## CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. 520 SOUTH 4<sup>TH</sup> STREET | SECOND FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 TEL. 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623

1	IN THE SUPREME COUF	RT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
2		* * * * *
3	CLEMON HUDSON,	S.C. CASE NO. 82231
4	Appellant,	Electronically Filed
5	vs.	Aug 06 2021 05:23 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown
6	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	Clerk of Supreme Court
7	Respondent.	
8		
9	APPEAL FROM A JUDGME	ENT OF CONVICTION PURSUANT
10	TO LOZADA V. STATE	AND DENIAL OF PETITION CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION)
11	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTI	RICT COURT THE HONORABLE KIERNY, PRESIDING
12	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	·~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
13		DIX TO THE OPENING BRIEF DLUME III
14	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
15	ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT	ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENT
	ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, ESQ. Attorney at Law	STEVE WOLFSON Nevada Bar No. 1565
	Nevada Bar No. 004349 Rachael E. Stewart	200 E. Lewis Ave. Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
- /	Nevada Bar No. 14122 520 S. Fourth Street, 2nd Floor	(702)455-4711
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	
	Telephone: (702) 384-5563	A A DON FORD
20		AARON FORD Nevada Attorney General
21		100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	VS. THE STAT		COURT OF NEVADA  CASE NO. 82231	
	8		APPELLANT'S	APPENDIX	
	9	<u>VOLUME</u>	<u>PLEADING</u>		PAGE NO
	11	2	AMENDED INDICTMENT (FILED APRIL 15, 2018)		275-279
<b>AM, LTD.</b> SECOND FLOOR A 89101 702.974-0623	12	10	DISTRICT COURT MINUTES DECEMBER 18, 2018 HEARIN		1670
r R. Oram, L.T.  REET   SECOND NEVADA 89101   FAX. 702.974	13 14	10	DISTRICT COURT MINUTES JANUARY 29, 2019 HEARING		1671
CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD OUTH 4 <sup>TH</sup> STREET   SECOND F LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 702.384-5563   FAX. 702.974-0	15	10	DISTRICT COURT MINUTE OF DECEMBER 03, 2020	ORDER	1787
CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. 520 SOUTH 4 <sup>TH</sup> STREET   SECOND FLOO LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 Tel. 702.384-5563   Fax. 702.974-0623	<ul><li>16</li><li>17</li><li>18</li></ul>	10	EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF S MEMORANDUM ON BEHAL DEFENDANT CLEMON HUD (FILED JUNE 20, 2018)	F OF	1594-1614
	19 20	10	FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCL LAW AND ORDER (FILED DECEMBER 16, 2020)		1788-1801
	21	1	INDICTMENT (FILED SEPTEMBER 23, 2015	)	174-179
	<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>	9	INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JUR (FILED APRIL 27, 2018)	Y	1535-1587
	24	10	JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION (FILED JULY 02, 2018)	V	1659-1661
	<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	2	MOTION TO SEVER; NOTICE (FILED AUGUST 28, 2017)	E	187-192
	27	10	NOTICE OF APPEAL (FILED DECEMBER 16, 2020)		1802-1803
	28	10	NOTICE OF APPEAL (FILED DECEMBER 17, 2020)		1804-1805

