IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

FREDERICK H. HARRIS, JR.,)	Electronically Filed Oct 29 2020 11:33 a.m. Elizabeth A. Brown
# 1149356,)	CASE NO.: 81257CR1255f Supreme Court
Appellant,)	E-FILE
)	D.C. Case No.: A-18-784704-W
VS.)	C-13-291374-1
)	Dept.: XII
STATE OF NEVADA,)	
)	
Respondent.)	
)	

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME IV

Appeal from a Denial of Post Conviction Relief Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada

TERRENCE M. JACKSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 000854 Law Office of Terrence M. Jackson 624 South 9th Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 386-0001 terry.jackson.esq@gmail.com

STEVEN B. WOLFSON Nevada Bar No. 001565 Clark County District Attorney 200 E. Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 671-2750 steven.wolfson@clarkcountyda.com

AARON D. FORD Nevada Bar No. 007704 Nevada Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701

Counsel for Appellant

Counsel for Respondent

MASTER INDEX

Case No's.: 81255, 81257

Document (file stamp date in parenthesis) Vol		Page No.	
Amended Judgment of Conviction-Jury Trial (11-14-2016	X	2474 - 2479	
Clerk's Certificate of Judgment (11-28-2017)		2485 - 2486	
Defendant's Reply to State's Response to Motion for New	V		
Trial (07-09-2014)	I	0102 - 0116	
D efendant's Motion for New Trial (04-28-2014)	I	0081 - 0087	
Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law & Order			
[heard 4/23/2020] (05-21-2020)	. XI	2567 - 2598	
Information: Case No. C-13-291374-1 (07-23-2013)	. I	0001 - 0015	
Instructions to the Jury (04-11-2014)	. I	0016 - 0069	
Judgement of Conviction, Jury Trial (11-02-2015)	I	0119 - 0124	
Motion to Consolidate Supreme Court Case 81255 with			
Case 81257 (07-13-2020, 1:25 p.m.)	XI	2602 - 2604	
Motion to Consolidate Supreme Court Case 81257 with			
Case 81255 (07-13-2020, 1:27 p.m.)	XI	2605 - 2606	
Notice of Appeal to Supreme Court of Nevada (10-27-20	15) I	0117 - 0118	

Notice of Appeal to Supreme Court of Nevada (05-27-2020)	XI	2599 - 2600
Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and		
Order (05-28-2020)	XI	2601
O rder of Affirmance [NSC 69093](05-24-2017)	X	2480 - 2484
Order Consolidating Appeals (07-28-2020)	XI	<u>2618</u>
Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus [PC] (11-16-2018)	X	2490 - 2497
R emittitur: NSC 69093 (12-07-2017)	X	2487 - 2489
Reply to State's Response to Supplemental Post Conviction Pe	etition	
for WHC [heard 4/23/2020] (04-10-2020)	XI	2561 - 2566
Supplemental Points & Authorities in support of Writ of Habea	as	
Corpus for Post Conviction Relief (11-01-2019)	X	2498 - 2500
Supplemental Points & Authorities in support of Writ of Habea	as	
Corpus for Post Conviction Relief (11-01-2019)	XI	2501 - 2528
State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for New Trial with		
Exhibits [heard 6/19/2014] (06-13-2014)		0088 - 0101
State's Response to Petitioner's Supplemental Post Conviction		
Petition for WHC [heard 4/23/2020] (04-06-2020)	XI	2529 - 2560
Transcript: Evidentiary Hearing re: Defendant's Motion for Ne	ew	
Trial [heard 11/24/2014] (12-30-2015)	X	2381 - 2440

Transcript: Evidentiary Hearing re: Defendant's Motion for New

2441 - 2461 Transcript: Hearing re: Post Conviction Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus [heard 4/23/2020] (07-15-2020) XI **2607** - 2614 Transcript: Hearing re: Confirmation of Counsel and Petition for WHC [heard 6/20/2019] (07-15-2020) XI **2615 -** 2617 Transcript: Sentencing [heard 10/27/2015] (12-30-2015) X **2462** - 2473 Transcript, Jury Trial - Day 1[heard 3/25/2014](12-30-2015). I 0125 - 0249 Transcript, Jury Trial - Day 1[heard 3/25/2014](12-30-2015). II **0250 -** 0309 Transcript, Jury Trial - Day 2[heard 3/26/2014](12-30-2015). III **0310** - 0500 Transcript, Jury Trial - Day 2[heard 3/26/2014](12-30-2015). III **0501**- 0557 Transcript, Jury Trial - Day 3[heard 3/27/2014] (12-30-2015). III **0558** - 0733 Transcript, Jury Trial - Day 4[heard 3/31/2014] (12-30-2015). III **0734** - 0749 Transcript, Jury Trial - Day 4[heard 3/31/2014] (12-30-2015). IV **0750** - 0880 Transcript, Jury Trial - Day 5[heard 4/1/2014] (12-30-2015). IV **0881** - 1000 Transcript, Jury Trial - Day 5[heard 4/1/2014] (12-30-2015). V **1001 -** 1048 Transcript, Jury Trial - Day 6[heard 4/2/2014] (12-30-2015). V **1049 -** 1250 Transcript, Jury Trial - Day 7 [heard 4/3/2014] (12-30-2015). VI **1251 -** 1433

. . .

Transcript, Jury Trial - Day 8 [heard 4/4/2014] (12-30-2015). VI **1434** - 1501 Transcript, Jury Trial - Day 8 [heard 4/4/2014] (12-30-2015). VII **1502 -** 1687 Transcript, Jury Trial - Day 9 [heard 4/7/2014] (12-30-2015). VII**1688** - 1750 Transcript, Jury Trial - Day 9 [heard 4/7/2014] (12-30-2015). VIII 1751 - 1878 Transcript, Jury Trial -Day 10 [heard 4/9/2014] (12-30-2015). VIII 1879 - 1998 Transcript, Jury Trial -Day 10 [heard 4/9/2014] (12-30-2015). IX **1999 -** 2055 Transcript, Jury Trial -Day 11 [heard 4/10/2014] (12-30-2015). IX **2056** - 2235 Transcript, Jury Trial -Day 12 [heard 4/11/2014] (12-30-2015). IX **2236** - 2250 Transcript, Jury Trial -Day 12 [heard 4/11/2014] (12-30-2015). X **2251** - 2367 Transcript, Jury Trial -Day 14 [heard 4/15/2014] (12-30-2015). X **2368** - 2380 Verdict (04-15-2014) **0070** - 0080

. . .

. . .

. . .

. . .

. . .

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am an assistant to Terrence M. Jackson, Esquire, am a person competent to serve papers and not a party to the above-entitled action and on the 29th day of October, 2020, I served copy of the foregoing: Appellant, Frederick H. Harris', Opening Brief as well as Volumes I through XI of the Appendix, as follows:

[X] Via Electronic Service to the Nevada Supreme Court, to the Eighth Judicial District Court, and to the Nevada Attorney General by U.S. mail with first class postage affixed to the Petitioner/Appellant as follows:

STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Steven. Wolfson@clarkcountyda.com AARON D. FORD Nevada Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, NV 89701

JAMES R. SWEETIN Chief Deputy D. A. - Criminal james.sweetin@clarkcountyda.com

FREDERICK H. HARRIS, JR. ID # 1149356 Lovelock Correctional Center 1200 Prison Road Lovelock, Nevada 89419

By: <u>/s/Ila C. Wills</u> Assistant to Terrence M. Jackson, Esq.

25

Q

Understood. However, Fred does believe in corporal

1	punishment; is that correct?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Okay. And you are aware of instances in which he
4	disciplined your children for various things that he thought
5	were outside the rules; is that correct?
6	A Correct.
7	(Pause in the proceedings)
8	MR. MacARTHUR: All right. State, I'm going to
9	approach with voluntary statement, page 10.
10	Permission to approach, Your Honor?
11	THE COURT: You may. What are you approaching
12	MR. MacARTHUR: Would you like can I approach
13	throughout the examination
14	THE COURT: Sure.
15	MR. MacARTHUR: or would you like me to ask you.
16	THE COURT: Sure. Just tell me what you're
17	approaching with.
18	MR. MacARTHUR: I'm approaching with page 10 of the
19	voluntary statement
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	MR. MacARTHUR: given from September of 2012.
22	THE COURT: Thank you.
23	BY MR. MacARTHUR:
24	Q If you would, Ms. Duke, please reference that page.
25	I've highlighted the areas that I'm going to question you
and the second s	18

- about. Just let me know when you're ready.
- A Okay.

- Q Does that refresh your recollection as to what you said to the Metropolitan Police detectives in September 2012?
 - A Yes.
- Q Okay. And didn't you in fact tell them that he began forcing you to prostitute in 2007 when you came back from Utah?
 - A Yes.
- Q Now, this is the interview where you told Ms. Luzaich that you were being more honest; is that correct?
- A Yes.
- Q Now, thinking back to 2007 when you were in Utah, I believe your testimony yesterday was that one of the reasons that you returned to Las Vegas was that you believed you could make twice as much money being a housekeeper here as you could make in Utah. Is that -- is that fair?
- 18 A Yes.
 - Q Okay. Now, given your testimony yesterday that Fred had forced you to work as a prostitute and that he had beaten you, why would you want to return to Las Vegas and be back in his orbit in 2007? Does that make sense? Was that question confusing?
 - A No, it's not.
- Q Why did you want to come back to Las Vegas in 2007

and be back in proximity to Fred if he was forcing you to work as a prostitute and if he had beat you?

A He said I could make more money than, you know, what I was making in Utah. And when I came -- when I first started, when that first happened -- I don't know how to say this, but when I came back to Las Vegas in 2007 then he started just being -- I don't know how to put it -- just being mean, just being hateful, just mean, hateful. Just being -- I don't know how to say it.

Q I think I understand what you're saying. However, when you came to Las Vegas you said that your four youngest children lived with he and Lealer at Blankenship; is that correct?

A Yes, correct.

Q And you let your four children live with him despite the fact that you thought he was mean?

A When we first arrived he said, you know, I can help you get a job, you know, I can help you with you and your family, I have Ann to help you in the house, I have a house. So I'm thinking everything's going to be better, everything's going to be all right. But no.

Q Okay. Whose idea was it for your four youngest children to live at Blankenship?

A It was Fred's idea. And Ann.

Q So Fred wanted your children to live with him and

Ann at Blankenship is your recollection? 1 2 Α Yes. 3 Do you recall -- Court's indulgence. Make sure I 0 get the agency right. 5 Do you recall telling Detective Madsen of the 6 Henderson Police Department --7 MS. LUZAICH: Objection. Detective Madsen doesn't 8 work for Henderson Police Department. 9 MR. MacARTHUR: I can only read off of what's on the 10 Whether he does or not -paper. 11 MS. LUZAICH: I understand. But --MR. MacARTHUR: You gave me this document, so 0 12 13 MS. LUZAICH: He doesn't work for the Henderson 14 Police Department. 15 MR. MacARTHUR: Okay. I'll rephrase the question, 16 then. 17 THE COURT: Thank you. BY MR. MacARTHUR: 18 19 Do you recall telling Detective Madsen, without 20 regard to what agency he may or may not work for, in 2011, this is December 2011, do you remember telling him that it was 21 22 your idea that your children should live with Fred and Lealer 23 at Blankenship? 24 Α When I agreed with them I said it was like his --25 his first idea, the main person idea, it was Fred and Ann.

And I agreed. So I guess it was my idea. It was -originally it was Fred and Ann's idea. Then I agreed, put it
like that. That's the best way I could answer that guestion.

- Q Do you recall telling the detective that you were upset that he didn't want your kids to live there and you wondered why, I mean, if he's got this house y'all don't have room for us? Does that ring a bell?
 - A Yes.

2.0

- Q Do you remember if you said that to the detective?
- 10 A Yes, I remember, I think.
 - Q Do you also remember telling him that it would be easier for you and Victoria to get an efficiency apartment if you didn't have all five children?
 - A Yeah. I recall that. But mainly basically they wanted Taharah and Taquanda to go to Fitzgerald Elementary School. That was right by 966 Blankenship, in walking distance.
- 18 Q Okay.
 - A And for I think Mahlica and Shabazz to go to West.
 - Q I understand. But specifically my question to you is didn't you in fact want your four youngest children to live with Lealer and Fred because it would be easier for you to get an efficiency apartment if you didn't have all five children?
 - A Not so much that. Not so much that. It was basically mainly the schooling, because you cannot be in a

hotel and go to school. They state in all the schools. 2 I understand. I'm going to approach --3 MS. LUZAICH: Which statement? 4 MR. MacARTHUR: This is the one with Madsen. 5 MS. LUZAICH: You haven't gotten it right yet. 6 (Pause in the proceedings) 7 MR. MacARTHUR: Understood. 8 BY MR. MacARTHUR: Ms. Duke --10 Α Yes. -- if you would -- if you would review that page. 11 12 I've highlighted the areas that I'm going to question you 13 about. Just let me know when you've had an opportunity to 14 read them. 15 Here you go. 16 Okay. Did that refresh your recollection as to your 17 statement to the police at that time? 18 Α Yeah. Yes. All right. And isn't it in fact true that you -- at 19 20 that time you thought it would be hard or harder to get an 21 efficiency apartment with five children? 22 Α In general. In general it is hard to get any 23 kind of hotel with five children. 24 Q Understood. 25 Α And I really didn't want them to be in a hotel. 23

Q I understand.

1

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

15

16

23

24

- A You know -- you know. Yeah.
- Q That makes perfect sense. But when you gave this answer to the police it was in response to a question of how come you decided kinda split everybody up, how come you guys didn't have any other kids live with you. That was the question he asked you; right?
 - A Because everyone was trying to help us and --
- Q I don't mean to -- I'm not trying to be rude, I'm just -- my question specifically is that's the question the detective asked you, right --
- A Yes.
- 13 Q -- on this page?
- 14 A Yes.
 - Q Okay. And then you gave him the answer about the efficiency.
- 17 A Okay.
- MS. LUZAICH: Well, objection. That was only part of the answer.
- MR. MacARTHUR: Okay. And --
- 21 THE COURT: Did you fully answer the question? Is 22 there anything you wanted to add?
 - THE WITNESS: I wanted to add that, you know, basically about the hotel thing, the efficiency thing, it is -- on a general it's hard to get a hotel with a whole bunch of

people in a room anyway, you know, because it gets expensive. 1 That's what I meant. I understand. 3 MR. MacARTHUR: 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 MR. MacARTHUR: In light of the State's objection that it was not --7 THE COURT: Are you okay? 8 THE WITNESS: I'm okay. I'm okay. 9 THE COURT: Well, you keep rubbing your chest. 10 you okay? 11 THE WITNESS: It just hurts a little, that's all. 12 THE COURT: Are you having chest pains? 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. But I'm okay. I can get -- I 14 can do this. I can just get through this. Just -- just get me through this. 15 16 THE COURT: At this time, ladies and gentlemen, 17 we're going to take a recess. During this recess you're admonished not to talk or converse amongst yourselves or with 18 19 anyone else on any subject connected with this trial, or read, 20 watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial 21 or any person connected with this trial by any medium of 22 information, including, without limitation, newspapers, 23 television, the Internet, or radio, or form or express any 24 opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the 25 case is finally submitted to you.

```
We'll be in recess until the court marshal tells you
 1
 2
    otherwise.
 3
            (Court recessed at 11:17 a.m., until 1:21 p.m.)
 4
                           (Jury is present)
 5
              THE COURT: Do I have a stipulation from the State
 6
    to the presence of the jury panel?
 7
              MS. LUZAICH: Yes, Your Honor.
 8
              THE COURT:
                         The defense?
 9
              MS. ALLEN:
                         Yes, Judge.
10
              THE COURT:
                         Thank you.
11
              Can we have our witness brought back in.
12
              MS. LUZAICH: Sorry?
13
              THE COURT: Can you bring the witness back in.
14
    Thank you.
15
              Okay, ma'am.
                            Are you feeling okay?
16
              THE WITNESS:
                            Yes, I'm feeling a little better.
17
    Trying not to stress.
18
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
                                 And you're ready to proceed?
19
              THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
20
              THE COURT: Okay. And you'll let me know if you
21
    need a break or anything like that; right?
22
              THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
23
              THE COURT: Okay. You can have a seat. And Mr.
24
    MacArthur's going to continue with his questioning.
25
    you.
```

1 MR. MacARTHUR: Thank you, Your Honor. 2 BY MR. MacARTHUR: 3 All right. Right before we took the break I believe we'd been talking about the efficiency apartment on Walnut and 5 it being easier to move in if you didn't have all five children. Do you remember that? Α Yes. 8 Isn't it in fact true that Fred was strict on Okay. both discipline and education? Do you think that's a fair 10 statement? 11 Ά Yes. 12 Q Okay. No disagreement with that? 13 Α No, I don't disagree. 14 Would you agree that the children while they were 15 living at Blankenship were doing the best they had done in 16 their entire lives? 17 MS. LUZAICH: Well, objection. Vague. 18 THE COURT: Are you talking about the children as a 19 whole, or each individual child? 20 MR. MacARTHUR: Good question, Judge. Let me 21 rephrase. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 BY MR. MacARTHUR: 24 Tina, do you ever remember having made that statement to the police, that while the children were living

on Blankenship they were doing the best they had ever done in their entire life?

A To a certain extent, yes, as far as education. As far as education. They really were doing good at Fitzgerald and West.

Q Okay. And you in fact had said that under oath at the preliminary hearing last year; is that correct?

A Correct.

Q Okay. Isn't it also true that you never saw Fred abusing the children?

A That's not true.

Q Okay. Do you recall telling that to the police, and I believe that's going to be Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, in either December of 2011 or January of 2012?

A Yes. Meaning I didn't see him because I was at work or in the streets. And, yes, I did see him abuse them when I was at 966 Blankenship.

Q Okay. But you told the police that you had never seen Fred abusing the children; is that correct?

A Because I was never -- I was never hardly home.

Q I understand that part. I think you just explained that. However, either you did see Fred abusing your children or you did not. And I believe your testimony yesterday was that you had seen him abusing your children. Is that correct?

A Yes.

1		THE COURT: Not yesterday.
2		MR. MacARTHUR: Sorry.
3		MS. ALLEN: Monday.
4		THE COURT: That's okay.
5		MR. MacARTHUR: Trial days run together.
6		THE COURT: I know. I know.
7	BY MR. Ma	carthur:
8	Q	Okay. And I apologize. I don't mean to confuse
9	you. But	you did testify to that on Friday, that you had seen
10	him abuse	your children before; is that correct?
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	Okay. And is that still your testimony today?
13	A	Yes, it is.
14	Q	Okay. Then my question is didn't you in fact tell
15	the police	e that you had never seen Fred abusing your children.
16	Do you re	member saying that to them?
17	A	I remember saying that, that I remember I
18	recall so	mething like that.
19	Q	Do you think it would refresh your recollection if
20	you were	to be able to see your own statement?
21	A	That's fine.
22	Q	Well, I
23		THE COURT: Is that a yes?
24		THE WITNESS: Yes.
25		MR. MacARTHUR: State, voluntary statement from
		29

- 1 December '11, January '12, page 19.
- 2 BY MR. MacARTHUR:

4

7

10

12

13

14

16

17

21

22

- Q Same approach as usual. I've highlighted the areas I'm going to question you about. Please review those and let me know when you're ready.
 - A Okay.
 - Q Okay. Having reviewed that, do you recognize it?
- 8 A Yes, I do.
 - Q Okay. And does it refresh your recollection as to how that conversation with the police went?
- 11 A Yes.
 - Q Okay. And would it be correct to say that the police are asking you about corporal punishment and whether he spanks and things like that? Is that correct?
- 15 A Yes.
 - Q Okay. And didn't you in fact tell them that you had never seen Fred -- I don't want to --
- A Maybe I should have been more pacific with him,
 okay, because I seen it, and then sometimes I didn't see it
 because I was gone.
 - Q Okay. But you did tell the police officer, "I've never seen Fred touch the children in a wrong way"; is that correct? That's what you told him?
- 24 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And you said that if you'd done it over again

you would have been more precise or you would have been more specific?

A I should have -- yeah. If he would have pressed me -- meaning I should have been more pacific back then when I was talking to them.

Q Okay. Tina, before we went on a break for lunch I believe we had -- I'm going to go back for a moment. We were talking about the efficiency apartment; right? And the State had made an objection about your statement and that I did not include all of it. Do you remember that?

A Yes. Before we went on break.

Q Okay. So I'm going to re-approach with that same statement.

MR. MacARTHUR: Page 15, State.

BY MR. MacARTHUR:

- Q And if I could, Tina, would you agree with me that there are question and answer paragraphs? Is that correct?
 - A Yes, a question and answer paragraph.
- Q And the detective asked you -- and what I want you to do is read along with me, and if I say anything different or if I don't do it right, please let me know.

The detective asks, "Okay. Did you -- how come you decided to kind of split everybody up? How come you guys didn't have any other kids live with you?" Is that correct so far?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And your answer was, "It would be hard, harder to get an efficiency with five children. They said for some reason -- I forgot what reason, but they -- it would be best for them to go -- like they wanted to go to Fitzgerald and another school they looked at was -- what was it, Fitzgerald and West Prep. When they went to West Prep then they first got here, and then they wind up -- the teenagers wind up going to Canyon Springs"; is that correct?

A Correct.

Q Okay. Now, with regard to times in which Fred physically chastised the children -- and let me not put it that way. Were there times in which your children got whuppins?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And when I say a whuppin' are we talking about being hit with a belt on the backside?

A I seen several different things.

Q Okay. Including that?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And there were more than one instance; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Okay. As far as you can recall were there instances in which Shabazz was in trouble in school for stealing?

A My recollection about that incident, I remember it was -- I was coming home from Bally's from work, and it was Shabazz's birthday.

Q Okay.

1

2

3

5

6

8

10

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

A His soldier, his commander called me, Jarvis, and said, well, we understand -- we love Shabazz, he's a good student, ROTC, and so what we're going to do is get him a gift. And so I said, okay, that's fine, thank you. And so the soldiers, you know, they got together and got him a gift.

- Q And when you say soldiers, is this ROTC --
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q -- at Canyon Springs?
- 13 A Yes.
 - Q And approximately how old is Shabazz at this time?
- 15 A He's about 14, I think.
- 16 Q Okay. Please proceed.
 - A And so I guess they give it to him at school. I'm at work. I guess they give it to him at school, he brings it home, and Mahlica -- we were in the garage and they were sitting around playing, and Shabazz brought a -- not computer, but a game, what is it --
 - Q Would it have been a Nintendo 64?
- 23 A Yeah.
- 24 Q Okay.
- 25 A Yeah. He brought it home. He said -- he was happy

about it, they said. They told me when I got home and said that Fred started getting onto him about -- talking about he stole it. And so they -- his reaction was like I guess he whupped him or what have you. And Mahlica and Taharah and Taquanda, they was all sitting in the garage and they watched him, and he just took that like a child, they said, Fred took the Nintendo thing and just broke it. Because when I was walking in I almost stepped on one of the pieces because it was shattered. He took the thing, he dropped it -- they said that he dropped it -- I was told he dropped it, stomped on it. And I couldn't understand that, because Shabazz said he didn't steal it, it was given to him because it was the month of his birthday. I just --

- Q Do you -- I'm sorry.
- 15 A -- didn't understand it.
 - Q Do you recall whether or not the school contacted you or Fred about the Nintendo having been taken from another child at school?
- 19 A No.

1

2

3

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

- MS. LUZAICH: Well, objection. Hearsay.
- 21 THE COURT: Sustained.
- MR. MacARTHUR: Withdrawn, Judge.
- 23 BY MR. MacARTHUR:
- Q Ms. Duke, where does Shabazz live now?
- 25 A 'Bazz lives in Henderson.

1 0 Okay. And have you seen him at the courthouse? 2 MS. LUZAICH: objection. Relevance. 3 THE COURT: Sustained, unless --4 MR. MacARTHUR: Judge, I'll withdraw it. BY MR. MacARTHUR: 5 6 Ms. Duke, let's talk briefly about Taquanda. Did Q she also receive whuppins from Fred? Α Yes. Okay. Do you remember an incident at all involving 10 a stolen cell phone? Do you know what I'm talking about? MS. LUZAICH: Well, objection. Assumes facts not in 11 evidence. 12 13 THE COURT: I think you need to back up and lay some foundation. 14 15 MR. MacARTHUR: Understood, Your Honor. BY MR. MacARTHUR: 16 Ms. Duke, did you ever have, as the mother of 17 18 Taguanda, some issues with her stealing things? 19 Α No. 20 Do you remember if you told the police that she had 21 stole things? 22 No, I don't remember that. Α 23 Do you think it would refresh your recollection to 24 be able to see your statement to the police? 25 Α Yes.

1	MR. MacARTHUR: Okay. And, State, I'm going to be
2	December '11, January '12, and it's going to be page 19.
3	BY MR. MacARTHUR:
4	Q Okay. Does that refresh your recollection as to
5	what it is I'm talking about?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Okay. Do you recall telling the police at the time
8	that Taquanda had had some problems taking stuff and that in
9	particular there was an incident where she was given a
10	whuppin?
11	A She thought that she had stole a cell phone, and the
12	little girl wanted she left it at the house.
13	Q So it would be your testimony today that it was a
14	misunderstanding and that the cell phone had not actually been
15	stolen, it had accidentally been left at the house?
16	A I believe that.
17	Q Okay. But at the time in which you spoke with the
18	police you referred to it as Taquanda actually, withdrawn.
19	Tina, going back to approximately August of 2007,
20	you've moved back to Las Vegas from Utah?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Were you and Victoria welcome at the Blankenship
23	house?
24	A No.
25	Q Okay. And given that you said no, what's your
	36

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

recollection as to why you or your oldest daughter weren't welcome at the Blankenship house?

Α Because Ann was mad at me for giving up my baby for adoption.

You're referring to Joseph?

Yes. And she was mad at Vicky -- Fred said that she Α was mad at Vicky because she always starts stuff. Ann was saying Vicky always starts stuff. They always kept bumping heads, her and Victoria.

Okay. Now, thinking about that time, would you characterize Victoria's demeanor or her behavior back then as disrespectful and defiant?

Α At times. You know, teenagers. I've met a ton of teenagers that was defiant, you know, disrespectful.

Q Okay. So you thought that she could be defiant and disrespectful, but no more or less than you might expect from some other teenage girl?

Α Yeah.

Okay. Do you remember whether or not Victoria bumped heads with Fred because she was disrespectful, not going to school, and abusive of you?

Α Yes.

And, you know, it's my problem for having asked a compound question, so let me break that down in smaller --Victoria did have -- or did bump heads with Fred

because she was disrespectful?

A Because she was angry, because she was -- I guess that part -- stage in her life that she just wanted to do her own thing.

Q Okay. She also had problems going to school at the time? She wasn't going to school or she was ditching school? Is that correct?

A She -- I found out -- I found out when I was off the streets and not working that she had ditched a couple of -- a couple of -- she had ditched school.

Q Okay. And that was another one of the reasons that she had run-ins with Fred?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And lastly, did she also have run-ins with Fred because she was physically abusive of you?

A We would argue sometimes.

Q Okay. And I understand that arguments occur. But my question specifically is whether at that time, this is in late 2007, after you'd come to Las Vegas from Utah, was she physically abusive of you.

A No. No. She had shoved me one time, but she -- she wasn't abusive. I mean, well --

Q Let me ask you then, Tina, do you recall an instance in which you came home from work and you found Victoria at the apartment in the company of a 25-year-old boy or man?

1 I didn't come home and see anything, but it was 2 -- well, she told me that it was someone that she had met. 3 didn't catch them. I didn't see him in the house when I got back home. And she said she was seeing someone. How old was Victoria at the time? She was about 16. 7 Okay. And without regard to whether you actually saw them doing something or not --It was hearsay, yeah. 10 -- my question to you now is when you confronted her 11 about it did Victoria beat you up, or do you recall that she 12 beat you up? I don't recall her -- we got into a conversation. 13 Α 14 We got into a conversation, and I said, well, he's too old, he's too old for you, you know. I don't want that going on. 15 16 Q But it would be your testimony today that she did 17 not beat you up following that confrontation? 18 No, she didn't beat me up. Α 19 Okay. 20 Α I don't remember that. 21 MR. MacARTHUR: Okay. Court's indulgence. 22 (Pause in the proceedings) BY MR. MacARTHUR: 23 24 Do you remember the police asking you these same 25 questions or questions along these lines back in December of

- 2011 or January 2012?
- 2 Α Similar.

3

4

6

7

8

9

14

15

18

20

21

22

23

24

- Okay. Do you remember whether or not you told the police that Fred intervened and told Victoria to stop fighting her mother? Does that ring a bell?
 - Α Yes.
- Okay. Does that refresh your recollection as to whether or not you'd had a physical confrontation with Victoria?
- 10 Α I think at one time I did.
- 11 Is it fair to say that you may have had a physical 12 confrontation with her but you're not sure if it's this 13 specific time?
 - Α I'm not quite sure.
 - Q Okay.
- 16 Α Teenagers is teenagers. Some of them act out. what they do. 17
- We're still in late 2007, Ms. Duke. Do you recall 19 that sometimes Fred and Victoria got along and other times they didn't?
 - Sometimes they got along, sometimes they didn't. I remember they had one incident where we were at Miss Dorothy's house and we were at the time staying -somehow we left Trish Lane for a minute, and then we were at the Shade Tree. Miss Ann put us out. And then I think in May

or April she accepted us back in. Okay. But at one time --2 at that particular time, that was in '04 when that happened. 3 This particular incident happened we were supposed -- she was supposed to meet --5 When you say she who are you referring to? 6 Victoria was supposed to meet -- Miss Dorothy was 7 picking us up. We were supposed to do something. Victoria wasn't -- when I got home from work Victoria wasn't at a particular place and time, at a particular place where she was supposed to be picked up. And so they went to -- they 10 picked her up, questioned her, you know, where was she, how 11 come she wasn't at the pacific spot. She's --12 13 MS. LUZAICH: Well, objection. 14 THE WITNESS: And then they started fighting --15 MS. LUZAICH: This is a narrative. 16 THE WITNESS: -- physically fighting, but --17 MR. MacARTHUR: Hold on a minute. 18 THE COURT: Just a minute. When there's an 19 objection --20 MS. LUZAICH: Objection. It's a narrative. 21 really not in response to a question, and it's hearsay. 22 THE COURT: Just ask the question again. 23 MR. MacARTHUR: Understood. 24 THE COURT: So the answer's stricken, and he's just 25 going to ask the question again.

