalty of perjury under the law of the State of Nevada that the following is true and correct. That on March 6, 2017, service of the Notice of Claim Denial was made by depositing in the U.S. Mail in Las Vegas, NV, postage paid, addressed to:

Name Address Martin Perez

3555 E. Lake Mead Blvd. #147, Las Vegas, Nevada 89115

Dated this 6 day of March, 20 17

Patty Pizano, Claims Adjuster





Mailing Address:

716 South Jones Boulevard Las Vegas, NV 89107 P: 702-333-1111 F: 702-507.0092

morrisandersonlaw.com

FILED

1000 0 1 2007

### Market Comme

### REQUEST FOR HEARING

Claimant: Martin Duran Perez

Address: 3555 E. Lake Mead Blvd Apt 147

Las Vegas, NV 89115

Date of Injury: 12-30-2016

Claim No.: 20

2016-0022

### **EMPLOYER INFORMATION:**

Employer: Focus Plumbing

Address: 1220 S. Commerce Ste 120

Las Vegas, NV 89102

Telephone No.: 702-220-5621

PERSON REQUESTING APPEAL:

INJURED EMPLOYEE

I WISH TO APPEAL THE DECISION DATED:

03-06-2017

### BRIEFLY EXPLAIN REASON FOR APPEAL:

Claimant does not agree with the insurer's determination of 03-06-2017, regarding Notice of Claim Denial

### ATTORNEY/REPRESENTATIVE:

Name: Morris Anderson Law

Address: 716 S. Jones Blvd

Las Vegas, NV 89107

Telephone: 702-333-1111

INSURANCE COMPANY:

Name: Focus Plumbing

Address: 1220 S. Commerce Ste 120

Las Vegas, NV 89102

Telephone: 702-220-5621

Signature

March He

Date

710955-V



### Self-Insured Self-Administered Workers Compensation

1220 S. COMMERCE SUITE 120, LAS VEGAS, NV 89102 702.220.5621 - 702.851.7988

March 30, 2017

Morris Anderson Law Attn: Jacob Leavitt, Esq. 2001 S. Maryland Pkwy Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

Re:

Claimant:

Martin Duran Perez

Claim #:

2016-0022

Date of Loss: 12/30/2016 Employer:

**Focus Framing** 

Insurer:

Focus Plumbing

### Dear Jacob Leavitt, Esq:

I am in receipt of your letter dated March 21, 2017 requesting TTD from December 30, 2016 to Present. Please be advised that this claim was denied. Please see attached Denial Letter.

Should you disagree with this determination, you may file the enclosed "Request for Hearing" form with the Department of Administration, Hearing Division within seventy (70) days from the date of this letter.

Sincerely

Patty Pizano Claims Adjuster

cc:

**Focus Framing** 

Martin Duran Perez

Daniel Schwartz, Esq.

Enclosure (Request for Hearing form)



### Self-Insured Self-Administered Workers Compensation 1220 S. COMMERCE SUITE 120, LAS VEGAS, NV 89102 702.220.5621 - 702.851.7938

March 30, 2017

Morris Anderson Law Attn: Jacob Leavitt, Esq. 716 S. Jones Blvd Las Vegas, Nevada 89107

Re:

Claimant:

Martin Duran Perez

Claim #:

2016-0022

Date of Loss: 12/30/2016

Employer: Insurer:

**Focus Framing** Focus Plumbing

Dear Jacob Leavitt, Esq:

I am in receipt of your letter dated March 21, 2017 requesting a transfer of care to Dr. Jason Garber as his primary care physician. This request is denied, as this is a denied claim.

Should you disagree with this determination, you may file the enclosed "Request for Hearing" form with the Department of Administration, Hearing Division within seventy (70) days from the date of this letter.

Sincerely

Patty Pizano

Claims Adjuster

cc:

**Focus Framing** 

Martin Duran Perez

Daniel Schwartz, Esq.

Enclosure (Request for Hearing form)

## STATE OF NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION HEARINGS DIVISION

In the matter of the Contested Industrial Insurance Claim of:

Hearing Number: 1710955-MT Claim Number: 2016-0022

MARTIN DURAN PEREZ 3555 E LAKE MEAD BLVD #147 LAS VEGAS, NV 89115 FOCUS PLUMBLING 1220 S COMMERCE ST STE 120 LAS VEGAS, NV 89102

The Claimant's request for hearing was filed on March 22, 2017 and a hearing was scheduled for MAY 25, 2017. The hearing was held on MAY 25, 2017, in accordance with Chapters 616 and 617 of the Nevada Revised Statutes.

The Claimant was present. The Claimant was represented by JACOB LEAVITT ESQ. The Employer was not present. The Employer and the Administrator were represented by DANIEL SCHWARTZ ESQ.

### **ISSUE**

The Claimant appealed the determination of FOCUS PLUMBLING dated March 6, 2017.

The issue before the Hearing Officer is claim denial.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The determination of the Insurer is hereby REVERSED/REMANDED.

Counsel and Claimant represent that on December 30, 2016, the Claimant had an issue with his paycheck being short so went over to where his supervisor was to discuss this; his supervisor at the time was up on a roof with his son. An argument pursued and the supervisor's son pushed the Claimant off the roof, approximately 10 to 12 feet. They are seeking Workers Compensation benefits.

In reviewing all evidence submitted, and taking into consideration the representations as depicted above, it is clear that an injury has occurred within the course and scope of the Claimant's employment, the Employer being notified timely, medical treatment sought timely, and the initial examining physician causally connecting all diagnosed conditions to this fall. The issue the Claimant had and pursued clarification by his direct supervisor is in fact considered work-related; records indicate the Claimant was not tied officer properly. Upon getting up on the roof; however, had the Claimant not been pushed as purported, this injury may not have occurred. The determination of the Insurer is hereby deemed improper and reversed. The Insurer is hereby remanded to accept this claim for benefits accordingly. NRS 6168.030, NRS 6168.265, NRS 616C.138, NRS 616C.150 (1)

IT IS SO ORDERED this _____ day of June, 2017

Megan Trenkler Hearing Officer

### **APPEAL RIGHTS**

Pursuant to NRS 616C.345(1), should any party desire to appeal this final decision of the Hearing Officer, a request for appeal must be filed with Appeals Officer within thirty (30) days after the date of the decision by the Hearing Officer.

### **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

The undersigned, an employee of the State of Nevada, Department of Administration, Hearings Division, does hereby certify that on the date shown below, a true and correct copy of the foregoing **DECISION AND ORDER** was duly mailed, postage prepaid **OR** placed in the appropriate addressee runner file at the Department of Administration, Hearings Division, 2200 S. Rancho Drive., #210, Las Vegas, Nevada, to the following:

MARTIN DURAN PEREZ 3555 E LAKE MEAD BLVD #147 LAS VEGAS NV 89115

JACOB LEAVITT ESQ BIGHORN LAW 716 S JONES BLVD LAS VEGAS NV 89156

FOCUS PLUMBLING 1220 S COMMERCE ST STE 120 LAS VEGAS NV 89102

DANIEL SCHWARTZ ESQ LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 2300 W SAHARA AVE STE 300 BOX 28 LAS VEGAS NV 89102-4375

Dated this day of June, 2017

Dan Baiza-

Employee of the State of Nevada

# REQUEST FOR HEARING BEFORE THE APPEALS OFFICER NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION HEARINGS DIVISION

In the matter of the Contested	Hearing Number: 1710955-MT		
Industrial Insurance Claim of:	Claim Number: 2016-0022		
MARTIN DURAN PEREZ	FOCUS PLUMBLING		
3555 E LAKE MEAD BLVD #147	1220 S COMMERCE ST STE 120		
LAS VEGAS, NV 89115	LAS VEGAS, NV 89102		
LAS VEGAS, IVV 89115	LAS YEGAS, NV 69102		
I WISH TO APPEAL THE HEARING OFFIC	CER DECISION DATED:		
(Please attach a cop	y of the Hearing Officer's Decision)		
PERSON REQUESTING APPEAL: (circle	one) CLAIMANT/EMPLOYER/INSURER		
REASON FOR APPEAL:			
If you are represented by an attorney or	other agent, please print the name and address below.		
Name of Attorney or Representative	Person requesting this hearing (please print)		
Address	Person requesting this hearing (signature)		
City, State, Zip Code			
Telephone Number	Telephone Number Date		
	NOTICE		
If the Hearing Officer Decision is appeal the Nevada Attorney for Injured Worker below:	ed, CLAIMANTS are entitled to free legal representation by s (NAIW). If you want NAIW to represent you, please sign		
Signature	Telephone Number		
If you are appealing the Hearing Officer that decision at:	's decision, file this form no later than thirty (30) days after		


NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION APPEALS OFFICE 2200 S RANCHO DRIVE, SUITE 220 LAS VEGAS, NV 89102 (702) 486-2527

Nevada Department of Administration, Hearings Division 2200 S. Rancho Drive, Ste 210 Las Vegas, NV 89102 (702) 486-2525 Nevada Department of Administration Hearings Division 1050 E. Williams Street, Ste 400 Carson City, NV 89701 (775) 687-8440

### REQUEST FOR HEARING BEFORE APPEALS OFFICER

CLAIMANT INFOR	MATION			EMPLOYER IN	IFOR	MATION	<u> </u>
Caimant's Name Martin Duran Perez				1710955-MT			
3555 E. Lake Mead Blvde., #14	7			Focus Plumbing			
and the second s				1220 S. Commerce St., St	te. 12	0	
city: Las Vegas	State. NV	^{Zip Code} 89115		_{City} . Las Vegas		State NV	Zip Code: 89102
Telephone:	<u> </u>			Telephone: CLAIM NO. 2016-0022			<u> </u>
PERSON REQUESTING APPEAL:	Employeı	r's Attorney					
I WISH TO APPEAL THE HEARING O	)FFICER D	ECISION DAT	Έľ	D: 06/01/17		:	1 40° 1 2
YOU MUST ATT	ACH A	COPY OF	T	HE HEARING OFFICE	R DE	CISIOI	V
BRIEFLY EXPLAIN REASON FOR A	PPEAL:			! !		<u>က်</u>	
Disagree with Decision and Ord	der.					(5)	. :
						5	4 i
If you are represented by	an attorn	ey or other	аç	ent, please print the name	and	address	below.
ATTORNEY/REPRES	ENTATIV	′E:	-	INSURANCECO	MPA	NY/T.P.	<b>A.:</b>
Attorney or Representative's Name: Daniel L. Schwartz, Esq.				Patty Pizano / Focus Fran	ning		
Address: Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smit	h LLP			1220 S. Commerce St., St	te. 12	0	
2300 W. Sahara, Ste. 300, Box							
^{City.} Las Vegas	State: NV	^{Zip Code.} 89102		Las Vegas		State <b>NV</b>	Zip Code: 89102
Telephone (702) 893-3388				Telephone:			
(M) Len for			J	10/29/17			
Signature				Date \			
		<u>NO</u>	TC	<u>ICE</u>			
If the Hearing Officer deci Nevada Attorney for Injure	sion is ap∣ ∍d Worker	pealed, Claim s (NAIW). If y	an /ol	its are entitled to free legal rep a want NAIW to represent you	oresen , pleas	itation by se sign be	the low:
Signature				Telephone Number			
** If you are appealing t				tion, file this form and a copy or aring Officer's Decision.**	of the	Decision	no 6

REVISED 2/15/01





Mailing Address:
716 South Jones Boulevard
Las Vegas, NV 89107
P: 702-333-1111
F: 702-507.0092
morrisandersonlaw.com

### **REQUEST FOR HEARING**

CLAIMANT INFORMATION:	EMPLOYER INFORMATION:
Claimant: Martin Duran Perez	Employer: Focus Framing
Address: 3555 E. Lake Mead Blvd Apt #147	Address: 1220 S. Commerce St #120
Las Vegas, NV 89115	Las Vegas, NV 89102
Date of Injury: 12-30-2016	Telephone No.:
Claim No.: 2016-0022	
<del>-</del>	ED EMPLOYEE TO THE TOTAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPE
I WISH TO APPEAL THE DECISION DATED:	5-30-2017
BRIEFLY EXPLAIN REASON FOR APPEAL:	
Claimant does not agree with the insurer's determ Denied TOC to Dr. Garber	nination of 05-30-2017, regarding
ATTORNEY/REPRESENTATIVE:	INSURANCE COMPANY:
Name: Morris Anderson Law	Name: Focus Plumbing
Address: 716 S. Jones Blvd	Address: 1220 S. Commerce Ste #120
Las Vegas, NV 89107	Las Vegas, NV 89102
Telephone: 702-333-1111	Telephone:
	Juni 1,2017
Signature /	Date

17/4/170191 MT

### NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION

### BEFORE THE APPEALS OFFICER

AUG 02 2017

3 In the Matter of the Contested Industrial Insurance Claim 4

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

of

MARTIN DURAN PEREZ 3555 E. LAKE MEAD BLVD., #147 LAS VEGAS, NV 89115,

Claimant.

Claim No.: 2016-0022

Hearing No.: 1710955-MT

Appeal No.: 1714955-CSY

Employer:

**FOCUS FRAMING** C/O FOCUS PLUMBING ATTN: PATTY PIZANO

1220 S. COMMERCE ST., STE 120

LAS VEGAS, NV 89102

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL

After consideration and review of Insurer's Motion for Stay Pending Appeal.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion for Stay Pending Appeal is hereby

GRANTED.

Submitted by:

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

21 22

23

25

26

DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 005125

2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 300, Box 28

Las Vegas, NV 89102

Phone: (702) 893-3383

Fax: (702) 366-9689 Attorneys for Insurer

27

28

4834-1747-7195.1 33947-19

1		<u>BE</u> I	FORE THE APPE	EALS OFFICEI	<u> </u>
3	In the Matte	er of the Contested nsurance Claim of:	)	Claim No:	2016-0022
4 5	MARTIN I	DURAN PEREZ,	)	Appeal No:	1714955-CJY
6		Clai	imant. )		
7		1	NOTICE OF RE	ESETTIN <u>G</u>	
8	ТО	ALL PARTIES-IN-IN			
10		EASE TAKE NOTICI	E that the above-c	captioned matte	er will now be heard in front of
10	the Appeals	Officer for a HEARIN		•	
12	DATE:	December 8, 2017			
13	TIME:	9:00AM - 11:00A	M		
13 14 15	PLACE:	DEPARTMENT O 2200 SOUTH RAN LAS VEGAS, NV 8	NCHO DRIVE #2		
16	PLE			at previously so	cheduled hearing dates in this
17		matter, if any, are hereby vacated and reset to the above referenced date and time.			
18			###		
19	CONTINUA	ANCE OF THIS SCH	HEDULED HEA	ARING DATE	SHALL ONLY BE
20	CONSIDER	RED ON WRITTEN A	APPLICATION S	SUPPORTED	BY AFFIDAVITS.
21			###		
22	IT IS	S CO OBBEDED ALL. C	oth a gard		
23	II IN	S SO ORDERED this 8	day of Septem	ber, 2017.	_
24	I		4		
25			CHADIECIV	OOV TOO	
26	Sales Sales		CHARLES J Y APPEALS OF		
27					

DOC013

28

00193

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

### **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

The undersigned, an employee of the State of Nevada, Department of Administration, Hearings Division, does hereby certify that on the date shown below, a true and correct copy of the foregoing **NOTICE OF RESETTING** was duly mailed, postage prepaid **OR** placed in the appropriate addressee runner file at the Department of Administration, Hearings Division, 2200 S. Rancho Drive, #220, Las Vegas, Nevada, to the following:

MARTIN DURAN PEREZ 3555 E LAKE MEAD BLVD #147 LAS VEGAS NV 89115

JACOB LEAVITT ESQ BIGHORN LAW 716 S JONES BLVD LAS VEGAS NV 89107-3614

FOCUS PLUMBING 1220 S COMMERCE ST STE 120 LAS VEGAS NV 89102

DANIEL SCHWARTZ ESQ LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 2300 W SAHARA AVE STE 300 BOX 28 LAS VEGAS NV 89102-4375

Dated this 8th day of September, 2017.

Estela Pinedo, Legal Secretary II-Employee of the State of Nevada

### NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION 1 2 AUG 02 2017 BEFORE THE APPEALS OFFICER 3 2016-0022 APPEALS OFFICE In the Matter of the Contested Claim No.: Industrial Insurance Claim 4 Hearing No.: 1710955-MT of 5 Appeal No.: 1714955-CJY MARTIN DURAN PEREZ 3555 E. LAKE MEAD BLVD., #147 LAS VEGAS, NV 89115. Employer: **FOCUS FRAMING** 8 C/O FOCUS PLUMBING Claimant. ATTN: PATTY PIZANO 9 1220 S. COMMERCE ST., STE 120 LAS VEGAS, NV 89102 10 ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL 11 After consideration and review of Insurer's Motion for Stay Pending Appeal, 12 13 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion for Stay Pending Appeal is hereby 14 GRANTED. 15 16 17 18 Submitted by: 19 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 20 21 22 DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ.

23

Nevada Bar No. 005125

2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 300, Box 28

Las Vegas, NV 89102

25 Phone: (702) 893-3383

Fax: (702) 366-9689 Attorneys for Insurer

27

26

28

4834-1747-7195.1 33947-19

1	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
2	Pursuant to Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure 5(b), I hereby certify that service of
3	
4	this date by depositing a true copy of the same for mailing, first class mail, at Las Vegas, Nevada,
5	
6	MARTIN BORANT EREZ
7	3555 E. LAKE MEAD BLVD., #147 LAS VEGAS, NV 89115
8	MORRIS ANDERSON LAW
9	JACOB LEAVITT, ESQ. 716 S. JONES BLVD.
10	LAS VEGAS, NV 89107
11	FOCUS FRAMING C/O FOCUS PLUMBING
12	FOCUS PLUMBING
13	ATTN: PATTY PIZANO 1220 S. COMMERCE ST., STE 120
14	LAS VEGAS, NV 89102
15	Daniel L. Schwartz, Esq. Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP
16	2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 300, Box 28 Las Vegas, NV 89102
17	DATED 11. gnd. 1
18	DATED this day of Hugust, 2017.
19	
20	An employee of the State of Nevada
21	·
22	
23	
24	

27
LEWIS
BRISBOIS
BISGAARD
& SMITH LIP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

25

26

4834-1747-7195.1 33947-19

### **NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF ADMINIST**

BEFORE THE APPEALS OFFICER

2

1

3

In the Matter of the Contested Industrial Insurance Claim

MARTIN DURAN PEREZ

LAS VEGAS, NV 89115,

3555 E. LAKE MEAD BLVD., #147

of

Claimant.

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

28

Claim No.:

2016-0022

Hearing No.:

1710955-MT

Appeal No.:

Employer:

FOCUS FRAMING

C/O FOCUS PLUMBING ATTN: PATTY PIZANO

1220 S. COMMERCE ST., STE 120

LAS VEGAS, NV 89102

### INSURER'S REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL

COMES NOW the Insurer, FOCUS PLUMBING (hereinafter referred to as "Insurer"),, by and through its attorneys, DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ., and LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP, and files this Reply Brief in support of its Motion for a Stay of the execution of the Hearing Officer's Decision and Order, dated June 1, 2017, pending decision on the merits of the appeal by the Insurer to this Appeals Officer, filed separately.

This Reply Brief is made and based upon the attached Points and Authorities and any arguments of counsel on this matter, requested by the Appeals Officer.

DATED this 27 day of July, 2017.

Respectfully submitted,

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

Nevada Bar No. 5125

2300 W. Sahara Avenue, Ste. 300, Box 28

Las Vegas, NV 89102 Attorneys for the Insurer

27

4852-4691-4124.1 / 33947-19

00C015

v

___

### STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The present appeal stems from a June 1, 2017, Hearing Officer's Decision and Order, Hearing No. 1710955-MT, which reversed and remanded Insurer's March 6, 2017 determination denying the claim. (Exhibit pp. 63-65.)

The claimant, MARTIN DURAN PEREZ (hereinafter referred to as "claimant"), alleges that he was pushed off of the roof on December 30, 2016. The claimant was treated at UMC Trauma for subdural hematoma on the date of the incident. The claimant was taken off of work. (Exhibit p. 1)

A Supervisor Accident Investigation Report notes that the claimant went to the second floor with no fall protection and was involved in **work place violence**. (Exhibit p. 2)

Foreman Rafael Benitez noted that he did not witness the event but found Mr. Perez passed out on the ground and called 911 and checked vitals. (Exhibit pp. 3-4)

A Safety Report completed by Safety Manager Nicholas Pao, which noted that the claimant was mad due to an alleged pay check shortage while working on Pedro's crew. The claimant climbed an 8 foot ladder to get to where Pedro was working on a second floor, tied off. The claimant started yelling at Pedro and Pedro stated that they could fix the problem the following Tuesday at the office. However, the discussion with the two got "elevated." Pedro's son came up from the first floor to aid his father, and when the Pedro's son asked the claimant to stop, the claimant allegedly started yelling at him and the son put his hands on the claimant and pushed him away and the claimant eventually fell off of the roof. (Exhibit pp. 5-9)

Pedro Rosales also gave a statement and alleged that the claimant, at 5:00 came up to the second floor where he was working and began to say bad words to him and tried to hit him. He told the claimant to give him time and he would try and resolve the problem on January 2, 2017. His son heard the offensive comments and came up to defend him and other people also were involved verbally, including an unidentified person who also came up to the second floor and later left. (Exhibit pp. 10-11)

Pedro's son, Jose Rosales gave his version of what happened, as well. (Exhibit pp. 12-

Statements by Eduardo Leon and Elvis Herrera noted that the son of the man working 1 2 on the second floor pushed the claimant who fell off of roof after a discussion between the parties. 3 (Exhibit pp. 14-17) 4 A statement from the claimant indicated that he climbed to where Pedro was working and showed him his check and Pedro stated that houses do not make money. He then states that Pedro's son stated it wasn't good and that he was then grabbed and pushed off of the roof. (Exhibit p. 7 18) 8 An Industrial Injury or Illness form in Spanish was also executed by the claimant. 9 (Exhibit pp. 19-20) 10 A Criminal Complaint was issued against Pedro's son, Jose Rosales. (Exhibit p. 21) 11 The claimant was treated at UMC on the date of the incident described as a 20 foot fall 12 after being pushed off of a roof. The claimant was transferred out of the Emergency Department after a subdural bleed was discovered along with a possible right 8th rib fracture. X-rays of the left shoulder 13 14 revealed no acute osseous abnormality, and a CT scan of the brain revealed a subdural hematoma, and 15 a MRI of the cervical spine was normal except for soft tissue swelling from T-1 through T-3. Other 16 diagnostic testing was essentially normal. (Exhibit pp. 22-56) **17** A claim denial determination was issued on March 6, 2017(Exhibit pp. 57-59) 18 On March 21, 2017, the claimant appealed the claim denial determination. (Exhibit p. 19 60) 20 On March 30, 2017, the adjuster denied March 21, 2017, requests for 1) TTD beginning on December 30, 2016, to present and 2) request for transfer of care to Dr. Garber. (Exhibit 21 pp. 61-62) 22 23 Following Hearing No. 1710955-MT, the Hearing Officer issued a Decision and Order dated June 1, 2017, reversing the March 6, 2017 determination denying the claim. (Exhibit pp. 63-25 65.) The Insurer has filed a timely appeal along with a Motion for Stay. An Opposition was 26 filed by the claimant. This Reply Brief follows. 27 28 111

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATIORNEYS AT LAW

### **POINTS & AUTHORITIES**

### **ARGUMENT**

### The Insurer Has Proven the Elements Necessary for a Stay

In his Opposition, the claimant ignores the very crux of Insurer's argument; that the injury did not arise out of nor was it in the course and scope of claimant's employment. The claimant's behavior, which led to an unfortunate result, was not in furtherance of his Employer's objectives and quite frankly, was in direct contravention of same. Claimant was on the clock on a different job site, supposedly working. He took it upon himself to go to another job to confront his former supervisor regarding his pay. NOTHING about these facts indicate that the claimant was in the course and scope of his employment when he was injured. Further, and even more important, but ignored by claimant, is the fact that the incident that caused claimant's injury had nothing to do with his employment. There is no causal connection between the claimant's employment and a co-worker pushing him from a rooftop due to some personal dispute. Again, the Nevada Supreme Court has held that an employee who is injured in the course of his work by the insane act of a fellow employee sustains injury which does not arise out of employment. Cummings v. United Resort Hotels, Inc., 85 Nev. 23 (1969); Corrao Const. Co. v. Curtis, 94 Nev. 569 (1978); Outboard Marine Corp. v. Schupbach, 93 Nev. 158 (1977).

The <u>Cummings</u> decision went further and adopted the general rule that injuries resulting from assaults by fellow workmen when the attack results from personal animosity are not compensable. (<u>Id</u>.) (Citing <u>Pacific Employers Ins. Co. v. Industrial Acc. Comm.</u>, 293 P.2d 502 (Cal. App. 1956).

Larson's treatise on worker's compensation also speaks to this issue:

When the animosity or dispute that culminates in an assault is imported into the employment from claimant's domestic or private life, the assault does not arise out of the employment under any test.

3, A. Larson, The Law of Workmen's Compensation, §11.21(a) (emphasis added).

<u>Larson</u> cites to a number of cases which are helpful in adjudicating the matter before this Court. In <u>City of Atlanta v. Shaw</u>, 345 S.E.2d 642 (1986), an employee sought benefits for an

injury sustained during a fight with a fellow employee. The fight concerned the use of the employer's telephone. In reaching the conclusion that the claim was non-compensable, the Georgia court focused on the history of personal animosity. The claimant did not attempt to refute any of the underlying argument within his Opposition. Instead, the claimant focuses on irrelevant facts such as a "criminal history" for which a brief review will show that there was exactly ONE prior offense. Nonetheless, this is of no effect with regard to the instant claim. The claimant was injured due to actions of another employee. Period. Claimant's injury did not arise out of his employment nor was he in the course and scope of his employment when the incident occurred. The Insurer has established a strong likelihood of prevailing on the merits of the appeal and therefore, a Stay is proper.

Lastly, with regard to irreparable harm, Insurer asserts that only it will be *irreparably* harmed if this Stay is not granted. Claimant will receive whatever treatment and benefits he is entitled to, should he somehow prevail on the merits of the appeal. The Insurer, conversely, cannot recoup the time, resources and money expended, *even if it prevails on the merits of its appeal*.

Insurer has established both a likelihood of prevailing on the merits of the appeal and irreparable harm. As such, Insurer respectfully renews its request for a Stay in this matter, until the appeal can take place on the merits.

### **CONCLUSION**

Based upon the foregoing points and authorities, the Insurer, FOCUS PLUMBING, respectfully submits that it has established good cause to grant a Stay of the Hearing Officer's Decision and Order dated June 1, 2017, particularly in light of the clear error of law and fact, which have been established above.

/// ///

///

WHEREFORE, the Employer, FOCUS PLUMBING, respectfully renews its request that the Appeals Officer grant its Motion for Stay Pending Appeal until such time as a hearing can be conducted on the merits of the underlying appeal. DATED this day of July, 2017. Respectfully submitted, LEWIS BRISBQIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP Nevada Bar No. 5125 2300 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 300, Box 28 Las Vegas, NV 89102-4375 Phone: (702) 893-3383 Fax: (702) 366-9563 Attorneys for Insurer 

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LIP ATTORNE'S AT LAW

### **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

Pursuant to Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure 5(b), I hereby certify that service of the foregoing EMPLOYER'S REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL was made this date by depositing a true copy of the same for mailing, first class mail, at Las Vegas, Nevada, addressed as follows: 6 | MORRIS ANDERSON LAW JACOB LEAVITT, ESQ. 716 S. JONES BLVD. LAS VEGAS, NV 89107

**FOCUS FRAMING** C/O FOCUS PLUMBING

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

FOCUS PLUMBING ATTN: PATTY PIZANO 1220 S. COMMERCE ST., STE 120 LAS VEGAS, NV 89102

day of July

An employee of LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD &

SMITH LLP

JACOB G. LEAVITT, ESQ. 1 **ORIGINAL** Nevada Bar No. 12608 2 **BIGHORN LAW** 716 S. Jones Blvd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 3 Phone: (702) 333-1111 Fax: (702) 507-0092 4 jacob@bighornlaw.com Attorneys for Claimant 5 STATE OF NEVADA 6 **DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION** APPEALS OFFICE 7 In the Matter of the Contested APPEAL NO: 1714955-CJY 8 Insurance Claim HEARING NO.: 1710955-MT 9 of EMPLOYER: Focus Plumbing/Framing 10 MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ, CLAIM NO.: 2016-0022 11 Claimant. 12 CLAIMANT'S OPPOSITION TO EMPLOYER'S MOTION FOR STAY 13 Claimant, MARTIN DURAN-PEREZ (hereinafter "Claimant"), by and through his attorney, 14 JACOB G. LEAVITT, ESQ., and submits his Opposition to FOCUS PLUMBING's (hereinafter 15 referred to as "Employer") Motion for Stay Pending Appeal submitted on June 30, 2017, and certified 16 to have been mailed the same day. 17 This Opposition is made and based upon the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities, 18 the exhibits attached hereto, and any oral arguments made at the Appeals Officer's Request. 19 Dated this 17th day of July, 2017. 20 BIGHORN LAW 21 JACOBG. LEAVITT, ESQ. 22 Nevada Bar No. 12608 716 S. Jones Blvd. 23 Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 Attorneys for Claimant

1000°0/6

24

### MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

### I. <u>STATEMENT OF FACTS</u>

While in the course and scope of his employment as a laborer with Employer on December 30, 2016. Exh. at 1. Towards the end of the work shift, Claimant, went over to Pedro Rosales the foreman that he worked for the week prior to ask why his check did not reflect the hours that he worked the week he worked with Pedro. Pedro was working on the roof and Claimant went to speak with him. <u>Id</u>.

Pedro became agitated at Claimant for questioning the time that Pedro put down for Claimant's work the week prior and yelled at Claimant. Pedro's son a known violent person with an extensive history of domestic violence as evidence on pages 61-69 of Claimant's evidence packet, (and not disputed by Employer) was also not part of Claimant's conversation with Pedro, came over and pushed Claimant off of the roof. <u>Id</u>.

Claimant fell to the ground where he landed sustaining serious injuries to include, but not limited to "1) traumatic fall 2) Traumatic subdural hematoma 3) Possible right 8th rib fracture 4) Musculoskeletal chest pain" as the initial hospital diagnosis. <u>Id</u>. at 8. The CT scan revealed that the subdural hematoma measures to be 7mm. <u>Id</u>.

The x-rays of the thoracic reveal that Claimant may have sustained subtle fractures at the 8th rib.

<u>Id</u>. at 24.

Focus Plumbing apparently feels that it is above the and only after several letters and calls does it feel it time to issue a Claim Denial on March 6, 2017, to which a DIR Complaint has been filed. <u>Id.</u> at 43. Clearly, Employer fails to follow the law and has a pattern of poor behavior by employing persons with an unequivocal criminal and violent past placing its employees in danger. Moreover, Employer's determination is a generic, or vanilla, claim denial without meaning alleging that the traumatic brain injury to be preexisting, specifically the claim denial state "[b]ased on medical information submitted, it has been determined that the primary cause of your current disability is your pre-existing non-industrial

condition." Really? Obviously, Ms. Pizano is failed to the read medical records and see the word "traumatic" and understand its meaning, or, the more plausible scenario here, is she finally figured out that she ought to comply with the laws governing workers' compensation and issue some sort of acceptance or denial. Again, bad behavior on the part of someone who is over the handling of injured workers' claims.

This matter was investigated by Las Vegas Metropolitan Police wherein the assailant, Jose M. Rosales, was arrested and placed into custody—NOT CLAIMANT. <u>Id</u>. at 60-61. A warrant was issued by the Judge for Jose the assailant's arrest on February 27, 2017. <u>Id</u>. at 63. Jose the assailant surrendered on March 27, 2017, and posted \$3,000.00 bond the same day. <u>Id</u>. Jose the assailant did not appear for the initial arraignment and his counsel requested to exonerate the bond of which Judge Bateman rightfully denied. <u>Id</u>.

On May 2, 2017, Jose the assailant was held on custody for the preliminary hearing which he unconditionally waived and his case was transferred to the Eighth Judicial District Court because the charge is a felony. <u>Id</u>.

Jose the assailant, was charged with a felony for "Battery with substantial bodily harm." <u>Id</u>. at 62.

On May 3, 2017, Jose was arraigned. Id. at 64.

On May 17, 2017, Jose entered a plea of guilty before the Honorable Susan Johnson. <u>Id</u>. at 65. Jose's sentencing hearing was scheduled to be heard July 11, 2017. <u>Id</u>. at 66.

Of serious note, Jose not only is violent at work, but he also has a history of felony domestic violence and failure to follow court orders when he is given opportunities. <u>Id</u>. at 67-69.

//// //// ////

### **ARGUMENT**

## A. EMPLOYER BEARS THE BURDEN TO PROVE THAT IT ENJOYS A LIKELIHOOD OF SUCCESS ON APPEAL A BURDEN IT CANNOT REASONABLY CARRY

First, Employer <u>must prove that they enjoy a likelihood of success on appeal</u> and, second, that they would be harmed more than Claimant if a Stay was denied. <u>It must be clear, that Employer must meet both elements in order for a Stay to be granted not simply one or the other.</u>

Employer relies upon unsworn statements, that are not percipient witnesses, other than Pedro whose statement is clearly biased as his statement would in fact be used against Jose the assailant, **Pedro's son**, both in the worker's compensation case and in his criminal case for sentencing. So to even try and consider Pedro's statement is not a viable legal option. The facts of the case are so clear that, Jose the assailant plead guilty in hopes for a lesser sentence on May 17, 2017. Clearly, every statement, including Pedro's is used for sentencing before the judge, thus making Pedro's statement tainted at best even when considering that Pedro needs to keep his employment when he knowingly allowed such a violent person, son or not, to work on the jobsite.

At hearing, the only person to testify, for both direct and cross was Claimant. Officer Trenkler heard testimony his testimony, reviewed the witness statements and found Claimant to be credible. Office Trnekler also heard the same arguments being made in the current motion for Stay as it is same as the hearing memorandum submitted at the time. She did not find the argument compelling as Employer attempts to note that Claimant was tied off on the roof when he was pushed off. The argument fails for two (2) reasons, first workers' compensation is a not fault system and second being tied off does not prevent a person from falling when being pushed off a roof, rather claimant would still have fallen and IF his lanyard opened he would have fallen to the length of the lanyard and stuck the building.

Again, Jose who was not part of the conversation, resorted to his history of violence and intentionally pushed Claimant off of the roof with an intent to cause serious bodily harm, as he has done in the past, specifically on 2016 in a felony domestic violence attack.

## B. LEGAL STANDARD OF PROOF FOR A COMPENSABLE CLAIM, WHEREIN CLAIMANT MEETS THE REQUIREMENT

NRS 616C.150 only requires Claimant to demonstrate that she was injured within the course and scope of her employment by preponderance of the evidence, nothing greater. To make the point on preponderance, McClanahan v. Raley's, Inc., the Nevada Supreme Court states "NRS 616C.150 does not require an injured worker to offer a greater number of expert witnesses who express opinions in his favor to establish that an injury arose. . .[r]ather 'preponderance of the evidence' merely refers to the greater weight of the evidence." 34 P.3d 573, 576 (2001).

Workers' Compensation is statutorily driven and defined. Claimant must prove, by preponderance that he was in the course and scope when an accident occurred. NRS 616A.265 defines injury as a "sudden and tangible happening" that produces an "immediate or prompt result" which is established by medical evidence.

NRS 616C.030 defines the term accident as an "unexpected or unforeseen event happening suddenly and violently, with or without human fault."

Case law, Rio All Suite Hotel & Casino v. Phillips, states that generally, "injuries caused by employment related risks are deemed to arise out of employment and are compensable." 240 P.3d 2, 5 (2010). Suh as we have here, Employer has employed a person with a violent felony history which is actionable for negligent hiring and retention as recognized causes of action in Nevada. This is bellied in the fact the Jose's foreman, and Claimant's foreman the week prior, Pedro has intimate knowledge that his son has violent tendencies and a violent history placing Claimant and others directly in harm's way.

### 1. Course And Scope

### a. Course and Scope

The threshold requirement in an industrial injury is that Claimant's injury must have occurred within the course and scope of employment. <u>Phillips</u>, at 5.

Course and Scope simply means that the injured worker was at work, and scheduled to be there, when the accident occurred. It seems apparent that Claimant was working at an assigned time, as Employer makes no argument to the contrary in the same construction housing complex. Claimant went to the house across the street to inquire with the foreman he worked with the week prior as to why his hours were not properly reflected which his pay and hours are indeed work related.

### 2. Accident

Accident is statutorily defined in NRS 616A.030 as "Accident' means an unexpected or unforeseen event happening suddenly and violently, with or without human fault, and producing at the time objective symptoms of an injury."

Clearly Claimant did not anticipate being pushed off of a roof. Claimant wanted clarification for his hours from his foreman that he worked with as his pay is how he supports himself and family, and was pushed off by someone who was not a part of the conversation.

In the instant case, Claimant meets the statutory definition requirement.

### 3. Injury

Injury is defined in NRS 616A.265 as a sudden and tangible happening of a traumatic nature producing an immediate or prompt result which is established by medical evidence."

Here, the C-4 doctor causally related the injury to the work place assault.

Employer bears the burden, because Claimant cannot prove a negative, under NRS 616C.175, that if it believes that Claimant has a prior condition, Employer, must prove that the alleged prior condition is the substantial cause for the work injury, if not, then it is a compensable claim as stated in the Claim Denial letter.

Claimant must prove three (3) things; course and scope, accident and injury by preponderance, 1 nothing more. Claimant suffered an injury causally related by the C-4 doctor related to being pushed off 2 of aroof by his foreman's violent son, Jose. Even if there was a pre-existing condition, which Claimant 3 contends there is not, the statutory requirement is met and the burden would then shift to Employer to 4 prove under NRS 616C.175, otherwise. 5 Clearly, the medical records from UMC noting all traumatic injuries meet the statutory. 6 CLAIMANT WILL SUFFER MORE HARM THAN INSURER C. 7 Here, granting of the Stay will continue to cause irreparable harm to Claimant, but not 8 Employer who has intentionally, by act of Pedro's knowledge, intentionally placed Claimant in harm's 9 way. In Kress v. Corey, the Nevada Supreme Court stated: 10 As a rule a supersedeas or stay should be granted . . . whenever...it is reasonably 11 necessary to protect appellant or plaintiff in error from irreparable or serious injury in the case of reversal, and it does not appear that appellee or defendant in error will 12 sustain irreparable or disproportionate injury in case of affirmance. 13 Kress, 65 Nev. at 17, 189 P.2d at 360. 14 Indeed it is Claimant in this case, not Employer, who will sustain the greatest harm in the event 15 that the instant stay is granted as it will serve its purpose of delaying medical treatment of a closed head 16 injury with a 7mm subdermal hematoma, fractured rib and even more tat has not been properly treated. 17 There is nothing more crippling and harmful than denying medical attention and care. Here, it is Insurer who bears the burden to this court to prove both elements, success on appeal 18 and that it will suffer more harm than Claimant. Claimant met his burden at the hearing with all the 19 20 same evidence being presented to this very court. 21 ////

22

23

24

////

////

////

1 III. **CONCLUSION** 2 Based upon the foregoing, the Appeals Officer cannot properly, and in the interest of justice, 3 grant Insurer's Motion for Stay. Wherefore, Claimant, respectfully requests that the Appeals Officer 4 DENY the Stay. 5 Dated this 17th^t day of July, 2017. 6 BIGHORN LAW 7 8 Ø. LEAVITT, ESQ. ada Bar No. 12608 9 716 S. Jones Blvd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 10 Attorneys for Claimant 11 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 12 Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of BIGHORN LAW, and that on this 13 date of June 17, 2017, I duly deposited for mailing at Las Vegas, Nevada, a true copy of the within and 14 foregoing CLAIMANT'S OPPOSITION TO ADMINISTRATOR'S MOTION FOR STAY, 15 addressed to the following: 16 DANIEL SCHWARTZ, ESQ. LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 17 2300 W. Sahara Suite 300 Box 28 Las Vegas, NV 89102 18 FOCUS FRAMING/PLUMBING 19 1220 S. Commerce St. STE 120 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 20 21 22 23

24



4824-3873-0605.2 33947-19

## INDEX TO APPELLANTS' APPENDIX¹

PLEADING, MOTION, ORDER,	VOLUME	PAGE NUMBER
TRANSCRIPT, EXHIBIT		
CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	3	540-545
CLAIMANT'S DOCUMENTARY	2	212-288
EVIDENCE PACKET AND WITNESS		
DISCLOSURE (CLAIMANT'S		
EXHIBIT 1) FILED JULY 12, 2017		
CLAIMANT'S FIRST	1	99-109
SUPPLEMENTED DOCUMENTARY		
EVIDENCE PACKET AND WITNESS		
DISCLOSURE (CLAIMANT'S		
EXHIBIT 2) FILED NOVEMBER 22,		
2017		
CLAIMANT'S OPPOSITION TO	1	204-211
EMPLOYER'S MOTION FOR STAY		
FILED JULY 17, 2017		
CLAIMANT'S SECOND	1	89-96
SUPPLEMENTED DOCUMENTARY		
EVIDENCE PACKET AND WITNESS		
DISCLOSURE (CLAIMANT'S		
EXHIBIT 3) FILED JANUARY 19, 2018		
CLAIMANT'S SECONDARY	1	75-88
SUPPLEMENTED DOCUMENTARY		
EVIDENCE PACKET AND WITNESS		
DISCLOSURE (CLAIMANT'S		
EXHIBIT 4) FILED JANUARY 31, 2018		
CORRESPONDENCE (DECISION	1	73-74
LETTER) FROM APPEALS OFFICER		
CHARLES YORK TO ALIKA		
ANGERMAN ESQ. DATED		
FEBRUARY 13, 2018		

¹ Note: This Appendix contains the Record on Appeal exactly as it appeared in District Court. District Court documents are included after the formal Record on Appeal at Volume 3.



- 1			
1	CORRESPONDENCE (PROPOSED	1	72
2	DECISION AND ORDER) FROM		
_	ALIKA ANGERMAN ESQ. TO		
3	APPEALS OFFICER CHARLES YORK		
4	FILED APRIL 16, 2018		
	DECISION AND ORDER OF APPEALS	1	65-71
5	OFFICER CHARLES YORK, FILED		
6	MAY 3, 2018		
	INSURER'S APPEAL MEMORANDUM	1	110-120
7	FILED SEPTEMBER 11, 2017		
8	INSURER'S INDEX OF DOCUMENTS	1	121-192
	(INSURER'S EXHIBIT A) FILED		
9	SEPTEMBER 11, 2017		
10	INSURER'S MOTION FOR STAY	2	295-370
	PENDING APPEAL FILED JUNE 30,		
11	2017		
12	INSURER'S REPLY BRIEF IN	1	197-203
12	SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR STAY		
13	PENDING APPEAL FILED JULY 28,		
14	2017		
15	MINUTES FROM HEARING ON	3	475
13	PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW,		
16	DATED JANUARY 15, 2019		
17	MINUTES FROM HEARING ON	3	476
1	PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW,		
18	DATED JANUARY 22, 2019		
19	MINUTES FROM HEARING ON	3	488
	PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW,		
20	DATED JANUARY 29, 2019		
21	MINUTES FROM HEARING ON	3	489
	PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW,		
22	DATED JANUARY 31, 2019		
23	MINUTES FROM MOTION FOR	3	413
	STAY, DATED JUNE 19, 2018		
24	MINUTES FROM MOTION FOR	3	414-415
25	STAY, DATED JUNE 26, 2018		~~~
26	MINUTES FROM MOTION FOR STAY	3	550
26	PENDING SUPREME COURT		
27	APPEAL, DATED OCTOBER 17, 2019		



1	NOTICE OF APPEAL	3	528-539
2	NOTICE OF APPEAL AND ORDER TO	2	289-294
	APPEAR FILED JULY 5, 2017		
3	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER	3	490-495
4	DENYING PETITION FOR JUDICIAL		
	REVIEW		
5	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER	3	416-419
6	GRANTING MOTIONN FOR STAY		
	NOTICE OF FILING BOND	3	546-549
7	NOTICE OF RESETTING DATED	1	97-98
8	DECEMBER 12, 2017		
9	NOTICE OF RESETTING DATED	1	193-194
9	SEPTEMBER 8, 2017		
10	ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR	1	195-196
11	STAY PENDING APPEAL, FILED		
11	AUGUST 2, 2017		
12	PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW	3	371-381
13	PETITIONERS' MOTION FOR STAY	3	382-401
	PENDING APPEAL AND REQUEST		
14	FOR ORDER SHORTENING TIME		
15	(EXHIBITS OMITED FOR BREVITY)		10 5 71 7
	PETITIONERS' MOTION FOR STAY	3	496-515
16	PENDING SUPREME COURT		
17	APPEAL AND REQUEST FOR ORDER		
10	SHORTENING TIME	2	420, 441
18	PETITIONERS' OPENING BRIEF	3	420-441
19	PETITIONERS' REPLY BRIEF	3	462-470
20	RECORD ON APPEAL IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NEVADA	1	2
20	ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE		
21	ACT		
22	REQUEST FOR HEARING ON	3	471-474
	PETITIONERS' PETITION FOR	3	4/1-4/4
23	JUDICIAL REVIEW		
24	RESPONDENTS' ANSWERING BRIEF	3	442-461
25	RESPONDENT'S OPPOSITION TO	3	402-412
25	PETITIONERS' MOTION FOR STAY	3	102 112
26			
27			



1	RESPONDENT'S OPPOSITION TO	3	516-527
2	PETITIONERS' MOTION FOR STAY		
	PENDING SUPREME COURT		
3	APPEAL		
4	TRANSCRIPT OF PETITION FOR	3	477-487
-	JUDICIAL REVIEW		
5	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	1	3-64
6	HELD ON FEBRUARY 9, 2018, FILED		
_	MAY 17, 2018		
7	TRANSMITTAL OF RECORD ON	1	1
8	APPEAL		
9			
	<u>CERTIFICATE O</u>	F MAILING	
10	Pursuant to Nevada Rules of Civil Pr	roadura 5(b). I ba	naby contify that on
11	Fursualit to Nevada Rules of Civil Fi	ocedure 3(b), 1 fie.	reby certify mat, on
10	the 10 day of April 2020, service	e of the attached	d APPELLANTS'
12	<b>APPENDIX VOLUME 2</b> was made this	date by depositing	a true conv of the
13			
14	same for mailing, first class mail, and/or elec	etronic service as for	ollows:
14			
15	A 1'1 . A		
16	Alika Angerman, Esq.		
10	Bighorn Law		

Focus Framing
C/O Sun City Electric
Focus Framing
C/O Sun City Electric
ATTN: Patty Pizano
1220 S. Commerce St., #120

Las Vegas, NV 89102

716 S. Jones Blvd.

Las Vegas, NV 89107

**17** 

24

25

**26** 

27

/s/ Joel P. Reeves, Esq.
An employee of LEWIS, BRISBOIS,
BISGAARD & SMITH, LLP



1 2 3 4	MORRIS // AN JACOB LEAVI NEVADA BAR 716 S. JONES I LAS VEGAS, N (702)333-1111- (702)507-0092-	k #12608 BOULEVARD NV 89107 Tel No.		CZIGINAI	J	FILER S. 56
5		NEVADA DEP	ARTMEN	Γ OF ADMINIS	STRAT	ION
6		BEFOR	RE THE AF	PEALS OFFIC	CER	
7						
8	In the Matter of C Industrial Insuran		)	Claim No.	:	2016-0022
9			Ś	Appeal No.	:	1714955-CJY
10	of		)	Employer	:	FOCUS PLUMBLING
11	MARTIN DUR.	AN PEREZ,	)	Zimproyer	•	1 OCOS I LONIBLING
12	*					
13 14	CLAIMA	NT'S DOCUMENTAE	RY EVIDEN	CE PACKET AI	ND WIT	NESS DISCLOSURE
15	<u>Date</u>	<u>Description</u>			Pages	
16	03.29.2017	Notice of Hearin	g		1-2	
17	03.20.2017	Request for Hear	ring		3	
18	03.06.2017	Claim Denial			4-5	
19	12.30.2016	C-4 form			6-7	
	12.30.2016		THAMIN	s exhibit a		
20	03.06.2017	Claim Denial			43-47	
21	03.07.2017	UMC-Billing  Morris/Anderson	I ou common	aandanaa	48 49-5	2
22	03.21.2017	HCFS-Billing	Law-corres	onuciices	49-3. 54-55	
23	03.30.2017	Denying our TO	С		56-57	
24	03.30.2017	Denying TTD			58-59	
	1					

DOCO17

1	<u>LIST OF WITNESSES</u>
2	Claimant mat appear to testify on his behalf. Claimant further preserves the right to call rebuttal witnesses.
3	
4	
5	DATED this 12 day of July, 2017.
6	AAA
7	Jacob-Leavitt, Esq
8	Nevada Bar No.12608 716 S. Jones Blvd
9	Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 (702) 333-1111 Attorney for Claimant
10	Thomby for Claman
11	
12	
13	
14	<u>AFFIRMATION</u>
15	Pursuant to NRS 239B.030
16	The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding Documentary Evidence filed in or submitted for Pending Appeals Officer, Pending Appeal No., does not contain the Social Security
17	number of any person.
18	Morris/Anderson Law
19	Jacob O-Leavitt, Esq.  Date
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	3

1	CERTIFIC	CATE OF MAILING
2	Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that	I am an employee of Morris Anderson Law, and that
3		for mailing OR placed in the appropriate file
4		Drive, Suite 210, Las Vegas, Nevada, a true and DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE PACKET addressed
5	to the following:	December 11 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12 to 12
6		
7		
8	DANIEL SCHWARTZ ESQ.	☐ Regular U.S. Mail ☐ Via Hand Delivery
9	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD &SMITH 2300 W. SAHAR AVE STE 300 LAS VEGAS NV 89102	Via Fax / Via E-Mail Mail Box at O-HO
10	FOCUS PLUMBLING	Regular U.S. Mail Via Hand Delivery
11	1220 S COMMERCE ST STE 120 LAS VEGAS, NV 89102	☐ Via Fax / Via E-Mail☐ Mail Box at AO - HO
12		
13	DATED this \ \ \lambda + h \ day of July, 2017.	
14		
15		
16		An Employee of Morris//Anderson Law
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
		4

# STATE OF NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION HEARINGS DIVISION

In the matter of the Contested Industrial Insurance Claim of:

Hearing Number: 1710955-MT Claim Number: 2016-0022

MARTIN DURAN PEREZ 3555 E LAKE MEAD BLVD #147 LAS VEGAS, NV 89115 FOCUS PLUMBLING 1220 S COMMERCE ST STE 120

LAS VEGAS, NV 89102

### **NOTICE OF HEARING BEFORE THE HEARING OFFICER**

Pursuant to the Claimant's request for a Hearing Officer review of the Insurer's Determination under Chapters 616 and 617 of the Nevada Revised Statutes, you are hereby notified a hearing will be held:

DATE:

May 25, 2017

TIME:

1:30PM

PLACE:

Department of Administration, Hearings Division

2200 South Rancho Drive, Suite 210

Las Vegas, NV 89102 Phone (702) 480-2525

The matter to be ascertained from this Hearing shall be whether the determination rendered by the Insurer is proper. Failure of the appealing party to attend this Hearing may result in dismissal of the appeal.

NOTE: The Claimant may be represented at the Hearing by a private attorney or may seek assistance and advice from the Nevada Attorney for Injured Worker's at 486-2830. If you have an attorney or other representative, please confirm with them the date and time for this hearing.

If you would prefer to testify by telephone, please contact this office one week prior to the hearing date at 486-2525 with the appropriate information. Telephone hearings will generally take place within I hour of the time designated for the Hearing (see above).

NOTE: This Hearing will be scheduled on a STACKED calendar.

Dated this 29th day of March, 2017.

Megan Trenkler Hearing Officer

### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

The undersigned, an employee of the State of Nevada, Department of Administration, Hearings Division, does hereby certify that on the date shown below, a true and correct copy of the foregoing **NOTICE OF HEARING BEFORE**THE HEARING OFFICER was duly mailed, postage prepaid OR placed in the appropriate addressee runner file at the Department of Administration, Hearings Division, 2200 S. Rancho Drive., #210, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89102 to the following:

MARTIN DURAN PEREZ 3555 E LAKE MEAD BLVD #147 LAS VEGAS NV 89115


JACOB LEAVITT ESQ BIGHORN LAW 716 S JONES BLVD LAS VEGAS NV 89156

FOCUS PLUMBLING 1220 S COMMERCE ST STE 120 LAS VEGAS NV 89102

Dated this 29th hay of March, 2

his 29th day of March, 20

Monica Medina, Legal Secretary II Employee of the State of Nevada





Mailing Address:
716 South Jones Boulevard
Las Vegas, NV 89107
P: 702-333-1111
F: 702-507.0092
morrisandersonlaw.com

FILED

122 2 1 227

PERSONALE.

### **REQUEST FOR HEARING**

### **CLAIMANTINFORMATION:**

Claimant: Martin Duran Perez .

Address: 3555 E. Lake Mead Blvd Apt 147

Las Vegas, NV 89115

Date of Injury: 12-30-2016

Claim No.:

2016-0022

EMPLOYER INFORMATION:

Employer: Focus Plumbing

Address: 1220 S. Commerce Ste 120

Las Vegas, NV 89102

Telephone No.: 702-220-5621

PERSON REQUESTING APPEAL:

INJURED EMPLOYEE

I WISH TO APPEAL THE DECISION DATED:

03-06-2017

### **BRIEFLY EXPLAIN REASON FOR APPEAL:**

Claimant does not agree with the insurer's determination of 03-06-2017

Notice of Claim Denial, regarding

### ATTORNEY/REPRESENTATIVE:

Name: Morris Anderson Law

Address: 716 S. Jones Blvd

Las Vegas, NV 89107

**Telephone:** 

702-333-1111

INSURANCE COMPANY:

Name: Focus Plumbing

Address: 1220 S. Commerce Ste 120

Las Vegas, NV 89102

Telephone: 702-220-5621

Signature

March 20, 2017

ate

ankalikan

1710955 NTT



Self-Insured Self-Administered Workers Compensation 1990 S. COMMERCE SUITE 120, LAS VEGAS, NV 89102 702.220.5621 - 702.851.7088

### NOTICE OF CLAIM DENIAL PLEASE READ THIS DOCUMENT

March 6, 2017

Martin Perez 3555 E. Lake Mead Blvd. #147 Las Vegas Nevada 89115

Re:

Employer: Insurer:

Claim No: Accident Date:

Body Part:

Focus Framing Focus Plumbing 2016-0022 12/30/2016

Head Injury -- Hematoma Only

### Dear Martin Perez:

We are in receipt of your claim for the above-mentioned date of injury. Based on the information submitted to this office, your claim for date of injury of 12/30/2016 does not meet the requirements set forth in chapters of 516A to 616D, inclusive, and 617, of NRS. The reason for denial is based on the following reasons and to substantiate that your injury "arose out of and in the course and scope of your employment." Based on pre-existing non-industrial condition, and that it has been established by a preponderance of the evidence that the injury and/or accident described is not a substantial contributing cause of the resulting condition. the injury and/or accident described is not a substantial contributing cause of the resulting condition.

NRS 616A030 defines an "Accident" as "...an unexpected or unforeseen event happening suddenly and violently, with or without human fault, and producing at the time objective symptoms of an injury" and/or as an "Injury" or "Personal Injury" as defined by NRS 616A.265 was "...a sudden and tangible happening of a traumatic nature, producing an immediate or prompt result which is established by medical evidence, including injuries to prosthetic devices ..."

NRS 616C.150 provides that an injured employee or his dependents are not entitled to receive compensation pursuant to the provisions of chapters 616A to 616D of the Nevada Revised Statutes unless the employee or his dependents establish by a preponderance of the evidence that the employee's injury arose out of and in the course of his employment. For the purposes of chapters 616A to 616D of the Nevada Revised Statutes, there is a rebuttal presumption if the employee files a notice of an injury pursuant to NRS 616C.015 after his employment is terminated for any reason.

NRS 61-

ovides that if an employee has a preexisting condition from a cause or origin that in the course of his current or past employment; and he subsequently sustains an ing out of and in the course of his employment which aggravates, precipitates or ing condition, the resulting injury shall be deemed to be an injury by accident that ut to the provisions of chapters 616A to 616D, inclusive of NRS, unless the preponderance of the evidence that the subsequent injury is not a substantial resulting condition.

If you should have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact our office.

If you disagree with this determination, you may file the enclosed "Request for Hearing" form with the Department of Administration, Hearing Officer at the address listed on the form, within seventy (70) days from the date of this determination. Failure to file a timely request with the Department of Administration, Hearing Officer may result in an order dismissing your case.

Sincerely,

Patty Pizano, Claims Adjuster

cc:

**Focus Framing** 

University Medical Center Division of Industrial Relations

Enclosure(s): Request for Hearing; Brief Description of Rights and Benefits (Pursuant to NRS 616C.050)

E OR PRIII ALL INFO  ALL I	Zip Zip Zip Zip Disease Sinformation Sinform	A Las After injury Sisease O If necessary) O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Claim Number (numer's Use Only)  Claim Number (numer's Use Only)  Social Security Number  Social Security Number  TO S- 827. 7740  Primary Language Spoken  an (Job.Title) When Injury or Occupation  Telephone  TO 2- 720 - 560  Supervisor to Whom Injury Report  Supervisor to Whom Injury Report  Supervisor to Whom Injury Report  Publical Several Compression (If  popicable)  TO OSTAIN THE SENSITS OF NEVADA'S  NY PHYSICAN CHEOPERATOR, ANY  SHO SENSETTS PADD OR PAYABLE  TO OSTAIN THE SENSITS OF PAYABLE  TO OSTAIN THE
CF P. Jest Day or J. J. S. S. J. S. J. S. J. S. J. S. J. S. J. S. J. S. J. S. J. S. S. J. S. S. S. J. S. S. S. J. S. S. S. J. S. S. S. J. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S.	Zip Zip Zip Zip Zip Zip Diseas Sinfonna	Meight  Telephone  Weight  Telephone  Vere's Occupator  Re Occurred  If necessary)	Claim Number (mare's Use Only)  Social Security Number  Social Security Number  TO 5-827-7744  Primary Language Spoken  on (Job. Tata) When Injury or Occupation  Telephone 702-720-566  Supervisor to Whom Injury Report  Supervisor to Whom Injury Report  Supervisor to Whom Injury Report  Pushed of Roof  Pushed of Roof  To Ostain the senems of nevalues in Physician Charperactor. In Particular Physician Charles of Physical Server of Physician Charles Physical Server of Physician Charles Physical Server of Physics Physical Server of Physics Physical Server of Physics Physi
SI SI He SI SI SI SI SI SI SI SI SI SI SI SI SI	Zip Zip Zip Zip Diseas Diseas Or Affects  Or Affects Sin Front Hosel First Hosel First Hosel ELING FOR MY SHALL B  Gridene	Meight  Telephone  Weight  Telephone  Vere's Occupator  Re Occurred  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)	Claim Number (mare's Use Only)  Social Security Number  Social Security Number  TO 5-827-7744  Primary Language Spoken  on (Job. Tata) When Injury or Occupation  Telephone 702-720-566  Supervisor to Whom Injury Report  Supervisor to Whom Injury Report  Supervisor to Whom Injury Report  Pushed of Roof  Pushed of Roof  To Ostain the senems of nevalues in Physician Charperactor. In Particular Physician Charles of Physical Server of Physician Charles Physical Server of Physician Charles Physical Server of Physics Physical Server of Physics Physical Server of Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physics Physi
SI SI He SI SI SI SI SI SI SI SI SI SI SI SI SI	Zip Zip Zip Zip Diseas Diseas Or Affects  Or Affects Sin Front Hosel First Hosel First Hosel ELING FOR MY SHALL B  Gridene	Meight  Telephone  Weight  Telephone  Vere's Occupator  Re Occurred  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)  If necessary)	Claim Number (namer's Use Only)  Social Security Number  505-827-7740  Primary Language Spoken  on (Job.Tate) When injury or Occupation  Telephone 707-720-560  Supervisor to Whom injury Report  Supervisor to Whom injury Report  Full Supervisor to Whom injury Report  Occupation  To Ostain the senerits of nevalues in Physician Charperactor, and serverits Physician Charles or Nevalues in Physician Charles or Nevalues in Physician Charles or Nevalues in Physician Charles or Nevalues in Physician Charles or Nevalues in Physician Charles in Physician Char
TOR  State Day  by Occupy  Cast Day  by Occupy  Cast Day  by Occupy  Cast Day  by Occupy  Cast Day  by Occupy  Cast Day  cast	ZIP ZIP Diseas Or Affects Or Affects SINFORMAN	Weight Telephone Veryee's Occupator to Occup	Social Security Number  OS-827-7740  Primary Language Spoken  on (Job.Title) When Injury or Occupation  Telephone 707-720-560  SULGAS, NV 89/07  Supervisor to Whom Injury Repoil  Supervisor to Whom Injury Repoil  Object to Whom Injury Repoil  Obj
CF C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Zip  Zip  Employ Of Work A filed  Of Affect  The sheet  Of Affect  Sheroman	Telephone  Telephone	Primary Language Spoken  on (Job.Title) When injury or Occupation  Telephone  702 - 720 - 510  Uggas, NV 89107  Supervisor to Whom Injury Report  Supervisor to Whom Injury Report  Published of Roof  Published of Roof  To Ostain the Benefits of NEVADA'S  NY PHYSICAN CHECKER ANY  SING BENEFITS PAID OF PAYABLE  TO OSCAL CONDITIONS, ALCOHOL OR  Published  In Published  The Original
CF P  Lest Day or Occup- or Occup- or Occup- or Occup- or Occup- or Occup- or Occup- or Occup- or Occup- or Occup- or Occup- or Occup- or Occup- occu	Zip Zip Diseas Diseas Or Affect  Or Affect  Sin Forman Sin Herse Euro For Mishall B  Gridene	of the coessary)  If necessary)	Primary Language Spoken  on (Job.Title) When injury or Occupation  Telephone 702 - 770 - 560  SUPPLY SOLD TO SU
CF P  Lest Day or Occup- or Occup- or Occup- or Occup- or Occup- or Occup- or Occup- or Occup- or Occup- or Occup- or Occup- or Occup- or Occup- occu	Zip Zip Diseas Diseas Or Affect  Or Affect  Sin Forman Sin Herse Euro For Mishall B  Gridene	of the coessary)  If necessary)	Primary Language Spoken  on (Job.Title) When injury or Occupation  Telephone 702 - 770 - 560  SUPPLY SOLD TO SU
Lest Day of Occupant of Occupa	Employ of Work passonal D Co. // Co	A Las After injury Sisease O If necessary) O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	To OSTAIN THE SENSITIS OF NEVADA'S IN PHYSICIAN CHROPPACTOR.  TO OSTAIN THE SENSITIS OF NEVADA'S IN PHYSICIAN CHROPPACTOR.
Lest Day of Occupant of Occupa	or Affects  ability and  s information  or Affects  s information  s information  s information  s information  shall a most	A Las After injury Sisease O If necessary) O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Telephone Tolar 720 - 56  Telephone 707 - 720 - 56  SURGAS, NV 89107  Supervisor to Whom Injury Report Supervisor to Whom Injury Report Pushed off Roof  Pushed off Roof  To Ostain the senems of NEVADA'S IN PHYSICIAN CHEOPRICION, ANY ING BENEFITS PADO OF PAYABLE TO OSTAIN THE SENEMS OF PAYABLE TO OSTAIN THE SENEMS OF PAYABLE TO OSTAIN THE SENEMS OF PAYABLE TO OSTAIN THE SENEMS OF PAYABLE TO OSTAIN THE SENEMS OF PAYABLE TO OSTAIN THE SENEMS OF PAYABLE TO OSTAIN THE SENEMS OF PAYABLE THE ORIGINAL
Lest Day or Occupy or Occu	or Affects  ability and  s information  or Affects  s information  s information  s information  s information  shall a most	A Las After injury Sisease O If necessary) O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Tolephone 702 - 720 - 56  Vegas, NV 89107  Supervisor to Whom Injury Report  Pushed off Roof  Pe  Witnesses to the Accident (if pplicable)  TO OUTAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S INTERPLACE CHROPPRICTOR, ANY PHYSICIAN CHROPPRICTOR, ANY PHYSICIAN CHROPPRICTOR, ANY PHYSICIAN CHROPPRICTOR, ANY PHYSICIAN CHROPPRICTOR, ANY PHYSICIAN CHROPPRICTOR, AND GRANGE THE PROBLEM OF PAYAGE PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PAID OF PAYAGE PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PAID OF PAYAGE PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PAID OF PAYAGE PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PAID OF PAYAGE PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PAID OF PAYAGE PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PAID OF PAYAGE PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PAID OF PAYAGE PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PAID OF PAYAGE PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PAID OF PAYAGE PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PAYAGE PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PAYAGE PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPPING BENEFITS PHYSICIAN CHROPP
Lest Day or Occupy or Occu	of Work and or Affects  ability and or Affects  or Affects  or Affects  s in Forman Hospital	A Las Affier injury Sease O  If necessary  EPEH  dits W.  ATTALITHORIZE AN BRITAL ANY MEDI MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION MATION MATIO	TO OSTAIN THE DENERITS OF NEVADA'S INCALSENIES OF PAPAGE OF PAPAGE OF THE ORIGINAL PARAMETERS OF PAPAGE OF THE ORIGINAL PARAMETERS OF PAPAGE OF THE ORIGINAL PARAMETERS OF PAPAGE OF THE ORIGINAL PAPAGE OF TH
Lest Day or Occupy or Occu	of Work and or Affects  ability and or Affects  or Affects  or Affects  s in Forman Hospital	A Las Affier injury Sease O  If necessary  EPEH  dits W.  ATTALITHORIZE AN BRITAL ANY MEDI MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION, SINCULO MATION MATION MATIO	TO OSTAIN THE DENERITS OF NEVADA'S INCALSENIES OF PAPAGE OF PAPAGE OF THE ORIGINAL PARAMETERS OF PAPAGE OF THE ORIGINAL PARAMETERS OF PAPAGE OF THE ORIGINAL PARAMETERS OF PAPAGE OF THE ORIGINAL PAPAGE OF TH
Lest Day or Occupy or Occu	of Work and or Affects  ability and or Affects  or Affects  or Affects  s in Forman Hospital	of the coessary)  If necessary)  If	SUPPLYSOR to Whom Injury Report  PUSH & do FF Root  PUSH & do FF Root  TO CETAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S INT PHYSICIAN CHEOPHACTOR, ANY INFORMATION AND THE PARTY AND OF PAYABLE PH  SECRETIFIED OF PAYABLE PH  JE ORIGINAL  JE ORIGINAL  JULY PERFORMANT  TO LIFE ORIGINAL  TO LIFE ORIG
e of the disa	ability and or Affects	of the coessary)  If necessary)  If	P.U.S.h. e.d. of P. Roof J.C.  Nursesses to the Accident (if policable)  TO CETAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S INT PHISICIAN CHROPPLACTION, ANY SHE BENEFITS PAID OF PAYABLE PHISICIAN CHROPPLACTOR, ANY SHE BENEFITS PAID OF PAYABLE PHISICIAN CHROPPLACTOR, ANY SHE BENEFITS PAID OF PAYABLE PHISICIAN CHROPPLAC
Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Columbia Col	ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and ability and abilit	if necessary)  EPCH  difts W. ar  ATTOM IN ORDER T  TY AUTHORIZE ANY MEDI  MATTOM, SINCHIOL  MATTOM, S	P.U.S.h. a do FF Roof  TO CETAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S IT PHYSICIAN CHEOPPRACTOR, ANY SHALL SERVICE ORGANIZATION ANY SHALL SERVICE ORGANIZATION, ANY SHALL SERVICE ORGANIZATION, ANY SHALL SERVICE ORGANIZATION, AND SHALL SERVICE ORGANIZATION, AND SHALL SERVICE  TO DEGIMAL  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  THE ORIGINAL  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  THE ORIGINAL  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  THE ORIGINAL  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  THE ORIGINAL  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  THE ORIGINAL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SERVICE  TO DISTANCE OF THE SHALL SE
Je addition.  Je of the disa  e of the disa  ROMOED THIS	ability and sheet or Affects or A	if necessary)  EPEH  dits W.  ar  WALTHORIZE AN  BATTAL AND MEDI  MATOR, INCLIDA  MATOR AS THE	TO OSTAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S NY PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR, NO BENEFITS PAD OR PAYABLE PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR, NG BENEFITS PAD OR PAYABLE PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR, NG BENEFITS PAD OR PAYABLE PHYSICAN CONDITIONS, ALCOHOL OR PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR, DURANCE PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR, DOLL ORIGINAL PROPERTY PAD OR PAYABLE PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR PAYABLE PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR PAYABLE PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR PAYABLE PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR PHYSICAN CHEOP
e of the disa  ooly injured of  ROVIDED THIS R OT OF NRS) R OT OT OT OT NRS) R OT OT OT OT NRS) R OT OT OT OT OT OT OT OT OT OT OT OT OT	ability and ability and or Affects  or Affects  s in Forman  in Hereau  entra Mos He	of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of	TO OSTAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S NY PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR, NO BENEFITS PAD OR PAYABLE PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR, NG BENEFITS PAD OR PAYABLE PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR, NG BENEFITS PAD OR PAYABLE PHYSICAN CONDITIONS, ALCOHOL OR PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR, DURANCE PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR, DOLL ORIGINAL PROPERTY PAD OR PAYABLE PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR PAYABLE PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR PAYABLE PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR PAYABLE PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR PHYSICAN CHEOP
e of the disa  ooly injured of  ROVIDED THIS R OT OF NRS) R OT OT OT OT NRS) R OT OT OT OT NRS) R OT OT OT OT OT OT OT OT OT OT OT OT OT	ability and ability and or Affects  or Affects  s in Forman  in Hereau  entra Mos He	of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of	TO OSTAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S NY PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR, NO BENEFITS PAD OR PAYABLE PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR, NG BENEFITS PAD OR PAYABLE PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR, NG BENEFITS PAD OR PAYABLE PHYSICAN CONDITIONS, ALCOHOL OR PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR, DURANCE PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR, DOLL ORIGINAL PROPERTY PAD OR PAYABLE PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR PAYABLE PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR PAYABLE PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR PAYABLE PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR PHYSICAN CHEOP
e of the disa  ooly injured of  ROVIDED THIS R OT OF NRS) R OT OT OT OT NRS) R OT OT OT OT NRS) R OT OT OT OT OT OT OT OT OT OT OT OT OT	ability and ability and or Affects  or Affects  s in Forman  in Hereau  entra Mos He	of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of the period of	TO OSTAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S NY PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR, NO BENEFITS PAD OR PAYABLE PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR, NG BENEFITS PAD OR PAYABLE PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR, NG BENEFITS PAD OR PAYABLE PHYSICAN CONDITIONS, ALCOHOL OR PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR, DURANCE PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR, DOLL ORIGINAL PROPERTY PAD OR PAYABLE PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR, ALCOHOL OR PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR PHYSI
e of the disa constant of May and the may	ability and or Affects  S INFORMAN  S INFO	ed tts War	TO OSTAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S NY PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR, NO BENEFITS PAD OR PAYABLE PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR, NG BENEFITS PAD OR PAYABLE PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR, NG BENEFITS PAD OR PAYABLE PHYSICAN CONDITIONS, ALCOHOL OR PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR, DURANCE PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR, DOLL ORIGINAL PROPERTY PAD OR PAYABLE PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR, ALCOHOL OR PHYSICAN CHEOPRACTOR PHYSI
ROVADED THIS RESTAURANT OF MRS) A GOVERNMENT OF MRS) A GOVERNMENT OF MRS A GOVERNMENT	ability and or Affects S INFORMA S INFORMA S INFORMA S INFORMA S INFORMA HER INFORMA HIS SHALL B INFORMA H	ed tts V. ar	TO OBTAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S NO PHYSICIAN CHARGE ORGANIZATION ANY SHE BENEFITS PAID OR PAVABLE PHYSICIAN CHARGE ORGANIZATION ANY SHE BENEFITS PAID OR PAVABLE PHYSICIAN CONCOUNTRINGS BENEFITS PAID OR PAVABLE PHYSICIAN CONCOUNTRINGS BENEFITS PAID OR PAVABLE PHYSICIAN ORGANIZATION CONTRIBUTIONS, ALCOHOL OR PHYSICIAN PROPERTY OF THE ORIGINAL.
ROVADED THIS RESTAURANT OF MRS) A GOVERNMENT OF MRS) A GOVERNMENT OF MRS A GOVERNMENT	ability and or Affects S INFORMA S INFORMA S INFORMA S INFORMA S INFORMA HER INFORMA HIS SHALL B INFORMA H	ed tts V. ar	TO OUTAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S NY PHYSICIAN CHEOPRACTOR, ANY PHYSICIAN CHEOPRACTOR, ANY SHE BENEFITS PAID OF PAYABLE PHYSICIAN CHEOPRACTOR, ANY SHE BENEFITS PAID OF PAYABLE PHYSICIAN CONDITIONS, ALCOHOL OR PHYSICIAN CHEOPRACIAN CHEOPRACTOR PHYSICIAN CHEOPRACTO
ROVADED THIS RESTAURANT OF MRS) A GOVERNMENT OF MRS) A GOVERNMENT OF MRS A GOVERNMENT	OF Affects S INFORMA S INFORMA HOS INFOR ENTAL HOS HER INFOR ELLING FOR IN SHALL B	Ed  ATTOM IN ORDER TO AUTHORIZE AN IMPORTAL AND MEDION, INCLUDING AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND	TO OBTAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S IN PHYSICIAN, CHAPOPRACTOR, WAS BENEFITS PAID OR PAYABLE, PHYSICIAN CONCLUSION, ALCOHOLOR PHYSICIAN CONCLUSION, CON
ROVIDED THIS R 617 OF NRS IN R 670 OF NRS ID DCAL OR OTH DOOR COURSE DICAL OR OTH DOOR COURSE IN 3 WORLD IN INVERSIT	S INFORMA ). I HEREB ENTAL HOS HER INFOR ELLING POR ELLING OWN ORDER TO AUTHORIZE AN MEDINATION, INCLUDING AND AUTHORIZE AND AUTHORIZE AND AUTHORIZE AS VALID AS TO	TO OSTAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S NY PHYSICIAN CHEOPRACTOR. ROLL SERVICE ORGANIZATION ANY SHIP SERVICE PARTIES AND OF PAYABLE PAYABLE PROPRIEMAN OF THE ORIGINAL PROPERTY OR THE ORIGINAL PROPERTY OR THE ORIGINAL PROPERTY OR THE ORIGINAL PROPERTY OR THE ORIGINAL PROPERTY OR THE ORIGINAL PROPERTY OR THE ORIGINAL PROPERTY OR THE ORIGINAL PROPERTY	
ROVIDED THIS R 617 OF NRS IN R 670 OF NRS ID DCAL OR OTH DOOR COURSE DICAL OR OTH DOOR COURSE IN 3 WORLD IN INVERSIT	S INFORMA ). I HEREB ENTAL HOS HER INFOR ELLING POR ELLING TTON IN ORDER TO AUTHORIZE AN BATTAL ANY MEDI MATTON, INCLUDI I ANDS, PSYCHOLO BE AS VALID AS TO IMAGE AS VALID AS TO	HINDY (III PENSON	
ROVIDED THIS R 617 OF NRS IN R 670 OF NRS ID DCAL OR OTH DOOR COURSE DICAL OR OTH DOOR COURSE IN 3 WORLD IN INVERSIT	S INFORMA ). I HEREB ENTAL HOS HER INFOR ELLING POR ELLING TTON IN ORDER TO AUTHORIZE AN BATTAL ANY MEDI MATTON, INCLUDI I ANDS, PSYCHOLO BE AS VALID AS TO IMAGE AS VALID AS TO	HINDY (III PENSON	
IN 3 WOR	0.01111		HINDY (III PENSON
IN 3 WOR	0.01111		HINDY (III PENSON
IN 3 WOR	0.01111		HINDY (III PENSON
IN 3 WOR	0.01111		HINDY (III PENSON
IN 3 WOR	0.01111		HINDY (III PENSON
IN 3 WOR	0.01111		HINDY (III PENSON
evidence that	YMED	RIS UF IR	GATMENT
evidence that	I MACU		
evidence that nother control		WOAL CENTE	ER
Yes Me	the injure	ed employee was	a under the influence of alcohol
(0 )	es, piesse	ance at the time : explain)	of the accident?
	-		1
advised the o	Datient to	mash -	five days or more?
ndicate dates;		2 la . l .	
warete critical.	: non_'	7 40/16 to	7/16
no, is the injur	ared amplo	yes capable of:	☐ full duty → ☐ modified duty
duty, specify a	any Emile:	tions/restrictions:	
Activit	TIPS '	<b>_</b>	
			PUT HIM AT
WLAH	EFFE	HEAD	Myury For
2850? []	Yes W	No (Explain	Mana
			111 763)
ployers cop	py of		
INSUE	PED'S II	);   SE O	
_	· ····································	SE UNLY	
7	•		1
┙゛			1
1			
EMPLOYER	R PAG	GF 4 - Eller c	
•		EMPLOY	EE Form C-1 (vev.01/03)
	•		MECEIVE
			U
			MAR 0 3 2017
			- E
in le	MATCH Seaso? D  Imployer's co led to the em	Seaso? Dives the imployer's copy of led to the employer's UNSURER'S U	OATH Sease? D Yes II, No (Explain imployer's copy of led to the employer on:  INSURER'S USE ONLY

# EMPLOYEE'S CLAIM FOR COMPENSATION/REPORT OF INITIAL TREATMENT FORM C-4 PI FASE TYPE OR PRINT

the point of the second		MJ.	EE'S CLAIN	- PROVI	TYPE OR P DE ALL INF	ORMATIC	N REQUES	TED
First Name .	10.			4 41			/YES (20) 1/20	
TAMIN	L Wura	in ""	りっぱん	yame i	Bithdate	100		Claim
Home Address	Elak	0 \ 1 ===	PEV	75	ONOR	178	Sex DM DF	Claim Number (Insurer's Use Only)
City	r.mk	erread	Blud	.tun-1	Age 20 1	Height,	Weight	Social Security N
1 tax vec	<i>ia</i> (	State	111	<u> </u>	ip/ (C)	57	160	
Mailing Address		Cihi	<u> </u>		8911	5 1	Telephone	ADE DOS SE
2232 F.	alpmi	ead 18 Yud	. #ILA 1	V/ Sta	ate ,	Zio	(	805) 827. 7546
INSURER		1	THIRD-PART	F· A· V	<u> 1.17.                                  </u>	(41)	5	Primary Language Spoken
Employed N			THE PARTY	ADMINIS	TRATOR	Empl	yee's Occupation	SOCINISM  Social Solution of Market Social S
Employer's Name			CUS FY	100020	~	Disea	se Occurred	VIQUINAIN OCCUPATIONAL
Office Mail Addres	s (Number and	Street)	COS FI	ramin	9			MANAGE CASE
Date of Injury (I ap			_					100110-867
17/20116	Hours	Injury (if applicat	ole) Date Er	mployer Not	ified Lee!	Day of the		
12150110		am 4:50 m	a 1 12	12011		carbalional pay or Mor	k After Injury	Supervisor to Whom Injury Report
Address or Location	n of Accident (if	applicable)		TOOL	4	12/20		1
What were you do	og at the time					. , ,		
What were you do		the accident? (if	applicable)	-14				•
How did this injury	or occupational	VIT WILL	LUCY	weck	<u>-</u>			
unily con	franting	Nic Franci	oe specific add	answer in d	letail. Use ad	ditional she	d if personne	ncoveotamant his
son pulle	d him	and knoc	0033	ori viis	payane	ox be	na tvo	N CONPOT ONE
If was believe that		ATIU FIIOC	ked him	down.	•		19	recover arount his
If you believe that ye relationship to your o	ou have an occu	pational disease,	when did you !	first have ke	Mydodae et al			
, , , ,			-	The state of the s	cased a Ot IL	e disability	and its	Witnesses to the Accident (II
							1.	epulicame)
lature of Injury or O	Cupational Dis	2250					l ¹	Eduardo Lean (brother in)
• •	- Landing Bibl						I.	
				Peri	(s) of Body In	ured or Aff		JOIVICI ( CU-WOYVOY )
CERTIFY THAT THE ABO				Pari	(s) of Body In	jured or Affi WAIST.		JOIVICI ( CU-WOYVOY )
CERTIFY THAT THE ABO NDUSTRIAL INSURANCE URGEON, PRACTITIONEL ISURANCE COMPANY, O ERTINENT TO THIS INJUI ONTROLLED SUBSTANCE			T OF MY KNOWLED IRS 618A TO 616D, NCLUDING VETER ON TO RELEASE TO RELATIVE TO DIAL UTHORIZATION	Pari VID DGE AND THAT INCLUSIVE OI ANS ADMINIST O EACH OTHE GNOSIS, TREA	(8) Of Body In (, DACK, I HAVE PROVID R CHAPTER 617 I RATION OR GOT R ANY MEDICAL TMENT AND/OR	UTED OF ATT		JOIVICI ( CU-WOYVOY )
CERTIFY THAT THE ABO IDUSTRIAL INSURANCE URGEON, PRACTITIONEL SURANCE COMPANY, O ERTINENT TO THIS INJUI DISTRICLED SUBSTANCE BIE 1230/16	VE IS TRUE AND CO IND OCCUPATION IN OR OTHER PERS R OTHER INSTITUT IY OR DISEASE, EX IS, FOR WHICH I M	ORRECT TO THE BES' AL DISEASES ACTS (NO. ANY HOSPITAL, INDION OR ORGANIZATING CEPT INFORMATION UST GIVE SPECIFICA	TOF MY KNOWLED IRS 618A TO 616D, NOLUDING VETER RELATIVE TO DIAG UITHORIZATION.  MPLETED AN	DGE AND THAT INCLUSIVE OF IANS ADMINIST O EACH OTHE GNOSIS, TREA A PHOTOSTAT	I HAVE PROVID R CHAPTER 617 IRATION OR GOV R, ANY MEDICAL TIMENT AND/OR ( OF THIS AUTHO	ED THIS INFOI OF NRS). I HE ÆRNMENTAL OR OTHER IN COUNSELING RIZATION SHA	MACIONI IN ORDI RIMATION IN ORDI REBY AUTHORIZI HOSPITAL, ANY A FORMATION, INCI FOR AIDS, PSYCI- LL BE AS VALIDA	PAVI CI (CO-WOYKEY)  ER TO OBTAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S  ANY PHYSICIAN, CHROPRACTOR  EDICAL SERVICE ORGANIZATION, ANY  UDING BENEFITS PAID OR PAYABLE,  OLOGICAL CONDITIONS, ALCOHOL OR
CERTIFY THAT THE ABO IDUSTRIAL INSURANCE URGEON, PRACTITIONEL SURANCE COMPANY, O ERTINENT TO THIS INJUI DITROLLED SUBSTANCE BIG 18 20/16 EGGE	VE IS TRUE AND CO IND OCCUPATION IN OR OTHER PERS R OTHER INSTITUT IY OR DISEASE, EX IS, FOR WHICH I M	ORRECT TO THE BES' AL DISEASES ACTS (NO. ANY HOSPITAL, INDION OR ORGANIZATING CEPT INFORMATION UST GIVE SPECIFICA	T OF MY KNOWLED IRS 618A TO 616D, NOLUDING VETER NO TO RELEASE TO RELATIVE TO DIAC UITHORIZATION. A MPLETED AN	OGE AND THAT INCLUSIVE OF ANS ADMINIST OF EACH OTHE GNOSIS, TREA A PHOTOSTAT  ND MAILE	T HAVE PROVID R CHAPTER 817 I RATION OR GOVE R, ANY MEDICAL THENT AND/OR I OF THIS AUTHOR Employe D WITHIN G	ED THIS INFOI OF NRS). I HE ÆRNMENTAL OR OTHER IN COUNSELING RIZATION SHA	MACIONI IN ORDI RIMATION IN ORDI REBY AUTHORIZI HOSPITAL, ANY A FORMATION, INCI FOR AIDS, PSYCI- LL BE AS VALIDA	PAYLOL (CO-WOYKEY)  ER TO OBTAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S  ANY PHYSICIAN, CHROPRACTOR  EDICAL SERVICE ORGANIZATION, ANY  UDING BENEFITS PAID OR PAYABLE,  OLOGICAL CONDITIONS, ALCOHOL OR
ace	VE IS TRUE AND CO IND OCCUPATION L. OR OTHER PERS L. OR OTHER INSTITUT LY OR DISEASE, EX S. FOR WHICH I M HIS REPORT	ORRECT TO THE BES AL DISEASES ACTS (N ON, ANY HOSPITAL, ION OR ORGANIZATIK ICCEPT INFORMATION UST GIVE SPECIFIC A Place () W MUST BE CO	WALE LED AN	OGE AND THAT INCLUSIVE OF INCLU	T HAVE PROVID R CHAPTER 817 I RATION OR GOVE R, ANY MEDICAL THENT AND/OR I OF THIS AUTHOR Employe D WITHIN G	ED THIS INFOI OF NRS). I HE ÆRNMENTAL OR OTHER IN COUNSELING RIZATION SHA	MACIONI IN ORDI RIMATION IN ORDI REBY AUTHORIZI HOSPITAL, ANY A FORMATION, INCI FOR AIDS, PSYCI- LL BE AS VALIDA	PAYLOL (CO-WOYKEY)  ER TO OBTAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S  ANY PHYSICIAN, CHROPRACTOR  EDICAL SERVICE ORGANIZATION, ANY  UDING BENEFITS PAID OR PAYABLE,  OLOGICAL CONDITIONS, ALCOHOL OR
ace	VE IS TRUE AND CO IND OCCUPATION L. OR OTHER PERS L. OR OTHER INSTITUT LY OR DISEASE, EX S. FOR WHICH I M HIS REPORT	ORRECT TO THE BES' AL DISEASES ACTS (NO. ANY HOSPITAL, INDION OR ORGANIZATING CEPT INFORMATION UST GIVE SPECIFICA	WALE LED AN	OGE AND THAT INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLU	I HAVE PROVIDE REPRESENT REALPHER STYLE REALPHER ST	ED THIS INFO OF NRS). I HE 'ERNIMENTAL OR OTHER IN COUNSELING RIZATION SHA WORKING WORKING	MACION IN ORDINATION IN ORDINATION IN ORDINATION, INCIDENTALIS, PSYCOLUBE AS VALIDA BE AS VALIDA	FRIO OBTAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S ANY PHYSICIAN, CHROPRACTOR, EDICAL SERVICE ORGANIZATION, ANY LIDING BENEFITS PAID OR PAYABLE, OLOGICAL CONDITIONS, ALCOHOL OR STHE ORIGINAL.  JIN DUYAN REFER TREATMENT
ace	VE IS TRUE AND CO IND OCCUPATION L. OR OTHER PERS L. OR OTHER INSTITUT LY OR DISEASE, EX S. FOR WHICH I M HIS REPORT	ORRECT TO THE BES AL DISEASES ACTS (N ON, ANY HOSPITAL, ION OR ORGANIZATIK ICCEPT INFORMATION UST GIVE SPECIFIC A Place () W MUST BE CO	WALE LED AN	OGE AND THAT INCLUSIVE OF INCLU	I HAVE PROVIDER CHAPTER \$17.  RATION OR GOOR ANY MEDICAL  TIMENT AND/OR I  OF THIS AUTHOR  Employe  D WITHIN 3  acility  Is there evide  and/or another	ED THIS INFO OF NRS). I HE 'FRIMENTAL OR OTHER IN COUNSELING REZATION SHA e's Signatu WORKIN'	MATION IN ORDINATION IN ORDINATION IN ORDINATION, INCOMMATION, INCOMMATION, INCOMMATION IN	FRIO OBTAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S ANY PHYSICIAN, CHROPRACTOR, EDICAL SERVICE ORGANIZATION, ANY LIDING BENEFITS PAID OR PAYABLE, OLOGICAL CONDITIONS, ALCOHOL OR STHE ORIGINAL.  JIN DUYAN REFER TREATMENT
ace te	VE IS TRUE AND CO IND OCCUPATION L. OR OTHER PERS L. OR OTHER INSTITUT LY OR DISEASE, EX S. FOR WHICH I M HIS REPORT	ORRECT TO THE BES AL DISEASES ACTS (N ON, ANY HOSPITAL, ION OR ORGANIZATIK ICCEPT INFORMATION UST GIVE SPECIFIC A Place () W MUST BE CO	WALE LED AN	OGE AND THAT INCLUSIVE OF INCLU	I HAVE PROVIDE REPRESENT REALPHER STYLE REALPHER ST	ED THIS INFO OF NRS). I HE 'FRIMENTAL OR OTHER IN COUNSELING REZATION SHA e's Signatu WORKIN'	MATION IN ORDINATION IN ORDINATION IN ORDINATION, INCOMMATION, INCOMMATION, INCOMMATION IN	FR TO OBTAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S ANY PHYSICIAN, CHROPRACTOR, EDICAL SERVICE ORGANIZATION, ANY LIDING BENEFITS PAID OR PAYABLE, OLOGICAL CONDITIONS, ALCOHOL OR STHE DRIGINAL.  TIN DUYON PEPEZ  TREATMENT
ace ite	VE IS TRUE AND CO IND OCCUPATION L. OR OTHER PERS L. OR OTHER INSTITUT LY OR DISEASE, EX S. FOR WHICH I M HIS REPORT	ORRECT TO THE BES AL DISEASES ACTS (N ON, ANY HOSPITAL, ION OR ORGANIZATIK ICCEPT INFORMATION UST GIVE SPECIFIC A Place () W MUST BE CO	WALE LED AN	OGE AND THAT INCLUSIVE OF INCLU	I HAVE PROVIDE IN THE PROVIDE STATEMENT AND PROVIDE STATEMENT AND PROVIDE STATEMENT AND PROVIDE STATEMENT AND PROVIDE STATEMENT AND PROVIDE STATEMENT AND PROVIDE STATEMENT AND PROVIDE STATEMENT ST	ED THIS INFO OF INRS. I HE ERNMENTAL OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR STATE OR STATE OR STATE OR STATE OR STATE OR STATE OR STATE OR STATE OR STATE OF STATE	MAGO.  IMATION IN ORDINATION IN ORDINATION, INC. OR AIDS, PSYCHAMICS AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND	TREATMENT  Was under the influence of sicohol ime of the socident?
ace ite ur	VE IS TRUE AND CO IND OCCUPATION L. OR OTHER PERS TOTHER INSTITUT LY OR DISEASE, EX S. FOR WHICH I M HIS REPORT	ORRECT TO THE BES AL DISEASES ACTS (N ON, ANY HOSPITAL, ION OR ORGANIZATIK ICCEPT INFORMATION UST GIVE SPECIFIC A Place () W MUST BE CO	WALE LED AN	DOE AND THAT INCLUSIVE OF ANS ADMINIST OF EACH OTHER GNOSIS, TREA A PHOTOSTAT  NO MAILE Name of F	I HAVE PROVIDE R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAP	ED THIS INFO OF NRS). I HE 'FERNMENTAL OR OTHER IN COUNSELING REZATION SHA e's Signatur WORKIN' Ince that the life of controlled s es (if yes, pl sed the paties	MATION IN ORDINATION IN ORDINATION IN ORDINATION IN OR OR AIDS, PSYCOLUBE AS VALID A DAYS OF Utred employee ibstance at the tase explain)	FR TO OBTAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S ANY PHYSICIAN CHROPRACTOR. EDICAL SERVICE ORGANIZATION, ANY UDING BENEFITS PAID OR PAYABLE. OLOGICAL CONDITIONS, ALCOHOL OR STHE DRIGINAL.  JIN DUYAN PEYST  TREATMENT  was under the influence of sicohol ime of the accident?
ace ur alment:	VE IS TRUE AND CO IND OCCUPATION L. OR OTHER PERS TOTHER INSTITUT LY OR DISEASE, EX S. FOR WHICH I M HIS REPORT	ORRECT TO THE BES AL DISEASES ACTS (N ON, ANY HOSPITAL, ION OR ORGANIZATIK ICCEPT INFORMATION UST GIVE SPECIFIC A Place () W MUST BE CO	WALE LED AN	DOE AND THAT INCLUSIVE OF ANS ADMINIST OF EACH OTHER GNOSIS, TREA A PHOTOSTAT  NO MAILE Name of F	I HAVE PROVIDE R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. R CHAP	ED THIS INFO OF NRS). I HE 'FERNMENTAL OR OTHER IN COUNSELING REZATION SHA e's Signatur WORKIN' Ince that the life of controlled s es (if yes, pl sed the paties	MATION IN ORDINATION IN ORDINATION IN ORDINATION IN OR OR AIDS, PSYCOLUBE AS VALID A DAY'S OF	FR TO OBTAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S ANY PHYSICIAN CHROPRACTOR. EDICAL SERVICE ORGANIZATION, ANY UDING BENEFITS PAID OR PAYABLE. OLOGICAL CONDITIONS, ALCOHOL OR STHE DRIGINAL.  JIN DUYAN PEYST  TREATMENT  was under the influence of sicohol ime of the accident?
ace ur palment:	VE IS TRUE AND CO IND OCCUPATION L. OR OTHER PERS TOTHER INSTITUT LY OR DISEASE, EX S. FOR WHICH I M HIS REPORT	ORRECT TO THE BES AL DISEASES ACTS (N ON, ANY HOSPITAL, ION OR ORGANIZATIK ICCEPT INFORMATION UST GIVE SPECIFIC A Place () W MUST BE CO	WALE LED AN	DOE AND THAN INCLUSIVE ON ANS ADMINISTS OF EACH OTHER GNOSIS, TREA A PHOTOSTAT NAME OF FORESEE	I HAVE PROVIDER CHAPTER \$174 R CHAPT	ED THIS INFO OF MRS. I HE ERMMENTAL CROTHER IN COUNSELING E'S SIGNATUR WORKIN  TO THE THE OF THE THE OF THE THE OF THE THE OF THE THE OF THE THE OF THE THE OF THE THE OF THE THE OF THE THE OF THE THE OF TH	MATION IN ORD REBY AUTHORIZE HOSPITAL ANY IN CORNATION, INCI FOR AIDS, PSYCO- LL BE AS VALID A BE AS VALID A BE AS VALID A BE AS VALID A BUTTON OF AIDS OF AIDS BUTTON OF AIDS	R TO OBTAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S ANY PHYSICIAN, CHROPRACTOR, EDICAL SERVICE ORGANIZATION, ANY LUDING BENEFITS PAID OR PAYABLE. OLOGICAL CONDITIONS, ALCOHOL OR STHE ORIGINAL.  JIN DUYON PEFET TREATMENT  WAS under the influence of alcohol time of the accident?
ate ur salment; ay Findings;	VE IS TRUE AND COUNT OCCUPATION.  R. OR OTHER PERS  R. OTHER INSTITUTI  Y. OR DISEASE, EX.  S. FOR WHICH I M.  HIS REPORT  Diagnosis ar	DRRECT TO THE BES AL DISEASES ACTS (N ON, ANY HOSPITAL, I ION OR ORGANIZATION UST GIVE SPECIFIC A Place () W MUST BE COI	y or Occupational (	DOE AND THAN INCLUSIVE OF AND THAN INCLUSIVE OF AND INCLUSIVE OF AND INCLUSIVE OF AND INCLUSIVE OF AND INCLUSIVE OF AND INCLUSIVE OF AND INCLUSIVE OF AND INCLUSIVE OF AND INCLUSIVE OF AND INCLUSIVE OF AND INCLUSIVE OF A	I HAVE PROVIDER CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. RATION OR GOOR ANY MEDICAL TIMENT AND/OR COFTHIS AUTHOROUGH OF THIS	ED THIS INFO OF NRS). I HE 'FRIMENTAL OR OTHER IN COUNSELING REZATION SHA e's Signatu WORKIN'  The controlled selection of the patient and the patient as the injured as the injured as	MATION IN ORDINATION IN ORDINATION IN ORDINATION IN ORDINATION IN OR AIDS, PSYCOLUBE AS VALID A DAY'S OF DAY'S OF DAY'S OF Ibstance at the tase explain)  It to remain off with the property of the property o	ER TO OBTAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S ANY PHYSICIAN CHROPRACTOR ENDCAL SERVICE ORGANIZATION, ANY UDING BENEFITS PAID OR PAYABLE. OLOGICAL CONDITIONS, ALCOHOL OR STHE DRIGINAL.  JIN DUYNN PEYB?  IREATMENT  was under the influence of sicohol ime of the accident?  ork five days or more?  to  e of:     Unit duty   Description
atment:  ay Findings:	VE IS TRUE AND COUNT OCCUPATION.  R. OR OTHER PERS  R. OTHER INSTITUTI  Y. OR DISEASE, EX.  S. FOR WHICH I M.  HIS REPORT  Diagnosis ar	DRRECT TO THE BES AL DISEASES ACTS (N ON, ANY HOSPITAL, I ON OR ORGANIZATION UST GIVE SPECIFIC A Place () W MUST BE COI and Description of Injury	y or Occupational E	DOE AND THAN INCLUSIVE OF AND THAN INCLUSIVE OF AND INCLUSIVE OF AND INCLUSIVE OF AND INCLUSIVE OF AND INCLUSIVE OF AND INCLUSIVE OF AND INCLUSIVE OF AND INCLUSIVE OF AND INCLUSIVE OF AND INCLUSIVE OF AND INCLUSIVE OF A	I HAVE PROVIDER CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. RATION OR GOOR ANY MEDICAL TIMENT AND/OR COFTHIS AUTHOROUGH OF THIS	ED THIS INFO OF NRS). I HE 'FRIMENTAL OR OTHER IN COUNSELING REZATION SHA e's Signatu WORKIN'  The controlled selection of the patient and the patient as the injured as the injured as	MATION IN ORD REBY AUTHORIZE HOSPITAL ANY IN CORNATION, INCI FOR AIDS, PSYCO- LL BE AS VALID A BE AS VALID A BE AS VALID A BE AS VALID A BUTTON OF AIDS OF AIDS BUTTON OF AIDS	ER TO OBTAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S ANY PHYSICIAN CHROPRACTOR ENDCAL SERVICE ORGANIZATION, ANY UDING BENEFITS PAID OR PAYABLE. OLOGICAL CONDITIONS, ALCOHOL OR STHE DRIGINAL.  JIN DUYNN PEYB?  IREATMENT  was under the influence of sicohol ime of the accident?  ork five days or more?  to  e of:     Unit duty   Description
ace  ur  atment:  ay Findings:  n information given by the cet this injury or occup-	VE IS TRUE AND COUPATIONS A, OR OTHER PERIONS R OTHER INSTITUT BY OR DISEASE, EX S, FOR WHICH I M HIS REPORT  Diagnosis an Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis and Diagn	DRRECT TO THE BES AL DISEASES ACTS (N ON, ANY HOSPITAL, I ON OR ORGANIZATION UST GIVE SPECIFIC A Place // W MUST BE CON INCLUDING DESCRIPTION OF INJURY DE	y or Occupational (	DOE AND THAN INCLUSIVE OF AND THAN INCLUSIVE OF AND INCLUSIVE OF AND INCLUSIVE OF AND INCLUSIVE OF AND INCLUSIVE OF AND INCLUSIVE OF AND INCLUSIVE OF AND INCLUSIVE OF AND INCLUSIVE OF AND INCLUSIVE OF AND INCLUSIVE OF A	I HAVE PROVIDER CHAPTER \$17. R CHAPTER \$17. RATION OR GOOR ANY MEDICAL TIMENT AND/OR COFTHIS AUTHOROUGH OF THIS	ED THIS INFO OF NRS). I HE 'FRIMENTAL OR OTHER IN COUNSELING REZATION SHA e's Signatu WORKIN'  The controlled selection of the patient and the patient as the injured as the injured as	MATION IN ORDINATION IN ORDINATION IN ORDINATION IN ORDINATION IN OR AIDS, PSYCOLUBE AS VALID A DAY'S OF DAY'S OF DAY'S OF Ibstance at the tase explain)  It to remain off with the property of the property o	ER TO OBTAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S ANY PHYSICIAN CHROPRACTOR ENDCAL SERVICE ORGANIZATION, ANY UDING BENEFITS PAID OR PAYABLE. OLOGICAL CONDITIONS, ALCOHOL OR STHE DRIGINAL.  JIN DUYNN PEYB?  IREATMENT  was under the influence of sicohol ime of the accident?  ork five days or more?  to  e of:     Unit duty   Description
ace  ur  atment:  ay Findings:  n information given by the cent this injury or occup.  Iditional medical can	VE IS TRUE AND COUPATIONS LA OR OTHER PERS R OTHER INSTITUTI YOR DISEASE, EX S. FOR WHICH I M  HIS REPORT  Diagnosis an  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar	DRRECT TO THE BES AL DISEASES ACTS (N ON, ANY HOSPITAL, I ON OR ORGANIZATION UST GIVE SPECIFIC A Place // W MUST BE COT and Description of Injury Dither with medical en Job incurred?	y or Occupational &	DOE AND THAT INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE I	I HAVE PROVIDER CHAPTER \$177 R CHAPTER \$177 RATION OR GOO R ANY MEDICAL TIMENT AND OR EMPLOYEE  EMPLOYEE  D WITHIN 3 Sacility  Is there evide and/or anothe and/or anothe and/or anothe U No U Y  Have you advi Ves Indica	ED THIS INFO OF INFS). I HE 'FERNMENTAL OR OTHER IN COUNSELING E'S SIGNATUR WORKIN'  The controlled a or controlled a or controlled a sed the patient sed the patient the line injured of specify any	MATION IN ORDINATION	FR. TO OBTAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S ANY PHYSICIAN, CHROPRACTOR, EDICAL SERVICE ORGANIZATION, ANY UDING BENEFITS PAID OR PAYABLE, OLOGICAL CONDITIONS, ALCOHOL OR STHE ORIGINAL.  TREATMENT  was under the influence of sicohol ime of the accident?  Took five days or more?  to e of:   util duly  modified duty tions;
ace  Ite  ur  atment;  ay Findings;  n information given by the composition of the compos	VE IS TRUE AND COUPATIONS LA OR OTHER PERS R OTHER INSTITUTI YOR DISEASE, EX S. FOR WHICH I M  HIS REPORT  Diagnosis an  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar	DRRECT TO THE BES AL DISEASES ACTS (N ON, ANY HOSPITAL, I ON OR ORGANIZATION UST GIVE SPECIFIC A Place // W MUST BE COT and Description of Injury Dither with medical en Job incurred?	y or Occupational &	DOE AND THAT INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE I	I HAVE PROVIDER CHAPTER \$177 R CHAPTER \$177 RATION OR GOO R ANY MEDICAL TIMENT AND OR EMPLOYEE  EMPLOYEE  D WITHIN 3 Sacility  Is there evide and/or anothe and/or anothe and/or anothe U No U Y  Have you advi Ves Indica	ED THIS INFO OF INFS). I HE 'FERNMENTAL OR OTHER IN COUNSELING E'S SIGNATUR WORKIN'  The controlled a or controlled a or controlled a sed the patient sed the patient the line injured of specify any	MATION IN ORDINATION	FR. TO OBTAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S ANY PHYSICIAN, CHROPRACTOR, EDICAL SERVICE ORGANIZATION, ANY UDING BENEFITS PAID OR PAYABLE, OLOGICAL CONDITIONS, ALCOHOL OR STHE ORIGINAL.  TREATMENT  was under the influence of sicohol ime of the accident?  Took five days or more?  to e of:   util duly  modified duty tions;
ace  Ite  ur  atment;  ay Findings;  n information given by the composition of the compos	Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar	DRRECT TO THE BES AL DISEASES ACTS (N. ON, ANY HOSPITAL, I. ON, ANY HOSPITAL, II ON OR ORGANIZATE (CEPT INFORMATION UST GIVE SPECIFIC A  Place () W  MUST BE COI  and Description of Injury  wither with medical en job incurred?	y or Occupational &	DOE AND THAT INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE OF INCLUSIVE I	I HAVE PROVIDER CHAPTER \$177 R CHAPTER \$177 RATION OR GOO R ANY MEDICAL TIMENT AND OR EMPLOYEE  EMPLOYEE  D WITHIN 3 Sacility  Is there evide and/or anothe and/or anothe and/or anothe U No U Y  Have you advi Ves Indica	ED THIS INFO OF INFS). I HE 'FERNMENTAL OR OTHER IN COUNSELING E'S SIGNATUR WORKIN'  The controlled a or controlled a or controlled a sed the patient sed the patient the line injured of specify any	MATION IN ORDINATION	FR. TO OBTAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S ANY PHYSICIAN, CHROPRACTOR, EDICAL SERVICE ORGANIZATION, ANY UDING BENEFITS PAID OR PAYABLE, OLOGICAL CONDITIONS, ALCOHOL OR STHE ORIGINAL.  TREATMENT  was under the influence of sicohol ime of the accident?  Took five days or more?  to e of:   util duly  modified duty tions;
ace  atte  patment:  Lay Findings:  n information given by the this injury or occupated this inj	VE IS TRUE AND COUPATIONS LA OR OTHER PERS R OTHER INSTITUTI YOR DISEASE, EX S. FOR WHICH I M  HIS REPORT  Diagnosis an  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar	DRRECT TO THE BES AL DISEASES ACTS (N. ON, ANY HOSPITAL, I. ON, ANY HOSPITAL, II. ON OR ORGANIZATION UST GIVE SPECIFIC A Place () W MUST BE COI and Description of Injury District With medical en Job incurred?	y or Occupational &	DOE AND THAN INCLUSIVE OF AND THAN INCLUSIVE	I HAVE PROVIDER CHAPTER \$177 INTENTION OR GOOD READY MEDICAL TIMENT AND OR IT MENT AND	ED THIS INFO DP INIS). I HE PERIMENTAL OR OTHER IN COUNSELING E'S SIGNATU WORKIN: WORKIN:  The controlled s and the patient an	MATION IN ORDINATION	FR. TO OBTAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S ANY PHYSICIAN, CHROPRACTOR, EDICAL SERVICE ORGANIZATION, ANY UDING BENEFITS PAID OR PAYABLE, OLOGICAL CONDITIONS, ALCOHOL OR STHE ORIGINAL.  TREATMENT  was under the influence of sicohol ime of the accident?  Took five days or more?  to e of:   util duly  modified duty tions;
ace  ate  patment:  Ray Findings:  n information given by the sectifies injury or occupanditional medical can be seen thought the section of any previous known of any previous the section of the sectio	Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar	DRRECT TO THE BES AL DISEASES ACTS (N. ON, ANY HOSPITAL, I. ON, ANY HOSPITAL, II. ON OR ORGANIZATION UST GIVE SPECIFIC A Place () W MUST BE COI and Description of Injury District With medical en Job incurred?	y or Occupational &	DOE AND THAN INCLUSIVE OF AND THAN INCLUSIVE	I HAVE PROVIDER CHAPTER \$177 INTENTION OR GOOD READY MEDICAL TIMENT AND OR IT MENT AND	ED THIS INFO DP INIS). I HE PERIMENTAL OR OTHER IN COUNSELING E'S SIGNATU WORKIN: WORKIN:  The controlled s and the patient an	MATION IN ORDINATION	FR. TO OBTAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S ANY PHYSICIAN, CHROPRACTOR, EDICAL SERVICE ORGANIZATION, ANY UDING BENEFITS PAID OR PAYABLE, OLOGICAL CONDITIONS, ALCOHOL OR STHE ORIGINAL.  TREATMENT  was under the influence of sicohol ime of the accident?  Took five days or more?  to e of:   util duly  modified duty tions;
ace  atte  patment:  Lay Findings:  n information given by the this injury or occupanditional medical can be to know of any previous know of any previous the this injury or occupant the this injury or occupant the this injury or occupant the this injury or occupant the this injury or occupant the this injury or occupant the this injury or occupant the this injury or occupant the this injury or occupant the this injury or occupant the thin injury or occupant the thin injury	Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar	DRRECT TO THE BES AL DISEASES ACTS (N. ON, ANY HOSPITAL, I. ON, ANY HOSPITAL, II. ON OR ORGANIZATION UST GIVE SPECIFIC A Place () W MUST BE COI and Description of Injury District With medical en Job incurred?	y or Occupational &	DOE AND THAN INCLUSIVE OF AND THAN INCLUSIVE	I HAVE PROVIDER CHAPTER \$17 PROVIDER CHAPTER \$17 PRATION OF GOOD RAMY MEDICAL TAMENT AND OR THIS AUTHOUSE CONTINUES CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUSE CONTINUES AUTHOUS AUTHOUS AUTHOUS AUTHOUS AUTHOUS AUTHOUS AUTHOUS AUTHOUS AUTHOUS AUTHOUS AUTHOUS AUTHOUS	ED THIS INFO OF INFS. I HE ERNMENTAL OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER OR OTHER OR OTHER OF THE INFO OR OTHER OF THE INFO OR OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OT	MATION IN ORD REBY AUTHORIZE WASTION IN ORD REBY AUTHORIZE WASTION IN ORD FOR AIDS, PSYCO- LL BE AS VALID A BE AS	R TO OBTAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S ANY PHYSICIAN, CAROPRACTOR, EDICAL SERVICE ORGANIZATION, ANY LUDING BENEFITS PAID OR PAYABLE, OLOGICAL CONDITIONS, ALCOHOL OR STHE ORIGINAL  TREATMENT  was under the influence of sicohol time of the accident?  Tork five days or more?  to e of:    Juli duty   modified duty tions;   Diain if yes)
ace  ate  patment:  Ray Findings:  n information given by the sectifies injury or occupanditional medical can be seen thought the section of any previous known of any previous the section of the sectio	Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar  Diagnosis ar	PRRECT TO THE BES AL DISEASES ACTS (N. ON, ANY HOSPITAL, I. ON, ANY HOSPITAL, I. ON OR ORGANIZATE (CEPT INFORMATION UST GIVE SPECIFIC A Place () W MUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUSTRIAL INDUSTRIAL IN	y or Occupational (	DOE AND THAT INCLUSIVE OF AND THAT INCLUSIVE	I HAVE PROVIDER CHAPTER \$17 PE	ED THIS INFO OF INFS. I HE ERNMENTAL OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER OR OTHER OR OTHER OF THE INFO OR OTHER OF THE INFO OR OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OT	MATION IN ORDINATION	R TO OBTAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S ANY PHYSICIAN, CAROPRACTOR, EDICAL SERVICE ORGANIZATION, ANY LUDING BENEFITS PAID OR PAYABLE, OLOGICAL CONDITIONS, ALCOHOL OR STHE ORIGINAL  TREATMENT  was under the influence of sicohol time of the accident?  Tork five days or more?  to e of:    Juli duty   modified duty tions;   Diain if yes)
ace  ate  patre  patrent;  tay Findings:  n information given by trect this injury or occupated this injury or occupated the same of any previous known of any previous state	Diagnosis are employee, toge ational disease as a by a physician louis injury or distance out in the process of	DRRECT TO THE BES AL DISEASES ACTS (N. ON, ANY HOSPITAL, I. ON, ANY HOSPITAL, II. ON OR ORGANIZATION UST GIVE SPECIFIC A Place () W MUST BE COI and Description of Injury District With medical en Job incurred?	y or Occupational (	DOE AND THAN INCLUSIVE OF AND THAN INCLUSIVE	I HAVE PROVIDER CHAPTER \$17 PE	ED THIS INFO OF INFS. I HE ERNMENTAL OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER OR OTHER OR OTHER OF THE INFO OR OTHER OF THE INFO OR OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OT	MATION IN ORD REBY AUTHORIZE WASTION IN ORD REBY AUTHORIZE WASTION IN ORD FOR AIDS, PSYCO- LL BE AS VALID A BE AS	R TO OBTAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S ANY PHYSICIAN, CAROPRACTOR, EDICAL SERVICE ORGANIZATION, ANY LUDING BENEFITS PAID OR PAYABLE, OLOGICAL CONDITIONS, ALCOHOL OR STHE ORIGINAL  TREATMENT  was under the influence of sicohol time of the accident?  Tork five days or more?  to e of:    Juli duty   modified duty tions;   Diain if yes)
ate  pur  patment:  Ray Findings:  In information given by the this injury or occupated ditional medical can you know of any previous.	Diagnosis are employee, toge ational disease as a by a physician louis injury or distance out in the process of	PRRECT TO THE BES AL DISEASES ACTS (N. ON, ANY HOSPITAL, I. ON, ANY HOSPITAL, I. ON OR ORGANIZATE (CEPT INFORMATION UST GIVE SPECIFIC A Place () W MUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUSTRIAL INDUSTRIAL IN	y or Occupational (	DOE AND THAT INCLUSIVE OF INCLU	I HAVE PROVIDER CHAPTER \$17 PE	ED THIS INFO OF INFS. I HE ERNMENTAL OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER OR OTHER OR OTHER OF THE INFO OR OTHER OF THE INFO OR OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OT	MATION IN ORD REBY AUTHORIZE WASTION IN ORD REBY AUTHORIZE WASTION IN ORD FOR AIDS, PSYCO- LL BE AS VALID A BE AS	R TO OBTAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S ANY PHYSICIAN, CAROPRACTOR, EDICAL SERVICE ORGANIZATION, ANY LUDING BENEFITS PAID OR PAYABLE, OLOGICAL CONDITIONS, ALCOHOL OR STHE ORIGINAL  TREATMENT  was under the influence of sicohol time of the accident?  Tork five days or more?  to e of:    Juli duty   modified duty tions;   Diain if yes)
ate  pur  patment:  Ray Findings:  minformation given by the strike injury or occupioditional medical can you know of any previous State	Diagnosis are employee, toge ational disease as a by a physician louis injury or distance out in the process of	PRRECT TO THE BES AL DISEASES ACTS (N. ON, ANY HOSPITAL, I. ON, ANY HOSPITAL, I. ON OR ORGANIZATE (CEPT INFORMATION UST GIVE SPECIFIC A Place () W MUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUST BE CON INDUSTRIAL INDUSTRIAL IN	y or Occupational (	DOE AND THAT INCLUSIVE OF AND THAT INCLUSIVE	I HAVE PROVIDER CHAPTER \$17 PE	ED THIS INFO OF INFS. I HE ERNMENTAL OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER IN OR OTHER OR OTHER OR OTHER OF THE INFO OR OTHER OF THE INFO OR OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OF THE INFO OTHER OT	MATION IN ORD REBY AUTHORIZE WASTION IN ORD REBY AUTHORIZE WASTION IN ORD FOR AIDS, PSYCO- LL BE AS VALID A BE AS	R TO OBTAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S ANY PHYSICIAN, CAROPRACTOR, EDICAL SERVICE ORGANIZATION, ANY LUDING BENEFITS PAID OR PAYABLE, OLOGICAL CONDITIONS, ALCOHOL OR STHE ORIGINAL  TREATMENT  was under the influence of sicohol time of the accident?  Tork five days or more?  to e of:    Juli duty   modified duty tions;   Diain if yes)

Form C-4 (rev.10/07)

**University Medical Center** 1800 W Charleston Blvd Las Vegas,NV 89102 702-383-2000

# **ED Chart View**



Patient Name:

PEREZ, MARTIN

Sex:

M 38

Birthdate: Acct No:

01/08/1978

Age:

0030138209

Arrival Dt.:

9931347349

Medical Rec No:

Primary MD:

12/30/2016 20:54 1st Chart Launch Dt.:

12/30/2016 21:02

Treating Provider:

RANDALL BESS MD

Attending MD:

MITZI A DILLON MD

**Chart Status:** 

**Final** 

NO KNOWN ALLERGIES [Confirmed by ROBERT WILSON RN on 12/30/2016 21:09:00.] (ROBERT

### Primary Diagnosis

1) Free text DX: Traumatic fall (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:22:29) 2) Traumatic subdural hematoma (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:22:29) 3) Free text DX: Possible right 8th rib fracture (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:22:29) 4) Musculoskeletal chest pain (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016

## History of Present liness

HPI: Exam started at 21:03 (MITZI A DILLON MD 12/30/2016 21:03:00) 38-year-old Hispanic speaking male who presents from Saint Rose Sienna after sustaining a 20 foot fall after being pushed off of a roof top by a co-worker and sustaining a loss of consciousness for unknown amount of time. Patient was found unconscious on the ground. Patient presents from outside hospital with outside labs and imaging and is transferred for a subdural bleed measuring 7 millimeters, otherwise injuries noted were possible right 8th rib fracture, no other injuries were reported on transport. Patient denies any past medical history, denies any smoking alcohol or drug use, denies any allergies to any medications. Patient denies any loss of sensation, nausea or vomiting, headache, numbness weakness or tingling, patient does complain of posterior head pain, neck pain and upper back pain, as well as left chest wall pain. Denies any abdominal pain.REVIEW OF SYSTEMS - 10 systems were independently reviewed and are otherwise negative with the exception of those items previously documented in the HPI and nursing notes. (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:17:00)

# Past Surgical History/Major Procedures

PMHx: [as mentioned in HPI] SHx: [none] Social: [negative x3] FHx: [non contributory] (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:09:00) PMHx: [as mentioned in HPI] SHx: [none] Social: [negative x3] FHx: [non contributory] (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:17:00)


messus		PulseOx	Respiration	Temperatura	
	1-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2	क्ष इंद्रश्री के प्रमान है होते.	1. ( T. 1. ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )		
	94 /min - AM33	98 % Room air -			0/10 - AM33
35/81 mm Hg.					<u></u>
	132/91 mm Hg. - AM33	132/91 mm Hg. 94 /min - AM33 - AM33	132/91 mm Hg. 94 /min - AM33 98 % Room air - AM33	132/91 mm Hg. 94 /min - AM33 98 % Room air - 13 /min - AM33	AM33   AM33   AM33   AM33   AM33   AM33

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 1 of 19

Patient: PEREZ, MARTIN MRN: 0030138209 Page 1 of 19



00223

PEREZ, MARTIN; MR#: 0030138209; Acct#: 9931347349; Aπival Dt.: 12/30/2016 20:54; Chart Status: Final

	- MK23		- MK23	T T	1	Γ
	141/88 mm Hg. - RW4	1	RW4		Í	
Other Vital	Signs		A Marinistra			Contraggion The delice

Height: 162 cm (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) Weight: 91 kg (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) bml: 34.7 (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) bsa: 2.02 sq. m (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00)

Current Medications 1) 12/30/2016 21:08:47 Patient reports, "No Current Meds" [Confirmed by ROBERT WILSON RN on 12/30/2016 21:08:47.] (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 21:08:47)

MedOrder	Entered By	Ordered By	Completed	MD Sign	Note	Comment
ED: morphine inj 4 MG IV ONCE NOW	SL8 RN 12/30 21:11	RB40 MD 12/3 21:11	30 SL8 12/30 21	10	12/30 21:10:Dose gi en IV push. (SL8); 12/30 21:10:No com plications. (SL8); 12/30 21:10:Just give ED: morphine inj. (SL8); 12/3 21:10:Awake and alert. (SL8)	n.
evETIRAcetam nj [ KEPPRA ] 000 MG IVPB 5 MIN NOW IOUTINE	RW4 RN 12/30 21:49	MD28 MD 12/3( 21:49	RW4 12/30 21:49		and aget. (SLb)	
entaNYL inj [ UBLIMAZE ] D MCG IV Q2H RN ROUTINE	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	MNP MD 12/30 22:57	12/30 23:59	SB61 12/30 22:57		Indication: severe pain
	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	MNP MD 12/30 22:57	12/31 05:18	SB61 12/30 22:57		Indication: naus ea
CLISATE SODI- 1 [ COLACE ] 0 MG ORAL D ROUTINE	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	MNP MD 12/30 22:57	12/30 23:59	SB61 12/30 22:57		
	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	JS58 12/30 22:57		SB61 12/30 22:57		Indication: mod-

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 2 of 19

Patient: PEREZ, MARTIN MRN: 0030138209 Page 2 of 19

PEREZ, MARTIN; MR#: 0030138209; Acct#: 9931347349; Arrival Dt.: 12/30/2016 20:54; Charl Status: Final

1000 MC QBH PR ROUTIN	N						
lactated in 1000 ML ML/HR C TINUOUS ROUTINE tenance	IV 100 ON- S	22:57	22:57	/30 12/30 23:4	SB61 12/ 22:57	30	
ED: fenta inj [ SUBL AZE ] 50 i IV ONCE	JM- MCG NOW	22:59	30 SB61 MD 12/ 22:59	22:56		12/30 22:56:Just ED: fentaN inj [ SUBLII AZE ]. (CJ2	YL   W-
EVETIRAC KEPPRA MG ORAL ROUTINE	1500		30 SB61 MD 12/3 23:06	08:34	SB61 12/3 23:06		
ide [ KLOR CON ] 40 M ORAL PRN ROUTINE I um creatinh >/= 1.4 or U 0.5 ml/kg/hr hrs, DO NO USE PRO- TOCOL; cor provider for orders	AEQ I If ser- ne is IO < ×3 T	MNP MD 12/3 23:49	23:49		MNP 12/30 23:49		Indication: per Electrolyte Pro- tocol (PROT #383)
KPhos-NaPi powder pack (8 mmol pho PHOS-NAK) PACKET OR PRN ROUTII If serum crea ine is >/= 1.4 UO < 0.5 ml/ kg/hr x 3 hrs, DO NOT USE PROTOCOL; contact provid or new orders (CI 40 mEq	set 23 s) [ ] 2 IAL NE tin- or	NP MD 12/30 :49	MNP MD 12/30 23:49 MNP MD 12/30		MNP 12/30 23:49		Indication: per Electrolyte Pro- tocol (PROT #383)
	1911.4	WIL 1230	MINT NID 12/30	***************************************	MNP 12/30		Indication: per

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 3 of 19

Patient: PEREZ, MARTIN MRN: 0030138209 Page 3 of 19

PEREZ, MARTIN; MR#: 0030138209; Acct#: 9931347349; Arrival Dt.: 12/30/2016 20:54; Chart Status: Final

rider 40 ME IVPB 10 M HR PRN		23:49		23:49		Electrolyte Pr	
ROUTINE		1			į	#383)	
um creatini				1		,	
>/= 1.4 or U				l		1	
0.5 ml/kg/hr		į		1			
hrs, DO NO		į	•				
USE PRO-	1	j	į	- }	1	İ	
TOCOL; cor	nio al	- 1				1	
provider for			1				
orders Perip		`					
al Line	1						
KPhos inj 40	141010						
MMOL IVPB		1	0	MNP 12/30		Indication: per	
MMOL/HR P		23:49		23:49		Electrolyte Pro-	
ROUTINE P				İ	ĺ	locol (PROT	İ
1 .	1	1	1	1	1	#383)	-
pheral Line If serum creatin	•	1	1	1	1		1
ine is >/= 1.4	• [						1
UO < 0.5 ml/	Of				1		i
kg/hr x 3 hrs,		I		1	1		ĺ
DO NOT USE	.		1	ſ	1		I
PROTOCOL;	•					1	l
contact provid	lor i	İ		l	ĺ	1	I
for new orders				-			l
	le MNP MD 12/3		<del> </del>				l
0.9% 1000 ML		1	NJ7 12/31 11:18	1			
IV 100 ML/HR		23:49	1	23:49	1	1	ĺ
CONTINUOUS							ı
ROUTINE	1		]		Ì	1	
NaPhos inj 20	MNP MD 12/30	14415 445 4515	<u> </u>				
MMOL IVPB 7		MNP MD 12/30 23:49	1 4	MNP 12/30		Indication: per	
MMOL/HR PRI	; -	23.49		23:49		Electrolyte Pro-	
ROUTINE If se	1	1		į		tocol (PROT	
um creatinine is	1			ļ		#383)	
>/= 1.4 or UO <	1				'		
0.5 ml/kg/hr x 3	1		1	Ī	1	1	
hrs, DO NOT		1	1				
USE PRO-	İ	!			i	1	
TOCOL; contact	t	l i	1	i	ł	1	
provider for new	,	i i		į	i	į	
orders	1	<b>i</b> I			1		
magnesium	MNP MD 12/30	MNP MD 12/30		INP 12/30			
sulfate 2 gm	23:49	23:49	4	3:49	1	ndication: per	
rider 2 GM IVPB			]~			Electrolyte Pro-	
30 MIN PRN				i		ocol (PROT 383)	
			<u></u>			<del></del>	

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 4 of 19

Patient: PEREZ, MARTIN MRN: 0030138209 Page 4 of 19

PEREZ, MARTIN; MR#: 0030138209; Acct#: 9931347349; Arrival Dt.: 12/30/2016 20:54; Chart Status: Final

	<del></del>		***********			
ROUTINE						
um creatini					ĺ	
>/= 1.4 or L				1	j	
0.5 ml/kg/h. hrs, DO NO						
USE PRO-	"					
TOCOL; ∞	ntant	ļ				j
provider for		1	1	1		
orders			1		1	
NaPhos inj	10 MNP MD 12/	70 1410 140 40				
MMOL IVPE		30 MNP MD 12/3 23:49	30	MNP 12/30		indication: per
MMOL/HR P		23.49	-	23:49		Electrolyte Pro
ROUTINE II			- }	l	İ	tocol (PROT
um creatinin						#383)
>/= 1.4 or UC				1		
0.5 ml/kg/hr		i			į	
hrs, DO NOT	. ]	1		-1	1	
USE PRO-			1	1		
TOCOL; cont	*	1				
provider for n	ew					
orders				1		
	de MNP MD 12/3	0 MNP MD 12/30		MNP 12/30	1	
0.9% 500 ML	23:56	23:56		23:56	1	
IVPB PRN						1
ROUTINE	<del>- </del>	<u> </u>	-l		1	}
	e MNP MD 12/30	MNP MD 12/30		MNP 12/30	<u> </u>	
0.9% 250 ML	23:56	23:56	!	23:56	1	
IVPB PRN	1	1	1	l		
ROUTINE	<u>-</u>		<u> </u>		İ	
famotidine inj [		JS58 12/30	12/31 09:40	MNP 12/30	T	
PEPCID ] 20	23:57	23:57		23:57	i	
MG IV Q12H		1	İ	1		i
ROUTINE	-	į				
HYDRO-		MNP MD 12/30	12/31 03:47	MNP 12/30		Indication:
morphone inj [	23:58	23:58		23:58		severe pain
DILAUDID ] 1 MG IV O4H						
PRN ROUTINE	1					
	AMD MAD COME					
insuun iispro inj HumaLOG]	MNP MD 12/30 23:59			MNP 12/30		Indication:
3-15 UNIT SUB-		23:59		23:59		PROT-14 sliding
CUT PRN	j			1		scale (A):
ROUTINE				1		
70-130= 0 units;	]	\$		1		
131-150= 3				1		i
				1		i i

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 5 of 19

Patient: PEREZ, MARTIN MRN: 0030138209 Page 5 of 19

PEREZ, MARTIN; MR#: 0030138209; Acct#: 9931347349; Arrival Dt.: 12/30/2016 20:54; Chart Status: Final

	<del></del>		 		
5 units; 171-190= 7 units; 191-210= 9 units; 211-230= 11 units; 231-250= 13 units; >250= 15 units and ca House Officer to consider scale I (if ICU pt) or scale D (if non-					
ICU pt) dextrose 50% inj 12.5 GM IV	MNP MD 12/30 23:59	MNP MD 12/30 23:59	MNP 12/30 23:59	i ;	Indication:
PRN ROUTINE	1	20.00	23:59		Blood Glucose 35-69; recheck BGM in 30min
dextrose 50% inj 25 GM IV PRN ROUTINE	MNP MD 12/30 23:59	MNP MD 12/30 23:59	MNP 12/30 23:59		Indication: Blood Glucose <35; recheck BGM in 30min
PHARMACY COMMUNICA- TION 1 EA MISC PRN ROUTINE Rx to D/C ALL previously ordered	MNP MD 12/30 23:59	MNP MD 12/30 23:59	MNP 12/30 23:59		
anti-diabetic medications					

### Non-Med Orders

Non-MedOrd	Entered By	Ordered By	Completed	Results Back	MD Sign	Note	Comment/
TR CT CHEST WITH CONTRAST ONCE STAT Pain - Trauma Related	21:16	MAD1 MD	12/30 21:32	1	MAD1 12/30 21:16	12/30 21:18:Taken to CT. (CJ22)	
THORACIC	AM80 UNIT CLERK 12/30 21:16	MAD1 MD 12/30 21:16	12/30 21:32	12/30 21:32		12/30 21:18:Taken to CT. (CJ22)	

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 6 of 19

Patient: PEREZ, MARTIN MRN: 0030138209 Page 6 of 19

PEREZ, MARTIN; MR#: 0030138209; Acct#: 9931347349; Arrival Dt.: 12/30/2016 20:54; Chart Status: Final

		<del></del>					
TR CT LUMBAR SPINE RE- CONSTRUC ONCE STAT Pain - Traun Related	21:16 CT T	MAD1 MD 12/30 21:16	12/30 21:32	12/30 21:32	MAD1 12/30 21:16	12/30 21:18:Taken to CT. (CJ22)	
TR CT ABDO MEN AND PELVIS IV ONLY ONCE STAT Pain - Trauma Re- lated	21:16	MAD1 MD 12/30 21:16	12/30 21:32	12/30 21:32	MAD1 12/30 21:16	12/30 21:18:Taken to CT. (CJ22)	
PT + APTT ONCE STAT	1	MAD1 MD 12/30 21:50	12/30 22:29	12/30 22:29		12/30 21:50:Blood Drawn - RN. (RW4); 12/30 21:50:Blood obtained from the right antecubital lossa. (RW4)	
TYPE AND SCREEN ONCE STAT	RW4 RN 12/30 21:50	MAD1 MD 12/30 21:50			t a	12/30 21:50:Blood Drawn - RN. RW4); 12/30 21:50:Blood obtained from the right intecubital ossa. (RW4)	
BASIC META- BOLIC PAN- EL ONCE STAT		MAD1 MD 12/30 21:50	12/30 22:28	12/30 22:28	1. 2 D (F 2: ot th er	2/30 1:50:Blood rawn - RN. RW4); 12/30 1:50:Blood olained from e right ntecubital ssa. (RW4)	
CBC NO DIF- TERENTIAL DNCE STAT		MAD1 MD 2/30 21:50	12/30 22:08	12/30 22:08	12 21 Dr (R	/30 :50:Blood awn - RN. W4); 12/30 :50:Blood	

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 7 of 19

Patient: PEREZ, MARTIN MRN: 0030138209 Page 7 of 19

PEREZ, MARTIN; MR#: 0030138209; Acct#: 9931347349; Arrival Dt.: 12/30/2016 20:54; Charl Status: Final

						obtained from	
	1	1	1	1	1.	the right	
1		j	I	1	1	antecubital	
ADO DU						fossa. (RW4)	
ABO RH	SS21 12/3	3	12/30 23:10	0  12/30 23:1	0 MAD1 12/3	0 12/30	
STAT	E 22:06	12/30 22:06	3	- !	22:06	22:09:Blood	
SIAI	ļ			1	- 1	Drawn - RN.	
1		1	-			(KR25); 12/30	
1	1	1	1	1	1	22:09:Blood	
				1	- 1	Drawn - RN.	
	.	1			1	(RW4); 12/30	
		1	1	İ	ļ	22:09:Blood	
	1	1		ĺ		obtained from	
	1		1		1	the right	
	1		1	ı	1	antecubital	
				-	j	lossa. (RW4)	
ANTIBODY	SS21 12/30	MAD1 MD	12/30 23:10	12/30 23:10	MAD1 12/30		<del></del>
SCREEN -	22:06	12/30 22:06	1	120025.10	22:06	1	
GEL TECH-	l		1	1	22.00	22:09:Blood	
VIQUE ONCE	:	1		1	į	Drawn - RN.	
STAT			1			(KR25); 12/30	
	1	1	]	Ì		22:09:Blood	i
	i	l	1	I		Drawn - RN.	
		1	ľ	1		(RW4); 12/30	
		1		1	ł	22:09:Blood	
			]	Ì		obtained from	!
	ļ	1	l	1	1	the right	
		Į		1		antecubital	1
VC 40 1 EAD	44400 4114				<u> </u>	fossa. (RW4)	
	AM80 UNIT	SB61 MD	12/31 12:52	12/31 12:52	SB61 12/30	12/30	
NCE STAT	CLERK 12/30	12/30 22:55			22:55	23:11:First	
ļ	22:55					EKG in de-	1
					j .	partment ob-	1
					i	tained. (CJ22)	ļ
Jrgery Admit	SB61 MD	SB61 MD	12/30 23:37		SB61 12/30		
	12/30 22:56	12/30 22:56	į		22:56	j	1
quirements)		j	I			į	
NCE		' j	ļ		i		İ
DUTINE In-	1	j	1		1	1	Ì
tient TICU		Ī			1	1	į
andard No	l	Ì		!	ł		]
mm Sub-	i	1	į	į	- 1		1
ral hemat-	ł	1	1	į	1		į
a, 8th right	Į.	1	1	i	Ì	1	
fracture,	1	1		1	1	j	
off	1		- 1	Į	1		j
condistory	ļ			1	[	į	
1	}	1	-	1		!	ļ

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 8 of 19

Patient: PEREZ, MARTIN MRN: 0030138209 Page 8 of 19

PEREZ, MARTIN; MR#: 0030138209; Acct#: 9931347349; Arrival Dt.: 12/30/2016 20:54; Charl Status: Final

		- <del></del>	<del></del>	<del></del>	*****		
CHESTOVII H, PAUL J [GENERAL SURGERY] (22358)							
Measure Weight EVERY DAY ROUTINE	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	12/31 22:53		SB61 12/30 22:57		
Vital Signs Q2H ROUTINE(*C ancel*)	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	MNP MD 12/30 22:57		1 1	SB61 12/30 22:57		
Intake & Out- put Q2H ROUTINE	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	12/31 20:17	1 1	SB61 12/30 22:57		
TIN ROUTINE SaO2 < 88%	SB61 MD	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57 SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	12/31 20:17	2   SE   22	BB61 12/30 2:57		
line Lock CONTIN ROUTINE	12/30 22:57	12/30 22:57	12/31 20:17	1 '	361 12/30 :57	п	com- nent:(Periphe al IV #1)
	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	12/31 20:17	SB 22:	61 12/30 57	m	om- lent:Peripher IV #2
		SB61 MD 12/30 22:57		SB( 22:1	61 12/30 57		
Initiate Influ- S	B61 MD	SB61 MD		SB6	1 12/30	Co	mment:-

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 9 of 19

Patient: PEREZ, MARTIN MRN: 0030138209 Page 9 of 19

PEREZ, MARTIN; MR#: 0030138209; Acct#: 9931347349; Arrival Dt.: 12/30/2016 20:54; Chart Status: Final

<u></u>					
enza Vaccir Assessmen CONTIN ROUTINE	ne 12/30 22:57 t-	12/30 22:57		22:57	Switch to Influenza vac- cine order if indicated
INCENTIVE SPIROMET ER- RT to in struct ONCE ROUTINE	12/30 22:57	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	AA3 12/31 07:46	SB61 12/30 22:57	
	ir- SB61 MD G 12/30 22:57	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	12/31 19:28	SB61 12/30 22:57	Comment:X 10 Breaths
CASE MAN- AGEMENT CONSULT ONCE ROUTINE	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57		SB61 12/30 22:57	
Initiate Pneumococcal Vaccine Assessment- CONTIN ROUTINE	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57		SB61 12/30 22:57	Comment:- Switch to pneumococ- cal vaccine order if indic- ated
RD May Modi fy / Clarify Diet Orders CONTIN ROUTINE	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	5861 MD 12/30 22:57		SB61 12/30 22:57	
SOCIAL SER- VICES CON- SULT ONCE ROUTINE	1	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57		SB61 12/30 22:57	
Notify: CON- TIN ROUTINE DBP < 60 or > 110	12/30 22:57	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	12/31 20:17	SB61 12/30 22:57	
Activity CON- TIN ROUTINE (with nursing assistance)		SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	12/31 20:17	SB61 12/30 22:57	
i	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	JS58 12/30 22:57		SB61 12/30 22:57	
Sequential	SB61 MD	SB61 MD	12/31 20:17	SB61 12/30	

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 10 of 19

Patient: PEREZ, MARTIN MRN: 0030138209 Page 10 of 19

PEREZ, MARTIN; MR#: 0030138209; Acct#: 9931347349; Arrival Dt.: 12/30/2016 20:54; Charl Status: Final

	<del></del>		···				
Compression Device CONTIN ROUTII	- 1	7 12/30 22:57			22:57		
1	ral SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57			SB61 12/30 22:57		Com- ment:brain bleed
CBC/ AUTOMATE IN AM	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	12/31 01:29	12/31 01:29	SB61 12/30 22:57		
RENAL PAN EL IN AM	- SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	12/31 01:53	12/31 01:53	SB61 12/30 22:57		
Neuro Check Q1H ROUTINE	s SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	12/31 23:00		SB61 12/30 22:57		
CT BRAIN WO CON- TRAST ONCE TIMED subdural hem atoma(*Cance i*)	1	SB61 MD 12/30 23:06			SB61 12/30 23:06	12/31 00:28:Cancel Reason: PA- TIENT IS TO BE DONE IN TRAUMA CENTER ()	
Vital Signs Q1H ROUTINE	MNP MD 12/30 23:49	MNP MD 12/30 23:49	01/01 00:00		MNP 12/30 23:49		
Elevate Head of Bed CON- TIN ROUTINE	12/30 23:49	MNP MD 12/30 23:49	12/31 20:17		MNP 12/30 23:49		Com- ment:Reverse trendelenberg at 30 degress if thoracic and lumbar spine are not cleared
MAGNESIUM EVEL QDAY N AM		MNP MD 12/30 23:49		1	MNP 12/30 23:49		
lurse to Fol- ow Protocol: ONTIN OUTINE rint and fol- w PROT 383		MNP MD 1 12/30 23:49	12/31 20:17		MNP 12/30 23:49		

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 11 of 19

Patient: PEREZ, MARTIN MRN: 0030138209 Page 11 of 19

PEREZ, MARTIN; MR#: 0030138209; Acci#: 9931347349; Arrival Dt.: 12/30/2016 20:54; Chart Status: Final

Protocol),	1		1			
place in ch	nart					
RN to Ordo CONTIN ROUTINE peat K leve hr after KC	12	MNP MD 12/30 23:49	12/31 20:17	MNP 12/30 23:49		Comment per Electrolyte Protocol (PROT #383)
Phosphoro level 2 hr a KPhos/Na- Phos/	fter					
PhosNaK, Magnesium hr after mag nesium	i					
Blood Gluc- ose Testing Bedside [ A(	- 12/30 23:59	MNP MD 12/30 23:59	12/31 20:17	MNP 12/30 23:59		
CU-CHECK 24H ROUTINE II						
BGM< 35, re leat and sen erum gluc-						
se level. hen give 5gm D50W	-					
/P and echeck BGM 30min. If	3					
GM 35-69, ve 12.5gm 50W IVP						
nd recheck GM in Imin,						
rise to Fol- v Protocol: ONTIN OUTINE	1	MNP MD 1 12/30 23:59	2/31 20:17	MNP 12/30 23:59		
nt and fol- PROT 4-A						the California
CU/SICU ulin Sliding ale), place thart					***	

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 12 of 19

Patient: PEREZ, MARTIN MRN: 0030138209 Page 12 of 19

PEREZ, MARTIN; MR#: 0030138209; Acci#: 9931347349; Arrival Dt.: 12/30/2016 20:54; Chart Status: Final

•	: MNP MD	MNP MD	12/31 20:17		MNP 12/30		
CONTIN	12/30 23:59	12/30 23:59	1	-	23:59		1
ROUTINE		ł	1	1			1
serum gluc-	1		ĺ	i	•	1	1
ose level if	Ì	1		1	ĺ		
BGM< 35 mg	<b>9</b> /	1					İ
dL				<u> </u>			1
Switch to	MNP MD	MNP MD		1	MNP 12/30		Commentif
GLYC-	12/30 23:59	12/30 23:59	1	ł	23:59	1	BGM not in
OSYLATED		1	İ			i	target range
HGB				1	ļ	1	after 12hrs on
(HGA1C)			1				Sliding Scale
CONTIN	İ	1	İ	1		1	Protocol and
ROUTINE				]_	1		call physician
Notify: CON-	MNP MD	MNP MD	12/31 20:17		MNP 12/30		
TIN ROUTIN	E 12/30 23:59	12/30 23:59			23:59		
if BGM >250		1	1				!!!
and consider	1			ĺ	İ	İ	
scale B (ICU		ļ	1	l	į	1	i i
pt) or scale D			1		l	l	1
(non-ICU pt)	l			l			
House Officer	·[	1		ļ			
Call MD:	MNP MD	MNP MD	12/31 20:17		MNP 12/30		
CONTIN	12/30 23:59	12/30 23:59			23:59		
ROUTINE						-	
(Non-ICU Pt)			!		1		1
if BG not in	•						
range after							ļ
12h on sliding					ĺ		ł
scale to con-					-		}
sider NEXT	•		ļ				į
Scale OR ICU	! !				!		
transfer & In-					i		
sulin Infusion			1		i		Í
(PROT #15)							
Call MD:	MNP MD	MNP MD	12/31 20:17		MNP 12/30	I	
CONTIN	12/30 23:59	12/30 23:59	!	j	23:59	ļ	
ROUTINE	1				·		i
(ICU Pt) If BG		1	1				
not in range		i				i	1
after 12h on	İ	•	1				
sliding scale	<b>!</b>	1	1		ļ	!	, 1
o consider			1	i		ļ	]
NEXT Scale	i	į		į	1	}	
OR Insulin In-	t t	1	i	i		i	!
		j	!	ī	1	i	i
usion (PROT (15)						-	į

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 13 of 19

Patient: PEREZ, MARTIN MRN: 0030138209 Page 13 of 19

HEMOGRAM 12/30/2016 21:47:00 WHITE BLOOD 13.20 K/M/M3 H		BASIC METABOLIC PANEL 12/30/2016 21:47:00		PT AND APTT 12	730/2016 21:47:80   11.7 SEC. (NL =
CELL	(NL = 3.40-10.30)	SODIUM	139 MMOL/L (NL =	TIME	9.3-12.4)
RED BLOOD CELL	4.67 M/MM3 (NL = 4.08-5.70)	POTASSIUM	3.9 MMOL/L (NL = 3.5-5.1)	Comment: Record mended theraped ic range for oral anticoagulant the apy (INR 2.0 - 3.0 INDICATION: Prophylaxis of venous thrombosis (High-risk surgery Treatment of venous thrombosis Treatment of pul-	1 -
HGB	13.9 G/DL (NL = 13.1-16.8)	CHLORIDE	106 MMOL/L (NL = 98-110)		
HEMATOCRIT	41.4 % (NL = 38.2-48.4)	TOTAL CO2	24 MMOL/L (NL = 22-31)		apy (INR 2.0 - 3.0
MCV	88.6 FL (NL = 80.1-98.5)	BLOOD UREA NI-	13 mg/dL (NL =		phylaxis of venous
MEAN CELL HEMOGLOBIN	29.7 pg (NL = 27.1-34.2)	CREATININE	9-26) 0.7 mg/dL (NL =		(High-risk surgery Treatment of ven-
MEAN CELL HEMOGLOBIN CONCENTRA-	MOGLOBIN 33.0-35.6)	GLUCOSE	0.6-1.5) 122 mg/dL H (NL = 70-110)		ous thrombosis Treatment of pul- monary embolism
TION PLATELET	271 K/MM3 (NL =	CALCIUM	8.1 mg/dL L (NL ≃ 8.4-10.2)		
MPV	130-351) 7.5 FL (NL =	Anion Gap	9 MMOL/L (NL = 8-16)		
Red Cell Diameter	7.5-11.2) 13.8 % (NL = 11.8-15.1)				bolism) Valvular heart disease Atria fibrillation 1, It is
					strongly recom- mended that all pa- tients with mechan- ical prosthetic heart valves re-
					ceive oral antico- agulant (Grade C1 recommendation)
					A goal INR of 2.5 (range 2.0 - 3.0) is recommended for
					patients with a bileaflet mechanic- al valve in the aor-
					tic position, provided the left at-
					rium is of normal size, the patient is in sinus rhythm
					and the ejection fraction is normal

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 14 of 19

Patient: PEREZ, MARTIN MRN: 0030138209 Page 14 of 19

PEREZ, MARTIN; MR#: 0030138209; Acct#: 9931347349; Arrival Dt.: 12/30/2016 20:54; Chart Status: Final

01/01/2017 00:17

Confidential Medical Record

Page 15 of 19

Patient: PEREZ, MARTIN MRN: 0030138209 Page 15 of 19

ANTIBODY SCRE	by 1.21 The estimated GFR is to be used for screening purposes. For drug dosing, use the Cockcroft-Gault calculation.			
ANTIBODY	NEG ABSCRN			
SCREEN (Gel				
Method)				
ABORH TYPE 12/30/2016 21:47:00:				
ABO/RH TYPING	A POS			

### Physical Exam

General Presentation: VITALS: Ivital signs documented prior to this note have been reviewed and noted, see HER] AIRWAY: [patent, patient phonating well] BREATHING: [no respiratory distress, breath sounds present bilaterally] CIRCULATION: [heart sounds present, blood pressure adequate, skin well-perfused] DISABILITY: [Move all four extremities, GCS 15] GENERAL: [alert, awake, oriented x 3, mild distress, non-toxic] HEENT: [normocephalic, atraumatic, no bruising around eyes or ears, PERRL, EOMI, nares clear, no fluid from ears or nose, oropharynx clear, no dental malocclusion, no mandible tenderness] NECK: [arrived in c-collar, midline C-spine tenderness to palpation in the middle of the night, no step-offs, trachea midline, no bruising or swelling, no subcutaneous emphysema] CARDIOVASCULAR: [regular rate/rhythm, no murmurs,] Pulmonary/ Chest: [Non-labored. No obvious trauma. Patient does complain of left anterior chest wall pain chief to AP compression. No crepitus or flail segments. Lungs clear to auscultation. No crackles, wheezes or rubs, no respiratory distress, no ecchymoses, no deformity, no subcutaneous emphysema] ABDOMEN: [Atraumatic in appearance, soft, non-tender, non-distended, normoactive bowel sounds, no ecchymoses, benign exam] PELVIS: [stable to anterior-posterior and lateral compression] BACK: [normal appearance, atraumatic, patient did report upper T-spine tenderness to palpation, denied any lumbar tenderness, no step-offs, no crepitance, no CVA tenderness] EXTREMITIES: [warm, well-perfused, no gross deformities, 2+ pulses in all 4 extremities, full passive range-of-motion at bilateral shoulders/elbows/wrists/hips/knees/ankles without significant pain] SKIN: [warm, dry, no rashes or lesions] NEUROLOGIC: [GCS 15 (E4/V5/M6), cranial nerves III-XII intact, strength 5/5 in all 4 extremities, sensation to light-touch intact in all 4 extremities, deep tendon reflexes 2+ in all 4 extremities, no ataxia identified, perineal sensation intact] PSYCHIATRIC: [normal affect/insight/concentration] (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:17:00)

# Past Medical History/Patient Problems

1) Patient reports, "No Known Problems" [Confirmed by ROBERT WILSON RN on 12/30/2016 21:08:39.] (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 21:08:39) 2) Traumatic fall [Confirmed by RANDALL BESS MD on 12/30/2016 21:22:29.] (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:22:29) 3) Musculoskeletal chest pain [Confirmed by RANDALL BESS MD on 12/30/2016 21:22:29.] (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:22:29) 4) Traumatic subdural hematoma [Confirmed by RANDALL BESS MD on 12/30/2016 21:22:29.] (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:22:29.]

# Substance Use

Tobacco Smoking status:

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 16 of 19

Patient: PEREZ, MARTIN MRN: 0030138209 Page 16 of 19

never a smoker [Confirmed by: ROBERT WILSON RN on 12/30/2016 21:09:00] Alcohol

Alcohol use:

no IROBERT WILSON RN on 12/30/2016 21:09:00]

Aculty 1997 The Property of th Activation Level - ED. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) 3 - Urgent (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00)

Progress Notes 12/30/2016 21:09:00 Imaging and laboratory workup provided from outside hospital seen in the Saint Rose. CT head without contrast: Shows subdural hemorrhage along the interhemispheric fissure and the tentorium common with maximal thickness measuring 7 millimeters, no midline shift or mass effect. CT C-spine shows no acute fracture or subluxation Identified, no acute fracture. X-ray L-spine 2 to 3 view shows no acute fracture subluxation, no acute fracture. X-ray T-spine three view shows possible subtle fracture along the posterior 8th right rib, no other acute fracture or subluxation seen in the thoracic spine. Chest x-ray shows no acute cardiopulmonary process. X-ray of pelvis shows no acute fracture dislocation hip identified, hip joints well preserved. No acute fracture Laboratory workup: CBC is unremarkable, hemoglobin 15.3 white count 10.5, platelets 296, PT INR within normal limits and CMP shows mild hyperglycemia 109, ALT and AST elevation 57 and 39, otherwise unremarkable within normal limits. Urinalysis also within normal limits. (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:09:00)

RN Continuation Notes 12/30/2016 21:09:00 Per ems pt was working on roof when he was pushed off. Pt fell approximately 12 feet hitting his head. Pt transferred from St. Rose Sienna. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 21:09:00) Bed Assignment: 12/30/2016 21:00:59 Assigned to bed TRM11 (ALEXIS MARTINEZ UNIT CLERK 12/30/2016 21:00:59)

# Triage and Nursing History

Acuity: 12/30/2016 20:54:00 Activation Level - ED. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) 12/30/2016 20:54:00 3 - Urgent (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00)

Language: 12/30/2016 20:54:00 No language or communication barrier. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00)

RN History: 12/30/2016 20:54:00 Mentation - Patient has periodic confusion. Score = 1 (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) 12/30/2016 20:54:00 Mobility - Patient is able to ambulate or transfer with assistive device or assistance. Score = 1 (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) 12/30/2016 20:54:00 Elimination - Patient is independent with frequency or diarrhea. Score = 1 (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) 12/30/2016 20:54:00 Prior Fall history at home or previous Inpatient care. Score = 1. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) 12/30/2016 20:54:00 Patient is at risk for falls and precautions have been instituted. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) 12/30/2016 20:54:00 Patient has no thoughts of suicide. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) 12/30/2016 20:54:00 Patient has no thoughts of harming self or others. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) 12/30/2016 20:54:00 Onset of symptoms was about 4 hrs ago. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) 12/30/2016 20:54:00 History comes from patient. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) 12/30/2016 20:54:00 History is supplied by the patient's ambulance record. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00)

Mental: 12/30/2016 20:54:00 Domestic violence survey shows NEGATIVE risk for this patient. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00)

# **Disposition**

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 17 of 19

This is a case of traumatic fall in a 30-year-old male with no reported past medical history who presented to the emergency department as a transfer from Saint Rose, after being pushed by a co-worker off of a roof assisting a 20 foot fall and loss of consciousness, being found on the ground for an unknown amount of time. Differential diagnosis included but was not limited to intracranial of/closed head injury, C-spine T-spine L-spine injury, chest wall injury, intra-abdominal, musculoskeletal injury. Initial vital signs within normal limits, hemodynamically appropriate and stable, physical exam showed tenderness posterior scalp as well as C-spine and T-spine, and left anterior chest wall tenderness to anterior compression, laboratory workup was unremarkable from outside hospital, Imaging and diagnostic testing showed interhemispheric subdural measuring 7 millimeters with no midline shift common otherwise no acute injuries were noted from outside hospital imaging. At this time the patient is in stable condition, and is being consult to Trauma surgery for final disposition management. Clinical impression 1. Traumatic fall 2. Closed head injury 3. Subdural hematoma 4. Possible right 8th rib fracture (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:09:00) Disposition decision is admit. (MITZI A DILLON MD 12/30/2016 21:12:00) Admit to Intensive Care unit. (MITZI A DILLON MD 12/30/2016 21:12:00) Condition at discharge - stable. (MITZI A DILLON MD 12/30/2016 21:12:00) History, physical findings, and management plan discussed with ED attending physician. (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 22:50:06) Attending physically available and saw patient. (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 22:50:06) Electronically signed by RANDALL BESS MD. (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 22:50:06) The designated co-signing physician is MITZI DILLON MD. (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 22:50:06) I have reviewed the chart of MARTIN PEREZ and as the supervising staff physician concur on the final disposition - ELECTRONICALLY CO-SIGNED BY MITZI A DILLON MD. (MITZI A DILLON MD 01/01/2017 00:10:45)

A discharge pain score was documented: Pain 0/10 (no pain) at 00:07. (ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN 12/31/2016 00:07:00) IV capped and flushed. (ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN 12/31/2016 00:07:00) pt is admitted to room 810, report given to RN in TICU, pt was transported by trauma RN, vitals stable, no complaints at this time, pt is A+OX4, (ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN 12/31/2016 00:07:00) Disposition status is Admit. (ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN 12/31/2016 00:08:00) Admitted to Intensive Care Unit. (ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN 12/31/2016 00:08:00) RN accompanied patient. (ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN 12/31/2016 00:08:00) Monitor used during transport. (ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN 12/31/2016 00:08:00) Valuables inventoried and collected by UMC Public Safety. (ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN 12/31/2016 00:08:00) Patient physically left department and was removed from Tracking Board by ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN. (ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN 12/31/2016 00:08:00) Electronically signed by ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN. (ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN 12/31/2016 00:08:33)

# Pre-Hospital Information

Mode of arrival: (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) AMR (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00)

Reports Printed/Faxed ROBERT WILSON RN printed Orders Report to Trauma RN 1 at 21:51 (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 21:51:15) ALEXIS MARTINEZ UNIT CLERK printed UMC-EDView to Trauma RN 1 at 23:29 (ALEXIS MARTINEZ UNIT CLERK 12/30/2016 23:29:47) MITZI A DILLON MD printed Emergency Department Chart to Archive to One Content at 00:10 (MITZI A DILLON MD 01/01/2017 00:10:48) MITZI A DILLON MD printed UMC-EDView to Archive to One Content at 00:10 (MITZI A DILLON MD 01/01/2017 00:10:48)

Discharge Summary Chief Complaint: NO DATA AVAILABLE.. Primary Diagnosis: Traumatic subdural hematoma; Free text DX: Possible right 8th rib fracture; Musculoskeletal chest pain; Free text DX: Traumatic fall... Disposition Notes: This is a case of traumatic fall in a 30-year-old male with no reported past medical history who presented to the emergency department as a transfer from Saint Rose, after being pushed by a co-worker off of a roof assisting a 20 foot fall and loss of consciousness, being

01/01/2017 00:17

Confidential Medical Record

Page 18 of 19

Patient: PEREZ, MARTIN MRN: 0030138209 Page 18 of 19

## OneContent: Generated By UMC\boepaglina Generated On: 01/13/2017 08:30

PEREZ, MARTIN; MR#: 0030138209; Acci#: 9931347349; Arrival Dt.: 12/30/2016 20:54; Chart Status: Final

found on the ground for an unknown amount of time. Differential diagnosis included but was not limited to intracranial of/closed head injury, C-spine T-spine L-spine injury, chest wall injury, intra-abdominal, musculoskeletal injury. Initial vital signs within normal limits, hemodynamically appropriate and stable, physical exam showed tenderness posterior scalp as well as C-spine and T-spine, and left anterior chest wall tenderness to anterior compression, laboratory workup was unremarkable from outside hospital, imaging and diagnostic testing showed interhemispheric subdural measuring 7 millimeters with no midline shift common otherwise no acute injuries were noted from outside hospital imaging. At this time the patient is in stable condition, and is being consult to Trauma surgery for final disposition management. Clinical impression 1. Traumatic fall 2. Closed head injury 3. Subdural hematoma 4. Possible right 8th rib fracture; Disposition decision is admit; Condition at discharge - stable; Admit to Intensive Care unit; Electronically signed by RANDALL BESS MD; The designated co-signing physician is MITZI DILLON MD; History, physical findings, and management plan discussed with ED attending physician; Attending physically available and saw patient; I have reviewed the chart of MARTIN PEREZ and as the supervising staff physician concur on the final disposition - ELECTRONICALLY CO-SIGNED BY MITZI A DILLON MD.. Discharge Prescriptions: NO DATA AVAILABLE.

