IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

HARVEST MANAGEMENT SUB LLC, Petitioner,

VC

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE LINDA MARIE BELL,

Respondents,

APONIM MORCAN

AARON M. MORGAN; AND DAVID E. LUJAN,

Real Parties in Interest.

No. 80837

FILED

AUG 1 3 2020

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER

The motions of real party in interest Aaron M. Morgan for third and fourth extensions of time, until July 20, 2020, to file an answer to the petition for a writ of prohibition or mandamus are granted. The answer was filed on July 21, 2020. However, review of that answer reveals that it is improper. The answer purports to be a combined answer and crosspetition for a writ of mandamus. This court's rules do not provide for such a filing. Moreover, the document exceeds the page limitation for answers and writs and does not contain a complete certificate of compliance. See NRAP 21(d) (as amended effective June 8, 2020). Accordingly, the clerk shall strike the combined answer and cross-petition filed on July 21, 2020.

Morgan shall have 7 days from the date of this order to file and serve an amended answer that complies with the page or type-volume

And the second of the last

¹The motion for a fourth extension is titled as a supplement to the motion for a third extension.

limitations of NRAP 21(d). The answer shall also include a certificate of compliance that comports with NRAP 32(a)(9). See NRAP 21(e). Morgan shall have 7 days from the date of this order to file and serve any petition for writ of mandamus or prohibition. The petition must comply with the requirements of NRAP 21, and be accompanied by a filing fee. See NRAP 21(g). Any such petition shall be docketed separately. Given this order, no action will be taken on the July 28, 2020, stipulation for an extension of time to file a reply.

It is so ORDERED.

Pickering, C.J.

cc: Bailey Kennedy Richard Harris Law Firm David E. Lujan Claggett & Sykes Law Firm