1	BY MR. MacARTHUR:
2	Q There were times in which Fred and Victoria got
3	along, and other times they didn't?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Okay. That's a correct statement?
6	A Uh-huh.
7	Q Okay. I'm going to ask you to look at that document
8	and let me know whether you recognize it. Don't explain what
9	it is yet, but let me know whether you recognize it.
10	MR. MacARTHUR: And for the Court's record, the
11	document she's reviewing is Defense Exhibit B.
12	I thought it was C.
13	MS. ALLEN: It might be C.
14	MR. MacARTHUR: It's Defense Proposed C.
15	(Pause in the proceedings)
16	BY MR. MacARTHUR:
17	Q Okay. My first question is do you recognize the
18	handwriting on the document.
19	MS. LUZAICH: Can I see it?
20	MR. MacARTHUR: Oh. Sure. Sorry.
21	MS. ALLEN: For the record, Your Honor, I did
22	provide all this to the State beforehand.
23	MS. LUZAICH: She did. I just didn't know which one
24	it was.
25	THE COURT: And it's Exhibit C; correct?
	42

1 MR. MacARTHUR: Yes, Your Honor. Proposed. 2 BY MR. MacARTHUR: 3 0 Ms. Duke, do you recognize the handwriting on --Α Yes, I do. 5 Okay. And is that your handwriting? 6 No, it's not. Α 7 0 It's not? 8 Α No, it's not. So looking at this document you don't recognize it 10 as something that you'd written? 11 Α I didn't write that. That's not my handwriting. 12 Understood. Do you recognize the form at all? Have 13 you ever seen it before? 14 Α Yes, I recognize the form. 15 Okay. And, if you know, what is the form from? Q 16 Α That is from a Social Security office. 17 0 So you've seen a form like this before? Uh-huh. 18 Α 19 Okay. Let me ask you this. Do you recognize 20 the handwriting? Even though you're stating that it's not 21 yours --22 No, it's not. Α 23 Q -- do you know whose handwriting this is? 24 Α That's Miss Dorothy's handwriting. 25 Okay. And that would be the defendant's mother?

A Yes.

- Q Having read its contents, did you have Miss Dorothy write this for you?
- A She had written that for me. Yes, she did. She'd written that for me. I -- we were filling out an application.
 - Q Okay.
- A Yeah. And I guess I was having some -- and everybody thought whatever they thought about me, and I said, okay, fine, because I was like I guess would submit, whatever, to -- I was still in the streets and on drugs and stuff, and so when they said -- Fred and his mom said that, well, maybe you need some help, you need some help --
- Q I understand. But let me slow you down. I don't want you to testify to what other people said to you. That'll cause a hearsay objection, so --
- A Okay.
- Q But suffice it to say that someone felt as though you needed help.
- A Yeah.
- Q Okay. And is -- did you -- whoever wrote this, did
 you dictate what to say to them?
 - A Some of it. And some of it they assumed that, oh, yes, this is how we feel about you and we think that you need some help, yeah.
- Q Okay. And so, to be clear, of the information in

- this document some of it is your statement, and other parts you believe are --
 - A Their assumption of me --
- O -- their characterization.
 - A -- of my character, uh-huh.
- Q Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A Yeah.
- Q Okay. Let me ask you this. Would you agree with me that there appear to be two different handwriting styles, that up top we have cursive, and down at the bottom we have print?
- 11 A Print. This is still not my writing.
- Q Okay. I was just going to ask you are either of those yours.
 - A No. I don't write like that.
 - Q Okay. All right. Tina, I know that you testified yesterday on direct examination. I'd like to revisit one of those topics, namely, when it was that Victoria first said to you that she was being sexually abused by Fred. When was the first time that Victoria divulged or you learned that she was being sexually abused by Fred?
 - A It was 2005.
 - Q Okay.
 - A March, I think. Somewhere around there.
- Q March 2005. So at this point in the timeline you've come to Las Vegas from Louisiana, Fred's brother has brought

your children out, it's January of 2005, and then sometime around March or the spring of 2005 is when you believe that Victoria first made these revelations?

A Correct.

Q Okay. Do you remember telling the police that she first divulged these things in 2007? Do you know if you said that?

A I believe it was -- I believe she told the police of Utah I believe it was 2006 -- wait a minute. 2005, December.

Q I'm not quite following you. What happened in 2005, December?

A In 2005, December, she just thought I guess she just had to say something. She told the police about -- Utah police or Utah CPS, the people who came to my address in Utah --

Q Okay. Let me back up a step. We've got a lot of information here.

Yesterday -- I'm sorry. Friday you testified that Victoria first said that she was being sexually abused by Fred in spring of 2005; right?

- A Yes.
- Q Okay.
 - A But she had told that --
- Q Hold on. I don't mean to cut you off. I just -- I want to make sure that we're talking about the same thing. I

promise I'll give you an opportunity to respond.

And then just now, a couple minutes ago, you told the jury that your recollection is still the Victoria made these allegations for the first time in the spring of 2005; right?

A Yeah, 2005, when she -- not the police, but to me and Ann -- well, Ann told me, and I believe she told Miss Dorothy, and they were all in the bedroom, we're all in the bedroom talking.

- Q So we'll come back to that detail.
- A Okay.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

Q Hold on. We're still on the timeline, and then we'll get to the details, I promise.

And so what did you say is happening is in Utah in December of 2005? You said something about CPS and Victoria there.

- 17 A That was 2006.
- 18 0 2006.
- 19 A Yeah.
- 20 Q And what was --
- 21 A The end of -- yeah, about -- okay.
- 22 THE COURT: Well, 2005, or 2006?
- THE WITNESS: It was December 2005.
- 24 BY MR. MacARTHUR:
- Q Okay. And you're stating that in December of 2005

that Victoria had brought these allegations to the attention of CPS in Utah? Is that what you're saying?

A Yeah. It had to be around that time, end of 2005. Because 2007 we had moved back here to Las Vegas.

Q Uh-huh. Do you remember telling the police in December 2011 or January 2012 -- when I say the police I'm talking about the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. Do you remember telling them that she first said that she was being abused in a sexual manner by Fred in 2007 when you guys came back from Utah? Does that ring a bell?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And so that having rung a bell, is what you told the police back in 2011 correct, or is what you're saying in court today correct?

A Well, I'm remembering the date here, correct, today.

And in 2007 -- I mean, excuse me, not 2007, but --

0 2005.

A In 2011, 2012 in January when they came to the house.

Q Okay.

A Well, we were talking in his car.

Q All right. So as you sit there now you feel as though your testimony in front of the jury is the most correct, that it was 2005, not 2007?

A About what?

1 When Victoria first divulged that she was being 2 sexually abused. 3 Α It was in 2005 when she told me and Ann and Miss Dorothy. 5 0 Okay. And so when you told the police that that was 6 in 2007 when you came back from Utah, this was mistaken; is 7 that correct? 8 When I came back in 2007, because here to Las Vegas, Α 9 Nevada, what are you asking me? Well, let me show you a statement. 10 Q 11 Α I just want to make sure. 12 Just the highlighted portion. 0 13 Α Okay. 14 Okay. Having read that, does that refresh your recollection of what the police were asking you and what you 15 16 told them? 17 Α Yes. 18 Okay. And in fact the officer asked you, "Okay. 19 And when Victoria I guess originally, um, around when you 20 first moved here, did, uh, Victoria ever say anything about 21 Fred, you know, touching her in a sexual manner or 22 inappropriate or somewhere that she felt uncomfortable or 23 inappropriate?" That's the detective's question; correct? 24 Α Correct. 25 Okay. And your answer is, "When we came back here

from Utah in '07 I believe she did. I believe she did. 1 2 was like hearsay and hearsay because I wasn't there. I'm 3 always running, I'm always working, so I'm not in the -- um, I wasn't in the presence of anything happening." That was your 5 answer? 6 Α Yeah. 7 Now, I promised I'd let you get back to those Q details. I'm going to do that now. 9 When I was asking you about the timeline between 2005 and 2007 you had said that Victoria, when she was 10 revealing this information, who did she tell? 11 12 When I went in December of 2005 to see Fred --13 Uh-huh. 0 14 -- I paid a babysitter over \$200. We were friends. 15 We talked about this Friday. 16 Q And just so we're clear, I'm asking when Victoria 17 first divulged that she was being sexually abused by Fred where was she when she first made that statement. 18 19 MS. LUZAICH: Well, objection. Vague. 20 first divulged to who? 21 MR. MacARTHUR: To anyone. 22 THE COURT: So --23 MS. LUZAICH: Well, unless she was there, the 24 objection's foundation and --25 THE COURT: So the objection to foundation is

granted, and you can restate the question.

BY MR. MacARTHUR:

- Q All right. The first time that Victoria said she was being sexually abused, as far as you're aware, who did she tell that to?
- A She told that to Miss Ann and Miss Dorothy. And then when I came home from work she told me. And -- well, Miss Ann told me about it. And so we all gathered in her room on Trish Lane.
- Q Okay. Let me back up a second. Did you learn about it from Victoria, or did you learn about it from Miss Ann?
- A I learned about that from Miss Ann. I remember Miss
 Ann, because we all had to go in her room.
 - Q Okay. Now, Miss Ann never lived in Utah; is that correct?
 - A No.
 - Q Okay. And so the first time that Victoria told somebody that something sexual was happening to her Victoria wasn't in Utah; right?
 - A The first time she told someone of authority.
 - Q Okay. And let me not confuse the issue. I'm not necessarily asking you the first time she told someone in authority. Doesn't have to be a police officer or counselor or anything like that. First time she told anybody, that you're aware of, that was in 2005, and she told Miss Ann?

- A I remember 2005 that she had mentioned to Ann and Ann told me about any kind of making passes, advance or what have you. I believe -- I truly believe it was 2005.
 - Q Okay.

- A And on Trish Lane. And Mom's coming home from Jason's Deli. I was pregnant. And they said, come in the room, we need to talk with you.
 - Q Okay. And that's fine.
- A That's the first -- very first first time of any kind of thing.
- 11 Q Understood.
- 12 A Okay.
 - Q However, you testified under oath today that
 Victoria told Miss Ann and that Victoria -- I mean, sorry, not
 Victoria, Miss Ann told Miss Dorothy and told you; right?
 - A Yeah. And then I think -- I guess Miss Dorothy was already there, you know, at the house, you know, on Trish Lane, because everybody needed to talk.
 - Q And you can see these events in your mind; is that correct? I mean, you can -- you can recall them?
- 21 A Yes.
 - Q Okay. In other words, you're testifying from your actual memory, right, not from something you've read or something else? Is that fair?
- 25 A Yeah, from my memory, what I remember.

Q Okay. Do you recall telling the police that Victoria came to you specifically and said that she was being molested by Fred? Do you know if you did that?

Did you understand the question?

A Yes.

Q I'm not trying to hurry you. I just -- are you still thinking?

A The first time I remember was at -- first time I remember was in 2005 at Miss Ann's house.

Q Well, I know that you remember the when. But the question is do you remember telling the police that Victoria came up to you specifically, not Ann, and explained to you that she was being sexually abused.

A Was that another question?

Q I'll ask it again. Do you remember telling the police that Victoria specifically came to you, came into the room you were in and told you she was being sexually abused at the hands of Fred? Remember telling the police that?

A I don't quite remember.

Q Okay. Would it refresh your recollection to be able to see the statement that you made to the police?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Did that refresh your recollection of the conversation you'd had with the police detective?

A Yes. This is the statement that in 2005 -- I

remember saying this. And this is --

- Q I don't want you to explain it yet. I'm going to ask you --
 - A Yes, I remember --
- Q Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

 $\sqrt{13}$

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A -- saying this.
- Q Now, you've already testified that Victoria divulged her sexual abuse to Miss Ann, and you said that Miss Ann contacted you and Miss Dorothy; right?
- 10 A Right.
- Q Okay. You also stated that it was some hearsay and that you hadn't seen it personally; right?
 - A I haven't seen it personally.
 - Q Okay. And so the detective asks you, "Okay. And what did she tell you in April of '05?" Is that correct? That's what he says?
 - A What does it say in April '05?
- 18 Q That's what the detective says?
- 19 A Yes.
 - Q Okay. And your answer is, "She said that Mom -- she told -- she came in the room and told me and Ann -- okay, wait a minute. I was -- I came from work. I'm exhausted, pregnant, exhausted. Came from work and she says that, come in the room -- because she used to live on Trish Lane. She

said, come in the room. This is how all this begins.

come in the room, I got something to -- I said, what, you know, and she says that, uh, Victoria said that, uh, Fred had molested her, had touched her, you know. And I didn't know what to think about that, really. Yeah, I was -- and, um, so when that --"; is that correct?

A Correct.

- Q Okay. And so Lealer was not in the habit of calling you Mom; is that correct?
- A No.

1

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

24

- Q Okay. And so when the person's saying Mom, you're referring to Victoria; is that right?
 - A Yes.
- Q Okay.
 - A Because Lealer never called me Mom.
- 15 Q Understood.
- A When she told us, when she -- when she was telling
 me she had come into the room and she was telling everyone.

 Victoria came into Ann's room, bedroom, and she was telling
 Miss Ann, me, Miss Dorothy --
- Q Well, hold on. I understand that you've just said
 that Victoria came in and was telling everybody, you, Dorothy,
 and Miss Ann. But five minutes ago you said that she had told
 Miss Ann and that Miss Ann told you and Dorothy; right?
 - MS. LUZAICH: Well, objection. That's what the statement says. But Ann is telling her what Victoria said.

1 It's improper impeachment. 2 MR. MacARTHUR: Your Honor, certainly this is 3 material she could get back to on redirect if she doesn't think I'm doing it well. 5 MS. LUZAICH: Well, he's misstating the -- or --6 THE COURT: What I don't like is for you to 7 paraphrase what the witness testified to. So if you have a question, ask a question. MR. MacARTHUR: Okay. 10 THE COURT: Thank you. I apologize, Your Honor. 11 MR. MacARTHUR: BY MR. MacARTHUR: 12 13 Do you remember telling the police that the complaint Victoria made was that Fred was making passes at 14 15 her? Actually, let me ask that a different way. 16 17 your recollection that Victoria told you that she was being 18 sexually abused by Fred, or did she tell you or Leann [sic] 19 that Fred was making passes at her? 20 MS. LUZAICH: Objection to the form of the question. 21 THE COURT: Maybe just phrase it what did she say to 22 her, to this witness. BY MR. MacARTHUR: 23

or did she tell you that Fred was making passes at her?

Did Victoria tell you she was being sexually abused,

24

1 She said that -- she said that Fred was making 2 passes at -- made a pass at her. She was telling everyone at 3 the same -- in the same room. THE COURT: Okay. We're just asking you. 4 5 THE WITNESS: Oh. Yes. BY MR. MacARTHUR: 7 Okay. So Victoria said that Fred making passes at her? 8 Passes and -- and she said that Fred had -- had Α 10 fondled her. Okay. So it's your testimony today that she said 11 both, that he was making passes at her and that he had fondled 12 her? 13 14 Α Yes. 15 Okay. Q As I could remember. 16 Understood. Isn't it in fact true that when you 17 18 talked to the police in December of 2011 or January of 2012 19 that you told -- you only told them about Fred was allegedly making passes at her? Does that make sense? 20 21 Α Yes. Okay. And so you didn't tell them that she said 22 23 that Fred was fondling her, did you? 24 I told -- I told them that Fred had made a pass at 25 her.

Uh-huh. But you didn't tell them that she'd said 1 that Fred was fondling her, did you? 2 3 Maybe I did. Do you think you did? Do you think you told the 4 5 police that Fred had fondled her in December 2011? 6 He had made some passes at her. Α 7 0 Okay. And we've already had that testimony, said that Fred was making passes at her. My question specifically 8 is do you think that you told the police that he was fondling her in December 2011. 10 11 Α I may have. 12 Q If I told you you didn't, would you disagree? 13 I remember stating something like that. Α Remember stating something like he was fondling her? 14 0 Yes? 15 16 Α That he had made some sexual passes at her. 17 MR. MacARTHUR: Okay. Court's indulgence. 18 (Pause in the proceedings) 19 BY MR. MacARTHUR: 20 Do you think it would refresh your recollection to 21 be able to review the statement that you made to the police in 22 December of 2011? 23 Α Yes. 24 MR. MacARTHUR: All right. State, I'm going to

refer to pages 10 and pages 20.

MS. LUZAICH: And 20? What about 11? 1 2 THE COURT: You asked her about her entire statement. Are you asking her to review her entire statement, 3 or just certain pages? 5 MR. MacARTHUR: For the sake of time, Your Honor, I was not going to have her review the entire statement. 6 think it's fair, because if there is somewhere in there, I 7 8 think the State can be trusted to show that to the jury. THE COURT: Okay. So you're going to ask her to 10 just look at a few pages? MR. MacARTHUR: You know, Judge, I'll --11 12 THE COURT: It's up to you. I just want to know. 13 MR. MacARTHUR: I will withdraw that question. 14 BY MR. MacARTHUR: 15 Ms. Duke, if you believe that you told the police that Fred was fondling Victoria, please share with the State 16 where that can be found. 17 18 I'll move to the next question. 19 MS. LUZAICH: Well, objection. 20 THE COURT: Sustained. If you want her to review 21 the entire statement, that's fine. We'll just probably do it 22 not on court time. 23 MR. MacARTHUR: Understood, Your Honor. It's a 24 32-page statement. I'll withdraw that question. 25 THE COURT: All right. Okay.

1	BY MR. MacARTHUR:
2	Q Tina, isn't in fact true that Victoria never told
3	you that Fred was forcing her to have sex with him before
4	December 2011? Isn't that in fact the first time Victoria
5	ever made an allegation that Fred was forcing her to have sex
6	with him?
7	A You mean 2005?
8	Q No. I'm talking about 2011, December.
9	A 2011?
10	Q Uh-huh. Isn't that in fact the first time that
11	Victoria ever made the allegation that Fred was forcing her to
12	have sex with him?
13	MS. LUZAICH: Well, objection. Foundation. She
14	can't testify to anything Victoria's ever said to anyone.
15	THE COURT: Right. Sustained. You just need to
16	rephrase it.
17	MR. MacARTHUR: Understood, Judge.
18	THE COURT: I think I know what you're getting at.
19	BY MR. MacARTHUR:
20	Q At the beginning of this cross-examination I went
21	through some of the statements that you gave; right?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Being here in trial, having appeared previously at
24	preliminary hearing; right?
25	A Yes.

Okay. And in fact you testified on two different 1 2 days at preliminary hearing. Do you remember that? 3 Α Yes. 4 Okay. We had to interrupt and then come back and 5 resume on a different day; is that fair? 6 Α Yes. Do you remember testifying under oath that Victoria didn't to you that Fred was forcing her to have sex with him 8 anytime before December 2011? Before 2011? I don't remember that, because --10 reason is because -- I understand that question is because in 11 12 2007, yes, he had forced -- he had forced Victoria and 13 threatened me and Victoria and my family. So what are you 14 getting at? I don't understand the question. 15 Q Do you think it would refresh your recollection as to what you testified to last year if I were to show you the 16 17 transcript from the preliminary hearing? 18 THE COURT: Yes or no? 19 Okay. Yes. If you show me the --THE WITNESS: 20 THE COURT: Okay. What date? MR. MacARTHUR: Court's indulgence. 21 THE WITNESS: It's confusing, that's all. 22 23 THE COURT: Are you okay? 24 THE WITNESS: I'm okay. It's just confusing, and it's just stressing me out. I'm just -- you know. 25

THE COURT: Do you need a break? 1 2 THE WITNESS: No, ma'am, thank you. I'm -- just do this, you know. Because why would he ask that question and 3 I've stated that he had forced --5 THE COURT: Okay. I can't answer any questions. 6 But if you need a break, I'll be happy to give you a break. 7 THE WITNESS: I'm okay right now. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 8 9 What date and page? MR. MacARTHUR: I'm sorry. Forgot you asked me 10 that, Judge. I'm going to June 20th, 2030 [sic] -- I'm sorry, 11 2013. And I'll be referring to page 8. 12 13 BY MR. MacARTHUR: 14 There's four pages on here. I'm just referring to this one. 15 Have you had an opportunity to review it? 16 17 Α Yes. 18 Okay. Does that refresh your recollection as to the 19 conversation that was being had in preliminary hearing? 20 Α Yes. Okay. And you were being cross-examined by Ms. 21 22 Allen, is that correct, the attorney sitting over there at that table? 23 24 Α Right. 25 Okay. And she asked you, "When he asked you that Q

62

question you had -- according to your testimony, you had 1 2 already seen Fred force Victoria to have sex with him; isn't that right?" That's what it says; right? 4 And you reply, "Yes." 5 My answer was yes. 6 Q Okay. And then she asks, "Okay. But you didn't 7 volunteer that information to him; isn't that correct?" And you say, "No, I didn't say that"; is that correct? 9 Α Correct. And then lastly she says, "Did Victoria tell you 10 before this date in 2011, December 2011, had she come to you 11 and said Fred was forcing her to have sex?" 12 13 And your reply was, "I don't remember her coming to me telling me anything"; is that correct? 14 15 Α Correct. Yesterday I believe you testified that at some point 16 there was a conversation --17 THE COURT: You mean Friday? 18 19 MR. MacARTHUR: I'm sorry? 20 THE COURT: Friday. I just want --21 MS. ALLEN: Thursday. Actually Thursday. Thursday, 22 Your Honor. 23 MR. MacARTHUR: Thursday. 24 THE COURT: Thursday. 25 MS. ALLEN: Sorry.

63

1 THE COURT: Okay. I just want the record to be 2 clear, so --3 MR. MacARTHUR: And I apologize. BY MR. MacARTHUR: Okay. Going back to Thursday of last week --5 6 THE COURT: Sorry, Mr. MacArthur. 7 MR. MacARTHUR: No, it's okay, Judge. I think I'm 8 messing up more than anybody else. BY MR. MacARTHUR: -- you testified that there was at some point an 10 11 occasion where you, Fred. and Victoria had a very serious 12 conversation in a car. Remember that? 13 Α Yes. 14 Okay. And in that conversation -- well, rather than 15 paraphrasing what you said, why was that conversation 16 important? What happened in the car where there was a conversation between you and Fred and Victoria? 17 18 MS. LUZAICH: Objection. Assumes facts not in 19 evidence. A conversation between her and Victoria and Fred? 2.0 MR. MacARTHUR: I thought that's what she had 21 testified to. 2.2 BY MR. MacARTHUR: 23 If you know. If you don't understand the question, 24 I can rephrase. 25 THE COURT: Do you understand the question?

THE WITNESS: Yes. 1 2 Well, it was mentioned in the car -- it was 3 discussed in the car between me, Victoria, and Fred that he -his fantasy, he wanted to have sex with Victoria. BY MR. MacARTHUR: 6 0 Uh-huh. 7 And he had -- he had threatened me and my family, me and Victoria, and he said that he would kill her and kill me, and he said that, I have your children, I have your family, you know, and -- and then we went from there. 10 Was there also some sort of discussion about 11 Okay. Victoria's body belonging to Fred or something along those 12 13 lines? Do you know what I'm talking about? 14 Perhaps. I can't remember word from word --Α 15 0 Okay. -- but perhaps maybe so. 16 Α 17 Okay. Well, I'm not asking you to remember 18 something that you don't. Suffice it to say that you're not 19 allowed to be in the courtroom when Victoria testifies; right? Right. Yes. 20 21 And she's not allowed to be in the courtroom while 22 you're testifying; right? 23 Α Right. 24 Q Okay. 25 THE COURT: Well, not necessarily.

1 MR. MacARTHUR: Well, I suppose in certain 2 circumstances. BY MR. MacARTHUR: 3 As far as you know, Victoria's never been present when you testified; right? 6 A She has not been present. 7 Q Okay. And you've never been present while she was testifying on the stand? 8 Α Right. 10 Okay. So I'll move on to the next question. 11 Do you remember telling the police that there was 12 never a conversation in front of Miss Dorothy's in the car regarding Victoria's body belonging to Fred? 13 14 Α What did you just say? 15 Let me back up. With Miss Dorothy in the car? 16 Α 17 I'll ask it a different way. Q I know that's 18 complicated. 19 The police made contact with you while they were 20 investigating these charges; right? 21 Α Yes. 22 Okay. And they would ask you questions about what 23 had happened. Is that also correct? 24 Α Yes. 25 Okay. And did they sometimes ask you questions that 66

were comparing something Victoria said to what you said? 2 MS. LUZAICH: Well, objection. Assumes --3 foundation. She doesn't know what they were comparing. MR. MacARTHUR: If she knows. 5 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 6 THE COURT: Well, yeah. I mean, they would have to 7 tell her. MR. MacARTHUR: I'll rephrase, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. BY MR. MacARTHUR: 10 Were there times in which the police told you that 11 12 Victoria told us that this happened, is that true? Α Yes. 13 14 Okay. And so with regard to this conversation in front of Miss Dorothy's house involving you and Fred and 15 16 Victoria --17 MS. LUZAICH: Objection. Assumes facts not in 18 evidence. MR. MacARTHUR: The question hasn't been asked yet. 19 20 MS. LUZAICH: Well, the --21 THE COURT: Don't answer the question. Let me just 22 hear the full question. 23 MS. LUZAICH: But the question is that a conversation occurred in front of Miss Dorothy's house. 24 25 That's not what the testimony was.

```
1
              MR. MacARTHUR: It's a premature objection, Judge.
2
    The question is going to be did the police -- with regard to
3
    this conversation in a car involving you, Miss Dorothy, and
    the defendant did the police ever ask you whether that
   happened.
6
              THE COURT: Okay. So is the question did the police
7
    ever ask you if a conversation happened in a car between you,
8
   Miss Dorothy, and Fred?
9
              MR. MacARTHUR:
                              Yes.
10
              THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
11
              MR. MacARTHUR: Thank you.
12
              MS. LUZAICH: Miss Dorothy?
13
              MR. MacARTHUR: Miss Dorothy.
14
              THE COURT:
                          I wrote it down. Miss Dorothy.
15
              MS. ALLEN:
                               In front of Miss Dorothy's house.
                          No.
16
              MR. MacARTHUR: Oh.
                                   In front of Miss Dorothy's
17
   house.
            Sorry. I --
18
                          Okay. All right.
              THE COURT:
                                             So --
19
              MR. MacARTHUR: I may have accidentally put her in
20
    the car.
              THE COURT: -- can you say -- ask the question
21
22
    again.
23
              MR. MacARTHUR:
                              Yes, ma'am.
24
              THE COURT: And don't answer it until I hear the
25
   full question.
```

BY MR. MacARTHUR: 1 2 Did the police ask you questions regarding a 3 conversation that had happened in a car in front of Miss Dorothy's house where you, Victoria, and Fred were present? 5 Do you know if they asked you that, or do you remember if they asked you that? 7 THE COURT: Oh. I'm -- you're waiting for me. 8 THE WITNESS: I'm waiting for --9 THE COURT: Okay. I apologize. Yes. You can 10 I'm sorry. answer. 11 THE WITNESS: Okay. 12 THE COURT: Do you recall that? 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. I recall talking in a car in front of Miss Dorothy's house. 14 15 THE COURT: Well, I think the question was do you recall the police asking you about that. 16 17 Is that correct, Mr. MacArthur? MR. MacARTHUR: That's correct. 18 19 THE WITNESS: Okay. Yes. 20 BY MR. MacARTHUR: 21 Okay. And do you recall telling them that, no, that 22 conversation never happened? Do you remember if you said that 23 or not? 24 I don't even remember saying that, because --Α 25 Understood. Would it refresh your recollection if 69

you're able to see the transcript of your statement to the police at that time?

A At that time. Okay.

MR. MacARTHUR: All right. State, I'll be referring to the very bottom of page 17, and then the top paragraph of 18.

BY MR. MacARTHUR:

Q Just the last sentence here I'll be asking you about, and then the first five sentences there.

Would you like more time, Ms. Duke?

A Yes. I remember this conversation. He had asked.

I said, no, because, no, that's not how that conversation went in the car.

- Q Okay. Well --
- A That's why I said no.
- Q Let me take you one step at a time. Let's go through what the transcript says, and then I promise I'll give you an opportunity to tell me anything that's been left out, okay.

The detective says, "Back to what I was kinda talking to you about, did you, um, you Victoria, Dor -- or you, Victoria, Fred ever have a conversation in a car out in front of Miss Dorothy's house about how that Victoria's body was going to be Fred's and that she would have to sleep with him if she wanted to see his kid or her brothers and sisters?"

Is that what he asked you? 1 2 Yes, he did ask me that. 3 Hold on. I know. And I promise I'll give you 0 another opportunity to explain. 5 And your answer was no; is that correct? 6 Α Correct. 7 Q Okay. Meaning no because that wasn't exactly the sentence, 8 the conversation we had then the car. I mean, we had a 10 conversation, but that's not the exact words as I remember. Okay. And I understand that. You didn't want to 11 12 agree to something that he wasn't stating and wasn't correct; 13 right? 14 Α Right. 15 Okay. But did you then explain why he wasn't 16 Did you provide more information as to what actually 17 was said inside of this car? 18 Α No. I failed to do so --19 Okay. 20 -- to correct him or ask him --Α 21 Q Okay. I understand. 22 But he actually asked you more than once; right? 23 Didn't he ask you that question again, do you recall? 24 okay if you don't remember. Do you remember if he asked you 25 that question again? Same page.

A Yes.

- Q Okay. And so, since you had an opportunity to review it -- okay, do you recall that he gets a telephone call from someone, that he interrupts asking you questions because he's apparently talking to somebody else on the phone?
 - A The officer in the car?
- Q Yeah.
 - A Yeah. I think his phone rang.
- Q Okay. And so he says, "Okay. Since I'm doing an interview, so let me just turn this off, I think. Okay. I'm sorry." He says, "So you said --" and you said, "Since we're talking, I'm going to turn it off." Question, "You never saw -- you never heard that conversation happen? You never saw that happen?" And your answer was, "No." Do you recall that being correct, as what you said?
- A Yes. I said no because that wasn't the words that was said in the car.
- Q And I understand your testimony. However, this is the second time he's asked you; right?
 - A Right.
 - Q Okay. In fact do you remember saying that you don't know why Victoria would say that?
 - A Yes, I do remember.
- Q So when the detective asked you, "Do you know why Victoria's telling me that's happened," you remember telling

him, no, I don't know why -- or, no, I don't? 1 2 Α Yes. 3 Tina -- and I know these questions are Okay. 0 personal, but did Victoria ever tell you that she lost her virginity in Louisiana? 6 MS. LUZAICH: Objection. Rape shield. 7 THE COURT: Sustained. 8 MR. MacARTHUR: Your Honor, I should be able to respond. Can we approach? 10 THE COURT: Yeah. The objection's been sustained. (Bench conference) 11 12 MS. LUZAICH: We had a conversation about this. MR. MacARTHUR: I'm not talking about that -- I'm 13 14 not --15 THE COURT: [Inaudible] for those who are present at the bench. 16 17 MR. MacARTHUR: This is Tina's -- she's testified 18 first. We expect that another witness is going to say that 19 Victoria told her that she lost her virginity in Louisiana. 20 MS. LUZAICH: Objection. Rape shield. She's not 21 going to ever say that. 22 MR. MacARTHUR: Well, that would be a prior 23 inconsistent statement. Now, I was merely trying to avoid 24 bringing this witness back. 25 MS. LUZAICH: Your Honor, can we do this outside the 73

presence of the jury? 1 2 THE COURT: Yeah, we could. 3 (End of bench conference) THE COURT: At this time, ladies and gentlemen, 4 5 we're going to take a recess. During this recess you're admonished not to talk or converse amongst yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial, or read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial by any medium of 10 information, including, without limitation, newspapers, television, the Internet, or radio, or form or express any 11 12 opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you. 13 14 We are in recess until the court marshal tells you 15 otherwise. Thank you very much. 16 (Jury recessed at 2:41 p.m.) 17 THE COURT: And, ma'am, you can step down, but we're not done yet. 18 Just stay close by. 19 (Witness exited courtroom) The record will reflect that this 20 THE COURT: 21 hearing is taking place outside the presence of the jury 22 panel, as well as the witness. 23 The last question was something about Victoria 24 losing her virginity in --25 MR. MacARTHUR: Louisiana.

THE COURT: -- Louisiana. There was an objection to rape shield. So I'll hear from the State.

MS. LUZAICH: Mr. MacArthur and Ms. Allen and I had a conversation about this -- well, the topic in general before we came in from the lunch break, as well.

And I'll go back for one second. During opening statement Mr. MacArthur made comments about Victoria having been pregnant and had a miscarriage. I didn't object at the time because I didn't want to call attention to it. And I said to them, if you're going to go into any other sex acts other than Fred, the defendant, and at the time Victoria did the interview with the detective in September she was pregnant and has had a baby. Anything other than that, that is rape shield. And they absolutely can't go into any other sex acts.

So anything about losing virginity in Louisiana when she was like 11, there is absolutely no legitimate purpose for bringing that in above and beyond the she was pregnant and had a miscarriage during the time frame that the defendant was sexually abusing her. All of that is rape shield and absolutely inadmissible.

MR. MacARTHUR: Thank you, Your Honor. There are some exceptions to rape shield, first, such as in instances of prostitution where consent becomes the issue, rape shield can be sidestepped.

THE COURT: Tell me an exception that's applicable

1 here. 2 MR. MacARTHUR: And it's also under prior inconsistent statement. Now, I agree that the statement described by Miss Luzaich definitely occurred. There was no misunderstanding as to what it pertained to. However, this witness has testified that the defendant took Victoria's virginity. We expect Victoria's also going to say that the defendant took her virginity. However, we have another witness who is going to testify that Victoria told her that she had lost her virginity 10 11 in Louisiana. I'm asking this witness if she had been told 12 that, as well. And the exception is prior inconsistent statement, which is not covered by rape shield. 13 THE COURT: Anything else? 14 15 Anything else by the State? MS. LUZAICH: No. 16 THE COURT: Okay. The objection is sustained. And 17 18 we'll take a 15-minute recess, so 3:00 o'clock we'll start 19 again. 20 MS. LUZAICH: Thank you. 21 MR. MacARTHUR: All right. THE COURT: So that -- did she -- she didn't answer, 22 23 did she? 24 MS. ALLEN: No. 25 MR. MacARTHUR: She did not.

1	THE COURT: Okay. I just wanted to make sure.
2	MS. LUZAICH: No? I didn't hear.
3	MR. MacARTHUR: She didn't.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
5	(Court recessed at 2:45 p.m., until 3:03 p.m.)
6	(Jury is present)
7	THE COURT: Do the parties stipulate to the presence
8	of the jury panel?
9	MS. LUZAICH: Yes, ma'am.
10	MR. MacARTHUR: We do, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Okay. You may bring your witness back
12	in.
13	Okay. You may continue.
14	MR. MacARTHUR: Thank you, Your Honor.
15	BY MR. MacARTHUR:
16	Q All right, Ms. Duke. We've already had you refresh
17	your recollection more than once on the police report that you
18	gave or should I say the voluntary statement that you gave
19	in December 2011 or January 2012; right?
20	A Right.
21	Q Okay. I found the page I was missing earlier.
22	MS. LUZAICH: Objection. What are we
23	MR. MacARTHUR: Oh. I'm sorry. Page 19 and page
24	20, bottom of 19.
25	THE COURT: Okay. But we kind of just started with
	77
l	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1 you showing her that document. So is there a question pending? 3 MR. MacARTHUR: Yes, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 MR. MacARTHUR: I had asked a series of questions about whether or not Victoria had said that Fred was forcing 6 her to have sex with her. THE COURT: Okay. 9 MR. MacARTHUR: And I couldn't find a particular page, and we had refreshed with an alternate page. But I 10 found what it was I was looking for. And so, having 11 12 established that she did not recall having said that and having established that it did refresh her recollection, the 13 14 nature of that conversation, I'm resuming at the proper point in the conversation. 15 16 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. 17 MR. MacARTHUR: All right. And I apologize if that wasn't clear. 18 19 BY MR. MacARTHUR: 20 The last sentence -- or the last paragraph on 19 and 21 the top paragraph on 20. 22 Are you finished, Ms. Duke? 23 Α Yes. 24 Okay. Does that refresh your recollection as to the 25 conversation you were having with the Metropolitan Police 78

detective? 1 2 Α Yes, kind of. 3 0 Okay. 4 MS. LUZAICH: Maybe the Henderson detective? 5 THE COURT: With the police. 6 MR. MacARTHUR: Sorry. With the police, Judge. blame Ms. Allen. BY MR. MacARTHUR: Okay. Do you agree that at the bottom of page 19 10 you say, "About tardy or not going and somebody got in trouble 11 about that and, um, or -- and, um -- but I never seen Fred touch the children in a wrong way"? Am I right so far? 1.2 13 Α Yes. 14 Okay. And then he says, "Okay." And that's the statement. 15 Α 16 I understand. 0 17 Α He says, "Okay." 18 Q And then you say, "I've never seen that happen"; 19 right? 20 Α Right. 21 And then he says, "Okay. And you said that Victoria had said that Fred was making -- making passes at her. 22 she ever say that he was forcing her to have sex with him?" 23 And your answer, "No, she didn't tell me that. I don't 24 25 remember her saying anything like that because, um, I mean, 79

they were -- they would, um, bump heads. You know, they 1 would get in an argument, in a fight because either he didn't like the way he was she was disrespecting me or she didn't go to school, find out -- find out she didn't go to school, you know." Is that correct? 6 Yes, that's on the statement. 7 Q Okay. All right. Thank you for your patience, Ms. Duke. My next question is pertaining to your testimony last Thursday. You had said that the defendant put you out on the 10 streets to make money and support your children in spring of 11 2005. Do you remember saying that? 12 Α Yes. 13 0 And I asked you today whether it was 2005 and Okay. 14 2007. You remember me asking you that series of questions? 15 Α Yes, I do. 16 Okay. And you had told the police that it was in 2007; right? 17 18 Α Yes. In 2007. 19 So --20 Α But actually it was -- it started actually in 2005 21 and -- yeah, beginning of 2005. 22 Okay. As long as we're talking about the same

Now, you came back from Utah in August of 2007;

23

24

25

thing.

correct?

1 Α Yes. 2 Okay. And pretty quickly you got a job at Bally's doing housekeeping; is that correct? Α Yes. 5 Isn't it in fact correct that you were employed with Bally's from September 17th, 2007, until April 2011? 7 Α Yes. 8 Okay. And if you recall, what was the wage, what did they hire you at when you started a month after you came 10 back to Las Vegas? 13.75 or 13.13, 13.75. And then it jumped up to 11 A 12 15.15 an hour. 15.15? 13 0 14 Α Yes. 15 How quickly do you remember getting that raise? Do 16 you know when that came? Α 17 Due to performance and -- I think within about -- it gradually jumped. They put 50 cents, 50 cents every six 18 months, so it's --19 20 0 Okay. 21 -- about a few years --Α 22 Q Okay. 23 Α -- to 15.15. That's fine. 24 25 Α Until January -- until April 2011.

- Q Okay. So would it be fair to say that when you were first hired you were making about \$27,000 a year, and by the end of it you were making over \$31,000 a year?
 - A Yes.

- Q Okay. Now, you've also testified that Fred was making you prostitute in order to make money to support your children; is that correct?
 - A Yes, that's correct.
- Q Okay. And so the position at Bally's, was that full time?
- A It was -- it was steady -- they call it steady extra, but I was working almost like a full time. So it's here and there.
- Q So you were getting hours comparable to full time even if you weren't classified as a full-time employee?
 - A Yeah.
- 17 Q Okay.
- 18 A Yeah.
 - Q And so I presume that when you were having to act as a prostitute that this was either before or after working at Bally's.
 - A Oh. Oh. Yeah, it was. It was sometimes -- one time would be -- well, a few times -- not a few times, but most of the time I would be out in the streets until like when I come home -- if you want to call it home, but hell hole.

When I came from Bally's I would -- he said, well, you have to go tonight, you know, you have to, you know. So I'll be like from 9:00 p.m. all the way sometimes to -- until about 7:00 o'clock in the morning, and then I have to, you know, start my work at Bally's. And you know, call Candy, say, Candy, you need me to come in; yeah, Tina come in, it's, you know, full. So yeah. Many times it was like that. And then sometimes I would be out there from -- going to the casinos or walking the Strip or on Boulder Highway from 9:00 a.m., till I guess 3:00 o'clock in the morning, yeah. And them from 3:00 o'clock in the morning, you know, I was so pissed off, I was so mad many times that I would just -- from there I would just keep my clothes on. Because I would get dressed at my job. Just keep my clothes on, just walk away, just walk -- go in the house and just turn around and walk out because I didn't even want to see him, you know. Oh, yeah, slip the money under the door; yeah, uh-huh, yeah, I gotta get ready for work, you know, I gotta go to work, to Bally's, yeah. And I would go and work like I guess 24 hours a day most of the time. just really took a lot of me, and it's just so fucked up, uh-huh.

Q I understand.

1

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A And he would beat me, too, if I didn't have enough, or he would just -- it was just horrible.

Q Understood. I believe you testified on Thursday

that you were fired from Bally's. 1 2 Yes. And would you share with us why that would be Okay. you were fired? Why? Because when you're out there in the streets, Α 6 you know, and you are trying to work a 9:00 to 5:00, too, or 7 8:00 to 4:00 it's just going to drain you. And I just couldn't keep up with my rooms. Lot of weight on my feet, a lot of hurt on my body. I was doing from a few cars when I 10 was out there prostituting. But what did he care, you know? 11 Sometimes it was just --MS. LUZAICH: Objection. No guestion pending. 12 13 THE COURT: Sustained. MR. MacARTHUR: Court's indulgence. 14 15 BY MR. MacARTHUR: Ms. Duke, weren't you in fact terminated for theft? 16 0 Terminated for theft? 17 Α Yes. 18 • 0 19 Α I didn't steal anything. 20 I'm not asking you whether you did steal anything. I'm asking you isn't it in fact true that Bally's separated 21 22 you from your employment as a result of an allegation of 23 theft.

Do you -- do you remember Ms. Allen asking you

No. Not at Bally's.

24

- questions about your termination back at preliminary hearing?
- A Vaguely. I remember some of it.
- Q Do you remember telling her that you resigned?
- A Yes. They put it down as resigned. I had a meeting with the union, and so they put it down as resigned. It was -- it was fired, and then another word for being fired. And then when I had the meeting with the union they said, we're going to put it down as resigned.
 - Q Okay. Fred Harris in fact helped you get the job at Bally's, didn't he?
- 11 A Yeah.

2

3

4

7

10

12

13

14

- Q And because you started working at Bally's in September of 2007, he helped you get that job pretty fast, didn't he, from when you came back from Utah?
- 15 A I suppose yes, he did.
- Q Okay. Now, Tina, you had testified on Thursday that he was taking your money; is that correct?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And you said that he was taking all of it.
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q How did you pay your rent?
 - A At Bally's? I mean, when I was working at --
- 23 Q At Walnut or at St. Andrews, yeah, the apartments 24 that you lived in with Victoria. How did you pay your rent?
- 25 A From my job where I was working.

Q Okay. But you --

2.0

A At St. Andrews. And then when I was in the streets at Walnut he would come and take my money and then leave just enough. At one time I had to go and ask one of the help centers for -- to pay the rest of my rent because he said, I want your money, I know I need your money. So okay. So I gave it to him.

Q Let me ask you this. You've testified here in trial that the defendant took the money that you earned working in prostitute and that he also took the money that you earned from Bally's.

A Yes. And left me just enough to pay the rent or I guess whatever I needed to do.

Q But do you remember saying just a couple minutes ago that he -- you said that he was taking all of it.

A Yeah. All of it with just -- and then just enough to survive, what money I had to remain.

Q Okay. So receipts, for example, from your apartment complex would still have your signature on them? You were still paying that yourself?

A Yes. I would pay my rent.

Q All right. Now, at the time you were living on Walnut do you remember what the rent was monthly? Matter of fact, let me tighten that up for you. Do you remember if the rent was \$590 a month?

- A No. It was 710 or 725. If you're a few days late. That was Ms. Lewis at the Walnut Road apartments. She -- it was 715 to 725 a month.
- Q Okay. And you were able to pay that with the money that you were making at Bally's, yeah?
 - A Yeah.

2

3

4

5

6

- Q Ms. Duke, you had testified previously in this trial that you had had an intimate relationship with Fred, right, at various times in the past?
- 10 A Yes.
- Q When did that relationship dissolve? Do you remember when it was that the two of you ceased to be an item?
- A That -- that resolved -- that pretty much ended when I moved in Henderson.
- Q And when -- as best you're able, what year --
- 16. A Part of St. Andrews in Las Vegas here, but ended in 17 -- pretty much ended in Henderson.
- Q Do you know what years those might be?
- 19 A 2013 -- 2012.
- 20 Q 2012.

21

22

- A 2012. Between the end of 2011, 2012.
- Q Didn't you move to St. Andrews in North Las Vegas in August of 2010?
- 24 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And your relationship with Fred had already

petered out by the time you moved into St. Andrews, hadn't it?

- A Pretty much. Pretty much. We hardly seen each other.
- Q I understand. But previously you had said that you thought it petered out in 2012. And so now, remembering that you moved to St. Andrews in August of 2010 --
 - A Yeah.

- Q -- and the relationship had petered out before that; right?
- 10 A Just about.
 - Q Okay. Do you remember testifying in court back at the preliminary hearing that your relationship with Fred was falling starting in 2007, that you could tell it was falling apart?
 - A Yeah. I can tell -- he -- I thought it would be, you know, stronger since I came back --
- 17 Q Uh-huh.
 - A -- but other things took place. And, yeah, it was just weakening out, weakening out, getting worse, bad, worse, horrible.
 - Q Understood. What I want to make sure that I understand is that the end of this relationship started in 2010 -- I mean 2007 and was already done by 2010; right?
 - A Pretty much just dying out, just fading. Slowly fading.

1 And do you remember why it was that you thought it 2 was dying out in 2007? 3 Α Because the way he was treating me. 4 0 Okay. Now, with regard to the way he was treating 5 you --6 Different things that had happened. Α 7 -- isn't it in fact true that at this time he 8 decided that he was going to be with Ann or Lealer? 9 Α They were already friends. 10 Yes, I understand that. But was there a point at 11 which he decided that he was going to make -- I don't know how to put this delicate -- he was going to make Lealer his number 12 13 one girl, she was going to be the it girl? 14 Oh. Yes. I thought I was for a moment. 15 And again --16 But --Α 17 Sorry. Q 18 I guess he chose Ann. -- no. Which that's cool. 19 She can have him. 20 Okay. And again, that's in 2007; right? 21 Α Uh-huh. 22 Q Okay. And at that point --23 THE COURT: Was that a yes? THE WITNESS: Yes. 24 25 THE COURT: Thank you.

1 BY MR. MacARTHUR: 2 And at that point you started seeing someone else; 3 is that correct? Α Yes. 5 Okay. Now, I'm not going to get into details of 6 your relationship with this gentleman, but was this gentleman 7 approximately 25 years old? Am I thinking of the right 8 person? 9 MS. LUZAICH: Objection. Relevance. 10 THE COURT: Sustained. 11 MR. MacARTHUR: I'll move on, Judge. 12 BY MR. MacARTHUR: 13 Do you recall whether or not there was some conflict 14 as a result of you being in front of the Blankenship house in the company of this gentleman, some conflict between you and 15 Fred? 16 17 Between me and who? What gentleman? 18 Do you recall there being some conflict between you and Fred because you were in front of the Blankenship house in 19 20 this other gentleman's company? As I recall, it wasn't -- he didn't get out of the 21 Α 22 car --23 Right. 0 24 -- this person I was seeing. 25 Uh-huh. 0 90

- A Fred must have been looking out the door or something, I don't know. But they didn't fight or have a conflict or conversation together or anything like that.
- Q I understand. And I'm not asking if Fred was in a fight with the man. I'm just saying you remember the incident and you remember there being interaction between you and Fred over that topic.
 - A Yes.
- Q Okay. Now, you had testified last week that at times you've battled a substance abuse addiction; is that correct?
- 12 A Yes.

2

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q Okay. And I believe you characterized that as use of cocaine, downers, and sleeping pills?
 - A Yes. Cocaine, sleeping pills, [unintelligible] pills, drinking. Because I didn't like what I was doing, so had to do something.
 - Q Ms. Duke, isn't it in fact true that this other gentleman from in front of the house was a source for some of these substances?
 - A No.
 - O No?
 - A No.
- Q Okay. And you didn't bring these substances into the Blankenship house, did you?

A No. I didn't bring no drugs or anything in their house. I did -- I did have liquor. He would take pictures of it and port wine, which they helped themself to it, him and his cronies and homies, whatever.

- Q Okay. But that was alcohol; right?
- A That was alcohol.

- Q Okay. Last week you testified to a domestic battery where you said that Fred had -- I'm referring to the incident where you said that he threw his dirty brown workboot at you. Do you know what I'm talking about?
 - A Yeah. Uh-huh.
- Q Okay. And you'd said that he had hit you or had struck you and he threw something at you and that you were pretty severely injured; is that correct?

A That's correct. We were arguing. We had -- was talking about some paper from Social Security and that he -- he asked me about it, and I walked away. I said, I don't have to be bothered with this, I don't want to be bothered with it. So I walked away. He chased me out down the hall, grabbed my arm, shoved me. He said, bitch, where the fuck you going. And so I said, let me go, I'm just walking away. And so he pulled me back in the room and asked me another question. He sat down, then he started getting upset, throwing boots at me and like -- just horrible. You know, then he, you know, just, you know, pushed me down on the bed and started hitting me.

- Q I understand, Ms. Duke. If you would -- well,
 before I get to that question, you went to work the following
 morning, and you said that it was difficult to work because
 you'd had these injuries; right?

 A Yes.

 Q Okay. And your supervisor had on your behalf called
- Q Okay. And your supervisor had on your behalf called the police?
 - A Yes. They called security and then police.
- Q Okay. And was there an understanding that you would go back home and before you got there you would call the police so that they could arrive with you?
 - A Yes.

- Q Okay. And at that point -- did you follow their instructions?
 - A Yes.
- Q Okay. And at that point the police made contact with Fred; is that correct?
- A Correct.
 - Q Okay. If you would for the jury, please tell them what injuries you sustained as a result of Fred having done these things the day previous.
 - A My leg, my right leg was severely bruised, swollen, and I couldn't perform my duty at my job. And my rib cage was hurt. And I was just, you know, shooken up, you know. And --

hit you in the head; is that correct? 1 2 Yes. Hit me in the face. Okay. And so you were also injured as a result of the shoe having hit you in the head or in the face; correct? 5 Α Yes. I guess you can hardly see the bruise or -- it 6 was just like kind of reddish in my face, whatever you want to 7 call it. Okay. You'd also said that he hit you or slapped 8 0 you in the face on -- like more than once in that event? 10 In that same --11 Same -- in the same incident where the boot was 12 thrown at you you said you were also slapped in the face more 13 than once? 14 Α Yes. 15 Yes? 16 Α Yes. 17 And did you show, as best you were able, your Okay. 18 injuries to the police, or did they ask to see them? 19 Α Yes. 20 Okay. And I kind of messed that question up, 21 because I asked two questions instead of one. 22 So, first, did you show your injuries to the police? Yes, I did. 23 Α

them to them did they look at them?

Okay. And did they -- did they -- when you showed

24

- A Yes, they did. The thing they seen was on my leg. It was all purple. Horrible.
- Q Okay. And, lastly, was Fred arrested after their -- his contact with the police?
 - A No.

- Q I believe you testified last week that at a certain point you were then arrested for prostitution on October 24th, 2009; is that correct?
 - A It was September the 23rd.
- 10 Q September 23rd?
- 11 A 2009.
 - Q Okay. When you had contact with the police did you tell them that Fred was forcing you to working as a prostitute?
 - A No, I didn't tell them that. I didn't tell on him. Because he had my family.
 - Q Okay. Understood. Did you tell them that you were being manipulated because he was controlling your family?
 - A No, I don't think I said anything -- I don't think I said anything like that, because that would imply him, and then he's got my family and then I didn't know what was going to happen. So yeah.
 - Q I understand. However, in October of 2012, when you spoke with the police then, you did tell them all these things; right?

- A I don't remember.
- Q All right. Do you recall if you moved out of the Blankenship house soon after the incident where you had called the police from Bally's?
 - A I left for a few days.
 - O Uh-huh.

- A I had to come back, because didn't have no money and I couldn't make it. I didn't have any money, no money hidden or finagled at that time.
- Q Understood. Isn't it in fact also true that when you left your children did not want to leave with you?
 - A The younger ones. Yeah. Mahlica stayed.
- Q And I think that's there. Are we talking about Taharah and Taquanda?
 - A Yes. Taharah, Taquanda, Mahlica stayed, I think. Shabazz left, went back home, yeah.
 - Q If you could, just so that I'm oriented as to the time, the report that you made to the police initiated at Bally's, that domestic violence incident, is that about August of 2009?
 - A Yeah. I think it was on that time, I think.
 - Q Okay. So as of August of 2009, soon after that Taharah and Taquanda and maybe Mahlica or Shabazz, but specifically Taharah and Taquanda don't want to leave the Blankenship house. That's correct, right?

1	А	Correct.
2 :	2 Q	Okay. Do you remember what their reasons were for
3	not wanti	ng to leave?
4		MS. LUZAICH: Well, objection. Hearsay.
5	Foundation	n.
6		THE COURT: Sustained.
7		MR. MacARTHUR: Withdrawn.
8	BY MR. Mad	CARTHUR:
9	Q	Let's move forward about one year. So instead of
1.0	August 20	09, we're going to be talking about August of 2010.
11		Did there come a time in which you gave Miss Ann
12	guardiansl	nip of Taharah and Taquanda?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Okay. And was this temporary guardianship, or
15	permanent	guardianship?
16	A	Temporary.
17	Q	And were they in school at the time?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	And do you know what school they were going to?
20	А	Fitzgerald.
21	Q	And they wanted to be at the Blankenship house?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Okay. And were they getting good grades?
24	A	Yes, they were getting great grades, good grades.
25	Q	Okay. Now, when Fred and Lealer, Miss Ann, had

- temporary guardianship of your two youngest where were you living at that time?
 - A I was at the Blankenship address.
 - Q Okay. So initially you were --
 - A I moved to St. Andrews.
 - Q Okay. So initially you were living in the Blankenship address, and they had temporary guardianship of your two youngest, and those things were happening at the same time; right?
- 10 A Right.

3

5

6

7

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q Okay. And then you relocated. And did you go to -
 I mean, are you saying that you went to -- you didn't go to

 Blankenship, right, you went to St. Andrews?
 - A St. Andrews.
 - Q Okay. St. Andrews. And that would have been about August of 2010; correct?
- 17 A Correct.
 - Q Okay. Moving forward another year to December of 2011, this is when you have contact with the Henderson Police Department and you gave that voluntary statement we've been referring to this morning -- or at least the first one. You with me?
 - A Yeah.
 - Q Okay. Isn't it in fact the case that by this time you're financially struggling?

- A Pretty much. I wasn't making as much as I used to
 make.

 O Okav. But in fact you're still trying to give what
 - Q Okay. But in fact you're still trying to give what little money you can to Lealer; is that correct?
 - A Right. Because it was dwindling down. Just as much as I could give her.
 - Q Okay.

- A But during tax time when I got my taxes I had given Miss Lealer 400 for the children, and then I brought another 400, you know, 'cause it was tax time.
- Q I understand. Now, you had already been terminated from the Bally's; is that correct?
 - A Yes.
- Q Now, why were you giving say the money to Lealer instead of Fred?
- A Okay. He would have her pick it up.
- Q Okay. So is it fair to say you're thinking of them as essentially the same entity, they're the same person?
- A Yes.
- Q Okay.
- 21 A Yes.
 - Q Okay. Now, when you spoke with the -- I feel like I'm going to be wrong no matter which one I say -- Henderson detectives in December of 2011 do you remember telling them that Fred had never taken money against your will from you?

I don't remember that. 1 2 Okay. Would it refresh your relocation to be able 3 to see your statement? Α Yes. 4 MR. MacARTHUR: Okay. State, I'm going to bring 5 6 that voluntary statement, page 34. 7 BY MR. MacARTHUR: 8 Just the highlighted areas on the top. 9 Α Yes. 10 All right. And does that refresh your recollection 11 as to the conversation you were having with the detectives at 12 the time? 13 Α Yes. 14 Okay. And do you remember telling them that he'd 15 never come and taken money against your will and you didn't 16 steal food or food stamps or anything like that? 17 Yes. That's what's in the statement. 18 Q Okay. 19 But at that particular time sometimes he wouldn't, 20 sometimes he would at that particular time, date in that year. 21 Okay. But you clearly told the police that, no, he didn't do that? 22 23 Α Correct. 24 Okay. In fact --0 25 Α Maybe I should have been more pacific.

Okay. In fact, four lines later you said that, "He 1 2 didn't, you know, force me to do anything"; is that correct? 3 Stating to what -- that was on the top, the top sentence. I understand. But you said, "He didn't, you know, force me to do anything"; right? 7 Α Okay. Maybe I should have been more pacific with 8 that. I understand. Now, given that we're talking about 10 the events involving St. Andrews, which begins around August 11 of 2010, and your contact with the Henderson Police Department in December 2011, that's the time frame I'm asking about, 12 13 okay. 14 Α Okay. 15 At that point did you begin taking Victoria to psych 16 therapy? 17 Α She went a few times, 2010. She went a few times, 18 but she stopped going. 19 Okay. Do you know if she received any prescriptions 20 for medications as a result of that? 21 I believe she did. 22 And at this time Taharah and Taquanda are still at Blankenship with Lealer; is that correct? And Fred. 23 24 Α Correct. 25 Okay. Was -- in your opinion was Lealer a good

Τ	provider for your two youngest children?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Okay. And at the time on the Blankenship address
4	there was Fred, Lealer, Taharah, and Taquanda; is that
5	correct?
6	A Correct.
7	Q Didn't you in fact tell the police in Henderson in
8	December of 2011 that Ann couldn't be a better mama?
9	A True.
10	Q And that you wished you were more like her?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Now, in that same time period do you remember an
13	incident in which Victoria was very angry because she had a
14	dog and when she came home the dog was missing or the dog
15	wasn't there?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Okay. And wasn't she in fact angry at Fred because
18	she thought he had something to do with her dog being missing?
19	A Yes. She was angry.
20	Q Isn't it in fact true that the dog escaped while the
21	water utility people were visiting the apartment to either
22	turn something on or turn something off?
23	A Yes.
24	MS. LUZAICH: Objection. Foundation. Unless she
25	was there she can't testify.

1 THE COURT: Sustained. 2 BY MR. MacARTHUR: 3 Okay. Were you there? I was there, and I went -- Fred was I guess playing 4 Α 5 with the dog or something. I went and talked to the landlord people about the water. 7 0 Okav. 8 So and I wasn't paying any attention, so, if the dog 9 was gone or not, because Vicky had put the dog in her bedroom. 10 Okay. She said she put the dog -- I said, okay, Vicky, you 11 12 know, keep the dog in your bedroom and take him out and come 13 back. Okay. Well, let me ask you this. When you told the 14 police that the dog had escaped when the utility people came 15 16 in to turn something on or off were you saying that because you'd seen it, or was that just the conclusion you'd drawn? 17 18 I -- that's when I came to a conclusion thinking maybe he escaped then. 19 20 Okay. Now, you'd testified on Thursday that there came a point in which you'd had sexual contact with your 21 daughter; right? 22 23 Α Yes. 24 During that same portion of the testimony you 25 had testified that you were also sexually active with Fred; is

that correct? 1 2 Α Correct. 3 Ms. Duke, were there -- was there ever a time or multiple times where Victoria would force her way in or press her way into your room while you were having sex with Fred? 6 Α No. Not exactly. One of the incidents happened in 7 Henderson where he had came over and he had -- he was -- he wanted to be with me, he wanted to be with Victoria. I said, I'm not feeling this. I walked out. And that's -- I think I 10 had to go to work that day, that --11 Let me back you up a step, because you said 12 something about Fred wanting to be with you or with Victoria. My question was isn't it in fact true that on two occasions 13 14 that Victoria entered your room without permission while you 15 were having sex with Fred? Α I don't quite remember that. 16 17 Q Okay. Would it refresh your recollection to be able 18 to read your statement that you gave to the police in December 19 2011? 20 Α Okay. 21 Q Is that a yes? 22 Α Yes. 23 MR. MacARTHUR: State, page 26. And, while we're at it, 27. 24 25 11

BY MR. MacARTHUR:

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

20

21

22

23

- Q Ms. Duke, I'm going to hand you two pages, pages 25 and 26. There's one highlighted area on the first page, and two highlighted areas on the second.
 - A Okay.
- Q All right. Having read that, did it refresh your recollection of the conversation you had with the detective?
- A Yes.
- 9 Q Okay. And isn't it in fact true that on two
 10 different occasions Victoria had tried to push her way into
 11 your room while you were having sex with Fred?
 - A Well, it says that --
 - Q Is that a yes or a no?
 - A It's on the statement.
 - Q Are you saying that that's not true?
- 16 A I really just don't remember that.
- Q Okay. You in fact told the police that when she did that that you were stunned; is that correct?
- 19 A Yes.
 - Q Okay. Now, earlier in your testimony in response to a question from the State you said that you'd given multiple statements and that your second statement to the police in September 2012 may have been a bit more honest. You remember saying that?
- 25 A Yes.

