## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ALFRED P. CENTOFANTI, III, Petitioner, vs. ELIZABETH A. BROWN, CLERK OF COURT, Respondent.

No. 82684

FILED

MAY 2 0 2021

ELIZABETH A. BROWN CLERK OF SUPREME COURT BY S. Young DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBTITION

This is an original pro se petition for a writ of mandamus and/or prohibition seeking an order directing the clerk of this court to file petitioner's motion to recall the remittitur issued in *Alfred P. Centofanti, III* v. State of Nevada, Docket No. 78193, on March 3, 2021, and motion for relief from judgment in that case.

We are not convinced that petitioner has met his burden to show that our extraordinary intervention is warranted. See NRS 34.170; Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004) ("Petitioners carry the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted."); Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851 (1991) (observing that "the issuance of a writ of mandamus or prohibition is purely discretionary with this court"). Accordingly, we

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

OIDE	R the petition DI	7 <b>A</b>	
	Hardesty	lest, C.J.	
Parraguirre	,J.	<u>Atich</u> Stiglich	, J.
<u>Silver</u>	₹ <u>)</u> , J.	Pickering	<u>kering</u> , J.
	Herndon	, J.	
c: Alfred P. Cer Attorney Ger	ntofanti, III neral/Carson City	,	

To the extent petitioner complains that Justice Cadish participated in Docket No. 78193, which involved an appeal of her decision as a district court judge, we note Justice Cadish did not participate in the resolution of the petition for review and issuance of the order denying petition for review with her signature was error. The clerk of this court shall file a corrected order in Docket No. 78193 that denies the petition for review and accurately reflects the justices who participated in the decision.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA