IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CHERI RENE WIMAN,

Appellant,

VS.

COTY REFAELY,

Respondent.

No. 82763

FILED

MAY 2 0 2021

CLERICOF JUPREME COURT

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

This is an appeal from an order granting a motion to enforce a settlement agreement and addressing related issues. Preliminary review of the docketing statement and the documents submitted to this court pursuant to NRAP 3(g) reveals a potential jurisdictional defect. Specifically, it appears that the district court has not entered a final written judgment adjudicating all the rights and liabilities of all the parties. A final judgment is one that finally resolves all claims and issues against all parties to an action and leaves nothing to the district court's consideration except postjudgment issues such as attorney fees and costs. Lee v. GNLV, Corp., 116 Nev. 424, 426, 996 P.2d 416, 417 (2000). There can be only one final judgment in a case. Alper v. Posin, 77 Nev. 328, 363 P.2d 502 (1961), overruled on other grounds by Lee, 116 Nev. at 426, 996 P.2d at 417. Although the parties reached a settlement, and the district court has entered an order granting respondent's motion to enforce the settlement agreement, the order granting the motion merely directs appellant to comply with the terms of the agreement; it does not resolve the claims raised among the parties or dismiss the complaint. See Brown v. MHC Stagecoach, LLC, 129 Nev. 343, 301 P.3d 850 (2013) (an order granting a motion to enforce a settlement agreement is not a final judgment where it does not enter judgment in favor of a party or otherwise resolve the pending

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

71-14497

claims); Valley Bank of Nevada v. Ginsburg, 110 Nev. 440, 446, 874 P.2d 729, 733 (1994) (concluding that a district court order approving a settlement agreement was interlocutory).

Accordingly, appellant shall have 30 days from the date of this order within which to show cause why this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. Failure to demonstrate that this court has jurisdiction may result in this court's dismissal of this appeal. The deadlines for filing documents in this appeal shall be suspended pending further order of this court. Respondent may file any reply within 14 days from the date that appellant's response is served.

It is so ORDERED.

1 Sardesty, C.J.

cc: Law Office of S. Don Bennion Michael S. Strange & Associates, LLC