1 Okay. Now, you would agree with me that by the time 2 you came to court and you were placed under oath in 3 preliminary hearing, that's after this point; right? Α Yes. 5 Okay. Do you remember being questioned by Ms. Allen 6 as to whether this incident involving Victoria pushing herself into your room while you were having sex with Fred, Betsy 7 8 Allen asked you, did that actually happen? Do you remember her asking you that? 10 Α Yes. Do you remember telling her under oath that, yes, 11 12 that actually happened? Yes. 13 Α 14 Okay. 15 Α Okay. 16 Isn't it in fact also true that when this happened 17 that it made you upset, that you were not happy with Victoria 18 for having done that? 19 Α Yes. In fact, it caused you to stop talking to 20 21 Victoria from the time that it happened all the way until you 22 spoke with the police in December 2011, didn't it? 23 Α Yes. Isn't it in fact also true that when Victoria pushed 24

her way into the room these two different times that it made

1 Fred very uncomfortable? Yes. 2 A 3 MR. MacARTHUR: Court's indulgence. (Pause in the proceedings) 5 BY MR. MacARTHUR: 6 Isn't it in fact true that Victoria has told you 0 that she really dislikes Fred or that she hates Fred? Α 8 Yes. Isn't it in fact also true that she has told you 10 that she intends to get Fred? 11 Α Yes. 12 Okay. Now, at the time of the December 2011 interview with the Henderson Police -- and, again, I don't 13 mean to pry, but isn't it in fact true that you had just 14 15 broken up with your boyfriend at the time in which you gave that statement? 16 17 Yes. Α 18 Okay. And this was somebody that you cared about or 19 that you were close to? 20 Α Yes. 21 And this person was not Fred? Q Okay. 22 Α No. 23 So with regard to the statement that you gave 24 in December of 2011 you were -- had just been in a relationship with a different person that was not Fred; right? 25

Α Yeah. Correct. 2 Moving forward to February of 2012, this is a month 3 or two after your first contact with Henderson Police Department with the recorded statement. You with me? Α Yes. 6 Okay. Didn't you in fact tell the police that a 7 long time ago Fred and you had been lovers? 8 Α Yes. MS. LUZAICH: Well, objection. Vague as to time. 9 10 THE COURT: Can you just -- I mean, she has 11 testified to that. Can you just clarify the time frame. 12 MR. MacARTHUR: Absolutely. 13 BY MR. MacARTHUR: 14 And when you told the police that a long time ago you had been lovers with Fred Harris what did you mean by 15 16 that? 17 I meant, well, we had sex, we would hang out, have sex, do things together. 18 19 Oh. And -- okay. I understand, you know, what 20 lovers do. What I mean is when you said "a long time ago" --21 Α Oh. A long time ago. 22 0 Right. 23 What -- how long -- what date, time are you talking 24 about? 25 THE COURT: That's the question.

BY MR. MacARTHUR: 1 2 That's the question. 3 Α Okay. 4 I'll put it a different way. When you were speaking with the detectives and you told them a long time ago you had been lovers were Fred, how long back did you mean? When did 7 you stop being lovers with Fred? 8 MS. LUZAICH: Well, objection. Vague as to time. What interview are we talking about? MR. MacARTHUR: We're talking about the December 10 11 2011 or January 2012 interview with the Henderson Police 12 Department. 13 MS. LUZAICH: Thank you. 14 MR. MacARTHUR: Voluntary Statement Number 1. 15 THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, this started in -- I met him in Louisiana 2004. 16 17 BY MR. MacARTHUR: And I don't want to make you recount everything 18 that's happened from 2004. What I really want to know is when 19 20 is it that you and Fred stopped being lovers. And you said 21 things were falling apart in 2007, you said they were done by 22 2010. So based on your recollection when was it that you and Fred ceased to be lovers? 23 24 Basically was 2010 it start subsiding, just going 25 away. I've seen him a few times, once or twice. I live far 109

away in Henderson, he lived way over there in Blankenship. 1 was about twice I seen him after 2010. 2 3 Okay. Is it fair to say that --0 2011 -- you know, we seen each other off and on, but it was just dying out. It was just -- I guess he was just trying to rekindle the flame or something. I don't know. don't. 7 Okay. 8 I understand. Would it be fair to say that 0 the relationship may have been over in 2010, but you might 10 have got hooked up with each other a couple of times after 11 that? 12 Α Okay. Yes. 13 Is that fair? Okay. 0 Okay. 14 MR. MacARTHUR: Court's indulgence. 15 (Pause in the proceedings) 16 MS. ALLEN: Actually, you know what, let's approach. 17 MR. MacARTHUR: Permission to approach, Your Honor. 18 (Bench conference) 19 MR. MacARTHUR: What was the year? We're in 2012. 20 We're looking at 2012. 21 MS. LUZAICH: [Inaudible]. 22 MR. MacARTHUR: No. Victoria gets pregnant in 23 February of 2012 and she miscarries after. We expect the rape shield objection. That's why we're trying to get -- and the 24 25 mom doesn't find out about the pregnancy or the miscarriage

until [inaudible]. She finds out when the miscarriage occurs. 2 MS. ALLEN: I think that in bringing this area out, 3 I to apologize, I'm going to have to hand you my phone, 4 because I don't have any other want to hand [inaudible]. 5 fact Mom testified that the conversation was that my client was going to take her virginity essentially I think it was --7 THE COURT: That what? 8 MS. ALLEN: That my client was taking her virginity. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Do you recall that? 10 MS. ALLEN: 11 THE COURT: Yeah, yeah, yeah. Okay. And there's Johnson v. State that 12 MS. ALLEN: 13 talks about rape shield and [inaudible], right. 14 THE COURT: Oh, geez. Thank you. 15 MS. ALLEN: You're welcome. MS. LUZAICH: Let me see. 16 17 MS. ALLEN: Okay. So the argument we made 18 previously, we had previously asked a question of Victoria and 19 she said she lost her virginity in Louisiana. The Court 20 denied us getting into that. And I think that this case 21 allows us to. I'm not sure that it necessarily applies to the pregnancy. I want to argue that it does, that there's 22 23 specific testimony she had [inaudible] -- and it's -- he 24 argued prior against this to the State, and it's not. more impeachment. We're talking about these people testifying

that my client took this girl's virginity, and if in fact 2 that's the case, it's pretty directly on point that if --3 THE COURT: It says, "Once [inaudible] testified 4 that she never had sexual intercourse prior to the night of 5 the alleged rape defense had a right to attempt to discredit testimony by showing --" but there's no evidence of that yet. Well, I know they tried to get into it. 8 MS. ALLEN: Right. Well, the mom testified that 9 that was the conversation, that he was taking her virginity. MS. LUZAICH: Well, but the conversation is that the 10 defendant said he was taking her virginity, not that Victoria 11 12 said it. Therefore, rape shield still applies. THE COURT: I think, though, it's different. 13 think that it's different if the victim says --14 15 MS. LUZAICH: Okay. So she --16 THE COURT: -- [inaudible] I mean, unless --17 MS. ALLEN: Yeah, she has testified it is her 18 virginity. So then I guess we would be able to get into it 19 with the [inaudible]. 20 THE COURT: Okay. And it's Johnson v. State 21 Oh. I apologize. Here. MS. ALLEN: THE COURT: 22 I just want to forward it to --23 MS. ALLEN: Yeah. I'm sure she has it. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 25 (End of bench conference)

1 THE COURT: Okay. You may continue. 2 MR. MacARTHUR: Thank you, Your Honor. 3 indulgence. BY MR. MacARTHUR: 5 Last week during your direct testimony we also heard about sexual contact between you and your daughter involving a two-sided brown dildo. You remember that? 7 8 Α Yes. 9 Isn't it in fact true that this device was Q Okay. 10 kept in a bag in your room? 11 Α True. 12 0 Okay. And how long did you have it, if you know? 13 Couple of years, I think. Α 14 Okay. Now, you said that this kind of contact had 15 happened twice? 16 Α That's right. 17 Okay. I believe you also testified that while you 18 and your daughter were directly involved that the defendant 19 was able to see you and that he was masturbating. 20 Α Correct. 21 Okay. And you've testified about that incident in a 22 previous court, right, at preliminary hearing? 23 Α Correct. 24 Do you recall stating that during the first of those 25 two interactions that the apparatus was inserted Victoria

1 first? 2 Α Correct. 3 Okay. And, conversely, you second? Α Correct. Have you ever discussed your testimony on that point with Victoria before coming into court? 7 Α No. Okay. In 2007, when Vic -- I'm sorry, not Vic --Fred chose to pursue a relationship with Lealer instead of you 10 did that make you angry? 11 A No, it didn't make me angry. It just made me sad, 12 because I thought that he loved me. 13 Okay. Isn't it in fact true that when this happened it made Victoria very angry? 14 15 MS. LUZAICH: Well, objection. Foundation. 16 Speculation. 17 MR. MacARTHUR: I'll ask another way, Judge. 18 THE COURT: Go ahead. BY MR. MacARTHUR: 19 20 When Fred decided to pursue a relationship with 21 Lealer instead of you, is this something that was known to 22 people in the two families? Did the kids know about it, did 23 Lealer and Fred know about it, did you know about it? 24 Α Yes. 25 Okay. And based on that shared knowledge of the 114

1 event isn't it in fact true that it made Victoria very angry? 2 MS. LUZAICH: Well, my objection to foundation is to 3 how it made Victoria feel. Victoria can testify how she felt. This witness cannot. 5 MR. MacARTHUR: I'll rephrase, Judge. 6 THE COURT: Thank you. 7 BY MR. MacARTHUR: 8 Did Victoria ever express herself in front of you in such a way that made you think it made her very angry? 10 Α Yes. 11 Ō. Okay. Lastly, thinking back on your testimony from last week, you remember the incident where you said that Fred 12 13 was forcing you to work as a prostitute and that if you did not have the amount of money he expected that he would beat 14 15 you? 16 Α Correct. 17 Okay. And I believe you said that on one particular instance that he beat you, he called you names, he was 18 19 belittling, and that he sodomized you. 20 Α Yes. 21 Okay. And the State asked a followup question of, 22 and by sodomize, what do you mean by that. You remember that 23 question? 24 Α Yes. 25 And I'm not asking you to explain it further. 115

1 just asking if you're following. 2 Yes. 3 Okay. And do you remember that your testimony was, he fucked me in my ass? 5 А Yes. 6 Okay. Now, during this cross-examination you've 0 7 acknowledged that you've given multiple statements before or you've testified in court before; correct? 8 Α Correct. 10 Okay. And afterward, during that same line of 11 testimony, you had said that the following day after you'd 12 been beat up that you had to go to work, which was difficult 13 because you had to be bright-eyed and bushy-tailed. 14 Α Correct. 15 And say hi to all the other co-workers like nothing 16 had happened. Remember testifying to that? 17 Α Correct. 18 Ms. Duke, are you aware that you testified to those 19 exact same statements verbatim back at the preliminary hearing 20 last year? 21 Α Yes. 22 Okay. And so you had already been questioned before by the State a year ago about and what do you mean by 23 24 sodomized; right?

25

Α

Right.

1 And you made the exact same statement last year, that, he fucked me in my ass; right? 2 3 Α Right. And you also made the same statement of you had to wake up and go to work and be bright-eyed and bushy-tailed. 6 Α Correct. 7 Okay. Ms. Duke, do you know if you said the same thing verbatim in your voluntary statement in October of 2012? 8 Do you know if that happened? 10 Maybe I -- I can't quite remember, but maybe I did. You mean that Henderson interview? 11 12 Yes, ma'am. Well, perhaps. The interview that you gave in October of 2012 to the police, whichever agency that 13 14 might have been. Do you remember whether you told him that 15 after he'd sodomized you that it was difficult because the next day you had to get up and be bright-eyed and bushy-tailed 16 17 and say hello to your co-workers and act like nothing had happened? 18 19 Ά Yes. 20 You do remember saying it exactly that way? 21 Α I believe so. I think. 22 Okay. Fair enough. Ms. Duke, were you ever Q 23 arrested in this case related to these types of charges? 24 Α Was I ever arrested --

In relation to the facts of this case?

1 Α You mean prostitution? 2 No. I realize those are -- that's one of the facts. Let me be a bit more specific. Were you ever charged with sexual assault of a minor? 5 Α No. 6 Okay. Were you ever charged with incest? 0 7 Α No. 8 Okay. Were you ever charged with kidnapping? 9 Α No. 10 Or use of alcohol with the intent to commit a crime? 11 A. No. 12 Do you know, and only if you know, why you weren't 13 charged with those things but Fred was? 14 MS. LUZAICH: Objection. That she absolutely can't. 15 THE COURT: Sustained. 16 MR. MacARTHUR: Okay. 17 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. MacARTHUR: 18 19 Have you been told by the District Attorney that you would not be criminally charged in this case? 20 21 What are you -- what are you saying? understand the question. 22 23 Well, you've had occasions to have direct contact with the prosecutor in this case; right? You've spoken with 2.5 her in pretrial conferences before you come to court and

1	testify;	right?
2	А	Correct.
3	Q	Has she ever told you that she would not charge you
4	with any	crimes?
5	А	I think I believe that she was telling me we'll
6	see what	happens in court.
7	Q	See what happens in court.
8	A	As I remember, as I recall.
9		MR. MacARTHUR: Okay. Court's indulgence.
10		(Pause in the proceedings)
11	BY MR. N	MacARTHUR:
12	Q	One thing further, Ms. Duke. Do you write?
13	А	Yes, I do write.
14	Q	Okay. And when I say write I mean like books or
15	novels.	
16	A	Yes. I've written my first book completed, finished
17	it, and r	my publicist my publisher has it, my producer has
18	it.	
19	Q	Okay. And have you written and submitted just one
20	book, or	more than one book?
21	А	One so far. I'm working on my second one.
22	Q	Okay. And these books that you write, are they
23	fiction,	or nonfiction?
24	А	It's based on a true story with a twist.
25	Q ¹	Okay. So it's based true, but there may be some
		119

1	fiction included?		
2	A Yes, at the end of the book.		
3	Q Okay. In either of these two books is Fred Harris a		
4	character?		
5	A No. Well, the second one, I'm not done with it, but		
6	I just started my second book. But my first book he's not		
7	in the book.		
8	Q He's not featured in that one at all?		
9	A No.		
10	Q Okay. And the second book you're not finished with,		
11	based on what you've written so far is Fred Harris an existing		
12	character in that?		
13	MS. LUZAICH: Objection. Can we approach?		
14	THE COURT: You may.		
15	(Bench conference)		
16	THE COURT: All four lawyers are present.		
17	I thought we dealt with this.		
18	MS. LUZAICH: Yeah. This is the first time I ever		
19	heard of a second book.		
20	THE COURT: And you're objecting to the second		
21	MR. MacARTHUR: She told us about it.		
22	THE COURT: Okay. And we're talking about the		
23	second book that she's not done with?		
24	MR. MacARTHUR: Right.		
25	THE COURT: She's working on?		

MS. LUZAICH: So it's not a book.

MR. MacARTHUR: Well, she told us it was autobiographical and that -- well, she didn't say he was a character. He said he was a character. I just asked her if she --

THE COURT: Who said he's a character?

MR. MacARTHUR: The defendant said he was a character in the second book. She --

THE COURT: How does he know?

MR. MacARTHUR: I don't know how he knows, but --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MacARTHUR: I'm just giving you all the information I have.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MacARTHUR: She says that she's writing her second book. She told that to us. And she didn't tell us that Fred was in it. We asked Fred if he knew how -- he said, yes, he is in it. And so part of my questions are to find out whether that's true. Based on her -- I'm almost done. Based on her most recent answer it sounds like he's going to be a character but he may not be yet. If he's not a character yet, I don't think I can really ask any questions. If he is a character, I think I can. But --

MS. LUZAICH: There's no relevance whatsoever to the second book.

1			
1	THE COURT: I'm just wondering what		
2	MS. ALLEN: Goes to her truthfulness.		
3	THE COURT: I'm sorry. What?		
4	MS. ALLEN: I would say it goes to her truthfulness.		
5	MS. LUZAICH: Why are you asking what they wanted		
6	from the first book was the title, and she was just very clear		
7	he's not in the first book.		
8	MR. MacARTHUR: The second book that's the title. $ angle$		
9	MS. LUZAICH: No. It's the first.		
10	MR. MacARTHUR: Oh. I thought the second book was		
11	"Secret Revenge."		
12	THE COURT: Oh. Was "Secret Revenge."		
13	MR. MacARTHUR: Right.		
14	THE COURT: Right. Something like that. Okay.		
15	MR. MacARTHUR: Okay. Then I won't be asking the		
16	first book.		
17	THE COURT: Okay. What is it you want to get into		
18	about the second book?		
19	MR. MacARTHUR: If he's a character, I'd like to		
20	know if it's autobiographical or if it's fictional.		
21	MS. LUZAICH: What's the relevance? What's the		
22	relevance.		
23	MR. MacARTHUR: Well, because to sell books you've		
24	got to have to have a good plot line. Salaciousness		
25	[inaudible].		

1 THE COURT: And so what helps? 2 MR. MacARTHUR: Salaciousness. 3 THE COURT: And so are you trying to get to if this 4 trial goes -- I don't know. 5 MR. MacARTHUR: Remember that our theory is that 6 this accusation by Victoria is brought on by a revenge motive 7 and that she's incorporated her mother. So, you know, in a perfect world I would love for her to tell me that, yes, I'm 8 writing a second book, and, you know, Fred's in it and that 10 somehow what's going on here is going to help me get that book 11 published. That's what I'm hoping she'll say. I doubt she's going to say that, and I'm not going to push it. 12 13 THE COURT: Okay. What I'll allow you to ask her is 14 -- and [inaudible] if it's a memoir or truth --15 MR. MacARTHUR: Right. 16 THE COURT: And then the first book she's already 17 said is fiction. 18 MR. MacARTHUR: Well, she said it was based on fact 19 and it has sexual --20 THE COURT: A twist at the end to me means fiction. 21 MR. MacARTHUR: Right. And I took it that way. 22 THE COURT: Okay. So you can ask her if it has 23 anything to do with this trial. 24 MR. MacARTHUR: Okay. I'll do that. 25 THE COURT: Okay. And then if she says yes, then

1 you have to approach the bench again. 2 MR. MacARTHUR: Okay. 3 (End of bench conference) 4 BY MR. MacARTHUR: 5 0 Okay. Ms. Duke, you were talking about the second book. And first let me ask you is Fred Harris a character in 6 7 that book? 8 Α Not yet. 9 Q Okay. 10 Not yet. I just started my second book. 11 Okay. And will the -- will facts from this trial be 12 included as part of the plot line in the book? 13 No. Α 14 Okay. After the charges brought against Fred Harris 15 isn't it in fact true that CPS placed Taharah and Taquanda into foster care? 16 17 Correct. Α 18 Okay. And have they been returned to your custody? 0 19 Α Yes. 20 0 Okay. And when were they returned to your custody? 21 Α October the 26th. 22 Of last year? 23 2013. Α 24 And, Ms. Duke, is your participation in this trial 0 as a witness part of the requirements of completing the CPS? 124

1 Α Can you repeat that? You had to accomplish certain things in order to be 3 reunited with your children; is that correct? Yes. Correct. Α 5 Okay. Was cooperation in this case one of the things that you had to do in order to be reunited with your children? 8 Α I don't understand the -- meaning -- you are stating to me meaning that did I have to participate in this court --10 this criminal court in order to get my children back? 11 Yes, ma'am. 12 No. It's Family Court is different. It's different Α from criminal court. 13 I understand that they're different. 14 15 In order to get my kids back? No, I don't think so. 16 Not necessarily. It's classes, I had to go to classes, I 17 believe I had to go to Safe Nest parenting class therapy. 18 THE COURT: I think you've answered his question. 19 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 20 MR. MacARTHUR: All right, Your Honor. 21 BY MR. MacARTHUR: 22 And lastly, Ms. Duke, have you used any prescription 23 medications in the past 24 hours? 24 Α No, I haven't. 25 Okay. And have you used any controlled substances

that are not prescription in the past 24 hours? 2 No, I haven't. 3 MR. MacARTHUR: Thank you for your patience, Ms. Duke. I no longer have any questions for you. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 6 State may begin their redirect. 7 MS. LUZAICH: Thank you. 8 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. LUZAICH: 10 Tina, at the time of the preliminary hearing in this 11 case way back in like June 2013 you were appointed an attorney 12 to represent you and your interests before you testified; 13 correct? Α Correct. 14 15 And I never told you that I was not -- that you were 16 not going to be --17 MR. MacARTHUR: Objection, Your Honor. Leading. 18 THE COURT: I'm going to allow her to answer. 19 Go ahead. 20 BY MS. LUZAICH: 21 I never told you that you were not going to be 22 prosecuted; correct? 23 Α Correct. 24 You were never offered immunity, anything of that 25 nature; correct?

1 Α Correct. 2 And you talked -- you testified after consulting 3 with your attorney completely independent of me and the State of Nevada: correct? Α Yes, ma'am. Correct. 6 And what you and Mr. MacArthur were just talking 7 about regarding Family Court and CPS, that is also completely independent of criminal court and me; correct? Α Yes. 10 You said you got your children back in October of 2013 --11 Yes, ma'am. 12 Α 13 -- so that's months ago --0 14 Α Yes. 15 -- correct? Correct. 16 Α And you're still here. 17 Q 18 Α Yes. 19 Now, when Mr. MacArthur was just asking you about 20 how you testified at the preliminary hearing and did you describe in the same way being sodomized as you did here, was 21 22 that an event that you remember fairly -- fairly clearly in your mind? 23 24 Yes. Because that's a painful event. 25 horrible.

And is that why you testified and described it the 1 2 same here as you did there? 3 A Yes. And then having to deal the next day with going to 4 work in that condition, is that also something that you 5 6 remember very clearly? 7 Α Yes. Because it was painful and hurtful. 8 THE COURT: If you have an objection, you can make 9 it. MR. MacARTHUR: I'll reiterate the objection, Judge. 10 11 I believe this line of questioning has been leading. 12 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MS. LUZAICH: 13 Tell me why have you described it the same 14 0 15 today as you did at the preliminary hearing? Because it's very painful, very hurt physically and 16 Α 17 mentally hurt. Mr. MacArthur asked you about when you discovered 18 19 that the defendant was involved in a relationship or chose, I 20 quess, Ms. Cooks over you how you felt. You said that you felt sad. 21 22 Α Yes. I felt hurt, sad. 23 0 You said because you thought he loved you? 24 Α I thought he really loved me. 25 What made you think that? Q

1	A I thought because he you know, he brought me down
2	here, he said, well, I love you, I need you to come down here,
3	you can make more twice as much as you that you're
4	making up in Utah and I need you, I miss you, I love you. And
5	I thought [unintelligible] had changed, things is changed and
6	yeah.
7	Q You believed him when he told you that?
8	A Yes, I believed him. And found out different.
9	Q You'd mentioned that when you had met him back in
. 10	2004 that was when you and he had began a sexual relationship.
. 11	When is the last time that you remember engaging in sexual
12	activity with him?
13	A The very, very last time?
14	Q Best you can remember.
15	A It was 2012 wait a minute. 2012, mid mid
16	2012.
17	Q Where? Where were you living at the time? Which
18	location were you living in?
19	A I was at the Henderson, the Lakewood Cove.
20	Q Okay. And do you recall the last time that you
21	engaged in any kind of sexual activity with the defendant?
22	Was it before you had talked with the Henderson Police
23	Department, or after you talked to the Henderson Police
24	Department?

A It was I think -- let me think. It's been so long

```
ago. It was -- I think -- I think it was before I talked to
 1
 2
    the -- I think it was before I talked to the Henderson Police
    Department.
              Okay. And after you talked to the Henderson Police
 4
         0
    Department did Victoria live somewhere else?
 6
         Α
              Yes. Yes. She was living somewhere else.
 7
              Where was she living?
         0
 8
              She was staying with Miss Rose, someone -- I didn't
         Α
    know exactly where she was at, but she --
              But with Miss Rose?
10
11
         Α
              Miss Rose.
12
              Okay. And that was the lady that took Victoria to
13
    the police so that she could tell what happened.
14
         Α
              Yes.
15
              You said that Victoria had told you that she
    disliked Fred. When did she tell you that she disliked Fred?
16
17
        A
              She just said it a few times. She said it multiple
    times.
18
19
         0
              Okay.
                     Was it after he started having sex with her?
20
         Α
              It was before, after. Both times.
21
         0
                     Was it after he made her have sex with you
22
    and a dildo?
23
              MR. MacARTHUR: Objection. Leading.
              THE COURT: Sustained.
24
    11
25
                                  130
```

1	BY MS. LUZAICH:		
2	,Q	Okay. Mr. MacArthur asked you about that the	
3	two-sided	dildo. Where did it come from?	
4	A	Fred got that from some adult shop.	
5	Q	Okay. Describe it for me.	
6	A	It's a two-headed penis.	
7	Q	And what color is it?	
8	A	Brown.	
9	Q	And how big is it?	
10	A	I guess about this big, I think.	
.11	. Q.	Okay. You have your two hands out. More than a	
12	foot long? Would you agree that your two hands are more than		
13	a foot away from each other?		
14	A	About a foot long, I think. I	
15	Q	Okay. And how many times did you use it with	
16	Victoria?		
17	A	I used it a few times. We Fred used it a few	
18	times.		
19	Q	Whose idea was it for you to use it with Victoria?	
20	A	Fred.	
21	Q	You said that Victoria pushed her way into your room	
22	while you	were having sex. When was that?	
23	A	That was I think late 2011, I think.	
24	Q	Where?	
25	A	Henderson. At Lakewood Cove.	

1 Q And then what happened? Then I just -- just got up and walked away. 2 3 And then what? Then I -- I just started my day, started whatever I 4 Α needed to do on that particular day. Well, did you go to work? 6 0 7 I believe I had to get ready to go to work, because 8 I worked --Work where? 0 -- I worked swing at Monte Carlo, Sbarro's Pizza. 10 When you were talking about Victoria's dog, where 11 12 was that? 13 A That was at the St. Andrews -- St. Andrews 14 apartment. 15 Okay. And the day that the dog got out weren't you 16 having sex with Fred that day while the dog got out? MR. MacARTHUR: Objection, Your Honor. Leading. 17 THE COURT: Sustained. 18 19 BY MS. LUZAICH: 20 Did you have sex with Fred that day? 21 MR. MacARTHUR: Objection, Your Honor. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained. 22 23 BY MS. LUZAICH: Did you do anything that day with Fred? 24 25 MR. MacARTHUR: Objection, Your Honor. Leading. 132

That's not leading. You can answer that 1 THE COURT: 2 question. 3 THE WITNESS: I think so. Maybe. I think so. BY MS. LUZAICH: Did you tell the police that you did? 5 6 Α Maybe. Yeah. 7 You said earlier that when you gave your statement to the Henderson Police Department -- I think your words were that to your -- to the best of your ability you told them the truth. 10 Α Yes, ma'am. 11 12 You didn't -- did you tell the Henderson Police 13 Department that you had sex with Victoria and a two-sided 14 dildo? 15 I don't remember telling the Henderson Police that. 16 Q Yeah. But you told Metro that; right? 17 Α I believe so. 18 Did you tell the Henderson Police Department that 19 you had oral sex with Victoria? 20 Α I don't remember saying that. 21 But you told the -- did you tell Metro that? 0 22 Metro. I believe it was Metro. 23 Did you tell the Henderson Police Department that --24 well, that Fred masturbated -- well, that Fred wanted you to

have sex with Victoria?

1 Α Metro or --2 No. Did you tell the Henderson Police Department 3 that Fred wanted you to have sex with Victoria? 4 I don't remember if I told him he wanted me to have sex with her. 6 Did you tell the Henderson Police Department Fred 7 wanted you to have sex with Victoria? 8 Α I don't know if he asked me that or if told him 9 that, the Henderson Police Department. 10 Did you watch Victoria have sex with Fred? 11 Α Yes, I did. 12 Q On more than one occasion? I believe it was more than one occasion. 13 Α 14 Q You believe? Was it a lot of occasions? 15 It was a few occasions, I remember. Α 16 Q Mr. MacArthur asked you questions about a 17 conversation in a car with Fred, you, and Victoria. 18 were you living at the time that that conversation took place? 19 Α We were at Miss Dorothy's house. 20 0 Okay. So you were living at Miss Dorothy's house at 21 the time? 22 Α Yes. 23 Where were you and Fred and Victoria in the car when 24 that conversation took place? MR. MacARTHUR: Objection, Your Honor. 25 Refers to

facts not in evidence. Her previous testimony was that that conversation never happened.

MS. LUZAICH: No, it wasn't.

THE COURT: Overruled. You may proceed.

BY MS. LUZAICH:

- Q I'm not trying to confuse you. You and Victoria were in the car --
 - A Yes.
- Q -- in his car. Where were you and Fred and Victoria in the car? Where was the car located when you had that conversation?
- A I believe it was in front of her apartment, side of her apartment. It's like the apartment where she was living at, it had like a sidewalk, then you have to park kind of front side like. So it was in front of her apartment.
- Q And earlier you said that when you were talking to the Henderson Police Department about that conversation you didn't agree with it because what you explained Mr. MacArthur was it wasn't the exact words that were used.
 - A Exactly.
 - Q What words do you remember were used?
- A As I remember, it was, it's my fantasy, I want to have sex with Victoria. And he was demanding it. He said that, you know, he would hurt me, he would hurt my family, kill me and Victoria, just a lot of horrible things.

- 1 And when the Henderson detective asked you about that he didn't use all of those words that you just described 3 for us? Α Right. He didn't use all of the words. 5 Correct. And you know that because you were just given the opportunity by Mr. MacArthur to review the 7 transcript --Correct. 8 Α -- and none of those words were in it; correct? 10 Correct. 11 Mr. MacArthur also asked you about a conversation in 2005 where Victoria and Miss Ann and Miss Dorothy and you, 12 potentially, were involved in a conversation about Victoria 13 talking about Fred; correct? 14 15 Α Correct. 16 I mean, just so that we're on the same page. 17 Α Yes. 18 And Mr. MacArthur showed you a transcript where you 19 said something to the Henderson Police Department about Fred
 - A Yes.

you remember that?

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q And you said that you also told the Henderson Police Department that Victoria told you that he had fondled her; correct?

making a pass at Victoria, that Victoria had said that. Do

1 Α Correct. 2 MS. LUZAICH: I'm sorry. Just a second. 3 (Pause in the proceedings) 4 MS. LUZAICH: Page 21 of the Henderson --5 May I approach? 6 THE COURT: You may. 7 MS. LUZAICH: Thank you. 8 BY MS. LUZAICH: 9 I'm showing you page 21 of the Henderson Police 10 Department interview. Can you look at the top of the page and 11 tell me did you tell the Henderson detective that -- or 12 actually why don't you just read it for us. Which one? 13 Α 14 And the answer. 15 Okay. The answer is, "But when I went to court in Α Utah -- because I know you guys have this on computer or 16 17 record, when I went to court in Utah and the children were 18 tooken from me she had mentioned -- she was making an allegation about her and Fred had -- Fred had fondled her and, 19 20 you know." And then there's a question --Okay. So --21 0 -- and it goes on. 22 23 -- back in that Henderson Police Department 24 interview you did tell the Henderson detective that Victoria 25 told you that Fred had fondled her; correct?

137

1 Α Correct. 2 When you came back from Utah whose idea was it for 3 you and Victoria to live somewhere other than Blankenship? It was Fred, Fred's idea and Ann's idea. Α Where did you -- when you came back from Utah where 5 0 did you think you were going to live? 7 Α I thought I was going to live with Fred. 8 Q You had said that there were occasions that you and 9 Fred and Miss Ann had sex together. Where was that? 10 Α That was on Trish Lane. 11 So that was before you went to Utah; correct? 0 12 Α Correct. 13 Did that happen again when you came back from Utah? O 14 Α No. 15 When you talked to the Henderson Police Department about Miss Ann Mr. MacArthur said that you described her as a 16 17 good provider or somebody who took good care of your kids, would you agree? 18 19 Α Yes. 20 Did you tell that to Metro, as well? 21 Α Yes. 22 Do you still believe that? 23 I believe that. I kind of -- after all what has 24 happened and all I believe the part of it -- part of it --25 just partly. Part of it is the one where she would, you know,

1 help them with homework, make sure they get off to school, they make good grades. She would cook for them, you know. 3 All right. So she did. She cooked for them, she took care of them, she helped them. 4 5 Α Uh-huh. 6 Was she there when Fred was having sex with you at 7 the apartment? 8 MR. MacARTHUR: Objection. 9 THE COURT: Overruled. You can answer. 10 THE WITNESS: Was Ann --11 MR. MacARTHUR: Your Honor, the basis of the objection, just for the Court's record, was foundation. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Overruled. You can answer. 13 BY MS. LUZAICH: 14 15 So I'll be more specific. Was Miss Ann there at the St. Andrews apartment when Fred had sex with you? 16 17 Α No. 18 Was Miss Ann there at the St. Andrews apartment when 19 Fred had sex with Victoria? 20 Α No. 21 Was Miss Ann there at the Walnut Street apartment 22 when Fred had sex with you and Victoria? 23 Α No. 24 Was Miss Ann there at the efficiency when Fred had

sex with you and Victoria?

1	A No.		
2	THE COURT: Okay. I think at this is this a good		
3	time to conclude, or		
4	MS. LUZAICH: You know, I was just going to ask like		
5	one more question and then be done.		
6	THE COURT: Go ahead. Go ahead.		
7	BY MS. LUZAICH:		
8	Q All of these occasions that you and Victoria and the		
9	defendant had sex at whatever the locations, would Fred stay		
10	over, or would he then go home?		
11	A He would go home.		
12	Q And at St. Andrews in Henderson after he had sex		
13	with you would he stay there, or would he go back to		
14	Blankenship?		
15	A He would go back to Blankenship.		
16	MS. LUZAICH: Thank you.		
17	I'd pass the witness.		
18	THE COURT: Mr. MacArthur, how much time do you		
19	think you need?		
20	MR. MacARTHUR: I think that we can finish this		
21	witness today before 5:00 o'clock, Judge.		
22	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.		
23	RECROSS-EXAMINATION		
24	BY MR. MacARTHUR:		
25	Q Just with regard to the testimony you just gave, Ms.		
	140		

Duke, when the State asked you just now when was the last time 1 2 you had sex with Fred you said that that was mid 2012; is that correct? Α I'm thinking perhaps mid 2012 or the beginning of 4 5 2012. 6 Q Okay. 7 I can't quite remember. 8 0 I understand. Would you agree with me that Victoria 9 made her allegation to the Henderson Police Department that 10 Fred had raped her in December of 2012 -- I mean, sorry, 2011? 11 Henderson Police made me aware of that when -- I was 12 coming home from work and they made me aware of that. Okay. But you remember that being December of 2011; 13 is that correct? 14 15 Α I think so. 16 Okay. 0 17 Yes. I think so. Α And yet you still having -- you still recall having 18 19 a one-off sexual relationship with Fred in the middle of 2012; 20 correct? I believe so. 21 Α 22 Okay. Q 23 It was either -- it was either -- I just can't 24 remember the exact date.

I understand.

That time is -- I've been through much. 1 2 Okay. And lastly, with regard to the State's question on Victoria having pushed her way into your room, you remember that line of conversation? 5 Α Yes. 6 Okay. Isn't it in fact true that you did not want 7 Victoria to come into the room? [Inaudible]. 8 Α I'm just going to need you to speak up. 10 Α True. Okay. And isn't it in fact true that Fred did not 11 want her to come in the room, he was visibly uncomfortable? 12 13 Α True. Okay. And so with regard to Victoria coming into 14 15 the room and wanting to get involved, you didn't want that to happen; is that fair? 16 Α 17 Yes. Okay. And so with regard to the two instances in 18 which Victoria pushed her way into the room, that was what 19 Victoria wanted to do; right? 20 21 A I believe so. 22 MR. MacARTHUR: I have no further questions. 23 you, ma'am. 24 Court's indulgence. I may have one. 25 (Pause in the proceedings)

BY MR. MacARTHUR:

Q There is one last thing, Ms. Duke. I think during almost the entire cross-examination I've been referring to this voluntary statement that you either made in December of 2011 or January 2012. Remember that? That's how I've been describing it?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Isn't it in fact true that this statement was actually made on December 22nd, 2011? Does that appear to be correct?

- A Oh. When I was in the car with the attorney?
- 12 Q I'm not sure where you were.
 - A He's talking.
 - Q I can't see that. But when you gave the -- when you were inside the car giving your recorded statement, probably to a Henderson police officer, it was December 22nd, 2011; is that correct?
- 18 A Pretty much, yes.
 - Q Okay. I understand that you said pretty much, and I'm not trying to nit-pick, but this is the only statement you made on that day; right?
 - A Yes.
 - MR. MacARTHUR: Okay. No further questions, Your Honor.
- 25 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. Thank you

1 very much for your testimony here today. You may step down. 2 MS. ALLEN: Your Honor, can we approach just 3 briefly? I apologize. THE COURT: I'm sorry. Do I have any questions from 5 the jury panel? Okay. 6 JUROR NUMBER 7: In regards to the case, or just in 7 general? THE COURT: 8 No. For this witness. I thought the clerk told me that maybe there is a question. 10 Okay. So thank you very much for your testimony 11 here today. You may step down. You are excused from your 12 subpoena. Thank you for the testimony that you provided to 13 this jury panel. 14 (Bench conference) 15 MS. ALLEN: We may have to recall her, and so I -- I listed her on my witness list. We did try to talk to her. 16 17 She [inaudible] phone. I would just ask for the State's cooperation. I don't mind sending a cab to get her and paying 18 19 for that, because I know her investigator's had some problems. THE COURT: Okay. So the State would cooperate 20 21 with --22 MS. ALLEN: Yeah. I just may need to recall Tina. 23 MS. LUZAICH: [Inaudible] best --THE COURT: She --24 25 MS. ALLEN: And obviously I'll make arrangements, if

you want me to. But I just -- that's what I wanted to say. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. 10:30 good for everybody 3 tomorrow? MS. ALLEN: 10:30. Yeah. 5 THE COURT: Okav. (End of bench conference) 6 7 THE COURT: At this time, ladies and gentlemen, we 8 are going to conclude for the evening. We're going to start tomorrow morning at 10:30. During this recess you're admonished not to talk or converse amongst yourselves or with 10 anyone else on any subject connected with this trial, or read, 11 watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial 12 13 or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspapers, 14 15 television, the Internet, or radio, or form or express any 16 opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the 17 case is finally submitted to you. 18 Thank you very much, and we'll see you tomorrow 10:30. You can come right up to the fourteenth 19 20 floor. Thank you and you're excused. 21 (Court recessed at 4:56 p.m., until the following day, 22 Tuesday, April 1, 2014, at 10:30 a.m.) 23 24 25

INDEX

NAME	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
STATE'S WITNESSES				
Tina Rene Duke		2	126	140

* * *

CERTIFICATION

I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE AUDIO-VISUAL RECORDING OF THE PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.

AFFIRMATION

I AFFIRM THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT DOES NOT CONTAIN THE SOCIAL SECURITY OR TAX IDENTIFICATION NUMBER OF ANY PERSON OR ENTITY.

FLORENCE HOYT
Las Vegas, Nevada 89146

FLORENCE M. HOYT, TRANSCRIBER

12/28/15

DATE

Electronically Filed 12/30/2015 08:21:00 AM

Alun D. Burn

TRAN

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
* * * * *

CLERK OF THE COURT

THE STATE OF NEVADA

Plaintiff

CASE NO. C-291374

VS.

DEPT. NO. XII

FREDERICK HARRIS, JR.

Defendant

Transcript of Proceedings

BEFORE THE HONORAPIE MICHELLE LEAVITT, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

JURY TRIAL - DAY 5

TUESDAY, APRIL 1, 2014

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE STATE:

ELISSA LUZAICH

KRISTINA A. RHOADES

Deputy District Attorneys

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

BETSY ALLEN, ESQ.

JONATHAN MacARTHUR, ESQ.

COURT RECORDER:

TRANSCRIPTION BY:

KRISTINE CORNELIUS

FLORENCE HOYT

District Court

Las Vegas, Nevada 89146

Proceedings recorded by audio-visual recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

1	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TUESDAY, APRIL 1, 2014, 10:41 A.M.		
2	(Court was called to order)		
3	(Jury is present)		
4	THE COURT: Do the parties stipulate to the presence		
5	of the jury panel?		
6	MS. LUZAICH: Yes.		
7	MS. ALLEN: Yes, Your Honor.		
8	THE COURT: Okay, you can call your next witness.		
9	MS. LUZAICH: The State calls Neha Mehta.		
10	NEHA MEHTA, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN		
11	THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. Would you		
12	please state your full name, spelling your first and last name		
13	for the record.		
14	THE WITNESS: Dr. Neha, N-E-H-A Mehta, M-E-H-T-A.		
15	THE CLERK: Thank you.		
16	THE COURT: You may proceed.		
17	MS. LUZAICH: Thank you.		
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION		
19	BY MS. LUZAICH:		
20	Q Good morning, ma'am. Can you describe for the jury		
21	what you do for a living?		
22	A Yes. I am a pediatric medical doctor. I serve as		
23	the medical director of a child abuse evaluation program in		
24	New Orleans, Louisiana at this time.		
25	Q How long have you been serving as the director in		
	2		

New Orleans?

A About a year and a half now.

Q As the director of that program in New Orleans, what do you do on a daily basis?

A I provide teaching and training to other doctors about evaluation of children with concerns of child physical or sexual abuse. I evaluate children where there are concerns of child physical or sexual abuse. I serve as an administrator to the program, helping solve problems, clinic issues, things of that nature. I provide — on a day—to—day basis work collaboratively with a team of professionals to help provide the appropriate treatments and therapies for children who are victims of child physical or sexual abuse.

Q Prior to becoming the director of that program, what did you do?

A I was here in Las Vegas. I was the medical director of the child abuse program at Sunrise Children's Hospital, as well as the medical evaluation portion of the Southern Nevada Children's Assessment Center.

- Q For how long a period of time did you do that?
- A Nine and a half years.
- Q Can you describe for the jury what training and education you have that qualifies you to do all of the things that you've just described for us?
 - A Yes. I am a medical doctor. I finished medical

school at the Medical College of Georgia in Augusta, Georgia. Then I completed three years of training specific to children in Pediatrics at the University of Kentucky in Lexington, Kentucky. I stayed on for an additional year as the chief resident in Pediatrics in Lexington, Kentucky. I then went to Cincinnati Children's Hospital. I completed a one year child abuse fellowship where I was formally trained by other professionals in this field to evaluate children for concerns of physical abuse, sexual abuse or neglect. I stayed on for two years as a faculty member in that program. I then was recruited to come to Las Vegas and help set up the program at Sunrise Children's Hospital.

I am board certified. The American Board of Pediatrics creates certifications for physicians in this country to show that they meet standards required to practice in this profession. I am board certified in both general pediatrics and in child abuse pediatrics.

Q When you came to Las Vegas and you were working with Sunrise Hospital, can you describe for us what you did on a daily basis at Sunrise Hospital?

A I evaluated children, both in the emergency department setting, as well as in the hospital setting if there was any concern about possible child abuse. So that could be a parent bringing their child into the emergency department, that could be law enforcement bringing a child in,

that could be a child who initially looked like maybe they had an accidental injury and then as they discovered more and more injuries there became concerns about possible abuse, and then I would be asked to evaluate those children as well.

Q When you talk about child abuse, is that only physical abuse or does it entail more than that?

A It includes physical abuse, sexual abuse, neglect and other more minor or rarer forms of abuse.

- Q Does the training that you've described for us include not only training regarding the physical abuse of children, but regarding the sexual abuse of children?
 - A Yes, it does.
- Q And in evaluating sexual abuse of a child, is that different than evaluating sexual abuse of an adult?
 - A Yes, it's very different.
 - Q Why would that be?
- A There's a number of reasons that we have differences between child sexual abuse cases and adult sexual assault cases. For one thing, with children there's often a greater degree of compliance. They're more likely to go along with what's happening because they don't necessarily have as deep an understanding of what this means or what's going on, as opposed to adults who are more likely to recognize that this is a violation of some type and to try to fight back in some way. Adults are much more likely to make a report in a timely

way because they understand the nature of this might be a crime that's being committed against them, whereas children are more likely to accept that this is something else that goes on in their lives that is just another thing that happens to them, such as maybe being physically disciplined or a form of punishment or things like that. They are just more willing to accept that this might be how things are.

Most of the time when somebody sexually abuses a child, they have formed a relationship with the child and they are in a position of power or trust or authority of that child, and so again, it's harder for children to come forward and make reports about abuse. They're more likely -- people who molest children are more likely to sort of slowly develop and increasingly do more and more with the child, ultimately with the goal of raping the child, having sexual relations with the child, but may start off with touching, fingering, licking, behaviors that the child is confused about and then progressively increase, whereas with adults, sexual assault is more likely to be a one time event where somebody uses force against the adult.

Q And, Dr. Mehta, you also talked about your work not only at Sunrise Children's Hospital, but the Southern Nevada Children's Assessment Center. Can you describe for us first, what is the Southern Nevada Children's Assessment Center?

A So in evaluating children with concerns of abuse,

there is a model that's accepted nationally. The idea is that it is not of benefit to children to have to kind of tell what happened to them over and over again. It's traumatizing to them and it's sort of re-victimizing them. So the goal is to try to create a one stop location for the child so that they don't first go to a police department and tell everything to a police officer and then have to tell everything to the police officer's supervisor, and then go to child protection and have to tell everything to a worker and then that worker's supervisor, and then have to go see a doctor and tell everything to that doctor and then have to kind of tell what's happened to them over and over again to mental health, multiple professionals. If all of the professionals are gathered in one location, the child can be interviewed by a trained interviewer who knows about children, who knows how to ask questions in a way that doesn't suggest answers to them to be sure they get as accurate information as possible, and that interview can be watched by the people who are involved.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

So at the Southern Nevada Children's Assessment Center, children where there are concerns of abuse, who may or may not be abused, come in and they're able to tell in their own words what their experience was and then that can be listened to by law enforcement and child protection to reach conclusions about what they need to do to protect or

investigate that situation.

The area that I serve in is the medical component, and so when children are alleged to be victims of physical or sexual abuse they also need medical treatment and evaluation. We need to assess them for injuries, diseases, mental health issues and overall safety of the child, psychological safety for the child. And so the area that I work in is performing the medical evaluation of those children after they've had that forensic interview.

Q And when you were working at the Southern Nevada Children's Assessment Center, how did that fit in with your duties at Sunrise Children's Hospital?

A So for two days a week I was dedicated to the Southern Nevada Children's Assessment Center and I would see scheduled children there at particular times, like a clinic, like going to a doctor's office. I had nurses there. We would -- it was very much for children a -- we tried to make it as positive an experience as possible. We didn't want it to have that same emotionally-charged effect you have in an emergency department where people are rushing around and you don't understand what's going on and you're scared. We really wanted this experience to be as non-traumatic for children as possible.

So it's very much like going to the doctor for a check-up. Height, weight, eye chart, talking to the child

about their health history. I speak privately with the child to make sure that I have all of the pieces I need for my medical diagnosis and treatment. And then performing a physical examination of the child as gently and as humanely as possible, with nurses there who assist the child, prepare the child for the examination. And so I served in that capacity two days a week at the Southern Nevada Children's Assessment Center.

- Q And for how long did you do that?
- A I did that for about four years.
- Q Can you even guesstimate how many children you have examined in your career relating to -- well, children in general for anything whatsoever?
 - A Thousands of children.

- Q Can you guestimate how many children you would have examined for purposes of abuse investigation?
 - A Oh, my goodness, well over three thousand children.
- Q And what would be the difference between a child being seen at Sunrise Children's Hospital in the emergency room and the Southern Nevada Children's Assessment Center?
- A If there is concern that there could potentially be some type of evidence on the child's body, that there could be someone's saliva or body fluid on the child, we would want to try to collect that evidence. And that's something that happens fairly routinely in adult sexual assault cases. So

adult men and women who are sexually assaulted are much more likely to report within a couple of days of being assaulted and there's the opportunity to try to collect that evidence. We generally hold as a rule about 72 hours, about three days is a time period where if somebody has assaulted you, within the next three days there's a reasonable chance of trying to find some type of evidence on your body, some type of hair, saliva, some type of DNA evidence that would support that allegation.

After about 72 hours, through the process of going to the bathroom, wiping, brushing teeth, eating, all of these things make evidence fall off the body quickly. Taking baths, changing clothes; the chances of finding evidence becomes less and less and less. So we generally do not think that you can reasonably find evidence on someone's body except in very rare cases after 72 hours. And so for that reason if there's concern that something has happened within that time period, children are often seen in the emergency department for the purposes of trying to collect that evidence. Since the vast majority of child cases don't meet that criteria, many of those children are then seen in the type of setting I see them in, in a calmer, more structured clinic setting.

- Q And have you testified as an expert in the area of both child physical and sexual abuse here in Clark County?
 - A Yes, I have.

- Q Have you in other jurisdictions as well?
- A I've testified in Ohio, Indiana, Kentucky and now Louisiana.
- Q And do you just testify on behalf of the State, or do you testify on behalf of other parties as well?
- A I testify for anybody who requests me to come and evaluate a case.
- Q So are you asked on a fairly regular basis to independently evaluate a case, even if you have not personally seen a child?
- A I wouldn't say on a regular basis, but I have been asked to review cases for the defense before and to offer my opinion.
- Q Have you also been asked to review cases for the State, even if you haven't seen the child?
- A Yes, I have.

- Q Okay. So I'm going to ask you specifically, when you examine a grown-up woman for alleged sexual abuse, is it the same examination as if you are examining a child for alleged sexual abuse?
- A For an adult female the focus is on trying to find that forensic evidence because the issue at hand is going to be -- you know, if there was contact there's still going to be a question that other people sort out that I as a doctor don't sort out, which is was there consent or not. Was this a

- consensual activity or was it non-consensual? With children, because they don't have the mental capabilities to provide consent, there are various state laws that indicate that even if they're sort of willingly going along with what happens, they don't have the capacity to provide --
- 6 MS. ALLEN: Judge, I'm going to object. She's 7 testifying as to legal issues.
- 8 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 9 MS. LUZAICH: Let me rephrase my question.
- 10 BY MS. LUZAICH:

- 11 Q Physically when you are examining or when an adult
 12 woman is examined for sexual assault, is the exam the same as
 13 for a child?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q Why not?
 - A For adult females the focus of the examination is largely at the inside of the vagina, and so internal examination and internal specimens are the focus for adult females. For children we focus a lot on the outside of the genital areas, examining the hymenal tissues at the opening to the vagina. The ability of the child to understand what we're doing, there's a lot of preparation for the child for the examination process and a lot of differences in the types of meaning that we attribute to different infections.
 - Q Okay. When you see -- this is just kind of general

-- when you see a child at the Sunrise Emergency Room for purposes of sexual assault evaluation and see a child at the Southern Nevada Children's Assessment Center for that same purpose, is the exam the same or similar?

A The examination is similar, however the evidence kit is not collected. And so in the emergency department there is a box that contains various cotton swabs and it is collected by the medical provider to look for saliva, semen, things like that that we do and put in that box and then maintain a chain and pass that on to law enforcement. In the clinic setting we do not do that type of collection. Our focus is on sexually transmitted diseases, pregnancy testing, things of that nature, but they are very similar.

- Q Okay. So I'm going to direct your attention to September 27th of 2012. Were you working as a physician at the Southern Nevada Children's Assessment Center on that date?
 - A Yes, I was.
- Q Were you asked to examine two young girls known to you as Taharah Duke and Taquanda Duke?
- 20 A Yes, I was.

- 21 Q At whose request did you examine those children?
- 22 A I do not specifically know.
 - Q I mean, would it have been a law enforcement type request?
- 25 A It would have been from either law enforcement or

Child Protective Services, and I believe based on our notations it was more likely Child Protective Services that requested us to evaluate those children.

- Q So the kids that are seen at the -- and you call it the CAC, is that correct?
 - A That is correct.

- Q Kids that are seen at the CAC are seen for that specific purpose; a parent doesn't just call and make an appointment and come see you there?
- A That is correct. In order to have a medical examination at the CAC, the request has to come from one of our partner agencies, so child protection or law enforcement are the main referral sources for children that we evaluate there.
- Q And now you mentioned that at the CAC it's kind of a one stop shopping there, so a child can be interviewed and seen by law enforcement and child protection type individuals, as well as medical, correct?
 - A Correct.
- Q And was it your understanding that both Taharah Duke and Taquanda Duke were interviewed on that same day?
 - A Yes, that was my understanding.
- Q Do they generally get -- do kids generally get interviewed before they see you, after, or does it just kind of depend?

- It sort of depends. Often they've been interviewed before they see me, but it can be that I see them before they've been interviewed. Okay. Let's talk about Taharah Duke first. Did you
 - see Taharah Duke on September 27th of 2012?
 - Yes, I did. Α

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

21

22

23

24

- And for what purpose?
- For the purpose of medical diagnosis and treatment.
- Was it your understanding that she had made allegations that she had been sexually abused?
- It was my understanding that she had disclosed during her forensic interview that she had been raped.
- 13 Do you have some contact with the interviewers before you see the kids? 14
 - I either speak to the interviewer or to the person who observed the interview.
- To hear a general description of what was disclosed? 17
- That is correct. 18 Α
- So, Taharah comes to you on September 27th, 2012. 19 0 20 What do you then do?
 - I -- She initially comes in. She is met by our nursing staff, who obtained her height, her weight, her blood pressure, obtained her basic health history. I review that basic health history, allergies, immunizations, surgeries, things of that nature. And then I sit and speak with her

privately for a few minutes because I need to make sure for 1 2 my purposes that I have the data that I need without trying 3 to make her re-tell what she's just spoken about. Okay. You said that they get height and weight 4 5 and things of that nature first. What was her height and 6 weight? 7 Her height -- Can I refer to my notes just to be sure I get it accurate? 8 9 At the time or at or near the time you perform all of your evaluations, do you actually fill out a report that 10 is used for purposes of law enforcement later? 11 12 As part of the medical record as well, yes. Would it refresh your recollection to review that 13 0 14 report? Yes, it would. 15 Α 16 Go right ahead. 17 MS. ALLEN: And, Your Honor, if we could just see 18 what she's referring to? 19 THE COURT: Sure, you can approach. Is this your 20 witness? 21 MS. ALLEN: Pardon? 22 THE COURT: Is this your witness? Are you going to 23 be crossing this witness? 24 MS. ALLEN: I am going to be crossing. Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Sure, you can approach and look.

MS. ALLEN: This is the second one? 1 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 2 3 MS. ALLEN: Okay. So these are two separate ones? THE WITNESS: Yes. 4 MS. ALLEN: And what are these? 5 THE WITNESS: This -- they're prior medical records 6 that I did not have at the time I did this evaluation. 7 MS. ALLEN: Okay. So you didn't have --8 9 I apologize, Your Honor. May I ask her -- may I briefly ask her a question about this? She has other records 10 up here that don't -- that aren't her medical notes. 11 Do you want to take her on voir dire? 12 THE COURT: MS. ALLEN: I would appreciate that. We may want 13 to do it outside the presence. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. All right. At this time, ladies 16 and gentlemen, we're going to take a short recess. During 17 this recess you are admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with 18 19 this trial, or read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this 20 21 trial by any medium of information, including without limitation newspapers, television, Internet and radio, or 22 form or express any opinion on any subject connected with 23 this trial until the case is finally submitted to you. 24 25 We're in recess until further notice. Thank you.

1	(Jury is not present)
2	THE COURT: Okay, the record will reflect this
3	hearing is taking place outside the presence of the jury
4	panel.
5	MS. ALLEN: Your Honor, I just in looking through
6	some of the records she has here, there are lab reports that
7	were not provided to the defense regarding Taquanda Duke. I do
8	have the reports that she's referencing in her testimony, the
9	ones that she wanted to refer to. I do have those. However,
10	I don't have the lab reports that are here. I've never seen
11	these.
12	THE COURT: Do you want me to copy them for you
13	right now?
14	MS. ALLEN: Well, yeah.
15	MS. LUZAICH: For the record, I don't know she
16	came and looked at my file a month ago.
17	MS. ALLEN: These weren't part this wasn't there,
18	the lab reports.
19	MS. LUZAICH: It's in
20	MS. ALLEN: And then there are medical documents up
21	there from
22	THE COURT: Just a minute. I don't have a secretary
23	back there, so it's going to be me doing this. So I just want
24	to make sure, do you want a copy of this entire packet?
25	MS. LUZAICH: I'll give her mine.

```
MS. ALLEN: No. I just need the one that I marked
1
2
   off for you. I don't have the lab report.
 3
              THE COURT:
                         This?
             MS. ALLEN: Correct, Your Honor. I don't have a
 4
5
   copy of that.
 6
             THE COURT: Okay, I'll go make a copy. Is there
7
    anything else?
              MS. ALLEN: No, Your Honor. I just need to address
8
9
    this.
1.0
              THE COURT:
                         Okay.
11
                      (Pause in the proceedings)
12
              THE COURT: Ms. Allen.
              MS. ALLEN: Yes.
13
              THE COURT: And then, Doctor, I think that's yours.
14
    Do I need that?
15
16
              MS. ALLEN: Oh, no. I'm sorry, Judge. It was all
    of those papers that were behind there. I'll go back. Do you
17
18
    want me to go back and copy them?
19
              THE COURT: No, that's okay. I thought I had asked
20
    that and I thought --
              MS. ALLEN: I said it was everything past that point
21
22
    that I had --
23
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
24
              MS. ALLEN:
                          Thank you.
              THE COURT: I'll just make a copy of everything.
25
```

1	(Pause in the proceedings)
2	THE COURT: Okay, here's everything.
3	MS. ALLEN: Okay.
4	THE COURT: And, Doctor, that is yours back.
5	Okay, go ahead.
6	MS. ALLEN: Okay, thank you.
7	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
8	BY MS. ALLEN:
9	Q You have some other records up there, is that
10	correct?
11	A Correct.
12	Q Okay. And who are they from?
13	A They were sent to me by the D.A.'s Office to prepare
14	for this case.
15	Q Okay. And when were you given those records?
16	THE COURT: Just so we're clear, what records are
17	we talking about?
18	MS. ALLEN: I apologize, Your Honor.
19	BY MS. ALLEN:
20	Q Could you please refer to what the records are?
21	A There are medical records here from my visit on
22	9/27/2012.
23	Q I apologize. Since the jury is not here, we can just
24	skip forward. The records that the D.A. sent you, not yours
25	that you did, there's records up there that you didn't do?

- A They sent me everything because I don't have access to my records anymore, so the only way I have information is because they had to send it to me.
- Q Okay. How about -- what is up there that is not yours, that you did not do?
- A The thing that is --
 - THE COURT: That you did not prepare. Right?
- MS. ALLEN: Yes.
- 9 THE WITNESS: The thing that is up here that is not 10 prepared by me are records from an OB/GYN center.
- 11 BY MS. ALLEN:

2

3

4

5

6

- 12 O Okay. And the doctor's name?
- 13 A Dr. Anita Gondy.
- Q Okay. And those are obviously not prepared by you,
- 15 is that correct?
- 16 A That is correct.
- Q Okay. And when is the first time that you saw those records?
- 19 A Within the last month.
- Q Okay. So you did not have those records at the time
- 21 that you examined Taharah or Taquanda?
- 22 A That is correct.
- Q Okay. And have you talked to Dr. Gondy?
- 24 A No.
- Q Okay. So all you did was review those records?

A That is correct.

- Q Okay. And you anticipate that the D.A. is going to ask you questions about those records today?
- A I anticipate that. I mean, I was provided them. I don't know.
- Q Okay. And those records had no bearing on your examination of the two girls, is that correct?
- A I didn't have them at the time that I examined the two girls.
- Q And so my question was that those reports had no bearing on your examination of those two girls, is that correct?
 - A I'm not sure I understand your question.
 - Q Okay. That report from Dr. Gondy or those reports from Dr. Gondy you didn't have at the time you examined Taharah and Taquanda, is that right?
 - A That's correct.
 - Q Okay. So any information contained in those reports would not have any bearing on the reports that you prepared when you examined Taharah and Taquanda, is that correct?
 - A There is no information regarding this in these reports except for one of the children making reference to it.
 - Q Okay, correct. So one of the children said something to you about seeing this doctor, is that correct?