	1 2	10 NOTICE OF APPEAL (FILED DECEMBER 17, 2020)	1806-1807
	3	10 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER (FILED DECEMBER 17, 2020)	1808-1822
	4 5	10 PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION)	
	6 7	(FILED OCTOBER 25, 2018)  RECORDER'S PARTIAL TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: INITIAL ARRAIGNMENT;	1662-1669
	8	INDICTMENT WARRANT RETURN OCTOBER 1, 2015 (FILED AUGUST 09, 2018)	180-186
	10	2 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: CALENDAR CALL APRIL 10, 2018 (FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	270-274
<b>).</b> Floor -0623	11 12	10 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	
<b>DRAM, LTI</b>   SECOND  ADA 89101  X. 702.974	13 14	(POST-CONVICTION) JANUARY 28, 2020 (FILED JANUARY 08, 2021)	1760-1762
CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. 20 SOUTH 4 <sup>TH</sup> STREET   SECOND FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 TEL. 702.384-5563   FAX. 702.974-0623	15	10 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS: PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION) OCTOBER 15, 2020 (FILED JANUARY 08, 2021)	1763-1786
CHRIS 520 SOUTH LAS TEL. 702.3	16 17	2 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: PRETRIAL CONFERENCE; DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE, DEFENDANT'S MOTION	
	18 19	MOTION IN LIMINE; DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO SUPPRESS STATEMENTS AND REQUEST FOR JACKSON v. DENNO HEARING MARCH 06, 2018 (FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	264-269
	20 21	2 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: STATUS CHECK: STATUS OF CASE -	
	22	REDACTIONS NOVEMBER 16, 2017 (FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)  2 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING:	249-254
	<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	STATUS CHECK: STATUS OF CASE - REDACTIONS NOVEMBER 30, 2017 (FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	255-259
	25	2 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: STATUS CONFERENCE -REDACTIONS	
	<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>	DECEMBER 14, 2017 (FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	260-263
	28		

	1 2 3	2 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL DATE; STATUS CHECK: NEGOTIATIONS/TRIAL SETTING NOVEMBER 02, 2017 (FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	240-248
	5	1 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS GRAND JURY INDICTMENT RETURNS SEPTEMBER 23, 2015 (FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	171-173
	6 7 8	2 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS PRETRIAL CONFERENCE; DEFENDANT'S JOINDER TO CO-DEFENDANT CLEMON HUDSON'S MOTION TO SEVER OCTOBER 12, 2017	202 220
	9 10 11	(FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)  10 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS SENTENCING JUNE 21, 2018 (FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	203-230 1615-1658
, LTD. COND FLOOR 9101 2.974-0623	12 13	2 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS STATE'S REQUEST: STATUS CHECK TO ADDRESS BRUTON ISSUES WITH THE DEFENDANT'S STATEMENTS OCTOBER 31, 2017	
CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. 20 SOUTH 4 <sup>TH</sup> STREET   SECOND FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 TEL. 702.384-5563   FAX. 702.974-0623	14 15	(FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)  REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS GRAND JURY SEPTEMBER 22, 2015 (FILED OCTOBER 08, 2015)	231-239 1-170
CHRI 520 SOUT LAS TEL. 702.	16 17 18	10 REPLY TO STATE'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION)	
	19 20	(FILED JANUARY 16, 2020)  10 SENTENCING MEMORANDUM ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT CLEMON HUDSON	1755-1759
	21 22	(FILED JUNE 20, 2018)  2 STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO SEVER (FILED SEPTEMBER 18, 2017)	1590-1593 193-202
	<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	10 STATE'S RESPONSE TO SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION) AND REQUEST FOR	
	26 27	ÈVIDENTIARY HEAŔING (FILED DECEMBER 31, 2019)  10 SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF	1742-1754
	28	DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION) (FILED DECEMBER 18, 2019)	1672-1741

	1 2	Л	CRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: URY TRIAL - DAY 1 APRIL 16, 2018 FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	280-432
	3	Л	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: URY TRIAL - DAY 2 APRIL 17, 2018 FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	433-655
	5 6	Л	CRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: URY TRIAL - DAY 3 APRIL 18, 2018 FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	656-783
	7 8	Л	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: URY TRIAL - DAY 4 APRIL 19, 2018 FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	784-948
	9 10	Л	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: URY TRIAL - DAY 5 APRIL 20, 2018 FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	949-1084
r. Floor 0623	11 12	Л	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: URY TRIAL - DAY 6 APRIL 23, 2018 FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	1085-1212
HRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD UUTH 4 <sup>TH</sup> STREET   SECOND   LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 '02.384-5563   FAX. 702.974	13 14	Л	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: URY TRIAL - DAY 7 APRIL 24, 2018 FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	1213-1364
CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. 20 SOUTH 4 <sup>TH</sup> STREET   SECOND FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 TEL. 702.384-5563   FAX. 702.974-0623	15 16	Л	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: URY TRIAL - DAY 8 APRIL 25, 2018 FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	1365-1388
520 SG TEL.	17 18	Л	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: URY TRIAL - DAY 9 APRIL 26, 2018 FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	1389-1520
	19 20	Л	CRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: URY TRIAL - DAY 10 APRIL 27, 2018 FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	1521-1534
	21 22		/ERDICT FILED APRIL 27, 2018)	1588-1589
	23			
	24			
	<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>			
	27			
	28			

## CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. 520 SOUTH 4<sup>TH</sup> STREET | SECOND FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 Tel. 702.384-5563 | Fax. 702.974-0623

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify and affirm that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada
Supreme Court on 6 <sup>th</sup> August, 2021. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made
in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

AARON FORD Nevada Attorney General

CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, ESQ.

BY:

/s/ Nancy Medina
An Employee of Christopher R. Oram, Esq.

Electronically Filed 9/25/2018 9:23 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

## **RTRAN** 1 2 DISTRICT COURT 3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 4 5 6 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 7 Case No. C-15-309578-1 and Plaintiff(s), 8 Case No. C-15-309578-2 VS. 9 DEPT. XVIII STEVEN TURNER AND CLEMON 10 HUDSON, 11 Defendant(s). 12 13 BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARK B. BAILUS, 14 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 15 16 TUESDAY, APRIL 17, 2018 17 18 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: **JURY TRIAL - DAY 2** 19 20 21 (Appearances on page 2.) 22 23 24 RECORDED BY: ROBIN PAGE, COURT RECORDER 25

Shawna Ortega • CET-562 • Certified Electronic Transcriber • 602.412.7667 October 102.433 Document 2021 Document 2

Case Number: C-15-309578-2

1	APPEARANCES:	
2	For the Plaintiff(s):	LEAH C. BEVERLY, ESQ. (Deputy District Attorney)
3 4		JOHN L. GIORDANI III, ESQ. (Deputy District Attorney)
5	For the Defendant	
6	Steven Turner:	TEGAN C. MACHNICH, ESQ. (Deputy Public Defender)
7		ASHLEY L. SISOLAK, ESQ. (Deputy Public Defender)
8	For the Defendant	
9	Clemon Hudson:	CLAY PLUMMER, ESQ.
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		2

1	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TUESDAY, APRIL 17, 2018
2	[Proceedings commenced at 11:02 a.m.]
3	
4	[Outside the presence of the prospective jury panel.]
5	THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Please
6	be seated.
7	MS. MACHNICH: Good morning, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: This is continuation of the trial of Case No.
9	C-15-309578-1 and 2, State of Nevada vs. Defendants Steven Turner
10	and Clemon Hudson.
11	Counsel, state your appearances please.
12	MS. BEVERLY: Leah Beverly and John Giordani for the
13	State.
14	MS. MACHNICH: Tegan Machnich and Ashley Sisolak for
15	Mr. Turner.
16	MR. PLUMMER: Clay Plummer for Mr. Hudson.
17	THE COURT: Let the record reflect that Mr. Turner and
18	Mr. Hudson are present. We're bringing the jury in. I believe, counsel,
19	you were in the middle of your voir dire.
20	MR. GIORDANI: Yes, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Thank you. Bring in the jury.
22	[Prospective jury panel reconvened at 11:04 a.m.]
23	THE COURT: Please be seated. Let the record reflect the
24	presence of the potential jury panel.
25	Counsel