## Staff Legend

RW4 - ROBERT WILSON RN AM33 - ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN MK23 - MARTIN KOVACIK RN SL8 - SUSAN LALUMIA RN RB40 - RANDALL BESS MD - External Data SB61 - SAMUEL BERGIN MD CJ22 - CATHERINE JURGENS RN NJ7 - NATHANIEL JIMENEZ MD AM80 -ALEXIS MARTINEZ UNIT CLERK MAD1 - MITZI A DILLON MD AA3 - APRIL ALLEN-CARTER RT

01/01/2017 00:17

Confidential Medical Record

Page 19 of 19

Final

**Emergency Department Chart** 

Patient Name: PEREZ MARTIN Medical Rec. Number: 0030138209 Arrival Date: 12/30/2016 20:54 Visit Date: 12/30/2016 21:02

Account Number: 9931347349 Birthdate: 01/08/1978 Gender: M

Primary MD:

Attending MD:MITZI DILLON MD

## Vital Signs/Data

e Pulse	Respiration	Blood Pressure	Pulsa Ovimetry	Pain
			- COO CANODY	0/10
94 /min	13 /min	132/91 mm Ha	1009/ on Poom ein	
99 /min				
	1.07.1.1.1	141/88 mm Hg.	96% on Hoom air	<b></b>
		94 /min 13 /min 99 /min 18 /min	94 /min 13 /min 132/91 mm Hg. 99 /min 18 /min 135/81 mm Hg. 94 /min 18 /min	94 /min 13 /min 132/91 mm Hg. 98% on Room air 99 /min 18 /min 135/81 mm Hg. 99% on 2 liter/min 94 /min 18 /min 98% on Room air

## **Allergies**

## NO KNOWN ALLERGIES [Confirmed by ROBERT WILSON RN on 12/30/2016 21:09:00.] (RW4 12/30/2016 21:09)

## **Pre-Hospital Treatment**

Mode of arrival: AMR (RW4) 12/30/2016 20:54

## **Triage**

Activation Level - ED. (RW4 12/30/2016 20:54)

3 - Urgent (RW4 20:54)

No language or communication barrier. (RW4 20:54)
Domestic violence survey shows NEGATIVE risk for this patient. (RW4 20:54)

Mentation - Patient has periodic confusion, Score = 1 (RW4 20:54)

Mobility - Patient is able to ambulate or transfer with assistive device or assistance. Score = 1 (RW4 20:54)

Elimination - Patient is independent with frequency or diarrhea. Score = 1 (RW4 20:54)
Prior Fall history at home or previous Inpatient care. Score = 1. (RW4 20:54)
Patient is at risk for falls and precautions have been instituted. (RW4 20:54)

Patient has no thoughts of suicide. (RW4 20:54)

Patient has no thoughts of harming self or others. (RW4 20:54)

Onset of symptoms was about 4 hrs ago. (RW4 20:54) History comes from patient. (RW4 20:54)

History is supplied by the patient's ambulance record. (RW4 20:54)

#### **Height/Weight**

Hgt: 162 cm at 20:54 (RW4 12/30/2016 20:54)

Wgt: 91 kg at 20:54 (RW4 20:54) BMI: 34.7 (RW4 20:54) BSA: 2.02 sq. m (RW4 20:54)

## **Current Medications**

1) 12/30/2016 21:08 RW4 Patient reports, "No Current Meds" [Confirmed by ROBERT WILSON RN on 12/30/2016 21:08:47.]

## Nursing Continuation Notes - Refer to Orders section for all orders

Per ems pt was working on roof when he was pushed off. Pt fell approximately 12 feet hitting his head. Pt transferred from St. Rose Sienna. (RW4 12/30/2016 21:09)

Print Date: 01/01/2017 00:17

Confidential Medical Record

Page 1 of 9

Final

Emergency Department Chart

Patient Name: PEREZ, MARTIN Account Number: 9931347349 Medical Rec. Number: 0030138209 Birthdate: 01/08/1978 Gender: M

Arrival Date: 12/30/2016 20:54 Primary MD:

Visit Date: 12/30/2016 21:02 Attending MD:MITZI DILLON MD

#### **Clinician History of Present Illness**

Exam started at 21:03 (MAD1) 12/30/2016 21:03 38-year-old Hispanic speaking male who presents from Saint Rose Sienna after sustaining a 20 foot fall after being pushed off of a roof top by a co-worker and sustaining a loss of consciousness for unknown amount of time. Patient was found unconscious on the ground. Patient presents from outside hospital with outside labs and imaging and is transferred for a subdural bleed measuring 7 millimeters, otherwise injuries noted were possible right 8th rib fracture, no other Injuries were reported on transport. Patient denies any past medical history, denies any smoking alcohol or drug use, denies any allergies to any medications. Patient denies any loss of sensation, nausea or vomiting, headache, numbness weakness or tingling, patient does complain of posterior head pain, neck pain and upper back pain, as well as left chest wall pain. Denies any abdominal pain.REVIEW OF SYSTEMS - 10 systems were independently reviewed and are otherwise negative with the exception of those items previously documented in the HPI and nursing notes. (RB40) 12/30/2016

#### **Patient Problems**

Patient reports, "No Known Problems" [Confirmed by ROBERT WILSON RN on 12/30/2016 21:08:39.] (RW4 12/30/2016 21:08)
Traumatic fall [Confirmed by RANDALL BESS MD on 12/30/2016 21:22:29.] (RB40 21:22)
Musculoskeletal chest pain [Confirmed by RANDALL BESS MD on 12/30/2016 21:22:29.] (RB40 21:22) Traumatic subdural hematoma [Confirmed by RANDALL BESS MD on 12/30/2016 21:22:29.] (RB40 21:22)

## Past Surgical History Major Procedures

PMHx: [as mentioned in HPI]

SHx: [none]

Social: [negative x3]

FHx: [non contributory] PMHx: [as mentioned in HPI]

SHx: [none]

Social: [negative x3]

FHx: [non contributory] (RB40) 12/30/2016 21:09

#### Physical Exam

#### **GENERAL:**

VITALS: Julial signs documented prior to this note have been reviewed and noted, see HER]

AIRWAY: [patient, patient phonating well]
BREATHING: [no respiratory distress, breath sounds present bilaterally]
CIRCULATION: [heart sounds present, blood pressure adequate, skin well-perfused]
DISABILITY: [Move all four extremities, GCS 15]

GENERAL: [alert, awake, oriented x 3, mild distress, non-toxic]

HEENT: [normocephalic, atraumatic, no bruising around eyes or ears, PERRL, EOMI, nares clear, no fluid from ears or nose,

propharynx clear, no dental malocclusion, no mandible tenderness]

NECK: [arrived in c-collar, midline C-spine tendemess to palpation in the middle of the night, no step-offs, trachea midline, no

bruising or swelling, no subcutaneous emphysema]

CARDIOVASCULAR: [regular rate/thythm, no murmurs,]

Pulmonary/ Chest: [Non-labored. No obvious trauma. Patient does complain of left anterior chest wall pain chief to AP compression. No crepitation of fall segments. Lungs clear to auscultation. No crackles, wheezes or rubs, no respiratory distress, no ecchymoses, no deformity, no subcutaneous emphysema)

ABDOMEN: [Atraumatic in appearance, soft, non-tender, non-distended, normoactive bowel sounds, no ecchymoses, benign

PELVIS: [stable to anterior-posterior and lateral compression]

BACK: (normal appearance, atraumatic, patient did report upper T-spine tenderness to palpation, denied any lumbar tendemess, no step-offs, no crepitance, no CVA tendemess]

EXTREMITIES: [warm, well-perfused, no gross deformities, 2+ pulses in all 4 extremities, full passive range-of-motion at bilateral shoulders/elbows/wrists/hips/knees/ankles without significant pain]

SKIN: [warm, dry, no rashes or lesions]
NEUROLOGIC: [GCS 15 (E4/V5/M6), cranial nerves III-XII intact, strength 5/5 in all 4 extremities, sensation to light-touch intact in all 4 extremities, deep tendon reflexes 2+ in all 4 extremities, no ataxia identified, perineal sensation intact) PSYCHIATRIC: [normal affect/insight/concentration]

(RB40) 12/30/2016 21:17

Print Date: 01/01/2017 00:17

Confidential Medical Record

Page 2 of 9

Final

**Emergency Department Chart** 

Patient Name: PEREZ, MARTIN Medical Rec. Number: 0030138209 Arrival Date: 12/30/2016 20:54 Visit Date: 12/30/2016 21:02

Account Number: 9931347349 Birthdate: 01/08/1978 Gender: M

Primary MD:

Attending MD:MITZI DILLON MD

## Progress Notes

Imaging and laboratory workup provided from outside hospital seen in the Saint Rose.

CT head without contrast: Shows subdural hemorrhage along the interhemispheric fissure and the tentorium common with maximal thickness measuring 7 millimeters, no midline shift or mass effect.

CT C-spine shows no acute fracture or subluxation identified, no acute fracture.

X-ray L-spine 2 to 3 view shows no acute fracture subluxation, no acute fracture. X-ray T-spine three view shows possible subtle fracture along the posterior 8th right rib, no other acute fracture or subluxation seen in the thoracic spine. Chest x-ray shows no

X-ray of pelvis shows no acute fracture dislocation hip identified, hip joints well preserved. No acute fracture
Laboratory workup: CBC is unremarkable, hemoglobin 15.3 white count 10.5, platelets 296, PT INR within normal limits and CMP shows mild hyperglycemia 109, ALT and AST elevation 57 and 39, otherwise unremarkable within normal limits. Urinalysis also within normal limits. (RB40) 12/30/2016 21:09

## **Primary Diagnosis**

Free text DX: Traumatic fall (RB40 12/30/2016 21:22) Traumatic subdural hematoma (RB40 21:22) Free text DX: Possible right 8th rib tracture (RB40 21:22) Musculoskeletal chest pain (R840 21:22)

Print Date: 01/01/2017 00:17

Confidential Medical Record

Page 3 of 9

**Emergency Department Chart** 

Patient Name: PEREZ, MARTIN Account Number: 9931347349 Medical Rec. Number: 0030138209 Birthdate: 01/08/1978 Gender: M Arrival Date: 12/30/2016 20:54 Primary MD: Visit Date: 12/30/2016 21:02 Attending MD:MITZI DILLON MD

#### Med Orders

ED: morphine inj 4 MG IV ONCE NOW

Entered By (SL8 RN 12302016 21:11) Ordered By (RB40 MD 21:11) Completed By (SL8 RN 21:10) Notes: Dose given Emered By (SLB HN 12/30/2016 21:11) Ordered By (RB40 MD 21:11) Completed By (SLB RI IV push. No complications. Just given ED: morphine inj. Awake and alert. (SLB 21:10)

levETIRAcetam inj [KEPPRA] 1000 MG IVPB 15 MIN NOW ROUTINE

Entered By (RW4 RN 12/30/2016 21:49) Completed By (RW4 RN 21:49)

lactated ringers 1000 ML IV 100 MLHR CONTINUOUS ROUTINE Maintenance

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Completed By (23:49) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57)

acetaminophen [TYLENOL] 1000 MG ORAL QBH PRN ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Completed By (20:40 December 10) Albert 10:40 December 10.

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Completed By ( 12/31/2016 09:40) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Indications: moderate pain

fentaNYL in] [SUBLIMAZE] 50 MCG IV Q2H PRN ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12302016 22:57) Completed By ( 23:59) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57) Indications: severe pain ondansetron [ZOFRAN] 4 MG ORAL O6H PRN ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 1230/2016 22:57) Completed By ( 12/31/2016 05:18) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Indications: nausea

docusate sodium [ COLACE ] 100 MG ORAL BID ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12302016 22:57) Completed By ( 23:59) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57) ED: fentaNYL inj [ SUBLIMAZE ] 50 MCG IV ONCE NOW

Entered By (CJ22 RN 12802016 22:59) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:59) Completed By (CJ22 RN 22:56) Notes: Just given ED: fentaNYL inj [ SUBLIMAZE ]. (CJ22 22:56) ievETIRAcetam [ KEPPRA ] 500 MG ORAL BID ROUTINE
Entered By (SB61 MD 12802016 23:06) Ordered By (SB61 MD 23:06) Completed By (SB61 MD 12812016 08:34) MD

Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 23:06)

potassium chloride [ KLOR- CON ] 40 MEQ ORAL PRN ROUTINE if serum creatinine is > ≥ 1.4 or UO < 0.5 mikg/hr x 3

potassium chloride [ KLOR- CON ] 40 MEQ ORAL PRIN NOUTINE II serum creatinine is >= 1.4 or UO < 0.5 mlkg/hr x 3 hrs, DO NOT USE PROTOCOL; contact provider for new orders Indications: per Electrolyte Protocol (PROT #383)

KCI 40 mEq rider 40 MEQ NYPB 10 MEQ:HR PRN ROUTINE if serum creatinine is >= 1.4 or UO < 0.5 mlkg/hr x 3 hrs, DO NOT USE PROTOCOL; contact provider for new orders Peripheral Line

KPhos-NaPhos powder packet (8 mmol phos) [ PHOS-NAK ] 2 PACKET ORAL PRN ROUTINE if serum creatinine is >/= 1.4 or UO < 0.5 mlkg/hr x 3 hrs, DO NOT USE PROTOCOL; contact provider for new orders

Indications: per Electrolyte Protocol (PROT #383)

KPhos inj 40 MMOL IVPB 7 MMOLHR PRN ROUTINE Peripheral Line If serum creatinine is >/= 1.4 or UO < 0.5 mlkg/hr x 3

KPhos inj 40 MMOL IVPB 7 MMOLHR PRN ROUTINE Peripheral Line If serum creatinine is >= 1.4 or UO < 0.5 mlkg/hr x 3 hrs, DO NOT USE PROTOCOL; contact provider for new orders Indications: per Electrolyte Protocol (PROT #383)
magnesium sulfate 2 gm rider 2 gM IVPB 30 Min PRN ROUTINE If serum creatinine is >= 1.4 or UO < 0.5 mlkg/hr x 3 hrs, DO NOT USE PROTOCOL; contact provider for new orders Indications: per Electrolyte Protocol (PROT #383)
NaPhos inj 20 MMOL IVPB 7 MMOLMR PRN ROUTINE If serum creatinine is >= 1.4 or UO < 0.5 mlkg/hr x 3 hrs, DO NOT USE PROTOCOL; contact provider for new orders Indications: per Electrolyte Protocol (PROT #383)

Indications: per Electrolyte Protocol (PROT #383)
NaPhos inj 40 MMOL IVPB 7 MMOLHR PRN ROUTINE if serum creatinine is >= 1.4 or UO < 0.5 mikg/hr x 3 hrs, DO NOT USE PROTOCOL; contact provider for new orders

Indications: per Electrolyte Protocol (PROT #383)
sodium chloride 0.9% 1000 ML IV 100 MLAIR CONTINUOUS ROUTINE
Ordered By (NJ7 MD 1230/2016 23:49) Completed By (NJ7 MD 1231/2016 11:18)

sodium chloride 0.9% 500 ML IVPB PRN ROUTINE

sodium chloride 0.9% 250 ML IVPB PRN ROUTINE

HYDROmorphone inj [ DiLAUDID ] 1 MG IV Q4H PRN ROUTINE

Completed By ( 12312016 03:47) Indications: severe pain famotidine inj [ PEPCID ] 20 MG IV Q12H ROUTINE

Completed By ( 1231/2016 09:40)

Insulin lispro inj [HumaLOG] 3-15 UNIT SUBCUT PRN ROUTINE 70-130=0 units; 131-150=3 units; 151-170=5 units; 171- 190= 7 units; 191- 210= 9 units; 211- 230= 11 units; 231- 250= 13 units; >250= 15 units and call House Officer to

Print Date: 01/01/2017 00:17

Confidential Medical Record

Page 4 of 9

## OneContent: Generated By UMC\boepaglina Generated On: 01/13/2017 08:30

University Medical Center 1800 W. Charleston Blvd. Las Vegas, NV 89102 702-383-2000

4

Final

**Emergency Department Chart** 

Patient Name: PEREZ, MARTIN

Medical Rec. Number: 0030138209

Arrival Date: 12/30/2016 20:54

Arrival Primary MD:

Account Number: 9931347349

Birthdate: 01/08/1978 Gender: M

Primary MD:

Visit Date: 12/30/2016 21:02 Attending MD:MITZI DILLON MD

## **Med Orders**

consider scale B (if ICU pt) or scale D (if non-ICU pt)
Indications: PROT- 14 sliding scale (A):
dextrose 50% inj 25 GM IV PRN ROUTINE
Indications: Blood Glucose <35; recheck BGM in 30min
dextrose 50% inj 12.5 GM IV PRN ROUTINE

Indications: Blood Glucose 35-69; recheck BGM in 30min
PHARMACY COMMUNICATION 1 EA MISC PRN ROUTINE Rx to D/C ALL previously ordered anti-diabetic medications

Print Date: 01/01/2017 00:17

Confidential Medical Record

Page 5 of 9

Patient: PEREZ, MARTIN MRN: 0030138209 Page 5 of 9

Final

**Emergency Department Chart** 

Patient Name: PEREZ, MARTIN Account Number: 9931347349 Medical Rec. Number: 0030138209 Birthdate: 01/08/1978 Gender: M Arrival Date: 12/30/2016 20:54 Primary MD:

Visit Date: 12/30/2016 21:02 Attending MD:MITZI DILLON MD

#### Non-Med Orders

TR CT CHEST WITH CONTRAST ONCE STAT Pain - Trauma Related

Entered By (AM80 UNIT CLERK 1280/2016 21:16) Ordered By (MAD1 MD 21:16) Results Back (21:32) MD Sign (MAD1 MD 21:16) Notes: Taken to CT. (CJ22 21:18)
TR CT ABDOMEN AND PELVIS IV ONLY ONCE STAT Pain - Trauma Related

Entered By (AM80 UNIT CLERK 1280/2016 21:16) Ordered By (MAD1 MD 21:16) Results Back (21:32) MD Sign (MAD1 MD 21:16) Notes: Taken CT (CJ22 21:18)

MD 21:16) Notes: Taken to CT. (CJ22 21:18)

TR CT LUMBAR SPINE RECONSTRUCT ONCE STAT Pain - Trauma Related

Entered By (AM80 UNIT CLERK 1230/2016 21:16) Ordered By (MAD1 MD 21:16) Results Back (21:32) MD Sign (MAD1 MD 21:16) Notes: Taken to CT. (CJ22 21:18)

TR CT THORACIC SPINE RECONSTRUCT ONCE STAT Pain - Trauma Related

Entered By (AM80 UNIT CLERK 12302016 21:16) Ordered By (MAD1 MD 21:16) Results Back (21:32) MD Sign (MAD1 MD 21:16) Notes: Taken to CT. (CJ22 21:18)

CBC NO DIFFERENTIAL ONCE STAT

Entered By (RW4 RN 12302016 21:50) Ordered By (MAD1 MD 21:50) Results Back (22:08) Notes: Blood Drawn - RN.

Blood obtained from the right antecubital fossa. (RW4 21:50)

BASIC METABOLIC PANEL ONCE STAT

Entered By (RW4 RN 1230/2016 21:50) Ordered By (MAD1 MD 21:50) Results Back (22:28) Notes: Blood Drawn - RN. Blood obtained from the right antecubital fossa. (RW4 21:50) PT + APTT ONCE STAT

Entered By (RW4 RN 12/30/2016 21:50) Ordered By (MAD1 MD 21:50) Results Back (22:29) Notes: Blood Drawn - RN. Blood obtained from the right antecubital fossa. (RW4 21:50)

TYPE AND SCREEN ONCE STAT

Entered By (RW4 RN 1230/2016 21:50) Ordered By (MAD1 MD 21:50) Notes: Blood Drawn - RN. Blood obtained from

the right antecubital force. (RW4 21:50)

ABORH TYPE ONCE STAT

Entered By (12/30/2016 22:06) Ordered By (MAD1 MD 22:06) Results Back (23:10) MD Sign (MAD1 MD 22:06) Notes: Blood Drawn - RN. Blood obtained from the right antecubital fossa. (RW4 22:09) Blood Drawn - RN. (KR25/22:09) ANTIBODY SCREEN - GEL TECHNIQUE ONCE STAT

Entered By (12802016 22:06) Ordered By (MAD1 MD 22:06) Results Back (23:10) MD Sign (MAD1 MD 22:06) Notes:
Blood Drawn - RN. Blood obtained from the right antecubital fossa. (RW4 22:09) Blood Drawn - RN. (KR25 22:09)
Surgery Admit Order (basic requirements) ONCE ROUTINE Inpatient TICU Standard No 7.3mm Subdural hematoma, 8th right rib fracture, Fall off second story roof CHESTOVICH, PAUL J [GENERAL SURGERY] (22:358)

Entered By (SB61 MD 12802016 22:56) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:56) Completed By (23:37) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:56) Vital Signs Q2H ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 1230/2016 22:57) Ordered By (22:57) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57) Order Cancelled (23:47) Intake & Output Q2H ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12802016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (12812016 20:17) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12802016 22:57)

Measure Weight EVERY DAY ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12302016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (12012016 22:53) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12302016 22:57

INSERT: Saline Lock CONTIN ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12302016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (12312016 20:17) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12302016 22:57) Comments: (Peripheral IV #1)
INSERT: Saline Lock CONTIN ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12302016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (12312016 20:17) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12302016 22:57) Comments: Peripheral IV #2

Call MD: CONTIN ROUTINE HR < 50 HR > 130 R < 8 R > 30 SBP < 90 SBP > 180 Temp > 38.5 degree C UOP < 0.5 milkg/hr Entered By (SB61 MD 1230/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57)

Notify: CONTIN ROUTINE SaO2 < 88%

Entered By (SB61 MD 12302016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (12312016 20:17) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12802016 22:57

INCENTIVE SPIROMETER- RT to instruct ONCE ROUTINE
Entered By (SB61 MD 12802016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (AA3 RT 12812016 07:46) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12802016 22:57)

Print Date: 01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 5 of 9

Final

**Emergency Department Chart** 

Patient Name: PEREZ, MARTIN Medical Rec. Number: 0030138209 Arrival Date: 12/30/2016 20:54

Visit Date: 12/30/2016 21:02

Account Number: 9931347349 Birthdate: 01/08/1978 Gender: M

Primary MD:

Attending MD:MITZI DILLON MD

## Non-Med Orders

Incentive Spirometry - NSG Q1H ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 1230/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (12/31/2016 19:28) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12302016 22:57) Comments: X 10 Breaths

Admission Nasal MRSA Colonization Screen- ONCE ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12302016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57)
Initiate Influenza Vaccine Assessment- CONTIN ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12302016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57) Comments: - Switch to Influenza vaccine order if indicated

Initiate Pneumococcal Vaccine Assessment- CONTIN ROUTINE
Entered By (SB61 MD 12302016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57) Comments: - Switch to neumococcal vaccine order if indicated

pneumococcal vaccine order if indicated

RD May Modity / Clarity Diet Orders CONTIN ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 1230/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57)

CASE MANAGEMENT CONSULT ONCE ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 1230/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57)

SOCIAL SERVICES CONSULT ONCE ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 1230/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57)

Activity CONTIN ROUTINE (with nursing assistance)

Filtered By (SB61 MD 1230/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Corpolated By (1281/2016 22:57)

Entered By (SB61 MD 12802016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (12312016 20:17) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12802016 22:57,

Notify: CONTIN ROUTINE DBP < 60 or > 110

Entered By (SB61 MD 12302016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (1231/2016 20:17) MD Sign (SB61 MD 1230/2016 22:57)

NPO MEALS

Entered By (SB61 MD 12302016 22:57) Ordered By (22:57) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57) Order Cancelled (12312016 09:38)

Sequential Compression Device CONTIN ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 1230/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12302016 22:57

No Parenteral VTE Therapy CQM 2014 ONCE ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12302016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57) Comments: brain bleed CBC/AUTOMATED IN AM

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Results Back (12/31/2016 01:29) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) RENAL PANEL IN AM

Entered By (SB61 MD 1280/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Results Back (12/31/2016 01:53) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12802016 22:57

Neuro Checks Q1H ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 1230/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (1231/2016 23:00) MD Sign (SB61 MD 1280/2016 22:57)

**EKG 12 LEAD ONCE STAT** 

Entered By (AM80 UNIT CLERK 1280/2016 22:55) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:55) Results Back (12/31/2016 12:52) MD Sign (SB61 MD 1230/2016 22:55) Notes: First EKG in department obtained. (CJ22 23:11) CT BRAIN WO CONTRAST ONCE TIMED subdural hematoma

Entered By (SB61 MD 1230/2016 23:06) Ordered By (SB61 MD 23:06) MD Sign (SB61 MD 23:06) Order Cancelled /12/31/2016 00:28)

Elevate Head of Bed CONTIN ROUTINE

Entered By (1230/2016 23:49) Ordered By (23:49) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (12/30/2016 23:49) Comments: Reverse trandelenberg at 30 degress if thoracic and lumbar spine are not cleared Vital Signs Q1H ROUTINE

Entered By (1280/2016 23:49) Ordered By (23:49) Completed By (01/01/2017 00:00) MD Sign (1280/2016 23:49) MAGNESIUM LEVEL QDAY IN AM

Entered By (12/30/2016 23:49) Ordered By (23:49) MD Sign (23:49)

Nurse to Follow Protocol: CONTIN ROUTINE Print and follow PROT #383 (Electrolyte Protocol), place in chart Entered By (12/30/2016 23:49) Ordered By (23:49) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (12/30/2016 23:49) RN to Order: CONTIN ROUTINE Repeat K level 2 hr after KCl, Phosphorous level 2 hr after KPhos/NaPhos/PhosNaK,

Print Date: 01/01/2017 00:17

Confidential Medical Record

Page 7 of 9

Final

**Emergency Department Chart** 

Patient Name: PEREZ, MARTIN Account Number: 9931347349 Medical Rec. Number: 0030138209 Birthdate: 01/08/1978 Gender: M Arrival Date: 12/30/2016 20:54 Primary MD:

Visit Date: 12/30/2016 21:02 Attending MD:MITZI DILLON MD

#### Non-Med Orders

Magnesium 2 hr after magnesium

Entered By (12/30/2016 23:49) Ordered By (23:49) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (12/30/2016 23:49)

Entered by (1280/2016 23:49) Ordered By (23:49) Completed By (1281/2016 20:17) MD Sign (1280/2016 23:49)

Comments: per Electrolyte Protocol (PROT #383)

Blood Glucose Testing - Bedside [ ACCU-CHECK ] Q4H ROUTINE If BGM
 35, repeat and send serum glucose level.

Then give 25gm D50W IVP and recheck BGM in 30min. If BGM 35-69, give 12.5gm D50W IVP and recheck BGM in 30min.

Entered By (1280/2016 23:59) Ordered By (23:59) Completed By (1281/2016 20:17) MD Sign (1280/2016 23:59)

Notlity: CONTIN ROUTINE If BGM >250 and consider scale B (ICU pt) or scale D (non-ICU pt) House Officer

Entered By (1280/2016 23:59) Ordered By (23:59) Completed By (1281/2016 20:17) MD Sign (1280/2016 23:59)

Switch to GLYCOSYLATED HGB (HGA1C) CONTIN ROUTINE

Fintered By (1280/2016 23:59) Ordered By (23:59) MD Sign (23:59) Comments if BGM not interest scale after 10 to 10

Entered By (12/30/2016 23:59) Ordered By (23:59) MD Sign (23:59) Comments: if BGM not in target range after 12hrs on Sliding Scale Protocol and call physician

RN to Order: CONTIN ROUTINE serum glucose level H BGMc 35 mg/dL

Entered By (12802016 23:59) Ordered By (23:59) Completed By (12812016 20:17) MD Sign (12802016 23:59)

Nurse to Follow Protocol: CONTIN ROUTINE Print and follow PROT #14- A (TICUSICU Insulin Stiding Scale), place in chart

Entered By (12802016 23:59) Ordered By (23:59) Completed By (1281/2016 20:17) MD Sign (1280/2016 23:59)
Call MD: CONTIN ROUTINE (ICU Pt) H BG not in range after 12h on sliding scale to consider NEXT Scale OR Insulin Infusion (PROT #15)

Entered By (12802016 23:59) Ordered By (23:59) Completed By (1281/2016 20:17) MD Sign (1280/2016 23:59)
Call MD: CONTIN ROUTINE (Non-ICU Pt) H BG not in range after 12h on sliding scale to consider NEXT Scale OR ICU transfer & Insulin Infusion (PROT #15)

Entered By (12/30/2016 23:59) Ordered By (23:59) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (12/30/2016 23:59)

#### Disposition

This is a case of traumatic fall in a 30-year-old male with no reported past medical history who presented to the emergency department as a transfer from Saint Rose, after being pushed by a co-worker off of a roof assisting a 20 foot fall and loss of consciousness, being found on the ground for an unknown amount of time. Differential diagnosis included but was not limited to intracranial of/closed head injury, C-spine T-spine L-spine injury, chest wall injury, intra-abdominal, musculoskeletal injury. Initial vital signs within normal limits, hemodynamically appropriate and stable, physical exam showed tendemess posterior scalp as well as C-spine and T-spine, and left anterior chest wall tendemess to anterior compression, laboratory workup was unremarkable from outside hospital, imaging and diagnostic testing showed interhemispheric subdural measuring 7 millimeters with no midline shift common otherwise no acute injuries were noted from outside hospital imaging the period to be patient by the period to account the period to the period to account the period to the period to account the period to the period to account the period to the period to account the pe shift common otherwise no acute injuries were noted from outside hospital imaging. At this time the patient is in stable condition, and is being consult to Trauma surgery for final disposition management. Clinical impression 1, Traumatic fall 2, Closed head injury 3, Subdural hematoma 4, Possible right 8th rib fracture (RB40) 12/30/2016 21:09 Disposition decision is admit. Admit to Intensive Care unit. Condition at discharge - stable. (MAD1) 12/30/2016 21:12 History, physical findings, and management plan discussed with ED attending physician. Attending physically available and saw patient. Electronically signed by RANDALL BESS

MD.

The designated co-signing physician is MITZI DILLON MD. (RB40) 12/30/2016 22:50 I have reviewed the chart of MARTIN PEREZ and as the supervising staff physician concur on the final disposition - ELECTRONICALLY CO-SIGNED BY MITZI A DILLON MD. (MAD1) 01/01/2017 00:10 A discharge pain score was documented: Pain 0/10 (no pain) at 00:07. IV capped and flushed. pt is admitted to room 810, report given to RN in TiCU, pt was transported by trauma RN, vitals stable, no complaints at this time, pt is A+OX4, (AM33) 12/31/2016 00:07 Disposition status is Admit. Admitted to Intensive Care Unit. RN accompanied patient. Monitor used during transport. Valuables inventoried and collected by UMC Public Safety. Patient physically left department and was removed from Tracking Board by ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN. (AM33) 12/31/2016 00:08 Electronically signed by ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN. (AM33) 12/31/2016 00:08 signed by ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN. (AM33) 12/31/2016 00:08

Print Date: 01/01/2017 00:17

Confidential Medical Record

Page 8 of 9

Final

**Emergency Department Chart** 

Patient Name: PEREZ, MARTIN Medical Rec. Number: 0030138209 Arrival Date: 12/30/2016 20:54

12/30/2016 21:02

Account Number: 9931347349
Birthdate: 01/08/1978 Gender: M

Primary MD:

Attending MD:MITZI DILLON MD

## **Discharge Summary**

Visit Date:

Chief Complaint: NO DATA AVAILABLE.. Primary Diagnosis: Traumatic subdural hematoma; Free text DX: Possible right 8th rib fracture; Musculoskeletal chest pain; Free text DX: Traumatic fall.. Disposition Notes: This is a case of traumatic fall in a 30-year-old male with no reported past medical history who presented to the emergency department as a transfer from Saint Rose, after being pushed by a co-worker off of a roof assisting a 20 foot fall and loss of consciousness, being found on the ground for an unknown amount of time. Differential diagnosis included but was not limited to intracranial ol/closed head injury, C-spine T-spine L-spine injury, chest wall injury, intra-abdominal, musculoskeletal injury. Initial signs within normal limits, hemodynamically appropriate and stable, physical exam showed tenderness posterior scalp as well as C-spine and T-spine, and left anterior chest wall tenderness to anterior compression, laboratory workup was unremarkable from outside hospital, imaging and diagnostic testing showed interhemispheric subdural measuring 7 millimeters with no midline shift common otherwise no acute injuries were noted from outside hospital imaging. At this time the patient is in stable condition, and is being consult to Trauma surgery for final disposition management. Clinical impression 1. Traumatic fall 2. Closed head injury 3. Subdural hematoma 4. Possible right 8th no tracture; Disposition decision is admit; Condition at discharge - stable; Admit to Intensive Care unit; Electronically signed by RANDALL BESS MD; The designated co-signing physician is MITZI DILLON MD; History, physical findings, and management plan discussed with ED attending physician; Attending physically available and saw patient; I have reviewed the chart of MARTIN PEREZ and as the supervising staff physician concur on the final disposition - ELECTRONICALLY CO-SIGNED BY MITZI A DILLON MD. Discharge Prescriptions: NO DATA AVAILABLE. ( 01/01/2017 00:10)

#### Substance Use

#### Tobacco

Smoking status

never a smoker [Confirmed by: ROBERT WILSON RN on 12/30/2016 21:09:00]

#### Alcohol

Alcohol use

no [ROBERT WILSON RN on 12/30/2016 21:09:00]

## Staff Legend

AM33 ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN
AM80 ALEXIS MARTINEZ UNIT CLERK
CJ22 CATHERINE JURGENS RN
KR25 KRISTIN REED RN
MAD1 MITZI DILLON MD
MK23 MARTIN KOVACIK RN
RB40 RANDALL BESS MD
RW4 ROBERT WILSON RN
SB61 SAMUEL BERGIN MD
SLB SUSAN LALUMIA RN

Print Date: 01/01/2017 00:17

Confidential Medical Record

Page 9 of 9

Patient: PEREZ, MARTIN MRN: 0030138209 Page 9 of 9

Patient Date of Birth: 1/8/1978 12:00:00 AM

Medical Record Number: 030138209

Location: TRA UMC

Rendering Physician: HOYE MD, STEPHEN Referring Physician: PHYSICIAN, UNKNOWN

**EXAM: XR SHOULDER** 

HISTORY: Fracture

COMPARISON: None.

TECHNIQUE: Left shoulder, 3 views.

FINDINGS:

Bone mineralization appears age appropriate. No acute appearing fracture or dislocation.

IMPRESSION:

No acute osseous abnormality left shoulder-/*_-/_-/*__/*__/*__/*__-

Electronically Signed By: STEPHEN HOYE MD 2/8/2017 13:55

FEB 2 8 2017

Page:

,

Patient Date of Birth: 1/8/1978 12:00:00 AM

Medical Record Number: 030138209

Location: TRA UMC

Rendering Physician: DOUGHERTY MD, DOUG Referring Physician: PHYSICIAN, UNKNOWN

Accession Number: UMC7360878
Date of Service: 12/31/2016 2:00:00 AM
Exam: TR CT BRAIN W/O CONTRAST

**EXAM: CT BRAIN WITHOUT CONTRAST** 

HISTORY: Subdural hematoma, trauma patient

COMPARISON: None.

TECHNIQUE: Thin section axial CT images were obtained from the vertex of the skull to the foramen magnum without contrast. In accordance with CT protocols and the ALARA principle, radiation dose reduction techniques were utilized for this examination. All images were reviewed and interpreted. CONTRAST: None.

#### FINDINGS:

A subdural hematoma is present within the interhemispheric fissure, greatest posteriorly where there is a maximal width of approximately 5 mm. There is layering of hemorrhagic material on the bilateral tentorium. No area of intraparenchymal, intraventricular, subarachnoid or epidural hematoma is currently identified. No intraparenchymal mass or mass effect is identified. There is chronic appearing tissue loss involving the anterior pole of the right temporal lobe. The ventricles and sulci are within normal.limits for patient age. There is no hydrocephalus. Gray-white differentiation appears normal. There is no acute territorial infarct. The calvarium appears intact. The paranasal sinuses and mastoid air cells are grossly clear.


#### ~Performed after hours

#### IMPRESSION:

Subdural hematoma within the interhemispheric fissure measuring up to 5 mm in width and layering on the bilateral tentorium.

Chronic appearing tissue loss involving the anterior right temporal lobe.

Electronically Signed By: DOUG DOUGHERTY MD 12/31/2016 6:10



Page:

1

Patient Date of Birth: 1/8/1978 12:00:00 AM

Medical Record Number: 030138209

Location: TRA UMC

Rendering Physician: SINGH MD, SUKHJINDER Referring Physician: PHYSICIAN, UNKNOWN

Accession Number: UMC7361192
Date of Service: 12/31/2016 11:16:00 AM
Exam: MRI C-SPINE W/O CONTRAST

*._=_.**._=_.*

**EXAM: MR CERVICAL SPINE WITHOUT CONTRAST** 

HISTORY: Neck pain, cervicalgia.

COMPARISON: None.

TECHNIQUE: Axial and sagittal T1-weighted, T2-weighted, and STIR fat-suppressed T2-weighted MR images of the cervical spine were performed without contrast.

CONTRAST: None,

#### FINDINGS:

The intervertebral discs from C2 to T1 are normal in height and signal intensity. No significant disk bulges or herniated discs are present at any level. Normal vertebral alignment and spacing is present at all levels. Normal signal in the bone marrow and intervertebral disks. No spinal canal stenosis or neural foraminal narrowing. No vertebral or soft tissue edema. Normal signal in the cervical cord. No Chiari malformation.


There is right posterior paraspinal soft tissue swelling from T1 through T3.

#### IMPRESSION:

1. Normal MRI of the cervical spine without contrast.

2. Mild right posterior paraspinal soft tissue swelling from T1 through T3.-/*_-/*_-/*_-/*_-/*_-/*_-

Electronically Signed By: SUKHJINDER SINGH MD 12/31/2016 13:19



Page:

1

Patient Date of Birth: 1/8/1978 12:00:00 AM

Medical Record Number: 030138209

Location: EMG UMC

Rendering Physician: CHIN MD, HUBERT Referring Physician: PHYSICIAN, UNKNOWN

Accession Number: UMC7360842 Date of Service: 12/30/2016 9:14:00 AM Exam: TR CT ABD AND PELVIS IV ONLY

*_____*

EXAM: CT ABDOMEN PELVIS WITH CONTRAST

HISTORY: Trauma

COMPARISON: None.

TECHNIQUE: After the uneventful intravenous administration of nonionic iodinated contrast, thin section axial CT images were obtained from the lung bases to the pubis symphysis. Thin section coronal images were reconstructed from the axial data set. In accordance with CT protocols and the ALARA principle, radiation dose reduction techniques were utilized for this examination. Thin section coronal images were reconstructed from the axial data set. All images were reviewed and interpreted.

CONTRAST: Given IV contrast.

#### FINDINGS:

Ankylosis of the right SI joint noted.


Acute fracture deformity of the posterior right eighth rib is noted.

No acute fracture noted.

The lung bases are clear. The liver and portal veins are normal. The gallbladder is normal. The spleen is normal. The pancreas is normal. The adrenals are normal. The kidneys are normal. The distal esophagus and stomach are normal. The visualized portions of the small bowel are normal. The visualized portions of the colon are normal. The abdominal aorta is normal. The IVC is normal. There is no lymphadenopathy. Normal bladder.

## IMPRESSION:

Electronically Signed By: HUBERT CHIN MD 12/30/2016 21:53



Page:

Patient Date of Birth: 1/8/1978 12:00:00 AM

Medical Record Number: 030138209

Location: EMG UMC

Rendering Physician: CHIN MD, HUBERT Referring Physician: PHYSICIAN, UNKNOWN

Accession Number: UMC7360841
Date of Service: 12/30/2016 9:14:00 AM
Exam: TR CT CHEST WITH CONTRAST

*,_=_.**,_=_.**,_=_.*

**EXAM: CT CHEST WITH CONTRAST** 

HISTORY: Trauma

COMPARISON: None.

TECHNIQUE: After the uneventful intravenous administration of nonionic iodinated contrast, thin section axial CT images were obtained from the thoracic inlet through the lung bases and adrenal glands. Thin section coronal images were reconstructed from the axial data set. In accordance with CT protocols and the ALARA principle, radiation dose reduction techniques were utilized for this examination. All images were reviewed and interpreted.

CONTRAST: Given IV IV.


#### FINDINGS:

No pulmonary infiltrates. No pulmonary nodules or masses. No pleural effusions. No hilar or mediastinal lymphadenopathy. Normal heart. Normal pulmonary vascularity. Normal thoracic aorta and great vessels. Normal adrenals. No fracture noted. No mediastinal hematoma, pneumothorax, pleural effusion or pericardial effusion.

#### IMPRESSION:

No traumatic thoracic abnormality noted. -/* -/-/* -/* -/* -/* -/* -/* -/*

Electronically Signed By: HUBERT CHIN MD 12/30/2016 21:44



Page:

ŀ

Patient Date of Birth: 1/8/1978 12:00:00 AM

Medical Record Number: 030138209

Location: EMG UMC

Rendering Physician: CHIN MD, HUBERT Referring Physician: PHYSICIAN, UNKNOWN

Accession Number: UMC7360844 Date of Service: 12/30/2016 9:15:00 AM Exam: TR CT THORACIC SPINE RECONS

*-_------

EXAM: Thoracic spine CT.

Information: Trauma, pain

Comparisons: None

Findings:

Axial, coronal and sagittal reformatted thoracic spine CT images are obtained.

Multilevel mild degenerative disk disease.


There is moderately severe bilateral T2-T3 neural foraminal stenosis secondary to facet hypertrophy.

No aggressive lytic or sclerotic bone lesions noted.

Thoracic vertebrae have intact cortical margins, normal height and normal alignment.

Impressions:

Electronically Signed By: HUBERT CHIN MD 12/30/2016 21:55



Page:

Patient Date of Birth: 1/8/1978 12:00:00 AM

Medical Record Number: 030138209

Location: EMG UMC

Rendering Physician: CHIN MD, HUBERT Referring Physician: PHYSICIAN, UNKNOWN

Accession Number: UMC7360843
Date of Service: 12/30/2016 9:15:00 AM
Exam: TR CT LUMBAR SPINE RECONS

_ _ _ _ _

EXAM: Lumbar spine CT.

Information: Trauma, pain

Comparisons: None

Findings:

Axial, coronal and sagittal reformatted lumbar spine CT images are obtained.

Lumbar vertebrae have intact cortical margins, normal height and normal alignment.

Impressions:

No lumbar spine fracture or malalignment noted.-/* -/ -/* -/* -/* -/* -/*

Electronically Signed By: HUBERT CHIN MD 12/30/2016 22:1



Page:

1



## Self-Insured Self-Administered Workers Compensation 1220 S. COMMERCE SUITE 120, LAS VEGAS, NV 89102

702.220.5621 - 702.851,7988

## NOTICE OF CLAIM DENIAL PLEASE READ THIS DOCUMENT

March 6, 2017

Martin Perez 3555 E. Lake Mead Blvd. #147 Las Vegas Nevada 89115

Re:

Employer:

Insurer:

Claim No:

Focus Plumbing 2016-0022

Focus Framing

Accident Date: Body Part:

12/30/2016

Head Injury - Hematoma Only

## Dear Martin Perez:

We are in receipt of your claim for the above-mentioned date of injury. Based on the information submitted to this office, your claim for the above-mentioned date of injury. Based on the information submitted to this office, your claim for date of injury of 12/30/2016 does not meet the requirements set forth in chapters 616A to 616D, inclusive, and 617, of NRS. The reason for denial is based on the following reasons and statutory authorities. The accident and/or injury described does not meet statutory requirements. We are unable to substantiate that your injury "arose out of and in the course and scope of your employment." Based on medical information submitted, it has been determined that the primary cause of your current disability is your pre-existing non-industrial condition, and that it has been established by a preponderance of the evidence that the injury and/or accident described is not a substantial contributing cause of the resulting condition.

NRS 616A030 defines an "Accident" as "...an unexpected or unforeseen event happening suddenly and violently, with or without human fault, and producing at the time objective symptoms of an injury," and/or as an "Injury" or "Personal Injury" as defined by NRS 616A.265 was "...a sudden and tangible happening of a traumatic nature, producing an immediate or prompt result which is established by medical evidence, including injuries to prosthetic devices . . ."

NRS 616C.150 provides that an injured employee or his dependents are not entitled to receive compensation pursuant to the provisions of chapters 616A to 616D of the Nevada Revised Statutes unless the employee or his dependents establish by a preponderance of the evidence that the employee's injury arose out of and in the course of his employment. For the purposes of chapters 616A to 616D of the Nevada Revised Statutes, there is a rebuttal presumption if the employee files a notice of an injury pursuant to NRS 616C.015 after his employment is terminated for any reason.

NRS 616C.175(1) provides that if an employee has a preexisting condition from a cause or origin that did not arise out of or in the course of his current or past employment; and he subsequently sustains an injury by accident arising out of and in the course of his employment which aggravates, precipitates or accelerates his preexisting condition, the resulting injury shall be deemed to be an injury by accident that is compensable pursuant to the provisions of chapters 616A to 616D, inclusive of NRS, unless the insurer can prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the subsequent injury is not a substantial contributing cause of the resulting condition. If you should have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact our office.

If you disagree with this determination, you may file the enclosed "Request for Hearing" form with the Department of Administration, Hearing Officer at the address listed on the form, within seventy (70) days from the date of this determination. Failure to file a timely request with the Department of Administration, Hearing Officer may result in an order dismissing your case.

Sincerely,

Patty Pizano, Claims Adjuster

cc: Focus Framing

University Medical Center Division of Industrial Relations

Enclosure(s): Request for Hearing; Brief Description of Rights and Benefits (Pursuant to NRS 616C.050)

## **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I, Patty Pizano, Claims Adjuster, do hereby declare under penalty of perjury under the law of the State of Nevada that the following is true and correct. That on March 6, 2017, service of the Notice of Claim Denial was made by depositing in the U.S. Mail in Las Vegas, NV, postage paid, addressed to:

Name

Address

Martin Perez 3555 E. Lake Mead Blvd. #147, Las Vegas, Nevada 89115

Dated this 6 day of March

Patty Pizano, Claims Adjuster

# BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF RIGHTS AND BENEFITS (Pursuant to NRS 616C.050)

Notice of Injury or Occupational Disease (Incident Report Form C-1) If an injury or occupational disease (OD) arises out of and in the course of employment, you must provide written notice to your employer as soon as practicable, but no later than 7 days after the accident or OD. Your employer shall maintain a sufficient supply of the forms required to file the Notice of Injury or Occupational Disease.

Claim for Compensation (Form C-4): If medical treatment is sought, the form C-4 is available at the place of initial treatment. You must file a completed "Claim for Compensation" (Form C-4) within 90 days after an accident or OD. The treating physician or chiropractor must, within 3 working days after treatment, complete and mail to the employer, the employer's insurer and third-party administrator, the Claim for Compensation. The employer must complete and mail to its insurer or third-party administrator an Employer's Report of Industrial Injury or Occupational Disease (Form C-3), within 6 working days after receipt of a Claim for Compensation. Your insurer must accept or deny your claim within 30 days after receipt of the C-4 form.

Medical Treatment: If you require medical treatment for your on-the-job injury or OD, you may be required to select a physician or chiropractor from a list provided by your workers' compensation insurer, if it has contracted with an Organization for Managed Care (MCO) or Preferred Provider Organization (PPO) or providers of health care. If your employer has not entered into a contract with an MCO or PPO, you may select a physician or chiropractor from the Panel of Physicians and Chiropractors.

Nevada Attorney for Injured Workers (NAIW): If you disagree with a hearing officer decision, you may request that NAIW represent you without charge at an Appeals Officer hearing. NAIW is an independent state agency and is not affiliated with any insurer.

To File a Complaint with the Division: If you wish to file a complaint with the Administrator of the Division of Industrial Relations (DIR), please contact the Industrial Insurance Regulation Section, 400 West King Street, Suite 400, Carson City, Nevada 89703, telephone (775) 687-3033, or 1301 North Green Valley Parkway, Suite 200, Henderson, Nevada 89014, telephone (702) 486-9080.

Permanent Total Disability (PTD): If you are medically certified by a treating physician or chiropractor as permanently and totally disabled as a result of an industrial injury or OD and have been granted a PTD status by your insurer, you are entitled to receive monthly benefits not to exceed 66 2/3% of your average monthly wage. The amount of your PTD payments is subject to reduction if you previously received a PPD award.

Temporary Total Disability (TTD): If your doctor has certified that you are unable to work for a period of at least 5 consecutive days, or 5 cumulative days in a 20-day period, or places restrictions on you that your employer does not accommodate, you may be entitled to TTD compensation.

Permanent Partial Disability (PPD): When your medical condition is stable and there is an indication of a PPD as a result of your injury or OD, within 30 days, your insurer must arrange for an evaluation by a rating physician or chiropractor to determine the degree of your PPD. If you and the insurer cannot agree on a rating physician or chiropractor to perform the evaluation, one will be assigned by rotation as established by DIR. The amount of your PPD award depends on the date of injury, the results of the PPD evaluation and your age and wage.

Temporary Partial Disability (TPD): If the wage you receive upon reemployment is less than the compensation for TTD to which you are entitled, the insurer may be required to pay you TPD compensation to make up the difference. TPD can only be paid for a maximum of 24 months.

Medical Costs: Any medical procedures or treatments related to your on-the-job injury deemed necessary by your treating physician or chiropractor and authorized by your insurer, will be paid according to the Nevada Medical Fee Schedule or as otherwise contracted. Vocational Rehabilitation Services: You may be eligible for vocational rehabilitation services if you are unable to return to the job due to a permanent physical impairment or permanent restrictions as a result of your injury or occupational disease. Your right to such services depends on your place of residence. You may be able to obtain a lump sum buyout in lieu of vocational rehabilitation services.

Reopening: You may be able to reopen your claim if your condition worsens after claim closure.

Appeal to Hearing Officer: If you disagree with a written determination issued by the insurer or the insurer does not respond to your request, you may appeal to the Department of Administration, Hearing Officer, by following the instructions contained in your determination letter. You must appeal the determination within 70 days from the date of the determination letter at 1050 E. William Street, Suite 400, Carson City, Nevada 89701, telephone (775) 687-5966, or 2200 S. Rancho Dr., Suite 210, Las Vegas, Nevada 89102, telephone (702) 486-2525.

Appeal to Appeals Officer: If you disagree with the Hearing Officer decision, you may appeal to the Department of Administration, Appeals Officer. You must file your appeal within 30 days from the date of the Hearing Officer decision.

Judicial Review: If you disagree with a decision of an Appeals Officer, you may file a petition for judicial review with the District Court. You must do so within 30 days of the Appeal Officer's decision. You may be represented by an attorney at your own expense or you may contact the NAIW for possible representation.

If you have any questions concerning the law as it may apply to you, contact your attorney, insurer or third-party administrator.

D-2 (rev. 7/99)

## REQUEST FOR HEARING - CONTESTED CLAIM

(Pursuant to NAC 616C.274)

REPLY TO:

Department of Administration

Hearings Division

1050 E. William Street, Ste. 400

Carson City, NV 89701

(775) 687-8440

OR

Department of Administration

Hearings Division

2200 S. Rancho Drive, Suite 210

Las Vegas, NV 89102

(702) 486-2525

Employee Information		
Employee's Name and Address		Employer Information  Employer's Name and Address
Employee's Telephone Number	Claim No.  Date of Injury	Employer's Telephone Number
Insurer Information	The straight of the straight o	Third-Party Administrator Information
Insurer's Name and Address		Third-Party Administrator's Name and Address
Insurer's Telephone Number		Third-Party Administrator's Telephone Number
-		
		The Injured Employee
his request for hearing is f	filed by, or on behalf of:	The Employer
nd is dated this	day of	, 20
Signature of Injured Employ	yee/Employer	Injured Employee's/Employer's Rep. (Advisor) D-12a ac. 1507

Emp Of Clark Umc Mccourtpllc c/o Equian PO Box 32710 Dept. 72856860 Louisville, KY 40232-2710

March 7, 2017

Call 1-855-692-8301 Today Important Claim Information Required TDD/TTY 1-800-653-6478





յութիվուս ընկերիականին ինկերի ինսականի ինկերի

Martin Duran Perez T7 P1 3555 E Lake Mead Blvd Apt 147 Las Vegas, NV 89115-7360

RE: Your recent emergency room visit:

- Patient: Martin Duran Perez

Medical Record No.: 10674876

The Service Date we are inquiring about:

Treatment Date:

12/30/2016

Treatment Facility:

University Medical Center Emp Of Clark Umc Mccourtplic

Physician Group: Event Number:

3693675 - Please have this number ready

Dear Patient:

This is your second notice. It is very important that you contact Equian immediately. Equian represents the physician group that provided medical services to you during your recent emergency room visit, which has been indicated to be the result of an accident or injury. To determine if another party is responsible for the costs associated with your treatment, we need to obtain detailed information from you.

Please note that we are representing the treating physician only. Because any information provided to the hospital may not have been provided to your treating physician group, your response is requested.

Regardless of how or where your injury or illness occurred, please contact us immediately with the event number listed above.

Please Call Toll-Free at 1-855-692-8301 Monday - Friday: 8:30 a.m. - 8:00 p.m. Eastern

You may also respond anytime at <u>www.Equian.com</u>, select "Respond Online", enter web code 9SKF9, followed by your event number 3693675

Llame por favor a Equian hoy con respecto a su hospitalización reciente.

Your prompt response will be greatly appreciated.


Sincerely,

Tanisha Haward

Note: Please call me at 1-855-692-8301 today.

Inquiries made by Equian are in full compliance with HIPAA.





March 21, 2017

NATHAN MORRIS, ESQ. RYAN M. ANDERSON, ESQ.

2001 S. Maryland Parkway Las Vegas, NV 89104

P 702.333.1111 F 702.507.0092

Via Facsimile: 702-851-7938 And Regular U.S. Mail

Self Insured Focus Plumbing 1220 S. Commerce Ste 120 Las Vegas, NV 89102 Attention: Patty Pizano

Re:

Claimant

Martin Duran Perez

Employer

Focus Plumbing

D.O.I.

12/30/2016

Claim No.

2016-0022

## Dear Claims Examiner:

Please be advised this firm has been retained to represent Martin Duran with regards to injuries sustained as a result of his industrial accident of December 30, 2016.

Please be advised we are requesting ALL DETERMINATIONS and ALL MEDICAL REPORTS ISSUED. Enclosed is a copy of the Power of Attorney form as well as a copy of the Medical/General Authorization form, authorizing this office to receive the above requested information as well as being authorized to receive and/or execute any documents, records, forms or drafts related to this claim.

Please note non-response to this request for a determination will be considered a deliberate refusal and intentional delay, pursuant to NAC 616D.405 that may subject you to complaint with DIR unless you advised this law firm in writing of the reason for non-response.

Any questions concerning this claim should be directed to this office. Thank you for your courtesy and cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,

## Jacob Leavitt

Jacob Leavitt, Esq.
Attorney to Morris Anderson Law
<u>Jacob@BigHornLaw.com</u>



**Mailing Address:** 

716 South Jones Boulevard Las Vegas, NV 89107 P: 702-333-1111 F: 702-507.0092 morrisandersonlaw.com

March 21, 2017

Via Facsimile: 702-851-7938 And Regular U.S. Mail

Self Insured Focus Plumbing 1220 S. Commerce Ste 120 Las Vegas, NV 89102 Attn: Patty Pizano

Re:

Claimant

Martin Duran

Employer

Focus Plumbing 12.30.2016

D.O.I. Claim No.

2016-0022

## Dear Claims Examiner:

As you are aware this firm has been retained to represent Martin Duran with regards to injuries sustained as a result of his industrial accident of December 30, 2016.

Please be advised Mr. Duran is requesting his care be transferred to Dr. Jason Garber, as his primary care physician, pursuant to NRS 616C.090(2). If Dr. Garber is not one of your contracted providers, please provide a copy of your provider list at your earliest convenience.

Please note non-response to this request for a determination will be considered a deliberate refusal and intentional delay, pursuant to NAC 616D.405 that may subject you to complaint with DIR unless you advised this law firm in writing of the reason for non-response.

Thank you for your courtesy and cooperation in this matter, should you have any questions please feel free to contact this office.

Sincerely,

Jacob Leavitt

Jacob Leavitt, Esq.

Jacob@BigHornLaw.com



Mailing Address:

716 South Jones Boulevard Las Vegas, NV 89107 P: 702-333-1111 F: 702-507.0092 morrisandersonlaw.com

ACCUMANTAL CONTRACT

March 21, 2017

Via Facsimile: 702-851-7938 And Regular U.S. Mail

Self Insured Focus Plumbing 1220 S. Commerce Ste 120 Las Vegas, NV 89102 Attn: Patty Pizano

Re:

Claimant

Martin Duran Perez

**Employer** 

Focus Plumbing

D.O.I.

12.30.2016

Claim No.

2016-0022

## Dear Claims Examiner:

As you are aware this firm has been retained to represent Martin Duran Perez with regards to injuries sustained as a result of his industrial accident of December 30, 2016.

Please be advised we are requesting an average monthly wage calculation with breakdown for Mr. Duran.

Please note non-response to this request for a determination will be considered a deliberate refusal and intentional delay, pursuant to NAC 616D.405 that may subject you to complaint with DIR unless you advised this law firm in writing of the reason for non-response.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter. If you should have any questions please feel free to contact our office at any time.

Sincerely,

Jacob Leavitt, Esq.
Attorney to Morris Anderson Law
Jacob@BigHornLaw.com

Jacob Leavitt



Mailing Address:

716 South Jones Boulevard Las Vegas, NV 89107 P: 702-333-1111 F: 702-507.0092

morrisandersonlaw.com

March 21, 2017

Via Facsimile: (702) 851-7938 And Regular U.S. Mail

Focus Plumbing Self Insured 1220 S. Commerce Ste 120 Las Vegas, NV 89102 Attn: Patty Pizano

Re:

Claimant

Martin Duran Perez

Employer

Focus Plumbing

D.O.I. Claim No. 12.30.2016 2016-0022

Dear Patty Pizano:

As you are aware, this firm has been retained to represent Martin Duran with regards to injuries sustained as a result of his industrial accident of December 30, 2016.

Please be advised Mr. Duran is requesting TTD benefits beginning December 30, 2016, forward. Please forward benefits to Mr. Duran at your earliest convenience and provide proof to my office.

Please note non-response to this request for a determination will be considered a deliberate refusal and intentional delay, pursuant to NAC 616D.405 that may subject you to complaint with DIR unless you advised this law firm in writing of the reason for non-response.

Thank you for your courtesy and cooperation in this matter. Should you have any questions please feel free to contact this office.

Sincerely,

Jacob Leavitt

Jacob Leavitt, Esq.
Attorney to Morris Anderson Law
Jacob@BigHornLaw.com



Mailing Address:
716 South Jones Boulevard
Las Vegas, NV 89107
Pt 702-333-1111
Ft 702-507.0092
morrisandersonlaw.com

March 23, 2017

Via Facsimile: 702-851-7938

Focus Plumbing 1220 S. Commerce Ste 120 Las Vegas, NV 89102 Attention: Patty Pizano

Re:

Claimant

Martin Duran Perez

**Employer** 

Focus Plumbing

D.O.1.

12.30.2016

Claim No.

2016-0022

### Dear Claims Examiner:

As you are aware this firm has been retained to represents Martin Duran Perez with regards to injuries sustained as a result of his industrial accident of December 30, 2016.

Enclosed you will find a billing statement from HCFS Health Care Financial Services, LLC, for services received by Mr. Duran resulting from his industrial accident. Please inquire into whether payment has been made. If no payment has been made to date, please submit at your earliest convenience and provide proof to my office.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Jacob Leavitt

Jacob Leavitt, Esq.
Attorney to MorrisAnderson Law
Jacob@BigHornLaw.com

HCFS Healthcare Financial Services, LLC ALCOA BILLING CENTER 3429 REGAL DR ALCOA TN 37701-3265

DETACH AND RETURN THIS COUPON WITH THE REVERSE SIDE COMPLETED TO PAY BY CREDIT CARD, TO PROVIDE INSURANCE

Patient	
<b>\$1</b>	1

Name: MARTIN PEREZ

AMT DUE: \$1,601.00

PHYSICIAN SERVICES RENDERED AT: ST ROSE DOMINICAN HOSPITALS SIENA CAMPUS

## AN THE PERSON AND THE

64182054-526-52601 CL A 059047 MARTIN PEREZ

3555 E LAKE MEAD BLVD APT 147 LAS VEGAS NV 89115-7380

«Որոլեններիկիրիկիրիրիրումորիկիրիկիրիկիրիկիր

INFORMATION OR FOR CHANGE OF ADDRESS.

Credit card charges will appear as "Team Health"

FREMONT EMERGENCY SERVICES MANDAVIA, LTD DEPT: A D B D C D (check one - see reverse) 526 3429 REGAL DR ALCOA TN 37701-3265

նրակարերակարկարկությունակություն

026000647450243034256233345560740076070043

30

**3**<

1 Detach Here 1

×

3/12/2017

Amount Past Due: \$1,601,00

Account Number: 64182054-528-52601 PATIENT NAME: MARTIN PEREZ

Dear Martin Perez.

We are writing in regard to an overdue balance of \$1,601.00 for medical services provided to you at ST ROSE DOMINICAN HOSPITALS-SIENA CAMPUS. This is your final notice. In order to avoid having your account placed with a collection agency, please remit balance in full today or call our patient service center to establish a payment plan.

When paying by check, please be sure to return the bottom coupon in the enclosed envelope.

For your convenience, you may also pay by credit card on our website at http://www.thbilipay.com/, or by telephone by contacting our patient services center at 1-888-952-6772. You may also mail or fax your credit card payment to us by completing the credit card information box on the reverse side of the top coupon. (FAX: 1-865-292-3015). To mail: detach the coupon and return it in the enclosed envelope.

Sincerely. **Patient Services** 

**≫**€

1 Detach Here 1

**S**C

25

## PAYMENT COUPON - RETURN WHEN PAYING BY CHECK OR MONEY ORDER

PATIENT NAME: MARTIN PEREZ

64182054-526-52601

ACCT#: 64182054-526-52601

CHECK#:

AMT PAID:

PHYSICIAN SERVICES RENDERED AT: ST ROSE DOMINICAN HOSPITALS-SIENA

DO NOT STAPLE OR TAPE YOUR CHECK OR MONEY ORDER TO THIS COUPON MAKE CHECKS PAYABLE TO:

CHECK HERE FOR CHANGE OF ADDRESS

FREMONT EMERGENCY SERVICES MANDAVIA.

LTD

PO BOX 740023

Martin Perez 3555 E Lake Mead Blvd Apt 147

Las Vegas NV 89115-7360

կությոլությունի իրիկությունի կությին հերեկությունի կ

026000641820543034526533385260140016010043

INSURANCE INFORMATION		Please Check Appropriate Box	
Insurance Company:	CREDIT CARD		CI OHICE-HE
Claims Address:	PAYMENT	O O O DEED	
Policy Number:	ACCOUNT MUMBER		······································
Group Number:			
Insured's Name:	CARD NUMBER		EXP DATE
Relationship:	SIGNATURE:		PAYMENT AMOUNT
Medicare Number:	5.51CV.		PATRIENT AMOUNT
Medicaid Number:	NAME AS IT APPEARS ON CARD		<u></u>
Change of Address:			
Address:			
City:	State:	Zip:	
To Send Insurance or Change of Address Information: If mailing insurance or address information, please use the top coupon and check I To Send Credit Card Payments: If paying by credit card, please use the top coupsu and check Department B on the			
	GHTS SUMMARY		
Our acceptance of any payment marked with a restrictive legend or otherwise marke approval.		an accord and satisfaction with	unit our express prior written
if you believe this statement is incorrect, or if you need additional information, contiton you no later than 60 days after the initial statement. You may telephone us, but			ed on the front. We must hear
When you connect us, please provide the following information.  • Your name and account number  • Describe the error or problem		·	·
Phrase pay in U.S. Dollars, Checks should be written from a U.S. Bank. If a check is American Express Money Order.	written from a Foreign Bank, add	\$35 00 for U.S. Bank process	ng tees or pay by an
Team Health will charge a processing for (added to the balance due on this account cover the expense incurred by Team Health for Bank Fees, extra processing to corre			Funds"/"NSF". This fee will
We are required by applicable federal and state law to maintain the privacy of your provide certain information to identify yourself. Please notify us if you want anot representative will also be asked to provide specific identifying information related the payment of your account, e.g., providing the account balance, taking insurance to diagnosist or medical treatment with any caller, including you. Since we do not a should be made directly to the treating facility.	her person to act as your represe to you. We will only discuss infor information, and setting up budg	ntative regarding this statem mation regarding your account et plans. We will not discuss a	ent or your account. Your that is directly relevant to my health information related
Change of Address:			
Address:			

ONLY RETURN THIS COUPON WHEN PAYING BY CHECK



# Self-Insured Self-Administered Workers Compensation 1220 S. COMMERCE SUITE 120, LAS VEGAS, NV 89102 702,220,5621 - 702,851,7988

March 30, 2017

Morris Anderson Law
Attn: Jacob Leavitt, Esq.
716 S. Jones Blvd
Las Vegas, Nevada 89107

Re:

Claimant:

Martin Duran Perez

Claim #:

2016-0022

Date of Loss: 12/30/2016

Focus Framing

Employer: Insurer:

Focus Plumbing

Dear Jacob Leavitt, Esq:

I am in receipt of your letter dated March 21, 2017 requesting a transfer of care to Dr. Jason Garber as his primary care physician. This request is denied, as this is a denied claim.

Should you disagree with this determination, you may file the enclosed "Request for Hearing" form with the Department of Administration, Hearing Division within seventy (70) days from the date of this letter.

Sincerely

(Patty Pizano Claims Adjuster

cc:

Focus Framing

Martin Duran Perez Daniel Schwartz, Esq.

Enclosure (Request for Hearing form)

# REQUEST FOR HEARING - CONTESTED CLAIM (Pursuant to NAC 616C.274)

REPLY TO:	Department of Administration Hearings Division 1050 E. William Street, Ste. 400 Carson City, NV 89701 (775) 687-8440	OR	Department of Administration Hearings Division 2200 S. Rancho Drive, Suite 210 Las Vegas, NV 89102 (702) 486-2525
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			S. Land Colored State Color

Embiosee Into marion		Dimployer amorametor
Employee's Name and Address		Employer's Name and Address
Employee's Telephone Number	Claim No.  Date of Injury	Employer's Telephone Number
Insurer Information		Third-Party Administrator Information
Insurer's Name and Address		Third-Party Administrator's Name and Address
Insurer's Telephone Number		Third-Party Administrator's Telephone Number
Do Not Complete or Ma	ail This Form Unless You	Disagree With the Insurer's Determination.
	A COPY OF THE DETE SUANT TO NRS 616C.31	RMINATION LETTER OR A HEARING WILL NOT 5.
Briefly explain the basis	for this appeal:	
		The Injured Employee
This request for hearing is	s filed by, or on behalf of:	The Employer
and is dated this	day of	, 20
Signature of Injured Emp	loyee/Employer	Injured Employee's/Employer's Rep. (Advisor)

Injured Employee's/Employer's Rep. (Advisor) D-12a (8.01.1287)



## Scif-Insured Self-Administered Workers Compensation 1220 S. COMMERCE SUITE 120, LAS VEGAS, NV 89102 702,220,5621 - 702,851,7988

March 30, 2017

Morris Anderson Law Attn: Jacob Leavitt, Esq. 2001 S. Maryland Pkwy Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

Re:

Claimant:

Martin Duran Perez

Claim #:

2016-0022

Date of Loss: 12/30/2016 Employer:

**Focus Framing** 

Insurer:

**Focus Plumbing** 

Dear Jacob Leavitt, Esq:

I am in receipt of your letter dated March 21, 2017 requesting TTD from December 30, 2016 to Present. Please be advised that this claim was denied. Please see attached Denial Letter.

Should you disagree with this determination, you may file the enclosed "Request for Hearing" form with the Department of Administration, Hearing Division within seventy (70) days from the date of this letter.

Sincerely,

Patty Pizano Claims Adjuster

cc:

**Focus Framing** 

Martin Duran Perez Daniel Schwartz, Esq.

Enclosure (Request for Hearing form)

## **REQUEST FOR HEARING - CONTESTED CLAIM**

(Pursuant to NAC 616C.274)

D	DI	7	V	T	n	
л	CI	٠.	. 1		v	

Department of Administration

Hearings Division

1050 E. William Street, Ste. 400

Carson City, NV 89701

· (775) 687-8440

OR

Department of Administration

Hearings Division

2200 S. Rancho Drive, Suite 210

Las Vegas, NV 89102

(702) 486-2525

Employee's Name and Address		Employer Information
•		Employer's Name and Address
Employee's Telephone Number	Claim No.	Employer's Telephone Number
	Date of Injury	** ***********************************
Insurer Information		Third-Party Administrator Information
Insurer's Name and Address		Third-Party Administrator's Name and Address
Insurer's Telephone Number	***	Third-Party Administrator's Telephone Number
	or this appeal:	
		The Injured Employee
This request for hearing is		The Injured Employee The Employer
This request for hearing is and is dated this	filed by, or on behalf of:	• • •

	Inma	ate In-Custody	Status		
ID .	Name		Age	Race	Sex ·
	Charge				Status
Related Case	//	Detainer	Cash I	Bail	Surety Bail
	Sched Department	Sched Action	Sched	Date .	Sched Time

08175632	ROSALES, JOSE M		19	Hispanic	Male
C-17-323323-1	BATTERY R/SBH				Active
C-17-323323-1	4/13/2017	N .	\$3,000.00		\$3,000.00
		FOR SENTENCING	7/11/2017		8:30 AM
NV1D	22	- POR SERVERONE			
					<u> </u>

	POCALES TOSE M		19 Hispan	c Male
08175632	ROSALES, JOSE M		يوسنوسا لمصيدا الصب	Sentenced
16F12898X	DOM BATTERY, (1ST)		\$0.00	\$0.00
	4/13/2017	N .	<u></u>	9:48 AM
NV1D	JUSTICE COURT	SENTENCED ON	4/13/2017	3.40 Mil
	·	To Release	12/24/2017	

	ROSALES, JOSE M		19	Hispanic	Male
08175632					Sentenced
16F12898X	ASSAULT				\$0.00
	4/13/2017	N	\$0.00		
	JUSTICE COURT	SENTENCED ON	4/13/2017		9:40 . M
NV1D	JUSTICE COURT	To Release	8/18/2017		
	ii	ID KAIASA			

Searched On

Defendant's ID: 08175632 Defendant's Case No:

**Records Found: 3** 

<u>Top</u>

**Another Search** 

Back to CCDC Home Page



Case Type CLOSED File Date: CRIMINAL COMPLAINT HND Case Status: 02/23/2017 DCM Track: Action: BATTERY W/SUBSTANTIAL Status Date: 02/23/2017 Case Judge: **BODILY HARM** BATEMAN, SAM **Next Event:** All Information | Party | Charge | Ticket/Citation # Event Docket Disposition **Party Information** ROSALES, JOSE MANUEL - DEFENDANT CR/TR Disposition CLOSED Allas Disp Date Party Attorney Attomey PRINTY, MICHAEL Bar Code 005744 Address Phone More Party Information Party Charge Information ROSALES, JOSE MANUEL - DEFENDANT CR/TR Charge #1: BATTERY W/SUBSTANTIAL BODILY HARM 50214 - FELONY 50214 BATTERY W/SUBSTANTIAL BODILY HARM **Original Charge** Ticket # (FELONY) ATN# **Indicted Charge** Tracking # Amended Charge DV Related? Place of Offense HENDERSON TOWNSHIP Modifiers Offense **Stage Date** Location **Date of Offense** 12/30/2016 Complainant Party Charge Disposition Disposition Date Disposition 05/02/2017 WAIVER OF PRELIMINARY HEARING Sentencing Information Ticket/Citation # Offense Date 12/30/2016 Citation #: - HENDERSON TOWNSHIP **HENDERSON POLICE** Agency Speed Cited Speed Limit DEPARTMENT Officer Location Second Officer Insured/Proof Complainant Accident N Work Zone Haz Mat **Points Priors** License Taken BAC Plate State Year Type Style Color

. . . . . . . .

6

Events	,		•	
Date/Time	Location	Туре	Result	Event Judge
03/30/2017 09:00 AM	DEPARTMENT 1	FELONY ARRAIGNMENT HND	ARRAIGNMENT HEARING HELD	BATEMAN, SAM
05/02/2017 09:30 AM	DEPARTMENT 1	PRELIMINARY HEARING HND	CRIMINAL HEARING HELD	BATEMAN, SAM
05/17/2017 10:00 AM	DISTRICT COURT ARRAIGNMENT	DISTRICT COURT ARRAIGNMENT HND	HEARD IN DISTRICT COURT	,

	formation				
Date	Docket Text			•	Amount Owed
02/23/2017	PENDING JUDGE'S SIGNATURE				
02 <i>1</i> 27 <i>1</i> 2017	Complaint FILED. Upon Motion by State, Arrest Warrant ISSUED. BAIL SET.	•	_		
02/27/2017	ALERT INFORMATION ARREST WARRANT - CRIMINAL issued on: 02/27/2017 For: ROSALES, JOSE MANUEL Bond Amt: \$3,000.00 CASH OR SURETY BOND				
03/27/2017	ALERT INFORMATION ARREST WARRANT - CRIMINAL served on: 03/24/2017 For: ROSALES, JOSE MANUEL				
03/27/2017	SET FOR COURT APPEARANCE Event: FELONY ARRAIGNMENT HND Date: 03/30/2017 Time: 9:00 am Judge: BATEMAN, SAM Location: DEPARTMENT 1		٠		
	Result: ARRAIGNMENT HEARING HELD				
03/27/2017	\$3,000 SURETY BOND POSTED Charge #1: BATTERY W/SUBSTANTIAL BODILY HARM				
03/27/2017	BAIL BOND FILING FEE Charge #1: BATTERY W/SUBSTANTIAL BODILY HARM Rec	elpt: 6257283 Date	: 03/27/2017		\$50.00
03/30/2017	S. BATEMAN, JP S. DIGIACOMO, DDA M. PRINTY, ESQ H. GARCIA, CLK D. TAVAGLIONE, CR		· • •	·	
03/30/2017	INITIAL ARRAIGNMENT: DEFENDANT NOT PRESENT DEFENSE COUNSEL ACKNOWLEDGES, WAIVED READING BY AND THROUGH HIS ATTORNEY, DEFENDANT ASKED WAIVED 15 DAY RULE PRELIMINARY HEARING DATE SET MOTION BY DEFENSE TO EXONERATE BOND. OBJECTIC SURETY BOND CONTINUES	FOR DATE CERTA	IN FOR HEARING		- ,-
	ARRAIGNMENT HEARING HELD The following event: FELONY ARRAIGNMENT HND schedul	 ed for 03/30/2017 a	9:00 am has been i	esulted as	
03/30/2017	follows:				
03/30/2017					
	follows: Result: ARRAIGNMENT HEARING HELD				• <b>*•</b> ***

Date	Docket Text	Amount Owed
05/02/2017	S. BATEMAN, JP S. DIGIACOMO, DDA M. PRINTY, ESQ H. GARCIA, CLK L. BRENSKE, CR	
05/02/2017	HEARING HELD The following event: PRELIMINARY HEARING HND scheduled for 05/02/2017 at 9:30 am has been resulted as follows:	
	Result: CRIMINAL HEARING HELD Judge: BATEMAN, SAM Location: DEPARTMENT 1	
05/02/2017	SET FOR COURT APPEARANCE Event: DISTRICT COURT ARRAIGNMENT HND Date: 05/17/2017 Time: 10:00 am Judge: Location: LOWER LEVEL DISTRICT COURT ARRAIGNMENT	,
05/03/2017	CASE FILE HAS BEEN ELECTRONICALLY SCANNED	•••
05/03/2017	HEARING HELD The following event: DISTRICT COURT ARRAIGNMENT HND scheduled for 05/17/2017 at 10:00 am has been resulted as follows:	
	Result: HEARD IN DISTRICT COURT Judge: Location: DISTRICT COURT ARRAIGNMENT	.,

Case Disposition			
Disposition	Date	Case Judge	.,
BOUND OVER	05/02/2017	BATEMAN, SAM	
		•	

Skip to Main Content Loquet My Account Search Menu New District Civil/Criminal Search Refere Search Back | Location : District Court Civil/Criminal | Help

### REGISTER OF ACTIONS CASE No. C-17-323323-1

State of Nevada vs Jose Rosales

Case Type: Felony/Gross Misdemeanor Date Filed: 05/05/2017

Date Filed: 05/05/2017 Location: Department 22

Cross-Reference Case Number: C323323
Defendant's Scope ID #: 8175632
ITAG Case ID: 1874737
Lower Court Case # Root: 17FH0191

Lower Court Case Number: 17FH0191 Lower Court Case Number: 17FH0191X

PARTY INFORMATION

*ത*രതതതതതതത

Defendant

Rosales, Jose Also Known As Rosales,

Jose Manuel

Lead Attorneys Michael P Printy Reteined 7027597899(W)

Plaintiff

State of Nevada

Steven B Wolfson 702-671-2700(W)

CHARGE INFORMATION

Charges: Rosales, Jose

1. ATTEMPT BATTERY WITH SUBSTANTIAL BODILY HARM

Statute 200.481.2b

Level

Date

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor 12/30/2016

EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT

OTHER EVENTS AND HEARINGS

05/05/2017 Criminal Bindover

05/09/2017 Information

Information

05/11/2017 Reporters Transcript

Reporter's Transcript of Walver of Preliminary Hearing 05/02/2017

05/17/2017 Initial Arraignment (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Henry, Jennifer)

Parties Present

**Minutes** 

Result: Plea Entered

05/17/2017 Guilty Plea Agreement

07/11/2017 Sentencing (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Johnson, Susan)

5/24:2017

## https://www.clarkcountycourts.us/Anonymous/CaseDetail.aspx?CaseID=11773819&HearingID=192791682&SingleViewMode=Minutes

Skip to Mah Content Logout My Account Search Menu New District Civi/Criminal Search Refine Search Close

Location , District Court Chell Criminal Help

#### REGISTER OF ACTIONS CASE No. C-17-323323-1

State of Nevada vs Jose Rosales

*യ*യയയയയയ

Case Type: Felony/Gross Misdemeanor Date Filed:

05/05/2017 Location:

Department 22 Cross-Reference Case Number: C323323

Defendant's Scope ID#: 8175632 ITAG Case ID: 1874737 Lower Court Case # Root: 17FH0191 Lower Court Case Number: 17FH0191X

PARTY INFORMATION

Defendant

Rosales, Jose Also Known As Rosales,

Jose Manuel

Lead Attorneys Michael P Printy Retained 7027597899(W)

**Plaintiff** 

State of Nevada

Steven B Wolfson 702-671-2700(W)

CHARGE INFORMATION

Charges: Rosales, Jose 1. ATTEMPT BATTERY WITH SUBSTANTIAL BODILY HARM

Statuta 200.481.2b Level

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor 12/30/2016

EVENTS A ORDERS OF THE COURT

05/17/2017 Initial Arraignment (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Henry, Jennifer)

#### Minutes

05/17/2017 10:00 AM

- Deputized law clerk Nima Afshar Bar #14157 present today on behalf of the state. NEGOTIATIONS are as contained in the Gully Plea Agreement FILED IN OPEN COURT. DEFT. ROSALES ARRAIGNED AND PLED GUILTY to ATTEMPT BATTERY WITH SUBSTANTIAL BODILY HARM (F/GM). Court ACCEPTED plea and ORDERED, matter referred to the Division of Parole and Probation (P & P) and set for sentencing, CUSTODY 7/11/17 8:30 A.M. SENTENCING (DEPT. 22)

Parties Present Return to Register of Actions Skip to Main Content London My Account Search Menn New Criminal Search Refine Search, Back

Location: Justice Court. Heli-

#### REGISTER OF ACTIONS CASE No. 16F12898X

State of Nevada vs. ROSALES, JOSE MANUEL

§ ŝ ē

Case Type: Felony
Subtype: DV Case Date Filed: 08/09/2016 Location: JC Department 10

PARTY INFORMATION

Defendant

**ROSALES, JOSE MANUEL** 

Lead Attomeys Nadine M. Morton Courl Appointed 7024554685(W)

State of Nevada State of Nevada

Charges: ROSALES, JOSE MANUEL Statute Level Date 1. Domestic battery e/dw 200.481.2e Felony 08/05/2016 2. Assault [50200] 200.471.2a Misdemeanor 08/05/2016 3. Assaut, w/DW [50201] 4. Dom battery, (1st) [50235] 5. Dom battery, (1st) [50235] 200.471.2b Felony 08/05/2016 200.485.1a Misdemeanor 08/05/2016 200.485.1a Misdemeanor 08/05/2016

CHARGE INFORMATION

EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT

DISPOSITIONS

08/24/2018

(Judicial Officer: Tobiasson, Melanie A.)

4. Dom battery, (1st) [50235]

Noio Contendere 2. Assault [50200]

- Noio Contendere

08/24/2016 (Judicial Officer: Tobiasson, Metanie A.)

1. Domestic battery e/dw

Dismissed

4. Dom battery, (1st) [50235] Adjudication Deferred

5. Dom battery, (1st) [50235]

Dismissed 2. Assault [50200]

Guilty of Lesser Offense

3. Assault, w/DW [50201]

Dismissed

08/24/2016 (Judicial Officer: Tobiasson, Melanie A.)

2. Assault [50200]

Condition - Adult:

1. Stay Out of Trouble, 08/24/2016, Active 08/24/2016

2. Suspended Jali Sentence, 6 months consecutive per count 08/24/2016, Active 08/24/2016

08/24/2016 (Judicial Officer: Tobiasson, Melanie A.)

4. Dom battery, (1st) [50235]

Condition - Adult:

1. Suspended Jail Sentence, 6 Months consecutive per count 08/24/2016, Active 08/24/2016

2. Stay Out of Trouble, 08/24/2016, Active 08/24/2016

3. Domestic Violence Counseling (12 Months), LRS Systems 11/18/2016 - 3 of 54 Completed - Fees due - 03/16/2017 - 4 of 54 Completed - Fees due - 04/12/2017 - 5 of 54 Completed - Fees due \$1,600,00 08/24/2016, Active 08/24/2016 4. Community Service Mandatory Hours DV2, 100 hours; 11/17/16 4 of 100 hours completed 08/24/2016, Active 08/24/2016

5. Community Service - DV2, Defendant may do 68 hours of community service in lieu of \$675 08/24/2016, Adive 08/24/2016

6. If so, to be reduced to Misdemeanor, Battery 08/24/2016, Active 08/24/2016

Fee Totals:

Cash Bond Criminal

Deferred Disposition

\$675.00

Fee Fee Totals \$

\$675.00

04/13/2017 Amended (Judicial Officer: Pro Tempore, Judge) Reason: Court Ordered

4. Dom battery, (1st) [50235]

**Guilty as Charged** 

04/13/2017 Amended (Judicial Officer: Pro Tempore, Judge) Reason: Court Ordered

2. Assault [50200]

```
. 04/13/2017 Amended (Judicial Officer: Pro Tempore, Judge) Resson: Court Ordered
              4. Dom battery, (1st) [50235]
            OTHER EVENTS AND HEARINGS
08/06/2016 Standard Ball Set
              Ct1: $10000 Cash/$10000 Surety
            CTRACK Track Assignment JC02
08/08/2016
08/08/2018
            48 Hour Probable Cause Review (7:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Marshall, Janiece)
            Result: Signing Completed
            Standard Ball Set
08/06/2016
              C12: $3000 Cash/$3000 Surety
08/08/2016 Standard Ball Set
              Cl3: $3000 Cash/$3000 Surety
08/06/2016 Ball Stands - Cash or Surety
              Counts: 001 - $10,000.00/$10,000.00 Per Count
08/06/2016 Ball Stands - Cash or Surety
              Counts: 002; 003 - $3,000.00/$3,000.00 Per Count
08/06/2016 Ball Condition
              STANDARD BAIL PLUS HOUSE ARREST PLUS NO CONTACT WITH VICTIMS
08/06/2016 Probable Cause Found
08/06/2016 Probable Cause Arrest Documents
08/08/2016 Bali Condition - Stay Away From Victim
08/08/2016 CTRACK Case Modified
              Jurisdiction/DA:
08/09/2016 Not in custody
08/09/2016 Criminal Complaint
08/10/2016 CANCELED 72 Hour Hearing (7:45 AM) (Judicial Officers Pro Tempore, Judge, Lat. Paul)
              Criminal Compleint Filed
08/10/2016 Initial Appearance (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Toblasson, Melanie A.)
              In Custody Counts 1, 4 and 5 - Not in Custody Counts 2 and 3
            Result: Matter Heard
08/10/2016 Minute Order - Department 10
08/10/2016 Initial Appearance Completed
Advised of Charges on Criminal Completel, Walves Reading of Criminal Completel
08/10/2016
           Public Defender Appointed
08/10/2016 Remand - Cash or Surety
              Counts: 001; 002; 003; 004; 005 - $26,000.00/$26,000.00 Total Ball
08/10/2016 Release Order - Court Ordered Ball AND House Arrest
              Counts: 001;002; 003; 004; 005
08/10/2016 Ball Condition - Stay Away From Victim
             Pedro Rosales.
08/11/2016 Motion to Withdraw Due to Conflict
08/16/2016 Motion (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Toblasson, Melanie A.) In Custody
            Result: Matter Heard
08/16/2016 Motion to Withdraw Due to Conflict
             by Defense - Motion Granted
           Counsel Appointed
08/16/2016
             N. Morton, Esq. - notified //sc
08/16/2016 Ball Stands - Cash or Surety
             Counts: 001; 002; 003; 004; 005 - $28,000.00/$26,000.00 Total Beil with House Arrest
08/16/2016 No Contact with Victim
              Pedro Rosales
08/16/2016 Future Court Date Stands
             on 08/24/16 (Preliminary Hearing)
08/16/2016
            Minute Order - Department 10
08/24/2016 Preliminary Hearing (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Toblasson, Melanie A.)
             In Custody
            Result: Matter Heard
08/24/2016
           Minute Order - Department 10
08/24/2016 Admonishment of Rights - BDV
             Signed in open court.
08/24/2016 HELP of Southern Nevada Form
             Provided to defendant in open Court.
08/24/2016 Release Order - Court Ordered due to dismissal (Judicial Officer: Tobiasson, Melanie A. )
              Counts: 001; 003; 005
08/24/2016 Release Order - Court Ordered (Judicial Officer: Toblesson, Melanie A.)
             Counts: 002; 004
08/24/2018 Status Check on Regulrements
08/24/2016 Official Court Date Slip
08/24/2016 Transcript of Proceedings
10/05/2016 Status Check (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Tobiasson, Melanie A.)
             No Ball Posted
            Result: Bench Warrant Issued
10/05/2016 Minute Order - Department 1
10/05/2016 Defendant falled to appear
10/05/2018 Bench Warrant Ordered to be issued
             $00/$00 Total Ball
10/05/2016 Warrant Issued
10/05/2016 Bench Warrant - Face Sheet
10/05/2018 Bench Warrant Confidential
10/18/2016 Warrant Cleared
10/18/2016 Warrant Arrest Documents
```

08/24/20 04/13/20

```
10/19/2016 Warrant Service Slip
10/19/2016 Notify
              Mrs. Morton by sending her an email advising of the bench warrant return hearing set for 10/20/16 and that the Defendant is in custody at 7:39
              am // ni
10/20/2016 Bench Warrant Return Hearing (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Toblasson, Melanie A.)
              In Custody
             Result: Matter Heard
            Minute Order - Department 10
10/20/2018
10/20/2016 Motion by Defense for an O.R. Release
              Motion Granted.
10/20/2016
            Status Check on Requirements
              Defendent advised to attend school and work towards graduating and attend counseling.
10/20/2016
            Release Order - Own Recognizance (Judicial Officer: Tobiasson, Melanie A.)
              Counts: 002; 004
10/20/2016
            Release Agreement
10/20/2016
            HELP of Southern Nevada Form
              Provided to defendant in open Court.
            Community Service Report Community Service Report
11/17/2016
11/17/2016
11/18/2016
            Counseling Report
              3/54 LRS $1630
11/21/2016
            Status Check (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Toblasson, Melanie A.)
            Result: Matter Heard
11/21/2016 Status Check on Requirements
11/21/2016 HELP of Southern Nevada Form - fees waived
              Provided to defendant in open court.
            Minute Order - Department 10
11/21/2018
03/16/2017 Counseling Report
              4/54 LRS $1630
03/17/2017 Status Check (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Tobiasson, Melanie A.)
              O/R
            Result: Matter Heard
03/17/2017 Minute Order - Department 10
03/17/2017
           Requirements Status Note
              Defendent has completed 4 counseling classes and 4 hours of mandatory community service to date.
03/17/2017
            Notify
              N. Morton, Esq. via email/ sc
03/17/2017
           Status Check on Requirements
             Defendant is to have 8 total counseling classes by the next court date or to be adjudicated guity.
            Counseling Report
04/12/2017
              5/54 LRS $1600
04/13/2017 Status Check (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officers Pro Tempore, Judge, Jansen, William D.)
             Q/R
            Result: Matter Heard
04/13/2017 Comment
             Case recalled to address stay out of trouble violation.
           All Requirements Vacated
04/13/2017
04/13/2017 Remand - Cash or Surety
             Counts: 002; 004 - $0.00/$0.00 Total Ball
04/13/2017 Case Closed - Court Order
04/13/2017
           Judgment Entered
04/13/2017 Minute Order - Department 10
04/13/2017 Temporary Custody Record
04/13/2017 Notice of Disposition and Judgment
04/13/2017 Notice of Disposition and Judgment
04/19/2017 Counseling Report
             5/54 LRS $1,600
```

#### FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Defendant ROSALES, JOSE MANUEL Total Financial Assessment Total Payments and Credits Balance Due as of 05/24/2017	675.00 675.00 0.00
Transaction Assessment Requirements Vacated	675.00 (675.00)



5/24/2017 photo10001.jpeg










FILED

Ì						
1 2			BEFORE THE APP	EALS OFFICER	JUL 0 5 2017 APPEALS OFFICE	`E
3		Matter of the Contested rial Insurance Claim of		Claim No:	2016-0022	, <u>L</u>
4	MAR'	TIN DURAN PEREZ,	)	Appeal No:	1714955-CJY	
5			Claimant. )			
7		NOTIC	E OF APPEAL ANI	O ORDER TO A	PPEAR	
8	1.	ALL PARTIES IN I on a STACKED CAI	NTEREST ARE HE LENDAR by the App	REBY NOTIFICE CALLS Officer, purs	<b>ED</b> that a hearing will be uant to NRS 616 and 617	held on:
9			EMBER 12, 2017 M STACKED			
11		2200 S	OF ADMINISTRAT SOUTH RANCHO DI /EGAS NV 89102	ION, HEARING RIVE, SUITE 220	S DIVISION O	
12 13	2.		comply with NAC 6	16C.300 for the peal.	provision of documents in	ı the
14	3.		all comply with NA		r the filing and serving	g of
15 16	4.	social security numb	pers redacted or oth	erwise removed	h this agency must have and an affirmation to	this
17		Division.	ied. The documents	otherwise may	be rejected by the Hear	ings
18	5.	Pursuant to NRS 6160 subject to the Appeals	C.282, any party failin Officer's orders as ar	g to comply with e necessary to dir	NAC 616C.274336 sharect the course of the Hear	ll be ing.
19 20	6.	In the event that all pa SET FOR A DATE	arties to this action ago	ree to have the ma	atter RE-SCHEDULED A reby required to submit	ND AT
21		LEAST TWO (2) DA by letter, facsimile or	AYS prior to the sched by email, to the Appe	luled Hearing dat eals Office advisi	e a written request, subming the Appeals Office that	itted it all
22		continuance of the he	earing date also may l proceed as scheduled	be obtained pursi	om the Stacked Calendar.  Lant to NAC 616C.318.  D CALENDAR ON A TI	The
24	7.		e may be represented		orney or seek assistance	and
25		IT IS SO ORDEREI				



#### **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

2

1

3 | 4 |

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

2627

28

The undersigned, an employee of the State of Nevada, Department of Administration, Hearings Division, does hereby certify that on the date shown below, a true and correct copy of the foregoing **NOTICE OF APPEAL AND ORDER TO APPEAR** was duly mailed, postage prepaid **OR** placed in the appropriate addressee runner file at the Department of Administration, Hearings Division, 2200 S. Rancho Drive, #220, Las Vegas, Nevada, to the following:

MARTIN DURAN PEREZ 3555 E LAKE MEAD BLVD #147 LAS VEGAS NV 89115

JACOB LEAVITT ESQ BIGHORN LAW 716 S JONES BLVD LAS VEGAS NV 89156

FOCUS PLUMBLING 1220 S COMMERCE ST STE 120 LAS VEGAS NV 89102

DANIEL SCHWARTZ ESQ LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 2300 W SAHARA AVE STE 300 BOX 28 LAS VEGAS NV 89102-4375

Dated this 5th day of July, 2017.

Estela Pinedo, Legal Secretary II Employee of the State of Nevada Nevada Department of Administration, Hearings Division 2200 S. Rancho Drive, Ste 210 Las Vegas, NV 89102 (702) 486-2525 Nevada Department of Administration Hearings Division 1050 E. Williams Street, Ste 400 Carson City, NV 89701 (775) 687-8440

#### REQUEST FOR HEARING BEFORE APPEALS OFFICER

CLAIMANT INFORMATION  Claimant's Name Martin Duran Perez					EMPLOYER IN	FORI	MATION	1
			17710955-MT					
វិទីទីទី E. Lake Mead Blvde., #147			ļ	Empl F0	cus Plumbing			
	-			1ddr 12:	20 S. Commerce St., St	e. 120	0	
City. Las Vegas	State NV	Zip Code . 89115			s Vegas		State	^{Zip Code} 89102
Telephone	<u> </u>	<u>.                                    </u>		Telep	ohone: CLAIM NO. 2016-0022			
PERSON REQUESTING APPEAL:	Employe	r's Attorney				<del>,,</del>	· ·	
I WISH TO APPEAL THE HEARING O	OFFICER D	DECISION DAT	ΕC	): [	06/01/17			
YOU MUST ATT	ACH A	COPY OF	T	HE	HEARING OFFICE	R.DE	CISIO	N
BRIEFLY EXPLAIN REASON FOR A	PPEAL:				_	T5	<u> </u>	C North Color of the Color of t
Disagree with Decision and Ord	der.					F	2:45	                         
If you are represented by	an attorr	ney or other a	ag	jen				
ATTORNEY/REPRES	ENTATI\	/E:	ı	r.	INSURANCECO	MPA	NY/T.P.	A.:
Attorney, or Representative's Name. Daniel L. Schwartz, Esq.				Pa	rer or Third Party Administrator's Name: atty Pizano / Focus Fran	ning	···	
Address, Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smi	th LLP			12	20 S. Commerce St., S	te. 12	:0	
2300 W. Sahara, Ste. 300, Box	28						State.	Zip Code:
Las Vegas	State. NV	^{Zıp Code:} 89102		L	is Vegas		NV	89102
(702) 893-3383				I el	ephone.			
Moden for				-	6/20/17			
Signature		<u>NC</u>	ìΤ		Pate `\ '			
If the Hearing Officer dec Nevada Attorney for Injur	ision is ap ed Worke	pealed. Claim	ar	nts	= are entitled to free legal re ant NAIW to represent you	preser , pleas	ntation by se sign b	y the elow:
Signature				Ŧ	elephone Number			
_	he Hearin s after th	g Officer's Dec e date of the	cis	sior arin	n, file this form and a copy BIOTED ON	of the		1 <b>no</b>

JUN 3 0 2017

9/12@ 1794955-CY

33947-19

## STATE OF NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION HEARINGS DIVISION

In the matter of the Contested Industrial Insurance Claim of:

Hearing Number: 1710955-MT Claim Number: 2016-0022

MARTIN DURAN PEREZ 3555 E LAKE MEAD BLVD #147 LAS VEGAS, NV 89115

FOCUS PLUMBLING 1220 S COMMERCE ST STE 120 LAS VEGAS, NV 89102

The Claimant's request for hearing was filed on March 22, 2017 and a hearing was scheduled for MAY 25, 2017. The hearing was held on MAY 25, 2017, in accordance with Chapters 616 and 617 of the Nevada Revised Statutes.

The Claimant was present. The Claimant was represented by JACOB LEAVITT ESQ. The Employer was not present. The Employer and the Administrator were represented by DANIEL SCHWARTZ ESQ.

#### **ISSUE**

The Claimant appealed the determination of FOCUS PLUMBLING dated March 6, 2017.

The issue before the Hearing Officer is claim denial.

#### DECISION AND ORDER

The determination of the Insurer is hereby REVERSED/REMANDED.

Counsel and Claimant represent that on December 30, 2016, the Claimant had an issue with his paycheck being short so went over to where his supervisor was to discuss this; his supervisor at the time was up on a roof with his son. An argument pursued and the supervisor's son pushed the Claimant off the roof, approximately 10 to 12 feet. They are seeking Workers Compensation benefits.

In reviewing all evidence submitted, and taking into consideration the representations as depicted above, it is clear that an injury has occurred within the course and scope of the Claimant's employment, the Employer being notified timely, medical treatment sought timely, and the initial examining physician causally connecting all diagnosed conditions to this fall. The issue the Claimant had and pursued clarification by his direct supervisor is in fact considered work-related; records indicate the Claimant was not tied officer properly. Upon getting up on the roof; however, had the Claimant not been pushed as purported, this injury may not have occurred. The determination of the Insurer is hereby deemed improper and reversed. The Insurer is hereby remanded to accept this claim for benefits accordingly. NRS 6168.030, NRS 6168.265, NRS 616C.138, NRS 616C.150 (1)

IT IS SO ORDERED this __day of June, 2017

Megan Trenkler Hearing Officer

#### APPEAL RIGHTS

Pursuant to NRS 616C.345(1), should any party desire to appeal this final decision of the Hearing Officer, a request for appeal must be filed with Appeals Officer within thirty (30) days after the date of the decision by the Hearing Officer.

#### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

The undersigned, an employee of the State of Nevada, Department of Administration, Hearings Division, does hereby certify that on the date shown below, a true and correct copy of the foregoing **DECISION AND ORDER** was duly mailed, postage prepaid **OR** placed in the appropriate addressee runner file at the Department of Administration, Hearings Division, 2200 S. Rancho Drive., #210, Las Vegas, Nevada, to the following:

MARTIN DURAN PEREZ 3555 E LAKE MEAD BLVD #147 LAS VEGAS NV 89115

JACOB LEAVITT ESQ BIGHORN LAW 716 S JONES BLVD LAS VEGAS NV 89156

FOCUS PLUMBLING 1220 S COMMERCE ST STE 120 LAS VEGAS NV 89102

DANIEL SCHWARTZ ESQ LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 2300 W SAHARA AVE STE 300 BOX 28 LAS VEGAS NV 89102-4375

Dated this ____ day of June, 2017

Dan Baiza

Employee of the State of Nevada

# REQUEST FOR HEARING BEFORE THE APPEALS OFFICER NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION HEARINGS DIVISION

In the matter of the Contested Industrial Insurance Claim of:	Hearing Number: 1710955-MT Claim Number: 2016-0022
MARTIN DURAN PEREZ 3555 E LAKE MEAD BLVD #147 LAS VEGAS, NV 89115	FOCUS PLUMBLING 1220 S COMMERCE ST STE 120 LAS VEGAS, NV 89102
I WISH TO APPEAL THE HEARING OFFICER	DECISION DATED:
(Please attach a copy o	f the Hearing Officer's Decision)
PERSON REQUESTING APPEAL: (circle one	E) CLAIMANT/EMPLOYER/INSURER
REASON FOR APPEAL:	
If you are represented by an attorney or other	er agent, please print the name and address below.
Name of Attorney or Representative	Person requesting this hearing (please print)
Address	Person requesting this hearing (signature)
City, State, Zip Code	
Telephone Number	Telephone Number Date
	NOTICE
	CLAIMANTS are entitled to free legal representation by NAIW). If you want NAIW to represent you, please sign
Signature If you are appealing the Hearing Officer's of that decision at:	Telephone Number lecision, file this form no later than thirty (30) days after

NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION APPEALS OFFICE 2200 S RANCHO DRIVE, SUITE 220 LAS VEGAS, NV 89102 (702) 486-2527

# NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION AND ADDEALS OFFICER

2 3

1

In the Matter of the Contested Industrial Insurance Claim

MARTIN DURAN PEREZ

LAS VEGAS, NV 89115,

of

Claimant.

5

6

3555 E. LAKE MEAD BLVD., #147 7

8 9

10

Claim No.:

2016-0022

Hearing No.:

1710955-N

Appeal No.:

Employer:

**FOCUS FRAMING** 

C/O FOCUS PLUMBING ATTN: PATTY PIZANO

1220 S. COMMERCE ST., STE 120

LAS VEGAS, NV 89102

11

13

12

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

INSURER'S MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL

COMES NOW the Insurer, FOCUS PLUMBING (hereinafter referred to as "Insurer"), by and through its attorneys, DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ., and LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP, and moves this Appeals Officer for a Stay of the execution of the Hearing Officer's Decision and Order, dated June 1, 2017, pending decision on the merits of the appeal by Insurer to this Appeals Officer, filed separately.

This Motion is made and based upon the attached Points and Authorities and any arguments of counsel on this matter, requested by the Appeals Officer.

DATED this 3 day of June, 2017.

Respectfully submitted,

LEWIS BRISBOJS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

Nevada Bar No. 5125

2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 300, Box 28

Las Vegas, NV 89102 Phone: (702) 893-3383 Fax: (702) 366-9689

Attorneys for the Insurer

4834-1747-7195.1 /33947-19

DOCO19

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The present appeal stems from a June 1, 2017, Hearing Officer's Decision and Order, Hearing No. 1710955-MT, which reversed and remanded Insurer's March 6, 2017 determination denying the claim. (Exhibit pp. 63-65.)

The claimant, MARTIN DURAN PEREZ (hereinafter referred to as "claimant"), alleges that he was pushed off of the roof on December 30, 2016. The claimant was treated at UMC Trauma for subdural hematoma on the date of the incident. The claimant was taken off of work. (Exhibit p. 1)

A Supervisor Accident Investigation Report notes that the claimant went to the second floor with no fall protection and was involved in **work place violence**. (Exhibit p. 2)

Foreman Rafael Benitez noted that he did not witness the event but found Mr. Perez passed out on the ground and called 911 and checked vitals. (Exhibit pp. 3-4)

A Safety Report completed by Safety Manager Nicholas Pao, which noted that the claimant was mad due to an alleged pay check shortage while working on Pedro's crew. The claimant climbed an 8 foot ladder to get to where Pedro was working on a second floor, tied off. The claimant started yelling at Pedro and Pedro stated that they could fix the problem the following Tuesday at the office. However, the discussion with the two got "elevated." Pedro's son came up from the first floor to aid his father, and when the Pedro's son asked the claimant to stop, the claimant allegedly started yelling at him and the son put his hands on the claimant and pushed him away and the claimant eventually fell off of the roof. (Exhibit pp. 5-9)

Pedro Rosales also gave a statement and alleged that the claimant, at 5:00 came up to the second floor where he was working and began to say bad words to him and tried to hit him. He told the claimant to give him time and he would try and resolve the problem on January 2, 2017. His son heard the offensive comments and came up to defend him and other people also were involved verbally, including an unidentified person who also came up to the second floor and later left. (Exhibit pp. 10-11)

Pedro's son, Jose Rosales gave his version of what happened, as well. (Exhibit pp.

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW 4834-1747-7195.1 33947-19

1	12-13)
2	Statements by Eduardo Leon and Elvis Herrera noted that the son of the man
3	working on the second floor pushed the claimant who fell off of roof after a discussion between
4	the parties. (Exhibit pp. 14-17)
5	A statement from the claimant indicated that he climbed to where Pedro was
6	working and showed him his check and Pedro stated that houses do not make money. He then
7	states that Pedro's son stated it wasn't good and that he was then grabbed and pushed off of the
8	roof. (Exhibit p. 18)
9	An Industrial Injury or Illness form in Spanish was also executed by the claimant.
10	(Exhibit pp. 19-20)
11	A Criminal Complaint was issued against Pedro's son, Jose Rosales. (Exhibit p.
12	21)
13	The claimant was treated at UMC on the date of the incident described as a 20 foot
14	fall after being pushed off of a roof. The claimant was transferred out of the Emergency
15	Department after a subdural bleed was discovered along with a possible right 8 th rib fracture. X-
16	rays of the left shoulder revealed no acute osseous abnormality, and a CT scan of the brain
17	revealed a subdural hematoma, and a MRI of the cervical spine was normal except for soft tissue
18	swelling from T-1 through T-3. Other diagnostic testing was essentially normal. (Exhibit pp. 22-
19	56)
20	A claim denial determination was issued on March 6, 2017(Exhibit pp. 57-59)
21	On March 21, 2017, the claimant appealed the claim denial determination. (Exhibit
22	p. 60)
23	On March 30, 2017, the adjuster denied March 21, 2017, requests for 1) TTD
24	beginning on December 30, 2016, to present and 2) request for transfer of care to Dr. Garber.
25	(Exhibit pp. 61-62)
26	Following Hearing No. 1710955-MT, the Hearing Officer issued a Decision and
27	Order dated June 1, 2017, reversing the March 6, 2017 determination denying the claim. (Exhibit

28 pp. 63-65.)

4834-1747-7195.1 33947-19

Insurer has filed a timely appeal of this erroneous Decision and Order of the Hearing Officer ordering the claim accepted. Pending a hearing on the merits of its appeal, Insurer moves this Court for a Stay of the Hearing Officer's decision.

#### **POINTS & AUTHORITIES**

#### **Jurisdiction**

Subsection 3 of NRS 616C.345 provides in part that, "[t]he Appeals Officer may order a Stay, when appropriate, upon the application of a party."

#### **ARGUMENT**

#### The Hearing Officer Erred As a Matter of Law and of Fact

It is the claimant, not the Insurer, who has the burden of proving his case by a preponderance of all the evidence. <u>State Indus. Ins. Sys. v. Hicks</u>, 100 Nev. 567, 688 P.2d 324 (1984); <u>Johnson v. State ex rel. Wyoming Worker's Comp. Div.</u>, 798 P.2d 323 (1990); <u>Hagler v. Micron Tech., Inc.</u>, 118 Idaho 596, 798 P.2d 55 (1990).

In attempting to prove his case, the claimant has the burden of going beyond speculation and conjecture. That means that the claimant must establish all facets of the claim by a preponderance of all the evidence. To prevail, a claimant must present and prove more evidence than an amount which would make his case and his opponent's "evenly balanced." Maxwell v. SIIS, 109 Nev. 327, 849 P.2d 267 (1993); SIIS v. Khweiss, 108 Nev. 123, 825 P.2d 218 (1992); SIIS v. Kelly, 99 Nev. 774, 671 P.2d 29 (1983); 3, A. Larson, The Law of Workmen's Compensation, §80.33(a).

NRS 616A.010(2) makes it clear that:

A claim for compensation filed pursuant to the provisions of chapters 616A to 616D, inclusive, or chapter 617 of NRS must be decided on its merit and not according to the principle of common law that requires statutes governing workers' compensation to be liberally construed because they are remedial in nature.

The issue is whether the Hearing Officer erred in reversing the denial of this claim. The Insurer asserts that based upon the totality of the evidence presented, the Hearing Officer did so err. The incident did not arise out of nor was it in the course and scope of the claimant's employment.

4834-1747-7195.1

33947-19

Under NRS 616C.150(1), the <u>claimant</u> has the burden of proof to show that the injury arose out of and in the course and scope of his employment. The claimant must satisfy this burden by a preponderance of the factual and medical evidence. Further, NRS 616B.612 mandates that an employee is only entitled to compensation if he is injured in the course and scope of his employment.

The claimant's injuries arose out of a *personal dispute*, rather than in the course of his employment. The facts are not disputed and are as follows: The claimant was working on a job site. He took it upon himself to go to *another* job site, where his former lead was working. He ascended a ladder, without any protective equipment, and confronted his old lead regarding a pay check. His former lead informed him that they would take care of it at the office. Claimant continued to argue and shout profanities at his former lead. His former lead's son, then became involved and pushed the claimant. The claimant fell off of the roof and sustained injury. Nothing within this fact pattern points to a compensable claim. The claimant was out of line in going to a different job site. The claimant was out of line for ascending a ladder without fall protection. The aggressor was out of line in pushing the claimant; however, there is absolutely nothing compensable about this incident.

The Nevada Supreme Court has held that:

An accident or injury is said to arise out of employment when there is a causal connection between the injury and the employee's work ... the injured party must establish a link between the workplace conditions and how those conditions caused the injury ... a claimant must demonstrate that the origin of the injury is related to some risk involved within the scope of employment.

Rio Suite Hotel v. Gorsky, 113 Nev. 600, 939 P.2d 1043(1997). (emphasis added)

The same Court further stated that the "Nevada Industrial Insurance Act is not a mechanism which makes insurers/employers absolutely liable for injuries suffered by employees who are on the job." (Id.)

Here, the claimant was involved in a <u>personal dispute</u> with a co-worker and the injuries <u>did not arise from the Employer's business or the claimant's job</u>. The claimant clearly has not established that the injury occurred within the course and scope of his employment,

LEWIS
BRISBOIS
BISGAARD
& SMITH LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

4834-1747-7195.1 **7-**19

as he was not acting on behalf of the Employer when he verbally assaulted his former lead and 1 another co-worker pushed him, causing injury. What is clear is that this incident had nothing to 2 do with his work duties. It was clearly a personal matter between him and the co-worker. 3 The fact that claimant was injured due to his accosting his former lead, does not make this a 4 compensable injury arising out of and in the course and scope of his employment. Conversely, 5 claimant cannot be rewarded for his behavior that was clearly outside of the scope of his employment and not in furtherance, but actually in opposition of, his Employer's business. 7 The Nevada Supreme Court has repeatedly held that an employee who is 8 injured in the course of his work by the insane act of a fellow employee sustains injury which 9 does not arise out of employment. Cummings v. United Resort Hotels, Inc., 85 Nev. 23 (1969); 10

Corrao Const. Co. v. Curtis, 94 Nev. 569 (1978); Outboard Marine Corp. v. Schupbach, 93 Nev. 158 (1977).

The Cummings decision went further and adopted the general rule that injuries resulting from assaults by fellow workmen when the attack results from personal animosity are not compensable. (Id.) (Citing Pacific Employers Ins. Co. v. Industrial Acc. Comm., 293 P.2d 502 (Cal. App. 1956).

<u>Larson's</u> treatise on worker's compensation also speaks to this issue:

When the animosity or dispute that culminates in an assault is imported into the employment from claimant's domestic or private life, the assault does not arise out of the employment under any test.

3, A. Larson, The Law of Workmen's Compensation, §11.21(a) (emphasis added).

Larson cites to a number of cases which are helpful in adjudicating the matter before this Court. In City of Atlanta v. Shaw, 345 S.E.2d 642 (1986), an employee sought benefits for an injury sustained during a fight with a fellow employee. The fight concerned the use of the employer's telephone. In reaching the conclusion that the claim was non-compensable, the Georgia court focused on the history of personal animosity.

Further, the Nevada Supreme Court held in Mitchell v. Clark County School District, 121 Nev. 179, 111 P.3d 1104 (2005):

28

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

4834-1747-7195.1 33947-19

An accident or injury is said to arise out of employment when there is a causal connection between the injury and the employee's work. In other words, the injured party must establish a link between the workplace conditions and how those conditions caused the injury. Further, a claimant must demonstrate that the origin of the injury is related to some risk involved within the scope of employment. However, if an accident is not fairly traceable to the nature of employment or the workplace environment, then the injury cannot be said to arise out of the claimant's employment. Finally, resolving whether an injury arose out of employment is examined by a totality of the circumstances.

The Court in <u>Rio Suite Hotel & Casino v. Gorsky</u>, 113 Nev. 600, 605 939 P2d. 1043 (1997) held that the "Nevada Industrial Insurance Act is not a mechanism which makes insurers absolutely liable for injuries suffered by employees who are on the job." The Court concluded by stating, "The requirements of 'arising out of and in the course of employment' make it clear that a claimant must establish more than being at work and suffering an injury in order to recover."

The Court in <u>Rio All Suite Hotel and Casino v. Phillips</u>, 126 Nev. _, 240 P.3d 2 (2010) clarified <u>Mitchell</u>. It indicated that:

The appeals officer found that Phillips' case was 'distinguishable' from Mitchell because Phillips' injury did not result from an 'unexplained fall.' Without elaborating, the appeals officer also stated that '[t]he Mitchell [c]ourt mentions the inherent dangerousness of stairways.' . . . [The Court in Rio further discussed Mitchell: "The employee argued that because she did not have a health affliction that caused her to fall and 'because staircases are inherently dangerous,' her injury "arose out of her employment." ... The appeals officer determined that the employee's fall did not arise out of her employment, and the district court denied her petition for judicial review."... [Our finding in Mitchell was that] "[T]he employee must show that 'the origin of the injury is related to some risk involved within the scope of employment . . . thus, because the [Mitchell] employee could not explain how the conditions of her employment caused her to fall . . . we determined that the appeals officer correctly concluded that she failed to demonstrate the requisite 'causal connection.

There is simply now showing at this time that there is any origin of injury related to some risk within the scope of employment, given the personal nature of the dispute between the

claimant and his co-worker. The Hearing Officer incorrectly concluded that the injury occurred within the course and scope of the employment. The case law does not support this conclusion, nor was the case law even addressed by the Hearing Officer. The claimant had no right to approach a job site, in which he was not working on, ascend a ladder without safety equipment, and begin verbally assaulting his former supervisor and/or co-worker(s). The act that followed, though unfortunate, is certainly nothing that arose from the employment. The personal dispute and corresponding injury among co-workers is not compensable. The claim was properly denied and the Hearing Officer's reversal of same is arbitrary, capricious and against the weight of the evidence.

Based on the evidence available, the Employer submits that it has a strong likelihood of prevailing on the merits. Accordingly, a Stay of the Hearing Officer's decision and order is appropriate until such time as a hearing can be conducted on the merits of the Employer's underlying appeal.

The Hearing Officer's decision is blatantly arbitrary and capricious and against the weight of the evidence and the case law cited above. Accordingly, a Stay of the Hearing Officer's Decision and Order is appropriate until such time as a hearing can be conducted on the merits of Insurer's underlying appeal.

#### Insurer is the Only Party that Will Suffer Any Harm

In <u>DIR v. Circus Circus</u>, 101 Nev. 405, 411-12, 705 P.2d 645, 649 (1985), the Nevada Supreme Court stated that an Insurer/Employer's proper procedure when aggrieved by a decision is to seek a Stay. The Nevada Supreme Court has also recognized that a Stay should be granted where it can be shown that the appellant would suffer irreparable injury during the pendency of the appeal, if the Stay is not granted. White Pine Power v. Public Serv. Comm'n, 76 Nev. 263, 252 P.2d 256 (1960). The Supreme Court elaborated upon this requirement in Kress v. Corey, 65 Nev. 1, 189 P.2d 352 (1948):

As a rule a supersedes or stay should be granted whenever it appears that without it the object of the appeal or writ of error may be defeated or that it is reasonably necessary to protect appellant or plaintiff in error from irreparable or serious injury in the case of reversal and it does not appear that appellee or defendant in error

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

4834-1747-7195.1 33947-19

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

will sustain irreparable or disproportionate injury in case of affirmance. 65 Nev. at 17.

The Nevada Supreme Court held, in <u>Ransier v. SIIS</u>, 104 Nev. 742, 766 P.2d 274 (1988), that an Insurer/Employer may not seek recoupment of benefits paid to a claimant that were later found to be unwarranted on appeal. The <u>Ransier</u> decision has not been overruled or reversed. Thus, a Stay is the only method of preventing a burdensome and unnecessary cost to the Insurer pending an appeal.

In the present case, the issue is the reversal of claim denial, in spite of clear evidence in support of that denial. Without this Stay, the Insurer must comply with the erroneous Decision, accept the claim and begin administering benefits. Once benefits and medical treatment have been provided and paid for, said costs cannot be recovered by Insurer, even if it prevails in its appeal. Claimant will receive all appropriate treatment and benefits (with interest) if he prevails on the merits. Therefore, there is no harm to claimant if the Stay is granted.

If this Court elects to deny this Motion, the underlying appeal will be rendered moot, as the Insurer will be forced to accept and administer the claim without claimant having to meet his legal burden of proof. Thus, a denial of Stay would have the effect of denying Insurer the opportunity to contest the Hearing Officer's Decision.

Therefore, it is clear that under these facts, Insurer is the only party that will suffer irreparable harm if a Stay is denied. Accordingly, a Stay of the Hearing Officer's Decision is appropriate until such time as a hearing can be conducted on the merits of Insurer's appeal.

///

#### 

4834-1747-7195.1 **-**19

#### **CONCLUSION**

Based upon the foregoing points and authorities, Insurer, FOCUS PLUMBING, respectfully submits that it has established good cause to grant a Stay of the Hearing Officer's Decision and Order dated June 1, 2017, particularly in light of the clear error of law and fact, which have been established above.

WHEREFORE, Insurer, FOCUS PLUMBING, respectfully requests that the Appeals Officer grant its Motion for Stay Pending Appeal until such time as a hearing can be conducted on the merits of the underlying appeal.

#### **AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030**

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the attached exhibits do not contain the personal information of any person.

DATED this 30 day of June, 2017.

Respectfully submitted,

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

By:

DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 5125

2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 300, Box 28

Las Vegas, NV 89101 Phone: (702) 893-3383 Fax: (702) 366-9689 Attorneys for the Insurer

#### **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

Pursuant to Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure 5(b), I hereby certify that service of the foregoing INSURER'S MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL was made this date by depositing a true copy of the same for mailing, first class mail, at Las Vegas, Nevada, addressed as follows: MORRIS ANDERSON LAW JACOB LEAVITT, ESQ. 716 S. JONES BLVD. LAS VEGAS, NV 89107 **FOCUS FRAMING** C/O FOCUS PLUMBING FOCUS PLUMBING ATTN: PATTY PIZANO 1220 S. COMMERCE ST., STE 120 LAS VEGAS, NV 89102 DATED this 30 day of June, 2017. 

An employee of LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

4834-1747-7195.1 **-**19

#### EMPLOYEE'S CLAIM FOR COMPENSATION/REPORT OF INITIAL TREATMENT Acct 9931347349 FORM C-4 PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT EMPLOYEE'S CLAIM - PROVIDE ALL INFORMATION REQUESTED Last Name Claim Number (Insurer's Use Only **MM** DF Height Weight Social Security Number Mead Telephone Physical Address State Primary Language Spoken INSURER THIRD-PARTY ADMINISTRATOR Employee's Occupation (Job.Title) When Injury or Occupational Disease Occurred Plumbi 702 Office Mail Address (Number and Street) S Commerce 220 Last Day of Work After Injury St St. Las Vegas, XV 89102 Date Employer Notified Hours Injury (if applicable) Supervisor to Whom Injury Reported or Occupational Disease 0.10 Address or Location of Accident (if applicable) Un Known What were you doing at the time of the accident? (if applicable) Pushed off How did this injury or occupational disease occur? (Be specific and answer in detail. Use additional sheet if necessary) If you believe that you have an occupational disease, when did you first have knowledge of the disability and its Witnesses to the Accident (if relationship to your employment? applicable) Nature of Injury or Occupational Disease Thrib Fracture Part(s) of Body Injured or Affected traumatic Subdural I CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND THAT I HAVE PROVIDED THIS INFORMATION IN ORDER TO OBTAIN THE BENEFITS OF NEVADA'S INDUSTRIAL INSURANCE AND OCCUPATIONAL DISEASES ACTS (NRS 516A TO 518D, INCLUSIVE OR CHAPTER 517 OF NRS). I HEREBY AUTHORIZE ANY PHYSICIAN, CHROPRACTOR, SURGEON, PRACTITIONER, OR OTHER PERSON, ANY HOSPITAL, INCLUDING VETERANS ADMINISTRATION OR GOVERNIMENTAL HOSPITAL, ANY MEDICAL SERVICE ORGANIZATION, ANY INSURANCE COMPANY, OR OTHER INSTITUTION OR OR ORGANIZATION TO RELEASE TO EACH OTHER, ANY MEDICAL OR OTHER INFORMATION, INCLUDING BENEFITS PAID OR PAYABLE, PERTINENT TO THIS INJURY OR DISEASE, EXCEPT INFORMATION RELATIVE TO DIAGNOSIS, TREATMENT AND/OR COUNSELING FOR AIDS, PSYCHOLOGICAL CONDITIONS, ALCOHOLOR CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES, FOR WHICH I MUST GIVE SPECIFIC AUTHORIZATION. A PHOTOSTAT OF THIS AUTHORIZATION SHALL BE AS VALID AS THE ORIGINAL. Dulto. smod. cond. THIS REPORT MUST BE COMPLETED AND MAILED WITHIN 3 WORKING DAYS OF TREATMENT Name of Facility UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER Place TRAUMA ED Diagnosis and Description of Injury or Occupational Disease is there evidence that the injured employee was under the influence of alcohol Date and/or another controlled substance at the time of the accident? No Yes (if yes, please explain) 12/30 SUBTURAL HEMATOMA Hou (BRAIN BLEED) 2230 Have you advised the patient to remain off work five days or more? ANTI-SETEURE MEDICATIONS 12/30/16 Y Yes Indicate dates: from_ ☐ No If no, is the injured employee capable of: ☐ full duty →☐ modified duty If modified duty, specify any limitations/restrictions:

Treatment: X-Ray Findings: SUBDURAL HEMPTOMA From Information given by the employee, together with medical evidence, can you directly connect this injury or occupational disease as job incurred? A Yes O No ACTIVITIES THAT PUT HIM connect this injury or occupational disease as job incurred? PISK PEPERT FOR YA Yes □ No Is additional medical care by a physician indicated? 1 MONTH ☐ Yes K No (Explain if yes) Do you know of any previous Injury or disease contributing to this condition or occupational disease? Print Doctor's Name I certify that the employer's copy of 12/30/2016 this form was mailed to the employer on: AMUE REBOIN Address 1800 W. Charleston INSURER'S USE ONLY Provider's Tax I.D. Number Telephone City Las Vegas State 89102 702-383-2000 88-6000436 Degree octor's Signature

PAGE 2 - INSURER/TPA

PAGE 3 - EMPLOYER

PAGE 4 - EMPLOYEE

MAR 0 3 2017

Form C-4 (rev.01/03)

ORIGINAL - TREATING PHYSICIAN OR CHIROPRACTOR

Supervisor Accident Investigation Report (Must be filled out by the Supervisor)

			(141431 00 1				
	Telephone or radio	o your report to th	e SAFETY OFFICI	E IMMEDIATELY.	. Be prepared to giv	e the following info	ormation:
	·	Social	ed Employee's Nam   Security Number   ame / Location	<u>e</u>	Time of Accidentian Name of Medica Details of Accide	l Facility	
	Complete the follo	owing report for so	crious and non-seriou	is injuries and or illn	esses alike.		
•	COMPANY:	Focus F	ramina		JOB NAME: Gra	een Cod	turs Lot 90 Sex: MM □ F
	Injured Employee	e's Full Name:	Martin	Duran	Perez		Sex: MM □ F
	Job Classification	n: Frame	er s	Supervisor's Name:	Ratacl	Benit	cz
	Injury or Illness In Date of Injury or I	nformation: Illness: 12/30	71/6 Time of Da	y: <u>4:30</u> □ a.r	n. Dat	e you reported inju	ry: 12130116
	Did injury require	e: 🗆 First Aid (On	Jobsite) Me	dical Treatment (Cli	nic / Hospital)	_	t (Information Only)
			ment at the time of redded any medical treat	noming injury or ill	18887		s   No s   No
	Type of injury or ☐ Abrasion ☐ Amputation ☐ Avulsion	illness:  Burn Concussion Contusion	☐ Foreign Body☐ Fracture☐ Hearing Loss	☐ Hernia	☐ Laceration☐ Puncture☐ Multiple	☐ Rash ☐ Sprain ☐ Wound	☐ Heart Attack☐ Skin Disease☐ Trauma
	Part of the body c  Arm  Ankle  Back	cffected: Chest Elbow Eye	☐ Finger☐ Foot☐ Hand	Head Hip Knee	□ Leg □ Multiple □ Neck	☐ Shoulder ☐ Thumb ☐ Toc	☐ Trunk☐ Wrist☐ Lungs
	Body side Indicat  Upper	Lower	NSO Left	□ Right	□ Both		
•	Explain how the i objects and how t	injury or illness oc they were involved	curred (Describe the	events that resulted in ect of paper if necess	in injury or illness, very.	what happened, how	it happened and name
	Employee	vient	to Alie fla	or without	- PPE, Fai	11 protection	and fall
•		e at work on comp	oany time? 💆 Ye				
•	Did a "hazardous	s condition" exist? xplain: <u>The</u>	employee)		n elimbed	on a 2ª f	lar without the proper protec
0.	TEVES please de	edures observed?		s 🔏 No			1 1 1/2 1/2 1
	If NO, please exp	olain which safety	procedure(s) were vi	olated: No PPG	, No Fall	protecum an	I Work place vive
1.			ent? Werk p		nce		
2.	Recurrence Rate:	:	accident from reocci	ccasional Rare	aning 1st time		
	Was this hazard	previously reporte	d? □ Yes 💆 🕽	Fine ha	epend		Date

## INCIDENT REPORT FORM SAFETY REPORT

COMPANY NAME: FOCUS Framing
NAME OF INVOLVED PERSON: Martin Duran Perez
NAME OF INVOLVED PERSON:
ADDRESS:
PHONE:
DATE & TIME OF INCIDENT: 12/30/16 4:30 PM
LOCATION: Green Courts Lot 90
WAS ILLNESS OR INJURY INVOLVED (if yes, describe below)?
DESCRIPTION OF INCIDENT (Please include names of individuals involved, nature of the
incident, if injury or illness give name of physician/hospital used, names & addresses
of witnesses, and narrative of what occurred)
At the time the incident occurred I did not
see it happend myself, when I got there
the employee was lying on the ground passed
aut I checked vitels and notified Tile
Also notified upper managment and safer
departament

FINAL DISPOSITION (how you intend to handle the incident, any next steps
required, or likely outcomes)
,
•
FOLLOW UP:
PRINT NAME OF PERSON SUBMITTING REPORT: <u>Ratad Benites</u>
TITLE: Foreman
SIGNATURE OF PERSON SUBMITTING REPORT: Del Bet
DATE OF REPORT: 12/30/16
NOTE: Incident Report Forms MUST be completed and submitted to Safety Department within 48 hours of the incident.

## INCIDENT REPORT FORM SAFETY REPORT

COMPANY NAME: FOCUS Framing
NAME OF INVOLVED PERSON: Martine Duran - Percz
ADDRESS:
PHONE:
DATE & TIME OF INCIDENT: 12 30/16 @ 4:30 % 5:00 PM
LOCATION: Green Courts lot #90 KB Homes.
WAS ILLNESS OR INJURY INVOLVED (if yes, describe below)?
DESCRIPTION OF INCIDENT (Please include names of individuals involved, nature of the
incident, if injury or illness give name of physician/hospital used, names & addresses
of witnesses, and narrative of what occurred)
from taken from Collowing Statements of employees.
Employee Martine was mad cause his pay check was
Short from the week before when he was working
on Dedros crew. That evening at about 4:30 pm
Martine walked across the street from lot # 98 to where
Pedro was working on lot #90 and climbed a 8 FT. ladder
to act onto second floor where heard was whorking
tied are the confronted bedro and started yelling at
I'm forder stated be that told him that they could go
In affice on hierday to his it, but it only got clevared
and then Pedros son who was working down

FINAL DISPOSITION (how you intend to handle the incident, any next steps

required, or likely outcomes)

Stairs Climbed up to help his dad out. He stated
he told Marine to stop, but he started yelling at him
and then put hands on him and that's when he pushed
him away and was about 36t away Grom edge and
tried to grab coral post but ended up falling to face
ground approximately 126+ to the ground, Martine was
Knocked un constions. Employee Eduardo Leon then
Climbed up and confronted Pedro and his feat Son
and wanted to Gight. Pedro stated that he told
him stop- and he went backclown.
FOLLOW UP:
•
PRINT NAME OF PERSON SUBMITTING REPORT: Nicholas Pais
TITLE: Safety Manager
SIGNATURE OF PERSON SUBMITTING REPORT:
DATE OF REPORT: 1/2/17.

NOTE: Incident Report Forms MUST be completed and submitted to Safety Department within 48 hours of the incident.

## INCIDENT REPORT FORM SAFETY REPORT

COMPANY NAME: FOCUS Framing
NAME OF INVOLVED PERSON: Martine Divin - Percz
ADDRESS:
PHONE:
DATE & TIME OF INCIDENT: 12 30/16 & 4:30 to 5:00 PM.
LOCATION: Green Court #90
WAS ILLNESS OR INJURY INVOLVED (if yes, describe below)?
DESCRIPTION OF INCIDENT (Please include names of individuals involved, nature of the
incident, if injury or illness give name of physician/hospital used, names & addresses
of witnesses, and narrative of what occurred)
When they got down. Foreman Rafael Benitez
1.165 already there and started proper 575T
Aid protocal and notified Grat Illaporders.
Ratael then notified Upper management and
the Salety Department.
The employee was taken to St. Ruse Sicora
Con Fren Euclosabron. The Collowin morning
Henderson police were not bed but they have
cheady had opened up as in vestagation,
and we are working with them to get all
Statements:

FINAL DISPOSITION (how you intend to handle the incident, any next steps
required, or likely outcomes)
to their and any information that they
may request.
to home from the hospital.
to home From the hospital.
•
PRINT NAME OF PERSON SUBMITTING REPORT: Nicholas Pao
TITLE: Salety Marrager
SIGNATURE OF PERSON SUBMITTING REPORT:
DATE OF REPORT: 11 7.117-

NOTE: Incident Report Forms MUST be completed and submitted to Safety Department within 48 hours


of the incident.

Forwillent

Martine Dobran - Perez

report 16-23551 Police Report

Pedro's house lot #90 300 3161 Via Tellaro Sr. Francisco house lot # 500 94 3156 Florence Galls Walle.



# INCIDENT REPORT FORM SAFETY REPORT

COMPANY NAME: FOCUS Framing
NAME OF INVOLVED PERSON: Pedro Robales C
ADDRESS: 2182 N. PECOS Rd 769
195 Vegas NIV 89115
PHONE: (402) 439-9511
DATE & TIME OF INCIDENT: 12/30/2016 5: PM
LOCATION: Green Court 6 înspirada
WAS ILLNESS OR INJURY INVOLVED (if yes, describe below)?
DESCRIPTION OF INCIDENT (Please include names of individuals involved, nature of the
incident, if injury or illness give name of physician/hospital used, names & addresses
of witnesses, and narrative of what occurred)
12/30/2016 Al Redador de las 5: PM este Senor bino de un Lot,
Para mi Lot 90 y el se surio a el segundo fizo y enfeso co
desir malas Palabras, y tratar de gol Pearme, vo estando travadano
con mi cquipo de Protection/Hardnes & Yoya,) el subio asta
el con migo (with out Harnes & Yoyo) counde mi 100
escucho los Grito ofencivos del Señox acia Mi, 40
le espique al señar que me diera tiempo Para
Resolver el Situación Para el Martes ollo2/2017
en la joticina pero se portave my agrecious asia mi,
tratophelorme Pero mi iJo Jose la unico que Paso
esque trato de defendar a papa, el segundo senor
Subjo tanvien al segondo Pizo a tratar de Pelamos a mi
V a poli 11/0, a biana, maki tuluvia v vieta vosta to t
ivo no pucimos Atención acia el Porque yole dive a lo

FINAL DISPOSITION (how you intend to handle the incident, any next steps

of the incident.

required, or likely outcomes)
el señor Pasa a Retirarse bajondo del segundo Piza
Sin Protection's, dro tercer serior Gritava Palauras
del otro lado de la calle tratondo como de
agredirnos tanbien, nosatros siemprenos enfocamos
en lograr nuestaro travato y nuestra meta.
Para la compania (Focus Framing Company)
Respotar las Reglas y Formas que senos
enserra no Peleas No discuciones,
Cho Ofensans) only Resolver las
Situaciones de la manera correcta.
yo iva a llamar al(911) Perano lo isé Porque
FOLLOW UP: Hel Forman Ye go en el mannento y Se
en cargo de la Siguienta
·
PRINT NAME OF PERSON SUBMITTING REPORT: Pedro Rosales-C
TITLE: Foreman
SIGNATURE OF PERSON SUBMITTING REPORT: Pedro Rosalos. C
DATE OF REPORT: 12 31 16
NOTE: Incident Report Forms MUST be completed and submitted to Safety Department within 48 hours

00316

# INCIDENT REPORT FORM SAFETY REPORT

COMPANY NAME: FOUS Framing
NAME OF INVOLVED PERSON: 509e 709ales
ADDRESS: 2182 NPECOS LV NY 89115 \$109
100 000,000 60
PHONE: 102.238.9258
DATE & TIME OF INCIDENT: 12-30-10 4:45 pm
LOCATION: CYOUR LOT 90
WAS ILLNESS OR INJURY INVOLVED (if yes, describe below)?
DESCRIPTION OF INCIDENT (Please include names of individuals involved, nature of the
incident, if injury or illness give name of physician/hospital used, names & addresses
of witnesses, and narrative of what occurred)
I was clear your exams
and xus dot come no stains
aggeriuse, xo m / ramer sox
rushed up xnere and & xold
vin come gonn in so want
mode and he comemon addressing
to me so we look ox me xill
to turondu viz nough of we
and me breved him and he
and the breved rim and he
test as doind and sweet he man.
ne fell.

FINAL DISPOSITION (how you intend to handle the incident, any next steps

of the incident.

required, or likely outcomes)
There was a second only mino
XO FIGUR WITH ME XOO DUX S
sock is and lex vivo se
FONU OB OX Sixwood & OD
I was doing, and went to
down sources and fall xoox
core of the seron mind
and mas hax xermina
ronegenge agreer & did't
Lord ox mixer prof
wed were down.
FOLLOW UP:
FOLLOW OF.
PRINT NAME OF PERSON SUBMITTING REPORT: 509 E 4090 YES
SIGNATURE OF PERSON SUBMITTING REPORT: JUNE POSSIBLE  DATE OF REPORT: 1.31.10
SIGNATURE OF PERSON SUBMITTING REPORT:
DATE OF REPORT: 1.31.10
NOTE: Incident Report Forms MUST be completed and submitted to Safety Department within 48 hours

# INCIDENT REPORT FORM SAFETY REPORT

COMPANY NAME: Facus Fraiming
sala da lasa "
ADDRESS: 3555 loke mode Blud APT #147
AUDRESS. 5 5 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
PHONE (805) 90780 11 4:30 pm E.L.
DATE & TIME OF INCIDENT: 17-30 -16
LOCATION: 6reen Cors L.#90
WAS ILLNESS OR INJURY INVOLVED (if yes, describe below)?
DESCRIPTION OF INCIDENT (Please include names of individuals involved, nature of the
incident, if injury or illness give name of physician/hospital used, names & addresses
of witnesses, and narrative of what occurred)
Pando la dia su cheke a martin y miro
K NO MOU 2000 4 SUBIO 9 VECTOMORIE
a Padio empesaron a platical y pues se
PXSaltaron un pois pero no hubo ovigros
ni monoteos el hijo de pedro subio un
poro moloste en infantes lo empuso
OSO FUE EN INTENTED 40 AUGIDO SUBI
die VP paso rugneo menos megi copi ia
MA I VI a alaba an Al SUPIO VUES
and a hard a hizo the angulary
en sock la lebantamos del lugar. y burlando se el hijo de Padro le dijo con una boz como de odio. PARA K. TE
burlando se el nijo de praro la dio con
ACUERDEZ DE MI
H ( V C V V C V ) L

FINAL DISPOSITION (how you intend to handle the incident, any next steps
required, or likely outcomes)
FOLLOW UP:
PRINT NAME OF PERSON SUBMITTING REPORT: Eduardo Leon
TITLE: Carpinta o
SIGNATURE OF PERSON SUBMITTING REPORT: Educado Lega
DATE OF REPORT: 7-30-16
NOTE: Incident Report Forms MUST be completed and submitted to Safety Department within 48 hours of the incident.

# INCIDENT REPORT FORM SAFETY REPORT

COMPANY NAME: FOUCS
NAME OF INVOLVED PERSON: E/U'S HERRERA
1000 11/1
ADDRESS: / / / / /
0.11.6
PHONE (702) 689-2460
DATE & TIME OF INCIDENT: 12/30/16
LOCATION: GREEN COURTS (04/90)
WAS ILLNESS OR INJURY INVOLVED (if yes, describe below)?
·
DESCRIPTION OF INCIDENT (Please include names of individuals involved, nature of the
incident, if injury or illness give name of physician/hospital used, names & addresses
of witnesses, and narrative of what occurred)
Will I saw that MartiNE Was pushed
from The Second floor and hit The floor
The son and father were on the second
Floor talking and Then Martine Started
Talking to the mans son and out of No
Where The son Of The man Pushed Martine
from The Second Floor

FINAL DISPOSITION (how you intend to handle the incident, any next steps					
required, or likely outcomes)					
·					
·					
FOLLOW UP:					
••					
PRINT NAME OF PERSON SUBMITTING REPORT: Elvis HERRERA					
ritle: Framer					
SIGNATURE OF PERSON SUBMITTING REPORT:					
DATE OF REPORT: 12/31/160					
, ,					
NOTE: Incident Report Forms MUST be completed and submitted to Safety Department within 48 hours					

of the incident.

# INCIDENT REPORT FORM SAFETY REPORT

COMPANY NAME: TOCUS
NAME OF INVOLVED PERSON: Martin Duran Perez
ADDRESS: 3555 E Cakemend Rlud Apt # 147
Las Vegas NV 89115
PHONE: (805) 990-1923
DATE & TIME OF INCIDENT: 4:30 pm
LOCATION: Green COURTS Late # 90
WAS ILLNESS OR INJURY INVOLVED (if yes, describe below)?
DESCRIPTION OF INCIDENT (Please include names of individuals involved, nature of the
incident, if injury or illness give name of physician/hospital used, names & addresses
of witnesses, and narrative of what occurred)
Viernes 30 de Diciembre de 2016 10 Martin Duron Perez
Subi donde estata el señar Pedro. Abri mi Cheque
Y le dire que no era Tusto lo que me pago. FSO
no esta bien. El me respondio que los casas no tenia
Dinera El hijo del Señor Predro me dija como no
Esta bien. Me agarro desprebenido y me abento dest
Arriba. Elvis miro todo y mi curado Ilama al 911
Yo no me acurdo despues de nada hasta que llegue al
dospital.
Martin Durán Peréz



•	Rellene el siguiente informe en caso de herida grave, no- grave, o enfe	rmedad.					
1.	•	Nombre del Proyecto: Green Co arts la					
	compania: For US framing  Rafael Benites	Nombre del Frojesto					
	Nombre del Foreman: Rafael Benites	nivin peron					
2.	Nombre del Empleado (favor de escribir en letra): Maytin  Nombre del Empleado (favor de escribir en letra): Maytin	1vd HP+ 147 89/15 100 Vegas					
	Domicilió, y Número de Telefono.						
	Sexo: Me Hombre Mujer Fecha de Nacimiento: / /	7 / / 4 % (Casado?: LISI LINO					
	Clasificación de Trabajo: CQYPIN + CYO  Número del Seguro Social:						
	Duración de Empleo: ☑ Menos de 6 meses ☐ 1 a 3 años ☐ 7 meses a un año ☐ Mas de 3 años	iempo en el trabajo actual: ☐ Menos de 6 meses ☐ 1 a 3 años ☐ 7 meses a un año ☐ Mas de 3 años					
3.	Información del Lesión o Enfermedad: Fecha del Lesión o Enfermedad: 12 /30//6 Hora del Día: 4	150 🗆 a.m. 🗷 p.m. Fecha que reportó la Lesión: 12/30/16					
	¿Requirió el Lesión?: 🗆 Primeros Auxilios 🔀 Tratamiento Médica	o (Clínica / Hospital)					
	Didió tratamiento medico cualido reporto la losion o differencia	ZSi Do Debe marcar una					
	¿Le ofreció tratamiento médico su supervisor / foreman cuando le info	rmo de la lesión o enfermedad? 🏿 🎜 Sí 🗆 No <u><b>Debe marcar un</b></u>					
4.	Tipo de herida o enfermedad:  ☐ Raspadura ☐ Quemadura ☐ Concusión ☐ Fractura ☐ Hernia ☐ Desgarre ☐ Contusión ☐ Pérdida de Oído☐ Irritación	ura					
5.	Parte del cuerpo afectado:  ☐ Brazo ☐ Pecho ☐ Dedo ☐ Cabeza ☐ Tobillo ☐ Codo ☐ Pie ☐ Cadera ☐ Espalda ☐ Ojo ☐ Mano ☐ Rodilla	Múltiple Dedo de Pie Delmones					
	Indicador de la Parte / Lado del Cuerpo:  ☐ Superior ☐ Inferior ☐ Izquierdo ☐ Derech  Les portes de de de courrió el lesión: (6 Y C CN CO QY CO						
6.	1 Hoar exactly floride ocultio of fosion.	•					
7.	¿Qué hacia cuando sufrió la herida? (Sea específico. Si usaba herram lo que estaba haciendo con ellos.) Si es necesario añada otra hoja de p	ientas o equipo, o manejana material, lavor de nomerarios y expressi apel.					
		ento del primer giso					
	<u>C                                     </u>	mientos que peasionaron en la herida o enfermedad, ¿qué sucedió?,					
8.	Explique cómo sucedió la herida o enfermedad (Describa los acontectos ¿Cómo sucedió?, y los objetos / herramientas que fueron involucrados	s.) Si es necesario añada otra hoja de papel.					
	Demicilia número de teléfono: La La	Leon EVis Ererra					
	Testigo(s): Nombre, Dointellio, Italiació de terrorio.	Fecha 1 C					
	Nombre del Empleado (letra) Maytin Duran perez Firma o	relia Pura perez 1:31-17					
	Blanco – Departamento de Seguridad	Amarillo – Empleado					

yo martin estaba Abrando con pedro me
paga 11. dolares La ora y medio mí
paga 11. dolares La ora y medio mí
chequi de seis días 250 Potare x
chequi de seis días 250 Potare x
llo dedige qui poera gusto y yo
llo dedige qui poera bien Loquimedio
dige qui po estaba bien Loquimedio

1

# JUSTICE COURT, HENDERSON TOWNSHIP CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

2627

28

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

-VS-

JOSE ROSALES, aka, Jose Manuel Rosales #8175632,

Defendant.

17CRH000232-0000

CASE NO: 17FH0191X

DEPT NO:

# CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

The Defendant above named having committed the crime of BATTERY WITH SUBSTANTIAL BODILY HARM (Category C Felony - NRS 200.481 - NOC 50214), in the manner following, to-wit: That the said Defendant, on or about the 30th day of December, 2016, at and within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, did willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously use force or violence upon the person of another, to wit: MARTIN PEREZ, by pushing the said MARTIN PEREZ, resulting in substantial bodily harm to MARTIN PEREZ.

All of which is contrary to the form, force and effect of Statutes in such cases made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada. Said Complainant makes this declaration subject to the penalty of perjury.

1114-Me-4286

17FH0191X/lal HPD EV# 1623551 (TK)

W:\2017\2017F\H01\91\17FH0191-COMP-001.DOCX

University Medical Center 1800 W Charleston Blvd Las Vegas,NV 89102 702-383-2000

# **ED Chart View**



Patient Name:

PEREZ, MARTIN

Sex:

BEITY MEDICAL CENTER

Birthdate:

01/08/1978

Age:

38

Acct No:

9931347349

Medical Rec No:

0030138209

Arrival Dt.:

12/30/2016 20:54

1st Chart Launch Dt.:

12/30/2016 21:02

Primary MD:

Treating Provider:

RANDALL BESS MD

Attending MD:

MITZI A DILLON MD

**Chart Status:** 

Final

# Allergies

NO KNOWN ALLERGIES [Confirmed by ROBERT WILSON RN on 12/30/2016 21:09:00.] (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 21:09:00)

# Primary Diagnosis

1) Free text DX: Traumatic fall (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:22:29) 2) Traumatic subdural hematoma (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:22:29) 3) Free text DX: Possible right 8th rib fracture (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:22:29) 4) Musculoskeletal chest pain (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:22:29)

# History of Present Illness

HPI: Exam started at 21:03 (MITZI A DILLON MD 12/30/2016 21:03:00) 38-year-old Hispanic speaking male who presents from Saint Rose Sienna after sustaining a 20 foot fall after being pushed off of a roof top by a co-worker and sustaining a loss of consciousness for unknown amount of time. Patient was found unconscious on the ground. Patient presents from outside hospital with outside labs and imaging and is transferred for a subdural bleed measuring 7 millimeters, otherwise injuries noted were possible right 8th rib fracture, no other injuries were reported on transport. Patient denies any past medical history, denies any smoking alcohol or drug use, denies any allergies to any medications. Patient denies any loss of sensation, nausea or vomiting, headache, numbness weakness or tingling, patient does complain of posterior head pain, neck pain and upper back pain, as well as left chest wall pain. Denies any abdominal pain.REVIEW OF SYSTEMS - 10 systems were independently reviewed and are otherwise negative with the exception of those items previously documented in the HPI and nursing notes. (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:17:00)

# Past Surgical History/Major Procedures

PMHx: [as mentioned in HPI] SHx: [none] Social: [negative x3] FHx: [non contributory] (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:09:00) PMHx: [as mentioned in HPI] SHx: [none] Social: [negative x3] FHx: [non contributory] (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:17:00)

and the state of the same state of the state

#### Vital Signs

Time	Blood Pressure	Pulse Son Co	PulseOx	Respiration	Temperature	Pain
12/31 00:07						0/10 - AM33
12/30 23:39	132/91 mm Hg. - AM33	94 /min - AM33	98 % Room air - AM33	13 /min - AM33		
12/30 21:51	135/81 mm Hg.	99 /min - MK23	99 % 2 liter/min	18 /min - MK23		0/10 - MK23

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 1 of 19

FEB 2 8 2017

27

	- MK23		- MK23			
12/30 21:14	141/88 mm Hg.	94 /min - RW4	98 % Room air -	18 /min - RW4	98.7 F - RW4	
	- RW4		RW4			

# Other Vital Signs

**Height:** 162 cm (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) **Weight:** 91 kg (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) **bmi:** 34.7 (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) **bsa:** 2.02 sq. m (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00)

# Current Medications

1) 12/30/2016 21:08:47 Patient reports, "No Current Meds" [Confirmed by ROBERT WILSON RN on 12/30/2016 21:08:47.] (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 21:08:47)

#### Med Orders

MedOrder	Entered By	Ordered By		MD Sign	Note	Comment/ Indication
ED: morphine inj 4 MG IV ONCE NOW	SL8 RN 12/30 21:11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SL8 12/30 21:10		12/30 21:10:Dose given IV push. (SL8); 12/30 21:10:No complications. (SL8); 12/30 21:10:Just given ED: morphine inj. (SL8); 12/30 21:10:Awake and alert. (SL8)	
levETIRAcetam inj [ KEPPRA ] 1000 MG IVPB 15 MIN NOW ROUTINE	RW4 RN 12/30 21:49	MD28 MD 12/30 21:49	RW4 12/30 21:49			
fentaNYL inj [ SUBLIMAZE ] 50 MCG IV Q2H PRN ROUTINE	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	MNP MD 12/30 22:57	12/30 23:59	SB61 12/30 22:57		Indication: severe pain
ondansetron [ ZOFRAN]4 MG ORAL Q6H PRN ROUTINE	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	MNP MD 12/30 22:57	12/31 05:18	SB61 12/30 22:57		Indication: naus- ea
docusate sodi- um [ COLACE ] 100 MG ORAL BID ROUTINE	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	MNP MD 12/30 22:57	12/30 23:59	SB61 12/30 22:57		
acetaminophen [ TYLENOL ]	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	JS58 12/30 22:57	12/31 09:40	SB61 12/30 22:57	•	Indication: mod- erate pain

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 2 of 19

1000 MG ORA Q8H PRN ROUTINE	AL					
<u> </u>		MNP MD 12/30 22:57	12/30 23:49	SB61 12/30 22:57		
ED: fentaNYL inj [ SUBLIM- AZE ] 50 MCG IV ONCE NOW	1	SB61 MD 12/30 22:59	CJ22 12/30 22:56		12/30 22:56:Just giver ED: fentaNYL inj [ SUBLIM- AZE ]. (CJ22)	
levETIRAcetam [ KEPPRA ] 500 MG ORAL BID ROUTINE	SB61 MD 12/30 23:06	SB61 MD 12/30 23:06	SB61 12/31 08:34	SB61 12/30 23:06		
potassium chloride [ KLOR-CON ] 40 MEQ ORAL PRN ROUTINE If ser um creatinine is >/= 1.4 or UO < 0.5 ml/kg/hr x 3 hrs, DO NOT USE PROTOCOL; contact provider for new orders		MNP MD 12/30 23:49		MNP 12/30 23:49		Indication: per Electrolyte Pro- tocol (PROT #383)
powder packet (8 mmol phos) [PHOS-NAK] 2 PACKET ORAL PRN ROUTINE If serum creatinine is >/= 1.4 or UO < 0.5 ml/kg/hr x 3 hrs, DO NOT USE PROTOCOL; contact provider for new orders		23:49		MNP 12/30 23:49		Indication: per Electrolyte Pro- tocol (PROT #383)
KCI 40 mEq	MNP MD 12/30	MNP MD 12/30		MNP 12/30		ndication: per

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 3 of 19

24

OneContent: Generated By UMC\boepag....a Generated On: 01/13/2017 08:30

PEREZ, MARTIN; MR#: 0030138209; Acct#: 9931347349; Arrival Dt.: 12/30/2016 20:54; Chart Status: Final

rider 40 MEQ 23:49 23:49 23:49	Electrolyte Pro-
IVPB 10 MEQ/	tocol (PROT
HR PRN	#383)
ROUTINE If ser-	
um creatinine is	
>/= 1.4 or UO <	
0.5 ml/kg/hr x 3	
hrs, DO NOT	
USE PRO-	
TOCOL; contact	
provider for new	
orders Peripher-	
al Line  KPhos ini 40 MNP MD 12/30 MNP MD 12/30 MNP 12/30  MNP 12/30	Indication: per
Titl flosting 40 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film till 1200 film	Electrolyte Pro-
1010100 101 101	tocol (PROT
MMOL/HR PRN	#383)
ROUTINE Peri-	1#000/
pheral Line If	
serum creatin-	
ine is >/= 1.4 or	
UO < 0.5 ml/	
kg/hr x 3 hrs,	
DO NOT USE	
PROTOCOL;	
contact provider	
for new orders	
sodium chloride MNP MD 12/30 NJ7 MD 12/30 NJ7 12/31 11:18 MNP 12/30	
0.9% 1000 ML 23:49 23:49 23:49	
IV 100 ML/HR	
CONTINUOUS	
ROUTINE	Indication nor
NaPhos inj 20 MNP MD 12/30 MNP MD 12/30 MNP 12/30	Indication: per
MMOL IVPB 7   23:49   23:49   23:49	Electrolyte Pro- tocol (PROT
MMOL/HR PRN	1 '
ROUTINE If ser-	#383)
um creatinine is	
>/= 1.4 or UO <	
0.5 ml/kg/hr x 3	
hrs, DO NOT	
USE PRO-	
TOCOL; contact	
provider for new	
orders	L. P. A. C.
magnesium MNP MD 12/30 MNP MD 12/30 MNP 12/30	Indication: per
sulfate 2 gm 23:49 23:49 23:49	Electrolyte Pro-
rider 2 GM IVPB	tocol (PROT
30 MIN PRN	#383)

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 4 of 19

L-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	~~~ <del>~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~</del>	**************************************	-
ROUTINE If ser-	-					
um creatinine is						<u> </u>
>/= 1.4 or UO <						
0.5 ml/kg/hr x 3						 
hrs, DO NOT	1	İ				
USE PRO-						4
TOCOL; contact						•
provider for new						
orders						
NaPhos inj 40	MNP MD 12/30	MNP MD 12/30		MNP 12/30		Indication: per
MMOL IVPB 7	23:49	23:49	ĺ	23:49		Electrolyte Pro-
MMOL/HR PRN						tocol (PROT
ROUTINE If ser-						#383)
um creatinine is		5				
>/= 1.4 or UO <		•	ė.			
0.5 ml/kg/hr x 3						
hrs, DO NOT						
USE PRO-			the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the contracti			
TOCOL; contact						
provider for new			5			
orders						
sodium chloride	MNP MD 12/30	MNP MD 12/30		MNP 12/30		
0.9% 500 ML	23:56	23:56		23:56		
IVPB PRN						
ROUTINE						
	MNP MD 12/30	MNP MD 12/30		MNP 12/30		department
0.9% 250 ML	23:56	23:56		23:56		Ž
IVPB PRN	20.00					4
ROUTINE						
	MNP MD 12/30	1958 12/30	12/31 09:40	MNP 12/30		
famotidine inj [	23:57	23:57	1201 00.10	23:57		
PEPCID ] 20	23.57	20.07		20.07		
MG IV Q12H						
ROUTINE		141D 14D 40/00	40/04 00:47	MNP 12/30		Indication:
HYDRO-		MNP MD 12/30	12/31 03.47	23:58	1	severe pain
morphone inj [	23:58	23:58		23.30		501010 pa
DILAUDID]1						
MG IV Q4H					į	ĺ
PRN ROUTINE				1410 4000		Indication:
insulin lispro inj [		MNP MD 12/30		MNP 12/30	and the same of th	PROT-14 sliding
HumaLOG]	23:59	23:59		23:59	, and a second	scale (A):
3-15 UNIT SUB-						sudie (n).
CUT PRN					The state of the s	Annual Control of the
ROUTINE						in charge and
70-130= 0 units;					ula di di di di di di di di di di di di di	
131-150= 3					i,	
units; 151-170=					t	
•						

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 5 of 19

	· ·		·		<del></del>	
5 units;					L-planers on the second of the	
171-190= 7			•			j
units; 191-210=						
9 units;	1					
211-230= 11						
units; 231-250=			, de la companya de l			
13 units; >250=						
15 units and call						***************************************
House Officer to						
consider scale B						
(if ICU pt) or						
scale D (if non-						
ICU pt)				<u> </u>		
dextrose 50%	MNP MD 12/30	MNP MD 12/30	•	MNP 12/30		Indication:
inj 12.5 GM IV	23:59	23:59		23:59		Blood Glucose
PRN ROUTINE					'	35-69; recheck
						BGM in 30min
dextrose 50%	MNP MD 12/30	MNP MD 12/30		MNP 12/30		Indication:
inj 25 GM IV	23:59	23:59		23:59		Blood Glucose
PRN ROUTINE	20.00	20.00				<35; recheck
THATIOOTINE						BGM in 30min
BUADAAOY	MNP MD 12/30	MNP MD 12/30		MNP 12/30		
PHARMACY		23:59		23:59		
COMMUNICA-	23:59	23.39		20.00		
TION 1 EA						ı
MISC PRN						
ROUTINE Rx to					1	
D/C ALL previ-						
ously ordered						
anti-diabetic					1	
medications	8 <b>.</b>	nterme promot ?			Mar 21 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	<del></del>

# Non-Med Orders

Non-MedOrde	Entered By		Completed	Results Back			Comment/ Indication
TR CT	AM80 UNIT	MAD1 MD	12/30 21:32	12/30 21:32	MAD1 12/30	12/30 21:18:Taken	
CHEST WITH	CLERK 12/30	12/30 21:16			21:16		
CONTRAST	21:16	,				to CT. (CJ22)	
ONCE STAT							
Pain - Trauma							
Related							
TR CT	AM80 UNIT	MAD1 MD	12/30 21:32	12/30 21:32	MAD1 12/30	12/30	
THORACIC	CLERK 12/30	12/30 21:16			21:16	21:18:Taken	
SPINE RE-	21:16					to CT. (CJ22)	
CONSTRUCT							
ONCE STAT							
Pain - Trauma							
Related							

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 6 of 19

OneContent: Generated By UMC\boepagi.... Generated On: 01/13/2017 08:30

PEREZ, MARTIN; MR#: 0030138209; Acct#: 9931347349; Arrival Dt.: 12/30/2016 20:54; Chart Status: Final

TR CT LUMBAR SPINE RE- CONSTRUCT ONCE STAT Pain - Trauma Related		MAD1 MD 12/30 21:16	12/30 21:32	12/30 21:32	MAD1 12/30 21:16	12/30 21:18:Taken to CT. (CJ22)	
TR CT ABDO- MEN AND PELVIS IV ONLY ONCE STAT Pain - Trauma Re- lated	AM80 UNIT CLERK 12/30 21:16	MAD1 MD 12/30 21:16	12/30 21:32	12/30 21:32	MAD1 12/30 21:16	12/30 21:18:Taken to CT. (CJ22)	
PT + APTT ONCE STAT	RW4 RN 12/30 21:50	MAD1 MD 12/30 21:50	12/30 22:29	12/30 22:29		12/30 21:50:Blood Drawn - RN. (RW4); 12/30 21:50:Blood obtained from the right antecubital fossa. (RW4)	
TYPE AND SCREEN ONCE STAT	RW4 RN 12/30 21:50	MAD1 MD 12/30 21:50				12/30 21:50:Blood Drawn - RN. (RW4); 12/30 21:50:Blood obtained from the right antecubital fossa. (RW4)	-
BASIC META- BOLIC PAN- EL ONCE STAT	RW4 RN 12/30 21:50	MAD1 MD 12/30 21:50	12/30 22:28	12/30 22:28		12/30 21:50:Blood Drawn - RN. (RW4); 12/30 21:50:Blood obtained from the right antecubital fossa. (RW4)	
CBC NO DIF- FERENTIAL ONCE STAT		MAD1 MD 12/30 21:50	12/30 22:08	12/30 22:08		12/30 21:50:Blood Drawn - RN. (RW4); 12/30 21:50:Blood	