You have to answer out loud. 1 THE COURT: Is that a yes? 2 THE WITNESS: Yes. 3 BY MS. ALLEN: 4 5 0 Okay. But you didn't have anything from Dr. Gondy at the time that you saw them or at the time that those 6 reports were prepared? That is correct. 8 Α MS. ALLEN: Okay. I'm not sure that she is qualified 9 10 to testify about the reports from the other physician. didn't have them at the time that she examined Taharah and 11 Taguanda. There's no bearing on what Dr. Gondy said to what 12 she did, and for her to testify on behalf of another expert, 13 when these reports weren't even made available until the last 14 15 month, I believe would be patently inappropriate. And so I 16 would move the Court to preclude her from testifying about Dr. Gondy's reports. 17 18 MS. LUZAICH: Can I ask some questions before I 19 arque? 20 THE COURT: Sure. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 21 22 BY MS. LUZAICH: Dr. Mehta, isn't it true that I actually sent you 23 24 those reports last week? 25 I knew it was sometime recently. I didn't know the exact date.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q Did you leave for Huntsville, Alabama a week -- well, when did you leave for Huntsville?
- A The conference in Huntsville, Alabama was last week and I was there for the full week.
 - Q And did I send them to you right before you left?
- A Yes.
 - Q Did you have the opportunity to look at them before or did you look at them when you got back from Huntsville?
- A I looked at them briefly but I didn't read through
 everything until I came back from Huntsville.
- Q Okay. And in your examination of Taharah Duke, did
 she -- and I'm only leading to do this fast, Judge. Did she
 tell you that she had been diagnosed -- my word, not hers -with HPV, which is similar to genital warts?
- 16 A Yes.
- Q Did she tell you that she had seen another doctor
 a couple of months prior to her seeing you --
- 19 A She did.
- 20 Q -- where she learned that?
- 21 A Yes.
- Q And did she tell you that she had told that doctor that she had been sexually abused?
- 24 A She did not tell me that.
- Q Did she tell you that she had told her guardian that

- she had been sexually abused?
- A Yes.
- Q And did you review the records from Dr. Gondy?
- 4 A Yes.
- Q And did Dr. Gondy see Taharah Duke September -- or June 27th of 2012?
 - A Yes.
- 8 Q Which is two -- three months prior to you seeing
- 9 her?
- 10 A Yes.
- Q Did Dr. Gondy in her reports do an ultrasound and diagnose Taharah Duke with HPV?
- A She didn't make the diagnosis by the ultrasound, but yes, she did both of those things.
- Q Okay, that was a bad question. What did she make the diagnosis via?
- 17 A Pap smear.
- Q Okay. And is that something that you were able to confirm in the reports?
- 20 A Yes. There's a testing result in the report.
- Q And do the reports also indicate that Dr. Gondy was
- 22 told of possible sexual abuse --
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q -- by the guardian who brought Taharah there?
- 25 A Yes.

- And is all that consistent with what Taharah told 1 2 you? 3
 - Yes.
 - Does any of that information have anything to do with your opinion regarding Taharah Duke?
 - Α Yes.

5

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- MS. LUZAICH: And therefore, Judge, it is relevant and appropriate for Dr. Mehta to discuss at least her review of the records by Dr. Gondy.
- MS. ALLEN: May I be briefly heard, Your Honor? 10 THE COURT: 11 Sure.
 - MS. ALLEN: What we have now is hearsay on hearsay. We have Taharah possibly telling -- Frankly, I've interviewed Dr. Gondy, so this is not correct, but I've actually interviewed Dr. Gondy. And now we have Taharah telling Dr. Gondy and a possible quardian telling Dr. Gondy about possible sexual abuse. These are impressions that Dr. Gondy had in her reports. They're not -- it doesn't say disclosed by, you know, guardian. It doesn't say disclosed by anybody.

And frankly, for her to have an opinion today about what happened back in September of 2012, those reports have nothing to do with that. Her opinion is from September of 2012. These are the reports that she did. She was not privy to Dr. Gondy's reports when she made her opinion. So for her to come in here and say well these just bolster what I'm

saying, now we're admitting double hearsay, we're admitting records -- testimony about records with no foundation whatsoever, and it's patently inappropriate. She has the right to testify about her examinations, what she did on 9/27 of 2012. I will still object, but I would even submit that what Taharah tells her would probably come in because it's based on a medical diagnosis, but how is it that she's able to testify about double hearsay that happened months before, and she didn't even receive the report until the last week?

THE COURT: Okay. I think the objection was you want to completely prevent her from testifying about any medical records that she has been provided by Dr. Gondy.

That objection is going to be overruled, and you can make any further objection that you think is appropriate. The State is not moving to admit those records at this time.

MS. ALLEN: I know they're not moving to admit them, but what I'm saying is it's inappropriate for her to testify about them. Right, her opinion is not based upon -- from 2012 her opinion wouldn't have been based upon what Dr. Gondy did because she didn't even have those records at the time. And the impressions that Dr. Gondy made in her reports, how is it that Dr. Mehta can testify about impressions from another physician that she hasn't even talked to?

THE COURT: Well, I don't even know what the State

is going to even ask her yet. Basically you've asked me to 1 2 exclude the records altogether and prevent her from relying 3 upon them, and quite frankly an expert can rely upon things made known to them at the time of trial. 4 5 MS. ALLEN: Can we --THE COURT: Facts or data made known to them at the 6 7 time of trial. MS. ALLEN: Can I have a proffer from the State, 8 9 then, what she intends to ask her about those records? THE COURT: Sure. 10 11 MS. LUZAICH: Well, pretty much what I just asked 12 right now, except not quite as leading. 13 MS. ALLEN: Except what? 14 MS. LUZAICH: Not quite as leading. I was asking questions in a way to move it faster. 15 16 MS. ALLEN: Okay. So then the State is going to be allowed to elicit information from this physician that someone 18 told a doctor that's not testifying that there was sexual 19 abuse? I just want to be clear that we're allowing that 20 double hearsay in, that someone told this doctor --21 THE COURT: I'm not going to allow double hearsay. 22 I mean, are you going to ask her to look at Dr. Gondy's 23 records and say did somebody tell somebody else? 24 MS. LUZAICH: Well, somebody told Dr. Gondy possible

25

sexual abuse a month earlier.

```
THE COURT: Okay. Well, Dr. Gondy can testify about
1
2
   that.
3
              MS. ALLEN:
                          That's my concern. I don't think it's
   appropriate for Dr. Mehta to testify to double hearsay.
4
5
              THE COURT: And I'm assuming Dr. Gondy is going to
 6
    testify. She can testify about that.
 7
              MS. LUZAICH: Okav.
8
              THE COURT: Okay, other than that, any concerns?
 9
              MS. ALLEN:
                          So the questions that we're going to --
10
    I just want to be clear. So then the questions we're asking
11
   Dr. Mehta is that you were provided a copy of I guess medical
12
   records from Dr. Gondy and that Dr. Gondy diagnosed Taharah
13
   with HPV via a Pap smear. She did an ultrasound and a Pap
14
    smear and there was a diagnosis of HPV. Is that the limit to
15
    the testimony we're eliciting? I'm just asking.
16
              MS. LUZAICH: Well, right now it is.
17
              MS. ALLEN:
                          Okay.
18
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
19
              MS. ALLEN:
                          Thank you.
20
              THE COURT:
                          We can bring the jury back in.
21
                           (Jury is present)
22
              THE COURT:
                          Do the parties stipulate to the presence
23
    of the jury panel?
24
              MS. LUZAICH: Yes.
25
              MS. ALLEN: Yes, Your Honor.
                                  29
```

1 THE COURT: The State may continue with their direct 2 examination. 3 MS. LUZAICH: Thank you. 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued) 5 BY MS. LUZAICH: 6 So, Doctor, I had asked you about Taharah Duke, 7 her height and weight. You were reviewing your records to 8 determine what her height and weight were. 9 Α Her height was -- I want to make sure I got it 10 right. Five feet, five and a half inches, and her weight was 11 131 pounds. 12 At the time, how old was she? 13 Twelve years old. 14 Would you consider that, based on your experience, 15 normal for a 12-year-old? 16 I thought she was large for her age, and that's how Α I documented it. 17 18 Large as in tall, not --19 Α Correct. 20 Sorry, I don't mean to be rude, fat? 21 She -- those proportions could also be that of an Α 22 adult, so there are some petite adults who would be five feet five and a half, 131 pounds. So for a 12-year-old she had the 23 24 size dimensions of a smallish adult. 25 0 Okay. You said that -- well, was she otherwise an 30

average-looking, normal, no problems with her head-to-toe type appearance 12-year-old?

A She had no deformities or any issues like that that were noted by me.

Q Okay. So you indicated that you spoke with her privately. Why do you do that specifically?

A So that children have an opportunity if there's some concern that they have about their health or their well-being, that we have an opportunity to discuss that and that they don't worry about another person overhearing that. I feel that it provides them an opportunity to tell something to a physician that they may not have been comfortable speaking about before or express a concern that they have about their body, and it gives me an opportunity to make sure that issues that I need to have addressed that I have that information.

- Q Did you actually speak privately with Taharah?
- A Yes, I did.

- Q What would you have asked her or said to her?
- A So, I start off and I asked her about school and what grade she's in in school and how she's doing in school, and she indicated to me that she was in the 7th grade and she had special education classes for reading and for English.

 I asked her about friendships to see if she's socially connected. She indicated that she didn't have a best friend.

 I asked her about career plans to see if she's, you know,

thought about those types of issues. She didn't have a career plan. I'm also assessing her for her intellectual ability and her cognitive development as we're talking about things, trying to look to see if she's mature, if she understands the questions that I'm asking, if she gives me appropriate answers. So while I'm making her comfortable talking to me, I'm also doing these types of assessments.

Q What, if anything, did you notice?

- A She did seem cognitively delayed to me, as I was talking to her.
- Q For somebody who is not in the sciences, what does that mean?
 - A I think a lay person might say that she seems slow.
 - Q Okay. And what else did you talk to her about?
- A I asked her about her household and who lives in that household together, so that if she were to say a name I'd know who that person was. I asked her about her body and what words she uses for the place on her body where she gets her periods, and she calls it her vagina. I asked her about any symptoms, pains or problems she's having from that part of her body. I asked her if she's ever been pregnant. She told me she didn't know. I asked her if she's ever had a sexually-transmitted infection. She indicates that she went to an OB/GYN center. She was told that she had an HPV virus and she told me that that was like warts, and that she had been taken

there by her guardian, Ms. Ann.

2.5

Q And I'm sorry, I'm going to step back for one second. When you said that you had asked her who did she live with, did she tell you that she lived with Ms. Ann?

A Yes. She told me that she had a guardian that she wasn't related to named Ms. Ann and that in that household was Ms. Ann, Taharah, the patient, her sister Taquanda, who was 11 at the time, and there was another girl there named I think Sha'karia, who was Ms. Ann's daughter, and then Ms. Ann's boyfriend, Fred.

Q Okay. You said that you talked to her about body parts and whether she was having problems with her period. Why do you ask her about her period?

A I'm understanding her understanding of her body, what words she uses for that part of her body; if she's having her periods. For example, if she said I haven't had my period in four months, then I would be highly concerned that, for example, she could be pregnant. So I'm asking her about her understanding of her body, if she's having any symptoms, is she having a discharge. That might be an indication of a sexually-transmitted disease. If she's noticed bumps or sores or things like that. So I'm giving her an opportunity to describe to me any concerns that she has about the private areas of her body that she may not have thought to talk to a detective or someone else about.

- Q Did she indicate that she was already menstruating, she was having menstrual cycles?
- A She had been having her periods for three years now. She had started when she was nine years old.
 - O Is that unusual?

- A That's a little bit on the younger side for a girl to have started her menstrual cycles, and it means that her body has now had three years of female hormones, causing her increased development, meaning that there are other 12-year-olds who haven't had their periods yet whose private areas are not very physically mature because they haven't been getting a lot of female hormone estrogen. In her case she's had three years of that hormone circulating, so her private area I'm going to anticipate would be very developed, and in fact on physical examination it was.
- Q Was she physically developed in more than just her genital area?
 - A Correct. She also had breast development.
- 20 So you're asking her all these questions. She had indicated to you that she had been to an OB/GYN center and been told that she had HPV, which was like genital warts.

 21 Is it unusual for somebody who's 12 or younger to go to
- Is it unusual for somebody who's 12 or younger to go to someplace like an OB/GYN center?
- MS. ALLEN: Judge, objection, foundation.
- 25 Speculation.

MS. LUZAICH: Based on her training and experience.

THE COURT: Overruled. You can answer.

THE WITNESS: It's very unusual. It's --

BY MS. LUZAICH:

2.0

2.1

Q Why? Oh, sorry.

A It's uncommon for children who are about 12 years old to be seen at an adult facility for GYN issues or complaints.

- Q In your experience do gynecologists who specifically see adults, are they not trained to -- generally to examine children?
- A OB/GYN training does not include a lot of detailed information about examining the front outside areas of the genitals. It's very much focused on adult medicine, which is examining internally and looking for adult types of diseases like cancers, things like that.
- Q So to do an adult-type examination on a child, is that something that you don't generally want to do?
- A It's generally not recommended, except under unusual situations or circumstances.
 - Q Why is that?
 - A Again, for children this is often going to be the first time somebody has inserted medical instrumentation into the body. You want to make sure that the child understands what's going on. That the person who is evaluating the child

knows about issues of reporting if there's concerns of abuse, things like that that might not necessarily be part of adult medicine training, whereas people trained in adolescence and pediatrics are going to know about that they're required to make reports if there's concerns of abuse.

- Q So there's actually different training when you are examining children as opposed to adults?
 - A That is correct.

- ${\tt Q}$ So tell me about this. She said that she had HPV. What is that?
- A HPV is a virus, and if a person has the high risk type of HPV there are two things that can happen that we worry about medically for that person. One is they can develop genital warts. So that virus can produce warts, which would be kind of large, lumpy bumps that can be internal to the body and in some cases external to the body. The other thing and the reason that it's screened for in adults is because it can become cervical cancer. And so it is a virus that has the potential to become warts and/or cervical cancer. And that is -- it's something that is generally tested and looked at in adult women and not as commonly looked at in teenagers.
- Q Is HPV or genital warts something that you can always visually see?
- A So, the actual virus in and of itself you can't see by looking, and so it is possible for somebody to have an HPV

infection and for the person who is looking externally and internally at their body to not see anything. You can have that virus and carry that virus and transmit it to someone else through sexual contact without yourself having to have any visible signs of having that virus.

Q Are there many different kinds of HPV?

A There are at least 100 sub-types of HPV and there's a group that are considered the high risk sub-types that are screened for in adults to look for that risk of cervical cancer.

0 What about in kids?

A In children we no longer routinely screen for that because the time it takes to develop into cancer can be a period of years, and so instead of routinely screening young teens the recommendation has now been to wait until they are 18 or older, in some cases 21, before routinely doing Pap smears and tests to look for that disease because it's felt that the trauma associated with doing those evaluations and aggressively trying to treat this virus is more harmful than beneficial and we generally now wait until they're adults to actually routinely screen and test for HPV. So if somebody is positive, they will have additional testing to see what their risk for cancer is and there may be some procedures that are done to burn, freeze or cut out areas that look like they're infected.

- Q But you said that you don't necessarily have to have infected areas to have the virus?
 - A That is correct.

- Q How does one transmit the virus from one to another?
- A It is transmitted via sexual contact.
- Q Is that the only way to transfer it from one to another?

A It could be transferred from a mother to an infant during the birth process. So if the adult female has warts in the vaginal canal and a baby comes through that vaginal canal, that baby could get infected. Often that is warts in the baby's airway and we usually detect that during the first couple of years of life. If they have breathing problems they may get scoped and someone may detect those warts. But it is possible also to transmit to the child's genital area that way.

Q And I'm sorry, did you say the only way to detect whether or not a girl has HPV is by Pap smear?

A There is no other accepted testing, aside from if you saw a wart and you said, okay, I can see that they have this wart. But there is no blood test. The only way to do it is to go physically inside the body and manually scrape the cervix.

Q Okay. What about a man? How could you detect whether a man has HPV?

A If he actually has the virus there is no commercially available standard test to test men for HPV aside from if some men might have visible warts on their genitalia, but many men wouldn't and so there wouldn't really be a way to test a male to see if he has HPV.

Q Okay. So you can have it and transmit it without seeing it, whether a man or a woman?

A Correct.

Q Were you later provided by the State with records of Taharah actually seeing an OB/GYN on June 27th of 2012, three months prior to your visit with her, where you were able to confirm this?

A Yes. There's an actual test result showing that she was positive for that high risk HPV type virus based on a Pap smear.

Q A Pap smear. And how is a Pap smear, just for those who don't have that body part, how is a Pap smear actually conducted?

A So, for a female in order to examine inside the body
-- so if somebody wanted to examine the back of a person's
throat, they could say open your mouth manually. You could
open your mouth and they could look to the back of the throat
and swab the back of the throat. The vagina is sort of
collapsed so that you can't manually open it, but for an
examiner to look inside the vagina you can take a device

that's called a speculum.

Q Would it help if you had one to show the jury?

A Yes.

MS. LUZAICH: May I approach for purposes of demonstrative only?

THE COURT: You may.

BY MS. LUZAICH:

Q And just for the record, did you ask me to bring that so that you could use that?

A Yes, because I also did this type of examination in her subsequent to when they would have done this Pap smear.

Q All right.

A So, the vagina is sort of folded and collapsed and it's like a sock where it's got like a closed end. So if you imagine kind of going into the sock and then where the toes are, the seam, so it's like a blind pouch. And in order to examine inside you can't visualize it by looking because it's kind of folded down. This is the device that we use to look at the inside of a girl who's menstruating, inside of her vagina. This portion stays outside of the body and this is the part that's going to go inside of the body. We generally put some type of lubrication on this. The vagina is closed. We're able then to insert this into the body and then from here on the outside I'm now able to crank this open and to look inside. There's a light that we can plug in here at the

bottom so that the inside is illuminated. And then we can take cotton swabs with long sticks and swab the back wall, which is what I do for STD testing, but here they would have used a special type of brush to scrape the cervix, put it in a special medium and send it to a laboratory to look for that HPV virus. And so this is the examination technique that would be used in an adolescent female.

Q And so you indicated that she had had a Pap smear, which was something inserted in her vagina like that and scraped to get the result. Was there another test done as well?

A They also did an ultrasound externally of her pelvic area to look at her uterus where a baby sac would -- where a baby would grow if she had had a baby.

Q How does one treat HPV?

A There isn't a great full-proof treatment. It's one of the reasons that there's so much emphasis on vaccinating. So there's been a vaccine that's been developed. There's a lot of focus on preventative medicine of vaccinating young girls to prevent it. There are, depending on what is seen, sometimes there are repeat tests done to see if it's progressing, if it's getting worse. The cervix can be painted with a special dye to try to see if they can see microscopic changes. Ultimately what may happen is a specialist in that area would go in and laser out a portion of the cervix to try

to prevent the patient from developing cervical cancer. In some cases if there is -- if it looks like it's advanced or there's evidence of cancer, they may have to remove some of the female organs in order to prevent the spread of cancer.

Q Did it indicate whether Taharah was treated?

A I did not see any data regarding her being treated or not.

Q Okay. So, fast-forward to September 27th, 2012. She's told you that she had been seen at this OB/GYN center and told that she had HPV. What else did you talk to Taharah about?

earlier in the day about someone doing something to her and I wanted to clarify if any of the contact involved her back side, her anal area. Sometimes children don't provide that information easily. She indicated that there had been -- she did not tell me about any anal contact. I also asked her if someone besides Fred had done anything to her because -- you know, if she had been molested when she was younger, anything of that nature, if there was somebody else to worry about or some other concern with her. She indicated no one else had molested her. And I asked her if she knew if any other children had been molested by this same person, and she indicated that her older sister Victoria had been.

And then I just wanted to kind of clarify and I

```
asked her some more questions about that OB/GYN center visit.
 1
 2
    She had told me that she had gone with Ms. Ann and I wanted td
 3
    kind of understand, you know, what she had said about that.
 4
    She indicated she had told Ms. Ann --
 5
              MS. ALLEN: Judge, if we're encroaching on the
 6
    subject of our outside the presence conversation, I would like
 7
    to advise the witness to -- I would object at this point.
 8
              THE COURT: Is the objection hearsay?
 9
              MS. ALLEN: Yes.
10
              THE COURT: Sustained.
11
              MS. LUZAICH: Well, this is what she had told Ms.
12
    Ann, not the doctor.
13
              MS. ALLEN: And I would object to it, Your Honor,
14
    because it's not in the course of medical diagnosis.
15
              THE COURT: I don't understand the difference
16
    between Ms. Ann. It's still hearsay.
17
              MS. LUZAICH: Okay.
18
    BY MS. LUZAICH:
19
              You talked to her about -- without telling us what
20
    she said, you talked to her about things that she had told
21
    Ms. Ann?
22
         Α
              Yes.
23
              And what else did you talk to her about?
         Q
              That was --
24
         Α
25
         Q
              It at that point?
```

That was it at that point, to the best of my 1 2 recollection. 3 Okay. So were you relying on information you had 4 gotten from the interview that Taharah did regarding what to 5 do for your examination or what Taharah told you for what to look for your examination? 6 7 Α Both. And what specifically had Taharah told you about the 8 9 abuse that she was there for? 10 Α Can I look at my notes to refresh my recollection, 11 to understand your question better? 12 Yeah. 13 THE COURT: You may. 14 THE WITNESS: She made indication to me that Fred 15 had raped her. 16 BY MS. LUZAICH: 17 0 Okay. When she used -- that was her word, not 18 yours, correct? 19 Α Correct. 20 Do you follow up with her and ask her what does 21 that mean to you, or was that where you were relying on the 22 interview? 23 That is where I relied on the interview. Α And is that because you don't want to re-interview? 24 25 That is correct. Α

- Q Okay. So after you had spoken to her privately, what did you then do?
 - A I then performed her physical examination.
 - Q And would that be a head-to-toe exam?
 - A That is correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q And tell us what did you observe?

Α So, in addition to just her regular vital signs, in performing her examination we prepare the child for the examination. She is on an exam table. Her feet are in stirrups and I inspect the outside of her genital area and I rate the development of her genitals on a scale. And so that scale goes from one to five. So a newborn baby, small little girls would have a one scoring, which is no pubertal development, no genital hair, completely prepubertal. Girls with a two and a three rating are now about to get their periods or starting their periods. They have some genital hairs. They have some estrogen, some female hormone development that is making the tissue at the opening of the vagina -- at the very bottom of the vagina there is some tissue called a hymen and it's a tissue that starts to develop some stretchiness to it as there's more female hormone in place. And so girls with a two or three rating will have some of that stretchiness.

In Taharah's case I rated her genital development as a four, and then a full adult female would be a five. And so

I noted that I was able to examine her hymenal tissue. I was able to take a Q-tip and touch the tissue. She doesn't have tenderness to that skin anymore because it's gotten thicker and stretchier. And then I was able to, in addition to inspecting the outside of her genital area, I was able to do the type of examination I talked about where I inserted this into her body. I did see a little bit of white discharge, which can be a symptom of infection, so I tested it to make sure that it wasn't a sexually-transmitted disease. But it can also be some normal fluid that her body makes in response to, you know, hygiene issues or just hormonal reasons. And so I just for precautionary reasons did that type of testing in her as well.

Q What was the result of your test?

A Negative. She did not have the two infections that I test for, which are gonorrhea and chlamydia. She did not have either of those infections.

Q And the fact that you were able to, you described, insert the speculum, what is the significance of that without tenderness or anything?

A It again supports that her body is now at the point where it is possible for me, as well as three months previously for the other examiner, to be able to insert an object into her body without causing tearing, bleeding, pain, bruising, those kinds of things; that she's physically capable

2 3

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

of accommodating insertion of an object into her body without causing external signs that someone could see.

Did you observe anything unusual or not quite right within her genital area?

Aside from that non-specific discharge, no.

The fact -- and what was it your understanding from 0 the course of the interview that she had alleged the abuse to be?

I understood it to be penile-vaginal penetration. And in examining inside of her body, I did not see any physical warts or objects or things like that that would have been related to her concern about the HPV virus.

The fact that you didn't see any genital warts or anything of that nature, that doesn't have any bearing on whether or not she tested positive for it three months earlier, correct?

That is correct. Again, you can have that wart virus and we worry about what its potential in the future is. It can develop into warts and it can develop into cancer. just tested positive for microscopically being able to see the DNA of those -- of that wart virus.

The fact that you were not able to see any evidence of anything -- well, let me rephrase that. You said that she had alleged penile-vaginal sexual abuse. Based on what you saw, is that consistent, inconsistent or what, in your

opinion?

A It is possible for there to be penile-vaginal intercourse without leaving physical signs or symptoms. This has been studied and published in our child abuse literature. There was a child abuse physician in Texas who studied 36 young, pregnant teens and because they were pregnant we knew that there had been sexual intercourse, so we were --

MS. ALLEN: Judge, at this point I'm going to pose an objection. If we could have some foundation --

THE COURT: Sustained.

MS. ALLEN: --as to who this -- and what articles and time frames?

THE COURT: The objection is sustained.

MS. ALLEN: Thank you.

BY MS. LUZAICH:

Q Okay. Are you referring to one specific article or procedure of testing?

A Yes. I'm referring to an article published by Dr. Nancy Kellogg. The title of the article is "Normal doesn't mean nothing happened."

Q And you've reviewed that article as part of your experience over the course of being a child abuse expert in your career?

A Yes. We have a variety of articles that we rely on that are researched articles, including an article by Joyce

Adams, "It's Normal to be Normal." Abbey Berenson, who compares children who were abused versus children who were not abused. John McCann, who looks at injury healing. There's a body of literature that is part of my fellowship training, as well as my on-going review of the literature. And so those are the scientific studies that we have to help us understand what goes on with these children, both medically and psychologically.

Q So specifically regarding Nancy Kellogg's literature and testing, can you describe what you read?

A Yes. What she did was she enrolled 36 pregnant teenagers into her study. Because they were pregnant it was known that they'd had penile-vaginal intercourse and there wasn't confusion about whether there had been penetration or not. In examining those 36 females, only two of them had findings that suggested penetration. The other 34 did not have any findings that suggested penetration, meaning aside from the fact that they were pregnant, it was not possible to tell just looking at their bodies that they had had sexual relations. And that type of data has been persistent in our field and it's been replicated since then.

Q So when you looked at Taharah's vaginal area, although you couldn't see anything, that is not inconsistent with her description of what had occurred?

A That is correct.

- Q Did you recommend anything for Taharah?
- A I recommended that she have an IEP, which is an individualized educational plan, because of my concerns of her cognitive delay, and I also recommended psychological treatment and therapy for her and her sister.
- Q When you say her sister, are you talking about Taquanda?
 - A Yes, I am.
 - Q Did you also examine Taquanda?
- 10 A I did.

- Q Now, is it your understanding that Taquanda did not make any allegations that she herself had been sexually abused?
 - A That was my understanding, yes.
 - Q Why would Taquanda also have been examined?
- A We often do a precautionary examination in siblings of children who have alleged sexual abuse. In some cases as children become more comfortable or as time goes on they end up making a disclosure that something had happened to them. And so as a precaution we evaluate siblings, just recognizing that there can be -- when one sibling is abused, it can be more than one sibling in a household that's been abused.
- Q And just so we're clear, Taquanda never made any allegation to you either of sexual abuse by the same person, correct?

- A She did not make any allegations of sexual abuse.
- Q So on September 27th, 2012 when you examined Taquanda, what did you observe when you examined Taquanda, generally first?
- A Generally she was 11 years old and she had a better ability to explain and describe things than her sister. She did not seem to have cognitive delay. And I spoke with her just to verify, you know, how she was doing, if she was doing okay. Specifically based on some of the comments she made to me, I asked her some screening questions regarding depression as well because she made some indication of depression to me.
- Q Okay. Would you have asked her pretty much the same questions that you asked Taharah as well?
- A Given that they'd had a forensic interview earlier that day, it was slightly different the way I approached my questions with her. Very similar.
- Q And did you then do a head-to-toe examination of Taquanda?
 - A Yes, I did.

2.0

- Q And what did you observe?
- A She had a normal physical examination. Her genital development was also advanced for age. And I was able to take a Q-tip and touch the outside of her genital areas without causing her any tenderness or pain. Because she had not made an allegation of sexual abuse, I did not -- and she was only

- - Q Why would you do that?
 - A Again, because many children do not make an initial disclosure of sexual abuse, but over time they may through the process of therapy or feeling safe make some disclosure of abuse, so for her overall health and wellness.
 - Q Okay. And did she also come back negative?
- 12 A That is correct.
 - Q Did you form an opinion regarding the abuse of Taharah based on your training, education, experience and what you observed from Taharah?
- 16 A Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

- 17 Q And what was that opinion?
- 18 A I diagnosed her as child sexual abuse.
- 19 MS. LUZAICH: Thank you. I'd pass the witness.
- 20 THE COURT: Cross?
- MS. ALLEN: Your Honor, how late are we going today?
- 22 It's almost 12:00. I would prefer not to stop --
- 23 THE COURT: Okay.
- MS. ALLEN: -- if that's okay.
- 25 THE COURT: Okay.

1	MS. ALLEN: Thank you.
2	THE COURT: All right, we'll recess for lunch.
3	During this recess you are admonished not to talk or converse
4	among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected
5	with this trial, or read, watch or listen to any report of
6	or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this
7	trial by any medium of information, including without
8	limitation newspapers, television, Internet and radio, or
9	form or express any opinion on any subject connected with
10	this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.
11	We'll be in recess until 1:30. Thank you.
12	MS. ALLEN: Thank you, Your Honor, I appreciate
13	that.
14	(Court recessed at 11:56 a.m. until 1:34 p.m.)
15	(Jury is present)
16	THE COURT: Do the parties stipulate to the presence
17	of the jury panel?
18	MS. LUZAICH: Yes, Judge.
19	MS. ALLEN: Yes, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Okay, you may continue.
21	MS. ALLEN: I believe
22	THE COURT: You may begin your cross.
23	MS. ALLEN: Okay, thank you. I was going to say
24	THE COURT: I forgot you didn't start.
25	MS. ALLEN: I think Ms. Luzaich was done.
	E 2
	53

CROSS-EXAMINATION 1 2 BY MS. ALLEN: 3 0 Good afternoon, Dr. Mehta. How are you? Good, thank you. My name is Betsy Allen and I'm going to ask you some 5 questions regarding your testimony and your reports, okay? 6 7 Α Yes, ma'am. Just going back briefly to your experience, you said 8 that you were employed some time at Cincinnati Children's 10 Hospital, is that correct? 11 That is correct. How long were you at Cincinnati Children's Hospital? 12 I was there for one year of my fellowship and then Α 13 I stayed on for two more years as faculty, so just about three 14 15 years. Okay, three years? 16 0 17 Α Uh-huh. Okay. Can everybody hear her? She seems to be 18 19 talking very softly. Α I'll try and lean forward. Thank you. 20 Thank you. I'm sorry, maybe it's me. 21 Q No, no, I appreciate that. 22 Α 23 Okay. Are you acquainted with someone by the name 24 of Dr. Robert Shapiro? 25 Α I am. 54

Okay. And he -- did he teach at the Children's 1 2 Hospital Medical Center or was he associated with this in 3 Cincinnati? Yes. He was the fellowship director of the program 4 Α 5 I was in. 6 Did you know him? 0 7 Α Yes. Personally? 8 Q Α Yes. Okay. So you actually worked under him, is that 10 11 correct? 12 Α That is correct. 13 Okay. Are you aware of his publications? 0 Some of them. 14 Α 15 Q Okay. So you are -- I guess basically you started 16 off as a pediatric medical doctor, is that right? 17 That is correct. Α And at some point you opted to specialize in child 18 19 abuse? 20 Α That is correct. Okay. At what point in your career did you decide 21 Q that you were going to specialize in child abuse? 22 Immediately after my chief residency year. 23 Α 24 completed --25 0 Here in Vegas?