1	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: you may proceed.
3	MR. GIORDANI: Do we have additional jurors in here that
4	weren't here yesterday?
5	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No.
6	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. All right. Can I have the microphone
7	sir. Thank you.
8	Mr I'm sorry, I forgot your name.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Bragdon.
10	MR. GIORDANI: Good morning, Mr. Bragdon.
11	Good morning, everybody.
12	I'm going to resume with you, Mr. Bragdon, but I want to go
13	over a couple things, because they're going to lead into my questions.
14	Yesterday I talked with some of your fellow jurors about a
15	couple big concepts that have to do with the criminal justice system.
16	First and foremost, that the defendants are presumed innocent, right?
17	You agree with that sentiment?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yes.
19	MR. GIORDANI: You understand that we, as the State,
20	Ms. Beverly and I have the burden of proof in the case, and that's going
21	to be beyond a reasonable doubt, the judge is going to instruct you on
22	that at a later date; you agree with that idea?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yes.
24	MR. GIORDANI: So you understand that unless we prove our
25	case beyond a reasonable doubt, those guys are entitled to a verdict of

not guilty; you get that idea?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yes.

MR. GIORDANI: Are you the type of person that could convict if the case were proven beyond a reasonable doubt?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: If there was reasonable doubt or beyond a reasonable doubt?

MR. GIORDANI: If the evidence was presented to you beyond a reasonable doubt, are you the type of person that could convict?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yes.

MR. GIORDANI: The judge asked a panel question yesterday, and one lady, I believe it was Juror No. 6, I forget her name, I apologize --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 7: 7.

MR. GIORDANI: Juror No. 7 indicated that she had an issue and -- and she might not be able to judge this case. And so what I want to understand from you and the -- the entire panel is, notwithstanding that presumption of innocence -- so I asked yesterday, does everyone understand you can't convict unless we prove -- prove our case beyond a reasonable doubt? Everyone agreed with that.

Flip that over. We want to know, are we getting 12 jurors that could convict, that don't have a problem saying someone is guilty if it's proven to them beyond a reasonable doubt? Do you get that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yes.

MR. GIORDANI: Are you that type of person?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: I a	am	1	013:	NO.	JUROR	VF	-CT	SP	0	PF
--------------------------------	----	---	------	-----	-------	----	-----	----	---	----

MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Is there anyone on the first 24 that -- that disagrees or believes they're not that type of person? Okay. Seeing no hands there.

With regard to you specifically, sir, you referenced a -- a family home that was victim of a home invasion. Your dad was home at the time, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yes. Correct.

MR. GIORDANI: When was that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: The exact year -- I was still in school. It was probably 2006 maybe.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay. And you said Henderson Police Department or HPD investigated it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yes.

MR. GIORDANI: And did you say -- I forget, did a case result?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: No, not to my knowledge. No one was arrested in relation to that concern.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay. You heard the judge -- judge's questionings yesterday regarding law enforcement in general, with regard to crime specifically, those that have been either the victim of a crime or a suspect or defendant in a crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yes.

MR. GIORDANI: And the judge said several times to the other jurors, Did you have a problem with the police or do you hold a grudge

against the police or blame them for how they handled it? Do you remember that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yes, I do.

MR. GIORDANI: Do you have any issue with how the case was handled --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: No. They did what they could. They took fingerprints and a report and --

MR. GIORDANI: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: -- as best -- I mean, best they could do.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay. And to the best of your knowledge, nothing resulted? You never ended up here in court or your dad didn't end up here in court?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: No.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay. For the -- for the rest of the panel, just before I move on, is there anyone in here -- I forgot to ask this yesterday -- who has any issue with this idea that this case that you're going to be asked to sit on if you're selected, is separate and distinct from any interaction you have had with the criminal justice system in general? Is there anyone who doesn't understand the idea there, or doesn't get what I'm asking because I'm not asking it right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: I don't understand.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Thanks for your honesty, Ms. Saxe.

Can you pass the microphone back, sir.

And that's Juror No. 2?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Yes.
2	MR. GIORDANI: You would agree with me
3	THE COURT: She's juror wait, wait.
4	What what is your badge number, ma'am?
5	MR. GIORDANI: Juror No. 2.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Number 2.
7	THE COURT: Badge No. 2? Thank you. That's fine.
8	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you.
9	You would agree with me that you should judge this case
10	based upon the evidence you hear from this witness stand; would you
11	agree there?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Yes.
13	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. So do you have any prior interactions
14	with law enforcement or the justice system in general?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Very minimal.
16	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Just ordinary, not
18	nothing
19	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Nothing that stands out that causes
20	you concern?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: No.
22	MR. GIORDANI: What I'm asking you is: Do you understand
23	that this case should be judged based upon solely the evidence you
24	hear in this case, and not based upon anything any interaction with
25	law enforcement or anything you've heard in the news about law

enforcement; would you agree with me there?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Absolutely.

MR. GIORDANI: Does that make sense to everybody? Okay.

And you can pass that down. Thank you, Ms. Saxe.

And that's Juror No. 007.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: Yes. Rosalyn Willis.

MR. GIORDANI: Ms Willis.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: Uh-huh.

MR. GIORDANI: You indicated yesterday -- and I -- I don't mean to repeat it over and over, but I'm assuming you're going to say you can't separate the two. Is that what you're --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: Well, it was not from what I said yesterday, but I've had -- I've had experience with law enforcement. I've had a experience, and I reported it on the Better Business Bureau where I had an interaction where I got into an argument with an owner of a gas station, because they swiped my card and they didn't give me the gas. And so I got upset. And me and the girl got in an argument. And I told her to call the police. I said, Call the police right now. And they were calling me stupid and everything in the store.

And then when the police officers got there, they didn't not -direct me directly, they went in the back of the store past me and went to
speak to the owner first. Then there was also two other witnesses that
they were being rude to and telling them, I want you to go out of the
store, you don't need to be in here.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay.

case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: Yes.

MR. GIORDANI: We just want a fair shake. The defense deserves a fair shake. And we just want you to judge the evidence as it's presented.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: Yes.

MR. GIORDANI: And do you think you could do that in this

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: Yes, I do.

MR. GIORDANI: Let me ask something I haven't asked anyone else here: Do you own a firearm?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: I do.

THE COURT: Are you a believer, I can presume, in the Second Amendment?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: Yes.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Do you have any concerns with regard to the general political stuff going on about firearms, having heard that they may be firearm evidence presented in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: Well, I -- I believe in the Second Amendment and I -- I think the attempt to outlaw firearms is -- is a bad idea. I -- I think that people should be able to defend themselves.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Is there anyone on this jury, other -- other than you, sir, anyone in the first 24, that has any concerns about hearing evidence of firearms? And by that, I mean, is there anyone in -- on this jury who, if you hear that someone possessed a firearm, you're just going to judge them immediately and -- and just find them guilty just

because they're in possession of a firearm?

Okay. Can you pass that microphone back? We need your name and badge number.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Name is Matthew. Badge number is 6. Not immediately, no. But I do have a strong belief that it's -- I believe we should have firearms, but I believe that is too broad. It's just -- so I'm not going to immediate look at someone and go, they're guilty, but there is some -- I apologize, but I do have some preconceived notion of, okay, you own many, many firearms.

I've had experiences both ways, where I've had very safe firearm owners. He was fabulous. But I've also had people that have been very, very reckless. And, unfortunately, it's -- I've had experience both ways. So that's why I'm an advocate for a little bit more of a control, not completely removing it, but control over who has access to it and how easily people get access to it.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay. How do you feel about assault rifles?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: I -- I want to be respectful to the Court and try not to turn this into a political discussion, but --

MR. GIORDANI: This cannot be -- this is a court of law. It's not a political discussion.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: I agree.

MR. GIORDANI: So I appreciate that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yeah.

MR. GIORDANI: What I -- what I want to get at is, you've heard the allegations we've made in this case. So far they're

allegations; we haven't proven a thing; we haven't put up any evidence. The allegations contain references to an SKS firearm, which is an assault rifle. Okay?