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 7 of 19

·		<del></del>	~ <del></del>				1
***************************************						obtained from	
	***************************************	40.000	ł		· ·	the right	
						antecubital	-
						fossa. (RW4)	
ABO RH	SS21 12/30	MAD1 MD	12/30 23:10	12/30 23:10	MAD1 12/30	12/30	
TYPE ONCE	22:06	12/30 22:06	ŀ		22:06	22:09:Blood	
STAT			i	-		Drawn - RN.	
_		1				(KR25); 12/30	
		ŀ				22:09:Blood	
	***************************************					Drawn - RN.	
		į				(RW4); 12/30	
						22:09:Blood	
						obtained from	
						the right	İ
		İ				antecubital	
						fossa. (RW4)	
-	0001.10/00	14454145	10/00 00 10	10/00 00 10	14404 40/00	-{	
ANTIBODY	SS21 12/30	MAD1 MD	12/30 23:10	12/30 23:10	MAD1 12/30	12/30	*
SCREEN -	22:06	12/30 22:06			22:06	22:09:Blood	1
GEL TECH-						Drawn - RN.	***************************************
NIQUE ONCE						(KR25); 12/30	-
STAT						22:09:Blood	
						Drawn - RN.	***
			1			(RW4); 12/30	
						22:09:Blood	
			•			obtained from	1
						the right	1
						antecubital	
					<u> </u>	fossa. (RW4)	
EKG 12 LEAD		SB61 MD	12/31 12:52	12/31 12:52	SB61 12/30	12/30	
ONCE STAT	CLERK 12/30	12/30 22:55			22:55	23:11:First	a vectoria
	22:55					EKG in de-	an i Andrews
					1 4	partment ob-	
						tained. (CJ22)	
Surgery Admit	SB61 MD	SB61 MD	12/30 23:37		SB61 12/30		
	12/30 22:56	12/30 22:56			22:56		
requirements)							
ONCE							
ROUTINE In-							-
patient TICU				į	1		· Warman
Standard No					I		****
7.3mm Sub-				The state of the s	mitragentes		Principle of the Control of the Cont
dural hemat-	1			İ	**************************************	****	walk dage. 4
oma, 8th right	1		1	1			and and and and and and and and and and
ib fracture,	1	1	]	]		ĺ	İ
all off	1	Ì	1			Methods	
second story	-	1		Ì			
oof	-	***************************************	manner again			constitute	
<u></u>		<u></u>					

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 8 of 19

		w-g-annin-n-n-n-n-n-n-n-n-n-n-n-n-n-n-n-n-n		 ·	·	·
CHESTOVIC H, PAUL J [GENERAL SURGERY] (22358)						
Measure Weight EVERY DAY ROUTINE	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	12/31 22:53	SB61 12/30 22:57		
Vital Signs Q2H ROUTINE(*C ancel*)	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	MNP MD 12/30 22:57		SB61 12/30 22:57		
Intake & Out- put Q2H ROUTINE	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	12/31 20:17	SB61 12/30 22:57		
Call MD: CONTIN ROUTINE HR < 50 HR > 130 R < 8 R > 30 SBP < 90 SBP > 180 Temp > 38.5 degree C UOP < 0.5 ml/ kg/hr		SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	12/31 20:17	SB61 12/30 22:57		
Notify: CON- TIN ROUTINE SaO2 < 88%	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	12/31 20:17	SB61 12/30 22:57		The state of the s
INSERT: Sa- line Lock CONTIN ROUTINE	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	12/31 20:17	SB61 12/30 22:57		Com- ment:(Periphe ral IV #1)
INSERT: Sa- line Lock CONTIN ROUTINE	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	12/31 20:17	SB61 12/30 22:57		Com- ment:Peripher al IV #2
Admission Nasal MRSA Colonization Screen- ONCE ROUTINE	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57		SB61 12/30 22:57		
Initiate Influ-	SB61 MD	SB61 MD		SB61 12/30		Comment:-

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 9 of 19

			7		Cuitab to In
enza Vaccine Assessment- CONTIN ROUTINE	12/30 22:57	12/30 22:57		22:57	Switch to In- fluenza vac- cine order if indicated
INCENTIVE SPIROMET- ER- RT to in- struct ONCE ROUTINE	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	AA3 12/31 07:46	SB61 12/30 22:57	
Incentive Spirometry - NSG Q1H ROUTINE		SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	12/31 19:28	SB61 12/30 22:57	10 Breaths
CASE MAN- AGEMENT CONSULT ONCE ROUTINE	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57		SB61 12/30 22:57	
Initiate Pneumococcal Vaccine Assessment- CONTIN ROUTINE	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57		SB61 12/30 22:57	Comment:- Switch to pneumococ- cal vaccine order if indic- ated
RD May Modi- fy / Clarify Diet Orders CONTIN ROUTINE	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57		SB61 12/30 22:57	
SOCIAL SER- VICES CON- SULT ONCE ROUTINE		SB61 MD 12/30 22:57		SB61 12/30 22:57	
Notify: CON- TIN ROUTINE DBP < 60 or > 110	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	12/31 20:17	SB61 12/30 22:57	
Activity CON- TIN ROUTINE (with nursing assistance)		SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	12/31 20:17	SB61 12/30 22:57	
NPO MEALS(*Can cel*)	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	JS58 12/30 22:57		SB61 12/30 22:57	
Sequential	SB61 MD	SB61 MD	12/31 20:17	SB61 12/30	

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 10 of 19

Compression Device CON-	1	12/30 22:57			22:57		
No Parenteral VTE Therapy CQM 2014 ONCE ROUTINE	ì	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57			SB61 12/30 22:57		Com- ment:brain bleed
CBC/ AUTOMATED IN AM	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	12/31 01:29	12/31 01:29	SB61 12/30 22:57		
RENAL PAN- EL IN AM	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	12/31 01:53	12/31 01:53	SB61 12/30 22:57		
Neuro Checks Q1H ROUTINE	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	SB61 MD 12/30 22:57	12/31 23:00		SB61 12/30 22:57		
CT BRAIN WO CON- TRAST ONCE TIMED subdural hem- atoma(*Cance		SB61 MD 12/30 23:06			SB61 12/30 23:06	12/31 00:28:Cancel Reason: PA- TIENT IS TO BE DONE IN TRAUMA CENTER ()	
Vital Signs Q1H ROUTINE	MNP MD 12/30 23:49	MNP MD 12/30 23:49	01/01 00:00		MNP 12/30 23:49		,
Elevate Head of Bed CON- TIN ROUTINE	12/30 23:49	MNP MD 12/30 23:49	12/31 20:17		MNP 12/30 23:49		Com- ment:Reverse trendelenberg at 30 degress if thoracic and lumbar spine are not cleared
MAGNESIUM LEVEL QDAY IN AM		MNP MD 12/30 23:49			MNP 12/30 23:49		
Nurse to Fol-	MNP MD 12/30 23:49	MNP MD 12/30 23:49	12/31 20:17		MNP 12/30 23:49		

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 11 of 19

<u></u>							
Protocol),							
place in char							
RN to Order:	MNP MD	MNP MD	12/31 20:17		MNP 12/30		Comment:per
CONTIN	12/30 23:49	12/30 23:49			23:49		Electrolyte
ROUTINE Re	,-					***************************************	Protocol
peat K level 2	ı					***************************************	(PROT #383)
hr after KCI,		İ					,
Phosphorous							***************************************
level 2 hr afte	1	-					
KPhos/Na-	<b>' </b>	Į.					
Phos/			ĺ	ĺ			
PhosNaK,			Ì				
1	į						
Magnesium 2						I	
hr after mag-					1		•
nesium							
Blood Gluc-	MNP MD	MNP MD	12/31 20:17		MNP 12/30		
ose Testing -	12/30 23:59	12/30 23:59			23:59		
Bedside [ AC-	1			Ì			
CU-CHECK ]							
Q4H	-						
ROUTINE If							i
BGM< 35, re-	Ī						
peat and send							
serum gluc-			1				[
ose level.							
Then give							ł
25gm D50W							
IVP and		ĺ					
recheck BGM							1
in 30min. If							
BGM 35-69,							ł
give 12.5gm		İ .					
D50W IVP							
and recheck						]	
BGM in							
30min.							1
	LAND MD	MIDMD	40/04 00:47		MND 40/00		
!!		MNP MD	12/31 20:17		MNP 12/30	i	1
low Protocol:	12/30 23:59	12/30 23:59			23:59		
CONTIN							
ROUTINE							-
Print and fol-	İ						and the state of t
low PROT	I de la companya de l						
#14-A							
(TICU/SICU		Ì					1
Insulin Sliding	ļ	ļ					
Scale), place		į	ļ				
in chart		j					

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 12 of 19

				 		<del></del>
RN to Order: CONTIN ROUTINE	MNP MD 12/30 23:59	MNP MD 12/30 23:59	12/31 20:17	MNP 12/30 23:59		Petiti dipo comincia plani, fabrique basar a
serum gluc- ose level if BGM< 35 mg/	,				The state of the s	rodikar san, Marannasayanan penganan
Switch to GLYC- OSYLATED HGB (HGA1C) CONTIN ROUTINE	MNP MD 12/30 23:59	MNP MD 12/30 23:59		MNP 12/30 23:59		Comment:if BGM not in target range after 12hrs on Sliding Scale Protocol and call physician
Notify: CON- TIN ROUTINE if BGM >250 and consider scale B (ICU pt) or scale D (non-ICU pt) House Officer	MNP MD 12/30 23:59	MNP MD 12/30 23:59	12/31 20:17	MNP 12/30  23:59		
Call MD: CONTIN ROUTINE (Non-ICU Pt) if BG not in range after 12h on sliding scale to con- sider NEXT Scale OR ICU transfer & In- sulin Infusion (PROT #15)	MNP MD 12/30 23:59	MNP MD 12/30 23:59	12/31 20:17	MNP 12/30 23:59		
Call MD: CONTIN ROUTINE (ICU Pt) if BG not in range after 12h on sliding scale to consider NEXT Scale OR Insulin Infusion (PROT	MNP MD 12/30 23:59	MNP MD 12/30 23:59	12/31 20:17	MNP 12/30 23:59		

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 13 of 19

### Lab Results

The second secon	30/2016 21:47:00	BASIC METABOI 12/30/2016 21:47		> {	2/30/2016 21:47:00	<u>}</u> ;
WHITE BLOOD	13.20 K/MM3 H	SODIUM	***************************************	PROTHROMBIN	11.7 SEC. (NL =	
CELL	(NL = 3.40-10.30)	-	139 MMOL/L (NL = 136-145)	TIME	9.3-12.4)	
RED BLOOD	4.67 M/MM3 (NL =			- INR	1.0 (NL = 0.8-1.2)	)
CELL	4.08-5.70)	POTASSIUM	3.9 MMOL/L (NL =		Comment: Recon	n-
HGB	13.9 G/DL (NL =		3.5-5.1)	-	mended therapeu	ıt-
·····	13.1-16.8)	CHLORIDE	106 MMOL/L (NL =	•	ic range for oral	
HEMATOCRIT	41.4 % (NL =		98-110)		anticoagulant the	
	38.2-48.4)	TOTAL CO2	24 MMOL/L (NL =		apy (INR 2.0 - 3.0	•
MCV	88.6 FL (NL =	*	22-31)		INDICATION: Pro	
	80.1-98.5)	BLOOD UREA NI-	13 mg/dL (NL =	-	phylaxis of venous	s
MEAN CELL	29.7 pg (NL =	TROGEN	9-26)		thrombosis	
HEMOGLOBIN	27.1-34.2)	CREATININE	0.7 mg/dL (NL =		(High-risk surgery)	- 1
****	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0.6-1.5)		Treatment of ven-	ļ
MEAN CELL	33.6 % (NL =	GLUCOSE	122 mg/dL H (NL =		ous thrombosis	
HEMOGLOBIN	33.0-35.6)	GLOOOL	70-110)		Treatment of pul-	NR 2.0 - 3.0) ATION: Pro- is of venous posis risk surgery) pent of ven- ombosis pent of pul- vembolism tion of Sys- mbolism nyocardial on (To pre- stemic em- Valvular sease Atrial on 1. It is recom- I that all pa- ith mechan- ith mechan- ith mechan- ith tico- (Grade C1 endation)
CONCENTRA-			<del></del>		monary embolism	
rion		CALCIUM	8.1 mg/dL L (NL =		Prevention of Sys-	
PLATELET	271 K/MM3 (NL =		8.4-10.2)		temic embolism	ĺ
	130-351)	Anion Gap	9 MMOL/L (NL =		Acute myocardial	
/IPV	7.5 FL (NL =		8-16)		1 ' '	
	7.5-11.2)					
Red Cell Diameter	13.8 % (NL =				ł .	J
Vidth	11.8-15.1)		I		fibrillation 1. It is	ï
					strongly recom-	
					mended that all pa-	
					tients with mechan-	1
					ical prosthetic	
					heart valves re-	
					ceive oral antico-	
					agulant (Grade C1	-
				į	recommendation)	
				i	A goal INR of 2.5	
			1		(range 2.0 - 3.0) is	
				1	recommended for	ĺ
				1	patients with a	
					bileaflet mechanic-	
				i	al valve in the aor-	
				a t	tic position,	
				*1	provided the left at-	
				L.	rium is of normal	
				1	size, the patient is	
				,	n sinus rhythm	
				la	and the ejection	
				1	raction is normal	

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 14 of 19

PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND ADDRESS	
Tanana araa	(Grade A2 recom-
	mendation) 2. A
	goal INR of 3.0
	(range 2.5 - 3.5) is
	recommended for
	all other patients. I
	oral anticoagulant
	therapy is elected
	to prevent recur-
	rent myocardial in-
	farction, an INR of
	2.5 - 3.5 is recom-
***************************************	mended, consist-
	ent with Food and
	Drug Administra-
	tion recommenda-
	tions. Chest: 114:5
	November 1998
	Supplement
ACTIVATED PAR	
TIAL THROM-	22-33) Comment:
BOPLASTIN	Based on the
	laboratory's aPTT/
	Heparin anti Xa
	correlation study.
	aPTT levels of 52 -
	78 seconds correl-
	ate with Heparin
	levels of 0.3 - 0.7
	anti Xa units.
GLOMERULAR FI	LTRATION RATE
12/30/2016 21:47:0	10-1/31 6/10/20
Glomerular Filtra-	>60 Comment: Es-
tion Rate(GFR)	timated GLOMER-
Calc .	ULAR FILTRA-
	TION RATE (GFR)
	Reference Ranges:
	>59 mL/min/1.73
	m2 GFR calcula-
and the second	tion requires an ac-
	curate age and
i	gender of the pa-
	tient. Ordered on
i	patients 18 years
;	and older. For
1	African Americans,
	multiply GFR value

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 15 of 19

Patient: PEREZ, MARTIN MRN: 0030138209 Page 15 of 19

00341

OneContent: Generated By UMC\boepag...a Generated On: 01/13/2017 08:30

PEREZ, MARTIN; MR#: 0030138209; Acct#: 9931347349; Arrival Dt.: 12/30/2016 20:54; Chart Status: Final

	by 1.21 The estim-			
	ated GFR is to be			
	used for screening			
	purposes. For drug			
	dosing, use the			
	Cockcroft-Gault			
	calculation.			
ANTIBODY SCREE	N GEL 12/30/2016			
21:47:00				
ANTIBODY	NEG ABSCRN			
SCREEN (Gel				
Method)				
ABORH TYPE 12/30/2016 21:47:00				
ABO/RH TYPING	A POS			

# Physical Exam

General Presentation: VITALS: ]vital signs documented prior to this note have been reviewed and noted, see HER] AIRWAY: [patent, patient phonating well] BREATHING: [no respiratory distress, breath sounds present bilaterally] CIRCULATION: [heart sounds present, blood pressure adequate, skin well-perfused] DISABILITY: [Move all four extremities, GCS 15] GENERAL: [alert, awake, oriented x 3, mild distress, non-toxic] HEENT: [normocephalic, atraumatic, no bruising around eyes or ears, PERRL, EOMI, nares clear, no fluid from ears or nose, oropharynx clear, no dental malocclusion, no mandible tenderness] NECK: [arrived in c-collar, midline C-spine tenderness to palpation in the middle of the night, no step-offs, trachea midline, no bruising or swelling, no subcutaneous emphysema] CARDIOVASCULAR: [regular rate/rhythm, no murmurs,] Pulmonary/ Chest: [Non-labored. No obvious trauma. Patient does complain of left anterior chest wall pain chief to AP compression. No crepitus or flail segments. Lungs clear to auscultation. No crackles, wheezes or rubs, no respiratory distress, no ecchymoses, no deformity, no subcutaneous emphysema] ABDOMEN: [Atraumatic in appearance, soft, non-tender, non-distended, normoactive bowel sounds, no ecchymoses, benign exam] PELVIS: [stable to anterior-posterior and lateral compression] BACK: [normal appearance, atraumatic, patient did report upper T-spine tenderness to palpation, denied any lumbar tenderness, no step-offs, no crepitance, no CVA tenderness] EXTREMITIES: [warm, well-perfused, no gross deformities, 2+ pulses in all 4 extremities, full passive range-of-motion at bilateral shoulders/elbows/wrists/hips/knees/ankles without significant pain] SKIN: [warm, dry, no rashes or lesions] NEUROLOGIC: [GCS 15 (E4/V5/M6), cranial nerves III-XII intact, strength 5/5 in all 4 extremities, sensation to light-touch intact in all 4 extremities, deep tendon reflexes 2+ in all 4 extremities, no ataxia identified, perineal sensation intact] PSYCHIATRIC: [normal affect/insight/concentration] (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:17:00)

# Past Medical History/Patient Problems

1) Patient reports, "No Known Problems" [Confirmed by ROBERT WILSON RN on 12/30/2016 21:08:39.] (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 21:08:39) 2) Traumatic fall [Confirmed by RANDALL BESS MD on 12/30/2016 21:22:29.] (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:22:29) 3) Musculoskeletal chest pain [Confirmed by RANDALL BESS MD on 12/30/2016 21:22:29.] (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:22:29) 4) Traumatic subdural hematoma [Confirmed by RANDALL BESS MD on 12/30/2016 21:22:29.] (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:22:29)

Substance Use

Tobacco

**Smoking status:** 

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 16 of 19

never a smoker [Confirmed by: ROBERT WILSON RN on 12/30/2016 21:09:00] Alcohol

### Alcohol use:

no [ROBERT WILSON RN on 12/30/2016 21:09:00]

Activation Level - ED. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) 3 - Urgent (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00)

# Progress Notes

12/30/2016 21:09:00 Imaging and laboratory workup provided from outside hospital seen in the Saint Rose. CT head without contrast: Shows subdural hemorrhage along the interhemispheric fissure and the tentorium common with maximal thickness measuring 7 millimeters, no midline shift or mass effect. CT C-spine shows no acute fracture or subluxation identified, no acute fracture. X-ray L-spine 2 to 3 view shows no acute fracture subluxation, no acute fracture. X-ray T-spine three view shows possible subtle fracture along the posterior 8th right rib, no other acute fracture or subluxation seen in the thoracic spine. Chest x-ray shows no acute cardiopulmonary process. X-ray of pelvis shows no acute fracture dislocation hip identified, hip joints well preserved. No acute fracture Laboratory workup: CBC is unremarkable, hemoglobin 15.3 white count 10.5, platelets 296, PT INR within normal limits and CMP shows mild hyperglycemia 109, ALT and AST elevation 57 and 39, otherwise unremarkable within normal limits. Urinalysis also within normal limits. (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:09:00)

# RN Continuation Notes

12/30/2016 21:09:00 Per ems pt was working on roof when he was pushed off. Pt fell approximately 12 feet hitting his head. Pt transferred from St. Rose Sienna. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 21:09:00) Bed Assignment: 12/30/2016 21:00:59 Assigned to bed TRM11 (ALEXIS MARTINEZ UNIT CLERK 12/30/2016 21:00:59)

# Triage and Nursing History

Acuity: 12/30/2016 20:54:00 Activation Level - ED. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) 12/30/2016 20:54:00 3 - Urgent (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00)

Language: 12/30/2016 20:54:00 No language or communication barrier. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00)

RN History: 12/30/2016 20:54:00 Mentation - Patient has periodic confusion. Score = 1 (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) 12/30/2016 20:54:00 Mobility - Patient is able to ambulate or transfer with assistive device or assistance. Score = 1 (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) 12/30/2016 20:54:00 Elimination - Patient is independent with frequency or diarrhea. Score = 1 (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) 12/30/2016 20:54:00 Prior Fall history at home or previous Inpatient care. Score = 1. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) 12/30/2016 20:54:00 Patient is at risk for falls and precautions have been instituted. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) 12/30/2016 20:54:00 Patient has no thoughts of suicide. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) 12/30/2016 20:54:00 Patient has no thoughts of harming self or others. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) 12/30/2016 20:54:00 Onset of symptoms was about 4 hrs ago. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) 12/30/2016 20:54:00 History comes from patient. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) 12/30/2016 20:54:00 History is supplied by the patient's ambulance record. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00)

Mental: 12/30/2016 20:54:00 Domestic violence survey shows NEGATIVE risk for this patient. (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00)

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 17 of 19

This is a case of traumatic fall in a 30-year-old male with no reported past medical history who presented to the emergency department as a transfer from Saint Rose, after being pushed by a co-worker off of a roof assisting a 20 foot fall and loss of consciousness, being found on the ground for an unknown amount of time. Differential diagnosis included but was not limited to intracranial of/closed head injury, C-spine T-spine L-spine injury, chest wall injury, intra-abdominal, musculoskeletal injury. Initial vital signs within normal limits, hemodynamically appropriate and stable, physical exam showed tenderness posterior scalp as well as C-spine and T-spine, and left anterior chest wall tenderness to anterior compression, laboratory workup was unremarkable from outside hospital, imaging and diagnostic testing showed interhemispheric subdural measuring 7 millimeters with no midline shift common otherwise no acute injuries were noted from outside hospital imaging. At this time the patient is in stable condition, and is being consult to Trauma surgery for final disposition management. Clinical impression 1. Traumatic fall 2. Closed head injury 3. Subdural hematoma 4. Possible right 8th rib fracture (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 21:09:00) Disposition decision is admit. (MITZI A DILLON MD 12/30/2016 21:12:00) Admit to Intensive Care unit. (MITZI A DILLON MD 12/30/2016 21:12:00) Condition at discharge - stable. (MITZI A DILLON MD 12/30/2016 21:12:00) History, physical findings, and management plan discussed with ED attending physician. (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 22:50:06) Attending physically available and saw patient. (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 22:50:06) Electronically signed by RANDALL BESS MD. (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 22:50:06) The designated co-signing physician is MITZI DILLON MD. (RANDALL BESS MD 12/30/2016 22:50:06) I have reviewed the chart of MARTIN PEREZ and as the supervising staff physician concur on the final disposition - ELECTRONICALLY CO-SIGNED BY MITZI A DILLON MD. (MITZI A DILLON MD 01/01/2017 00:10:45)

A discharge pain score was documented: Pain 0/10 (no pain) at 00:07. (ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN 12/31/2016 00:07:00) IV capped and flushed. (ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN 12/31/2016 00:07:00) pt is admitted to room 810, report given to RN in TICU, pt was transported by trauma RN, vitals stable, no complaints at this time, pt is A+OX4, (ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN 12/31/2016 00:07:00) Disposition status is Admit. (ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN 12/31/2016 00:08:00) Admitted to Intensive Care Unit. (ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN 12/31/2016 00:08:00) RN accompanied patient. (ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN 12/31/2016 00:08:00) Valuables inventoried and collected by UMC Public Safety. (ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN 12/31/2016 00:08:00) Patient physically left department and was removed from Tracking Board by ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN. (ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN 12/31/2016 00:08:00) Electronically signed by ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN. (ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN 12/31/2016 00:08:33)

# Pre-Hospital Information

Mode of arrival: (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00) AMR (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 20:54:00)

# Reports Printed/Faxed

ROBERT WILSON RN printed Orders Report to Trauma RN 1 at 21:51 (ROBERT WILSON RN 12/30/2016 21:51:15) ALEXIS MARTINEZ UNIT CLERK printed UMC-EDView to Trauma RN 1 at 23:29 (ALEXIS MARTINEZ UNIT CLERK 12/30/2016 23:29:47) MITZI A DILLON MD printed Emergency Department Chart to Archive to One Content at 00:10 (MITZI A DILLON MD 01/01/2017 00:10:48) MITZI A DILLON MD printed UMC-EDView to Archive to One Content at 00:10 (MITZI A DILLON MD 01/01/2017 00:10:48)

# Discharge Summary

Chief Complaint: NO DATA AVAILABLE.. Primary Diagnosis: Traumatic subdural hematoma; Free text DX: Possible right 8th rib fracture; Musculoskeletal chest pain; Free text DX: Traumatic fall.. Disposition Notes: This is a case of traumatic fall in a 30-year-old male with no reported past medical history who presented to the emergency department as a transfer from Saint Rose, after being pushed by a co-worker off of a roof assisting a 20 foot fall and loss of consciousness, being

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 18 of 19

OneContent: Generated By UMC\boepag.. __Generated On: 01/13/2017 08:30

PEREZ, MARTIN; MR#: 0030138209; Acct#: 9931347349; Arrival Dt.: 12/30/2016 20:54; Chart Status: Final

found on the ground for an unknown amount of time. Differential diagnosis included but was not limited to intracranial of/closed head injury, C-spine T-spine L-spine injury, chest wall injury, intra-abdominal, musculoskeletal injury. Initial vital signs within normal limits, hemodynamically appropriate and stable, physical exam showed tenderness posterior scalp as well as C-spine and T-spine, and left anterior chest wall tenderness to anterior compression, laboratory workup was unremarkable from outside hospital, imaging and diagnostic testing showed interhemispheric subdural measuring 7 millimeters with no midline shift common otherwise no acute injuries were noted from outside hospital imaging. At this time the patient is in stable condition, and is being consult to Trauma surgery for final disposition management. Clinical impression 1. Traumatic fall 2. Closed head injury 3. Subdural hematoma 4. Possible right 8th rib fracture; Disposition decision is admit; Condition at discharge - stable; Admit to Intensive Care unit; Electronically signed by RANDALL BESS MD; The designated co-signing physician is MITZI DILLON MD; History, physical findings, and management plan discussed with ED attending physician; Attending physically available and saw patient; I have reviewed the chart of MARTIN PEREZ and as the supervising staff physician concur on the final disposition - ELECTRONICALLY CO-SIGNED BY MITZI A DILLON MD.. Discharge Prescriptions: NO DATA AVAILABLE.

# Staff Legend

RW4 - ROBERT WILSON RN AM33 - ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN MK23 - MARTIN KOVACIK RN SL8 - SUSAN LALUMIA RN RB40 - RANDALL BESS MD - External Data SB61 - SAMUEL BERGIN MD CJ22 - CATHERINE JURGENS RN NJ7 - NATHANIEL JIMENEZ MD AM80 -ALEXIS MARTINEZ UNIT CLERK MAD1 - MITZI A DILLON MD AA3 - APRIL ALLEN-CARTER RT

01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 19 of 19



University Medical Center 1800 W. Charleston Blvd. Las Vegas, NV 89102 702-383-2000

Final

**Emergency Department Chart** 

Account Number: 9931347349 Patient Name: PEREZ, MARTIN Birthdate: 01/08/1978 Gender: M Medical Rec. Number: 0030138209

Primary MD: Arrival Date: 12/30/2016 20:54

Attending MD:MITZI DILLON MD Visit Date: 12/30/2016 21:02

#### Vital Signs/Data

Time	Staff	Temperature	Pulse	Respiration	Blood Pressure	Pulse Oximetry	Pain
12/31/2016 00	:07 AM33						0/10
12/30/2016 23		**********	94 /min	13 /min		98% on Room air	
12/30/2016 21			99 /min	18 /min	135/81 mm Hg.	99% on 2 liter/min	0/10
12/30/2016 21		98.7 F	94 /min	18 /min		98% on Room air	
12/30/2016 21	:14 RW4				141/88 mm Hg.		

#### **Allergies**

# NO KNOWN ALLERGIES [Confirmed by ROBERT WILSON RN on 12/30/2016 21:09:00.] (RW4 12/30/2016 21:09)

# **Pre-Hospital Treatment**

Mode of arrival: AMR (RW4) 12/30/2016 20:54

### Triage

Activation Level - ED. (RW4 12/30/2016 20:54)

3 - Urgent (RW4 20:54)

No language or communication barrier. (RW4 20:54)

Domestic violence survey shows NEGATIVE risk for this patient. (RW4 20:54)

Mentation - Patient has periodic confusion. Score = 1 (RW4 20:54)

Mobility - Patient is able to ambulate or transfer with assistive device or assistance. Score = 1 (RW4 20:54)

Elimination - Patient is independent with frequency or diarrhea. Score = 1 (RW4 20:54)

Prior Fall history at home or previous Inpatient care. Score = 1. (RW4 20:54)

Patient is at risk for falls and precautions have been instituted. (RW4 20:54)

Patient has no thoughts of suicide. (RW4 20:54)

Patient has no thoughts of harming self or others. (RW4 20:54)

Onset of symptoms was about 4 hrs ago. (RW4 20:54)

History comes from patient. (RW4 20:54)

History is supplied by the patient's ambulance record. (RW4 20:54)

#### Height/Weight

Hgt: 162 cm at 20:54 (RW4 12/30/2016 20:54) Wgt: 91 kg at 20:54 (RW4 20:54)

BMI: 34.7 (RW4 20:54) BSA: 2.02 sq. m (RW4 20:54)

### **Current Medications**

1) 12/30/2016 21:08 RW4 Patient reports, "No Current Meds" [Confirmed by ROBERT WILSON RN on 12/30/2016 21:08:47.]

# Nursing Continuation Notes - Refer to Orders section for all orders

Per ems pt was working on roof when he was pushed off. Pt fell approximately 12 feet hitting his head. Pt transferred from St.

Rose Sienna. (RW4 12/30/2016 21:09)

Print Date: 01/01/2017 00:17

Confidential Medical Record

Page 1 of 9

University Medical Center 1800 W. Charleston Blvd. Las Vegas, NV 89102 702-383-2000

Final

**Emergency Department Chart** 

Patient Name: PEREZ, MARTIN Account Number: 9931347349 Birthdate: 01/08/1978 Gender: M Medical Rec. Number: 0030138209

Arrival Date: 12/30/2016 20:54 Primary MD:

Attending MD:MITZI DILLON MD Visit Date: 12/30/2016 21:02

### Clinician History of Present Illness

Exam started at 21:03 (MAD1) 12/30/2016 21:03 38-year-old Hispanic speaking male who presents from Saint Rose Sienna after sustaining a 20 foot fall after being pushed off of a roof top by a co-worker and sustaining a loss of consciousness for unknown amount of time. Patient was found unconscious on the ground. Patient presents from outside hospital with outside labs and imaging and is transferred for a subdural bleed measuring 7 millimeters, otherwise injuries noted were possible right 8th rib fracture, no other injuries were reported on transport. Patient denies any past medical history, denies any smoking alcohol or drug use, denies any allergies to any medications. Patient denies any loss of sensation, nausea or vomiting, headache, numbness weakness or tingling, patient does complain of posterior head pain, neck pain and upper back pain, as well as left chest wall pain. Denies any abdominal pain.REVIEW OF SYSTEMS - 10 systems were independently reviewed and are otherwise negative with the exception of those items previously documented in the HPI and nursing notes. (RB40) 12/30/2016

#### **Patient Problems**

Patient reports, "No Known Problems" [Confirmed by ROBERT WILSON RN on 12/30/2016 21:08:39.] (RW4 12/30/2016 21:08) Traumatic fall [Confirmed by RANDALL BESS MD on 12/30/2016 21:22:29.] (RB40 21:22) Musculoskeletal chest pain [Confirmed by RANDALL BESS MD on 12/30/2016 21:22:29.] (RB40 21:22) Traumatic subdural hematoma [Confirmed by RANDALL BESS MD on 12/30/2016 21:22:29.] (RB40 21:22)

# Past Surgical History/Major Procedures

PMHx: [as mentioned in HPI]

SHx: [none]

Social: [negative x3]

FHx: [non contributory] PMHx: [as mentioned in HPI]

SHx: [none]

Social: [negative x3]

FHx: [non contributory] (RB40) 12/30/2016 21:09

#### **Physical Exam**

### **GENERAL:**

VITALS: Jvital signs documented prior to this note have been reviewed and noted, see HER] AIRWAY: [patent, patient phonating well]

BREATHING: [no respiratory distress, breath sounds present bilaterally]

CIRCULATION: [heart sounds present, blood pressure adequate, skin well-perfused]

DISABILITY: [Move all four extremities, GCS 15]

GENERAL: [alert, awake, oriented x 3, mild distress, non-toxic]

HEENT: [normocephalic, atraumatic, no bruising around eyes or ears, PERRL, EOMI, nares clear, no fluid from ears or nose, oropharynx clear, no dental malocclusion, no mandible tenderness]

NECK: [arrived in c-collar, midline C-spine tendemess to palpation in the middle of the night, no step-offs, trachea midline, no bruising or swelling, no subcutaneous emphysemal

CARDIOVASCULAR: [regular rate/rhythm, no murmurs,]
Pulmonary/ Chest: [Non-labored. No obvious trauma. Patient does complain of left anterior chest wall pain chief to AP compression. No crepitus or flail segments. Lungs clear to auscultation. No crackles, wheezes or rubs, no respiratory distress, no ecchymoses, no deformity, no subcutaneous emphysema]

ABDOMEN: [Atraumatic in appearance, soft, non-tender, non-distended, normoactive bowel sounds, no ecchymoses, benign exam'

PELVIS: [stable to anterior-posterior and lateral compression]

BACK: [normal appearance, atraumatic, patient did report upper T-spine tenderness to palpation, denied any lumbar tenderness, no step-offs, no crepitance, no CVA tenderness]

EXTREMITIES: [warm, well-perfused, no gross deformities, 2+ pulses in all 4 extremities, full passive range-of-motion at bilateral shoulders/elbows/wrists/hips/knees/ankles without significant pain]

SKIN: [warm, dry, no rashes or lesions]
NEUROLOGIC: [GCS 15 (E4/V5/M6), cranial nerves III-XII intact, strength 5/5 in all 4 extremities, sensation to light-touch intact in all 4 extremities, deep tendon reflexes 2+ in all 4 extremities, no ataxia identified, perineal sensation intact]

PSYCHIATRIC: [normal affect/insight/concentration]

(RB40) 12/30/2016 21:17

Print Date: 01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 2 of 9



University Medical Center 1800 W. Charleston Blvd. Las Vegas, NV 89102 702-383-2000 Final

**Emergency Department Chart** 

Patient Name: PEREZ, MARTIN Account Number: 9931347349

Medical Rec. Number: 0030138209 Birthdate: 01/08/1978 Gender: M

Arrival Date: 12/30/2016 20:54 Primary MD:

Visit Date: 12/30/2016 21:02 Attending MD:MITZI DILLON MD

### **Progress Notes**

Imaging and laboratory workup provided from outside hospital seen in the Saint Rose.

CT head without contrast: Shows subdural hemorrhage along the interhemispheric fissure and the tentorium common with maximal thickness measuring 7 millimeters, no midline shift or mass effect.

CT C-spine shows no acute fracture or subluxation identified, no acute fracture.

X-ray L-spine 2 to 3 view shows no acute fracture subluxation, no acute fracture. X-ray T-spine three view shows possible subtle fracture along the posterior 8th right rib, no other acute fracture or subluxation seen in the thoracic spine. Chest x-ray shows no acute cardiopulmonary process.

X-ray of pelvis shows no acute fracture dislocation hip identified, hip joints well preserved. No acute fracture Laboratory workup: CBC is unremarkable, hemoglobin 15.3 white count 10.5, platelets 296, PT INR within normal limits and CMP shows mild hyperglycemia 109, ALT and AST elevation 57 and 39, otherwise unremarkable within normal limits. Urinalysis also within normal limits. (RB40) 12/30/2016 21:09

### **Primary Diagnosis**

Free text DX: Traumatic fall (RB40 12/30/2016 21:22)

Traumatic subdural hematoma (RB40 21:22)

Free text DX: Possible right 8th rib fracture (RB40 21:22)

Musculoskeletal chest pain (RB40 21:22)

Print Date: 01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 3 of 9

Final

**Emergency Department Chart** 

Account Number: 9931347349 Patient Name: PEREZ, MARTIN Birthdate: 01/08/1978 Gender: M Medical Rec. Number: 0030138209

Primary MD: Arrival Date: 12/30/2016 20:54

Attending MD:MITZI DILLON MD Visit Date: 12/30/2016 21:02

# **Med Orders**

ED: morphine inj 4 MG IV ONCE NOW

Entered By (SL8 RN 12/30/2016 21:11) Ordered By (RB40 MD 21:11) Completed By (SL8 RN 21:10) Notes: Dose given IV push. No complications. Just given ED: morphine inj. Awake and alert. (SL8 21:10) levETIRAcetam inj [KEPPRA] 1000 MG IVPB 15 MIN NOW ROUTINE

Entered By (RW4 RN 12/30/2016 21:49) Completed By (RW4 RN 21:49)

lactated ringers 1000 ML IV 100 ML/HR CONTINUOUS ROUTINE Maintenance

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Completed By ( 23:49) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57)

acetaminophen [TYLENOL] 1000 MG ORAL Q8H PRN ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Completed By ( 12/31/2016 09:40) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57)

Indications: moderate pain

Fentanyls, in CSUBLIMAZE] 50 MCG IV Q2H PRN ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Completed By ( 23:59) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57) Indications: severe pain
ondansetron [ ZOFRAN ] 4 MG ORAL Q6H PRN ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Completed By ( 12/31/2016 05:18) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Indications: nausea

docusate sodium [ COLACE ] 100 MG ORAL BID ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 1230/2016 22:57) Completed By ( 23:59) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57) ED: fentaNYL inj [ SUBLIMAZE ] 50 MCG IV ONCE NOW

Entered By (CJ22 RN 12:30:2016 22:59) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:59) Completed By (CJ22 RN 22:56) Notes: Just given ED: fentaNYL inj [ SUBLIMAZE ]. (CJ22 22:56)

IevETIRAcetam [ KEPPRA ] 500 MG ORAL BID ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12:30:2016 23:06) Ordered By (SB61 MD 23:06) Completed By (SB61 MD 12:31:2016 08:34) MD

Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 23:06)

potassium chloride [ KLOR- CON ] 40 MEQ ORAL PRN ROUTINE If serum creatinine is >/= 1.4 or UO < 0.5 ml/kg/hr x 3 hrs, DO NOT USE PROTOCOL; contact provider for new orders

Indications: per Electrolyte Protocol (PROT #383)

KCI 40 mEq rider 40 MEQ IVPB 10 MEQ/HR PRN ROUTINE If serum creatinine is >/= 1.4 or UO < 0.5 ml/kg/hr x 3 hrs, DO NOT USE PROTOCOL; contact provider for new orders Peripheral Line

Indications: per Electrolyte Protocol (PROT #383)

KPhos- NaPhos powder packet (8 mmol phos) [ PHOS- NAK ] 2 PACKET ORAL PRN ROUTINE If serum creatinine is >/=
1.4 or UO < 0.5 ml/kg/hr x 3 hrs, DO NOT USE PROTOCOL; contact provider for new orders

Indications: per Electrolyte Protocol (PROT #383)

KPhos inj 40 MMOL IVPB 7 MMOL/HR PRN ROUTINE Peripheral Line If serum creatinine is >/= 1.4 or UO < 0.5 ml/kg/hr x 3 hrs, DO NOT USE PROTOCOL; contact provider for new orders
Indications: per Electrolyte Protocol (PROT #383)
magnesium sulfate 2 gm rider 2 GM IVPB 30 MIN PRN ROUTINE If serum creatinine is >/= 1.4 or UO < 0.5 ml/kg/hr x 3 hrs,

DO NOT USE PROTOCOL; contact provider for new orders

Indications: per Electrolyte Protocol (PROT #383)
NaPhos inj 20 MMOL IVPB 7 MMOL/HR PRN ROUTINE If serum creatinine is >/= 1.4 or UO < 0.5 ml/kg/hr x 3 hrs, DO NOT USE PROTOCOL; contact provider for new orders

Indications: per Electrolyte Protocol (PROT #383)
NaPhos inj 40 MMOL IVPB 7 MMOL/HR PRN ROUTINE If serum creatinine is >/= 1.4 or UO < 0.5 ml/kg/hr x 3 hrs, DO NOT USE PROTOCOL; contact provider for new orders

Indications: per Electrolyte Protocol (PROT #383)

sodium chloride 0.9% 1000 ML IV 100 ML/HR CONTINUOUS ROUTINE

Ordered By (NJ7 MD 12/30/2016 23:49) Completed By (NJ7 MD 12/31/2016 11:18)

sodium chloride 0.9% 500 ML IVPB PRN ROUTINE

sodium chloride 0.9% 250 ML IVPB PRN ROUTINE

HYDROmorphone inj [ DILAUDID ] 1 MG IV Q4H PRN ROUTINE

Completed By ( 12/31/2016 03:47) Indications: severe pain famotidine inj [ PEPCID ] 20 MG IV Q12H ROUTINE

Completed By ( 12/31/2016 09:40)

insulin lispro inj [HumaLOG] 3-15 UNIT SUBCUT PRN ROUTINE 70-130= 0 units; 131-150= 3 units; 151-170= 5 units; 171- 190= 7 units; 191- 210= 9 units; 211- 230= 11 units; 231- 250= 13 units; >250= 15 units and call House Officer to

Print Date: 01/01/2017 00:17

Confidential Medical Record

Page 4 of 9

Final

**Emergency Department Chart** 

Patient Name: PEREZ, MARTIN Account Number: 9931347349 Birthdate: 01/08/1978 Gender: M Medical Rec. Number: 0030138209

Arrival Date: 12/30/2016 20:54 Primary MD:

Attending MD:MITZI DILLON MD Visit Date: 12/30/2016 21:02

# **Med Orders**

consider scale B (if ICU pt) or scale D (if non-ICU pt)
Indications: PROT- 14 sliding scale (A): dextrose 50% inj 25 GM IV PRN ROUTINE

Indications: Blood Glucose <35; recheck BGM in 30min

dextrose 50% inj 12.5 GM IV PRN ROUTINE

Indications: Blood Glucose 35-69; recheck BGM in 30min
PHARMACY COMMUNICATION 1 EA MISC PRN ROUTINE Rx to D/C ALL previously ordered anti-diabetic medications

Print Date: 01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 5 of 9



Final

**Emergency Department Chart** 

Account Number: 9931347349 Patient Name: PEREZ, MARTIN Medical Rec. Number: 0030138209 Birthdate: 01/08/1978 Gender: M

Arrival Date: 12/30/2016 20:54 Primary MD:

Visit Date: 12/30/2016 21:02 Attending MD:MITZI DILLON MD

## **Non-Med Orders**

TR CT CHEST WITH CONTRAST ONCE STAT Pain - Trauma Related

Entered By (AM80 UNIT CLERK 1280/2016 21:16) Ordered By (MAD1 MD 21:16) Results Back (21:32) MD Sign (MAD1 MD 21:16) Notes: Taken to CT. (CJ22 21:18)

TR CT ABDOMEN AND PELVIS IV ONLY ONCE STAT Pain - Trauma Related

Entered By (AM80 UNIT CLERK 1230/2016 21:16) Ordered By (MAD1 MD 21:16) Results Back (21:32) MD Sign (MAD1 MD 21:16) Notes: Taken to CT. (CJ22 21:18)
TR CT LUMBAR SPINE RECONSTRUCT ONCE STAT Pain - Trauma Related

Entered By (AM80 UNIT CLERK 1280/2016 21:16) Ordered By (MAD1 MD 21:16) Results Back (21:32) MD Sign (MAD1 MD 21:16) Notes: Taken to CT. (CJ22 21:18)

TR CT THORACIC SPINE RECONSTRUCT ONCE STAT Pain - Trauma Related

Entered By (AM80 UNIT CLERK 1280/2016 21:16) Ordered By (MAD1 MD 21:16) Results Back (21:32) MD Sign (MAD1 MD 21:16) Notes: Taken to CT. (CJ22 21:18)

CBC NO DIFFERENTIAL ONCE STAT

Entered By (RW4 RN 12/30/2016 21:50) Ordered By (MAD1 MD 21:50) Results Back (22:08) Notes: Blood Drawn - RN. Blood obtained from the right antecubital fossa. (RW4 21:50)

BASIC METABOLIC PANEL ONCE STAT

Entered By (RW4 RN 12/30/2016 21:50) Ordered By (MAD1 MD 21:50) Results Back (22:28) Notes: Blood Drawn - RN. Blood obtained from the right antecubital fossa. (RW4 21:50) PT + APTT ONCE STAT

Entered By (RW4 RN 12/30/2016 21:50) Ordered By (MAD1 MD 21:50) Results Back (22:29) Notes: Blood Drawn - RN. Blood obtained from the right antecubital fossa. (RW4 21:50)

TYPE AND SCREEN ONCE STAT

Entered By (RW4 RN 12/30/2016 21:50) Ordered By (MAD1 MD 21:50) Notes: Blood Drawn - RN. Blood obtained from the right antecubital fossa. (RW4 21:50)

ABO RH TYPE ONCE STAT

Entered By (12/30/2016 22:06) Ordered By (MAD1 MD 22:06) Results Back (23:10) MD Sign (MAD1 MD 22:06) Notes: Blood Drawn - RN. Blood obtained from the right antecubital fossa. (RW4 22:09) Blood Drawn - RN. (KR25 22:09) ANTIBODY SCREEN - GEL TECHNIQUE ONCE STAT

Entered By (12/30/2016 22:06) Ordered By (MAD1 MD 22:06) Results Back (23:10) MD Sign (MAD1 MD 22:06) Notes: Blood Drawn - RN. Blood obtained from the right antecubital fossa. (RW4 22:09) Blood Drawn - RN. (KR25 22:09) Surgery Admit Order (basic requirements) ONCE ROUTINE Inpatient TICU Standard No 7.3mm Subdural hematoma, 8th right rib fracture, Fall off second story roof CHESTOVICH, PAUL J [GENERAL SURGERY] (22358)

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:56) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:56) Completed By (23:37) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:56)

Vital Signs Q2H ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 1230/2016 22:57) Ordered By (22:57) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57) Order Cancelled (23:47)

Intake & Output Q2H ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57

Measure Weight EVERY DAY ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (12/31/2016 22:53) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57

**INSERT: Saline Lock CONTIN ROUTINE** 

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Comments: (Peripheral IV #1)

**INSERT: Saline Lock CONTIN ROUTINE** 

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Comments: Peripheral IV #2

Call MD: CONTIN ROUTINE HR < 50 HR > 130 R < 8 R > 30 SBP < 90 SBP > 180 Temp > 38.5 degree C UOP < 0.5 ml/kg/hr Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57

Notify: CONTIN ROUTINE SaO2 < 88%

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57

INCENTIVE SPIROMETER- RT to instruct ONCE ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (AA3 RT 12/31/2016 07:46) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57)

Print Date: 01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 6 of 9



Final

**Emergency Department Chart** 

Patient Name: PEREZ, MARTIN Account Number: 9931347349 Birthdate: 01/08/1978 Gender: M Medical Rec. Number: 0030138209

Arrival Date: 12/30/2016 20:54 Primary MD:

Attending MD:MITZI DILLON MD Visit Date: 12/30/2016 21:02

#### **Non-Med Orders**

Incentive Spirometry - NSG Q1H ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (12/31/2016 19:28) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Comments: X 10 Breaths

Admission Nasal MRSA Colonization Screen- ONCE ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57)

Initiate Influenza Vaccine Assessment- CONTIN ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57) Comments: - Switch to Influenza vaccine order if indicated

Initiate Pneumococcal Vaccine Assessment- CONTIN ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57) Comments: - Switch to pneumococcal vaccine order if indicated

RD May Modify / Clarify Diet Orders CONTIN ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 1230/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57) CASE MANAGEMENT CONSULT ONCE ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57)

SOCIAL SERVICES CONSULT ONCE ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 1230/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57)

Activity CONTIN ROUTINE (with nursing assistance)

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57)

Notify: CONTIN ROUTINE DBP < 60 or > 110

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57)

**NPO MEALS** 

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (22:57) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57) Order Cancelled (12/31/2016 09:38

Sequential Compression Device CONTIN ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (SB61

No Parenteral VTE Therapy CQM 2014 ONCE ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) MD Sign (SB61 MD 22:57) Comments: brain bleed **CBC/AUTOMATED IN AM** 

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Results Back (12/31/2016 01:29) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57

RENAL PANEL IN AM

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Results Back (12/31/2016 01:53) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57)

Neuro Checks Q1H ROUTINE

Entered By (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:57) Completed By (12/31/2016 23:00) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:57

**EKG 12 LEAD ONCE STAT** 

Entered By (AM80 UNIT CLERK 12/30/2016 22:55) Ordered By (SB61 MD 22:55) Results Back (12/31/2016 12:52) MD Sign (SB61 MD 12/30/2016 22:55) Notes: First EKG in department obtained. (CJ22 23:11)

CT BRAIN WO CONTRAST ONCE TIMED subdural hematoma

Entered By (SB61 MD 1230/2016 23:06) Ordered By (SB61 MD 23:06) MD Sign (SB61 MD 23:06) Order Cancelled (12/31/2016 00:28)

Elevate Head of Bed CONTIN ROUTINE

Entered By (12/30/2016 23:49) Ordered By (23:49) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (12/30/2016 23:49) Comments: Reverse trendelenberg at 30 degress if thoracic and lumbar spine are not cleared

Vital Signs Q1H ROUTINE

Entered By (12/30/2016 23:49) Ordered By (23:49) Completed By (01/01/2017 00:00) MD Sign (12/30/2016 23:49)

MAGNESIUM LEVEL QDAY IN AM

Entered By (12/30/2016 23:49) Ordered By (23:49) MD Sign (23:49)

Nurse to Follow Protocol: CONTIN ROUTINE Print and follow PROT #383 (Electrolyte Protocol), place in chart

Entered By (12/30/2016 23:49) Ordered By (23:49) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (12/30/2016 23:49) RN to Order: CONTIN ROUTINE Repeat K level 2 hr after KCl, Phosphorous level 2 hr after KPhos/NaPhos/PhosNaK,

Print Date: 01/01/2017 00:17

**Confidential Medical Record** 

Page 7 of 9

Final

**Emergency Department Chart** 

Patient Name: PEREZ, MARTIN

Medical Rec. Number: 0030138209

Arrival Date: 12/30/2016 20:54

Arrival Date: 12/30/2016 20:54

Account Number: 9931347349

Birthdate: 01/08/1978 Gender: M

Primary MD:

Visit Date: 12/30/2016 21:02 Attending MD:MITZI DILLON MD

## **Non-Med Orders**

Magnesium 2 hr after magnesium

Entered By (12/30/2016 23:49) Ordered By (23:49) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (12/30/2016 23:49) Comments: per Electrolyte Protocol (PROT #383)

Blood Glucose Testing - Bedside [ ACCU- CHECK ] Q4H ROUTINE If BGM< 35, repeat and send serum glucose level. Then give 25gm D50W IVP and recheck BGM in 30min. If BGM 35-69, give 12.5gm D50W IVP and recheck BGM in 30min. Entered By (1280/2016 23:59) Ordered By (23:59) Completed By (1281/2016 20:17) MD Sign (1280/2016 23:59)

Notify: CONTIN ROUTINE If BGM >250 and consider scale B (ICU pt) or scale D (non-ICU pt) House Officer Entered By (12/30/2016 23:59) Ordered By (23:59) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (12/30/2016 23:59)
Switch to GLYCOSYLATED HGB (HGA1C) CONTIN ROUTINE

Entered By (12/30/2016 23:59) Ordered By (23:59) MD Sign (23:59) Comments: if BGM not in target range after 12hrs on Sliding Scale Protocol and call physician

RN to Order: CONTIN ROUTINE serum glucose level if BGM<35 mg/dL

Entered By (12/80/2016 23:59) Ordered By (23:59) Completed By (12/81/2016 20:17) MD Sign (12/80/2016 23:59)

Nurse to Follow Protocol: CONTIN ROUTINE Print and follow PROT #14- A (TICU/SICU Insulin Sliding Scale), place in chart

Entered By (12/30/2016 23:59) Ordered By (23:59) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (12/30/2016 23:59)
Call MD: CONTIN ROUTINE (ICU Pt) if BG not in range after 12h on sliding scale to consider NEXT Scale OR Insulin Infusion (PROT #15)

Entered By (12/30/2016 23:59) Ordered By (23:59) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (12/30/2016 23:59)
Call MD: CONTIN ROUTINE (Non-ICU Pt) if BG not in range after 12h on sliding scale to consider NEXT Scale OR ICU transfer & Insulin Infusion (PROT #15)

Entered By (12/30/2016 23:59) Ordered By (23:59) Completed By (12/31/2016 20:17) MD Sign (12/30/2016 23:59)

#### Disposition

This is a case of traumatic fall in a 30-year-old male with no reported past medical history who presented to the emergency department as a transfer from Saint Rose, after being pushed by a co-worker off of a roof assisting a 20 foot fall and loss of consciousness, being found on the ground for an unknown amount of time. Differential diagnosis included but was not limited to intracranial of/closed head injury, C-spine T-spine L-spine injury, chest wall injury, intra-abdominal, musculoskeletal injury. Initial vital signs within normal limits, hemodynamically appropriate and stable, physical exam showed tendemess posterior scalp as well as C-spine and T-spine, and left anterior chest wall tenderness to anterior compression, laboratory workup was unremarkable from outside hospital, imaging and diagnostic testing showed interhemispheric subdural measuring 7 millimeters with no midline shift common otherwise no acute injuries were noted from outside hospital imaging. At this time the patient is in stable condition, and is being consult to Trauma surgery for final disposition management. Clinical impression 1. Traumatic fall 2. Closed head injury 3. Subdural hematoma 4. Possible right 8th rib fracture (RB40) 12/30/2016 21:09 Disposition decision is admit. Admit to Intensive Care unit. Condition at discharge - stable. (MAD1) 12/30/2016 21:12 History, physical findings, and management plan discussed with ED attending physician. Attending physically available and saw patient. Electronically signed by RANDALL BESS MD.

The designated co-signing physician is MITZI DILLON MD. (RB40) 12/30/2016 22:50 I have reviewed the chart of MARTIN PEREZ and as the supervising staff physician concur on the final disposition - ELECTRONICALLY CO-SIGNED BY MITZI A DILLON MD. (MAD1) 01/01/2017 00:10 A discharge pain score was documented: Pain 0/10 (no pain) at 00:07. IV capped and flushed. pt is admitted to room 810, report given to RN in TICU, pt was transported by trauma RN, vitals stable, no complaints at this time, pt is A+OX4, (AM33) 12/31/2016 00:07 Disposition status is Admit. Admitted to Intensive Care Unit. RN accompanied patient. Monitor used during transport. Valuables inventoried and collected by UMC Public Safety. Patient physically left department and was removed from Tracking Board by ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN. (AM33) 12/31/2016 00:08 Electronically signed by ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN. (AM33) 12/31/2016 00:08

Print Date: 01/01/2017 00:17

Confidential Medical Record

Page 8 of 9



Final

**Emergency Department Chart** 

Patient Name: PEREZ, MARTIN

Medical Rec. Number: 0030138209

Account Number: 9931347349

Birthdate: 01/08/1978 Gender: M

Arrival Date: 12/30/2016 20:54 Primary MD:

Visit Date: 12/30/2016 21:02 Attending MD:MITZI DILLON MD

## **Discharge Summary**

Chief Complaint: NO DATA AVAILABLE.. Primary Diagnosis: Traumatic subdural hematoma; Free text DX: Possible right 8th rib fracture; Musculoskeletal chest pain; Free text DX: Traumatic fall.. Disposition Notes: This is a case of traumatic fall in a 30-year-old male with no reported past medical history who presented to the emergency department as a transfer from Saint Rose, after being pushed by a co-worker off of a roof assisting a 20 foot fall and loss of consciousness, being found on the ground for an unknown amount of time. Differential diagnosis included but was not limited to intracranial of/closed head injury, C-spine T-spine L-spine injury, chest wall injury, intra-abdominal, musculoskeletal injury. Initial vital signs within normal limits, hemodynamically appropriate and stable, physical exam showed tenderness posterior scalp as well as C-spine and T-spine, and left anterior chest wall tenderness to anterior compression, laboratory workup was unremarkable from outside hospital, imaging and diagnostic testing showed interhemispheric subdural measuring 7 millimeters with no midline shift common otherwise no acute injuries were noted from outside hospital imaging. At this time the patient is in stable condition, and is being consult to Trauma surgery for final disposition management. Clinical impression 1. Traumatic fall 2. Closed head injury 3. Subdural hematoma 4. Possible right 8th rib fracture; Disposition decision is admit; Condition at discharge - stable; Admit to Intensive Care unit; Electronically signed by RANDALL BESS MD; The designated co-signing physician is MITZI DILLON MD; History, physical findings, and management plan discussed with ED attending physician; Attending physicially available and saw patient; I have reviewed the chart of MARTIN PEREZ and as the supervising staff physician concur on the final disposition - ELECTRONICALLY CO-SIGNED BY MITZI A DILLON MD. Discharge Prescriptions: NO DATA AVAILABLE. ( 01/01/2017 00:10)

# Substance Use

#### **Tobacco**

Smoking status

never a smoker [Confirmed by: ROBERT WILSON RN on 12/30/2016 21:09:00]

#### Alcoho

Alcohol use

no [ROBERT WILSON RN on 12/30/2016 21:09:00]

# Staff Legend

AM33 ANTONIA MCNAMARA RN ALEXIS MARTINEZ UNIT CLERK AM80 CJ22 CATHERINE JURGENS RN KR25 KRISTIN REED RN MITZI DILLON MD MAD1 MK23 MARTIN KOVACIK RN RB40 RANDALL BESS MD RW4 ROBERT WILSON RN SB61 SAMUEL BERGIN MD SUSAN LALUMIA RN SL8

Print Date: 01/01/2017 00:17 Confidential Medical Record

Page 9 of 9

Patient Date of Birth: 1/8/1978 12:00:00 AM

Medical Record Number: 030138209

Location: EMG UMC

Rendering Physician: CHIN MD, HUBERT Referring Physician: PHYSICIAN, UNKNOWN

Accession Number: UMC7360842
Date of Service: 12/30/2016 9:14:00 AM
Exam: TR CT ABD AND PELVIS IV ONLY
*-----*

**EXAM: CT ABDOMEN PELVIS WITH CONTRAST** 

HISTORY: Trauma

COMPARISON: None.

TECHNIQUE: After the uneventful intravenous administration of nonionic iodinated contrast, thin section axial CT images were obtained from the lung bases to the pubis symphysis. Thin section coronal images were reconstructed from the axial data set. In accordance with CT protocols and the ALARA principle, radiation dose reduction techniques were utilized for this examination. Thin section coronal images were reconstructed from the axial data set. All images were reviewed and interpreted.

CONTRAST: Given IV contrast.

#### FINDINGS:

Ankylosis of the right SI joint noted.

Acute fracture deformity of the posterior right eighth rib is noted.


No acute fracture noted.

The lung bases are clear. The liver and portal veins are normal. The gallbladder is normal. The spleen is normal. The pancreas is normal. The adrenals are normal. The kidneys are normal. The distal esophagus and stomach are normal. The visualized portions of the small bowel are normal. The visualized portions of the colon are normal. The abdominal acrta is normal. The IVC is normal. There is no lymphadenopathy. Normal bladder.

#### IMPRESSION:

No acute traumatic abnormality noted.-/*_-/*_-/*_-/*_-/*_-/*_-

Electronically Signed By: HUBERT CHIN MD 12/30/2016 21:53



Page:

7 of 10

PARE T

Patient Date of Birth: 1/8/1978 12:00:00 AM

Medical Record Number: 030138209

Location: EMG UMC

Rendering Physician: CHIN MD, HUBERT Referring Physician: PHYSICIAN, UNKNOWN

Accession Number: UMC7360841 Date of Service: 12/30/2016 9:14:00 AM Exam: TR CT CHEST WITH CONTRAST

*-_==_.**-_==_.*

**EXAM: CT CHEST WITH CONTRAST** 

HISTORY: Trauma

COMPARISON: None.

TECHNIQUE: After the uneventful intravenous administration of nonionic iodinated contrast, thin section axial CT images were obtained from the thoracic inlet through the lung bases and adrenal glands. Thin section coronal images were reconstructed from the axial data set. In accordance with CT protocols and the ALARA principle, radiation dose reduction techniques were utilized for this examination. All images were reviewed and interpreted.

CONTRAST: Given IV IV.

# FINDINGS:

No pulmonary infiltrates. No pulmonary nodules or masses. No pleural effusions. No hilar or mediastinal lymphadenopathy. Normal heart. Normal pulmonary vascularity. Normal thoracic aorta and great vessels. Normal adrenals. No fracture noted. No mediastinal hematoma, pneumothorax, pleural effusion or pericardial effusion.

#### IMPRESSION:

No traumatic thoracic abnormality noted.-/*_-/_-/*_-/*_-/*_-/*_-

Electronically Signed By: HUBERT CHIN MD 12/30/2016 21:44



Page:

Patient Date of Birth: 1/8/1978 12:00:00 AM Medical Record Number: 030138209

Location: EMG UMC

Rendering Physician: CHIN MD, HUBERT Referring Physician: PHYSICIAN, UNKNOWN

Accession Number: UMC7360844 Date of Service: 12/30/2016 9:15:00 AM Exam: TR CT THORACIC SPINE RECONS

*____*

EXAM: Thoracic spine CT.

Information: Trauma, pain

Comparisons: None

## Findings:

Axial, coronal and sagittal reformatted thoracic spine CT images are obtained.

Multilevel mild degenerative disk disease.

There is moderately severe bilateral T2-T3 neural foraminal stenosis secondary to facet hypertrophy.

No aggressive lytic or sclerotic bone lesions noted.

Thoracic vertebrae have intact cortical margins, normal height and normal alignment.

#### Impressions:

No thoracic spine fracture or malalignment noted.-/* -/ -/* -/* -/* -/* -/*

Electronically Signed By: HUBERT CHIN MD 12/30/2016 21:55

RECEIVE)
FEB 2 8 2017
BY:

113241-1935

Patient Date of Birth: 1/8/1978 12:00:00 AM Medical Record Number: 030138209

Location: EMG UMC

Rendering Physician: CHIN MD, HUBERT Referring Physician: PHYSICIAN, UNKNOWN

Accession Number: UMC7360843 Date of Service: 12/30/2016 9:15:00 AM Exam: TR CT LUMBAR SPINE RECONS

*-_=_.**-_=_.*

EXAM: Lumbar spine CT.

Information: Trauma, pain

Comparisons: None


Findings:

Axial, coronal and sagittal reformatted lumbar spine CT images are obtained. Lumbar vertebrae have intact cortical margins, normal height and normal alignment.

Impressions:

No lumbar spine fracture or malalignment noted.-/*_-/*_-/*_-/*_-/*_-/*_-

Electronically Signed By: HUBERT CHIN MD 12/30/2016 22:1



Page:

Patient Date of Birth: 1/8/1978 12:00:00 AM

Medical Record Number: 030138209

Location: TRA UMC

Rendering Physician: HOYE MD, STEPHEN Referring Physician: PHYSICIAN, UNKNOWN

Accession Number: UMC7360899
Date of Service: 12/31/2016 12:22:00 PM
Exam: TR SHOULDER 2V OR MORE (LEFT)

*-_-_.**-_--_.*

EXAM: XR SHOULDER

HISTORY: Fracture

COMPARISON: None.

TECHNIQUE: Left shoulder, 3 views.


FINDINGS:

Bone mineralization appears age appropriate. No acute appearing fracture or dislocation.

IMPRESSION:

No acute osseous abnormality left shoulder-/*_-/*_-/*_-/*_-/*_-

Electronically Signed By: STEPHEN HOYE MD 2/8/2017 13:55



Page:

Patient Date of Birth: 1/8/1978 12:00:00 AM

Medical Record Number: 030138209

Location: TRA UMC

Rendering Physician: DOUGHERTY MD,DOUG Referring Physician: PHYSICIAN,UNKNOWN

Accession Number: UMC7360878

Date of Service: 12/31/2016 2:00:00 AM

Exam: TR CT BRAIN W/O CONTRAST

*._==_.**._==_.*

**EXAM: CT BRAIN WITHOUT CONTRAST** 

HISTORY: Subdural hematoma, trauma patient

COMPARISON: None.

TECHNIQUE: Thin section axial CT images were obtained from the vertex of the skull to the foramen magnum without contrast. In accordance with CT protocols and the ALARA principle, radiation dose reduction techniques were utilized for this examination. All images were reviewed and interpreted. CONTRAST: None.

#### FINDINGS:

A subdural hematoma is present within the interhemispheric fissure, greatest posteriorly where there is a maximal width of approximately 5 mm. There is layering of hemorrhagic material on the bilateral tentorium. No area of intraparenchymal, intraventricular, subarachnoid or epidural hematoma is currently identified. No intraparenchymal mass or mass effect is identified. There is chronic appearing tissue loss involving the anterior pole of the right temporal lobe. The ventricles and sulci are within normal limits for patient age. There is no hydrocephalus. Gray-white differentiation appears normal. There is no acute territorial infarct. The calvarium appears intact. The paranasal sinuses and mastoid air cells are grossly clear.


# ~Performed after hours

#### IMPRESSION:

Subdural hematoma within the interhemispheric fissure measuring up to 5 mm in width and layering on the bilateral tentorium.

Chronic appearing tissue loss involving the anterior right temporal lobe.

Electronically Signed By: DOUG DOUGHERTY MD 12/31/2016 6:10



Page:

Patient Date of Birth: 1/8/1978 12:00:00 AM

Medical Record Number: 030138209

Location: TRA UMC

Rendering Physician: SINGH MD, SUKHJINDER Referring Physician: PHYSICIAN, UNKNOWN

Accession Number: UMC7361192 Date of Service: 12/31/2016 11:16:00 AM Exam: MRI C-SPINE W/O CONTRAST

*-_==_.**-_==_.*

EXAM: MR CERVICAL SPINE WITHOUT CONTRAST

HISTORY: Neck pain, cervicalgia.

COMPARISON: None.

TECHNIQUE: Axial and sagittal T1-weighted, T2-weighted, and STIR fat-suppressed T2-weighted MR images of the cervical spine were performed without contrast.

CONTRAST: None.

#### FINDINGS:


The intervertebral discs from C2 to T1 are normal in height and signal intensity. No significant disk bulges or herniated discs are present at any level. Normal vertebral alignment and spacing is present at all levels. Normal signal in the bone marrow and intervertebral disks. No spinal canal stenosis or neural foraminal narrowing. No vertebral or soft tissue edema. Normal signal in the cervical cord. No Chiari malformation.

There is right posterior paraspinal soft tissue swelling from T1 through T3.

# IMPRESSION:

- 1. Normal MRI of the cervical spine without contrast.
- 2. Mild right posterior paraspinal soft tissue swelling from T1 through T3.-/*_-/*_-/*_-/*_-/*_--

Electronically Signed By: SUKHJINDER SINGH MD 12/31/2016 13:19



Page:



# Self-Insured Self-Administered Workers Compensation

1220 S. COMMERCE SUITE 120, LAS VEGAS, NV 89102 702.220.5621 - 702.851.7938

# NOTICE OF CLAIM DENIAL PLEASE READ THIS DOCUMENT

March 6, 2017

Martin Perez 3555 E. Lake Mead Blvd. #147 Las Vegas Nevada 89115

Re:

Employer:

Insurer: Claim No:

Accident Date:

Body Part:

**Focus Framing** Focus Plumbing

2016-0022 12/30/2016

Head Injury - Hematoma Only

# Dear Martin Perez:

We are in receipt of your claim for the above-mentioned date of injury. Based on the information submitted to this office, your claim for date of injury of 12/30/2016 does not meet the requirements set forth in chapters this office, your claim for date of injury of 12/30/2016 does not meet the requirements set forth in chapters 616A to 616D, inclusive, and 617, of NRS. The reason for denial is based on the following reasons and statutory authorities. The accident and/or injury described does not meet statutory requirements. We are unable statutory authorities. The accident and/or injury described does not meet statutory requirements. to substantiate that your injury "arose out of and in the course and scope of your employment." Based on medical information submitted, it has been determined that the primary cause of your current disability is your pre-existing non-industrial condition, and that it has been established by a preponderance of the evidence that the injury and/or accident described is not a substantial contributing cause of the resulting condition.

NRS 616A030 defines an "Accident" as "...an unexpected or unforeseen event happening suddenly and violently, with or without human fault, and producing at the time objective symptoms of an injury," and/or as an "Injury" or "Personal Injury" as defined by NRS 616A.265 was "...a sudden and tangible happening of a traumatic nature, producing an immediate or prompt result which is established by medical evidence, including injuries to prosthetic devices ..."

NRS 616C.150 provides that an injured employee or his dependents are not entitled to receive compensation pursuant to the provisions of chapters 616A to 616D of the Nevada Revised Statutes unless the employee or his dependents establish by a preponderance of the evidence that the employee's injury arose out of and in the course of his employment. For the purposes of chapters 616A to 616D of the Nevada Revised Statutes, there is a rebuttal presumption if the employee files a notice of an injury pursuant to NRS 616C.015 after his employment is terminated for any reason.

NRS 616C.175(1) provides that if an employee has a preexisting condition from a cause or origin that did not arise out of or in the course of his current or past employment; and he subsequently sustains an injury by accident arising out of and in the course of his employment which aggravates, precipitates or accelerates his preexisting condition, the resulting injury shall be deemed to be an injury by accident that is compensable pursuant to the provisions of chapters 616A to 616D, inclusive of NRS, unless the insurer can prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the subsequent injury is not a substantial contributing cause of the resulting condition.

If you should have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact our office.

If you disagree with this determination, you may file the enclosed "Request for Hearing" form with the Department of Administration, Hearing Officer at the address listed on the form, within seventy (70) days from the date of this determination. Failure to file a timely request with the Department of Administration, Hearing Officer may result in an order dismissing your case.

Sincerely,

Patty Pizano, Claims Adjuster

cc:

Focus Framing

University Medical Center Division of Industrial Relations

Enclosure(s): Request for Hearing; Brief Description of Rights and Benefits (Pursuant to NRS 616C.050)

# **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**


I, Patty Pizano, Claims Adjuster, do hereby declare under penalty of perjury under the law of the State of Nevada that the following is true and correct. That on March 6, 2017, service of the Notice of Claim Denial was made by depositing in the U.S. Mail in Las Vegas, NV, postage paid, addressed to:

Name Address Martin Perez

3555 E. Lake Mead Blvd. #147, Las Vegas, Nevada 89115

Dated this 6 day of March, 20_

Patty Pizano, Claims Adjuster





**Mailing Address:** 

716 South Jones Boulevard Las Vegas, NV 89107 **P:** 702-333-1111

F: 702-507.0092

morrisandersonlaw.com

FILED

TITLIEN Titane inner

# REQUEST FOR HEARING

# **CLAIMANT INFORMATION:**

Claimant: Martin Duran Perez

Address: 3555 E. Lake Mead Blvd Apt 147

Las Vegas, NV 89115

Date of Injury: 12-30-2016

Claim No.:

2016-0022

#### **EMPLOYER INFORMATION:**

Employer: Focus Plumbing

Address: 1220 S. Commerce Ste 120

Las Vegas, NV 89102

Telephone No.: 702-220-5621

PERSON REQUESTING APPEAL:

INJURED EMPLOYEE

I WISH TO APPEAL THE DECISION DATED:

03-06-2017

# **BRIEFLY EXPLAIN REASON FOR APPEAL:**

Claimant does not agree with the insurer's determination of 03-06-2017, regarding Notice of Claim Denial

# ATTORNEY/REPRESENTATIVE:

Name: Morris Anderson Law

Address: 716 S. Jones Blvd

Las Vegas, NV 89107

Telephone:

702-333-1111

INSURANCE COMPANY:

Name: Focus Plumbing

Address: 1220 S. Commerce Ste 120

Las Vegas, NV 89102

Telephone: 702-220-5621

Signature MATOR

Date

3035

710955 117



# Self-Insured Self-Administered Workers Compensation 1220 S. COMMERCE SUITE 120, LAS VEGAS, NV 89102 702.220.5621 - 702.851.7988

March 30, 2017

Morris Anderson Law Attn: Jacob Leavitt, Esq. 2001 S. Maryland Pkwy Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

Re:

Claimant:

Martin Duran Perez

Claim #:

2016-0022

Date of Loss: 12/30/2016 Employer:

**Focus Framing** 

Insurer:

Focus Plumbing

Dear Jacob Leavitt, Esq:

I am in receipt of your letter dated March 21, 2017 requesting TTD from December 30, 2016 to Present. Please be advised that this claim was denied. Please see attached Denial Letter.

Should you disagree with this determination, you may file the enclosed "Request for Hearing" form with the Department of Administration, Hearing Division within seventy (70) days from the date of this letter.

Sincerely,

Patty Pizano

Claims Adjuster

cc:

**Focus Framing** 

Martin Duran Perez

Daniel Schwartz, Esq.

Enclosure (Request for Hearing form)



# Self-Insured Self-Administered Workers Compensation 1220 S. COMMERCE SUITE 120, LAS VEGAS, NV 89102

702.220.5621 - 702.851.7938

March 30, 2017

Morris Anderson Law Attn: Jacob Leavitt, Esq. 716 S. Jones Blvd Las Vegas, Nevada 89107

Re:

Claimant:

Martin Duran Perez

Claim #:

2016-0022

Date of Loss: 12/30/2016

Employer:

**Focus Framing** 

Insurer:

Focus Plumbing

Dear Jacob Leavitt, Esq:

I am in receipt of your letter dated March 21, 2017 requesting a transfer of care to Dr. Jason Garber as his primary care physician. This request is denied, as this is a denied claim.

Should you disagree with this determination, you may file the enclosed "Request for Hearing" form with the Department of Administration, Hearing Division within seventy (70) days from the date of this letter.

Sincerely

(Patty Pizano

Claims Adjuster

cc:

**Focus Framing** 

Martin Duran Perez

Daniel Schwartz, Esq.

Enclosure (Request for Hearing form)

# STATE OF NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION HEARINGS DIVISION

In the matter of the Contested Industrial Insurance Claim of:

Hearing Number: 1710955-MT Claim Number: 2016-0022

MARTIN DURAN PEREZ 3555 E LAKE MEAD BLVD #147 LAS VEGAS, NV 89115

FOCUS PLUMBLING 1220 S COMMERCE ST STE 120 LAS VEGAS, NV 89102

The Claimant's request for hearing was filed on March 22, 2017 and a hearing was scheduled for MAY 25, 2017. The hearing was held on MAY 25, 2017, in accordance with Chapters 616 and 617 of the Nevada Revised Statutes.

The Claimant was present. The Claimant was represented by JACOB LEAVITT ESQ. The Employer was not present. The Employer and the Administrator were represented by DANIEL SCHWARTZ ESQ.

# **ISSUE**

The Claimant appealed the determination of FOCUS PLUMBLING dated March 6, 2017.

The issue before the Hearing Officer is claim denial.

# DECISION AND ORDER

The determination of the Insurer is hereby REVERSED/REMANDED.

Counsel and Claimant represent that on December 30, 2016, the Claimant had an issue with his paycheck being short so went over to where his supervisor was to discuss this; his supervisor at the time was up on a roof with his son. An argument pursued and the supervisor's son pushed the Claimant off the roof, approximately 10 to 12 feet. They are seeking Workers Compensation benefits.

In reviewing all evidence submitted, and taking into consideration the representations as depicted above, it is clear that an injury has occurred within the course and scope of the Claimant's employment, the Employer being notified timely, medical treatment sought timely, and the initial examining physician causally connecting all diagnosed conditions to this fall. The issue the Claimant had and pursued clarification by his direct supervisor is in fact considered work-related; records indicate the Claimant was not tied officer properly. Upon getting up on the roof; however, had the Claimant not been pushed as purported, this injury may not have occurred. The determination of the Insurer is hereby deemed improper and reversed. The Insurer is hereby remanded to accept this claim for benefits accordingly. NRS 6168.030, NRS 6168.265, NRS 616C.138, NRS 616C.150 (1)

IT IS SO ORDERED this __day of June, 2017

Megan Trenkler Hearing Officer

# APPEAL RIGHTS

Pursuant to NRS 616C.345(1), should any party desire to appeal this final decision of the Hearing Officer, a request for appeal must be filed with Appeals Officer within thirty (30) days after the date of the decision by the Hearing Officer.

# CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

The undersigned, an employee of the State of Nevada, Department of Administration, Hearings Division, does hereby certify that on the date shown below, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Pecision AND ORDER was duly mailed, postage prepaid OR placed in the appropriate addressee runner file at the Department of Administration, Hearings Division, 2200 S. Rancho Drive., #210, Las Vegas, Nevada, to the following:

MARTIN DURAN PEREZ 3555 E LAKE MEAD BLVD #147 LAS VEGAS NV 89115

JACOB LEAVITT ESQ BIGHORN LAW 716 S JONES BLVD LAS VEGAS NV 89156

FOCUS PLUMBLING 1220 S COMMERCE ST STE 120 LAS VEGAS NV 89102

DANIEL SCHWARTZ ESQ LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 2300 W SAHARA AVE STE 300 BOX 28 LAS VEGAS NV 89102-4375

Dated this ____ day of June, 2017

Dan Baiza

Employee of the State of Nevada

# REQUEST FOR HEARING BEFORE THE APPEALS OFFICER NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION HEARINGS DIVISION

In the matter of the Contested Industrial Insurance Claim of:	Hearing Number: 1710955-MT Claim Number: 2016-0022				
MARTIN DURAN PEREZ 3555 E LAKE MEAD BLVD #147 LAS VEGAS, NV 89115	FOCUS PLUMBLING 1220 S COMMERCE ST STE 120 LAS VEGAS, NV 89102				
I WISH TO APPEAL THE HEARING OFFICER	R DECISION DATED:				
(Please attach a copy o	of the Hearing Officer's Decision)				
PERSON REQUESTING APPEAL: (circle on	e) CLAIMANT/EMPLOYER/INSURER				
REASON FOR APPEAL:					
If you are represented by an attorney or other agent, please print the name and address below.  Name of Attorney or Representative Person requesting this hearing (please print)					
Address	Person requesting this hearing (signature)				
City, State, Zip Code					
Telephone Number	Telephone Number Date				
NOTICE					
If the Hearing Officer Decision is appealed, CLAIMANTS are entitled to free legal representation by the Nevada Attorney for Injured Workers (NAIW). If you want NAIW to represent you, please sign below:					
Signature If you are appealing the Hearing Officer's of that decision at:	Telephone Number lecision, file this form no later than thirty (30) days after				

NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION APPEALS OFFICE 2200 S RANCHO DRIVE, SUITE 220 LAS VEGAS, NV 89102 (702) 486-2527



4824-3873-0605.2 33947-19

# INDEX TO APPELLANTS' APPENDIX¹

PLEADING, MOTION, ORDER,	VOLUME	PAGE NUMBER
TRANSCRIPT, EXHIBIT		
CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	3	540-545
CLAIMANT'S DOCUMENTARY	2	212-288
EVIDENCE PACKET AND WITNESS		
DISCLOSURE (CLAIMANT'S		
EXHIBIT 1) FILED JULY 12, 2017		
CLAIMANT'S FIRST	1	99-109
SUPPLEMENTED DOCUMENTARY		
EVIDENCE PACKET AND WITNESS		
DISCLOSURE (CLAIMANT'S		
EXHIBIT 2) FILED NOVEMBER 22,		
2017		
CLAIMANT'S OPPOSITION TO	1	204-211
EMPLOYER'S MOTION FOR STAY		
FILED JULY 17, 2017		
CLAIMANT'S SECOND	1	89-96
SUPPLEMENTED DOCUMENTARY		
EVIDENCE PACKET AND WITNESS		
DISCLOSURE (CLAIMANT'S		
EXHIBIT 3) FILED JANUARY 19, 2018		
CLAIMANT'S SECONDARY	1	75-88
SUPPLEMENTED DOCUMENTARY		
EVIDENCE PACKET AND WITNESS		
DISCLOSURE (CLAIMANT'S		
EXHIBIT 4) FILED JANUARY 31, 2018		
CORRESPONDENCE (DECISION	1	73-74
LETTER) FROM APPEALS OFFICER		
CHARLES YORK TO ALIKA		
ANGERMAN ESQ. DATED		
FEBRUARY 13, 2018		

¹ Note: This Appendix contains the Record on Appeal exactly as it appeared in District Court. District Court documents are included after the formal Record on Appeal at Volume 3.



- 11			
1	CORRESPONDENCE (PROPOSED	1	72
2	DECISION AND ORDER) FROM		
4	ALIKA ANGERMAN ESQ. TO		
3	APPEALS OFFICER CHARLES YORK		
4	FILED APRIL 16, 2018		
	DECISION AND ORDER OF APPEALS	1	65-71
5	OFFICER CHARLES YORK, FILED		
6	MAY 3, 2018		
	INSURER'S APPEAL MEMORANDUM	1	110-120
7	FILED SEPTEMBER 11, 2017		
8	INSURER'S INDEX OF DOCUMENTS	1	121-192
	(INSURER'S EXHIBIT A) FILED		
9	SEPTEMBER 11, 2017		
10	INSURER'S MOTION FOR STAY	2	295-370
	PENDING APPEAL FILED JUNE 30,		
11	2017		
12	INSURER'S REPLY BRIEF IN	1	197-203
12	SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR STAY		
13	PENDING APPEAL FILED JULY 28,		
14	2017		
15	MINUTES FROM HEARING ON	3	475
13	PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW,		
16	DATED JANUARY 15, 2019		
17	MINUTES FROM HEARING ON	3	476
	PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW,		
18	DATED JANUARY 22, 2019		
19	MINUTES FROM HEARING ON	3	488
	PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW,		
20	DATED JANUARY 29, 2019		100
21	MINUTES FROM HEARING ON	3	489
	PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW,		
22	DATED JANUARY 31, 2019		412
23	MINUTES FROM MOTION FOR	3	413
24	STAY, DATED JUNE 19, 2018	2	414 415
24	MINUTES FROM MOTION FOR	3	414-415
25	STAY, DATED JUNE 26, 2018	2	770
26	MINUTES FROM MOTION FOR STAY	3	550
40	PENDING SUPREME COURT		
27	APPEAL, DATED OCTOBER 17, 2019		



1	NOTICE OF APPEAL	3	528-539
2	NOTICE OF APPEAL AND ORDER TO	2	289-294
	APPEAR FILED JULY 5, 2017		
3	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER	3	490-495
4	DENYING PETITION FOR JUDICIAL		
	REVIEW		
5	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER	3	416-419
6	GRANTING MOTIONN FOR STAY		
_	NOTICE OF FILING BOND	3	546-549
7	NOTICE OF RESETTING DATED	1	97-98
8	DECEMBER 12, 2017		
9	NOTICE OF RESETTING DATED	1	193-194
9	SEPTEMBER 8, 2017		
10	ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR	1	195-196
11	STAY PENDING APPEAL, FILED		
	AUGUST 2, 2017		
12	PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW	3	371-381
13	PETITIONERS' MOTION FOR STAY	3	382-401
	PENDING APPEAL AND REQUEST		
14	FOR ORDER SHORTENING TIME		
15	(EXHIBITS OMITED FOR BREVITY)	2	406.717
1.	PETITIONERS' MOTION FOR STAY	3	496-515
16	PENDING SUPREME COURT		
17	APPEAL AND REQUEST FOR ORDER SHORTENING TIME		
18	PETITIONERS' OPENING BRIEF	3	420-441
	PETITIONERS' REPLY BRIEF	3	462-470
19	RECORD ON APPEAL IN	<u> </u>	2
20	ACCORDANCE WITH THE NEVADA	1	<u> </u>
	ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE		
21	ACT		
22	REQUEST FOR HEARING ON	3	471-474
22	PETITIONERS' PETITION FOR	3	1/1 1/1
23	JUDICIAL REVIEW		
24	RESPONDENTS' ANSWERING BRIEF	3	442-461
25	RESPONDENT'S OPPOSITION TO	3	402-412
	PETITIONERS' MOTION FOR STAY	-	
26			
27			
- 1			



1	RESPONDENT'S OPPOSITION TO	3	516-527
2	PETITIONERS' MOTION FOR STAY		
	PENDING SUPREME COURT		
3	APPEAL		
4	TRANSCRIPT OF PETITION FOR	3	477-487
-	JUDICIAL REVIEW		
5	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	1	3-64
6	HELD ON FEBRUARY 9, 2018, FILED		
_	MAY 17, 2018		
7	TRANSMITTAL OF RECORD ON	1	1
8	APPEAL		
9			
	CERTIFICATE O	F MAILING	
10	Demonstrate Name to Dular of Civil Du		l
11	Pursuant to Nevada Rules of Civil Pr	ocedure 5(b), 1 ne	reby ceruity that, on
	the 10 day of April 2020, service	of the attached	d APPELLANTS'
12	APPENDIX VOLUME 3 was made this	date by denociting	a true conv of the
13	APPENDIX VOLUME 3 was made this date by depositing a true copy of the		
14	same for mailing, first class mail, and/or electronic service as follows:		
14			
15			
16	Alika Angerman, Esq.		
10	Bighorn Law		

Focus Framing
C/O Sun City Electric

Focus Framing
C/O Sun City Electric

C/O Sun City Electric
ATTN: Patty Pizano
1220 S. Commerce St., #120
Las Vegas, NV 89102

716 S. Jones Blvd.

Las Vegas, NV 89107

/s/ Joel P. Reeves, Esq.
An employee of LEWIS, BRISBOIS,
BISGAARD & SMITH, LLP



**Electronically Filed** 5/18/2018 4:44 PM Steven D. Grierson 1 **PTJR CLERK OF THE COURT** DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. 2 Nevada Bar No. 005125 JOEL P. REEVES, ESQ. 3 Nevada Bar No. 013231 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 4 2300 W. Sahara Ave. Ste. 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Telephone: 5 702-893-3383 Facsimile: 702-366-9689 Email: daniel.schwartz@lewisbrisbois.com 6 Attorneys for Petitioners Focus Framing and 7 Sun City Electric 8 9 DISTRICT COURT 10 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA FOCUS FRAMING and SUN CITY ELECTRIC, 11 12 Petitioners, CASE NO: A-18-774772-J DEPT. NO.: Department 30 13 ٧. 14 MARTIN DURAN PEREZ. and THE DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION. 15 HEARINGS DIVISION, APPEALS OFFICE. an Agency of the State of Nevada, 16 17 Respondents. 18 PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW 19 COMES NOW the Petitioners, FOCUS FRAMING and SUN CITY ELECTRIC 20. (hereinafter referred to as the "Petitioners"), by and through their attorneys, DANIEL L. 21 SCHWARTZ, ESQ., and LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP, in the above-entitled 22 Petition for Judicial Review and petition this Court for judicial review of the Appeals Officer's 23 Decision and Order, filed on May 3, 2018, a copy of which is attached hereto as "Exhibit 1." 24 The instant Petition for Judicial Review is filed pursuant to NRS Chapter 616C.370. 25 which mandates that judicial review shall be the sole and exclusive authorized judicial 26 proceeding in contested industrial insurance claims for compensation for injury or death and 27 pursuant to NRS 233B.130, et seq. 28 4827-5538-2630,1 33947-19

The decision of the Appeals Officer was in Violation of constitutional or statutory provisions, was in excess of the authority of the Appenix Officer, was based upon errors of law. is arbitrary or capricious in nature, and constitutes an abuse of discretton. The Petitioners, FOCUS FRAMING and SUN CITY ELECTRIC, specifically request, pursuant to NRS 233B 133, that this Court receive written briefs and hear oral argument. DATED this day of May 2014. Respectfully submitted. LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLD

MADREL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. Nejudo Bar No. 008125

JOH P REEVES, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 013231

2300 W Sahara Ave. Stc. 300

Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

Phone 702-893-3383 First: 702-366-9689

Attorneys for Petitioners

10 17

ğ

3

3

Ġ.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

IX

19

20

24

22 23

24

25

30

27

18

4827:T115-20-t0 ; 00047010

# CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Prostuant to Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure 5(b), I hereby certify that, up the day of May 2018, service of the attached PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW was made this that by depositing a true copy of the same for mailing, first class mail, at this Vegas Nevada, addressed follows:

Merris Auderson Law Jacob Leavitt, Esq. 716 S. Jones Blvd, Las Vegus, NV 89107

ã

3

4

5

Ř

7

8

LIZ.

TE

1.2

173

14

15

16

180

10

20

2

22

23

24

29

16

27

28

17

Focus Framing

Focus Framing C/O Sun City Electric ATTN: Party Pizano 1220 S. Commerce St., #120-Las Vegas, NV 89102 Adam P. Laxalı, Esq. Nevada Attorney General Office of the Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, NV 89701

Patrick Cates
Director, Department of Administration
Nevada Dept. Of Administration
515 Fast Musser Street, Third Floor
Carson City, Nevada 89701-4298

Department of Administration Hearings Division - Appeals Office Attn: Appeals Officer Gary Pulliam, Esq. 2200 S. Rancho Dr. Ste. 220 Las Vegas, NV 89102 Appeal Nos.: 1505946-GP; 1506242-GP; 1509390-GP; 1513312-GP



4027-3530-0691 ( ) 33949-00

# **EXHIBIT 1**

# **EXHIBIT** 1

**BIGHORN LAW** 1 ALIKA K. ANGERMAN, ESQ. 2 Nevada Bar No. 12933 MAY 03 2018 716 S. Jones Blvd. 3 APPEALS OFFICE Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 Phone: (702) 333-1111 4. Fax: (702) 507-0092 Alika@bighornlaw.com 5. Attorneys for Claimant 6 NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION 7 BEFORE THE APPEALOFFICER 8 9 In the Matter of the Contested APPEAL NO: 1714955-CIY Insurance Claim 10 HEARING NO.: 1710955-MT of 1Ï EMPLOYER: Focus Plumbing/Framing MARTIN DURAN PEREZ, 12 CLAIM NO : 2016-0022 Claimant. 13 14 15 16 **DECISION AND ORDER** 17 This matter was submitted for decision for the Appeals Officer. Claimant was 18 represented by ALIKA K. ANGERMAN, Esq. of the law Bighorn Law; Employer FOCUS 19 PLUMBING (hereinafter referred to as "Employer"), was represented by DANIEL 20 SCHWARTZ, ESQ. of Lewis Brisbois, Bisgaard & Smith LLP. 21 22 IH23 111 24 111 25 111 26 111 27 /// 28

# FINDING OF FACTS

- 1. On December 30, 2016, Claimant suffered an injury while in the course and scope of his employment as a laborer with Employer. Claimant was upset because he believed his paycheck was short from the work conducted a week earlier while he was a member of Pedro Rosale's crew. On December 30, 2016 Claimant went to ask Mr. Pedro Rosales about his check. Claimant climbed to the roof of the house where Mr. Rosales was working. Claimant was talking to Mr. Rosales when Mr. Rosales' son intervened and pushed Claimant off of the roof. Claimant fell to the ground where he landed sustaining serious injuries to include, but not limited to "1) traumatic fall 2) Traumatic subdutal hematoma 3) Possible right 8th rib fracture 4) Musculoskeletal chest pain" as the initial hospital diagnosis. Although not working on Pedro Rosales' crew on December 30, 2016, Claimant credibly testified that if there was an issue with his check that he needed to talk to Pedro Rosales.
- 2. On December 30, 2016, Claimant underwent CT scans of the chest, abdomen, thoracic spine and lumbar spine.
- 3. On December 31, 2016, Claimant had an x-ray performed on his shoulder and a CT scan of his brain. In addition, Claimant underwent an MRI of his cervical.
- 4. On March 6, 2017, Employer issued a determination denying Claimant's claim.
- 5. On March 20, 2017, Claimant appealed Employer's claim denial determination.
- 6. On June 1, 2017, Hearing Officer Megan Trenkler issued her Decision and Order which REVERSED/REMANDED Employer's March 6, 2017 claim denial determination.
- 7. On June 30, 2017, Employer appealed Hearing Officer Trenkler's Decision and Order and filed a Motion for Stay Pending Appeal.
- 8. On July 17, 2017, Claimant filed an Opposition to Motion For Stay Pending Appeal.
- 9. On August 2, 2017, Employer's Motion for Stay was granted.
- 10. After consideration of the totality of the evidence presented in this case and the arguments of counsel, I find as follows:

- a. I find that Claimant was employed by Focus when, on December 30, 2016, he was assaulted and pushed off a roof of a house under construction. The circumstances of this assault lead me to conclude the claim is compensable. The decision of the Hearing Officer is proper and AFFIRMED.
- b. This is not a case where the assault and injuries were sustained through animosity and ill feelings arising from some cause entirely unrelated with the employee's company. There is a clear indication that the work issue (short paycheck) was the catalyst which led to this unfortunate incident. [Wood v. Safeway, Inc., 121 NEV 724 121 P.3d 1026 (2005)]. As such Glaimant is entitled to all appropriate benefits.
- c. These Findings of Fact are based upon substantial evidence within the record.

  11. If any finding of fact is more appropriately deemed a conclusion of law it shall be so deemed, or vice versa.

# CONCLUSION OF LAW

- 1. Nevada Revised Statutes ("NRS") 616C.150 only requires Claimant to demonstrate that he was injured within the course and scope of his employment by preponderance of the evidence, nothing greater. "NRS 616C.150 does not require an injured worker to offer a greater number of expert witnesses who express opinions in his favor to establish that an injury arose... [r]ather preponderance of the evidence merely refers to the greater weight of the evidence." McClanahan v. Raley's, Inc. 34 P.3d 573, 576 (2001).
- 2. Workers' Compensation is statutorily driven and defined. Claimant must prove, by preponderance that he was in the course and scope when an accident occurred.
- 3. Rio All Suite Hotel & Casino v. Phillips, states that generally, "injuries caused by employment related risks are deemed to arise out of employment and are compensable." 240 P.3d 2, 5 (2010).
- 4. The threshold requirement in an industrial injury is that Claimant's injury must have occurred within

I/I

M

Ż

the course and scope of employment. Phillips, at 5: Course and Scope simply means that the injured worker was at work, and scheduled to be there, when the accident occurred. Here, Claimant was working at an assigned time and scheduled to be in the same construction housing complex. Claimant went to the house across the street to inquire with the foreman that he worked with the week prior as to why his hours were not properly reflected. His pay and hours are indeed work related. The fact that this claim was filed because of the intentional tort of Jose Rosales (Pedro's son) does not change compensability. These injuries arose out of and in the course of employment. Claimant was on the job when this incident occurred, and the injuries resulted by the assault due to work-related issues (short paycheck).

- 5. Actident is statutorily defined in NRS 616A.030 as "Accident" means an unexpected or unforeseen event happening suddenly and violently, with or without human fault, and producing at the time objective symptoms of an injury." Clearly, Claimant did not anticipate being pushed off of a roof by anyone let alone someone who was not a part of the conversation. Claimant wanted clarification for his hours from the foreman he worked with for the subject pay period. In the instant case, Claimant meets the statutory requirement of accident.
- 6 Injury is defined in NRS 616A-265 as a "sudden and tangible happening" that produces an "immediate or prompt result" which is established by medical evidence. Here, the initial treating physician who completed the Form C-4 causally related the injury to the work place incident Employer bears, the burden under NRS 616C-175 if it believes Claimant has a prior condition. Employer must prove that the alleged prior condition is the substantial cause for the work injury, if not, then it is a compensable claim.

# 1 <u>ORDER</u> 2 Therefore, after considering the totality of the evidence presented in Appeal 3. 1714955-CJY IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Hearing Officer's decision in June 1, 4 2017 is hereby AFFIRMED and the Employer's March 6, 2017 claim denial determination. 5 is REVERSED. 6 7 Dated this 8 APPEALS OFFICER 9 10 11 ARLES J. YORK, ESQ. 12 Submitted by: 13 BIGHORN LAW 14 15 16 ALIKA K/ANGERMAN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 12933 17 716 South Jones Boulevard Las Vegas, NV 89107 18 19 Pursuant to NRS 616.543 and NRS 233B.130, should any party desire to appeal this determination of the Appeals Officer, a Petition of Judicial Review must be filed with the District Court within thirty (30) days after service by mail of this Decision. 20. 21 22. 23 24 25 26 27 28 5.

#### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

1 2 Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of the law firm of BIGHORN LAW 3 and that on the day of April, 2018, I duly deposited for mailing at Las Vegas, Nevada, a true copy of 4 the forgoing DECISION and ORDER, postage prepaid, addressed to the following: 5 Daniel Schwartz, Esq. Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP 6 2300 W. Sahara Suite 300, Box 28 7 Las Vegas, NV 89102 Focus Framing/Plumbing 8 1220 S. Commerce Street Suite 120 9 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Martin Duran Perez 10 3555 E. Lake Mead 11 Blvd Apt. #147 Las Vegas, NV 89115 12 13 14 15 16. 17 18. 19 20 21 22 23, 24 25-26 27 28 6.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING 2 The undersigned, an employee of the State of Nevada, Department of Administration, Hearings Division, does hereby certify that on the date shown below, a true and correct copy of 3 the foregoing ORDER was duly mailed, postage prepaid OR placed in the appropriate addressee runner file at the Department of Administration, Hearings Division, 2200 S. Rancho 4 Drive, #220, Las Vegas, Nevada, to the following: 5 MARTIN DURAN PEREZ 6 3555 E LAKE MEAD BLVD #147 LAS VEGAS NV 89115 7 ALIKA ANGERMAN ESQ 8 **BIGHORN LAW** 9 716 S JONES BLVD LAS VEGAS NV 89107-3614 10 FOCUS PLUMBING 11 ATTN PATTY PAIZANO 1220 S COMMERCE ST STE 120 12 LAS VEGAS NV 89102 13 DANIEL SCHWARTZ ESQ 14 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 2300 W SAHARA AVE STE 300 BOX 28 15 LAS VEGAS NV 89102-4375 16 Dated this 17 18

1

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Estela Pinedo, Legal Secretary II Employee of the State of Nevada

**Electronically Filed** 5/23/2018 3:55 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT **MOT** 1 DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. 2 Nevada Bar No. 005125 JOEL P. REEVES, ESQ. 3 Nevada Bar No. 013231 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 2300 W. Sahara Ave. Ste. 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Telephone: 702-893-3383 Facsimile: 702-366-9689 Email: daniel.schwartz@lewisbrisbois.com Attorneys for Petitioners Focus Framing and 8 Sun City Electric 9 DISTRICT COURT 10 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 11 12 FOCUS FRAMING and SUN CITY ELECTRIC, CASE NO.: A-18-774772-J 13 Petitioners, DEPT. NO.: X 14 v. 15 MARTIN DURAN PEREZ. and THE 16 DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION, HEARINGS DIVISION, APPEALS OFFICE, 17 an Agency of the State of Nevada, 18 19 Respondents. 20 PETITIONERS' MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL 21 AND REQUEST FOR ORDER SHORTENING TIME 22 COMES NOW the Petitioners, FOCUS FRAMING and SUN CITY ELECTRIC, 23 (hereinafter referred to as the "Petitioners"), by and through their attorneys, DANIEL L. 24 SCHWARTZ, ESQ., and JOEL P. REEVES, ESQ. of LEWIS, BRISBOIS, BISGAARD & 25 SMITH, LLP, and applies to this Court for a Stay of the Appeals Officer's Order, filed on May 3, 26 2018, pending decision on the merits in the appeal by Petitioners to the District Court, filed 27

4842-1133-1174.1 /motion for stay pending appeal and request for order shortening time 33947-19

28

1	separately. Further, Petitioners respectfully request an Order Shortening Time for this Motion t
2	be heard.
3	This Motion and request for an Order Shortening Time is made and based upon the paper
4	and pleading on file herein, the Affidavit of JOEL P. REEVES, ESQ., the attached Points an
5	Authorities, and any argument of counsel on this matter.
6	DATED this 22 day of May, 2018.
7	Respectfully submitted,
8	LEWIS BRISBOYS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
9	
10	By:
11	DANHE L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ.
12	ØEL P. REEVES, ESQ.
13	Mevada Bar No. 013231 2300 W. Sahara Ave. Ste. 300
14	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Phone: 702-893-3383
15	Fax: 702-366-9689 Attorneys for Petitioners
16	Action by Salar Control of the Contr
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

# AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF ORDER SHORTENING TIME STATE OF NEVADA ) SS(

- JOEL P. REEVES, ESO., to herby swear under penalty of perjury that the assertion of this affidavit are true, that:
- Affiant is an attorney authorized and duly licensed to practice law to the State of Nevada and is one of the attorneys of regord for Petitioners.
- 2 This offidays is made in support of an ex-parte order shortening time for this Monor for Stay to be heard.
- Affinit has personal knowledge of all matters set forth herein, except those matters stated on information and belief, and is competent to tostify thereto.
- 4. The above-named Affiant has good gause to request this Court for an Order Shortening time. NRS 6 (60:375 states that an Appeals Officer's Decision and Order is not stayed unless the District Lourt issues an Order of Stay within themy (30) days from the date of the Decision and Order. With an additional three (3) days for mailing, Petitioners will have to comply with the Appeal Officer's Decision on or about June 5, 2018.
- That a stay in this matter is warranted as, withour one. Petitioners will have to comply with the Decision and Order at issue and administer the henefits ordered therein.
   essentially tendering the underlying Petition for Judicial Review moot.
- This Motion and request for Under Shortening Time is made in good tanh and not for the purpose of unitie advantage:

Further Affiant sayeth naught.

DA (19.1) this - day of May, 2018.

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 2 day of May, 2018.

NOTARY PUBLIC in and for said County and Stare

Z,

ũ.

á

6

7

15

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19.

70

21

10

23

24

35

26

37

38

17

FOUNTY OF CLARK

JENNIFER BRYAN

S Motery Pub is, State of Nevada
Appaintment No. E8-429E4-1
My Appl. Expires No. 1, 2019

HELP, REEVES, ESO.

4942-1111 119 Limitation for any pending — of a June 10 and a numerical time. 33947-19

#### **ORDER SHORTENING TIME** GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time of hearing of the above-entitled matter (A.M.P.M. in Dept. No. ________. 9:30 DATED this <u>33</u> day of May, 2018. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE W Respectfully submitted by: vada Bar No. 005125 JUEL P. REEVES, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 013231 2300 W. Sahara Ave. Ste. 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Phone: 702-893-3383 Fax: 702-366-9689 **Attorneys for Petitioners**

#### **ORDER GRANTING TEMPORARY STAY**

Having reviewed the attached Affidavit in support of Order Granting Temporary Stay, and finding that good cause exists therefore, it is hereby ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED that a temporary stay shall be entered in this matter on this <u>33</u> day of <u>Macl</u>, 2018, and continuing through the date of the hearing on Petitioners' Motion for Stay.

DATED this 23 day of May, 2018.

Select Sudge go

Respectfully submitted by:

DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 005125

JØEL P. REEVES, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 013231

2300 W. Sahara Ave. Ste. 300

Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Phone: 702-893-3383

Fax: 702-366-9689

Attorneys for Petitioners

4842-1133-1174.1 motion for stay pending appeal and request for order shortening time

33947-19

4842-1133-1174.1 motion for stay pending appeal and request for order shortening time 33947-19

#### STATEMENT OF THE FACTS

Petitioners timely appealed the erroneous May 3, 2018 Decision and Order of the Appeals Officer in the underlying workers' compensation administrative appeal. The Appeals Officer erroneously ruled that Respondent had proven that he was entitled to an accepted claim for workers' compensation benefits. See Exhibit A attached hereto.

On December 30, 2016, a C-4 form was completed which alleged that the Respondent, MARTIN DURAN PEREZ (hereinafter referred to as "Respondent"), was injured when he was pushed off of a roof. The Respondent was treated at UMC Trauma for subdural hematoma on the date of the incident. The Respondent was taken off of work. (Exhibit p. 1)

A Supervisor Accident Investigation Report notes that the Respondent went to the second floor with no fall protection and was involved in work place violence. (Exhibit p. 2)

Foreman Rafael Benitez noted that he did not witness the event but found Mr. Perez passed out on the ground and called 911 and checked vitals. (Exhibit pp. 3-4)

A Safety Report completed by Safety Manager Nicholas Pao, which noted that the Respondent was mad due to an alleged pay check shortage while working on Pedro's crew. The Respondent climbed an 8 foot ladder to get to where Pedro was working on a second floor. Pedro was tied off with safety gear but Respondent was not. The discussion with the two got "elevated." Pedro's son came up from the first floor to aid his father, and when Pedro's son asked the Respondent to stop, the Respondent allegedly started yelling at him and the son put his hands on the Respondent and pushed him away and the Respondent eventually fell off of the roof. (Exhibit pp. 5-9)

Pedro Rosales also gave a statement and alleged that the Respondent came up to the second floor where he was working and began to say bad words to him and tried to hit him. He told the Respondent to give him time and he would try and resolve the problem on January 2, 2017. His son heard the offensive comments and came up to defend him and other people also were involved verbally, including an unidentified person who also came up to the second floor and later left. (Exhibit pp. 10-11)

Pedro's son, Jose Rosales gave his version of what happened, as well. (Exhibit pp. 12-13)

Statements by Eduardo Leon and Elvis Herrera noted that the son of the man working on the second floor pushed the Respondent who fell off of roof after a discussion between the parties. (Exhibit pp. 14-17)

A statement from the Respondent indicated that he climbed to where Pedro was working and showed him his check and Pedro stated that houses do not make money. He then states that Pedro's son stated it wasn't good and that he was then grabbed and pushed off of the roof. (Exhibit p. 18)

An Industrial Injury or Illness form in Spanish was also executed by the Respondent. (Exhibit pp. 19-20)

A Criminal Complaint was issued against Pedro's son, Jose Rosales. (Exhibit p. 21)

The Respondent was treated at UMC on the date of the incident described as a 20 foot fall after being pushed off of a roof. The Respondent was transferred out of the Emergency Department after a subdural bleed was discovered along with a possible right 8th rib fracture. X-rays of the left shoulder revealed no acute osseous abnormality, and a CT scan of the brain revealed a subdural hematoma, and a MRI of the cervical spine was normal except for soft tissue swelling from T-1 through T-3. Other diagnostic testing was essentially normal. (Exhibit pp. 22-56)

A claim denial determination was issued on March 6, 2017. (Exhibit pp. 57-59)

On March 21, 2017, the Respondent appealed the claim denial determination. (Exhibit p. 60)

On March 30, 2017, the adjuster denied March 21, 2017, requests for 1) TTD beginning on December 30, 2016, to present and 2) request for transfer of care to Dr. Garber. (Exhibit pp. 61-62)

Following Hearing No. 1710955-MT, the Hearing Officer issued a Decision and Order dated June 1, 2017, reversing the March 6, 2017 determination denying the claim. (Exhibit pp. 63-65.) Insurer filed a timely appeal. (Exhibit p. 66.) *In addition, the Insurer filed a Motion for a Stay of the Hearing Officer's decision, which was granted.* (Exhibit p. 68.)

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

On February 9, 2018, this case came on for hearing before the Appeals Officer. The testimonies of four separate witnesses were taken: Respondent; Respondent's brother-in-law; and two safety directors for Employer (Nicholas Pao and Kevin Mendoza). Of note, Respondent testified that, on the day in question, he was working on a house under the supervision of a crew leader named Francisco. On that day, Respondent received a check for the work he had done the previous week when he was working for a different crew leader, Pedro. (Exhibit pp. 75-76; 79-81) Respondent believed that his paycheck was low and testified that he went to Pedro to discuss his paycheck. *Respondent testified that he did not speak with Mr. Pao on that day.* Respondent testified that he left the job site that he was working on, walked three houses down to where Pedro was, climbed a ladder to get to Pedro, did not attach any sort of safety measures to himself, and spent at least ten (10) minutes talking to Pedro on the second floor of a house frame. Respondent also testified that no one that house frame was wearing safety measures. After about ten (10) minutes of discussion, Pedro's son climbed the ladder and pushed Respondent off the house.

The brother-in-law (Eduardo Leon) was also working on the job site but his testimony did not add anything worth noting to this case.

Mr. Pao, a safety director for Employer, testified that, on the day in question, he was on the job site prior to the incident and testified that he and Mr. Mendoza (the other safety director) spoke with Respondent about his check. Mr. Pao testified as follows

NICHOLAS PAO: Yeah, [Respondent] brought it to our attention that there was a discrepancy on his paycheck from Pedro. We had basically told him, at the end of the day, take it to the office and Lucy would get that corrected.

DANIEL SCHWARTZ: At that point in time, when you were having this conversation with him, would Pedro have had any ability to do anything with that paycheck?

NICHOLAS PAO: No. He couldn't have done nothing. He could've maybe made a phone call and told Lucy to get the check corrected, but as far as him cutting a check for him, no.

DANIEL SCHWARTZ: So, your—your—I don't want to say advice, but what you told Mr. Duran-Perez, concerning the paycheck was to go to the office.

NICHOLAS PAO: Go to the office at the end of the day. Yeah.

DANIEL SCHWARTZ: And then did you leave the jobsite?

27

1	NICHOLAS PAO: Yeah.	
2		
3	(Exhibit p. 101:6-24)	
4	Mr. Pao also testified that, contrary to Respondent's testimony, Pedro was in fact wearing	
5	a safety harness. (Exhibit p. 103; 104-105) Further, Mr. Pao explained the check payment process.	
6	Crew leaders (like Pedro and Francisco) keep track of their subordinate's hours and turn those	
7	hours into a foreman and the foreman turns the hours into payroll and payroll issues checks.	
8	(Exhibit p. 104) He reiterated that a foreman would not be able to do anything with a check after it	
9	was cut.	
10	Mr. Mendoza corroborated Mr. Pao's testimony as he helped translate for Mr. Pao on that	
11	day.	
12	On May 3, 2018, the Appeals Officer issued the subject Decision and Order reversing	
13	claim denial. Of note, the Decision and Order makes no mention whatsoever of any of the	
14	testimony given. Nor does it comment on the credibility of any witness. (Exhibit A)	
15	Petitioners timely filed the instant Petition for Judicial Review and hereby request a stay of	
16	the Appeals Officer's erroneous decision.	
17	POINTS & AUTHORITIES	
18	II.	
19	<u>JURISDICTION</u>	
20	NRS 233B.140 provides this Court with authority to hear the instant Motion for Stay:	
21	1. A petitioner who applies for a stay of the final decision in a	
22	contested case shall file and serve a written motion for the stay on the agency and all parties of record to the proceeding at the time of	
23	filing the petition for judicial review.	
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	2. In determining whether to grant a stay, the court shall consider the same factors as are considered for a preliminary injunction under Rule 65 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure.	
26	3. In making a ruling, the court shall:	
27	(a) Give deference to the trier of fact; and	
28	(b) Consider the risk to the public, if any, of staying the administrative decision.	

4842-1133-1174.1 motion for stay pending appeal and request for order shortening time 33947-19

1	The petitioner must provide security before the court may issue a stay.
2	For reference, NRCP Rule 65 provides in pertinent part as follows:
3	(a) Preliminary injunction.
4	(1) Notice. No preliminary injunction shall be issued without notice to the adverse party.
5	(2) Consolidation of hearing with trial on merits. Before or after the commencement of the hearing of an application for
6	a preliminary injunction, the court may order the trial of the action on the merits to be advanced and consolidated with the
7	hearing of the application. Even when this consolidation is not ordered, any evidence received upon an application for a
8	preliminary injunction which would be admissible upon the trial on the merits becomes part of the record on the trial and
9	need not be repeated upon the trial. This subdivision (a)(2) shall be so construed and applied as to save to the parties any
10	rights they may have to trial by jury (d) Form and scope of injunction or restraining order. Every order
11	granting an injunction and every restraining order shall set forth the reasons for its issuance; shall be specific in terms; shall describe in
12	reasonable detail, and not by reference to the complaint or other document, the act or acts sought to be restrained; and is binding only
13	upon the parties to the action, their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and upon those persons in active concert
14	or participation with them who receive actual notice of the order by personal service or otherwise.
15	<u>III.</u>
16	LEGAL ARGUMENT
17	<b>A.</b>
18	Standard Of Review
19	The standard for granting a stay was enunciated in the case of Kress v. Corey, 65 Nev.
20	16-17, 189 P.2d 352, 360 (1948) as follows:
21	an order for a supersedeas or stay will only be granted on good
22	cause shown and where a proper case for exercise of the court's discretion is made out. As a rule a supersedeas or stay should be
23	granted, if the court has the power to grant it, [1] whenever it appears that without it the object of the appeal or writ of error may
24	be defeated, or [2] that it is reasonably necessary to protect Petitioner or plaintiff in error from irreparable or serious injury in
25	the case of reversal, and [3] it does not appear that appellee or defendant in error will sustain irreparable or disproportionate injury,
26	in case of affirmance on the other hand, as a rule, a supersedeas or stay will not be granted unless it appears to be necessary to prevent
27	irreparable injury or a miscarriage of justice. (citations removed)(numeration added)
28	

4842-1133-1174.1 motion for stay pending appeal and request for order shortening time 33947-19

1,

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

A party requesting a stay must also prove a reasonable likelihood of success on the merits. Success on the merits for Petitions for Judicial review of a final decision of an agency is governed by NRS 233B.135 as follows:

NRS 233B.135 Judicial review: Manner of conducting; burden of proof; standard for review.

- 1. Judicial review of a final decision of an agency must be: (a) Conducted by the court without a jury; and (b) Confined to the record. In cases concerning alleged irregularities in procedure before an agency that are not shown in the record, the court may receive evidence concerning the irregularities.
- 2. The final decision of the agency shall be deemed reasonable and lawful until reversed or set aside in whole or in part by the court. The burden of proof is on the party attacking or resisting the decision to show that the final decision is invalid pursuant to subsection 3.
- 3. The court shall not substitute its judgment for that of the agency as to the weight of evidence on a question of fact. The court may remand or affirm the final decision or set it aside in whole or in part if substantial rights of the petitioner have been prejudiced because the final decision of the agency is:
  - (a) In violation of constitutional or statutory provisions;
  - (b) In excess of the statutory authority of the agency;
  - (c) Made upon unlawful procedure;
  - (d) Affected by other error of law;
  - (e) Clearly erroneous in view of the reliable, probative and substantial evidence on the whole record; or
  - (f) Arbitrary or capricious or characterized by abuse of discretion.

The standard of review is whether there is substantial evidence to support the underlying decision. The reviewing court should limit its review of administrative decisions to determine if they are based upon substantial evidence. North Las Vegas v. Public Service Common, 83 Nev. 278, 291, 429 P.2d 66 (1967); McCracken v. Fancy, 98 Nev. 30, 639 P.2d 552 (1982). Substantial evidence is that quantity and quality of evidence which a reasonable man would accept as adequate to support a conclusion. See, Maxwell v. SIIS, 109 Nev. 327, 331, 849 P.2d 267, 270 (1993); and Horne v. State Indus. Ins. Sys., 113 Nev. 532, 537, 936 P.2d 839 (1997).

When reviewing administrative decisions, this Court has held that, on factual determinations, the findings and ultimate decisions of an agency are not to be disturbed unless they are clearly erroneous or otherwise amount to an abuse of discretion. Nevada Industrial Common v. Reese, 93 Nev. 115, 560 P.2d 1352 (1977).

An administrative determination regarding a question of fact will not be set aside unless it is against the manifest weight of the evidence. <u>Nevada Indus. Common v. Hildebrand</u>, 100 Nev. 47, 51, 675 P.2d 401 (1984).

B.

## An Order Granting Stay is Appropriate Until this Appeal is Heard and Decided on its Merits

The Nevada Supreme Court has consistently held that a stay is appropriate under circumstances such as those that exist in the instant case. Kress, Id. In DIR v. Circus Circus, 101 Nev. 405, 411-12, 705 P.2d 645, 649 (1985), the Nevada Supreme Court stated that an insurer's proper procedure when aggrieved by a decision is to seek a stay. The Nevada Supreme Court has also recognized that a stay should be granted where it can be shown that the Petitioner would suffer irreparable injury during the pendency of the appeal, if the stay is not granted. White Pine Power v. Public Service Commission, 76 Nev. 263, 252 P.2d 256 (1960).

The Nevada Supreme Court held, in <u>Ransier v. SIIS</u>, 104 Nev. 742, 766 P.2d 274 (1988), that an insurer may not seek recoupment of benefits paid to a Respondent that were later found to be unwarranted on appeal. However, it must be noted that NRS 616C.138 was recently modified to allow insurers to recover amounts paid during the pendency of an appeal "from a health or casualty insurer" if the insurer is found to be entitled to the same. However, if there is no health or casualty insurer, <u>Ransier</u> applies and insurers cannot recover anything at all. Here, just as in most cases, there is nothing to indicate whether Respondent has health or casualty insurance. Furthermore, under no circumstances could an insurer recover any wage replacement benefits such as temporary partial disability or temporary total disability benefits.

In the instant case, an order granting a Stay of the Appeals Officer decision is appropriate for the reasons set forth herein. As will be discussed in detail below, the Appeals Officer's Decision and Order reversing claim denial was issued under color of a legal error. Furthermore, and more relevant to this Motion for Stay proceeding, there is no known pending medical treatment for the Respondent. The only affect that Appeals Officer's Decision has is requiring

Petitioners to issue retro-active benefits. Petitioners will submit to this Court that retro-active benefits can be issued at any time. However, once issued, they are unrecoverable.

This case is precisely the scenario in which a stay is appropriate. Petitioners have shown a substantial likelihood of prevailing on the instant appeal and Petitioners will be irreparably harmed if the instant motion is not granted. Accordingly, Petitioners contend that they have made the requisite showing for the granting of a stay of the Appeals Officer's decision until such time as a hearing can be conducted on the merits of its appeal.

C.

#### Respondent Will Not Be Harmed By the Granting of a Stay

In the instant case, Respondent will not be harmed by the granting of this stay. There are no pending medical procedures which a Stay would prevent. The only issue would be retro-active benefits that Petitioners cannot recover. However, should Respondent succeed on this appeal, he will absolutely receive all benefits which are due to him. The only real harm to Respondent is that he would have to wait.

The only potential for irreparable harm is to Petitioners. Accordingly, Petitioners have again made the requisite showing for the granting of a stay of the Appeals Officer's decision until such time as a hearing can be conducted on the merits of Petitioners' appeal.

D.

#### Standard Regarding Merits of Underlying Appeal

As for the merits of the underlying appeal, it was the Respondent, not Petitioners, who had the burden of proving her entitlement to any benefits under any accepted industrial insurance claim by a preponderance of all the evidence. State Industrial Insurance System v. Hicks, 100 Nev. 567, 688 P.2d 324 (1984); Johnson v. State ex rel. Wyoming Worker's Compensation Div., 798 P.2d 323 (1990); Hagler v. Micron Technology, Inc., 118 Idaho 596, 798 P.2d 55 (1990).

In attempting to prove her case, the Respondent has the burden of going beyond speculation and conjecture. That means that the Respondent must establish all facets of the claim by a preponderance of all the evidence. To prevail, a Respondent must present and prove more evidence than an amount which would make her case and her opponent's "evenly balanced."

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

Maxwell v. SIIS, 109 Nev. 327, 849 P.2d 267 (1993); SIIS v. Khweiss, 108 Nev. 123, 825 P.2d 218 (1992); SIIS v. Kelly, 99 Nev. 774, 671 P.2d 29 (1983); 3, A. Larson, the Law of Workmen's Compensation, § 80.33(a).

NRS 616A.010(2)makes it clear that:

A claim for compensation filed pursuant to the provisions of chapters 616A to 616D, inclusive, or chapter 617 of NRS must be decided on its merit and not according to the principle of common law that requires statutes governing workers' compensation to be liberally construed because they are remedial in nature.

E.

#### The Determination to Deny This Claim is Proper

Here, the issue is whether the Appeals Officer erred in ordering this claim denial reversed. Most importantly, Petitioners want to bring this Court's attention to the fact that the Appeals Officer's Decision does not even mention the copious amount of testimony taken in this case. Instead, the Appeals Officer relies exclusively on the paper evidence filed by the parties, i.e. the exact same evidence which convinced the Appeals Officer that the Hearing Officer's Decision to reverse claim denial should be stayed. Petitioners would submit that the decision to completely ignore the testimony or even comment on the credibility of the witnesses is reversible error in and of itself.

Moreover, by excluding reference to the testimony, the Appeals Officer also excluded all evidence of how Respondent's paycheck process works and how the paycheck dispute resolution process was explained to Respondent. It was legal error to find this claim compensable when Respondent was explicitly informed by Mr. Pao and Mr. Mendoza that his prior crew leader (Pedro) had no control over paycheck dispute resolution. As will be shown below, though certain types of work place violence can be compensable when the violence is begat by an argument over work related issues, if the parties to the violence have no authority over the argument subject, any injuries which result are not compensable because the argument was not related to the parties' job performance.

Under NRS 616C.150(1), the <u>Respondent</u> has the burden of proof to show that the injury arose out of and in the course and scope of his employment. The Respondent must satisfy this burden by a preponderance of the factual and medical evidence. Further, NRS 616B.612 mandates that an employee is only entitled to compensation if he is injured in the course and scope of his employment.

The Nevada Supreme Court has held that:

An accident or injury is said to arise out of employment when there is a causal connection between the injury and the employee's work ... the injured party must establish a link between the workplace conditions and how those conditions caused the injury ... a claimant must demonstrate that the origin of the injury is related to some risk involved within the scope of employment.

Rio Suite Hotel v. Gorsky, 113 Nev. 600, 939 P.2d 1043(1997). (emphasis added)

The same Court further stated that the "Nevada Industrial Insurance Act is not a mechanism which makes insurers/employers absolutely liable for injuries suffered by employees who are on the job." (Id.)

Further, the Nevada Supreme Court held in Mitchell v. Clark County School District, 121 Nev. 179, 111 P.3d 1104 (2005):

An accident or injury is said to arise out of employment when there is a causal connection between the injury and the employee's work. In other words, the injured party must establish a link between the workplace conditions and how those conditions caused the injury. Further, a Respondent must demonstrate that the origin of the injury is related to some risk involved within the scope of employment. However, if an accident is not fairly traceable to the nature of employment or the workplace environment, then the injury cannot be said to arise out of the Respondent's employment. Finally, resolving whether an injury arose out of employment is examined by a totality of the circumstances.

With respect to the subject issue of assaults, Nevada decisions are sparse. However, the Court did endorse the general rule that "injuries resulting from assaults by fellow workmen when the attack results from personal animosity unconnected with the employment, are not compensable." <u>Cummings v. United Resort Hotels, Inc.</u>, 85 Nev. 23 (1969)(Citing <u>Pacific Employers Ins. Co. v. Industrial Acc. Comm.</u>, 293 P.2d 502 (Cal. App. 1956)). The salient portion

of the rule above is the holding that injuries unconnected to employment are not compensable. This is the guiding principle in determining compensability of workers' compensation claims.

Professor Larson's treatise on workers' compensation expounds on this subject and explains that claim denial has been upheld when workplace fights concern a subject which the employee had no control over. See 1 Larson's Workers' Compensation Law § 8.01[4] (2018). For example, claim denial was affirmed where a worker was killed by another worker over a dispute as to the contents of a coal car when neither party had any ability to control what was in the car. Court held that "[t]he interests of the employer were not being aided, protected or advanced in any manner by what [the claimant] did, and the quarrel and consequent injury had no reasonable connection with any work then being done for the plaintiff in error." Marion Cty. Coal Co. v. Indus. Com., 292 Ill. 463, 466, 127 N.E. 84, 85 (1920).

In another case, a claimant was injured while protecting his employer's property from teamsters who were in a dispute with the employer. The Court upheld claim denial under the theory that "[h]ad Respondent remained at his work he would not have been injured. His presence at the place of fighting was in pursuance of no demand of his employment." Clark v. Clark, 189 Mich. 652, 655, 155 N.W. 507, 508 (1915).

Finally, in a more recent decision, two years prior to the controversy therein, a claimant had used her own personal money to buy a drink machine for the office. On the subject day, a drink truck was parked in the parking lot to refill the machine. A police officer wrote the truck driver a parking ticket and the claimant came out to contest the ticket. The claimant was eventually arrested for disorderly conduct and sustained injury during the arrest. Court upheld claim denial as there was "no testimony from plaintiff, her superior or any other witness that states that plaintiff had any supervisory authority over the parking lot as a result of her employment and was thus involved with duties created by her job at the time she was injured... We are of the opinion that the trial court could properly find that any injuries suffered by plaintiff did not occur while the employee was rendering service which she was hired to do to her employer and,

wito was being asse

4842-1133-1174.1 motion for stay pending appeal and request for order shortening time

white the employee was rendering service which she was the ed to do to the improper men

¹ <u>See Also Libraro v. Ocean Casket Co.</u>, 60 A.D.2d 736, 401 N.Y.S.2d 304 (App. Div. 1977) where claim denial was affirmed when an employee left his employment to assist a co-employee who was being assaulted and was then himself shot.

11

12

19 20

18

22

21

23

24 25

26 27

28

therefore, was not in the course of the employment." Legions v. Liberty Mut. Ins. Co., 703 S.W.2d 620, 623 (Tenn. 1986)(emphasis added)

Here, just as in the cases cited above, neither Respondent, Pedro, nor Pedro's son had any authority over the subject of the dispute, i.e. Respondent's paycheck. Respondent was even informed by Mr. Pao and Mr. Mendoza on the very day of the incident that if he desired to contest his paycheck, the proper way to do so was to contact payroll at the end of the day. Instead of doing that, Respondent left his job site, walked over to Pedro's job site, climbed to the second story of a house frame, did not attach any safety equipment, and engaged in a ten (10) minute long argument about the paycheck before Pedro's son unfortunately pushed him off the frame. Though Respondent's injuries are unfortunate, in no way was Respondent performing his job at the time of his injuries.

Indeed, Respondent left his job duties to discuss a subject with Pedro that he knew Pedro had no authority over. By virtue of the fact that Pedro had no authority over Respondent's paycheck dispute and compounded by the fact Respondent had just that day been informed as to the proper way to dispute his paycheck, Respondent left the course and scope of his employment when he walked off his job site to engage Pedro. Put simply, the argument with Pedro was not related to Respondent's employment because Respondent had just been informed the proper way to dispute his paycheck and he knew that Pedro had no authority to adjust his pay.

The Appeals Officer was apprised of the state of this law at the hearing on this matter. By not even mentioning the testimony of any witness and therefore failing to take into account the fact that the subject altercation was not related to Respondent's employment, the Appeals Officer committed reversible error.

#### <u>IV.</u>

#### **CONCLUSION**

Based upon all of the above, it is the belief of Petitioners, FOCUS FRAMING and SUN CITY ELECTRIC, that they have reason in good faith to ask for a stay of the Appeals Officer's decision, dated May 3, 2018, particularly in light of the clear errors of law and abuse of discretion which has been established above.

This is not an appeal based solely on a disagreement over the facts. Rather, we are faced 1 with an Appeals Officer's Decision which violates clear and specific legal precedent and statutory 2 schemes. The Appeals Officer's improper application of the law will likely result in irreparable 3 harm to these Petitioners if the instant stay is not granted. Respondent, on the other hand, will 4 suffer no harm if this stay is granted. This clear error of law is exactly the situation in which a 5 6 stay is proper. WHEREFORE, Petitioners FOCUS FRAMING and SUN CITY ELECTRIC, respectfully 7 requests that this Court grant its Motion for Stay Pending Appeal of the matter at the time of 8 9 hearing. DATED this 2 day of May, 2018. 10 Respectfully submitted, 11 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 12 13 By: 14 DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 005125 15 IJΦEL P. REEVES, ESQ. 16 Mevada Bar No. 013231 2300 W. Sahara Ave. Ste. 300 17 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Phone: 702-893-3383 18 Fax: 702-366-9689 Attorneys for Petitioners 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

#### **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING** Pursuant to Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure 5(b), I hereby certify that, on the ____ day of May, 2018, service of the attached MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL and REQUEST FOR ORDER SHORTENING TIME was made this date by depositing a true copy of the same for mailing, first class mail, as follows: Morris Anderson Law Jacob Leavitt, Esq. 716 S. Jones Blvd. Las Vegas, NV 89107 Focus Framing C/O Sun City Electric Focus Framing C/O Sun City Electric ATTN: Patty Pizano 1220 S. Commerce St., #120 Las Vegas, NV 89102 An Employee of LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

**Electronically Filed** 6/15/2018 4:59 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT OPP 1 **BIGHORN LAW** ALIKA K. ANGERMAN, ESQ. 2 Nevada Bar No. 12933 3 716 S. Jones Blvd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 4 Phone: (702) 333-1111 Fax: (702) 507-0092 5 Alika@bighornlaw.com Attorneys for Respondent 6 DISTRICT COURT 7 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 8 9 FOCUS FRAMING and SUN CITY CASE NO: A-18-774772-J 10 ELECTRIC, DEPT. NO.: X 11 Petitioners, 12 v. 13 MARTIN DURAN PEREZ, and THE DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION, 14 HEARINGS DIVISION, APPEALS OFFICE, an Agency of the State of Nevada 15 Respondents. 16 17 RESPONDENT'S OPPOSITION TO PETITIONERS' MOTION FOR STAY 18 Respondent, MARTIN DURAN PEREZ (hereinafter "Respondent"), by and 19 through his attorney ALIKA K. ANGERMAN, ESQ., submits his Opposition to 20 Petitioners, FOCUS PLUMBING and SUN CITY ELECTRIC's (hereinafter referred to 21 as "Petitioners"), Motion for Stay Pending Appeal signed by the attorney on May 24, 22 23 2018 and certified to have been mailed that same day. 24 111 25 111 26 111 27 111 28

#### STATEMENT OF FACTS

On December 30, 2016, Respondent suffered an injury while in the course and scope of his employment as a laborer with Employer. See Petitioners' Exhibit B at 1. Respondent was upset because he believed his paycheck was short from the work conducted a week earlier while he was a member of Pedro Rosale's crew. On December 30, 2016 Respondent went to ask Mr. Pedro Rosales about his check. Id. at 75. Respondent climbed to the roof of the house where Mr. Rosales was working. Respondent was talking to Mr. Rosales when Mr. Rosales' son intervened and pushed Respondent off of the roof. Id. at 76. Respondent fell to the ground where he landed sustaining serious injuries to include, but not limited to "1) traumatic fall 2) Closed head injury 3) Subdural hematoma 4) Possible right 8th rib fracture" as the hospital diagnosis. Id. at 40. Although not working on Pedro Rosales' crew on December 30, 2016, Respondent credibly testified that if there was an issue with his check that he needed to talk to Pedro Rosales. See Petitioners' Exhibit A at 2.

Respondent underwent CT scans of the chest, abdomen, thoracic spine and lumbar spine. Further, Respondent had an x-ray performed on his shoulder and a CT scan of his brain. In addition, Respondent underwent an MRI of his cervical. See <u>Petitioners' Exhibit B</u> at 22-56.

On March 6, 2017, Employer issued a determination denying Respondent's claim. Id. at 57-59.

On March 21, 2017, Respondent appealed Employer's claim denial determination. Id. at 60.

On June 1, 2017, Hearing Officer Megan Trenkler issued her Decision and Order which REVERSED/REMANDED Employer's March 6, 2017 claim denial determination. <u>Id</u>. at 63-65

On June 30, 2017, Employer appealed Hearing Officer Trenkler's Decision and Order and filed a Motion for Stay Pending Appeal. <u>Id</u>. at 66.

On July 17, 2017, Respondent filed an Opposition to Motion For Stay Pending Appeal.

On August 2, 2017, Employer's Motion for Stay was granted. Id. at 68.

On February 9, 2018, the matter was heard before Appeals Officer York. Appeals Officer York

found that Respondent was employed by Focus when, on December 30, 2016, he was assaulted and pushed off a roof of a house under construction. See <u>Petitioners' Exhibit A</u> at 3. The circumstances of this assault lead the Appeals Officer to conclude the claim is compensable. <u>Id</u>. Appeals Officer York found it was not a case where the assault and injuries were sustained through animosity and ill feelings arising from some cause entirely unrelated with the employee's company. <u>Id</u>. There is a clear indication that the work issue of a paycheck dispute was the catalyst which led to this unfortunate incident. [<u>Wood v. Safeway, Inc.</u>, 121 NEV 724 121 P.3d 1026 (2005)]. <u>Id</u>.

II.

#### ARGUMENT

A. PETITIONERS BEAR THE BURDEN TO PROVE THAT THEY ENJOY A
LIKELIHOOD OF SUCCESS ON APPEAL AND THAT APPEALS OFFICER'S
DECISION IS AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION—RESPONDENT WILL MORE
LIKELY SUCCEED ON THE MERITS

Petitioners' Motion for Stay is entirely devoid of law or fact, which would provide a reasonable basis for staying the Appeals Officer's Decision and Order and said Motion should be DENIED. It is simple, the claim should be accepted on the actual injury and diagnosis.

There are two (2) main factors to consider when presented with a motion for stay: (1) the likelihood of prevailing on the merits on the appeal; and (2) whether the appellant will suffer irreparable harm if the stay is denied. Kress v. Corey, 65 Nev. 1, 17, 189 P.2d 352, 360 (1948); Christensen v. Chromalloy American Corp., 99 Nev. 34, 656 P.2d 844 (1983); Hansen v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Ct. Ex el County of Clark, 116 Nev. 650, 657, 6 P.3d 982, 986-87 (2000).

The right to appeal does not carry with it the automatic right to a stay, as the moving party solely bears the burden to prove a likelihood of success and also show that the decision of the lower agency hearing is fundamentally flawed factually or is an abuse of discretion. State ex. Rel. PS v. District Court, 94 Nev. 42, 574 P.2d 272 (1978).

Here, Petitioners are unable to meet the requirements of either the controlling statutes or the

24

25 26

27 28 applicable case law, in essence, they cannot meet their burden. Petitioners rely upon unsworn statements, that are not percipient witnesses, other than Pedro Rosales whose statement is clearly biased towards protecting his son, Jose Rosales, the assailant in the industrial incident. At the hearing, the only person to testify for both direct and cross-examination was Respondent. Hearing Officer Trenkler heard testimony, reviewed the witness statements and found Respondent to be credible.

At the appeal hearing, Pedro Rosales and Jose Rosales failed to appear to testify. Petitioners again relied upon unsworn and unverified statements. In addition, Petitioners presented witnesses at the appeal that had no personal knowledge of the industrial incident. Petitioners' witnesses were safety officers that were not present at the time of the assault and simply interviewed Pedro Rosales after the incident. The witnesses for Petitioners allege they spoke with Respondent on the day of the incident, but Respondent denies that allegation. Mr. Pao, a witness for Petitioners, alleges he had a conversation with Respondent regarding the subject check and the appropriate procedure to follow, however, Mr. Pao admits he needed interpreter to have the conversation, so he is unable to verify that what he allegedly said was translated to Respondent. In addition, Mr. Pao does not provide a sufficient response as to why a worker would approach the safety manager to ask about an issue with the check.

The only witnesses that had personal knowledge of the incident and was present at the appeal was Respondent, Martin Duran Perez, and co-worker Eduardo Leon. Appeals Officer York found Respondent credible testified that that if there was an issue with his check that Respondent needed to talk to Pedro Rosales. Based off the testimony, arguments of counsel for both parties, and the evidence submitted Appeals Officer York affirmed the decision of Hearing Officer Trenkler.

#### LEGAL STANDARD OF PROOF OF A COMPENSABLE CLAIM, RESPONDENT B. MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS

NRS 616C.150 only requires an injured worker to demonstrate that he was injured within the course and scope of his employment by preponderance of the evidence, nothing greater. To make the point on preponderance, McClanahan v. Raley's, Inc., the Nevada Supreme Court states "NRS 616C.150

does not require an injured worker to offer a greater number of expert witnesses who express opinions in his favor to establish that an injury arose. . .[r]ather 'preponderance of the evidence' merely refers to the greater weight of the evidence." . 34 P.3d 573, 576 (2001).

Workers' Compensation is statutorily driven and defined. Respondent must prove, by preponderance that he was in the course and scope when an accident occurred. NRS 616A.265 defines injury as a "sudden and tangible happening" that produces an "immediate or prompt result" which is established by medical evidence.

NRS 616C.030 defines the term accident as an "unexpected or unforeseen event happening suddenly and violently, with or without human fault."

Case law, Rio All Suite Hotel & Casino v. Phillips, states that generally, "injuries caused by employment related risks are deemed to arise out of employment and are compensable." 240 P.3d 2, 5 (2010). Such as we have here, Pedro Rosales has intimate knowledge that his son, Jose Rosales, has violent tendencies and a violent history placing Respondent and others directly in harm's way.

#### 1. Course And Scope

#### a. Course and Scope

The threshold requirement in an industrial injury is that Respondent's injury must have occurred within the course and scope of employment. <u>Phillips</u>, at 5.

Course and Scope simply means that the injured worker was at work, and scheduled to be there, when the accident occurred. Here, Respondent was working at an assigned time and scheduled to be in the same construction housing complex. Respondent went to the house across the street to inquire with the foreman, Pedro Rosales, that he worked with the week prior as to why his hours were not properly reflected. Respondent's pay and hours are indeed work related. The fact that this claim was filed because of the intentional tort of Jose Rosales (Pedro's son) does not change compensability. These injuries arose out of and in the course of employment. Respondent was on the job when this incident occurred, and the injuries resulted by the assault due to work-related issues (short paycheck). Nicholas Pao, a

safety manager for the employer, testified that Pedro Rosales could have made a phone call to Lucy at payroll to get the check corrected. Exh. B at 101. The witness for Petitioners freely admits Pedro Rosales could have corrected the hours on Respondent's check which is the exact reason Respondent went to speak with Pedro Rosales to begin with. Mr. Pao further states that the crew leaders submit the times employees worked to the foreman who verify the work was done and send the paperwork to the office. Exh. B at 106-107. Pedro Rosales was the person to verify the hours and send them to the office to generate a check. Pedro Rosales was the same person to correct any errors. Pedro Rosales had the authority to change the hours on the check. Pedro Rosales had control over the issue at dispute. The office is not going to take the word of a worker without the foreman to corroborate the claim.

#### 2. Accident

Accident is statutorily defined in NRS 616A.030 as "Accident' means an unexpected or unforeseen event happening suddenly and violently, with or without human fault, and producing at the time objective symptoms of an injury."

Clearly, Respondent did not anticipate being pushed off of a second floor of a house. Respondent wanted clarification for his hours from his foreman that he worked with as his pay is how he supports himself and family and was pushed off by someone who was not part of the conversation. Petitioners focus on Respondent allegedly not being tied off as if that negates Respondent's ability to have a compensable claim. NRS 616A.030 clearly states "Accident" means with or without human fault. The fact that Respondent may have not followed proper tie off protocol does not prevent him from recovering under Workers' Compensation.

In the instant case, Respondent meets the statutory definition requirement.

#### 3. Injury

Injury is defined in NRS 616A.265 as a sudden and tangible happening of a traumatic nature producing an immediate or prompt result which is established by medical evidence."

Here, the medical records all demonstrate Respondent suffered an injury to his head, cervical,

thoracic, lumbar, abdominal and ribs. The initial physician who completed the Form C-4 diagnosed Respondent with a subdural hematoma (brain bleed) and related it as job incurred. <u>Petitioners' Exhibit B</u> at 1.

Petitioners bear the burden, because Respondent cannot prove a negative, under NRS 616C.175, that if it believes that Respondent has a prior condition, Petitioners, must prove that the alleged prior condition is the substantial cause for the work injury, if not, then it is a compensable claim.

Respondent must prove four (4) things; course and scope, accident, injury and notice, nothing more. Respondent suffered an injury causally related by the Form C-4 doctor related to being pushed off a roof by co-employee Jose Rosales. Even if there was a pre-existing condition, which Respondent contends there is not, the statutory requirement is met, and the burden would then shift to Petitioners to prove under NRS 616C.175, otherwise.

#### 4. Notice

Pursuant to NRS 616C.015(1), an injured employee must provide written notice of a workrelated injury as soon as practicable but within 7 days after the accident. In this case, Respondent has testified that he was taken from the job site to the hospital on the day of the accident. Petitioners do not dispute that the employer was reported on the same day of the accident. Therefore, this element has been met.

Pursuant to NRS 616C.020(1), an injured employee must file a claim for compensation with the insurer within 90 days of the industrial accident. Here, the industrial accident occurred on December 30, 2016 and Respondent completed the Form C-4 on the same day. Clearly, Respondent completed the claim for compensation within 90 days of the industrial accident. Therefore, this element has been met.

In the instant claim, Respondent meets the statutory notice requirements.

#### C. RESPONDENT WILL SUFFER MORE HARM THAN PETITIONERS

Based on NRS 616C.345, the Appellant's filing of an appeal does not automatically stay the enforcement of the decision of the hearings officer.

NRS 616C.345(4) provides in part:

Except as otherwise provided in <u>NRS 616C.380</u>, the filing of a notice of appeal **does not** automatically stay the enforcement of the decision of a hearing officer or a determination rendered pursuant to <u>NRS 616C.305</u>. The appeals officer may order a stay, when appropriate, upon the application of a party. If such an application is submitted, the decision is automatically stayed until a determination is made concerning the application. A determination on the application must be made within 30 days after the filing of the application. If a stay is not granted by the officer after reviewing the application, the decision must be complied with within 10 days after the date of the refusal to grant a stay.

(emphasis added).

In Kress v. Corey, the Nevada Supreme Court stated:

As a rule a supersedeas or stay should be granted . . . whenever...it is reasonably necessary to protect appellant or plaintiff in error from irreparable or serious injury in the case of reversal, and it does not appear that appellee or defendant in error will sustain irreparable or disproportionate injury in case of affirmance.

Kress, 65 Nev. at 17, 189 P.2d at 360.

Furthermore, the Nevada Supreme Court has recognized that a stay should only be granted where it can be shown that the Appellant would suffer irreparable injury during the pendency of the appeal, if the stay were not granted. See White Pine Power v. Public Service Commission, 76 Nev. 263 (1960). Appellants cannot meet that burden.

Indeed, it is Respondent in this case, not Petitioners, who will sustain the greatest harm in the event that the instant stay is granted as it will serve its purpose of delaying medical treatment of a closed head injury with a 7mm subdermal hematoma, fractured rib, abdominal injury and injuries to the cervical, thoracic, and lumbar spine. The full extent of Respondent's injuries is unknown as Respondent has not been able to treat since the claim was denied. Petitioners argue that since Respondent has no scheduled medical treatment that he would not be harmed by a stayed. However, this argument is disingenuous as Petitioners has denied care and benefits since the day it issued claim denial on March 6, 2017. Petitioners has prevented Respondent from seeking care through the Workers' Compensation system and Respondent is financially unable to seek medical care outside of Workers' Compensation. Respondent has not been released from care by his treating physician, but rather has been prevented

8.

from seeking additional care because of the claim denial by Petitioners and the Stay imposed by the Appeal Officer prior to the hearing of the appeal. It has been over a year since Respondent was allowed to treat for his injuries. Every day that Respondent is without treatment is a day Respondent is harmed.

Further, Respondent is entitled to Temporary Total Disability benefits that he has not received due to the claim denial and imposition of the Stay. Respondent has been without Workers' Compensation benefits for over a year. Petitioners' carelessly argue Respondent will receive those benefits if he prevails after the Appeal. Unfortunately, it is benefits Respondent who is without a steady income. It is Respondent who needs the benefits for his well-being and his family's well-being. Respondent cannot continue to put his life on hold and wait for this appeal to be heard to receive the benefits his entitled to.

There is nothing more crippling and harmful than denying medical attention and care. There can be nothing more harmful and irreparable than the loss of one's own wellbeing.

Here, it is Petitioners who bears the burden to this court to prove **both** elements, success on appeal and that it will suffer more harm than Respondent. Respondent met his burden at the hearing and again at the appeal with all the same evidence being presented to this very court.

Employer has the burden of meeting established guidelines for it to be successful on its motion for stay. Comparing the harm that will allegedly be suffered by Employer and Administrator to the harm being suffered by Respondent right now does not meet the standard of review set forth in <a href="Kressv. Corey">Kressv. Corey</a>, 65 Nev. 1, 189 P. 2d 352 (1948).

/// /// /// ///

1	///
2	1//
3	III.
4	CONCLUSION
5	Based upon the foregoing, the Appeals Officer cannot properly, and in the interest of justice,
6	grant Insurer's Motion for Stay. Wherefore, Respondent, respectfully requests that the Appeals Officer
7	
8	provide the following relief.
9	D 111 451 1 CI 2010
10	Dated this 15th day of June, 2018.
11	BIGHORN LAW
12	ALIKA K. ANGERMAN, ESQ.
13	Nevada Bar No. 12933 716 S. Jones Blvd.
14	Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 Attorneys for Respondent
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	10.

1	
2	
3	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
4	
5	Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of BIGHORN LAW, and that on this
6	date of June 15, 2018, I duly deposited for mailing at Las Vegas, Nevada, a true copy of the within and
7	foregoing Respondent's Opposition to Petitioners' Motion for Stay, addressed to the following:
8	Daniel Schwartz, Esq. Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP 2300 W. Sahara Suite 300, Box 28 Las Vegas, NV 89102
10	Focus Framing/Plumbing
11 12	C/O Sun City Electric 1220 S. Commerce Street Suite 120 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
13	Janu 192
14	An Employee of Bighorn Law
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	11

#### A-18-774772-J

### DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Worker's Compensation Appeal COURT MINUTES June 19, 2018

A-18-774772-J Focus Framing, Petitioner(s)

VS.

Martin Duran Perez, Respondent(s)

June 19, 2018 09:30 AM Petitioners' Motion for Stay Pending Appeal and Request for

**Order Shortening Time** 

HEARD BY: Jones, Tierra COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14B

COURT CLERK: Kearney, Madalyn RECORDER: Boyd, Victoria

REPORTER:

**PARTIES PRESENT:** 

Joel Reeves Attorney for Petitioner

**JOURNAL ENTRIES** 

Alika Angerman, Esq., present on behalf of Respondent.

Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Angerman advised Morris Anderson is now Bighorn Law. Based on that representation, Court noted no Opposition had been filed. Colloquy regarding the Opposition being filed. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED for the Court to review the Opposition. Court confirmed the temporary stay is to remain in place until the Motion is heard.

CONTINUED TO: 6/26/18 9:30 AM

Prepared by: Madalyn Kearney

# DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Worker's Compensation Appeal **COURT MINUTES** 

June 26, 2018

A-18-774772-J Focus Framing, Petitioner(s)

VS.

Martin Duran Perez, Respondent(s)

June 26, 2018 9:30 AM Petitioners Focus Framing and Sun City Electric's

Motion for Stay Pending Appeal and Request for

**Order Shortening Time** 

HEARD BY: Jones, Tierra COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14B

**COURT CLERK:** April Watkins

**RECORDER:** Victoria Boyd

**PARTIES** 

**PRESENT:** Angerman, Alika K. Attorney for Respondent

Reeves, Joel Attorney for Petitioner

#### **JOURNAL ENTRIES**

- Colloquy regarding medical treatment Respondent will need. Mr. Angerman advised on December 30, 2016, Respondent suffered injuries. Further, within first thirty days insurance company has to issue determination, Respondent was allowed to treat under workers compensation system and then claim was denied in March. At that time, Respondent was allowed to treat outside workers compensation system. However, Respondent was not working, no insurance, no money and no actual means to treat. Mr. Reeves argued no evidence he needs medical treatment. Further, without some sort of evidence he will be irreparably harmed, no evidence to support as to irreparable harm. As to counsel's client, client will have to potentially issue retroactive wage replacement benefits that cannot be given back. Additionally, Mr. Reeves argued if Respondent is successful, he will get his benefits, his wage replacement benefits if he is entitled to them at the end of the appeal process and there is no irreparable harm. Mr. Angerman argued Respondent has already been irreparably harmed. Further, there is a C-4 document indicating Respondent needs additional care. In addition to that, physician took Respondent off work for about a week and after that Respondent was placed on work restrictions from preventing him suffering another head injury. Additional argument by Mr. Reeves. COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED.

PRINT DATE: 07/13/2018 Page 1 of 2 Minutes Date: June 26, 2018

PRINT DATE: 07/13/2018 Page 2 of 2 Minutes Date: June 26, 2018

		7/17/2018 5:46 PM
1 2	NEOJ DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 005125	Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT
3	JOEL P. REEVES, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 013231	
4	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 2300 W. Sahara Ave. Ste. 300	
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Telephone: 702-893-3383	
6	Facsimile: 702-366-9689 Email: daniel.schwartz@lewisbrisbois.com	
7	Attorneys for Petitioners Focus Framing and	
8	Sun City Electric DISTRICT	COURT
9	CLARK COUN	
		II, NEVADA
10	FOCUS FRAMING and SUN CITY ELECTRIC,	
11	Petitioners,	CAGDNO A 10 STATES A
12	V.	CASE NO.: A-18-774772-J
13	MARTIN DURAN PEREZ. and THE DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION,	DEPT. NO.: X
14	HEARINGS DIVISION, APPEALS OFFICE, an Agency of the State of Nevada,	
15		
16	Respondents.	
17	NOTICE OF ENT	RY OF ORDER
18	YOU, AND EACH OF YOU, please take noti	ce than an ORDER GRANTING MOTION
19	FOR STAY was entered on July 16, 2018 and is	attached hereto and made a part hereof.
20	DATED this 🔀 day of July, 201	8.
21	LEV	VIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
22	D	
23	By:	DANIEL I. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. Tevada Bar No. 5125
24	// J	ÒÆL P. REEVES, ESQ.
25	2	Nevada Bar No. 013231 300 W. Sahara Ave. Ste. 300
26		as Vegas, Nevada 89102 Ittorneys for Petitioners
27		
28		

4814-8595-9277.1 / 33947-19

**Electronically Filed** 

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>
2	Pursuant to Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure 5(b), I hereby certify that, on the day
3	of July, 2018, service of the attached <b>NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER</b> was made this date
4	by depositing a true copy of the same for mailing, first class mail, as follows:
5	Morris Anderson Law
6	Jacob Leavitt, Esq. 716 S. Jones Blvd.
7	Las Vegas, NV 89107
8	Focus Framing C/O Sun City Electric
9	Focus Framing C/O Sun City Electric
10	ATTN: Patty Pizano 1220 S. Commerce St., #120
11	Las Vegas, NV 89102
12	1 1 H R
13	An Employee of Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith, LLP
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

4814-8595-9277.1 / 33947-19

7/16/2018 5:35 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 **ORDR** DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 005125 2 JOEL P. REEVES, ESQ. 3 Nevada Bar No. 013231 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 2300 W. Sahara Ave. Ste. 300 4 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Telephone: 5 702-893-3383 Facsimile: 702-366-9689 Email: daniel.schwartz@lewisbrisbois.com 6 Attorneys for Petitioners Focus Framing and 7 Sun City Electric 8 **DISTRICT COURT** 9 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 10 FOCUS FRAMING and SUN CITY ELECTRIC, 11 Petitioners, 12 CASE NO.: A-18-774772-J 13 DEPT. NO.: X MARTIN DURAN PEREZ. and THE 14 DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION, HEARINGS DIVISION, APPEALS OFFICE, 15 an Agency of the State of Nevada, 16 Respondents. 17 18 ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR STAY 19 After careful review and consideration of Petitioners' Motion for Stay, 20 Respondent's Opposition, the oral argument of the parties, and good cause appearing: 21 /// 22 111 23 111 24 111 25 111 26 111 27 28 4835-5864-7660.1 / 33947-19

000418

**Electronically Filed** 

THE COURT FINDS that in weighing the equities associated with the instant 1 request for a stay, the greater burden of harm rests on Petitioners should they be required to 2 comply with the Appeals Officer's Decision. As such, the Petitioners' request for stay shall be 3 GRANTED. 4 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion for Stay of the Appeals 5 Officer's May 3, 2018 Decision and Order which ordered this claim accepted, is GRANTED. 6 Therefore, it is ordered that the Motion for Stay is Granted pending a-Decision on 7 the merits of Petitioners' Petition for Judicial Review. 8 DATED this 13 day of July 9 10 11 DISTRICT COURT/JUDGE TIERRA JONES 12 13 Approved as to form and content: Submitted by: 14 **BIGHORN LAW** BRISBOIS BISGAARD & 15 LEWIS SMITH LLP 16 17 ALIKA ANGERMAN, ESQ L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. ada Bar No. 005125 Nevada Bar No. 012933 18 716 S. Jones Blvd. JΦ£L P. REEVES, ESQ. Las Vegas, NV 89107 Nevada Bar No. 013231 Attorneys for Respondent 2300 W. Sahara Ave. Ste. 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 20 Phone: 702-893-3383 21 Fax: 702-366-9689 Attorneys for Petitioners 22 23 24 25 26 27

2

9/4/2018 5:41 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT BRF 1 DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 005125 JOEL P. REEVES, ESQ. 3 Nevada Bar No. 013231 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 2300 W. Sahara Ave. Ste. 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 5 Telephone: 702-893-3383 Facsimile: 702-366-9689 Email: daniel.schwartz@lewisbrisbois.com Attorneys for Petitioners Focus Framing and 8 Sun City Electric 9 DISTRICT COURT 10 11 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 12 FOCUS FRAMING and SUN CITY ELECTRIC. CASE NO.: A-18-774772-J 13 Petitioners, DEPT. NO.: X 14 15 MARTIN DURAN PEREZ. and THE 16 DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION, HEARINGS DIVISION, APPEALS OFFICE, 17 an Agency of the State of Nevada, 18 19 Respondents. 20 PETITIONERS' OPENING BRIEF 21 ALIKA ANGERMAN, ESQ. DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. 22 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP **BIGHORN LAW** 23 2300 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 300, Box 28 716 S. Jones Blvd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89102-4375 Las Vegas, NV 89107 24 Attorneys for Petitioners Attorney for Respondent Focus Framing and Martin Duran Perez 25 Sun City Electric 26 27 28

BRISBOIS
BISGAARD
& SMITH LIP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

4851-5144-6897.1 33947-19 **Electronically Filed** 

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

2				Page
3	TABLI	E OF A	UTHORITIESii	i
4	I.	STATE	EMENT OF THE CASE	
5	II.	STATE	EMENT OF THE ISSUES2	1
6	III.	STATE	EMENT OF THE FACTS2	!
7	IV.	JURISI	DICTION 6	<u>,</u>
8		A.	Standard of Review	)
9   10		В.	This Court Can Set Aside A Clearly Erroneous Decision That Constitutes An Error Of Law Or Is Not Supported By Substantial Evidence	,
11			This Court Can Set Aside a Decision That is Based on Incorrect	
12			Conclusions of Law and is Free to Address Purely Legal Questions	•
13			Without Deference to the Appeals Officer's Decision	
14			2. This Court Can Set Aside a Decision That is Not Supported by Substantial Evidence	•
15 16	V.	LEGA	L ARGUMENT9	)
17		A.	The Appeals Officer Erred as a Matter of Law9	)
18	VI.	CONC	LUSION14	,
19	CERTI	[FICAT]	E OF COMPLIANCE15	
20	CERTI	[FICAT]	E OF MAILING16	)
21	of en and of the second			
22				
23				
24	****	J. 197		
25				
26	-			
27		1g 27.		
28	Windows (Company)			

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

4851-5144-6897.1 4831-0847-0604.1 33947-19

#### **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

2	Cases	Page No(s).
3 4	American Intl Vacations v. MacBride 99 Nev. 324, 326, 661 P.2d 1301, 1302 (1983)	8
5	Brocas v. Mirage Hotel & Casino, 109 Nev. 579, 585, 854 P.2d 862, 867 (1993)	7
6   7	Clark v. Clark, 189 Mich. 652, 655, 155 N.W. 507, 508 (1915)	12
8 9	Container Stevedoring Co. v. Director, OWCP, 935 F.2d 1544, 1546 (9 th Cir. 1991)	8
10 11	Cummings v. United Resort Hotels, Inc., 85 Nev. 23 (1969)	11
12	Hagler v. Micron Technology, Inc., 118 Idaho 596, 798 P.2d 55 (1990)	9
13 14	Holley v. State ex rel. Wyoming Worker's Compensation Div., 798 P.2d 323 (1990)	9
15 16	Horne v. SIIS, 113 Nev. 532, 537, 936 P.2d 839 (1997)	7
17	Jessop v. State Indus. Ins. Sys., 107 Nev. 888, 822 P.2d 116 (1991)	8
18 19	Legions v. Liberty Mut. Ins. Co., 703 S.W.2d 620, 623 (Tenn. 1986)	12
20 21	Libraro v. Ocean Casket Co., 60 A.D.2d 736, 401 N.Y.S.2d 304 (App. Div. 1977)	fn 1
22	Marion Cty. Coal Co. v. Indus. Com., 292 Ill. 463, 466, 127 N.E. 84, 85 (1920)	12
23 24	Maxwell v. SIIS, 109 Nev. 327, 849 P.2d 267 (1993)	7, 9
25 26	McCracken v. Fancy, 98 Nev. 30, 639 P.2d 552 (1982)	7
27	Mirage v. State, Dept of Administration 110 Nev. 257, 871 P.2d 317 (1994)	8
28	4851-5144-6897.1 4831-0847-0604.I	

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

4851-5144-6897.1 4831-0847-0604. 33947-19

1	Mitchell v. Clark County School District, 121 Nev. 179, 111 P.3d 1104 (2005)
3	Nevada Indus. Comm'n. v. Hildebrand, 100 Nev. 47, 51, 675 P.2d 401 (1984)
4	Nevada Industrial Comm'n. v. Reese,
5	93 Nev. 115, 560 P.2d 1352 (1977)
6 7	North Las-Vegas v. Public Service Comm'n., 83 Nev. 278, 291, 429 P.2d 66 (1967)
8	Pacific Employers Ins. Co. v. Industrial Acc. Comm., 293 P.2d 502 (Cal. App. 1956)
10	Rio Suite Hotel v. Gorsky, 113 Nev. 600, 939 P.2d 1043(1997)
11 12	SIIS v. Kelly, 99 Nev. 774, 671 P.2d 29 (1983)9
13 14	SIIS v. Khweiss, 108 Nev. 123, 825 P.2d 218 (1992)
15	State Dept of Motor Vehicles v. Torres, 105 Nev. 558, 560, 799 P.2d 959, 960-961 (1989)
16 17	State Emp't Sec. Dep't v. Hilton Hotels Corp., 102 Nev. 606, 608 at n.1, 729 P.2d 497 (1986)
18 19	State Industrial Insurance System. v. Giles, 110 Nev. 216, 871 P.2d 920 (1994)
20	State Industrial Insurance System v. Hicks, 100 Nev. 567, 688 P.2d 324 (1984)
21	Titanium Metals Corp. v. Clark County,
22	99 Nev. 397, 399, 663 P.2d 355, 357 (1983)
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	<u>Universal Camera Corp. v. NLRB,</u> 340 U.S. 474, 477, 488 (1951)
25	<u>STATUTES</u>
26	NRS 233B.125
27 28	NRS 233B.135
	4851-5144-6897.1 4831-0847-0604.1 33947-19 iii 000423

1	NRS 616A.010
2	NRS 616B.612
3	NRS 616C.150
4	
5	<u>OTHER</u>
6	A. Larson, The Law of Workmen's Compensation,
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
23	
24	
25	
26   26	
27	
28	



4851-5144-6897.1 4831-0847-0604.1 33947-19

1	COME NOW, Petitioners, FOCUS FRAMING and SUN CITY ELECTRIC (hereinafter
2	collectively referred to as "Petitioners"), by and through their attorneys, DANIEL L.
3	SCHWARTZ, ESQ., of LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP, and, and file their
4	Opening Brief in the above-referenced matter.
5	DATED this day of September, 2018.
6	Respectfully submitted.
7	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
8	
9	By:
10	DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH, LLP
11	2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 300, Box 28 Las Wegas, Nevada 89102
12	Attorneys for Petitioners
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

4851-5144-6897.1 4831-0847-0604.1 **330**47 10

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE

This is a workers' compensation case. On December 30, 2016, the Respondent, MARTIN DURAN PEREZ (hereinafter referred to as "Respondent") arrived to work and was upset about an allegedly short paycheck for a period where he was working under a supervisor named Pedro. Respondent's Safety Manager, Nicholas Pao, informed Respondent that the proper way to resolve his paycheck issue was to speak with payroll at the end of the day and they would help him. Despite Mr. Pao's instruction, Respondent left his job site and walked to another job site where Pedro was working. Respondent then scaled a house frame, failed to attach any protective gear, and then proceeded to argue with Pedro about the check for approximately ten (10) minutes. Then, unfortunately, Pedro's son got involved in the argument and pushed Respondent off the house frame, causing injury to Respondent. A Criminal Complaint was issued against Pedro's son, Jose Rosales.