A No.

- Q Okay.
- A So I did an undergraduate college degree, then four years of medical school, three years of pediatrics, one year of chief resident, and then directly into fellowship.
- Q Okay. And what was the catalyst that pushed you into child abuse?
- A I was planning to academic medicine. I was going to work in a university setting. And I kept getting those cases when I was in general practice in my training and recognized that I wanted to have some advanced training in that area. So my initial plan was to teach pediatrics and child abuse pediatrics in the university setting, and then once I entered into the field I realized that there was -- it was very hard to find good part-time practice in the area. There was such a need that there was a lot of full time requests.
- Q And you were in Las Vegas I think you said for nine years, is that right?
 - A That is correct.
- Q Okay. And you helped establish the CAC, is that correct?
 - A I was -- the CAC existed.
- 23 Q Okay.
- 24 A I helped establish the medical clinic at the CAC.
- Q Okay. So prior to your coming on, they didn't have

like a full time physician or a physician to do the actual physical examinations of the children?

A They had a history of having had some nurses who had been doing exams and the County had let go of that program, so there was a period of, I don't know, months where they were looking and trying to create a program.

Q Okay. And you mentioned nurses. Prior to you coming on at the CAC, were there women or men there referred to as SANE nurses?

A No. They had two nurse practitioners in the program and they were not SANE nurses.

- Q They weren't SANE nurses?
- A No.

Q Okay. Just to be clear, what is a SANE nurse, so we're not confusing the jury?

A Sure. A SANE nurse is a term that's generally used to describe somebody who is a registered nurse, somebody with a two or four year degree in nursing, and they usually perform adult sexual assault exams. They can do some adolescent sexual assault exams as well. And they focus on those acute exams where the contact has been within those last few days in order to collect evidence.

Q Okay. So prior to your coming on there were nurses there. There wasn't a physician that was really sort of full time there, is that right?

A There wasn't. There were two nurse practitioners with a physician director.

- Q Okay. So you came in and for a period of time acted as the director of the CAC, is that correct?
 - A No. The director of the medical clinic.
- Q The medical clinic. Okay. And you said very specifically that you worked with CPS and Metro, is that correct?
 - A We have to work collaboratively. Yes.
- Q Okay. Those are the three main components of the CAC, isn't that correct? It's the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Child Protective Services, and then the follow-up physical examination part that you -- the medical part of it that you fulfill, is that correct?
- A Those are three of the main components. There's also a community collaboration component with like people from the community who participate in like fundraising, things like that. There is a mental health component where resources are provided to non-offending parents and therapies for children, so there's referrals for that. So there are a couple of other pieces to the CAC as well.
- Q Okay. And you worked very closely then -- while you were here you worked very closely with the District Attorney's Office, isn't that correct?
- A I would come when they subpoenaed me, yes.

- Q Okay. How many times were you subpoenaed to testify by the Clark County District Attorney's Office?
- A I don't have an exact number. I'm going to say over thirty.
- Q Okay. How many times would it be specifically with Ms. Luzaich?
- A I don't have an exact number. I'm going to say -I'm really guesstimating five.
 - Q Okay. So you've testified for her before?
- 10 A I have.

2

3

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

21

- Q Okay. On all five occasions? On all five occasions that you were subpoenaed by Ms. Luzaich, did you testify for her? Did you actually provide testimony?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Okay. All right.
- A There probably are other times she subpoenaed me
 that I didn't testify. I should be clear on that.
- 18 Q And that's what I was asking you about testifying.
- A No, I apologize. She's probably subpoenaed me many more times than that.
 - Q Okay.
 - A I've probably testified five of those times.
- Q And so you have or you had at least at one point a pretty -- you had a working relationship with her, is that correct?

A Okay. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 0 Is that correct?
- A I mean, I didn't work with her per se. That's why I'm not understanding your question exactly.
- Q You collaborated on cases coming into court to testify, is that correct?
- 7 A I would have pretrial conferences with her to 8 discuss my case, yes.
 - Q Okay. And they were all sexual abuse cases, is that correct?
- A I want to say I've testified in a physical abuse case where she subpoenaed me as well.
 - Q Okay. Were most of them sexual abuse cases?
- 14 A Yes, I believe so.
 - Q Okay. How many times have you testified for a defense -- a defendant or the defense in all the years that you've sort of specialized in this?
 - A Once.
 - Q Okay. And how many times would you estimate you testified for the prosecution, not just in Las Vegas but anywhere that you've been?
 - A Probably around fifty.
 - Q Okay. So one time for the defense and about fifty times for the prosecution, is that correct?
- 25 A That's correct.

- Q Okay. Is it a safe assumption to say that you work more with the prosecution than you work with the defense?
 - A More commonly, yes.

- Q Okay. You said that the HPV virus is a common STD, is that correct?
- A Could you rephrase your question? I don't think I said that exact phrase, that's why I'm hesitating.
- Q My recollection is that you testified HPV was a common STD.
- A I would say that the HPV virus is sexually transmitted, and so it's a little bit different than an STD where people think about, oh, you can take an antibiotic and treat it. Does that make sense?
- Q I mean, it makes sense, but it's still a sexually transmitted disease, is that right? It's still classified as an STD, HPV is?
- A Not all HPV. High risk HPV of the cervix, such as this patient had.
- Q Okay. And so it's classified as an STD, is that correct?
- A Not all HPV.
 - Q I said this one in particular that you're talking about, you said it's a high risk HPV in this particular person we're talking about, Taharah, is that right?
- A So in Taharah's case I would say that having a high

risk HPV infection is supportive of her indicating that there had been sexual contact.

- Q That's not the question I asked you, Dr. Mehta.

 What I asked you was is HPV an STD? And your response when I asked you that question, I believe, was not all forms.
 - A Not all forms of HPV are an STD, correct.
- Q Right. But then you said the form that this particular patient had would be classified as an STD, is that correct?
- 10 A They didn't give an exact sub-type. They said she 11 had high risk HPV, and that is associated with sexual contact.
- Q Okay. So you didn't actually test her for HPV, is that correct?
 - A That is correct.

2

6

7

14

15

16

18

19

20

23

- Q So you're relying on reports from someone else, is that right?
- 17 A That is correct.
 - Q And so you personally have no knowledge about the form or strain of HPV that this girl has, is that correct?
 - A I only have the test result.
- Q Okay. Again, you have no personal knowledge about what kind of HPV she has, is that correct?
 - A The test result does not indicate that.
 - Q The question I asked you is you have no personal knowledge. Do you --

MS. LUZAICH: Objection, asked and answered. 1 2 THE COURT: Sustained. 3 BY MS. ALLEN: You also testified that she would have received it 4 5 through sexual contact, is that right? 6 Α Most likely, yes. 7 0 Most likely, but there are other ways to receive that, is that correct? 8 Α Yes. And you said childbirth? 10 11 Α That would be another way. 12 Okay. So the individual who purportedly had given 13 her this STD, would it also be easily transmitted to other partners? 14 15 It could be, yes. 16 Okay. You're a doctor, this is your specialty. What is the risk of transmission of this form of HPV? 17 It's unknown. Α 18 Unknown. Okay. So as you sit here you can't answer 19 20 that question --21 Α That's correct. 22 -- how easily it's transmitted, is that correct? That's correct. 23 Α 24 Okay. Were you ever given any medical records to 25 review from the defense as far as Frederick Harris?

A No, ma'am.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

15

18

19

20

21

22

- Q Okay. So as you sit here today, you have no idea if he has HPV, is that correct?
 - A That is correct.
- Q Okay. Did you ask Taharah if she was treated for this high risk HPV?
 - A I did not.
- Q Wouldn't that be a concern of yours that she was treated for something that potentially could cause cancer?
- A Because it doesn't develop into cancer in that time
 frame, it's something that would need to be followed up by her
 regular physician on subsequent Pap smears.
- Q Okay. So you weren't concerned about her getting cancer at that point in time?
 - A At that point in time, no.
- Q Okay. You described -- I apologize, you described Taharah as a smallish adult. Do you recall that?
 - A That was me speculate-- sorry, wrong word -- me trying to say if somebody is five feet, five and a half inches tall and 131 pounds, giving a quantification as to the size of that person.
 - Q So she was like a smallish adult, is that correct?
- 23 A Yes.
 - Q Even though she was 12 years old?
- 25 A Correct.

- Q Okay. You said you would be concerned if -- you asked her about her period, is that right?
 - A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

- Q She had regular periods, is that correct?
- A I'd have to look at my notes to refresh my memory, but I understood her to have regular periods.
- Q Was that -- Is that a standard question you ask, do you have a regular period?
 - A Yes, I do ask that question.
- Q Okay. And you said if she didn't have a period for four months I'd be concerned that she was pregnant?
- A That would be an example of a reason I would ask that question.
 - Q There are certainly other reasons why someone could not have a period for four months, is that correct?
- 16 A Yes.
- Q Okay. Is it unusual for a girl at 12 years old to have irregular periods?
- 19 A No.
- Q Okay. You talked about the Tanner stages one to five, is that correct?
- 22 A That's correct.
- Q And you said they -- you said both girls were at Tanner stage four, is that right?
- 25 A That's correct.

But specifically with Taharah, she's Tanner stage 1 four and there's only one stage after that, is that right? 2 Stage five. Correct. 3 Α What is the difference between four and five? 4 Okay. The pubic hair on the female then instead of just 5 Α covering the labia majora also spreads onto the thighs and up 6 7 the belly some, and there is increased estrogenization of the 8 hymen. Okay. So it's basically pubic hair and estrogenized hymen, is that correct? 10 Α The stretchiness of the hymen, yes. 11 Okay. And you characterized Taharah in your report 12 as having an estrogenized hymen? 13 14 Α Yes. Okay. Did that indicate to you -- or the fact that 15 you used that terminology, had her hymen reached Tanner stage 16 five but she didn't have the pubic hair? Was she halfway 17 Do you understand what I'm saying? 18 there? 19 No, ma'am. Okay. Was her hymen fully estrogenized, fully 20 21 developed? Her hymen demonstrated the capacity for stretch and 22 Α was supportive of her being a Tanner stage four female. 23 24 Okay. And what happens as women go into I guess

stages four and five as far as the vagina? Can you explain

- what happens, what changes happen with the vagina in that area aside from the pubic hair?
- A It would not -- it's not a concrete definition. It would just be continued estrogenization.
- Q Does the vagina sort of open up a little bit? Does it widen?
 - A Not specifically, no.
- Q Not specifically. So you have incurred instances where it's opened up and instances where it's not?
- 10 A I don't understand your question.
- 11 Q You've seen women or you've examined Tanner stage 12 four individuals --
- 13 A Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

- Q -- who have -- their vaginal area has opened up and there's ones that have not, is that correct?
- A I don't understand your question.
- Q Well, you said it was non-specific. Explain why it's non-specific.
- A I don't understand the opened up part. I think that's where I'm confused on your question.
- 21 Q Okay. Well, let's go back.
- MS. ALLEN: I apologize, Your Honor, if I could just
- 23 | have a moment.
- 24 BY MS. ALLEN:
- 25 Q You indicated you were aware of Dr. Shapiro and some

- of the things that he had written, is that correct?
- A Yes.

- Q Okay. You actually worked under him?
- A Yes.
 - Q Is this a correct statement? During puberty the hymenal tissue becomes thicker and redundant. The opening into the vagina becomes larger.
 - A Larger than pre-puberty.
 - Q Okay. So is someone at stage four pre-puberty or post-puberty?
- 11 A Post-puberty.
 - Q Okay. Someone who's actually had a menstrual cycle?
- 13 A Generally speaking, yes.
 - Q Okay. So then post-puberty, so someone who is stage four then, the opening to the vagina becomes larger, is that correct?
 - A Than somebody who is pre-pubertal. So if you're comparing somebody who has not gone through puberty, like an 8-year-old, the size of the opening is going to appear larger between an 8-year-old and somebody who is now later going through puberty.
 - Q Okay. So -- and when we're talking about children because you refer to children a lot, we're talking about anything from infants all the way up to 18, is that right?
- 25 A The field of pediatrics is defined as below the age

1 of 18, yes.

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Okay. But when you talk about children, it could be someone who is like 17, is that correct?
 - A That is possible, yes.
 - Q Okay. Did you ever examine Victoria Duke in the process of this?
 - A No, ma'am.
 - Q The only two that you examined were Taharah and Taquanda Duke, is that right?
- 10 A That's correct.
 - Q Okay. You said that she had had her period for approximately three years?
 - A By her history.
 - Q Taharah. I apologize; Taharah.
- 15 A By her history, yes.
- Q Okay. So she had been subjected to three plus years of female hormones, I think is what you said?
 - A So, she had been already in puberty for three years, meaning she's got significant estrogen effect to her hymen.
 - Q Okay. And what does that mean? I mean, what is the difference between significant estrogen effect versus non-significance estrogen effect?
 - A So if somebody is nine and they just started having their period for the first time, they would likely experience pain with trying to insert a tampon into their body because

that hymenal tissue is not very thickened or stretchy yet.

After a period of years of that hormone circulating and those tissues becoming thicker and stretchier, it would be possible for them to insert a tampon into their body without causing pain or discomfort.

Q So as you are exposed to estrogen, the hymen becomes more tolerant of -- I don't want to say trauma, but of things touching it, I guess?

A It becomes more tolerant of things touching it and of being penetrated.

Q Okay. Is it more likely pre-puberty to have the hymen bleed if there's trauma to it?

A It is more likely, yes.

Q Okay. So after puberty there's a less of a likelihood for blood, let's say upon sexual contact, whether it's you classify it sexual assault or consensual, but just sexual contact, period, if someone is having penile to vaginal penetration there's less of a likelihood of bleeding, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Okay. The exam that Taharah and Taquanda went through, is that sort of a standard female exam for people as they -- women as they get older? Is it an exam that everybody -- every woman should go through once a year?

A No. I generally -- if you have somebody who has not

been sexually -- with concerns of sexual abuse, most people
would not do a speculum examination in somebody who is of that
age.

Q Yeah. The question I'm asking you is the exam that they went through, is that something that women who are, let's say sexually active, that they would go through once a year? It's a standard exam, it's not a special exam simply because she's a child, is that correct?

A Well, we use colposcopy to do the examination, so we use a special piece of equipment with a magnifying lens and photographic capability to document her exam, and the examination of the external portions of their genitalia is not something that would routinely be done at a doctor's visit.

- Q Let me clarify. The speculum, is that something that's used on an annual Pap smear with women?
 - A Yes.

- Q Okay. So it's nothing unusual for a woman, a sexually active woman to go through a Pap smear, is that correct?
- A That's correct.
 - Q Or at least they should once a year, right?
- A Sometimes the guidelines stretch it out longer than that, but yes.
- Q Okay. You indicated -- and I'm talking about
 Taharah now, I'll get to Taquanda in a minute. The questions

I'm asking you, if I'm not specific they are related to
Taharah. You said that Taharah verbalized to you things that
had happened to her, is that right? She verbalized to you,
she actually spoke to you and said that certain things had
happened to her, is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And you hadn't sat through her forensic interview,
is that right?

- A That is correct.
- Q You said you may have talked to someone who interviewed her, is that right?
- A I would have been provided information regarding
 what she said in the forensic interview.
 - Q Okay. And I believe that you testified that you specifically asked her a question, you know, can you tell me what Fred did to you. Do you recall testifying to that?
- 17 A I did not ask her can you tell me what Fred did to 18 you.
 - Q Okay. Do you remember what you asked her?
 - A No.

- Q You don't have any specific recollection about what you asked Taharah Duke, is that correct?
- A I can tell you what I believe I asked her and then verify it by looking at my notes.
- Q Well, let me ask you this. There's no tape recording

- 1 of the interview, is that right?
- 2 A That's correct.
 - Q Okay. And your questions aren't meant to necessarily be a forensic interview, is that correct?
 - A That's correct.
 - Q So you -- did you give her an open-ended question like, can you tell me what happened to you?
 - A No.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

- Q Okay. You gave her what I guess we would term a leading question, you suggested the answer to her and let her repeat whatever she was going to repeat to you, is that correct?
- 13 A No.
 - Q Okay. So you did not lead her and ask her, you know, tell me something someone has done to you? You didn't ask her anything like that?
 - A I indicated to her that I knew she had spoken to someone earlier in the day about something that had happened to her.
- 20 Q Okay. And you followed up with what?
- 21 A I asked her who that was in regard to.
- 22 Q Okay. And she verbalized to you that it was Fred?
- 23 A Correct.
- Q Okay. And you said you remembered her to be slow; 25 for lack of a better term, she was slow?

- Α Yes. 1 2 Okay. You used another word, but easiest -- for the 3 purposes of the jury it's slow, right? Cognitively delayed. Correct. 4 Okay. You indicated that she told you she had been 5 raped and that was her word, is that correct? 6 7 Α She used the word raped in explaining something to 8 me about what had happened to her. 9 Okay. Did she specifically say I was raped? She told me that she told someone else that she was 1.0 Α 11 raped. Okay. So she didn't specifically say to you I was 12 raped, she said I told someone else that, is that correct? 13 14 Α Correct. 15 Okay. And then you said the interview that you do you do it alone, is that right? 16 That's correct. 17 Α And you did this interview alone? 18 19 Α Yes. 20 Do you recall if her 11-year-old sister was present during the interview? 21
 - A The 11-year-old sister was not present.

23

24

25

Q Do you recall in your report saying, "Aside from the patient's 11-year-old sister, there are no family members present?"

That's during the medical examination portion. So 1 2 when I examined her, the body examination, her sister was 3 there in the room for the medical examination. Okay. So you allowed her sister to come in, is that 4 5 correct? 6 Α Correct. I do not have them see each other's exams, 7 but they can be present for emotional support if they wish to 8 be. Okay. She indicated to you she had problems with 9 10 her period or that her stomach hurt during her period, is that 11 correct? That's correct. 12 Α Did she indicate she had abnormal heavy periods? 13 I didn't specifically ask her about that. 14 15 Q Okay. You indicated there was a white discharge, is that right? 16 Correct. 17 Α And that can be due to hygiene issues, bathing, the 18 19 type of panties she wears, is that correct? 20 Α That's a possibility, yes. All of those things, is that correct? 21 0 That's correct. 22 Α It's not specific or indicative of sexual abuse? 23 That's correct. 24 Α 25 And you indicated it was a totally normal exam? 0

1 A That's correct.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

22

23

24

- Q You also said that her -- or I actually don't think that you said this in direct, but that her hymen I believe was intact from three to nine o'clock, is that right?
 - A I would describe it as normal.
- Q Your report says, "The tissue was present from three to nine o'clock," is that correct?
 - A That's correct.
- Q Okay. That's -- I can approach, but that's your writing, is that right?
- 11 A Correct.
- Q Okay. So she had -- if you're looking at a clock,
 the hymen tissue was present from three to nine, is that
 right?
- 15 A That's correct.
 - Q And when you say that, do you mean it was present -- was it above the three to nine o'clock or is it present below the three to nine o'clock? If that makes sense.
- 19 A It doesn't make sense.
- Q Okay. So what I'm going to do then, if I could,
 21 is --
 - A If you want to show me the drawing, I'll explain it to you on the drawing.
 - Q Actually, I was going to actually have you -MS. ALLEN: Your Honor, if I can approach?

THE COURT: 1 You may. 2 MS. ALLEN: Thank you. 3 BY MS. ALLEN: Could you draw just a clock, three to nine o'clock 5 and where the hymen tissue was. 6 Α If you give me the drawing it would be a lot easier 7 because I'm not a good artist. It's hard for me to draw it. 8 It's okay, we don't need anything perfect, I I would like it outside of the drawing. I'd like 10 your rendition of it. You don't have to draw the vagina and 11 the lips. Yeah, I'm trying to figure out -- there isn't really 12 Α a way to draw it without drawing the whole thing. That's why 13 14 I'm puzzling over how to draw it for you. 15 Q Okay. Well, then go ahead and do your best. not expecting Picasso, I promise. 16 17 Α Okay. Can you -- where's the hymen? Could you put an 18 19 arrow and indicate where the hymen is on that? I'm going to color it in. 20 Α MS. ALLEN: And do you want to come up here? 21 22 MS. LUZAICH: I have an objection. I'm just trying 23 to figure out what the objection is. 24 BY MS. ALLEN: 25 And is this the top of the -- this is the twelve Q

o'clock position? 1 2 Α Yes. 3 Okay. And this would be the six o'clock position, 0 is that correct? 5 A Yes. 6 0 Okay. Three and nine? 7 Α Yes. Okay. So this would be where her stomach is, is 8 9 that right? 10 Α This is the hole that she would pee out of. The what? 11 Q 12 Α Where she would pee from. 13 0 Okay. 14 Α Here's her anus. 15 Okay. Q This would be twelve, this would be three, this 16 Α would be six and this would be nine. 17 18 Okay. All right. And so this was your recollection 19 of the tissue that was present in Taharah, is that right? 20 That's my drawing at your request of how I would draw it. 21 22 Then can you draw a picture of what you 23 recall Taharah -- Taharah's to be? 24 Α I don't have an independent recollection of her 25 examination. I only have my description of her examination. 78

Okay. So as you sit here today then, you have no 1 2 independent recollection of the three to nine o'clock that was present on Taharah Duke, is that right? Α That is correct. 5 Okay. So your picture showed a very small sliver Q 6 of tissue, is that right, the picture you drew? 7 Α It's me trying to draw what you asked me to draw. I understand. 8 0 So I'd be -- if we have the images, I'm happy to look at the patient's images with you. 10 11 Q Images? The pictures? 12 Α From the actual examination. You took pictures during the examination? 13 0 Correct. 14 Α 15 0 And you're absolutely positive you took pictures 16 of those? 17 Α Yes. 18 MS. ALLEN: Can we approach? 19 THE COURT: You may. 20 (Bench Conference) MS. ALLEN: I don't have those. 21 22 MS. LUZAICH: I know. We never provide the pictures 23 unless they're specifically asked for and an order is entered 24 because it's child pornography. I wasn't asked for them. don't have them either. 25

1	THE COURT: There is a procedure in order to handle
2	those types of pictures.
3	MS. ALLEN: I've never encountered that issue.
4	They've always been ordered turned over, and so(inaudible).
5	THE COURT: There's a case right on point
6	MS. ALLEN: Okay.
7	THE COURT: that talks about the procedure.
8	MS. ALLEN: Is it yours?
9	MR. MACARTHUR: My case? I don't think so.
10	MS. LUZAICH: <u>Epperson</u> .
11	THE COURT: I don't think it's no. But there's
12	a procedure in place. Well, there's case law.
13	MS. LUZAICH: <u>Epperson</u> .
14	THE COURT: What's the case?
15	MS. LUZAICH: <u>Epperson</u> .
16	THE COURT: <u>Epperson</u> . Yeah. You don't just
17	unfortunately, we just don't automatically get them.
18	MS. LUZAICH: You don't just get child pornography.
19	MS. ALLEN: Well, it's not child pornography, it's
20	medical pictures, but. I mean, there's a difference, but
21	THE COURT: They're of a child's vagina.
22	MS. ALLEN: No, I understand, Your Honor. Okay.
23	Well, I didn't get them, and so
24	THE COURT: I'm not saying you cannot
25	MS. ALLEN: Yeah. Right.

1	THE COURT: get them. Are you making a request
2	for them?
3	MS. ALLEN: Yeah, at this point I'd have to make a
4	request to get them.
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	MS. ALLEN: And resume my cross with her once I get
7	them because I need her to show her them.
8	MS. LUZAICH: Okay. I can't do it that fast and she
9	flies out at seven o'clock tonight. I mean, I can see what I
10	can do. I just
11	THE COURT: Do you have them?
12	MS. LUZAICH: No.
13	THE COURT: Oh, okay.
14	MS. LUZAICH: They're at the CAC.
15	THE COURT: You didn't even request them?
16	MS. LUZAICH: No, I never do. It's a normal exam.
17	It's a normal exam. If there were findings, then we ask for
18	them. But in a normal exam, I mean, it's normal
19	MS. ALLEN: I understand, but I'm asking her to
20	I need an indication of how much tissue was present. That's
21	what I'm asking her and she has no independent recollection.
22	THE COURT: And you think the pictures, I mean
23	MS. ALLEN: She said she (unintelligible) having the
24	pictures to see to be able to tell me about that.
25	THE COURT: Okay. I mean, I know she's told me
	81

1	she has to leave. Do you know when she's going to be back?
2	Because I guess she's going to have to be recalled.
3	MS. LUZAICH: When she'll be back? In a couple
4	months. She was in New Orleans. I can make a phone call to
5	see how long it would take to get
6	THE COURT: Okay. So she's leaving to go back to
7	New Orleans because that's where she lives?
8	MS. LUZAICH: Because that's where she lives.
9	THE COURT: Can she stay overnight?
10	MS. LUZAICH: You can ask her.
11	MS. ALLEN: I mean, I can keep crossing her and then
12	you can see if you can get the pictures. I'll keep crossing
13	her so we can move things along.
14	MR. MACARTHUR: Would she be able to work off her
15	notes and do it artistically? She said she has
16	MS. LUZAICH: Ask her.
17	MR. MACARTHUR: no independent recollection, but
18	what if she has her notes?
19	MS. LUZAICH: Ask her.
20	MR. MACARTHUR: Could she do it
21	MS. ALLEN: She has her notes. They're up there.
22	(Inaudible) three to nine o'clock.
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	(End of Bench Conference)
25	THE COURT: Go ahead.

BY MS. ALLEN:

1

2

3

5

6

10

14

15

16

22

- Q Okay. I apologize, Dr. Mehta. So I don't have those pictures and you have no independent recollection, is that correct?
- A Of her exact examination from two years ago? No, ma'am.
- Q Okay. And the picture you drew then is just three and nine o'clock, is that right?
- A I just indicate that her hymen appears normal to me, that it's a normal examination.
- Q Normal examination. Okay. Moving on just quickly,
 I apologize, you had checked on your report non-specific
 findings, is that correct?
 - A That was because of the whitish discharge, yes.
 - Q Okay. And then you also checked the box probable abuse, is that right?
- 17 A That is correct.
- Q Okay. And you have essentially the patient reported disclosure to CAC but also to you, is that correct?
- A Essentially to the CAC, but made indication to me as well.
 - Q Okay. And that was the cause for probable abuse?
 - A In addition to what else is marked there.
- Q Okay. And in spite of the completely normal exam, 25 is that correct?

1	А	Yes.
2	Q	Okay. Let's talk about Taquanda's exam. Taquanda's
3	exam took	place the same day, is that right?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	You actually indicated that she was on her period,
6	during he	r menstrual cycle, is that right, when you examined
7	her?	
8	А	That is correct.
9	Q	Do you recall the report actually stated that she
10	hadn't ev	en started having her menstrual cycle?
11	А	When she was asked the question she did not indicate
12	she had s	tarted her period. I do not know if she did or did
13	not under	stand the question.
14	Q	Okay. So the information in your report that says
15	the patie	nt hasn't started having her menstrual period, then
16	that was	her answer to the question?
17	A	So if you look on the handwritten forms in the
18	report, t	he nurse asks her when she comes in
19	Q	No, I'm just asking
20	А	if she has her period, and I'm indicating I
21	reviewed	that and per the patient's own information she didn't
22	indicate	she had started.
23	Q	Okay. But she actually was on her period when she
24	came in?	
25	A	That's correct.

- Q Okay. So is there a possibility she didn't know that she was on her period?
- A She may not have understood the question as to if somebody says have you started having your periods yet. She may have a different word for it than that.
- Q Okay. There was a question about current genital discharge and you have "sometimes whitish."
 - A That is what the patient told the nurse.
- Q Okay. So she says -- indicates that she has a whitish discharge, is that correct?
- 11 A Correct.

2

3

4

6

10

15

- 12 Q And that's the same as Taharah, is that right, she 13 had a whitish discharge?
- 14 A Correct.
 - Q Okay. Which you -- on Taharah's you indicated that it was non-specific, is that right?
- 17 A Correct.
- Q Okay. On Taquanda's, however, you indicated, I think, that it was a normal exam, is that right?
- A I did not visualize the discharge when I examined her.
- Q Okay. So you have to visualize it in order to get non-specific findings?
- A Non-specific is the same as normal. It means it doesn't tell us anything forensically.

- Q But there's two different boxes.
- A So, for example, historically people would sometimes think a discharge or redness meant something, and there is a category of findings that don't really point one way or another towards abuse or non-abuse. We call those non-specific findings. They are things that you see like redness or discharge that do not answer or tell you one way or another.
- Q Okay. But then it's non-specific, it's not normal, is that right? There's a reason there's two boxes, isn't there?
- 11 A Yes.

- Q Okay. And you specifically -- normal exam on Taquanda and on Taharah's it was non-specific, is that right?
- 14 A Yes.
 - Q Do you recall what your assessment -- well, let me ask you this. Was Taquanda also a Tanner four estrogenized redundant hymen?
 - A I know she was a Tanner four. I'd have to read the rest for the rest of the descriptors.
 - Q Go ahead.
- 21 A Yes.
 - Q Okay. So she was essentially in the same sort of puberty-type area that her sister was in, is that correct?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Okay. Do you recall -- well, you indicate in the

- report or someone indicated that she hadn't actually started her menstrual cycle, so you don't know how long she had been on it, is that correct?
 - A That's correct.
- Q So there's no history as to how long she had been on it?
- A That's correct.

2

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

21

22

- Q Your recollection of her hymen, was it the same -- essentially the same as her sister's, that same stretchy -- the same stretchy type of skin that you described for her sister?
- A I don't independently recall the appearance of her hymen. I know that I was able to take swabs and touch her hymen and it didn't cause her any tenderness or discomfort for me to do that.
- Q Okay. And did you perform like a Pap smear on her as well?
- A I did not perform a Pap smear on either girl, but

 19 I did use this on a -- I did this type of examination of the

 20 older sister.
 - Q Okay. So you didn't use a speculum on Taquanda, is that right?
 - A Correct.
- Q Okay. You didn't take any swabs, then, of her cervix?