What I'm trying to gather from you and the rest of this jury is:

Is there anyone on this jury who hears assault rifle, and then, you know, automatically thinks negative connotations or is going to convict if there's evidence of assault rifles being possessed in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Not automatic conviction, but absolutely a negative connotation. I think there's -- you just -- it's too easy unless you have a legitimate reason. I know some farmers. I know some people that have a legitimate reason, because they're -- they're having to do pig -- wild pig control or wild boar control. They have legitimate reason. But just the ability to freely get it, just is -- I -- I don't get it.

So I -- it's hard for me to say no, I'm not absolutely not going to put any connotation to it. I'm here trying to, again, being respectful to the court, so I'll do to my best ability. But I have some bias that I will admit.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Is anyone else concerned with having bias? How many? Okay.

Juror No. 3, Yes, sir. Mr. Grinsted?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Right.

MR. GIORDANI: Juror No. 3?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Correct. I would say similar to that. Immediately in my mind when someone mentions assault

1	rifles I grew up in a family of hunters. And so I'm used to shotguns,
2	rifles used for hunting. To me, an assault rifle has a completely different
3	connotation. And so, you know, firearms I'm fine with. But an assault
4	rifle, in my mind, has one purpose and one purpose only.
5	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. What's that purpose?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: That purpose is to injure
7	other people.
8	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: You don't use an assault
10	rifle when you're out hunting deer, is my point.
11	MR. GIORDANI: Understood.
12	Is there anyone who agrees with that?
13	You can just pass the microphone back.
14	I'll get to you in a second, sir.
15	Your badge number again, ma'am?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: Number 4.
17	MR. GIORDANI: Ms. Dimaya?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: Yes.
19	MR. GIORDANI: Go ahead.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: My home invasion was
21	less than five years ago.
22	MR. GIORDANI: Uh-huh.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: And there were three
24	people. And the person that came in my home with an assault rifle and
25	held it to me. I came to court because he pled not quilty. So I went

through all of this before. I wanted to be here on this side. I want to be part of the jury, but I want it to be known that it's still emotional for me.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: I do think that I can be fair and hear both sides and see the evidence and everything. But I just want it to be known that --

MR. GIORDANI: Understood.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: -- it can be very emotional.

MR. GIORDANI: Understood. And I forget who I was talking to yesterday, but we had a little discussion about, look, we want people from different walks of life with different backgrounds. The key is not finding people who don't have life experiences similar to the allegations. The key is finding people who can compartmentalize and say, I'm not going to judge these two based on something that happened to me previously. Does that jive with -- with your position --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4: Yes.

MR. GIORDANI: -- that you're going to be fair?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4: Yes.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay. So you think you can be fair, notwithstanding that personal issue you had with the --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4: Yes.

MR. GIORDANI: -- assault rifle? Okay.

And was there another hand there? Can you pass that down, ma'am.

What's your badge number.

there was guns all the -- all the time all around me. And just like to say I'm very against -- I'm not against gun laws. I just think there need to be a little more gun laws.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: And a lot less crime.

MR. GIORDANI: Fair enough. I -- I wouldn't disagree with you in the least. What -- what I'm -- I don't mean to repeat myself, but what we need to hear from you jurors is whether or not there's -- if there's people on this -- this potential panel who are just so against guns and maybe they were at 1 October and they just can't be around guns and there's going to be prejudice built in, we don't want jurors like that. We don't want jurors who hear assault rifle and -- and, you know, hold -- hold that against the defendants. We want you to listen to the evidence and be able to consider evidence impartially.

So understanding that you've been around it, you have some disagreements with the current laws, are you the type of person that can listen to the evidence here and either acquit or find them guilty based upon the evidence and not some prior experience you have with firearms?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Yes. Based upon the evidence I could give a -- a fair verdict.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Thank you, sir.

Is there anyone who disagrees or would like to chime in on that? You can -- here, I'll take it.

Ms. Rogers, what's your badge number again?