On March 6, 2017, Petitioners denied Respondent's claim for worker's compensation benefits based on the fact that Respondent's injuries were unrelated to his employment. Respondent appealed

On June 1, 2017, following Hearing No. 1710955-MT, the Hearing Officer issued a Decision and Order reversing the March 6, 2017 determination denying the claim. Petitioners filed a timely appeal. In addition, the Petitioners filed a Motion for a Stay of the Hearing Officer's decision, which was granted.

On February 9, 2018, this case came on for hearing before the Appeals Officer. The testimonies of four separate witnesses were taken: Respondent; Respondent's brother-in-law; and two safety directors for Employer (Nicholas Pao and Kevin Mendoza).

On May 3, 2018, the Appeals Officer issued the subject Decision and Order reversing claim denial. Of note, the Decision and Order makes no mention whatsoever of any of the testimony given. Nor does it comment on the credibility of any witness.

Petitioners filed the instant Petition for Judicial Review contesting the May 3, 2018 Appeals Officer's Decision and Order and this Court granted a request for a stay.

1		
2		
3	***************************************	
4		2
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13	***************************************	e
14	***************************************	
15		
16		
17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	N
18		p
19		d
20		1
21		
22		fl
23	***************************************	
24		О
25		

II.

#### STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES

- 1. Whether substantial rights of Petitioners have been prejudiced as set forth in NRS 33B.135(3) because the Appeals Officer's Decision and Order filed on May 3, 2018 was:
  - (a) in violation of constitutional or statutory provisions;
  - (b) in excess of statutory authority of the agency;
  - (c) made upon unlawful procedure;
  - (d) affected by other error of law;
  - (e) clearly erroneous in view of the reliable, probative and substantial evidence on the whole record; or
  - (f) arbitrary or capricious or characterized by abuse of discretion; and
- 2. Whether the Appeals Officer's Decision and Order was based upon substantial evidence as required by NRS 233B.125.

III.

#### **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

On December 30, 2016, a C-4 form was completed which alleged that the Respondent, MARTIN DURAN PEREZ (hereinafter referred to as "Respondent"), was injured when he was pushed off of a roof. The Respondent was treated at UMC Trauma for subdural hematoma on the date of the incident. The Respondent was taken off of work. (Record on Appeal p. 125)(hereinafter "ROA p. ____")

A Supervisor Accident Investigation Report notes that the Respondent went to the second floor with no fall protection and was involved in work place violence. (ROA p. 126)

Foreman Rafael Benitez noted that he did not witness the event but found Mr. Perez passed out on the ground and called 911 and checked vitals. (ROA pp. 127-128)

A Safety Report completed by Safety Manager Nicholas Pao, which noted that the Respondent was mad due to an alleged pay check shortage while working on Pedro's crew. The Respondent climbed an 8 foot ladder to get to where Pedro was working on a second floor. Pedro was tied off with safety gear but Respondent was not. The discussion with the two got "elevated."

26

Pedro's son came up from the first floor to aid his father, and when Pedro's son asked the Respondent to stop, the Respondent allegedly started yelling at him and the son put his hands on the Respondent and pushed him away and the Respondent eventually fell off of the roof. (ROA pp. 129-133)

Pedro Rosales also gave a statement and alleged that the Respondent came up to the second floor where he was working and began to say bad words to him and tried to hit him. He told the Respondent to give him time and he would try and resolve the problem on January 2, 2017. His son heard the offensive comments and came up to defend him and other people also were involved verbally, including an unidentified person who also came up to the second floor and later left. (ROA pp. 134-135)

Pedro's son, Jose Rosales gave his version of what happened, as well. (ROA pp. 136-137)

Statements by Eduardo Leon and Elvis Herrera noted that the son of the man working on the second floor pushed the Respondent who fell off of roof after a discussion between the parties.

(ROA pp. 138-141)

A statement from the Respondent indicated that he climbed to where Pedro was working and showed him his check and Pedro stated that houses do not make money. He then states that Pedro's son stated it wasn't good and that he was then grabbed and pushed off of the roof. (ROA p. 142)

An Industrial Injury or Illness form in Spanish was also executed by the Respondent. (ROA pp. 143-144)

A Criminal Complaint was issued against Pedro's son, Jose Rosales. (ROA p. 145)

The Respondent was treated at UMC on the date of the incident described as a 20 foot fall after being pushed off of a roof. The Respondent was transferred out of the Emergency Department after a subdural bleed was discovered along with a possible right 8th rib fracture. X-rays of the left shoulder revealed no acute osseous abnormality, and a CT scan of the brain revealed a subdural hematoma, and a MRI of the cervical spine was normal except for soft tissue swelling from T-1 through T-3. Other diagnostic testing was essentially normal. (ROA pp. 147-180)

4851-5144-6897.1

7

4

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

A claim denial determination was issued on March 6, 2017. (ROA pp. 181-183)

On March 21, 2017, the Respondent appealed the claim denial determination. (ROA p. 184)

On March 30, 2017, the adjuster denied March 21, 2017, requests for 1) TTD beginning on December 30, 2016, to present and 2) request for transfer of care to Dr. Garber. (ROA pp. 185-186)

Following Hearing No. 1710955-MT, the Hearing Officer issued a Decision and Order dated June 1, 2017, reversing the March 6, 2017 determination denying the claim. (ROA pp. 187-189.) Insurer filed a timely appeal. (ROA p. 190.) In addition, the Insurer filed a Motion for a Stay of the Hearing Officer's decision, which was granted. (ROA p. 192.)

On February 9, 2018, this case came on for hearing before the Appeals Officer. The testimonies of four separate witnesses were taken: Respondent; Respondent's brother-in-law; and two safety directors for Employer (Nicholas Pao and Kevin Mendoza). Of note, Respondent testified that, on the day in question, he was working on a house under the supervision of a crew leader named Francisco. On that day, Respondent received a check for the work he had done the previous week when he was working for a different crew leader, Pedro. (ROA pp. 9-10; 13-15) Respondent believed that his paycheck was low and testified that he went to Pedro to discuss his paycheck. Respondent testified that he did not speak with Mr. Pao on that day. Respondent testified that he left the job site that he was working on, walked three houses down to where Pedro was, climbed a ladder to get to Pedro, did not attach any sort of safety measures to himself, and spent at least ten (10) minutes talking to Pedro on the second floor of a house frame. Respondent also testified that no one that house frame was wearing safety measures. After about ten (10) minutes of discussion, Pedro's son climbed the ladder and pushed Respondent off the house.

The brother-in-law (Eduardo Leon) was also working on the job site but his testimony did not add anything worth noting to this case.

Mr. Pao, a safety director for Employer, testified that, on the day in question, he was on the job site prior to the incident and testified that he and Mr. Mendoza (the other safety director) spoke with Respondent about his check. Mr. Pao testified as follows:

NICHOLAS PAO: Yeah, [Respondent] brought it to our attention that there was a discrepancy on his paycheck from Pedro. We had basically told him, at the end of the day, take it to the office and Lucy would get that corrected.

DANIEL SCHWARTZ: At that point in time, when you were having this conversation with him, would Pedro have had any ability to do anything with that paycheck?

NICHOLAS PAO: No. He couldn't have done nothing. He could've maybe made a phone call and told Lucy to get the check corrected, but as far as him cutting a check for him, no.

DANIEL SCHWARTZ: So, your—your—I don't want to say advice, but what you told Mr. Duran-Perez, concerning the paych10eck was to go to the office.

NICHOLAS PAO: Go to the office at the end of the day. Yeah.

DANIEL SCHWARTZ: And then did you leave the jobsite?

NICHOLAS PAO: Yeah.

(ROA p. 35:6-24)

Mr. Pao also testified that, contrary to Respondent's testimony, Pedro was in fact wearing a safety harness. (ROA p. 37; 38-39) Further, Mr. Pao explained the check payment process. Crew leaders (like Pedro and Francisco) keep track of their subordinate's hours and turn those hours into a foreman and the foreman turns the hours into payroll and payroll issues checks. (ROA p. 39) He reiterated that a foreman would not be able to do anything with a check after it was cut.

Mr. Mendoza corroborated Mr. Pao's testimony as he helped translate for Mr. Pao on that day.

On May 3, 2018, the Appeals Officer issued the subject Decision and Order reversing claim denial. Of note, the Decision and Order makes no mention whatsoever of any of the testimony given. Nor does it comment on the credibility of any witness. (ROA pp. 65-71)

Petitioners timely filed the instant Petition for Judicial Review and this Court granted a stay.

# POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IV. JURISDICTION

Petitioners have timely petitioned for Judicial Review of the Appeals Officer's Decision dated May 3, 2018.

#### A. STANDARD OF REVIEW

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Judicial review of a final decision of an agency is governed by NRS 233B.135.

NRS 233B.135 Judicial review: Manner of conducting; burden of; standard for review.

- 1. Judicial review of a final decision of an agency must be:
- (a) Conducted by the court without a jury; and
- (b) Confined to the record.

In cases concerning alleged irregularities in procedure before an agency that are not shown in the record, the court may receive evidence concerning the irregularities.

- 2. The final decision of the agency shall be deemed reasonable and lawful until reversed or set aside in whole or in part by the court. The burden of proof is on the party attacking or resisting the decision to show that the final decision is invalid pursuant to subsection 3.
- 3. The court shall not substitute its judgment for that of the agency as to the weight of evidence on a question of fact. The court may remand or affirm the final decision or set it aside in whole or in part if substantial rights of the petitioner have been prejudiced because the final decision of the agency is:
  - (a) In violation of constitutional or statutory provisions;
  - (b) In excess of the statutory authority of the agency;
  - (c) Made upon unlawful procedure;
  - (d) Affected by other error of law;
- (e) Clearly erroneous in view of the reliable, probative and substantial evidence on the whole record; or
- (f) Arbitrary or capricious or characterized by abuse of discretion.

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

1851-5144-6897. 33947-19 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

The standard of review is whether there is substantial evidence to support the underlying decision. The reviewing court should limit its review of administrative decisions to determine if they are based upon substantial evidence. North Las Vegas v. Public Service Comm'n., 83 Nev. 278, 291, 429 P.2d 66 (1967); McCracken v. Fancy, 98 Nev. 30, 639 P.2d 552 (1982). Substantial evidence is that quantity and quality of evidence which a reasonable man would accept as adequate to support a conclusion. See, Maxwell v. SIIS, 109 Nev. 327, 331, 849 P.2d 267, 270 (1993); and Horne v. SIIS, 113 Nev. 532, 537, 936 P.2d 839 (1997).

When reviewing administrative court decisions, the Court has held that, on factual determinations, the findings and ultimate decisions of an appeals officer are not to be disturbed unless they are clearly erroneous or otherwise amount to an abuse of discretion. Nevada Industrial Comm'n. v. Reese, 93 Nev. 115, 560 P.2d 1352 (1977). An administrative determination regarding a question of fact will not be set aside unless it is against the manifest weight of the evidence. Nevada Indus. Comm'n. v. Hildebrand, 100 Nev. 47, 51, 675 P.2d 401 (1984). A decision by an appeals officer that is based upon the credibility of Respondent and other witnesses is "not open to appellate review." Brocas v. Mirage Hotel & Casino, 109 Nev. 579, 585, 854 P.2d 862, 867 (1993).

## B. THIS COURT CAN SET ASIDE A CLEARLY ERRONEOUS DECISION THAT CONSTITUTES AN ERROR OF LAW OR IS NOT SUPPORTED BY SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE.

A court may set aside, in whole or in part, a final decision of an administrative agency where substantial rights of the Petitioners have been prejudiced because the final decision is in violation of statutory provisions, affected by other error of law, clearly erroneous in view of the reliable, probative and substantial evidence on the whole record, or arbitrary, capricious or characterized by abuse of discretion. NRS 233B.135(3).

# This Court Can Set Aside a Decision That is Based on Incorrect Conclusions of Law and is Free to Address Purely Legal Questions Without Deference to the Appeals Officer's Decision.

The Nevada Supreme Court has acknowledged and applied these statutory principles holding, for example, that a reviewing court may set aside an agency decision if the decision was based upon an incorrect conclusion of law or otherwise affected by an error of law. <u>State Indus.</u>

1 | <u>I</u> | 2 | 8 | 8 | 4 | to 5 | <u>v</u> | 6 | <u>M</u> | 7 | V

# Ins. Sys. v. Giles, 110 Nev. 216, 871 P.2d 920 (1994); Jessop v. State Indus. Ins. Sys., 107 Nev. 888, 822 P.2d 116 (1991); see, also, NRS 233B.135(3)(d). Further, the Nevada Supreme Court stated that appellate review on questions of law is de novo, and that the reviewing court is free to address purely legal questions without deference to the agency's decision. Giles, supra; Mirage v. State, Dep't of Admin., 110 Nev. 257, 871 P.2d 317 (1994); American Int'l Vacations v. MacBride, 99 Nev. 324, 326, 661 P.2d 1301, 1302 (1983); see, also, State Dep't of Motor Vehicles v. Torres, 105 Nev. 558, 560, 799 P.2d 959, 960-961 (1989). (Emphasis added.)

#### 2. This Court Can Set Aside a Decision That is Not Supported by Substantial Evidence.

In determining whether an administrative decision is supported by substantial evidence, the methodology of the District Court is also well-defined. First, for each issue appealed, the pertinent rule of law is identified. Thereafter, the Record on Appeal is reviewed to determine whether the agency's decision on each issue is supported by substantial factual evidence. State Dep't of Motor Vehicles v. Torres, supra. If the decision of the administrative agency on the appealed issue is supported by substantial factual evidence in the Record on Appeal, the District Court must affirm the decision of the agency as to that issue. On the other hand, a decision by an administrative agency that lacks support in the form of substantial evidence is arbitrary or capricious and, thus, an abuse of discretion that warrants reversal. NRS 233B.135(3); Titanium Metals Corp. v. Clark County, 99 Nev. 397, 399, 663 P.2d 355, 357 (1983).

Substantial evidence has been defined as that quantity and quality of evidence which a reasonable man could accept as adequate to support a conclusion. State Emp't Sec. Dep't v. Hilton Hotels Corp., 102 Nev. 606, 608 at n.1, 729 P.2d 497 (1986). Additionally, substantial evidence is not to be considered in isolation from opposing evidence, but evidence that survives whatever in the record fairly detracts from its weight. Universal Camera Corp. v. NLRB, 340 U.S. 474, 477, 488 (1951); Container Stevedoring Co. v. Director, OWCP, 935 F.2d 1544, 1546 (9th Cir. 1991). This latter point is clearly the significance of the requirement in NRS 233B.135(3)(e) which states that the reviewing court consider the whole record.

1 | 2 | s | 3 | C | 4 | t | 5 | 6 | C | 7 | I | 8 | 6 | 9 | 10 | r |

Furthermore, a decision that is affected by error of law cannot be found to be supported by substantial evidence. A decision that lacks support in the form of substantial evidence is arbitrary or capricious and, thus, an abuse of discretion that warrants reversal. <u>Titanium Metals</u>, *supra*. In this case, the Appeals Officer's decision is based on errors of law and not supported by substantial evidence. Although it is anticipated that Respondent's counsel will argue that these are questions of fact, and that the Appeals Officer has the right to weigh the evidence, the Appeals Officer's Decision and Order was clearly erroneous in view of the reliable, probative and substantial evidence in the record.

NRS 616A.010(2) and (4) are clear that Nevada no longer has liberal construction. Issues must be decided on their merits, and not according to the common law principle that requires statutes governing workers' compensation to be liberally construed. That means workers' compensation statutes must not be interpreted or construed broadly or liberally in favor of any party.

V.

011 8

#### LEGAL ARGUMENT

#### A. THE APPEALS OFFICER ERRED AS A MATTER OF LAW

It is the Respondent (claimant), not Petitioners (Employer/Administrator), who has the burden of proving his case, and that is by a preponderance of all the evidence. <u>State Industrial Insurance System v. Hicks</u>, 100 Nev. 567, 688 P.2d 324 (1984); <u>Holley v. State ex rel. Wyoming Worker's Compensation Div.</u>, 798 P.2d 323 (1990); <u>Hagler v. Micron Technology</u>, Inc., 118 Idaho 596, 798 P.2d 55 (1990).

In attempting to prove his case, Respondent (claimant) has the burden of going beyond speculation and conjecture. That means that the claimant must establish the work connection of his injuries, the causal relationship between the work-related injury and his disability, the extent of his disability, and all facets of the claim by a preponderance of all of the evidence. To prevail, a claimant must present and prove more evidence than an amount which would make his case and his opponent's "evenly balanced." Maxwell, Id.; SIIS v. Khweiss, 108 Nev. 123, 825 P.2d 218

BRISBOIS BISGAARD

4851-5144-6897.I 33947-19

**5** 

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP (1992); SIIS v. Kelly, 99 Nev. 774, 671 P.2d 29 (1983); 3, A. Larson, <u>The Law of Workmen's</u> Compensation, § 80.33(a).

NRS 616A.010(2)makes it clear that:

A claim for compensation filed pursuant to the provisions of chapters 616A to 616D, inclusive, or chapter 617 of NRS must be decided on its merit and not according to the principle of common law that requires statutes governing worker's compensation to be liberally construed because they are remedial in nature.

Here, the issue is whether the Appeals Officer erred in ordering this claim denial reversed. Most importantly, Petitioners want to bring this Court's attention to the fact that the Appeals Officer's Decision does not even mention the copious amount of testimony taken in this case. Instead, the Appeals Officer relies exclusively on the paper evidence filed by the parties, i.e. the exact same evidence which convinced the Appeals Officer that the Hearing Officer's Decision to reverse claim denial should be stayed. Petitioners would submit that the decision to completely ignore the testimony or even comment on the credibility of the witnesses is reversible error in and of itself.

Moreover, by excluding reference to the testimony, the Appeals Officer also excluded all evidence of how Respondent's paycheck process works and how the paycheck dispute resolution process was explained to Respondent. It was legal error to find this claim compensable when Respondent was explicitly informed by Mr. Pao and Mr. Mendoza that his prior crew leader (Pedro) had no control over paycheck dispute resolution. As will be shown below, though certain types of work place violence can be compensable when the violence is begat by an argument over work related issues, if the parties to the violence have no authority over the argument subject, any injuries which result are not compensable because the argument was not related to the parties' job performance.

Under NRS 616C.150(1), the <u>Respondent</u> has the burden of proof to show that the injury arose out of and in the course and scope of his employment. The Respondent must satisfy this burden by a preponderance of the factual and medical evidence. Further, NRS 616B.612 mandates that an employee is only entitled to compensation if he is injured in the course and scope of his employment.

The Nevada Supreme Court has held that:

An accident or injury is said to arise out of employment when there is a causal connection between the injury and the employee's work ... the injured party must establish a link between the workplace conditions and how those conditions caused the injury ... a claimant must demonstrate that the origin of the injury is related to some risk involved within the scope of employment.

Rio Suite Hotel v. Gorsky, 113 Nev. 600, 939 P.2d 1043(1997). (emphasis added)

The same Court further stated that the "Nevada Industrial Insurance Act is not a mechanism which makes insurers/employers absolutely liable for injuries suffered by employees who are on the job." (Id.)

Further, the Nevada Supreme Court held in Mitchell v. Clark County School District, 121 Nev. 179, 111 P.3d 1104 (2005):

An accident or injury is said to arise out of employment when there is a causal connection between the injury and the employee's work. In other words, the injured party must establish a link between the workplace conditions and how those conditions caused the injury. Further, a Respondent must demonstrate that the origin of the injury is related to some risk involved within the scope of employment. However, if an accident is not fairly traceable to the nature of employment or the workplace environment, then the injury cannot be said to arise out of the Respondent's employment. Finally, resolving whether an injury arose out of employment is examined by a totality of the circumstances.

With respect to the subject issue of assaults, Nevada decisions are sparse. However, the Court did endorse the general rule that "injuries resulting from assaults by fellow workmen when the attack results from personal animosity unconnected with the employment, are not compensable." <u>Cummings v. United Resort Hotels, Inc.</u>, 85 Nev. 23 (1969)(Citing <u>Pacific Employers Ins. Co. v. Industrial Acc. Comm.</u>, 293 P.2d 502 (Cal. App. 1956)). The salient portion of the rule above is the holding that injuries unconnected to employment are not compensable. This is the guiding principle in determining compensability of workers' compensation claims.

Professor Larson's treatise on workers' compensation expounds on this subject and explains that claim denial has been upheld when workplace fights concern a subject which the employee had no control over. See 1 Larson's Workers' Compensation Law § 8.01[4] (2018). For example, claim denial was affirmed where a worker was killed by another worker over a dispute

4851-5144-6897. 22047 10

as to the contents of a coal car when neither party had any ability to control what was in the car. That Court held that "[t]he interests of the employer were not being aided, protected or advanced in any manner by what [the claimant] did, and the quarrel and consequent injury had no reasonable connection with any work then being done for the plaintiff in error." Marion Cty. Coal Co. v. Indus. Com., 292 Ill. 463, 466, 127 N.E. 84, 85 (1920).

In another case, a claimant was injured while protecting his employer's property from teamsters who were in a dispute with the employer. The Court upheld claim denial under the theory that "[h]ad Respondent remained at his work he would not have been injured. His presence at the place of fighting was in pursuance of no demand of his employment." <u>Clark v. Clark</u>, 189 Mich. 652, 655, 155 N.W. 507, 508 (1915).¹

Finally, in a more recent decision, two years prior to the controversy therein, a claimant had used her own personal money to buy a drink machine for the office. On the subject day, a drink truck was parked in the parking lot to refill the machine. A police officer wrote the truck driver a parking ticket and the claimant came out to contest the ticket. The claimant was eventually arrested for disorderly conduct and sustained injury during the arrest. Court upheld claim denial as there was "no testimony from plaintiff, her superior or any other witness that states that plaintiff had any supervisory authority over the parking lot as a result of her employment and was thus involved with duties created by her job at the time she was injured... We are of the opinion that the trial court could properly find that any injuries suffered by plaintiff did not occur while the employee was rendering service which she was hired to do by her employer and, therefore, was not in the course of the employment." Legions v. Liberty Mut. Ins. Co., 703 S.W.2d 620, 623 (Tenn. 1986)(emphasis added)

Here, just as in the cases cited above, neither Respondent, Pedro, nor Pedro's son had any authority over the subject of the dispute, i.e. Respondent's paycheck. Respondent was even informed by Mr. Pao and Mr. Mendoza on the very day of the incident that if he desired to contest

4851-5144-6897.1

¹ See Also Libraro v. Ocean Casket Co., 60 A.D.2d 736, 401 N.Y.S.2d 304 (App. Div. 1977) where claim denial was affirmed when an employee left his employment to assist a co-employee who was being assaulted and was then himself shot.

his paycheck, the proper way to do so was to contact payroll at the end of the day. Instead of doing that, Respondent left his job site, walked over to Pedro's job site, climbed to the second story of a house frame, did not attach any safety equipment, and engaged in a ten (10) minute long argument about the paycheck before Pedro's son unfortunately pushed him off the frame. Though Respondent's injuries are unfortunate, in no way was Respondent performing his job at the time of his injuries.

Indeed, Respondent left his job duties to discuss a subject with Pedro that he knew Pedro had no authority over. By virtue of the fact that Pedro had no authority over Respondent's paycheck dispute and compounded by the fact Respondent had just that day been informed as to the proper way to dispute his paycheck, Respondent left the course and scope of his employment when he walked off his job site to engage Pedro. Put simply, the argument with Pedro was not related to Respondent's employment because Respondent had just been informed the proper way to dispute his paycheck and he knew that Pedro had no authority to adjust his pay.

The Appeals Officer was apprised of the state of this law at the hearing on this matter. By not even mentioning the testimony of any witness and therefore failing to take into account the fact that the subject altercation was *not* related to Respondent's employment, the Appeals Officer committed reversible error. This Court should grant the Petition for Judicial Review, reverse the Appeals Officer, and affirm the Petitioner's determination to deny this claim.

VI. **CONCLUSION** Based upon the foregoing, Petitioners, FOCUS FRAMING and SUN CITY ELECTRIC respectfully asks this Honorable Court to grant Petitioners' Petition for Judicial Review. Dated this ____ day of September, 2018. Respectfully submitted, LEWIS, BRISBOIS, BISGAARD & SMITH, LLP DANIEL L SCHWARTZ, ESQ. 2300 W. Sahara Ave. Ste. 300 Las/Vegas, Nevada 89102 Attorney for Petitioners 

#### **CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE**

I hereby certify that I have read this appellate brief and, to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, it is not frivolous or interposed for any improper purpose. I further certify that this brief complies with all applicable Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, in particular NRAP 28(e), which requires every assertion in the brief regarding matters in the record to be supported by appropriate references to the record on appeal. I understand that I may be subject to sanctions in the event that the accompanying brief is not in conformity with the requirements of the Nevada Rules of Appellate procedure.

Dated this of September, 2018.

Respectfully submitted,

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

By

DANIEL LASCHWARTZ, ESQ. (005125)

2300 W. Sahara Ave. Ste. 300

Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Attorneys for Petitioners

#### 1 **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING** Pursuant to Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure 5(b), I hereby certify that, on the 2 day of September 2018, service of the attached PETITIONERS' OPENING BRIEF was made 3 this date by depositing a true copy of the same for mailing, first class mail, as follows: 4 5 Alika Angerman, Esq. Bighorn Law 716 S. Jones Blvd. Las Vegas, NV 89107 8 Focus Framing 9 C/O Sun City Electric 10 Focus Framing 11 C/O Sun City Electric ATTN: Patty Pizano 12 1220 S. Commerce St., #120 Las Vegas, NV 89102 13 14 15 16 An employee of LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 26

27

28

4851-5144-689<u>7</u>-1 33947-19

10/8/2018 4:01 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT ANSB 1 BIGHORN LAW ALIKA K. ANGERMAN, ESQ. 2 Nevada Bar No. 12933 3 716 S. Jones Blvd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 4 Phone: (702) 333-1111 Fax: (702) 507-0092 5 alika@bighornlaw.com Attorneys for Respondent 6 Martin Duran Perez 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 10 FOCUS FRAMING and SUN CITY CASE NO: A-18-774772-J ELECTRIC, 11 DEPT. NO.: X Petitioners, 12 13 MARTIN DURAN PEREZ, and THE 14 DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION. HEARINGS DIVISION, APPEALS 15 OFFICE, an Agency of the State of Nevada 16 Respondents. 17 18 RESPONDENT'S ANSWERING BRIEF 19 DANIEL L SCHWARTZ, ESQ. ALIKA K. ANGERMAN, ESQ. 20 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP **BIGHORN LAW** 2300 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 300, Box 28. 716 S. Jones Blvd. 21 Las Vegas, NV 89102 Las Vegas, NV 89107 Attorneys for Petitioner Attorneys For Respondent 22 Focus Framing and Sun City Electric Martin Duran Peres 23 24 25 26 27 28

000442

**Electronically Filed** 

COMES NOW the Respondent, MARTIN DURAN PEREZ ("Respondent"), by and through his attorney, ALIKA K. ANGERMAN, ESQ., of the law firm of BIGHORN LAW, and hereby submits his Answering Brief concerning the above referenced matter. This pleading is filed pursuant to NRS 233B.153. This Answering Brief is based on the papers and pleadings on file herein, the attached Points and Authorities and any oral argument at the time of the hearing on the Petition.

Dated this 8th day of October, 2018.

Respectfully submitted, BIGHORN LAW

ALIKA K. ANGERMAN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 12933 716 S. Jones Blvd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 Attorneys for Respondent

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS TABLE OF AUTHORITIES......4-5 PRESENTATION OF ARGUMENT STATEMENT OF THE CASE......6-7 I. II. III. IV. LEGAL ARGUMENT......10-17 V. VI.

3.

#### TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

2	<u>CASES</u> <u>PAGE</u>
3	Beavers v. State Dept. of Motor Vehicles & Pub. Safety, 109 Nev. 435,
4	851 P.2d 432 (1993)10
5	Cummings v. United Resort, Inc., 85 Nev. 23 (1969)
6 7	(Citing Pacific Employers Ins. Co. v. Industrial Acc. Comm.,
8	293 P.2d 502 (Cal. App. 1956))
9	<u>Jones v. Rosner</u> , 102 Nev. 215, 719 P.2d 805 (1986)9
10	<u>Law Offices of Barry Levinson v. Milko</u> , 124, Nev. 355, 362, 184 P.3d 378, 383 (2008)10
11	<u>Maxwell v. State Indus. Ins. Sys.</u> , 109 Nev. 327, 849 P.2d 267 (1993)
12	McClanahan v. Raley's, Inc., 34 P.3d 573, 576 (2001)
13	<u>McCracken v. Fancy</u> , 98 Nev. 30 (1982)9
14 15	Nevada Indus. Comm'n v. Reese, 93 Nev. 155, 560 P.2d 1352 (1977)9
16	Nevada Indus. Comm'n v. Williams, 91 Nev. 686, 541 P.2d 905 (1975)9
17	Rio All Suite Hotel & Casino v. Phillips, 240 P.3d 2, 5 (2010)15
18	Wood v. Safeway, Inc., 121 NEV 724 121 P.3d 1026 (2005)
19	<u>STATUTES</u> PAGE
20	NRS 233B.13012
21	NRS 233B.1358-9
22	NRS 233B.153
23	NRS 616A.03016
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	NRS 616A.265
26	NRS 616C.015
27	
28	NRS 616C.02017

1	
2	NRS 616C.13528
3	NRS 616C.15014
4	NRS 616C.17517
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	5.

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE

This is a workers' compensation claim that has been appealed by Petitioner regarding the Appeals Officer's Decision and Order issued on May 3, 2018. On December 30, 2016, Respondent suffered an injury while in the course and scope of his employment as a laborer with Employer. Respondent was upset because he believed his paycheck was short from the work conducted a week earlier while he was a member of Pedro Rosale's crew. Respondent went to ask Mr. Pedro Rosales about his check. Respondent climbed to the roof of the house where Mr. Rosales was working. Respondent was talking to Mr. Rosales when Mr. Rosales' son intervened and pushed Respondent off of the roof. Respondent fell to the ground where he landed sustaining serious injuries.

On March 6, 2017, Employer issued a determination denying Respondent's claim.

On June 1, 2017, Hearing Officer Megan Trenkler issued her Decision and Order which REVERSED/REMANDED Employer's March 6, 2017 claim denial determination.

On February 9, 2018, the matter was heard before Appeals Officer York.

On May 3, 2018, the Appeals Officer issued a Decision and Order reversing claim denial. Appeals Officer York found that Respondent was employed by Focus when, on December 30, 2016, he was assaulted and pushed off a roof of a house under construction. The circumstances of this assault lead the Appeals Officer to conclude the claim is compensable. Appeals Officer York found it was not a case where the assault and injuries were sustained through animosity and ill feelings arising from some cause entirely unrelated with the employee's company. The Appeals Officer found Respondent to have credibly testified that if there was an issue with his check that he needed to talk to Pedro Rosales. There is a clear indication that the work issue of a paycheck dispute was the catalyst which led to this unfortunate incident.

Petitioners filed the instant Petition for Judicial Review with this Court alleging shortcomings and legal inconsistencies in the Appeals Officer's Decision and Order that are mere criticisms of the Appeals Officer's weighing of the evidence in this case. The Appeals Officer in this case has produced findings of

fact and conclusions of law which are well reasoned and firmly rooted in the substantial evidence.

Respondent files the instant Answering Brief addressing the arguments made by the Petitioners in their

Opening Brief filed on September 4, 2018.

II.

#### STATEMENT OF ISSUES

The issue in this Appeal is whether the Appeals Officer's Decision and Order reversing Petitioners' determination regarding claim denial was proper in this case because Respondent met the evidentiary requirements as required under Nevada law.

III.

#### STATEMENT OF FACTS

On December 30, 2016, Respondent suffered an injury while in the course and scope of his employment as a laborer with Employer. Record on Appeal p. 125(hereinafter "ROA p. ___"), Respondent was upset because he believed his paycheck was short from the work conducted a week earlier while he was a member of Pedro Rosale's crew. On December 30, 2016, Respondent went to ask Mr. Pedro Rosales about his check. Respondent climbed to the roof of the house where Mr. Rosales was working. Respondent was talking to Mr. Rosales when Mr. Rosales' son intervened and pushed Respondent off of the roof. ROA p. 142. Respondent fell to the ground where he landed sustaining serious injuries to include, but not limited to "1) traumatic fall 2) Closed head injury 3) Subdural hematoma 4) Possible right 8th rib fracture" as the hospital diagnosis. Id. at 40. Although not working on Pedro Rosales' crew on December 30, 2016, Respondent credibly testified that if there was an issue with his check that he needed to talk to Pedro Rosales. ROA pp. 147-180.

A Criminal Complaint was issued against Pedro's son, Jose Rosales regarding the incident. ROA p. 145.

On March 6, 2017, Employer issued a determination denying Respondent's claim. ROA p. 181-183. On March 21, 2017, Respondent appealed Employer's claim denial determination. ROA p. 184.

On June 1, 2017, Hearing Officer Megan Trenkler issued her Decision and Order which REVERSED/REMANDED Employer's March 6, 2017 claim denial determination. ROA p. 190.

On February 9, 2018, the matter was heard before Appeals Officer York. The testimonies of four separate witnesses were taken: Respondent; Eduardo Leon; Nicholas Pao and Kevin Mendoza. Of note, Nicholas Pao and Kevin Mendoza were safety officers for the employer who did not witness the incident. Both Mr. Pao and Mr. Mendoza testified they arrived after the incident. Respondent testified that on the day of the incident he received a check for work he had done the week prior when Pedro Rosales was his crew leader. ROA pp. 9-10; 13-15. Respondent testified that he believed his paycheck was low and went to Pedro to discuss his issue with the paycheck. Respondent testified that he walked to the house Pedro was working at and went upstairs to Pedro. Respondent also stated that no one was wearing safety measures. Respondent testified that at some point Pedro's son, Jose Rosales, climbed the ladder and pushed Respondent off the house.

Appeals Officer York found that Respondent was employed by Focus when, on December 30, 2016, he was assaulted and pushed off a roof of a house under construction. The circumstances of this assault lead the Appeals Officer to conclude the claim is compensable. Appeals Officer York found it was not a case where the assault and injuries were sustained through animosity and ill feelings arising from some cause entirely unrelated with the employee's company. The Appeals Officer found Respondent to have credibly testified that if there was an issue with his check that he needed to talk to Pedro Rosales. There is a clear indication that the work issue of a paycheck dispute was the catalyst which led to this unfortunate incident. [Wood v. Safeway, Inc., 121 NEV 724 121 P.3d 1026 (2005)]. ROA pp. 65-71.

IV.

#### STANDARD OF REVIEW

The Nevada Administrative Procedure Act, as contained in NRS 233B, outlines the standard for review to be used when conducting a judicial review of a final decision of an agency. NRS 233B.135 states, in relevant part, the following:

1. Judicial review of a final decision of an agency must be:

(a) Conducted by the court without a jury; and

(b) Confined to the record.

In cases concerning alleged irregularities in procedure before an agency that are not shown in the record, the court may receive evidence concerning the irregularities.

- 2. The final decision of the agency shall be deemed reasonable and lawful until reversed or set aside in whole or in part by the court. The burden of proof is on the party attacking or resisting the decision to show that the final decision is invalid pursuant to subsection 3.
- 3. The court shall not substitute its judgment for that of the agency as to the weight of evidence on a question of fact. The court may remand or affirm the final decision or set it aside in whole or in part if substantial rights of the petitioner have been prejudiced because the final decision of the agency is:
  - (a) In violation of constitutional or statutory provisions;
  - (b) In excess of the statutory authority of the agency;
  - (c) Made upon unlawful procedure;
  - (d) Affected by other error of law;
- (e) Clearly erroneous in view of the reliable, probative and substantial evidence on the whole record; or
  - (f) Arbitrary or capricious or characterized by abuse of discretion.
- 4. As used in this section, "substantial evidence" means evidence which a reasonable mind might accept as adequate to support a conclusion.

NRS 233B.135 (2015).

In reviewing a petition of relief from an administrative decision, the District Court may not disturb the decision of an Appeals Officer unless the decision was clearly erroneous or constituted an abuse of discretion. See Nevada Indus. Comm'n v. Reese, 93 Nev. 155, 560 P.2d 1352 (1977). With regard to factual determinations, the decision of the Appeals Officer, as trier of fact, are conclusive so long as they are supported by evidence which a reasonable mind would consider to be sufficient to support the Appeals Officer's conclusion. See Nevada Indus. Comm'n v. Williams, 91 Nev. 686, 541 P.2d 905 (1975). The court may not substitute its own judgment as to the weight of evidence but is limited to determining whether the Appeals Officer's determination was arbitrary or capricious. See McCracken v. Fancy, 98 Nev. 30 (1982).

Most issues are not purely questions of law, but rather are issues involving the finding of facts and the application of those facts to law. Deference is given by the reviewing court to conclusions of law made by the appeals officer. See Jones v. Rosner, 102 Nev. 215, 719 P.2d 805 (1986).

Regarding issues of law, it is appropriate for the reviewing court to make an independent judgment,

rather than use a more deferential standard of review. See Maxwell v. State Indus. Ins. Sys., 109 Nev. 327, 849 P.2d 267 (1993). Issues of purely legal questions are reviewed de novo; the appeals officer's fact-based conclusions of law are entitled to deference when supported by substantial evidence. See Law Offices of Barry Levinson v. Milko, 124, Nev. 355, 362, 184 P.3d 378, 383 (2008). A "pure legal question" is a question that is not dependent upon and must necessarily be resolved without reference to any fact in the case before the court. See Beavers v. State Dept. of Motor Vehicles & Pub. Safety, 109 Nev. 435, 851 P.2d 432 (1993).

In the present matter, the decision of Appeals Officer York is entitled to deference because it involves a question of fact. The Appeals Officer considered medical reporting and testimony of four people, two of which were actual eyewitnesses to the incident along with written and oral arguments of counsel for the parties and rendered his decision. As argued herein, this opinion was based on substantial evidence and does not constitute an abuse of discretion or misapplication of law as alleged by Petitioners in their Opening Brief.

V.

#### LEGAL ARGUMENT

### A. The Appeals Officer Correctly Analyzed the Issues Pursuant to Nevada Law and The Resultant Decision and Order Is Neither Erroneous Nor An Abuse of Discretion.

The Petitioners attempt to convince this Court that Appeals Officer York, in all his years of experience, cannot properly analyze facts, testimony, and medical reporting under Nevada Law. In doing so, Petitioners attempt to relitigate the factual findings of the Appeals Officer.

Here, Petitioners contend that the Appeals Officer does not mention the "copious" amount of testimony taken in this matter and allege the Appeals Officer's decision to "completely ignore" or comment on the credibility of the witnesses is reversible error. However, Petitioners have no proof that the Appeals Officer ignored any testimony. In reality, only four people testified. Of the four people that testified, only two had personal knowledge of the incident. The entire appeal lasted approximately one hour. This includes the testimony of all four witnesses, the arguments by counsel for both sides, entering

evidence into the record as well as other housekeeping matters. Further, Mr. Leon testified briefly, and his recollection of events closely mirrored the testimony of Respondent. In fact, Petitioners stated in their Brief that Mr. Leon's testimony "did not add anything worth noting to this case." See Petitioners' Opening Brief at 4. With regards to Mr. Mendoza, he did not offer any testimony that differed greatly from Mr. Pao. Mr. Mendoza stated what his job title was and a brief explanation of his job duties. Of note, Mr. Mendoza's description of his job duties was limited to those a safety officer. Mr. Mendoza did not mention any duties which involved payroll and benefits. Mr. Mendoza did contend that he and Mr. Pao spoke with Respondent prior to the industrial incident but admitted that he was not present when the incident occurred.

Mr. Pao, a safety director for Employer, testified that he spoke to Respondent on the day of the incident, but was not present prior to the incident. Mr. Pao testified that he discussed Respondent's issue with his check. However, Respondent denied speaking to Mr. Pao on the day of incident regarding his check. Further, Mr. Pao admitted that he needs help when people are speaking "real fast" as there is "somewhat of a language barrier." ROA p. 34-35. Clearly, Mr. Pao cannot state with a certainty what he discussed in his alleged conversation with Respondent as he needed to translate the conversation. Petitioner relies on Mr. Pao's investigation but fails to recognize that Mr. Pao's investigation relied heavily upon his interview of Pedro Rosales. Obviously, Pedro Rosales had an interest in protecting himself and his son from legal trouble and his testimony cannot be relied upon. Further, Mr. Pao attempts to single Respondent out as the only person without safety gear, then later corrects himself and admits Jose Rosales was not wearing the proper safety gear as well. With regards to the actual incident, Mr. Pao's testimony cannot be relied upon as he has no personal knowledge of the incident and is only reciting what Pedro Rosales relayed to him. The only person the Appeals Officer could rely upon regarding the events of the incident was Respondent and that is exactly what the Appeals Officer did. Further, the Appeals Officer specifically commented on Respondent's credibility in the subject Decision and Order. This is clearly a factual issue and Petitioners have failed to show how the Decision was not supported by evidence which

11.

a reasonable mind would consider to be sufficient to support the Appeals Officer's conclusion. Petitioners are attempting to have this Court substitute its own judgment as to the weight of evidence without showing that the Appeals Officer's determination was arbitrary or capricious.

## 1. The Appeals Officer Did Not Exclude Evidence to the Paycheck Process

Petitioners allege that because the Appeals Officer did not reference the testimony that all evidence was excluded of how the paycheck process works. This is simply not true and a poor attempt by Petitioners to relitigate the facts. Mr. Pao did explain the process that goes into documenting the hours worked by employees as well as verifying those hours which were used to create the paycheck. However, Mr. Pao was a <u>safety officer</u> and called to testify regarding his duties as a safety officer as well as his investigation and not a payroll and benefits specialist. In addition, Mr. Pao was not designated as the person most knowledgeable regarding payroll and benefits for his employer. The Appeals Officer did consider the testimony of the witnesses and despite Mr. Pao alleging he told Respondent to go to the office to correct his check, the Appeals Officer found that Respondent credibly testified that if there was a problem with the check that he needed to talk to Pedro Rosales.

There was not a "copious" amount of testimony to consider. It is interesting to note that Petitioners do not cite the "copious" amounts of testimony the Appeals Officer allegedly failed to consider. Petitioners simply contend that since the Appeals Officer did not comment on the credibility of the witnesses and that it is reversible error. No attempt is made to explain how commenting on the credibility of the witnesses would change the outcome of the Appeals Officer's Decision. In addition, Petitioners fail to explain why they did not raise these issues when they received the Proposed Decision and Order. The non-prevailing party has five days from the date the Proposed Decision and Order is sent to review it and issue any objections or proposed changes. In this case, the Proposed Decision and Order was filed on April 16, 2018. ROA p. 72. Petitioners were silent and made no attempts whatsoever to bring this issue to the Appeals Officer's attention during the five-day period. On May 3, 2018, the Appeals Officer signed the Proposed Decision and Order. Pursuant to NRS 233B.130(4) a petition for rehearing or

12.

reconsideration must be filed within 15 days after the date of service of the final decision. Here, Petitioners were silent and made no attempts to file a Motion for Reconsideration or Rehearing. Petitioners simply waited until the thirty-day appeal deadline approached then filed their Petition for Judicial review as well as Motion for Stay.

# 2. The Appeals Officer Properly Found the Injuries Arising from the Subject Incident was Compensable

Petitioners cite a series of cases from other jurisdictions and even a treatise in attempt to argue the subject assault was outside the course and scope of Respondent's employment. In short, all the cases cited save one do not apply to this particular case. Not only are the cases and treatise cited not binding, all the facts are dissimilar to the subject incident. Petitioners again attempt to have this Court reweigh the facts that the Appeals Officer properly weighed.

In Nevada, the general rule is that "injuries resulting from assaults by fellow workmen when the attack results from personal animosity unconnected with the employment, are not compensable." Cummings v. United Resort, Inc., 85 Nev. 23 (1969) (Citing Pacific Employers Ins. Co. v. Industrial Acc. Comm., 293 P.2d 502 (Cal. App. 1956)). In other words, injuries unconnected to employment are not compensable. Petitioners acknowledge that this is the guiding principle in determining compensability of workers' compensation claims. In this case, the Appeals Officer specifically found this was not a situation where the assault and injuries were sustained through animosity and ill feelings arising from some cause entirely unrelated with the employee's company. Further, the Appeals Officer found there was a clear indication that the work issue of a paycheck dispute was the catalyst which led to this unfortunate incident. ROA pp. 65-71.

Petitioners cite Larson's Workers' Compensation Law and attempts to adopt an authority or power requirement to assault workers' compensation claims. First, the treatise and the subsequent cases are not binding. Second, even if the Court adopted an authority or power requirement to course and scope, this additional requirement would be met. Petitioners' argument relies heavily upon the contention that Pedro

Rosales had no power or authority to correct the issue Respondent had and therefore the incident was taken outside of the course and scope of employment.

Petitioners contend it was legal error to find the claim compensable when Respondent was explicitly informed by Mr. Pao and Mr. Mendoza that Pedro had no control over paycheck dispute resolution. However, Respondent testified he did not speak with Mr. Pao and Mr. Mendoza prior to the industrial incident. Next, Mr. Pao and Mr. Mendoza were not payroll and benefits representatives and were there to testify regarding their capacity as safety officers. It does not make sense that Respondent would approach the safety officers regarding a paycheck dispute. If anyone did NOT have power or authority over the issue of a paycheck dispute it would be the safety officers, Mr. Pao and Mr. Mendoza. Interestingly, Mr. Pao testified that Pedro could not "cut" Respondent a check, but Pedro could make a phone call and tell Lucy to get the check corrected. ROA p. 35:6-24. Clearly, Pedro did have the power to correct the paycheck issue and resolve the situation. Further, Mr. Pao knew that Pedro could call and correct the issue. Therefore, this additional element would be met and the incident falls within the course and scope of Respondent's employment.

Petitioners fail to recognize the Appeals Officer did comment on the credibility of Respondent's testimony. The Appeals Officer specifically found Respondent credibly testified that if there was an issue with his check that he needed to talk to Pedro Rosales. The Appeals Officer found there was a clear indication that the work issue of a paycheck dispute was the catalyst which led to this unfortunate incident. Therefore, the Appeals Officer properly found the claim to be compensable. ROA pp. 65-71.

# B. <u>LEGAL STANDARD OF PROOF OF A COMPENSABLE CLAIM, RESPONDENT MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS</u>

NRS 616C.150 only requires an injured worker to demonstrate that he was injured within the course and scope of his employment by preponderance of the evidence, nothing greater. To make the point on preponderance, McClanahan v. Raley's, Inc., the Nevada Supreme Court states "NRS 616C.150 does not require an injured worker to offer a greater number of expert witnesses who express opinions in

his favor to establish that an injury arose. . .[r]ather 'preponderance of the evidence' merely refers to the greater weight of the evidence.". 34 P.3d 573, 576 (2001).

Workers' Compensation is statutorily driven and defined. Respondent must prove, by preponderance that he was in the course and scope when an accident occurred. NRS 616A.265 defines injury as a "sudden and tangible happening" that produces an "immediate or prompt result" which is established by medical evidence.

NRS 616C.030 defines the term accident as an "unexpected or unforeseen event happening suddenly and violently, with or without human fault."

Case law, <u>Rio All Suite Hotel & Casino v. Phillips</u>, states that generally, "injuries caused by employment related risks are deemed to arise out of employment and are compensable." 240 P.3d 2, 5 (2010). Such as we have here, Pedro Rosales has intimate knowledge that his son, Jose Rosales, has violent tendencies and a violent history placing Respondent and others directly in harm's way.

## 1. Course And Scope

## a. Course and Scope

The threshold requirement in an industrial injury is that Respondent's injury must have occurred within the course and scope of employment. <u>Phillips</u>, at 5.

Course and Scope simply means that the injured worker was at work, and scheduled to be there, when the accident occurred. Here, Respondent was working at an assigned time and scheduled to be in the same construction housing complex. Respondent went to the house his prior foreman, Pedro Rosales, was working to inquire as to why his hours were not properly reflected in his check. Pedro Rosales was the foreman in charge for the period of hours on the subject check. ROA pp. 10; 13-15. Respondent's pay and hours are indeed work related. The fact that this claim was filed because of the intentional tort of Jose Rosales (Pedro's son) does not change compensability. These injuries arose out of and in the course of employment. Respondent was on the job when this incident occurred, and the injuries resulted by the assault due to work-related issues (short paycheck). Nicholas Pao, a safety manager for the employer,

15.

testified that Pedro Rosales could have made a phone call to Lucy at payroll to get the check corrected. ROA p. 35:6-24. The witness for Petitioners freely admits Pedro Rosales could have corrected the hours on Respondent's check which is the exact reason Respondent went to speak with Pedro Rosales to begin with. Mr. Pao further states that the crew leaders submit the times employees worked to the foreman who verify the work was done and send the paperwork to the office. ROA p. 40-41. Pedro Rosales was the person to verify the hours and send them to the office to generate a check. Pedro Rosales was the same person to correct any errors. Pedro Rosales had the authority to change the hours on the check. Pedro Rosales had control over the issue at dispute. The office is not going to take the word of a worker without the foreman to corroborate the claim.

#### 2. Accident

Accident is statutorily defined in NRS 616A.030 as "Accident' means an unexpected or unforeseen event happening suddenly and violently, with or without human fault, and producing at the time objective symptoms of an injury."

Clearly, Respondent did not anticipate being pushed off of a second floor of a house. Respondent wanted clarification for his hours from his foreman that he worked with as his pay is how he supports himself and family and was pushed off by someone who was not part of the conversation. Petitioners focus on Respondent allegedly not being tied off as if that negates Respondent's ability to have a compensable claim. NRS 616A.030 clearly states "Accident" means with or without human fault. The fact that Respondent may have not followed proper tie off protocol does not prevent him from recovering under Workers' Compensation.

In the instant case, Respondent meets the statutory definition requirement.

#### 3. Injury

Injury is defined in NRS 616A.265 as a sudden and tangible happening of a traumatic nature producing an immediate or prompt result which is established by medical evidence."

16.

Here, the medical records all demonstrate Respondent suffered an injury to his head, cervical,

thoracic, lumbar, abdominal and ribs. The initial physician who completed the Form C-4 diagnosed Respondent with a subdural hematoma (brain bleed) and related it as job incurred. ROA p. 125.

Petitioners bear the burden, because Respondent cannot prove a negative, under NRS 616C.175, that if it believes that Respondent has a prior condition, Petitioners, must prove that the alleged prior condition is the substantial cause for the work injury, if not, then it is a compensable claim.

Respondent must prove four (4) things; course and scope, accident, injury and notice, nothing more. Respondent suffered an injury causally related by the Form C-4 doctor related to being pushed off a roof by co-employee Jose Rosales. Even if there was a pre-existing condition, which Respondent contends there is not, the statutory requirement is met, and the burden would then shift to Petitioners to prove under NRS 616C.175, otherwise.

#### 4. Notice

Pursuant to NRS 616C.015(1), an injured employee must provide written notice of a work-related injury as soon as practicable but within 7 days after the accident. In this case, Respondent has testified that he was taken from the job site to the hospital on the day of the accident. Petitioners do not dispute that the employer was reported on the same day of the accident. Therefore, this element has been met.

Pursuant to NRS 616C.020(1), an injured employee must file a claim for compensation with the insurer within 90 days of the industrial accident. Here, the industrial accident occurred on December 30, 2016 and Respondent completed the Form C-4 on the same day. ROA p. 125. Clearly, Respondent completed the claim for compensation within 90 days of the industrial accident. Therefore, this element has been met.

In the instant claim, Respondent meets the statutory notice requirements.

#### VI.

#### CONCLUSION

The Petitioners' Petition for Judicial Review lacks any basis and should be dismissed. As demonstrated herein, the Appeals Officer applied the facts to the applicable legal standards and rendered 17.

a Decision which is clearly supported by substantial evidence in the records and is not erroneous or an abuse of discretion. The Decision of the Appeals Officer is entitled to deference, and no issues brought forward within the Petitioner's Opening Brief amount to reversible error. For the reasons set forth herein, Respondent respectfully requests that this honorable Court DISMISS the instant Petition for Judicial Review or that the Appeals Officer's Decision and Order dated May 3, 2018 be AFFIRMED.

Dated this 8th day of October, 2018.

BIGHORN/LAW

ALIKA K. ANGERMAN, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 12933

716 S. Jones Blvd.

Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 Attorneys for Respondent

### CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I hereby certify that I have read this appellate brief and, to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, it is not frivolous or interposed for any improper purpose. I further certify that this brief complies with all applicable Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, in particular NRAP 28(e), which requires every assertion in the brief regarding matters in the records to be supported by appropriate references to the record on appeal. I understand that I may be subject to sanctions in the event that the accompanying brief is not in conformity with the requirements of the Nevada Rules of Appellate procedure.

Dated this 8th day of October, 2018.

**BIGHORN LAW** 

ALIKA K. ANGERMAN, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 12933

716 S. Jones Blvd.

Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 Attorneys for Respondent

1		
2	<u>CE</u>	ERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
3	Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certi	ify that I am an employee of BIGHORN LAW, and that on this
4	date of October 8, 2018, service of the	e attached RESPONDENT'S ANSWERING BRIEF was
5	made this date by depositing a true co	py of the same for mailing addressed to the following:
<ul><li>6</li><li>7</li></ul>	Daniel Schwartz, Esq. Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP 2300 W. Sahara Suite 300, Box 28	
8	Las Vegas, NV 89102	
9	Focus Framing/Plumbing C/O Sun City Electric	
10	1220 S. Commerce Street Suite 120 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102	
11		/s/ Eva G. Dhimi
12		An Employee of BIGHORN LAW
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

**Electronically Filed** 11/7/2018 3:46 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT RPLY 1 DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 005125 JOEL P. REEVES, ESQ. 3 Nevada Bar No. 013231 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 2300 W. Sahara Ave. Ste. 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Telephone: 702-893-3383 Facsimile: 702-366-9689 Email: daniel.schwartz@lewisbrisbois.com Attorneys for Petitioners Focus Framing and 8 Sun City Electric 9 DISTRICT COURT 10 11 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 12 FOCUS FRAMING and SUN CITY ELECTRIC. CASE NO.: A-18-774772-J 13 Petitioners. DEPT. NO.: X 14 v. 15 MARTIN DURAN PEREZ. and THE 16 DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION, HEARINGS DIVISION, APPEALS OFFICE, 17 an Agency of the State of Nevada, 18 19 Respondents. 20 PETITIONERS' REPLY BRIEF 21 DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. ALIKA ANGERMAN, ESQ. 22 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP **BIGHORN LAW** 23 2300 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 300, Box 28 716 S. Jones Blvd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89102-4375 Las Vegas, NV 89107 24 Attorneys for Petitioners Attorney for Respondent Focus Framing and Martin Duran Perez 25 Sun City Electric 26 27 28

BRISBOIS
BISGAARD
& SMITH LIP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

4812-4529-4714.1 33947-19

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2	Pa	ge
3	TABLE OF AUTHORITIESii	
4	I. REPLY1	
5	Lack of Reference to Testimony in the Decision  1	
6 7	Embarking on Tasks Outside the Course of Employment Indeed Remove     The Employee from the Course of Employment	
8	II. CONCLUSION3	
9	CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE	
10	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
20	1	



4812-4529-4714.1 4851-5144-6897.1 4831-0847-0604.1 33947-19

1	TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
2	<u>Page No(s).</u>
3	Mitchell v. Clark County School District, 121 Nev. 179, 111 P.3d 1104 (2005)
5	Rio Suite Hotel v. Gorsky, 113 Nev. 600, 939 P.2d 1043(1997)
7	<u>STATUTES</u>
8	NRS 233B.135
9	
10	<u>OTHER</u>
11	A. Larson, The Law of Workmen's Compensation,
12	
13	
14	
15 16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26   27	
28	



4812-4529-4714.1 4851-5144-6897.1 4831-0847-0604.1 33947-19

1	COME NOW, Petitioners, FOCUS FRAMING and SUN CITY ELECTRIC (hereinafter
2	collectively referred to as "Petitioners"), by and through their attorneys, DANIEL L.
3	SCHWARTZ, ESQ., of LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP, and, and file their Reply
4	Brief in the above-referenced matter.
5	DATED this day of November, 2018.
6	Respectfully submitted.
7	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
8	
9	By:
10	DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH, LLP
11	2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 300, Box 28 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
12	Attorneys for Petitioners
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

EWIS BRISBOIS I.

#### REPLY

#### 1. Lack of Reference to Testimony in the Decision

There was no evidence produced or any finding in the Appeals Officer's Order to establish that Respondent's prior foreman, Pedro, had any ability to correct Respondent's issue with his check. The only thing that Respondent testified to was that Pedro was the person who gave him his check. (ROA pp. 9-10) Without some evidence to show that Pedro actually had authority to correct the paycheck issue, the fact that Respondent chose to confront Pedro about the paycheck establishes that this unfortunate injury occurred outside the course of and did not arise out of Respondent's employment.

Respondent spends most of his Answering Brief recounting the testimony that was had before the Appeals Officer. Why does Respondent need to do this? Because the only reference to testimony in the entire decision is a one line finding that Respondent testified that he needed to talk to Pedro if he had an issue with his check. Not only does this finding conflict with the actual evidence, there is no reference to any other testimony in the entire Decision. Had the Appeals Officer referenced the other testimony, there would be no need for Respondent to spend so much time recounting what happened at the actual hearing on this matter.

Further, while Respondent does attempt to buttress the Decision with his comments on the testimony of the various parties, the fact is that said testimony is not even mentioned in the Decision. Respondent takes Petitioners to task for claiming that there was "copious" testimony when only four people testified and that the hearing took only one hour. Whether the testimony of four witnesses over the course of an hour can be defined as "copious" is the topic for a linguistic debate and is not an issue for this Honorable Court. What is not up for debate is that there were indeed four witnesses who gave testimony and an Appeals Officer's Decision and Order which references none of it. On its face the Decision is arbitrary and capricious. NRS 616C.135.

Notwithstanding Respondent's dissatisfaction with Petitioners' word choice, Respondent does nothing to show that there is any reference in the Decision that the proper course of action for Respondent to dispute his paycheck would have been to contact payroll. Respondent even goes to

# far as to claim that it was essentially a harmless error to exclude the testimony of Mr. Pao and Mr. Mendoza because they were safety officers and safety officers have no power or authority over a paycheck dispute. However, Mr. Pao explicitly testified that the correct procedure for contesting a check so was to contact payroll and that Pedro "couldn't have done nothing" about Respondent's check grievance. (ROA p. 35-6-24) There was no evidence to dispute that testimony.

# 2. Embarking on Tasks Outside the Course of Employment Indeed Remove the Employee from the Course of Employment

Respondent argues that Petitioners' citations to out-of-state law and to Larson's treatise should be disregarded because they are not binding. Though it is true that these sources are not binding, Petitioners never argued that they were binding. Rather, they are instructive on the point that injuries which are unrelated to a claimant's employment are not compensable through industrial insurance. (See Rio Suite Hotel v. Gorsky, 113 Nev. 600, 939 P.2d 1043(1997) "a claimant must demonstrate that the origin of the injury is related to some risk involved within the scope of employment;" Mitchell v. Clark County School District, 121 Nev. 179, 111 P.3d 1104 (2005) "if an accident is not fairly traceable to the nature of employment or the workplace environment, then the injury cannot be said to arise out of the claimant's employment.")

Here, Respondent attempted to get his paycheck corrected by speaking with his former foreman, Pedro. However, there was no evidence to show that Pedro could have actually resolved Respondent's issue. By leaving his jobsite and embarking on a task which neither party had any authority over, it was legal error for the Appeals Officer to conclude that this claim is compensable. Respondent's job title with Employer was a primer; Pedro's job title with Employer was foreman. Neither a primer nor a foreman has any authority to issue a new check or correct alleged errors with a check. That task is relegated to payroll.

There is no interpretation of the facts in the instant case which would render this claim compensable. Neither Respondent nor Pedro's job duties involved issuing or correcting checks. As such, Respondent was not performing a task related to his employment when he left his job site and accosted Pedro about his allegedly short check.

4812-4529-4714.1 33947-19

II. **CONCLUSION** Based upon the foregoing, Petitioners, FOCUS FRAMING and SUN CITY ELECTRIC respectfully asks this Honorable Court to grant Petitioners' Petition for Judicial Review. Dated this _____ day of November, 2018. Respectfully submitted, LEWIS, BRISBOIS, BISGAARD & SMITH, LLP DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. 2300 W. Sahara Ave. Ste. 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Attorney for Petitioners 

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

4812-4529-4714. 33947-19

# **CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE**

I hereby certify that I have read this appellate brief and, to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, it is not frivolous or interposed for any improper purpose. I further certify that this brief complies with all applicable Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, in particular NRAP 28(e), which requires every assertion in the brief regarding matters in the record to be supported by appropriate references to the record on appeal. I understand that I may be subject to sanctions in the event that the accompanying brief is not in conformity with the requirements of the Nevada Rules of Appellate procedure.

Dated this Z of November, 2018.

Respectfully submitted,

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

By

DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. (005125)

2300 W. Sahara Ave. Ste. 300

Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Attorneys for Petitioners

# 1 **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING** Pursuant to Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure 5(b), I hereby certify that, on the TT 2 day of November 2018, service of the attached PETITIONERS' OPENING BRIEF was made 3 this date by depositing a true copy of the same for mailing, first class mail, as follows: 4 5 Alika Angerman, Esq. Bighorn Law 716 S. Jones Blvd. Las Vegas, NV 89107 8 Focus Framing 9 C/O Sun City Electric 10 Focus Framing 11 C/O Sun City Electric ATTN: Patty Pizano 12 1220 S. Commerce St., #120 Las Vegas, NV 89102 13 14 15 16 An employee of LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & 17 SMITH LLP 18 19 20 21 22

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 23

24

25

26

27

28

4812-4529-4714.1 33947-19

**Electronically Filed** 12/10/2018 8:49 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT REOT 1 DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 005125 JOEL P. REEVES, ESQ. 3 Nevada Bar No. 013231 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 2300 W. Sahara Ave. Ste. 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Telephone: 702-893-3383 6 Facsimile: 702-366-9689 Email: daniel.schwartz@lewisbrisbois.com 7 Attorneys for Petitioners Focus Framing and 8 Sun City Electric 9 DISTRICT COURT 10 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 11 FOCUS FRAMING and SUN CITY ELECTRIC, 12 Petitioners, 13 CASE NO.: A-18-774772-J 14  $\mathbf{V}$ . DEPT. NO.: X 15 MARTIN DURAN PEREZ, and THE DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION. 16 HEARINGS DIVISION, APPEALS OFFICE, an Agency of the State of Nevada, 17 18 Respondents. 19 REQUEST FOR HEARING ON PETITIONER'S 20 PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW 21 On or about May 18, 2018, the Petitioners filed a Petition for Judicial Review with this this 22 Honorable Court, contesting a workers' compensation Appeals Officer's Decision and Order. 23 On September 4, 2018, Petitioners filed their Opening Brief. On October 8, 2018, 24 Respondent filed his Answering Brief. On November 7, 2018, Petitioners filed their Reply Brief. 25 26 27 28 4839-9996-0450.1 33947-19

Case Number: A-18-774772-J

Pursuant to NRS 233B-133(4). Petitioners hereby respectfully request a hearing on the above captioned matter. DATED this (()) day of Decmeber, 2018. Respectfully submitted, LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP By DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 005125 JOEL P. REEVES, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 013231 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 2300 W. Sahara Ave. Ste. 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Attorneys for Petitioners Focus Framing and Sun City Electric 

BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

4839-9996-0450.1 33947-19

t	NOTICE OF HEARING
2	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Petitioners' Petition for Judicial Review shall be brought on
3	for hearing on the 15 day of January , 2019 at 9:30 a.m./p.m. in Department
4	X of this Court, or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard.
5	Dated this 10 day of December, 2018.
6	LEWIS BIMSBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
7	LEWIS BISGAARD & SMITH LEP
8	$By:/_{I}$
9	DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 005125
10	JOEL P. REEVES, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 013231
11	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 2300 W. Sahara Ave. Stc. 300
12	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Attorneys for Petitioners
14	Focus Framing and Sun City Electric
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 26

27

28

4839-9996-0450 I 33947-19 60

1	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
2	Pursuant to Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure 5(b), I hereby certify that service o
3	the REQUEST FOR HEARING ON PETITIONERS' PETITION FOR JUDICIAL
4	REVIEW was made this date by depositing a true copy of the same for mailing, first class mail, a
5	Las Vegas, Nevada, addressed follows:
6 7 8	Alika Angerman, Esq. Bighorn Law 716 S. Jones Blvd. Las Vegas, NV 89107
9 10	Focus Framing C/O Sun City Electric
11 12 13	Focus Framing C/O Sun City Electric A FTN: Patty Pizano 1220 S. Commerce St., #120 Las Vegas, NV 89102
14 15	DATED this day of December, 2018.
16	
17	An employee of LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD &
18	SMITH LLP
19	
20	
21	
22	

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LIP ATTRIBUTED AT LAW 23

24

25

26

27

28

4839-9996-0450.) 33947-19 A.

# DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Worker's Compensation Appeal **COURT MINUTES** 

January 15, 2019

A-18-774772-J

Focus Framing, Petitioner(s)

vs.

Martin Duran Perez, Respondent(s)

January 15, 2019

9:30 AM

**Petition for Judicial Review** 

**HEARD BY:** Jones, Tierra

**COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 14B

**COURT CLERK:** Teri Berkshire

**RECORDER:** Victoria Boyd

**REPORTER:** 

PARTIES PRESENT:

#### **JOURNAL ENTRIES**

- Court noted not parties present, however, Mr. Lavery signed in. Matter trailed. Later matter recalled. Mr. Lavery still not present. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED to the date given. Later matter recalled, following this Court's calendar. Mr. Lavery present, late, on behalf of Petitioner, advising he sent a text to opposing counsel, and counsel advised this wasn't on calendar today. Court noted it is prepared to rule on the pleadings today. Mr. Lavery requested to pass the matter for opposing counsel's presence. Court so noted and ORDERED, matter CONTINUED for counsel's presence.

01/22/19 9:30 A.M. PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW

Clerk's Note: A copy of these minutes emailed to Ms.. Angerman Esq., at alika@bighornlaw.com / tb

PRINT DATE: 01/18/2019 Page 1 of 1 Minutes Date: January 15, 2019

## DISTRICT COURT **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Appeal

**COURT MINUTES** 

January 22, 2019

A-18-774772-J

Focus Framing, Petitioner(s)

vs.

Martin Duran Perez, Respondent(s)

January 22, 2019

Worker's Compensation

9:30 AM

Petition for Judicial Review Request for Hearing

on Petitioner's **Petition for Judicial** 

Review

**HEARD BY:** Jones, Tierra

**COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 14B

**COURT CLERK:** Phyllis Irby

**RECORDER:** 

Victoria Boyd

**REPORTER:** 

**PARTIES** 

PRESENT:

Angerman, Alika K

Attorney for the Respondent

#### **JOURNAL ENTRIES**

- Mr. Angerman informed the Court opposing counsel is in another court. MATTER TRAILED.

MATTER RECALLED. The Court noted opposing counsel has not made an appearance. COURT ORDERED, MATTER CONTINUED.

1-29-18 9:30 AM PETITIONER'S PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW

PRINT DATE: 01/23/2019 Page 1 of 1 Minutes Date: January 22, 2019

COUNTY A D. I.	
CTRAN	
DIS	TRICT COURT
CLARK (	COUNTY, NEVADA )
FOCUS FRAMING AND SUN C	CITY ) CASE#: A-18-7743772
ELECTRIC,	) ) DEPT. X
Petitioners,	)
VS.	}
MARTIN DURAN PEREZ, ET A	λL., )
Defendants.	j.
DISTRIC TUES DAY	ONORABLE TIERRA JONES CT COURT JUDGE Y, JANUARY 29, 2019
DISTRIC TUES DAY	CT COURT JUDGE
DISTRICTURES DAY  RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT	CT COURT JUDGE Y, JANUARY 29, 2019
DISTRICTURES DAY  RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT  APPEARANCES:	CT COURT JUDGE Y, JANUARY 29, 2019
DISTRICTUES DAY  RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT  APPEARANCES:  For the Petitioner:	CT COURT JUDGE Y, JANUARY 29, 2019 <u>FOF PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REV</u>
DISTRICTURES DAY  RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT  APPEARANCES:	CT COURT JUDGE Y, JANUARY 29, 2019 T OF PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REV JOEL P. REEVES, ESQ.
DISTRICTUES DAY  RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT  APPEARANCES:  For the Petitioner:	CT COURT JUDGE Y, JANUARY 29, 2019 T OF PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REV JOEL P. REEVES, ESQ.
DISTRICTUES DAY  RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT  APPEARANCES:  For the Petitioner:	CT COURT JUDGE Y, JANUARY 29, 2019 T OF PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REV JOEL P. REEVES, ESQ.
DISTRICTUES DAY  RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT  APPEARANCES:  For the Petitioner:	CT COURT JUDGE Y, JANUARY 29, 2019 T OF PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REV JOEL P. REEVES, ESQ.
DISTRICTUES DAY  RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT  APPEARANCES:  For the Petitioner:	CT COURT JUDGE Y, JANUARY 29, 2019 T OF PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REV JOEL P. REEVES, ESQ.

1	Las Vegas, Nevada, Tuesday, January 29, 2019
2	
3	[Case called at 10:05 a.m.]
4	MR. REEVES: Good morning, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Good morning, counsel.
6	MR. REEVES: Joel Reeves for Focus Framing. It's our
7	petition.
7	MR. ANGERMAN: Alika Angerman on behalf of Mr. Perez.
9	THE COURT: Okay. So this on for request for hearing on
10	petition for judicial review. I've read Petitioner's petition, Respondent's
11	answering brief, as well as the reply. Does Petitioner have anything you
12	would like to add?
13	MR. REEVES: Yes, just briefly.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	MR. REEVES: And first of all, I would like to thank Your
16	Honor for moving this hearing to today.
17	THE COURT: No problem.
18	MR. REEVES: This is a petition for judicial review. I won't
19	keep Your Honor too long. I know you've reviewed everything.
20	THE COURT; I have.
21	MR. REEVES: But I would like to say that it is unfortunate
22	what happened to this gentleman, as far as his injuries are concerned.
23	However, the fact that he was injured at work does not necessarily make
24	it work related. He's got to prove more than that. And it's our

contention in this case that the appeals officer was wrong and that

applying the causation standard under 616C.150, there's no connection when — there's no connection to the workplace environment when a fight breaks out between employees over a subject that the employees cannot control.

So, for example, if the two employees in this case were fighting about some sort of personal loan that they had, maybe they loaned each other money outside of work, and they're fighting at work, that's personal. If he's injured at work because of that fight, it's not compensable under worker's compensation. However, if the fight is about maybe the claimant's work performance, something he's done at work, and they get into a fist fight about it, that's potentially work related.

The problem with the appeals officer's decision in this case is that the subject that they were fighting about, neither party could control. There's no work-related connection to their fight. Some fights are compensable under worker's compensation, this one is not.

The appeals officer committed legal error when he found that it was related. It's an error under 616C.150, it's an error under the Gorski, under Mitchell, under Phillips, any of the cases that talk about causation and work relatedness it's error. And sitting as an appellate court, Your Honor has de novo review over that issue. Based on the evidence that's on file, there's no work-related connection. It was clear legal error to find that this man's injuries are related to his employment.

This claimant, Mr. Duran Perez, showed up to work, left his jobsite, went to a different jobsite, went to a different job site. He

stopped performing his job, went to a different jobsite, spoke with a man about an issue that neither of them had any control over, and then was injured while discussing that issue. This is not work related, Your Honor. It was legal error for the appeals officer to find otherwise.

I'll entertain any questions that Your Honor may have or respond to anything that the Respondent wishes to bring up, but -

THE COURT: Well, in your papers there was a lot of talk about the testimony and that you didn't — there wasn't — it wasn't really indicative that the appeals officer took into account the testimony or the weighing credibility of these people and about what they testified to. I mean that was a substantial portion of what was argued in the moving papers and not really mentioned today. I mean, kinda, sort of in a roundabout way, but in regards to that

Because, I mean, the appeals officer took testimony on this case.

MR. REEVES: Sure.

THE COURT: I mean, and basically -- what I got -- the gist of a lot of what you were saying is that the appeals officer didn't even consider the testimony of these witnesses when they applied it to the actual standards, and they kind of didn't weigh the credibility of any of these people or didn't do any of that.

MR. REEVES: And that's absolutely -- Your Honor, is on the money. That's absolutely correct. The testimony that was not even mentioned dealt with the check review process. And that testimony shows that the proper procedure for dealing with a short check or having

22

23

24

25

an issue with your check at all, is dealt with by payroll. And we had significant testimony on that and none of it is in the decision. And that just goes to show that these two parties, the claimant and his prior supervisor had no control over what they were arguing about.

So it was error -- legal error to misapply the causation standard in finding that this claim is compensable even though they are arguing about something they couldn't control. It's also error to not include any of the evidence about those checkboxes, because it's dispositive. It goes to show as to what they were actually arguing about, and it doesn't appear like the appeals officer considered any of it.

So there's one line in the decision that comments on testimony, and it's - the appeals officer only says - it's on page 2, lines 10 through 11, "Claimant credibly testified that there was an issue with his check and that he needed to talk to Pedro Rosales." He testified there's an issue with his check, and he felt like he needed to talk to Pedro, but we have testimony on file saying that that doesn't actually solve anything.

So, Your Honor is right. There's a lot of testimony in this case that's nowhere and there's no -- there's nothing in this decision that shows how he could have actually resolved his check process. Just saying that he believed that that was something that - or rather that he needed to talk to Pedro, that's not good enough. That doesn't show what the check process resolution was. And there's evidence of that in the record, and it's not in the decision.

So if the evidence were actually applied to the law, there's a

legal error in establishing the causation. So, yes, it was an error to not include that testimony.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. REEVES: Thank you.

THE COURT: Counsel.

MR. ANGERMAN: Yes, Your Honor. I imagine the biggest reason why the testimony argument wasn't first presented today is because it undercuts the control argument that opposing counsel started off with.