1 I did not do any swabs of her cervix. Α 2 Did you do any testing for STDs or anything 3 such as that? I did. 4 Α 5 Okay. Did those results come back right away? 6 Α No. 7 0 When did they come back? 8 They generally take a week to come back. Α 9 Okay. Did you have any independent recollection of the results of those tests before coming in here today and 10 11 reviewing those lab reports? 12 Α No. Okay. Both girls came back with no -- of all the 13 Q 14 tests you run, no STDs, is that correct? 15 That's correct. Α 16 Okay. And on Taquanda's you marked possible abuse, 17 is that right? That's correct. 18 Α 19 And that's for two reasons, in that she disclosed 20 potential physical abuse, is that right? 21 Α No. 22 She didn't disclose physical abuse? 23 She did disclose physical abuse, but that's not Α 24 the reason I marked that. I'm just also notating there.

I said one of the reasons. Was that one of the

25

Q

reasons?

A Uh-huh.

Q Okay. So then the possible abuse would have been the contact with the sister's guardian's adult boyfriend?

A Correct.

Q Okay. So the reason why you checked possible abuse in this case is simply because she had contact with the person who allegedly assaulted Taharah?

A Correct.

O For no other reason?

A Correct.

Q What indications would cause you to check no medical indications of abuse?

Q Can you explain what that is?

A So, for example, let's say a child has bruising to their genital area but they fell and have a straddle injury and somebody is concerned because there's bleeding coming from their genital area, but the history that they provide matches the type of injury that they have. Sometimes a parent comes in and they're concerned because their child has a behavior, like maybe their child is touching their privates a lot, and we recognize that that might be a normal behavior based on the age or stage of that child.

So if we don't have any indication of -- no one is making an allegation about a specific person, if there's no risk of contact with somebody who is alleged or known to have molested another child, if the statement of a child is initially concerning and then upon further clarification it becomes clear they're describing something else. So, for example, a child might say Uncle Bobby hurt my pee pee, and people may be concerned about the possibility of abuse, but then in the course of talking to the child they come to understand that Uncle Bobby elbowed them in the groin when they were wrestling, then we realize that that's not a concern for abuse, that that's a genital complaint that's not related to abuse. Those would be some examples.

- Q Okay. So it sounds like verbalization is a very important component of finding abuse, is that correct?
 - A That is correct.

- Q Okay. So in instances where you have a non-verbal child and let's say there's redness, just redness in the genital area, a female child who's non-verbal, would you generally check no abuse or would you check probable abuse?
- A It would really depend on the rest of the context of the concern.
- Q Okay. It would come down to someone else maybe reporting that they thought the child had been sexually abused?

- A Or if there was a witnessed event --
- Q Witness to an event?

- A -- or the child had tried to say something to someone else, things like that.
- Q Okay. So verbalization can mean the difference between no abuse and probable abuse, is that correct?
 - A To the extent of my ability to evaluate, yes.
- Q Because certainly in this case both girls had totally normal exams, is that right?
- A That's correct.
- Q Okay. And so if they had totally normal exams and they didn't verbalize, they said there was no abuse, would it have been no abuse?
 - A I might not even have seen them in that situation, but if I did see them and they indicated that there was no history of abuse, again, without any other information. I mean, are there cases where there's other reasons? Yes, there are cases where there might be videotaped evidence or photographic evidence, things of that nature, but essentially yes.
 - Q Well, you weren't given photograph evidence in this case, were you?
 - A No, ma'am.
- Q Were you given videos?
- 25 A No, ma'am.

Okay. You weren't given anything other really than 1 what they were interviewed, their interview, and you didn't 2 3 sit in on their interview, is that correct? That's correct. Α 4 So you heard what they said second-hand from either 5 someone who interviewed them or someone sitting there, is that 6 7 correct? Correct. Α 8 And then whatever they may have told you during the 9 10 exam? 11 Correct. Okay. And so if those components of non-disclosure 12 had not been there in this particular case, then it would have 13 been no finding of abuse, is that right? 14 15 Α Correct. On Taharah, do you recall if there were -- the hymen 16 -- I can't say the word -- the edge of the hymen, do you 17 recall if it was smooth? 18 When the hymen is estrogenized we often don't use 19 that terminology anymore because it's now kind of folding and 20 moving, and so I just take the Q-tip and make sure that it's 21 all present. 22 Okay. You didn't find any trauma or --23 24 Α No, ma'am. 25 -- old injuries, I quess? Is that --Q

1	A	That is correct.
2	Q	Would that be correct terminology? You didn't find
3	any old in	
4	<u>.</u>	That is correct.
	A	
5	Q	Any type of indications that she had healed from
6	some kind	of trauma?
7	A	I didn't see any type of scars or anything like
8	that.	
9	Q	Anything like that. Okay.
10		MS. ALLEN: Court's indulgence. May I have a
11	moment, Yo	our Honor?
12		THE COURT: Uh-huh.
13		MS. ALLEN: Thank you. Your Honor, aside from the
14	conversat:	ion we had at the bench, I believe my cross-
15	examinatio	on is concluded at this point.
16		THE COURT: Any redirect?
17		MS. LUZAICH: Yes. Thank you.
18		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MS. LU	ZAICH:
20	Q	Okay. There was a lot of talk about the hymen and
21	whether i	t's estrogenized or what about it. You know, people
22	talk on a	lay person basis about popping the cherry or, you
23	know, the	hymen being torn or whatever. Is that an accurate
24	description	on of what occurs in a body?
25	A	No.
		93

Q Why?

A A lot of what I do day-to-day is explain this to families in a clinical setting because their expectation is is that there's going to be some type of evidence that a doctor can look at and tell that there's been some kind of puncture wound or some type of visual sign that something has gone into that opening.

A way that I explain that to families is, you know, if you're talking about an opening that's capable of stretch, similar if you think about like the opening to your nose and that you would look at the size of the opening and you would think, well, you know, two fingers probably shouldn't fit in there but it can be done, you can stretch the opening, and then you can't look at somebody's nose opening and know if two fingers have ever been in there or not. In cases I have where there's been anal contact there will be a concern about, you know, won't you be able to tell by looking at the child's anus, but that muscle opens up to let things in or out and then goes right back down, so a little kid can have a really big poop, a really big bowel movement, and that anal muscle goes back down.

Because there's already an opening into the vagina, all girls have an opening and later on that's where the blood is going to come out when they get their period and that's how they're going to put a tampon in if they choose to use

a tampon, there's already an opening there. And so the appearance of that opening can change some with time, but it's always there. There's an opening and it has some capacity for stretch, even in little girls who are only a Tanner stage one. By the time you go through the stage of puberty, that capacity for stretch is pretty significant and the hymen generally doesn't show any signs or symptoms related to penetration into the vagina. It just stretches and allows for that to occur.

Q You just mentioned, you know, putting a finger or two up your nose. Is there another part of your body that you might liken the vagina to?

A The actual tissue of the vagina is very similar to the inside of the mouth or the cheek, so it's very similar kinds of skin. So if you think about -- so it's kind of that wet, pink, smooth skin. If you think about any time you've bit or burned inside of your mouth, it smooths right over and heals. It's very hard for there to be any long-lasting trauma. Those skin cells turn over very quickly. So if you look on the inside of people's mouths, again, you don't know how many times they've been burned or bitten themselves or injured themselves because that skin smooths over and heals really well very quickly.

Q So when you do an examination, an evaluation for sexual abuse, do you take every child in every situation and

start just with a clean slate and independent, like you're not comparing one child to another?

A Correct.

Q So you just -- you look at a child and you see what you see or don't see and you hear what you hear or don't hear and it doesn't matter what happened with another child, you make your independent decision based on that child alone, is that correct?

A That is correct.

Q And the fact that Taharah had three years of menstruation before you saw her, during which time abuse would have occurred, what did that do to her vagina tissues?

A So, three years of puberty allows her body to have stretch and capacity for penetration, that sometimes you might think, oh, if somebody is only 12, would their body, you know, you would be able to tell. But she's been having her period for three years. She's got a lot of that female hormone, her tissues are thicker and stretchier than you might otherwise realize a 12-year-old would have that capacity for.

Q And when you were asked questions about the hymenal tissue between three and nine o'clock and you talked about it being present, Ms. Allen was trying to ask you, you know, how much was present, you know, this much or this much, are all -- well, are all women, children, whatever, different?

A So, the amount of tissue present there varies enormously person to person, and without having seen someone before it's not possible to look at someone and see if there's more tissue or less tissue than there used to be. So some children can have a very -- just on their own, non-abused children can have a very narrow, small margin of hymenal tissue and that's just what their body is. There isn't a forensic significance to whether there is a lot of hymenal tissue verus a thinner margin. It is possible to penetrate through the opening whether there's a thicker margin or a thinner margin. It doesn't weigh into deciding whether or not somebody has been abused.

- Q So you can't say because she's 12 there should be, you know, two millimeters and since there aren't two millimeters there's a problem?
 - A Correct.

- Q You just can't say anything like that?
- A Everybody has a different natural amount. And I'm only looking to see if there's any evidence of injury.
- 20 Injury -- the ability to see injury on a child's exam occurs 21 in less than five percent of cases.
 - Q What is the significance of between three o'clock and nine o'clock? Why is that in your report to begin with?
 - A It's in there because if you are looking at -- so if I'm directly visualizing somebody's body it's the lower

part, the part closer to their buttocks that I'm looking at, and that's the area where we're more typically going to see injury if there is going to be injury. It's where in the rare cases that we have like an exam where something just happened to someone and they come in right away, that's the area where I'm going to see injury if I see injury. And then later when I follow that child up it's usually healed. But in the rare cases that I get to see them right after an abuse occurred, that's a focal point that I want to look at because that's where injuries tend to be present if they're going to be present.

- Q And I'm sorry, I might not have heard Ms. Allen correctly when she asked you about the exams that you did for Taharah and Taquanda. You described when you did Taharah's exam you used that speculum that you showed us. When you did Taquanda's exam, did you use a speculum?
 - A I did not.
 - Q Why not?

A For a couple of reasons. One, I did not -- I discovered she was on her menstrual cycle at the time of her examination and her staging. I was under the impression from just her history she might not even have started her periods yet. In addition, she had not made a disclosure of abuse. So in order to test her I just took Q-tip swabs and blindly, without being able to visualize the cervix, just stuck the

1 swabs inside and swabbed inside of her body for the testing. 2 MS. LUZAICH: Thank you, Doctor, I don't have any 3 other questions. THE COURT: Any recross? 4 RECROSS EXAMINATION 5 BY MS. ALLEN: 6 7 Q You stated that -- you indicated -- Ms. Luzaich 8 followed up with the idea that these exams, whether or normal or not, I guess, aren't necessarily indicative of sexual abuse, is that correct? 10 I'm sorry? 11 Α 12 The exam itself is not indicative of sexual abuse, 13 right, of Taharah and Taquanda. Just the exams is not 14 indicative of sexual abuse, is that correct? 15 Α Correct. 16 Okay. What puts it into the -- or I should say 17 Taharah specifically, what puts it into the probable abuse category is her verbalization, is that correct? 18 19 That is correct. 20 Okay. You said you don't compare children. 21 don't look at Taharah and Taquanda and compare them and then 22 you don't go on to the next kid and compare that to Taharah 23 and Taquanda, you take each child as they come in. right? 24 25 Another way of saying that, and I'm not sure if I'm understanding your question, would be I don't have in my mind a standardized this is the way a particular child is going to look. Each child can have different size vaginal opening, different amount of hymenal tissue. There isn't some kind of family tendency or something that I'd gauge one child by another with.

- Q Okay. You notated Taharah's as having the three to nine o'clock tissue, is that correct?
- ${\tt A} {\tt Yes.}$

- 10 Q Okay. Did you note anything with regard to 11 Taquanda's?
 - A I did not physically write it down.
 - Q Okay. And so as you sit here, do you recall what the findings were with regards to the tissue of her hymen?
 - A I diagnosed her as having a normal genital examination.
- Q But you also diagnosed Taharah as having a normal genital examination, is that correct?
- 19 A Correct.
 - Q Okay. So as you sit here today, what is your recollection of Taquanda's hymen and the stage -- or what was left of it or what -- was it three to nine o'clock, was it -- what was it?
 - A I don't independently recall. I felt that her examination was normal.

- Q Okay. Taquanda didn't disclose sexual abuse, is that correct?
 - A That is correct.
- Q Okay. So it wasn't as important to note the tissue in her hymen?
- A No. I indicate that she has an estrogenized redundant hymen.
- O Correct.

- A That I was able to swab it, and that I mark on the following page that her examination is normal. And I have -- I obtained images during the examination just to show what it looked like at that time.
- Q Okay. And you said you took pictures of both girls, is that right?
- 15 A That's correct.
 - Q Okay. You said something about that essentially you wouldn't be here, I guess, if there was no allegation of abuse, is that correct? Do you recall saying something, testifying to something like that during redirect? I apologize, you don't recall that?
 - A No.
 - Q Okay. Well, your entire job when you were here in Las Vegas and likely down in New Orleans, I'm assuming, is that you -- the examination of children who are alleged to be abused, is that correct?

1 Α Yes. 2 Okay. You don't see children who come in just for 3 an exam? I do end up seeing -- well, it depends on what your 4 Α 5 question is. Can you clarify? Well, I mean, a child, the parent -- I mean, my kid 6 0 7 needs a 12-month check up and they bring their child in to see you? 8 No, I do not do well child care. Α 10 Okay. All right. So generally your practice deals with abused children, is that right? 11 Or concerns of abuse. Yes. 12 Concerns of abuse or abused children. Is it mostly 13 sexual abuse? 14 15 I see more sexual abuse than physical abuse, yes. Okay. With regard to the children that you see, 16 Q you fill out these forms about probable and possible abuse 17 or no findings, is that correct? 18 19 Α Correct. Do you follow up with these children and what 20 happens later after they leave your clinic? 21 22 Α Generally, no. Okay. So you're not aware -- of the ones that you 23 24 find probable abuse, you're not aware of later on them saying it didn't happen or recanting, is that correct? 25

I've had some cases where that has occurred. 1 Α 2 I'm saying do you follow up with that on the cases? 0 Do I see the child again, is that your question? 3 Α On the cases that you check the box probable abuse 4 0 5 or possible abuse, do you follow up with those children to 6 find out what happened later? Α Not in all cases, no. Okay. And so you don't know if later on they say, 8 9 oh, it didn't really happen? I would not necessarily know that. That's correct. 10 Α Okay. You wouldn't have any of that information? 11 0 Α No. 12 Would you agree then -- I believe you stated that 13 0 14 the hymen doesn't necessarily weigh into your opinion about whether a child was abused or not, is that right? 15 No, that's not what I said. 16 Α 17 Okay. Can you explain that then? 0 18 Α Can you ask your question again so I understand it? 19 Well, does the hymen weigh into your opinion about whether a child was abused? 20 Α It can. 21 Okay. And when can it weigh into that? 22 23 Α So, for example, I've had cases where a 2-year-old has an injured hymen, cannot tell us what happened and I 24 still conclude that the child was abused because of the 25

1	injury.
2	Q Okay. So a 2-year-old child who is unable to
3	verbalize, correct?
4	A Correct.
5	Q And there's an injury to the hymen?
6	A Correct.
7	Q So at that point in time you would check possible
8	abuse?
9	A Correct.
10	Q Okay. And those would be the factors that would
11	weigh into your decision to check possible abuse?
12	A If there appeared to be a penetrating injury to the
13	hymen with no provided history of trauma to match it, then
14	yes.
15	MS. ALLEN: Thank you.
16	THE COURT: Before I excuse this witness, can I
17	have the parties approach?
18	(Bench Conference)
19	THE COURT: Have you been able to find the photos?
20	Okay. Then I guess I should ask her (unintelligible) if they
21	still have the photos.
22	MS. ALLEN: Please.
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	(End of Bench Conference)
25	THE COURT: All right. At this time we're going to
	104

take a recess. During this recess you are admonished not to 1 2 talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial, or read, watch or listen 3 to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person 4 5 connected with this trial by any medium of information, 6 including without limitation newspapers, television, Internet and radio, or form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted 9 to vou. We'll be in recess until the court marshal lets you 10 Thank you, you're excused. 11 know. (Jury is not present) 12 THE COURT: Let the record reflect that this 13 hearing is taking place outside the presence of the jury 15 panel. Doctor, you indicated during -- you indicated 16 during your testimony that you did take photos of this 17 18 examination, is that correct? THE WITNESS: That is correct. 19 THE COURT: Where are those photos right now? 20 THE WITNESS: Those photos would be in the 21 22 possession of the CAC. 23 THE COURT: The CAC?

THE WITNESS:

are owned by the -- they're managed by the CAC.

24

25

They're not my personal photos. They

1	THE COURT: Okay. So you could not request them?
2	THE WITNESS: No.
3	THE COURT: All right. You have nothing to do with
4	them?
5	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
6	THE COURT: The CAC does?
7	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	THE WITNESS: Well, I obtained the photos and when
10	I was here employed I had access to a system so I could
11	review them, but I didn't have any type of rights to copy or
12	print them or do anything like that.
13	THE COURT: Do you still have those rights?
14	THE WITNESS: To be able to view them?
15	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
16	THE WITNESS: I don't think so, no.
17	THE COURT: Okay. But you couldn't get them for us?
18	THE WITNESS: Uh-uh.
19	THE COURT: No possible way?
20	THE WITNESS: No, not that I could do.
21	THE COURT: Okay. With that, can I excuse this
22	witness?
23	MS. ALLEN: Yes.
24	THE COURT: Okay, you are excused from your
25	subpoena. Thank you very much for being here and the

testimony you provided, and I hope you make your plane. 1 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 2 THE COURT: Okay. With that, now what is your --3 MS. ALLEN: Well, Your Honor --4 -- 'cause she can't get them. 5 THE COURT: 6 MS. ALLEN: I understand she can't get them. Again, I think it was more of a question of them being provided from the State, but it is what is. I mean, I can't -- obviously I can't question her about it without having the pictures and we don't have the pictures, and I have no idea how long it would 10 11 take to get them. My concern is that there was no testimony -- I wanted a specific -- I guess a specific testimony from 12 her with regard to what she saw with regard to Taharah's --13 the hymen, you know, the tissue in the hymen with regard to 14 15 her. Okay. So is there a request pending 16 THE COURT: for the State to try to get them? 17 I would request that they do, yes. 18 MS. ALLEN: 19 Okay. Ms. Luzaich, do you have that THE COURT: 20 power? MS. LUZAICH: Well --21 THE COURT: I mean, I don't know. 22 MS. LUZAICH: The Court needs to issue an order with 23 all of those findings under Epperson. I actually, as soon as 24 25 I sat down while she was cross-examining, I sent an email to

the actual coordinator of the CAC and the person at the front 1 desk who does the busy work to do that asking for it, but I 2 mean, they haven't responded. I sent my investigator an email. He was out in the field. He's back at the office now. said -- I just got an email back, let me call the CAC. 5 that's what I'm trying to do. But there needs to be -- they won't release it without a written court order. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Will you prepare the 8 court order or do you want my office to start preparing the court order? 10 MS. LUZAICH: No, no, no, I'll have my secretary 11 do it. 12 13 THE COURT: Okay. We'll be in recess for about ten more minutes. 14 Thank you. 15 MS. ALLEN: MS. LUZAICH: Wait, can we -- I'm sorry, one second. 16 THE COURT: You know, I also want to tell you --17 MS. LUZAICH: Now, whose photos? Just Taharah's? 18 MS. ALLEN: Yeah, just Taharah. 19 Oh, okay. Thank you. 20 MS. LUZAICH: THE COURT: And I also want to tell you that we need 21 22 to stop at four o'clock today. Okay? MS. LUZAICH: Can we talk about what time we're 23 going to start tomorrow? I'm sorry, Judge. 24 25 THE COURT: Uh-huh.

1	MS. LUZAICH: Just I figured while the jury is out.
2	I know Ms. Allen has an issue in the morning.
3	MS. ALLEN: I have one thing at 9:30 in lower level.
4	THE COURT: 10:30?
5	MS. ALLEN: Sure.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	MS. LUZAICH: Oh, okay.
8	THE COURT: Does that work for everybody?
9	MS. LUZAICH: Sure.
10	MS. ALLEN: I would normally pass it off to someone,
11	but my client spent like eight months in Lakes Crossing
12	THE COURT: Oh, okay.
13	MS. ALLEN: so I need to make sure it goes well.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	MS. LUZAICH: Thank you.
16	(Court recessed at 2:36 p.m. until 2:46 p.m.)
17	(Jury is not present)
18	THE MARSHAL: So is that guy a witness or he's not
19	a witness?
20	MR. MACARTHUR: He is a witness.
21	MS. ALLEN: Oh, John?
22	THE COURT: No one invoked the exclusionary rule.
23	MR. MACARTHUR: Well, hold on, hold on.
24	THE COURT: Neither side.
25	MS. LUZAICH: Oh, we would be invoking. I thought
	109

- 1	
1	it was at the beginning.
2	THE COURT: Okay. I don't think that either side
3	has invoked the exclusionary rule, and the clerk
4	MS. LUZAICH: Oh, we would be invoking the
5	exclusionary rule.
6	THE COURT: Okay. The exclusionary rule has now
7	been invoked, so no witnesses in the courtroom.
8	MS. ALLEN: Right. No, we told yeah.
9	THE COURT: I think everyone always assumes it has
10	been.
11	MS. LUZAICH: Yes, that's true.
12	MS. ALLEN: Yeah, I never I am always really
13	careful about that.
14	THE COURT: I guess I should ask before we start.
15	(Pause in the proceedings)
16	(Jury is present)
17	THE COURT: Do I have a stipulation from the State
18	of Nevada to the presence of the jury panel?
19	MS. LUZAICH: Yes, Judge.
20	THE COURT: Ms. Allen?
21	MS. ALLEN: Yes, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Okay. The State can call their next
23	witness.
24	MS. RHOADES: Yes, Your Honor. The State calls
25	Victoria Duke.

VICTORIA DUKE, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN

THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. Could you please state your full name, spelling your first and last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Victoria Angelique Duke. Victoria, V-I-C-T-O-R-I-A Duke, D-U-K-E.

THE CLERK: Thank you.

1.3

THE COURT: Okay, thank you, Ms. Duke.

There's just one thing I want to tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury before you get started. I know there have been some questions about how you ask witnesses questions. You're only permitted to ask witnesses questions and if you look in your notebook the procedure for asking a witness a question is in there. If after reading that procedure any of you have any further questions, before the end of the day if you'll just raise your hand and I will try to answer the question. But the exact same thing that I read to you at the start of the case is in your jury book. Is everybody okay? Can we proceed?

Okay, everyone is shaking their head yes, so you can proceed with this witness.

MS. RHOADES: Thank you, Your Honor. May I approach the witness briefly just to put something underneath the microphone?

THE COURT: Of course.

1		MS. RHOADES: Okay.
2		DIRECT EXAMINATION
3	BY MS. RH	OADES:
4	Q	Victoria, how are you doing today?
5	А	I'm okay.
6	Q	How old are you?
7	А	Twenty-one.
8	Q	And when is your birthday?
9	А	July 31st, 1992.
10	Q	What's your mom's name?
11	А	Tina Duke.
12	Q	Do you have brothers and sisters?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Can you tell me their names and how old they are
15	right now	7?
16	A	I have a sister named Mahlica, she's 20. I have a
17	sister na	med Taharah, she's 14, and I have a sister named
18	Taquanda	and she's 13. I have a brother named Shabazz and
19	he's 19.	
20	Q	What state do you live in right now?
21	A	California.
22	Q	When did you move to California?
23	A	In August of 2012 or 2013.
24	Q	And did you move from Las Vegas to California in
25	August 20	013?
		112
		↑ ↑ ∇

1	А	Yes.
2	Q	When was the last time that you saw your siblings?
3	A	In May of 2013.
4	Q	What are you doing out in California, Victoria?
5	A	I am living with my god-mom and I'm going to school
6	for MCAA.	
7	Q	Can you tell us what that is?
8	A	It's Medical Clinical Admissions Assistant.
9	Q	And what kind of things are you going to do with
10	that degre	ee?
11	A	I can start I can go I can have a career at
12	a clinic,	a hospital or any type of medical facility.
13	Q	And you just started that, is that right?
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	Did you graduate high school?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	What high school did you graduate from?
18	A	Canyon Springs.
19	Q	And that's here in Las Vegas?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	Were you born in Las Vegas or were you born
22	somewhere	else?
23	A	I was born somewhere else.
24	Q	Where were you born?
25	A	Columbus, Ohio.
		110

THE COURT: You know what, Ms. Duke, that might get 1 2 a little uncomfortable if you keep having to bend down. 3 THE WITNESS: Okay. THE COURT: I think if you just get close enough 4 everyone will be able to hear you, and the jury will let me 5 know if they can't hear you. I just don't want you to have 6 7 to keep going like that, okay? 8 THE WITNESS: Okay. 9 THE COURT: All right. 10 BY MS. RHOADES: Okay, Victoria, I'm going to take you back to early 11 Where were you living at that time? 12 13 In Louisiana. Α And who were you living with in Louisiana? 14 Q Α My mom and my siblings. 15 All four of your siblings? 16 0 17 Α Yes. So you're the oldest of everyone, right? 18 19 Α Yes. Is there a time when you were living in Louisiana 20 Q 21 that you came to Las Vegas? 22 Α Yes. How did that come about? 23 My mom went to Las Vegas and Fred's brother took us 24 Α 25 -- came from Las Vegas to pick us up from Louisiana. 114

Do you recognize Fred in the courtroom today? 1 2 Yes. 3 Can you point to him and identify something that 4 he's wearing? 5 A white shirt, black tie, tan pants and black shoes. 6 0 Can you point him out? On the right side of the court over there. 7 Α MS. RHOADES: Your Honor, may the record reflect 8 9 identification of the defendant? THE COURT: So reflected. 10 MS. RHOADES: Thank you. 11 BY MS. RHOADES: 12 Fred's brother came and picked you and your siblings 13 14 up from Louisiana? Yes. 15 Α 16 Was your mom there at that time? 0 17 Α No. 18 0 Had you ever met Fred's brother before this time? 19 Α No. Had you ever met Fred before this time? 20 Q He came to the house, but I didn't go see him; my 21 Α 22 other siblings did. 23 And when you say came to the house, you mean in Louisiana? 24 25 A Yes. 115

1	Q	So you and your siblings get in Fred's brother's
2	car	
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	and drive to Las Vegas, is that right?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	What happens when you arrive in Las Vegas?
7	A	We moved directly into Ms. Ann's house on Trish
8	Lane.	
9	Q	And who is Ms. Ann?
10	А	Ms. Ann is Fred's girlfriend.
11	Q	Were you aware of a relationship between your mother
12	and Fred as well?	
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	What was the nature of that relationship?
15	А	I'm not sure.
16	Q	When did you meet Fred?
17	А	At Trish Lane.
18	Q	After you got here from Louisiana?
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	How old were you when you came to Vegas from
21	Louisiana	?
22	А	About 12 or 11.
23	Q	Do you remember what month you came to Las Vegas?
24	А	December.
25	Q	Would that be in 2004?
		116

Yes. 1 Α Who lived at the Trish Lane house besides Ms. Ann? 2 0 3 Her mother and her daughter. Α Do you know Ms. Ann's daughter's name? 4 Q 5 Α Sha'karia. Did Fred live at the house on Trish Lane? 6 7 No. Α Did you and your family, your brothers and your 8 sisters and your mom all stay at Trish Lane? 10 Α Yes. How long did you live there for? 11 Q I lived there from 2014 -- from December 2014 to 12 May 2005. 13 Could it be December 2004, not December 2014? 14 0 15 Α Oh, 2004. From 2004 to May 2005. And did your siblings and you and your mom all live 16 at the Trish Lane for that entire period of time? 17 18 Α Uh, no. 19 Is there somewhere else that you lived? We were shortly put out into the Shade Tree and then 20 we moved back into Trish Lane. 21 22 And when you were put out into Shade Tree, you guys Q 23 were all together, you and your siblings and your mom, is that 24 right? 25 Α Yes.

And then you moved back in all together? 1 2 Α Yes. 3 Do you remember ever going to where the defendant Q lived? 4 5 Α Uh, one day we went to the defendant's house and he took my mom to work and he left us there and then came back. 6 7 0 Do you remember where Fred's house was? 8 Α No. 9 0 Was it a house or was it an apartment? 10 Α An apartment. Did he live there alone or with somebody else? 11 Q 12 Α Uh, I can't remember. Describe the time that you remember going over to 13 0 14 Fred's apartment. In the daytime, in the morning. 15 Α Did you go with all of your siblings and your mom? 16 Q Yes, and then he dropped -- they dropped us off at 17 Α 18 the house and he took my mom to work. 19 Where were you coming from? 20 We was coming from Ms. Ann's house on Trish Lane. Α 21 So Fred picked you and your siblings and your mom Q up at Trish Lane and took you and your siblings back to his 22 23 apartment? 24 Α Yes. 25 Where did he take your mom, if you know?

To work. 1 Α 2 Did Fred come back to the apartment after he took 3 your mom to work? 4 Α Yes. 5 What happened at Fred's apartment? 6 Α We was all asleep looking at -- we was all asleep and we was all sick, and when I woke up my siblings was gone. And I asked where my siblings were and he said at the park. 9 Do you remember what month and what year this was 10 in? 11 It was in 2005 and it was in January. 12 Q And when you said you were all sick, you mean you and your brothers and your sisters were all sick? 13 14 Α Yes. 15 Did you have a cold or something like that? 16 I'm not sure what it was, but we all had fevers and Α it was like a cold-flu symptoms, severe. 17 18 So you were all sleeping in Fred's apartment, is 19 that right? 20 Α Yes. 21 Where at in Fred's apartment were you sleeping? 22 Α In his room. 23 On his bed? 24 Yes. Α 25 Were all five of you on the bed?

1	А	Yes.
2	Q	And you woke up from being asleep and you saw that
3	your brother and your sisters were gone?	
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Was the defendant in the room?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	In his bedroom?
8	A	He had just walked in by the time I woke up.
9	Q	Did you ask him where your siblings went?
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	And what did Fred tell you?
12	A	He said that they were at the park.
13	Q	Could you hear any noise in the house?
14	A	No.
15	Q	Do you remember about how big the house was?
16	А	No.
17	Q	What happened when you were in Fred's room alone
18	with him?	
19	А	He I asked him where my siblings were and he said
20	at the park. And then he walked towards the bed and he picked	
21	up my hand and he tried to get me to touch his penis.	
22	Q	Did he Fred, did Fred have clothes on?
23	А	His penis was out of his pants.
24	Q	But he had pants on. Did he have a shirt on?
25	А	Yes.