So what we have is the testimony from four people, two of them were safety officers that weren't present at the time of the accident and at some point there were safety officers, there weren't payroll, there weren't benefits, there was no human resource managers, there no one that was actually sent there to talk about the payroll system. And then the other two witnesses were my client, who was the subject of the assault, and then his co-worker/brother-in-law, Mr. Leon [phonetic].

And so, basically, all we have is one person's testimony of the account of what happened that day. And that was — if you look at the decision and order from the appeal's officer, the entire decision and order with regards to the factual evidence is dependent upon the only witness that was actually there at the time of the accident, as well as actually there to present and testify at the appeals level.

So we have two issues, one of them is the testimony not being included, the other one is the control issue. The control issue does come from a treatise, and if we do want to look at who has control, again

the reason why the testimony wasn't mentioned in the first — beginning of the argument is that Mr. Pao [phonetic], who was the safety manager said that Mr. Pedro Rosales, who is the father, could not cut a check was the testimony. He said he couldn't cut a check right there, but he could correct the hours.

And that was the whole dispute between my client and Pedro Rosales is that the hours were incorrect and that's why he went to Pedro Rosales on the date of the accident because he received this check for the week before and Pedro Rosales was the foreman for that week before. So if anyone could correct those hours, it would be Pedro Rosales.

Now they did mention something earlier about if you wanted to get a new check you had to go to payroll but, at the end of the day, payroll is not going to believe the worker. They're going to want to talk to the foreman who approves the hours for that week and that's exactly why my client went to talk to Pedro Rosales

So with the argument that this has nothing to do with work, I would say that it has everything to do with work. This only happened because the claimant or the injured worker went to go talk to Pedro Rosales regarding the dispute over the hours. And subsequent to that conversation is when Pedro Rosales' son, Jose Rosales, went to the second level of the building and then pushed my client off of that — off the top of the building.

So as far as control, we do have the control element involved. Pedro Rosales could change the hours, could correct the

hours, and he's the only one that could actually confirm or deny that the hours were correct.

And then with regards to testimony being so egregious that they weren't discussed, the Petitioner had five days from the date of the proposed decision was ordered -- or five days from when the proposed decision was turned in to the judge, to dispute it, make any objections, offer any amendments to the decision, nothing was done. There's nothing in the evidence because there's no attempt, whatsoever, to try to clarify the decision order.

And then within 15 days from the signed decision, they had the ability to either file a motion for reconsideration or a motion for rehearing, and at that time could have brought up any objections they had with the final decision. None of this was made. Nothing was done until the petition for judicial review was filed and then now, they're saying that this decision was defective, and it should have been considered this, A, B, and C.

So the testimony that has already been addressed and that was already in the decision is proper, and that there was multiple opportunities before we even got to this level to correct any alleged defect with the decision order.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, counsel. Counsel. And, counsel, I've got to tell, I mean, what is your response to there was other opportunities to have clarified this. Because, I mean, right now we're in a position where the argument is being made about what testimony the hearing officer did and did not consider, and the actual order from the

hearing officer isn't very specific as to what testimony was considered and what testimony wasn't. Kind of the basis of your argument as well that they didn't mention it, so it's clear — and based on them not mentioning it and the actual decision that was made, it becomes clear that they didn't consider it. So what options were taken in regards to clarifying this or doing any sort of — anything before this stage?

MR. REEVES: To file a petition for reconsideration you need to show either that there's new evidence or that there is good cause for a rehearing. And the decision as it stands, is the appeals officer's decisions, so there's nothing to reconsider, there's no new evidence, just the appeals officer's decision. So filing a motion for reconsideration is -- I would say it's not warranted in this case because there's nothing to reconsider. It's the appeals officer's decision. Whether it's right or it's wrong is for the appealate jurisdiction to take up --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. REEVES: — and there's no grounds for reconsideration in this. It's either right or it's wrong, and we would argue that it's wrong based on the failure to apply the correct causation standard.

Even if you disregard the evidence that's not in this decision, if you look at the decision on its face, it is wrong legally because it does not say what the proper way to handle the check resolutions — resolving the check issue. It doesn't say. All it says is that he was arguing about a check and that that's work related, but it's not. So reconsideration is not proper. This is wrong or it's not, and it's wrong.

As for the argument that the safety officers were not present

for the accident, that doesn't matter. They told the claimant what the proper way to handle his check dispute was, he didn't follow it. They don't need to be present for the accident, they told him not to do that. They were absolutely confident to testify as to the check resolution process. There's no evidence to show that they weren't. They weren't impeached or anything like that. And there's no evidence to show that the supervisor — the foreman, Pedro, could have done anything. There's no evidence to show what was even wrong with it. He just said — the claimant just says it was short — his paycheck was short.

Who knows why it was wrong. Maybe it was a typo with payroll. That's why the claimant needed to go to payroll first to discuss his issue, and then maybe we do talk to Pedro after that, but that's not the way that this issue should have been resolved. It's outside of his job to start arguing with the prior supervisor who has no control over the issuance of his check.

So even if you look at this decision on its face, it's wrong, legal error, and based on the evidence that was submitted, it should have gone the other way. This claim is not compensable. There's no connection between this claimant's injuries and his employment.

THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Well, I need to review the decision one more time, so I'm going to issue a written decision - a minute order on this on Thursday from chambers.

1.1111.

24 /////

1////

1	MR. REEVES: Sounds good. Thank you, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
3	[Proceedings concluded at 10:19 a.m.]
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the
21	audio-visual recording of the proceeding in the above entitled case to the best of my ability.
22	Oxionia B Cakell
23	Maukele Transcribers, LLC
24	Jessica B. Cahill, Transcriber, CER/CET-708

A-18-774772-J

### DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Worker's Compensation Appeal COURT MINUTES January 29, 2019

A-18-774772-J Focus Framing, Petitioner(s)

VS.

Martin Duran Perez, Respondent(s)

January 29, 2019 09:30 AM Request for Hearing on Petitioner's Petition for Judicial Review

HEARD BY: Jones, Tierra COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14B

COURT CLERK: Berkshire, Teri
RECORDER: Boyd, Victoria

**REPORTER:** 

PARTIES PRESENT:

Alika K Angerman Attorney for Respondent

Joel Reeves Attorney for Petitioner

**JOURNAL ENTRIES** 

Following arguments by counsel, COURT ORDERED matter CONTINUED to this Court's chamber's calendar for Decision, on the date given.

01/31/19 (CHAMBERS) DECISION PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW

Printed Date: 1/31/2019 Page 1 of 1 Minutes Date: January 29, 2019

Prepared by: Teri Berkshire

#### A-18-774772-J

### DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Worker's Compensation Appeal COURT MINUTES January 31, 2019

A-18-774772-J Focus Framing, Petitioner(s)

VS.

Martin Duran Perez, Respondent(s)

January 31, 2019 03:00 AM Decision: Petition for Judicial Review

HEARD BY: Jones, Tierra COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14B

COURT CLERK: Berkshire, Teri

RECORDER: REPORTER:

**PARTIES PRESENT:** 

#### **JOURNAL ENTRIES**

Following review of the papers and pleadings on file herein, COURT ORDERED, the Appeals Officer s Decision and Order be AFFIRMED.

Printed Date: 2/1/2019 Page 1 of 1 Minutes Date: January 31, 2019

Prepared by: Teri Berkshire

Electronically Filed 9/13/2019 11:57 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1	NEOJ	Denn S. Dru
2	ALIKA K. ANGERMAN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 12933	
3	BIGHORN LAW 716 S. Jones Blvd.	
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 Tel: (702) 333-1111	
5	Email: Alika@BighornLaw.com	
6	Attorneys for Respondent, Martin Duran Perez	
7	DISTRICT	COURT
8	CLARK COUN	TY, NEVADA
9		
10	FOCUS FRAMING and SUN CITY ELECTRIC,	
11	Petitioners,	CASE NO: A-18-774772-J
12	V.	DEPT. NO:X
13	MARTIN DURAN PEREZ, and THE	DEI 1. NO.X
14	DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION HEARINGS DIVISION, APPEALS OFFICE,	
15	an Agency of the State of Nevada	
16	Respondents.	
17	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER DENVI	NG THE DETITION FOR HIDIOLAY
18	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER DENYI REVIE	
19	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an Order I	Denying Petition for Judicial Review was entered
20	in favor of Respondents on April 16, 2019 by the a	above-entitled court
21	///	
22		
23	///	
24	///	
25	///	
26	///	
<ul><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>	///	
۷۵		

1	A copy of said Order is attached	ed hereto.
2	<b>DATED</b> this 13 th day of Septe	ember, 2019.
3		BIGHORN LAW
4		
5		By: /s/ Alika K. Angerman_
6		ALIKA K. ANGERMAN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 12933
7		716 S. Jones Blvd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89107
8		Attorneys for Respondent
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

I	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>
2	Pursuant to NRCP 5, NEFCR 9 and EDCR 8.05, I hereby certify that I am an employee
3	of BIGHORN LAW, and on September 13, 2019, I served a copy of the foregoing <b>NOTICE OF</b>
4 5	ENTRY OF ORDER DENYING THE PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW as follows:
6	Electronic Service – By serving a copy thereof through the Court's electronic service system
7 8	U.S. Mail—By depositing a true copy thereof in the U.S. mail, first class postage prepaid and addressed as listed below; and/or
9	propula and addressed as instead colon, and or
10	Facsimile—By facsimile transmission pursuant to EDCR 7.26 to the facsimile number(s) shown below and in the confirmation sheet filed herewith. Consent to service
11	under NRCP 5(b)(2)(D) shall be assumed unless an objection to service by facsimile transmission is made in writing and sent to the sender via facsimile within 24 hours of
12	receipt of this Certificate of Service; and/or
13 14	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH JOEL REEVES, ESQ.
15	Nevada Bar No. 13231 2300 W. Sahara Ave. Suite 300, Box 28
16	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 E-SERVICE
17	Attorneys for Petitioner
18	FOCUS FRAMING/PLUMBING
19	C/O Sun City Electric 1220 S. Commerce Street Suite 120
20	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Via US Postal Mailing
21	
22	
23	By: /s/ Eva G. Dhimi
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	An employee of BIGHORN LAW
26	
27	
28	

# EXHIBIT 1

				Electronically Filed 7/2/2019 12:28 PM Steven D. Grierson
1	ORDR			CLERK OF THE COURT
2	BIGHORN LAW ALIKA K. ANGERMAN, ESQ.			Commission
3	716 S. Jones Blvd Las Vegas, NV 89107			
4	alika@bighornlaw.com Phone: (702) 333-1111			
5	Fax: (702) 507-0092 Attorneys for Petitioner			
6	DI	STRICT COURT		
7	CLARE	COUNTY, NEV	ADA	
8		****		
9	FOCUS FRAMING and SUN CITY	Lavan Tre		
10	ELECTRIC,	CASE NO.: DEPT. NO.:	A-18-774772 X	!-J
11	Petitioners,			
12	v.			
13 14	MARTIN DURAN PEREZ, and THE DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION, HEARINGS DIVISION, APPEALS OFFICE, an			
15	Agency of the State of Nevada			
16	Respondents.			
17	ORDER DENYING THE	E PETITION FOR	JUDICIAL	REVIEW
18	After careful review and consider	ation of the papers	and pleadings	on file herein:
19	THE COURT ORDERS the Appeals Off	ficer Decision and	Order be AF	FIRMED and the Petition
20	for Judicial review is DENIED.			
21	DATED this day of	Apri/2019.		0
23			Ull	
24			Court Judge	
		Tierra J	ones Ja	
			V.	1

Submitted by: Approved as to form and content: **BIGHORN LAW** LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH By: By: ALIKA K. ANGERMAN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 12933 JOEL REEVES, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 13231 716 S. Jones Blvd. 2300 W. Sahara Avenue, Ste. 300, Box 28 Las Vegas, NV 89107 Phone: 702-333-1111 Las Vegas, NV 89102 Attorneys For Petitioner Fax: 702-507-0092 Attorneys for Respondent 

**Electronically Filed** 10/8/2019 4:56 PM Steven D. Grierson MOT 1 CLERK OF THE COUR DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 005125 JOEL P. I.EEVES, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 013231 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 2300 W. Sahara Ave. Ste. 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Telephone: 702-893-3383 Facsimile: 702-366-9689 Email: da iiel.schwartz@lewisbrisbois.com Attorneys for Petitioners Focus Framing and 8 Sun City I lectric 9 DISTRICT COURT 10 11 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 12 FOCUS FRAMING and SUN CITY ELECTRIC, CASE NO.: A-18-774772-J 13 Petitioners, DEPT. NO.: X 14 v. 15 MARTIN DURAN PEREZ. and THE 16 DEPART MENT OF ADMINISTRATION, **HEARING REQUESTED** HEARINGS DIVISION, APPEALS OFFICE, 17 an Agenc of the State of Nevada, 18 19 Respondents. 20 PETITIONERS' MOTION FOR STAY PENDING SUPREME COURT APPEAL 21 AND REQUEST FOR ORDER SHORTENING TIME 22 COMES NOW the Petitioners, FOCUS FRAMING and SUN CITY ELECTRIC. 23 (hereinafter referred to as the "Petitioners"), by and through their attorneys, DANIEL L. 24 SCHWALTZ, ESQ., and JOEL P. REEVES, ESQ. of LEWIS, BRISBOIS, BISGAARD & 25 SMITH, LLP, and applies to this Court for a Stay of the Appeals Officer's Order, filed on May 3, 26 2018, pending decision on the merits in the appeal by Petitioners to the Supreme Court. Further, 27 Petitioner; respectfully request an Order Shortening Time for this Motion to be heard. 28

4820-6175-7352.1 /motion for stay pending appeal and request for order shortening time 33947-19

1	This Motion and request for an Order Shortening Time is made and based upon the papers
2	and pleading on file herein, the Affidavit of JOEL P. REEVES, ESQ., the attached Points and
3	Authorities, and any argument of counsel on this matter.
4	DATED this day of October, 2019.
5	Respectfully submitted,
6	LEWIS BRISBOIS <del>BISGAARD</del> & SMITH LLP
7	
8	By:
9	DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ.
10	Nevada Bar No. 005125 JOEL P. REEVES, ESQ.
11	Nevada Bar No. 013231 2300 W. Sahara Ave. Ste. 300
12	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Phone: 702-893-3383
13	Fax: 702-366-9689 Attorneys for Petitioners
14	Attorneys for Fertioners
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
/ ^ 1	ı

#### 1 AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF ORDER SHORTENING TIME 2 STATE OF NEVADA ) ss: 3 COUNTY OF CLARK 4 I, JOEL P. REEVES, ESQ., do herby swear under penalty of perjury that the 5 assertion of this affidavit are true, that: 6 1. Affiant is an attorney authorized and duly licensed to practice law in the State of 7 Nevada and is one of the attorneys of record for Respondents. 8 2. This affidavit is made in support of an ex-parte order shortening time for this 9 Motion for Stay to be heard. 10 3. Affiant has personal knowledge of all matters set forth herein, except those matters 11 stated on information and belief, and is competent to testify thereto. 12 4. That NRAP Rule 8(a)(1) requires that Appellants move first in the District Court 13 for a Stay of the underlying Order Granting Petition for Judicial Review, filed on July 2, 2019 14 with the Notice of Entry being filed on September 13, 2019. 15 5. The above-named Affiant has good cause to request this Court for an Order 16 Shortening time. NRS 616C.375 mandates that an Appeals Officer's Decision and Order shall not 17 be stayed unless the District Court issues an Order of Stay within thirty (30) days from the date of 18 the Decision and Order. Further, NRAP 4(a)(1) requires that the subject Order be appealed within 19 thirty (30) days from the date of the Order. Therefore, this Motion cannot be heard in the normal 20 course. 21 6. The time for appeal in this matter expires on or about October 14, 2019. 22 7. In the absence of a stay, the Respondents will be required to comply with this 23 Court's Order and therefore essentially render this appeal moot. 24 25 26 27

1	8. This Motion and request for Order Shortening Time is made in good faith
2	and not for the purpose of undue advantage.
3	Further Affiant sayeth naught.
4	DATED this day of October, 2019.
5	
6	SUBSCR BED AND SWORN to before me  JOEI P. REEVES, ESQ.  JENNIFER BRYAN
7	this 157 day of October, 2019.  Notary Public, State of Novada Appointment No. 98-42284-1 My Appt. Expires Nov 1, 2019
8	NOTARY PUBLIC in and for said
9	County ard State
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

#### **ORDER SHORTENING TIME** GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time of hearing of the above-entitled matter A.M.P.M. in Dept. No. X. DATED this _____ day of October, 2019. **JUDGE** Respectfully submitted by: DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 005125 JØELP. LEEVES, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 013231 2300 W. Sahara Ave. Ste. 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Phone: 702-893-3383 Fax: 702-366-9689 Attorneys for Petitioners

#### **STATEMENT OF THE FACTS**

On December 30, 2016, a C-4 form was completed which alleged that the Respondent, MARTIN DURAN PEREZ (hereinafter referred to as "Respondent"), was injured when he was pushed off of a roof. The Respondent was treated at UMC Trauma for subdural hematoma on the date of the incident. The Respondent was taken off of work. (Record on Appeal p. 125)(here nafter "ROA p. ____")

A Supervisor Accident Investigation Report notes that the Respondent went to the second floor with no fall protection and was involved in work place violence. (ROA p. 126)

Foreman Rafael Benitez noted that he did not witness the event but found Mr. Perez passed out on the ground and called 911 and checked vitals. (ROA pp. 127-128)

A Safety Report completed by Safety Manager Nicholas Pao, which noted that the Respondent was mad due to an alleged pay check shortage while working on Pedro's crew. The Respondent climbed an 8 foot ladder to get to where Pedro was working on a second floor. Pedro was tied off with safety gear but Respondent was not. The discussion with the two got "elevated." Pedro's son came up from the first floor to aid his father, and when Pedro's son asked the Respondent to stop, the Respondent allegedly started yelling at him and the son put his hands on the Respondent and pushed him away and the Respondent eventually fell off of the roof. (ROA pp. 129-133)

Pedro Rosales also gave a statement and alleged that the Respondent came up to the second floor where he was working and began to say bad words to him and tried to hit him. He told the Fespondent to give him time and he would try and resolve the problem on January 2, 2017. His son heard the offensive comments and came up to defend him and other people also were involved verbally, including an unidentified person who also came up to the second floor and later left. (ROA pp. 134-135)

Pedro's son, Jose Rosales gave his version of what happened, as well. (ROA pp. 136-137)

Statements by Eduardo Leon and Elvis Herrera noted that the son of the man working on the second floor pushed the Respondent who fell off of roof after a discussion between the parties.

(ROA pp. 138-141)

A statement from the Respondent indicated that he climbed to where Pedro was working and showed him his check and Pedro stated that houses do not make money. He then states that Pedro's son stated it wasn't good and that he was then grabbed and pushed off of the roof. (ROA p. 142)

At Industrial Injury or Illness form in Spanish was also executed by the Respondent. (ROA pp. 143-144)

A Criminal Complaint was issued against Pedro's son, Jose Rosales. (ROA p. 145)

The Respondent was treated at UMC on the date of the incident described as a 20 foot fall after being pushed off of a roof. The Respondent was transferred out of the Emergency Department after a subdural bleed was discovered along with a possible right 8th rib fracture. X-rays of the left shoulder revealed no acute osseous abnormality, and a CT scan of the brain revealed ε subdural hematoma, and a MRI of the cervical spine was normal except for soft tissue swelling from T-1 through T-3. Other diagnostic testing was essentially normal. (ROA pp. 147-180)

A claim denial determination was issued on March 6, 2017. (ROA pp. 181-183)

Or, March 21, 2017, the Respondent appealed the claim denial determination. (ROA p. 184)

Or March 30, 2017, the adjuster denied March 21, 2017, requests for 1) TTD beginning on December 30, 2016, to present and 2) request for transfer of care to Dr. Garber. (ROA pp. 185-186)

Following Hearing No. 1710955-MT, the Hearing Officer issued a Decision and Order dated June 1, 2017, reversing the March 6, 2017 determination denying the claim. (ROA pp. 187-189.) Insurer filed a timely appeal. (ROA p. 190.) In addition, the Insurer filed a Motion for a Stay of the Hearing Officer's decision, which was granted. (ROA p. 192.)

On February 9, 2018, this case came on for hearing before the Appeals Officer. The testimonics of four separate witnesses were taken: Respondent; Respondent's brother-in-law; and two safety directors for Employer (Nicholas Pao and Kevin Mendoza). Of note, Respondent testified that, on the day in question, he was working on a house under the supervision of a crew

leader named Francisco. On that day, Respondent received a check for the work he had done the previous week when he was working for a different crew leader, Pedro. (ROA pp. 9-10; 13-15) Respondent believed that his paycheck was low and testified that he went to Pedro to discuss his paycheck. Respondent testified that he did not speak with Mr. Pao on that day. Respondent testified that he left the job site that he was working on, walked three houses down to where Pedro was, climbed a ladder to get to Pedro, did not attach any sort of safety measures to himself, and spent at least ten (10) minutes talking to Pedro on the second floor of a house frame. Respondent also testified that no one that house frame was wearing safety measures. After about ten (10) minutes of discussion, Pedro's son climbed the ladder and pushed Respondent off the house.

The brother-in-law (Eduardo Leon) was also working on the job site but his testimony did not add at ything worth noting to this case.

M. Pao, a safety director for Employer, testified that, on the day in question, he was on the job site prior to the incident and testified that he and Mr. Mendoza (the other safety director) spoke with Respondent about his check. Mr. Pao testified as follows:

NICHOLAS PAO: Yeah, [Respondent] brought it to our attention that there was a discrepancy on his paycheck from Pedro. We had basically told him, at the end of the day, take it to the office and Lucy would get that corrected.

DANIEL SCHWARTZ: At that point in time, when you were having this conversation with him, would Pedro have had any ability to do anything with that paycheck?

NICHOLAS PAO: No. He couldn't have done nothing. He could've maybe made a phone call and told Lucy to get the check corrected, but as far as him cutting a check for him, no.

DANIEL SCHWARTZ: So, your—your—I don't want to say advice, but what you told Mr. Duran-Perez, concerning the paych10eck was to go to the office.

NICHOLAS PAO: Go to the office at the end of the day. Yeah.

DANIEL SCHWARTZ: And then did you leave the jobsite?

NICHOLAS PAO: Yeah.

(ROA p. 35:6-24)

1	
1	Mr. Pao also testified that, contrary to Respondent's testimony, Pedro was in fact wearing
2	a safety harness. (ROA p. 37; 38-39) Further, Mr. Pao explained the check payment process. Crew
3	leaders (lice Pedro and Francisco) keep track of their subordinate's hours and turn those hours into
4	a foreman and the foreman turns the hours into payroll and payroll issues checks. (ROA p. 39) He
5	reiterated that a foreman would not be able to do anything with a check after it was cut.
6	M. Mendoza corroborated Mr. Pao's testimony as he helped translate for Mr. Pao on that
7	day.
8	Or May 3, 2018, the Appeals Officer issued the subject Decision and Order reversing
9	claim derial. Of note, the Decision and Order makes no mention whatsoever of any of the
10	testimony given. Nor does it comment on the credibility of any witness. (ROA pp. 65-71)
11	Petitioners timely filed the instant Petition for Judicial Review and this Court granted a
12	stay.
13	Or April 16, 2019, after reviewing briefing from both parties as well as hearing oral
14	argument, Your Honor issued an Order affirming the Appeals Officer and denying this Petition for
15	Judicial Review. The Notice of Entry of Order was filed on September 13, 2019
16	Petitioners will be filing an appeal to the Nevada Supreme Court but must seek a stay with
17	this Hono able Court before invoking the jurisdiction on the higher court.
18	POINTS & AUTHORITIES
19	II.
20	<u>JURISDICTION</u>
21	NICAP 8(a)(1) provides this Court with authority to hear the instant Motion for Stay:
22	A party must ordinarily move first in the district court for the
23	following relief:  (A) a stay of the judgment or order of, or proceedings in, a
24	district court pending appeal or resolution of a petition to the Supreme Court or Court of Appeals for an extraordinary
25	writ; (B) approval of a supersedeas bond; or
26	(C) an order suspending, modifying, restoring or granting an injunction while an appeal or original writ petition is pending
27	
28	•••

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1 III. 2 **LEGAL ARGUMENT** 3 A. 4 Standard of Review The standard for granting a stay was enunciated in the case of Kress v. Corey, 65 Nev. 1, 5 6 16-17, 189 P.2d 352, 360 (1948) as follows: 7 an order for a supersedeas or stay will only be granted on good cause shown and where a proper case for exercise of the court's 8 discretion is made out. As a rule a supersedeas or stay should be granted, if the court has the power to grant it, [1] whenever it 9 appears that without it the object of the appeal or writ of error may be defeated, or [2] that it is reasonably necessary to protect appellant 10 or plaintiff in error from irreparable or serious injury in the case of reversal, and [3] it does not appear that appellee or defendant in 11 error will sustain irreparable or disproportionate injury, in case of affirmance on the other hand, as a rule, a supersedeas or stay will not be granted unless it appears to be necessary to prevent 12 irreparable injury or a miscarriage of justice. (citations 13 removed)(numeration added) 14 A party requesting a stay must also prove a reasonable likelihood of success on the merits. 15 Success on the merits for Petitions for Judicial review of a final decision of an agency is governed 16 by NRS 233B.135 as follows: 17 NRS 233B.135 Judicial review: Manner of conducting; burden of proof; standard for review. 18 1. Judicial review of a final decision of an agency must be: (a) Conducted by the court without a jury; and (b) Confined to the 19 record. In cases concerning alleged irregularities in procedure before an agency that are not shown in the record, the court may receive evidence concerning the irregularities. 20 2. The final decision of the agency shall be deemed reasonable and 21 lawful until reversed or set aside in whole or in part by the court. The burden of proof is on the party attacking or resisting the 22 decision to show that the final decision is invalid pursuant to subsection 3. 23 3. The court shall not substitute its judgment for that of the agency as to the weight of evidence on a question of fact. The court may 24 remand or affirm the final decision or set it aside in whole or in part if substantial rights of the petitioner have been prejudiced because 25 the final decision of the agency is: (a) In violation of constitutional or statutory provisions; 26 (b) In excess of the statutory authority of the agency: (c) Made upon unlawful procedure; 27 (d) Affected by other error of law; (e) Clearly erroneous in view of the reliable, probative and

28

substantial evidence on the whole record; or

The standard of review is whether there is substantial evidence to support the underlying decision. The reviewing court should limit its review of administrative decisions to determine if they are based upon substantial evidence. North Las Vegas v. Public Service Common, 83 Nev. 278, 291, 429 P.2d 66 (1967); McCracken v. Fancy, 98 Nev. 30, 639 P.2d 552 (1982). Substantial evidence is that quantity and quality of evidence which a reasonable man would accept as adequate o support a conclusion. See, Maxwell v. SIIS, 109 Nev. 327, 331, 849 P.2d 267, 270 (1993); ard Horne v. State Indus. Ins. Sys., 113 Nev. 532, 537, 936 P.2d 839 (1997).

When reviewing administrative decisions, this Court has held that, on factual determinations, the findings and ultimate decisions of an agency are not to be disturbed unless they are clearly erroneous or otherwise amount to an abuse of discretion. Nevada Industrial Common v. Reese, 93 Nev. 115, 560 P.2d 1352 (1977).

An admir istrative determination regarding a question of fact will not be set aside unless it is against the manifest weight of the evidence. Nevada Indus. Common v. Hildebrand, 100 Nev. 47, 51, 675 P.2d 401 (1984).

B.

## An Order Granting Stay is Appropriate Until this Appeal is Heard and Decided on its Merits

The Nevada Supreme Court has consistently held that a stay is appropriate under circumstances such as those that exist in the instant case. Kress, Id. In DIR v. Circus Circus, 101 Nev. 405, 411-12, 705 P.2d 645, 649 (1985), the Nevada Supreme Court stated that an insurer's proper procedure when aggrieved by a decision is to seek a stay. The Nevada Supreme Court has also recognized that a stay should be granted where it can be shown that the Appellant would suffer irreparable injury during the pendency of the appeal, if the stay is not granted. White Pine Power v. Yublic Service Commission, 76 Nev. 263, 252 P.2d 256 (1960).

The Nevada Supreme Court held, in <u>Ransier v. SIIS</u>, 104 Nev. 742, 766 P.2d 274 (1988), that an incurer may not seek recoupment of benefits paid to a claimant that were later found to be

15

21

22

20

23

24

25 26

27 28

unwarranted on appeal. However, it must be noted that NRS 616C.138 was recently modified to allow insurers to recover amounts paid during the pendency of an appeal "from a health or casualty insurer" if the insurer is found to be entitled to the same. However, if there is no health or casualty insurer, Ransier applies and insurers cannot recover anything at all. Here, just as in most cases, there is nothing to indicate whether Petitioner has health or casualty insurance. Furthermore, under no circumstances could an insurer recover any wage replacement benefits such as temporary partial disability or temporary total disability benefits.

In the instant case, an order granting a Stay of this Court's Order and the Appeals Officer's decision is appropriate for the reasons set forth herein. As will be discussed in detail below, the Appeals ()fficer's Decision and Order reversing claim denial was issued under color of a legal error. This Court, respectfully, has also erred by affirming the Appeals Officer's Decision. Furthermore, and more relevant to this Motion for Stay proceeding, there is no known pending medical treatment for the Respondent. The only affect that the subject Appeals Officer's Decision has is requiring Petitioners to issue retro-active benefits. Petitioners will submit to this Court that retro-active benefits can be issued at any time. However, once issued, they are unrecoverable.

This case is precisely the scenario in which a stay is appropriate. Petitioners have shown a substantial likelihood of prevailing on the instant appeal and Petitioners will be irreparably harmed if the instant motion is not granted. Accordingly, Petitioners contend that they have made the requisite showing for the granting of a stay of the Appeals Officer's decision until such time as a hearing can be conducted on the merits of its appeal.

C.

### Respondent Will Not Be Harmed By the Granting of a Stay

In the instant case, Respondent will not be harmed by the granting of this stay. There are no pendir g medical procedures which a Stay would prevent. The only issue would be retro-active benefits that Petitioners cannot recover. However, should Respondent succeed on this appeal, he will absolutely receive all benefits which are due to him. The only real harm to Respondent is that he would have to wait.

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
l	9
2	0
2	1
2	2

24

25

26

27

28

The only potential for irreparable harm is to Petitioners. Accordingly, Petitioners have again made the requisite showing for the granting of a stay of the Appeals Officer's decision until such time as a hearing can be conducted on the merits of Petitioners' appeal.

D.

#### Standard Regarding Merits of Underlying Appeal

As for the merits of the underlying appeal, it was the Respondent, not Petitioners, who had the burden of proving his entitlement to any benefits under any accepted industrial insurance claim by a prependerance of all the evidence. State Industrial Insurance System v. Hicks, 100 Nev. 567, 688 P.2d 324 (1984); Johnson v. State ex rel. Wyoming Worker's Compensation Div., 798 P.2d 323 (1990); Hagler v. Micron Technology, Inc., 118 Idaho 596, 798 P.2d 55 (1990).

In attempting to prove his case, the Respondent has the burden of going beyond speculation and conjecture. That means that the Respondent must establish all facets of the claim by a preponderance of all the evidence. To prevail, a Respondent must present and prove more evidence than an amount which would make his case and his opponent's "evenly balanced." <a href="Maxwell">Maxwell</a> 1. SIIS, 109 Nev. 327, 849 P.2d 267 (1993); <a href="SIIS v. Khweiss">SIIS v. Khweiss</a>, 108 Nev. 123, 825 P.2d 218 (1992); SIIS v. Kelly, 99 Nev. 774, 671 P.2d 29 (1983); <a href="Maxwell v. Kelly">A. Larson</a>, the Law of Workmen's Compensation, § 80.33(a).

NRS 616A.010(2)makes it clear that:

A claim for compensation filed pursuant to the provisions of chapters 616A to 616D, inclusive, or chapter 617 of NRS must be decided on its merit and not according to the principle of common law that requires statutes governing workers' compensation to be liberally construed because they are remedial in nature.

#### The Determination to Deny This Claim is Proper

E.

Here, the issue is whether the Appeals Officer erred in ordering this claim denial reversed. Most importantly, Petitioners want to bring this Court's attention to the fact that the Appeals Officer's Decision does not even mention the copious amount of testimony taken in this case. Instead, the Appeals Officer relies exclusively on the paper evidence filed by the parties, i.e. the

exact same evidence which convinced the Appeals Officer that the Hearing Officer's Decision to reverse claim denial should be stayed. Petitioners would submit that the decision to completely ignore the testimony or even comment on the credibility of the witnesses is reversible error in and of itself.

Moreover, by excluding reference to the testimony, the Appeals Officer also excluded all evidence of how Respondent's paycheck process works and how the paycheck dispute resolution process was explained to Respondent. It was legal error to find this claim compensable when Respondent was explicitly informed by Mr. Pao and Mr. Mendoza that his prior crew leader (Pedro) had no control over paycheck dispute resolution. As will be shown below, though certain types of work place violence can be compensable when the violence is begat by an argument over work related issues, if the parties to the violence have no authority over the argument subject, any injuries which result are not compensable because the argument was not related to the parties' job performar ce.

Ut der NRS 616C.150(1), the <u>Respondent</u> has the burden of proof to show that the injury arose out of and in the course and scope of his employment. The Respondent must satisfy this burden by a preponderance of the factual and medical evidence. Further, NRS 616B.612 mandates that an employee is only entitled to compensation if he is injured in the course and scope of his employment.

The Nevada Supreme Court has held that:

An accident or injury is said to arise out of employment when there is a causal connection between the injury and the employee's work ... the injured party must establish a link between the workplace conditions and how those conditions caused the injury ... a claimant must demonstrate that the origin of the injury is related to some risk involved within the scope of employment.

Rio Suite Hotel v. Gorsky, 113 Nev. 600, 939 P.2d 1043(1997). (emphasis added)

The same Court further stated that the "Nevada Industrial Insurance Act is not a mechanism which makes insurers/employers absolutely liable for injuries suffered by employees who are on the job." (Id.)

Further, the Nevada Supreme Court held in Mitchell v. Clark County School District, 121 Nev. 179, 111 P.3d 1104 (2005):

An accident or injury is said to arise out of employment when there is a causal connection between the injury and the employee's work. In other words, the injured party must establish a link between the workplace conditions and how those conditions caused the injury. Further, a Respondent must demonstrate that the origin of the injury is related to some risk involved within the scope of employment. However, if an accident is not fairly traceable to the nature of employment or the workplace environment, then the injury cannot be said to arise out of the Respondent's employment. Finally, resolving whether an injury arose out of employment is examined by a totality of the circumstances.

With respect to the subject issue of assaults, Nevada decisions are sparse. However, the Court did endorse the general rule that "injuries resulting from assaults by fellow workmen when the attact results from personal animosity unconnected with the employment, are not compensable." Cummings v. United Resort Hotels, Inc., 85 Nev. 23 (1969)(Citing Pacific Employers Ins. Co. v. Industrial Acc. Comm., 293 P.2d 502 (Cal. App. 1956)). The salient portion of the rule above is the holding that injuries unconnected to employment are not compensable. This is the guiding principle in determining compensability of workers' compensation claims.

Professor Larson's treatise on workers' compensation expounds on this subject and explains that claim denial has been upheld when workplace fights concern a subject which the employee had no control over. See 1 Larson's Workers' Compensation Law § 8.01[4] (2018). For example, claim denial was affirmed where a worker was killed by another worker over a dispute as to the contents of a coal car when neither party had any ability to control what was in the car. Court held that "[t]he interests of the employer were not being aided, protected or advanced in any manner by what [the claimant] did, and the quarrel and consequent injury had no reasonable connection with any work then being done for the plaintiff in error." Marion Cty. Coal Co. v. Indus. Com., 292 Ill. 463, 466, 127 N.E. 84, 85 (1920).

In another case, a claimant was injured while protecting his employer's property from teamsters who were in a dispute with the employer. The Court upheld claim denial under the theory that "[h]ad Respondent remained at his work he would not have been injured. His presence at the place of fighting was in pursuance of no demand of his employment." Clark v. Clark, 189

Mich. 652, 655, 155 N.W. 507, 508 (1915).¹

Finally, in a more recent decision, two years prior to the controversy therein, a claimant had used her own personal money to buy a drink machine for the office. On the subject day, a drink truck was parked in the parking lot to refill the machine. A police officer wrote the truck driver a parking ticket and the claimant came out to contest the ticket. The claimant was eventually arrested for disorderly conduct and sustained injury during the arrest. Court upheld claim den al as there was "no testimony from plaintiff, her superior or any other witness that states that plaintiff had any supervisory authority over the parking lot as a result of her employment and was thus involved with duties created by her job at the time she was injured... We are of the opinion that the trial court could properly find that <u>any injuries suffered by plaintiff did not occur while the employee was rendering service which she was hired to do to her employer and, therefore, was not in the course of the employment.</u>" Legions v. Liberty Mut. Ins. Co., 703 S.W.2d 620, 623 (Tenn. 1986)(emphasis added)

Here, just as in the cases cited above, neither Respondent, Pedro, nor Pedro's son had any authority over the subject of the dispute, i.e. Respondent's paycheck. Respondent was even informed by Mr. Pao and Mr. Mendoza on the very day of the incident that if he desired to contest his paycheck, the proper way to do so was to contact payroll at the end of the day. Instead of doing that, Respondent left his job site, walked over to Pedro's job site, climbed to the second story of a house frame, did not attach any safety equipment, and engaged in a ten (10) minute long argument about the paycheck before Pedro's son unfortunately pushed him off the frame. Though Respondent's injuries are unfortunate, in no way was Respondent performing his job at the time of his injuries.

Indeed, Respondent left his job duties to discuss a subject with Pedro that he knew Pedro had no authority over. By virtue of the fact that Pedro had no authority over Respondent's paycheck dispute and compounded by the fact Respondent had just that day been informed as to the proper way to dispute his paycheck, Respondent left the course and scope of his employment

4820-6175-735 2.1 motion for stay pending appeal and request for order shortening time

¹ See Also Libraro v. Ocean Casket Co., 60 A.D.2d 736, 401 N.Y.S.2d 304 (App. Div. 1977) where claim denial was affirmed when an employee left his employment to assist a co-employee who was being assaulted and was then himself shot.

when he valked off his job site to engage Pedro. Put simply, the argument with Pedro was not related to Respondent's employment because Respondent had just been informed the proper way to dispute his paycheck and he knew that Pedro had no authority to adjust his pay.

The Appeals Officer was apprised of the state of this law at the hearing on this matter. By not even mentioning the testimony of any witness and therefore failing to take into account the fact that the subject altercation was not related to Respondent's employment, the Appeals Officer committed reversible error. A stay is warranted until this matter can be adjudicated by the Supreme Court.

### <u>IV.</u>

#### **CONCLUSION**

Besed upon all of the above, it is the belief of Petitioners, FOCUS FRAMING and SUN CITY ELECTRIC, that they have reason in good faith to ask for a stay of this Court's July 2, 2019 Order wh ch affirmed the Appeals Officer's decision, dated May 3, 2018, particularly in light of the clear errors of law and abuse of discretion which has been established above.

This is not an appeal based solely on a disagreement over the facts. Rather, we are faced with an Appeals Officer's Decision which violates clear and specific legal precedent and statutory schemes. The Appeals Officer's improper application of the law will likely result in irreparable harm to these Petitioners if the instant stay is not granted. Respondent, on the other hand, will suffer no harm if this stay is granted. This clear error of law is exactly the situation in which a stay is proper.

26

27

1	WHEREFORE, Petitioners FOCUS FRAMING and SUN CITY ELECTRIC, respectfully
2	requests that this Court grant its Motion for Stay Pending Appeal of the matter at the time of
3	hearing.
4	DATED this day of October, 2019.
5	Respectfully submitted,
6	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
7	
8	By: DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ.
9	/Nevada Bar No. 005125
10	JOEL P. REEVES, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 013231
11	2300 W. Sahara Ave. Ste. 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
12	Phone: 702-893-3383 Fax: 702-366-9689
13	Attorneys for Petitioners
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 II	l l

1	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
2	Pursuant to Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure 5(b), I hereby certify that, on the
3	day of October, 2019, service of the attached PETITIONERS' MOTION FOR STAY
4	PENDING SUPREME COURT APPEAL AND REQUEST FOR ORDER SHORTENING
5	TIME was made this date by depositing a true copy of the same for mailing, first class mail, a
6	follows:
7	
8	Morris Anderson Law 716 S. Jones Blvd.
9	Las Vega:, NV 89107
10	Focus Framing
11	C/O Sun City Electric
12	Focus Framing C/O Sun City Electric
13	ATTN: Patty Pizano
14	1220 S. Commerce St., #120 Las Vega:, NV 89102
15	Jan Haut R
16	An employee of LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

10/11/2019 4:57 PM Steven D. Grierson **CLERK OF THE COURT OPP** 1 BIGHORN LAW 2 ALIKA K. ANGERMAN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 12933 3 716 S. Jones Blvd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 4 Phone: (702) 333-1111 Fax: (702) 507-0092 5 Alika@bighornlaw.com Attorneys for Respondent 6 **DISTRICT COURT** 7 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 FOCUS FRAMING and SUN CITY CASE NO: A-18-774772-J 10 ELECTRIC, DEPT. NO.: X 11 Petitioners, 12 v. 13 MARTIN DURAN PEREZ, and THE DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION, 14 HEARINGS DIVISION, APPEALS OFFICE, an Agency of the State of Nevada 15 Respondents. 16 17 RESPONDENT'S OPPOSITION TO PETITIONERS' MOTION FOR STAY PENDING 18 **SUPREME COURT APPEAL** 19 Respondent, MARTIN DURAN PEREZ (hereinafter "Respondent"), by and 20 through his attorney ALIKA K. ANGERMAN, ESQ., submits his Opposition to 21 Petitioners, FOCUS PLUMBING and SUN CITY ELECTRIC's (hereinafter referred to as 22 "Petitioners"), Motion for Stay Pending Supreme Court Appeal signed by the attorney on 23 October 1, 2019. 24 /// 25 /// 26 27 /// 28 ///

**Electronically Filed** 

1

3 4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

20

19

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28 **STATEMENT OF FACTS** 

On December 30, 2016, Respondent suffered an injury while in the course and scope of his employment as a laborer with Employer. Record on Appeal p. 125(hereinafter "ROA p. ____"). Respondent was upset because he believed his paycheck was short from the work conducted a week earlier while he was a member of Pedro Rosale's crew. On December 30, 2016, Respondent went to ask Mr. Pedro Rosales about his check. Respondent climbed to the roof of the house where Mr. Rosales was working. Respondent was talking to Mr. Rosales when Mr. Rosales' son intervened and pushed Respondent off of the roof. ROA p. 142. Respondent fell to the ground where he landed sustaining serious injuries to include, but not limited to "1) traumatic fall 2) Closed head injury 3) Subdural hematoma 4) Possible right 8th rib fracture" as the hospital diagnosis. <u>Id.</u> at 40. Although not working on Pedro Rosales' crew on December 30, 2016, Respondent credibly testified that if there was an issue with his check that he needed to talk to Pedro Rosales. ROA pp. 147-180.

On March 6, 2017, Employer issued a determination denying Respondent's claim. ROA p. 181-183.

On March 21, 2017, Respondent appealed Employer's claim denial determination. ROA p. 184.

On June 1, 2017, Hearing Officer Megan Trenkler issued her Decision and Order which REVERSED/REMANDED Employer's March 6, 2017 claim denial determination. ROA p. 185-186.

On June 30, 2017, Employer appealed Hearing Officer Trenkler's Decision and Order and filed a Motion for Stay Pending Appeal. ROA p. 190.

On July 17, 2017, Respondent filed an Opposition to Motion For Stay Pending Appeal.

On August 2, 2017, Employer's Motion for Stay was granted. ROA p. 192.

On February 9, 2018, the matter was heard before Appeals Officer York. The testimonies of four separate witnesses were taken: Respondent; Eduardo Leon; Nicholas Pao and Kevin Mendoza. Of note, Nicholas Pao and Kevin Mendoza were safety officers for the employer who did not witness the incident. Both Mr. Pao and Mr. Mendoza testified they arrived after the incident. Respondent testified that on the

day of the incident he received a check for work he had done the week prior when Pedro Rosales was his crew leader. ROA pp. 9-10; 13-15. Respondent testified that he believed his paycheck was low and went to Pedro to discuss his issue with the paycheck. Respondent testified that he walked to the house Pedro was working at and went upstairs to Pedro. Respondent also stated that no one was wearing safety measures. Respondent testified that at some point Pedro's son, Jose Rosales, climbed the ladder and pushed Respondent off the house.

Appeals Officer York found that Respondent was employed by Focus when, on December 30, 2016, he was assaulted and pushed off a roof of a house under construction. The circumstances of this assault lead the Appeals Officer to conclude the claim is compensable. Appeals Officer York found it was not a case where the assault and injuries were sustained through animosity and ill feelings arising from some cause entirely unrelated with the employee's company. The Appeals Officer found Respondent to have credibly testified that if there was an issue with his check that he needed to talk to Pedro Rosales. There is a clear indication that the work issue of a paycheck dispute was the catalyst which led to this unfortunate incident. [Wood v. Safeway, Inc., 121 NEV 724 121 P.3d 1026 (2005)]. ROA pp. 65-71.

On May 3, 2018, the Appeals Officer issued the subject Decision and Order. Id.

Petitioners filed a Petition for Judicial Review and this Court granted the stay.

On April 16, 2019, after reviewing briefing from both parties as well as hearing oral argument, Your Honor issued an Order affirming the Appeals Officer and denying the Petition for Judicial Review. The Notice of Entry of Order was filed on September 13, 2019.

II.

#### **ARGUMENT**

A. PETITIONERS BEAR THE BURDEN TO PROVE THAT THEY ENJOY A LIKELIHOOD OF SUCCESS ON APPEAL AND THAT APPEALS OFFICER'S DECISION IS AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION—RESPONDENT WILL MORE LIKELY SUCCEED ON THE MERITS

Petitioners' Motion for Stay is entirely devoid of law or fact, which would provide a reasonable

basis for staying the Appeals Officer's Decision and Order and said Motion should be DENIED. It is simple, the claim should be accepted on the actual injury and diagnosis.

There are two (2) main factors to consider when presented with a motion for stay: (1) the likelihood of prevailing on the merits on the appeal; and (2) whether the appellant will suffer irreparable harm if the stay is denied. Kress v. Corey, 65 Nev. 1, 17, 189 P.2d 352, 360 (1948); Christensen v. Chromalloy American Corp., 99 Nev. 34, 656 P.2d 844 (1983); Hansen v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Ct. Ex el County of Clark, 116 Nev. 650, 657, 6 P.3d 982, 986-87 (2000).

The right to appeal does not carry with it the automatic right to a stay, as the moving party solely bears the burden to prove a likelihood of success and also show that the decision of the lower agency hearing is fundamentally flawed factually or is an abuse of discretion. State ex. Rel. PS v. District Court, 94 Nev. 42, 574 P.2d 272 (1978).

Here, Petitioners are unable to meet the requirements of either the controlling statutes or the applicable case law, in essence, they cannot meet their burden. Petitioners rely upon unsworn statements, that are not percipient witnesses, other than Pedro Rosales whose statement is clearly biased towards protecting his son, Jose Rosales, the assailant in the industrial incident. At the hearing, the only person to testify for both direct and cross-examination was Respondent. Hearing Officer Trenkler heard testimony, reviewed the witness statements and found Respondent to be credible.

At the appeal hearing, Pedro Rosales and Jose Rosales failed to appear to testify. Petitioners again relied upon unsworn and unverified statements. In addition, Petitioners presented witnesses at the appeal that had no personal knowledge of the industrial incident. Petitioners' witnesses were safety officers that were not present at the time of the assault and simply interviewed Pedro Rosales after the incident. The witnesses for Petitioners allege they spoke with Respondent on the day of the incident, but Respondent denies that allegation. Mr. Pao, a witness for Petitioners, alleged he had a conversation with Respondent regarding the subject check and the appropriate procedure to follow, however, Mr. Pao admitted he needed interpreter to have the conversation, so he is unable to verify that what he allegedly said was

translated to Respondent. In addition, Mr. Pao does not provide a sufficient response as to why a worker would approach the safety manager to ask about an issue with the check.

The only witnesses that had personal knowledge of the incident and was present at the appeal was Respondent, Martin Duran Perez, and co-worker Eduardo Leon. Appeals Officer York found Respondent credible testified that that if there was an issue with his check that Respondent needed to talk to Pedro Rosales. Based off the testimony, arguments of counsel for both parties, and the evidence submitted Appeals Officer York affirmed the decision of Hearing Officer Trenkler.

### B. <u>LEGAL STANDARD OF PROOF OF A COMPENSABLE CLAIM, RESPONDENT MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS</u>

NRS 616C.150 only requires an injured worker to demonstrate that he was injured within the course and scope of his employment by preponderance of the evidence, nothing greater. To make the point on preponderance, McClanahan v. Raley's, Inc., the Nevada Supreme Court states "NRS 616C.150 does not require an injured worker to offer a greater number of expert witnesses who express opinions in his favor to establish that an injury arose. . .[r]ather 'preponderance of the evidence' merely refers to the greater weight of the evidence." 34 P.3d 573, 576 (2001).

Workers' Compensation is statutorily driven and defined. Respondent must prove, by preponderance that he was in the course and scope when an accident occurred. NRS 616A.265 defines injury as a "sudden and tangible happening" that produces an "immediate or prompt result" which is established by medical evidence.

NRS 616C.030 defines the term accident as an "unexpected or unforeseen event happening suddenly and violently, with or without human fault."

Case law, Rio All Suite Hotel & Casino v. Phillips, states that generally, "injuries caused by employment related risks are deemed to arise out of employment and are compensable." 240 P.3d 2, 5 (2010). Such as we have here, Pedro Rosales has intimate knowledge that his son, Jose Rosales, has violent tendencies and a violent history placing Respondent and others directly in harm's way.

3 4

5

8

7

111213

10

1415

1617

18

1920

2122

24

23

25

2627

28

#### 1. Course And Scope

#### a. Course and Scope

The threshold requirement in an industrial injury is that Respondent's injury must have occurred within the course and scope of employment. <u>Phillips</u>, at 5.

Course and Scope simply means that the injured worker was at work, and scheduled to be there, when the accident occurred. Here, Respondent was working at an assigned time and scheduled to be in the same construction housing complex. Respondent went to the house across the street to inquire with the foreman, Pedro Rosales, that he worked with the week prior as to why his hours were not properly reflected. Respondent's pay and hours are indeed work related. The fact that this claim was filed because of the intentional tort of Jose Rosales (Pedro's son) does not change compensability. These injuries arose out of and in the course of employment. Respondent was on the job when this incident occurred, and the injuries resulted by the assault due to work-related issues (short paycheck). Nicholas Pao, a safety manager for the employer, testified that Pedro Rosales could have made a phone call to Lucy at payroll to get the check corrected. ROA p. 35:6-24. The witness for Petitioners freely admitted Pedro Rosales could have corrected the hours on Respondent's check which is the exact reason Respondent went to speak with Pedro Rosales to begin with. Mr. Pao further stated that the crew leaders submit the times employees worked to the foreman who verify the work was done and send the paperwork to the office. ROA p. 39. Pedro Rosales was the person to verify the hours and send them to the office to generate a check. Pedro Rosales was the same person to correct any errors. Pedro Rosales had the authority to change the hours on the check. Pedro Rosales had control over the issue at dispute. The office is not going to take the word of a worker without the foreman to corroborate the claim.

#### 2. Accident

Accident is statutorily defined in NRS 616A.030 as "Accident' means an unexpected or unforeseen event happening suddenly and violently, with or without human fault, and producing at the time objective symptoms of an injury."

Clearly, Respondent did not anticipate being pushed off of a second floor of a house. Respondent wanted clarification for his hours from his foreman that he worked with as his pay is how he supports himself and family and was pushed off by someone who was not part of the conversation. Petitioners focus on Respondent allegedly not being tied off as if that negates Respondent's ability to have a compensable claim. NRS 616A.030 clearly states "Accident" means with or without human fault. The fact that Respondent may have not followed proper tie off protocol does not prevent him from recovering under Workers' Compensation.

In the instant case, Respondent meets the statutory definition requirement.

#### 3. Injury

Injury is defined in NRS 616A.265 as a sudden and tangible happening of a traumatic nature producing an immediate or prompt result which is established by medical evidence."

Here, the medical records all demonstrate Respondent suffered an injury to his head, cervical, thoracic, lumbar, abdominal and ribs. The initial physician who completed the Form C-4 diagnosed Respondent with a subdural hematoma (brain bleed) and related it as job incurred. ROA p. 125.

Petitioners bear the burden, because Respondent cannot prove a negative, under NRS 616C.175, that if it believes that Respondent has a prior condition, Petitioners, must prove that the alleged prior condition is the substantial cause for the work injury, if not, then it is a compensable claim.

Respondent must prove four (4) things: course and scope, accident, injury and notice, nothing more. Respondent suffered an injury causally related by the Form C-4 doctor related to being pushed off a roof by co-employee Jose Rosales. Even if there was a pre-existing condition, which Respondent contends there is not, the statutory requirement is met, and the burden would then shift to Petitioners to prove under NRS 616C.175, otherwise.

#### 4. Notice

Pursuant to NRS 616C.015(1), an injured employee must provide written notice of a work-related injury as soon as practicable but within 7 days after the accident. In this case, Respondent has testified

7.

that he was taken from the job site to the hospital on the day of the accident. Petitioners do not dispute that the employer was reported on the same day of the accident. Therefore, this element has been met.

Pursuant to NRS 616C.020(1), an injured employee must file a claim for compensation with the insurer within 90 days of the industrial accident. Here, the industrial accident occurred on December 30, 2016 and Respondent completed the Form C-4 on the same day. Clearly, Respondent completed the claim for compensation within 90 days of the industrial accident. Therefore, this element has been met.

In the instant claim, Respondent meets the statutory notice requirements.

#### C. RESPONDENT WILL SUFFER MORE HARM THAN PETITIONERS

Based on NRS 616C.345, the Appellant's filing of an appeal does not automatically stay the enforcement of the decision of the hearings officer.

NRS 616C.345(4) provides in part:

Except as otherwise provided in NRS 616C.380, the filing of a notice of appeal does not automatically stay the enforcement of the decision of a hearing officer or a determination rendered pursuant to NRS 616C.305. The appeals officer may order a stay, when appropriate, upon the application of a party. If such an application is submitted, the decision is automatically stayed until a determination is made concerning the application. A determination on the application must be made within 30 days after the filing of the application. If a stay is not granted by the officer after reviewing the application, the decision must be complied with within 10 days after the date of the refusal to grant a stay.

(emphasis added).

In Kress v. Corey, the Nevada Supreme Court stated:

As a rule a supersedeas or stay should be granted . . . whenever . . . it is reasonably necessary to protect appellant or **plaintiff** in error **from irreparable or serious injury** in the case of reversal, and it does not appear that appellee or defendant in error will sustain irreparable or disproportionate injury in case of affirmance.

Kress, 65 Nev. at 17, 189 P.2d at 360.

Furthermore, the Nevada Supreme Court has recognized that a stay should only be granted where it can be shown that the Appellant would suffer irreparable injury during the pendency of the appeal, if the stay were not granted. *See* White Pine Power v. Public Service Commission, 76 Nev. 263 (1960). Appellants cannot meet that burden.

Indeed, it is Respondent in this case, not Petitioners, who will sustain the greatest harm in the

event that the instant stay is granted as it will serve its purpose of delaying medical treatment of a closed head injury with a 7mm subdermal hematoma, fractured rib, abdominal injury and injuries to the cervical, thoracic, and lumbar spine. The full extent of Respondent's injuries is unknown as Respondent has not been able to treat since the claim was denied. Petitioners argue that since Respondent has no scheduled medical treatment that he would not be harmed by a stayed. However, this argument is disingenuous as Petitioners has denied care and benefits since the day it issued claim denial on March 6, 2017. Petitioners has prevented Respondent from seeking care through the Workers' Compensation system and Respondent is financially unable to seek medical care outside of Workers' Compensation. Respondent has not been released from care by his treating physician, but rather has been prevented from seeking additional care because of the claim denial by Petitioners and the Stay imposed by the Appeal Officer prior to the hearing of the appeal and the District Court judge prior to the hearing of the Petition for Judicial Review. It has been two years since Respondent was allowed to treat for his injuries. Every day that Respondent is without treatment is a day Respondent is harmed.

Petitioners intentionally misleading the Court when it states that the only harm Respondent will suffer is retroactive benefits when in reality Respondents health has been neglected due to the denial of care by Petitioners and denial of financial benefits by Petitioners which prevent Respondent from seeking care on his own. Further, Respondent has met his burden and proven he has a compensable claim several times over. The Hearing Officer and Appeals Officer found Respondent had a compensable claim. In addition, the District Court denied Petitioners' Petition for Judicial Review. Respondent has prevailed at every level and it is still being denied workers' compensation benefits. While Respondent cannot prevent Petitioners from filing an appeal, Respondent can pray that this Court denies Petitioners' Motion for Stay and allow Respondent to receive the treatment and benefits he has proven time and time again that he is entitled to receive.

Respondent is entitled to Temporary Total Disability benefits that he has not received due to the claim denial and imposition of the Stays. Respondent has been without Workers' Compensation benefits

for over two years. Petitioners' carelessly argue Respondent will receive those benefits if he prevails after the Appeal. However, that is exactly what Petitioners' argued when it filed its Motion for Stay for Pending the Petition for Judicial Review. As the Court is well aware, the Court granted the Stay and later denied the Petition for Judicial Review. Unfortunately, Respondent did not receive the benefits despite prevailing. Instead, Petitioners filed another appeal and another Motion making the same insincere argument. Meanwhile, it is Respondent who is without a steady income during the pendency of the litigation. It is Respondent who needs the benefits for his well-being and his family's well-being. Respondent cannot continue to put his life on hold and wait for this appeal to be heard to receive the benefits he has proven he is entitled to receive.

There is nothing more crippling and harmful than denying medical attention and care. There can be nothing more harmful and irreparable than the loss of one's own wellbeing.

Here, it is Petitioners who bear the burden to this Court to prove **both** elements, success on appeal and that it will suffer more harm than Respondent. Respondent met his burden at the hearing and again at the appeal and most recently at District Court.

Petitioners have the burden of meeting established guidelines for it to be successful on its motion for stay. Comparing the harm that will allegedly be suffered by Petitioners to the harm being suffered by Respondent right now does not meet the standard of review set forth in Kress v. Corey, 65 Nev. 1, 189 P. 2d 352 (1948).

/// /// ///

///

///

III. **CONCLUSION** Based upon the foregoing, this Court cannot properly, and in the interest of justice, grant Petitioner's Motion for Stay. Wherefore, Respondent, respectfully requests that this Court deny the Stay. Dated this 11th day of October, 2019. **BIGHORN LAW** /s/Alikea K. Angerman ALIKA K. ANGERMAN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 12933 716 S. Jones Blvd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 Attorneys for Respondent Phone: (702) 333-1111 Fax: (702) 507-0092 Attorneys for Respondent 11.

#### **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING** Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of BIGHORN LAW, and that on this date of October 11, 2019, I duly deposited for mailing at Las Vegas, Nevada, a true copy of the within and foregoing Respondent's Opposition to Petitioners' Motion for Stay Pending Supreme Court Appeal, addressed to the following: Daniel Schwartz, Esq. Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP 2300 W. Sahara Suite 300, Box 28 Las Vegas, NV 89102 Focus Framing/Plumbing C/O Sun City Electric 1220 S. Commerce Street Suite 120 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 /s/Nilly Shama An Employee of Bighorn Law 12.

**Electronically Filed** 10/14/2019 4:34 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 NOAS DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. 2 Nevada B.r No. 005125 JOEL P. L'EEVES, ESQ. 3 Nevada Par No. 013231 LEWIS B USBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 2300 W. Sahara Ave. Ste. 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Telephone: 702-893-3383 Facsimile 702-366-9689 6 Email: da iiel.schwartz@lewisbrisbois.com Attorneys for Petitioners Focus Framing and 8 Sun City I lectric 9 DISTRICT COURT 10 11 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 12 FOCUS FRAMING and SUN CITY ELECTRIC, CASE NO.: A-18-774772-J 13 Petitioners, DEPT. NO.: X 14 v. 15 MARTIN DURAN PEREZ. and THE 16 DEPART MENT OF ADMINISTRATION, HEARINGS DIVISION, APPEALS OFFICE, 17 an Agency of the State of Nevada, 18 19 Respondents. 20 21 **NOTICE OF APPEAL** 22 TO: MARTIN DURAN PEREZ 23 T(): ALIKA ANGERMAN 24 NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Petitioners FOCUS FRAMING and SUN CITY 25 ELECTR'C, (hereinafter referred to as the "Petitioners"), in the above-entitled action, hereby 26 appeal to the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada from the attached "Order" entered in this 27 28 

Case Number: A-18-774772-J

1	action on or about July 3, 2019 which denied Petitioners' Petition for Judicial Review and the
2	"Notice o Entry of Order" filed on or about September 13, 2019.
3	
4	DATED this day of October, 2019.
5	Respectfully submitted,
6	LEWIS BRISBØIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
7	
8	By:
9	DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. Newada Bar No. 005125
11	JOEL P. REEVES, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 013231
12	2300 W. Sahara Ave. Ste. 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
13	Phone: 702-893-3383 Fax: 702-366-9689
14	Attorneys for Petitioners
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	·
23	
24 25	
26	
27	
28	

4811-3180-17€). 22047-10

#### DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

### AFFIRMATION Pursuant to NRS 239B.030

3	Pursuant to NRS 239B.030			
4	The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document,			
5				
6	NOTICE OF APPEAL			
7	filed in case number: A-18-774772-J			
8	Document does not contain the Social Security number of any person.			
9				
10	- OR -			
11	□ Document contains the Social Security number of a person as required by:			
	☐ A specific state or federal law, to wit:			
12				
13	- or -			
14	☐ For the administration of a public program			
15	- or -			
16	☐ For an application for a federal or state grant			
17				
18	- or -			
19	Confidential Family Court Information Sheet (NRS 125.130, NRS 125.230 and NRS 125B.055)			
20				
21	Date: 10/19/19			
22	(Signature)			
	DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. (Print Name)			
23				
24	PETITIONERS (Attorney for)			
25				
26				

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW 27

28

1

2

4819-3311-94(1.1 / 33947-19

#### **LIST OF EXHIBITS**

**EXHIBI** I: Notice of Entry of Order, dated 09/13/19

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

4819-3311-94(1.1 / 33947-19

### **EXHIBIT I**

LEWIS 28
BRISBOIS
BISGAARD
& SMITH LIP
ATTORNE'S AT LAW

4819-3311-94(1.1 / 33947-19

Electronically Filed
9/13/2019 11:57 AM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

NEOJ
ALIK I K. ANGERMAN, ESQ.
Nevad. Bar No. 12933
BIGH DRN LAW
716 S. Jones Blvd.
Las Vegas, Nevada 89107
Tel: (702) 333-1111
Email: Alika@BighornLaw.com
Attorn sys for Respondent, Martin Duran Perez

# DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

FOCUS FRAMING and SUN CITY
ELECTRIC,

Petitioners,

CASE NO: A-18-774772-J

V.

DEPT. NO:X

MARTIN DURAN PEREZ, and THE DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION HEAR NGS DIVISION, APPEALS OFFICE, an Agency of the State of Nevada

Respondents.

## NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER DENYING THE PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an Order Denying Petition for Judicial Review was entered

in favo of Respondents on April 16, 2019 by the above-entitled court.

22 ||//

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23 || /

24 | / /

25

26 /

27 28

 $\parallel / / \cdot$ 

Page 1 of 3

Case Number: A-18-774772-J

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

A copy of said Order is attached hereto.

**DATED** this 13th day of September, 2019.

#### **BIGHORN LAW**

By: /s/ Alika K. Angerman_ ALIKA K. ANGERMAN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 12933 716 S. Jones Blvd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 Attorneys for Respondent

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	Pursuant to NRCP 5, NEFCR 9 and EDCR 8.05, I hereby certify that I am an employee
3	of BIGHORN LAW, and on September 13, 2019, I served a copy of the foregoing <b>NOTICE OF</b>
5	ENTRY OF ORDER DENYING THE PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW as follows:
6	Electronic Service – By serving a copy thereof through the Court's electronic service system
8	U.S. Mail—By depositing a true copy thereof in the U.S. mail, first class postage prepaid and addressed as listed below; and/or
10 11 12 13	Facsimile—By facsimile transmission pursuant to EDCR 7.26 to the facsimile number(s) shown below and in the confirmation sheet filed herewith. Consent to service under NRCP 5(b)(2)(D) shall be assumed unless an objection to service by facsimile transmission is made in writing and sent to the sender via facsimile within 24 hours of receipt of this Certificate of Service; and/or
14 15 16 17	LEWI'S BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH JOEL REEVES, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 13231 2300 V/. Sahara Ave. Suite 300, Box 28 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 E-SERVICE Attorne ys for Petitioner
18 19 20 21	FOCUS FRAMING/PLUMBING C/O Sun City Electric 1220 S Commerce Street Suite 120 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Via US Postal Mailing
22	
23	By:/s/ Eva G. Dhimi
24	An employee of BIGHORN LAW
25	
26	
27	

# **EXHIBIT 1**

		Electronically Filed 7/2/2019 12:28 PM Steven D. Grierson
1	ORDR	CLERK OF THE COURT
2	BIGHORN LAW ALIKA K. ANGERMAN, ESQ.	Chumb, Stru
3	716 S. Jones Blvd Las Vegas, NV 89107	
4	alika@bighornlaw.com Phone: (702) 333-1111	
5	Fax: (702) 507-0092 Attorneys for Petitioner	
6		DICT COURT
7		RICT COURT
8	CLARK C	OUNTY, NEVADA
9		* * * * *
	FOCUS FRAMING and SUN CITY ELECTRIC,	CASE NO.: A-18-774772-J
10	Petitioners.	DEPT. NO.: X
11	▼.	
12	MARTIN DURAN PEREZ, and THE	
13	DEPARTMENT OF	
14	ADMIN ISTRATION, HEARINGS DIVISION, APPEALS OFFICE, an	
15	Agency of the State of Nevada	
16	Respondents.	
17		•
18	ORDER DENYING THE PI	ETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW
19	After careful review and consideration	n of the papers and pleadings on file herein:
20	THE COURT ORDERS the Appeals Officer	Decision and Order be AFFIRMED and the Petition
21	for Judicial review is DENIED.	
22	DATED this //e_ day of	Apri/2019.
23		$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}}}}$
24		District Court Judge
- •		Tierra Jones
The state of the s		
		<del>"</del>

#### 1 **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING** Pursuant to Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure 5(b), I hereby certify that, on the 14th day of 2 3 October, 2019, service of the foregoing NOTICE OF APPEAL was made this date by depositing a 4 true copy of the same for mailing, first class mail, as follows: 5 Bighorn Law 716 S. Jones Blvd. 6 Las Vega:, NV 89107 7 Focus Framing C/O Sun City Electric 8 Focus Fra ning C/O Sun City Electric ATTN: Patty Pizano 10 1220 S. Commerce St., #120 Las Vega, NV 89102 11 12 13 14 An employee of LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH ILP 25

26

27

28

4819-3311-94(1.1 / 33947-19

Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 **ASTA** DANIEL J. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. Nevada B ir No. 005125 JOEL P. REEVES, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 013231 LEWIS B VISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 2300 W. Sahara Ave. Ste. 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 5 Telephone: 702-893-3383 Facsimile 702-366-9689 Email: da iiel.schwartz@lewisbrisbois.com 6 Attorneys for Petitioners Focus Fre ming and 7 Sun City Hectric 8 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 FOCUS FRAMING and SUN CITY ELECTRIC, 10 CASE NO.: A-18-774772-J 11 Petitioners, DEPT. NO.: X 12 v. 13 MARTIN DURAN PEREZ. and THE DEPART MENT OF ADMINISTRATION, HEARINGS DIVISION, APPEALS OFFICE, an Agency of the State of Nevada, 15 16 Respondents.. 17 CASE APPEAL STATEMENT 18 1. Name of Petitioners filing this case appeal statement: 19 Fe cus Framing and Sun City Electric 20 2. Identify the Judge issuing the decision, judgment, or order appealed from: 21 Hon. Tierra Jones, District Court Judge 22 3. Identify all parties to the proceedings in the district court (the use of et al. to denote 23 24 parties is prohibited): 25 Figure 1 Figure 1 Figure 1 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Figure 2 Fig 26 27 28

BRISBOIS
BISGAARD
& SMITH LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

4849-1310-685 7.1 / 33947-19

Electronically Filed 10/14/2019 4:36 PM

1	4. Identify all parties involved in this appeal (the use of et al. to denote parties is			
2	prohibite():			
3	Fecus Framing, Sun City Electric, and Martin Duran Perez			
4	5. Set forth the name, law firm, address, and telephone number of all counsel on			
5	appeal and identify the party or parties whom they represent:			
6 7	DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. JOEL P. REEVES, ESQ. LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP			
8	2300 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 300, Box 28 Li s Vegas, Nevada 89102-4375			
9	Attorneys for Petitioners Focus Framing and Si n City Electric			
10 11	ALIKA K. ANGERMAN, ESQ.			
12	BIGHORN LAW 716 S. Jones Blvd.			
13	Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 Attorney for Respondent			
14	Murtin Duran Perez			
15	6. Indicate whether Petitioners were represented by appointed or retained counsel in			
16	the district court:			
17	Petitioners were represented by retained counsel in the District Court.			
18	7. Indicate whether Respondent was represented by appointed or retained counsel in			
19	the district court:			
20	Respondent was represented by retained counsel in the District Court.			
21	8. Indicate whether Petitioners are represented by appointed or retained counsel on			
22	appeal:			
23	Petitioners are represented by retained counsel on appeal.			
24	9. Indicate whether Respondent is represented by appointed or retained counsel on			
25				
26	appeal:			
27	Respondent is represented by retained counsel on appeal.			
28				

Indicate whether Petitioners were granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and the date of entry of the district court order granting such leave:

#### Petitioners were not granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis.

Indicate whether Respondent was granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and the date of entry of the district court order granting such leave:

#### Respondent was not granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis.

12. Indicate the date the proceedings commenced in the district court (e.g., date complaint, indictment, information, or petition was filed):

The Petition for Judicial Review of the Appeals Officer's Decision of May 3, 2018 was filed on May 18, 2018.

Provide a brief description of the nature of the action and result in the district court, including the type of judgment or order being appealed and the relief granted by the district court:

This is a workers' compensation case. On December 30, 2016, the Respondent, MARTIN DURAN PEREZ (hereinafter referred to as "Respondent") arrived to work and was upset about an allegedly short paycheck for a period where he was working under a supervisor named Pedro. Respondent's Safety Manager, Nicholas Pao, informed Respondent that the proper way to resolve his paycheck issue was to speak with payroll at the end of the day and they would help him. Despite Mr. Pao's instruction, Respondent left his job site and walked to another job site where Pedro was working. Respondent then scaled a house frame, failed to attach any protective gear, and then proceeded to argue with Pedro about the check for approximately ten (10) minutes. Then, unfortunately, Pedro's son got involved in the argument and pushed Respondent off the house frame, causing injury to Respondent. A Criminal Complaint was issued against Pedro's son, Jose Rosales.

On March 6, 2017, Petitioners denied Respondent's claim for worker's compensation benefits based on the fact that Respondent's injuries were unrelated to his employment. Respondent appealed

On June 1, 2017, following Hearing No. 1710955-MT, the Hearing Officer issued a Decision and Order reversing the March 6, 2017 determination denying the claim. Petitioners filed a timely appeal. In addition, the Petitioners filed a Motion for a Stay of the Hearing Officer's decision, which was granted.

On February 9, 2018, this case came on for hearing before the Appeals Officer. The testimonies of four separate witnesses were taken: Respondent; Respondent's brother-in-law; and two safety directors for Employer (Nicholas Pao and Kevin Mendoza).

On May 3, 2018, the Appeals Officer issued the subject Decision and Order reversing claim detial. Of note, the Decision and Order makes no mention whatsoever of any of the testimony given. Nor does it comment on the credibility of any witness.

Petitioners filed the instant Petition for Judicial Review contesting the May 3, 2018

Appeals Officer's Decision and Order and the District Court granted a request for a stay.

On July 2, 2019, the District Court issued an Order Denying the Petition for Judicial Review. The Notice of Entry of Order was filed on September 13, 2019.

Indicate whether the case has previously been the subject of an appeal to or original writ proceeding in the Supreme Court and, if so, the caption and Supreme Court docket number of the prior proceeding:

No.

15. Indicate whether this appeal involves child custody or visitation:

No.

1	16.	If this is a civil case, indicate whether this appeal involves the possibility of
2	settlemen:	
3	No.	
4		DATED this day of October, 2019.
5		Respectfully submitted,
6	·	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
7		
8		
9		By: DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ.
10 11		DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. JOEL P. REEVES, ESQ. LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH, LLP 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 300, Box 28 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
12		Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Attorneys for Petitioners
13		1 moneys for 1 entioners
14		
15	-	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	·	
24		
25		
26		
27	, ;	
28		

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

| | 4849-1310-685 7.1 / 33947-19

#### DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

2	CLARK COUNTI, NEVADA			
3	<u>AFFIRMATION</u> Pursuant to NRS 239B.030			
4				
5	The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document,			
6	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT			
7	CASE TH TEAE STATEMENT			
8	filed in case number: A-18-774772-J			
9	☐ Document does not contain the Social Security number of any person.			
10				
11	- OR -			
12	☐ Document contains the Social Security number of a person as required by:			
13	☐ A specific state or federal law, to wit:			
14				
15	- or -			
16	☐ For the administration of a public program			
17	- or -			
18	☐ For an application for a federal or state grant			
19	- or -			
20	Confidential Family Court Information Sheet (NRS 125.130, NRS 125.230 and NRS 125B.055)			
21	10/10/10			
22	Date: (V) (V)			
23	(Signature)			
24	DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. (Print Name)			
25	PETITIONERS			
26	(Attorney for)			

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW 27

28

1

4849-1310-6857.1 / 33947-19

**Electronically Filed** 10/16/2019 3:51 PM Steven D. Grierson **CLERK OF THE COURT NOCB** 1 DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 005125 JOEL P. I EEVES, ESQ. 3 Nevada Bar No. 013231 LEWIS B USBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 2300 W. Sahara Ave. Ste. 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Telephone: 702-893-3383 Facsimile: 702-366-9689 Email: da iiel.schwartz@lewisbrisbois.com Attorneys for Petitioners Focus Framing and Sun City Electric 8 9 10 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 11 FOCUS FRAMING and SUN CITY ELECTRIC, 12 CASE NO.: A-18-774772-J Petitioners, 13 DEPT. NO.: X 14 v. MARTIN DURAN PEREZ, and THE DEPART MENT OF ADMINISTRATION, HEARINGS DIVISION, APPEALS OFFICE, 16 an Agency of the State of Nevada, 17 18 Respondents. 19 **NOTICE OF FILING BOND** 20 NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Petitioners, FOCUS FRAMING and SUN CITY 21 ELECTR C, by and through their attorneys, DANIEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. of LEWIS 22 BRISBOI'S BISGAARD & SMITH LLP, deposited with the Clerk of this Court, in 23 24 25 26 27 28

ERISBOIS ESGAARD & SMITHLLP ATORNESATLAW

4852-3593-715 3.1 / 33947-19

compliance with the NRAP Rule 7, a check in the amount of \$500.00 for security, which was hand delivered to the Eight Judicial District Court.  DATED this day of October, 2019.
Respectfully submitted,
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
By: DAMEL L. SCHWARTZ, ESQ.
JOEL P. REEVES, ESQ. JOEL P. REEVES, ESQ. LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH, LLP 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 300, Box 28 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Attorneys for Petitioners
Anomeys for retitioners

LEWIS ERISEOIS EISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATDRNEYSATLAW

| | 4852-3593-7193.1 / 33947-19

#### 1 **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING** Pursuant to Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure 5(b), I hereby certify that, on the 2 3 day of October, 2019, service of the foregoing NOTICE OF FILING BOND was made 4 this date by depositing a true copy of the same for mailing, first class mail, as follows: 5 Bighorn Law 716 S. Jones Blvd. Las Vegas, NV 89107 Focus Framing C/O Sun City Electric 8 Focus Framing C/O Sun City Electric ATTN: Patty Pizano 1220 S. Commerce St., #120 Las Vegas, NV 89102 11 12 13 14 An employee of LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 **26** 27

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITHLIP 28

4852-3593-7193.1 / 33947-19

## REPRINTED RECEIPT District Court Clerk of the Court 200 Lewis Ave, 3rd Floor Las Vegas, NV 89101

Payor Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP

Receipt No. 2019-62754-CCCLK

Transaction Date 10/15/2019

			10/13/2019
Description			Amount Paid
On Behalf Of Focus Framing A-18-774772-J Focus Framing, Petitioner(s) vs. Martin Dur	ran Perez, Respondent(	s)	
Appeal Bond			500.00
Appeal Bond SUBTOTAL			500.00 <b>500.00</b>
		PAYMENT TOTAL	500.00
		Check (Ref #14279) Tendered Total Tendered Change	500.00 500.00 0.00
10/15/2019 12:28 PM	Cashier Station AIKO	Audit 37225686	

REPRINTED RECEIPT

### DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Worker's Compensation Appeal COURT MINUTES October 17, 2019

A-18-774772-J Focus Framing, Petitioner(s)
vs.
Martin Duran Perez, Respondent(s)

October 17, 2019 09:30 AM Petitioners' Motion for Stay Pending Supreme Court Appeal and

Request for Order Shortening Time

HEARD BY: Jones, Tierra COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14B

COURT CLERK: Thomas, Kathy RECORDER: Boyd, Victoria

**REPORTER:** 

PARTIES PRESENT:

Alika K Angerman Attorney for Respondent

Joel Reeves Attorney for Petitioner

#### **JOURNAL ENTRIES**

Court noted the Motion for Stay and the responses had been reviewed. Mr. Reeves submitted on the pleadings and referred to the equities of change. Colloquy regarding not being able to receive medical treatment of the issues. Mr. Angerman noted it had been three years since his clients injury and not received medical because of this case. Further arguments by Counsel. Court finds there is no showing on the likelihood of prevailing on the merits on the appeal and ORDERED, Motion for Stay, DENIED.

Printed Date: 10/18/2019 Page 1 of 1 Minutes Date: October 17, 2019

**Prepared by: Kathy Thomas**