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: I'm Badge No. 9, Mariteresa
Rivera-Rogers. I have a total dislike for guns. I think they need a lot
more regulation regarding guns. I don't have anything against people
hunting with guns.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: But I have an inherent bias. Except in this case I would absolutely not, I mean, let my own bias affect whatever is presented here.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay. So you said the -- the buzz word that gets all of our attention is an inherent bias. Are you saying that you can set whatever bias that is against firearms aside and judge this evidence based on -- under this case based upon the evidence?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: Right.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay. So you don't think that -- that bias you have towards firearms is a concern for you sitting on a jury such as this?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 9: I certainly hope not.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.

Anyone else? All right.

And if you could pass that back down to Ms. Woods.

Thank you, Mr. Hodgin.

And it's Badge No. 20. And, Ms. Woods, you said you were a retired schoolteacher; what grade did you teach?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: I'm a Title 1 preschool at an elementary school.

1	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Little kids. And your husband is
2	retired from the City of Mesquite; what did he do for the City of
3	Mesquite?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Managed the sanitation
5	plant.
6	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Do you drive from Mesquite every
7	day?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Yes.
9	MR. GIORDANI: That's brutal.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: It's a long trip.
11	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah. That's what, about an hour?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: It takes me two hours total
13	to get into this building.
14	MR. GIORDANI: Oh, okay. Thanks for showing up today. Is
15	that going to be a concern for you if if this goes a week and a half,
16	which it's expected to?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: It's a responsibility of being
18	an American.
19	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Fair enough. Thank you. You
20	described being the victim of a burglary and you said, I believe, no one
21	was ever arrested.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Right.
23	MR. GIORDANI: Did did you call the police?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Yes.
25	MR. GIORDANI: Did they come out?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Yes.
2	MR. GIORDANI: And were you present when they went
3	through your home?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: It was an outdoor we
5	lived in Fairbanks, Alaska. There were a million snow machines there
6	and ours got stolen.
7	MR. GIORDANI: Oh, I see. Okay. Anything that I've
8	discussed with your fellow jurors that you would like to chime in on or
9	have an opinion on?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: I I do need to state that
11	yesterday when you were asked when the judge was asking about law
12	enforcement friends and associates, I'm sorry, I forgot that my
13	son-in-law is an assistant or was an assistant DA.
14	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Until you started talking
16	and you acted just like he does, so then I remembered. I apologize for
17	that. I did
18	MR. GIORDANI: That's okay. So you like your son-in-law is
19	what I'm hearing.
20	UNIDENTIFIED JUROR: No, that wasn't it. Not at all.
21	MR. GIORDANI: I'll leave that alone. So here in in our
22	office?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: No.
24	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: And he's
	20

1	MR. GIORDANI: Alaska?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: hasn't done that for 10
3	years.
4	MR. GIORDANI: Where was that?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Salt Lake City.
6	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Anything about that well, mostly
7	the defense should be concerned about? Anything about that
8	relationship with a former district attorney that the defense should be
9	concerned about?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: I was very proud of the job
11	he did.
12	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. But nothing that says, okay, well, I
13	know a DA so I'm just going to side with the DAs in this case?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: No.
15	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Thank you, ma'am. You can pass
16	the microphone.
17	Mr. Limbacher.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yes, sir.
19	MR. GIORDANI: Did I pronounce that correctly?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yep.
21	MR. GIORDANI: And it's Juror No. 21?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: That's correct.
23	MR. GIORDANI: You were talking with the judge yesterday,
24	and I believe you said that your mom was mugged about 18 years ago ir
25	California?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yes. It was actually a little
2	longer, but yes, that's correct.
3	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. And you were satisfied with the
4	investigation as much as they could do?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Well, they tried to take a
6	jacket she was wearing. She was wearing a Raiders jacket.
7	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 21: And she wouldn't give it up.
9	So she they didn't get anything from her. They hit her upside the head
10	with the butt of a knife, but they couldn't do anything from that.
11	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. So there were no eyewitnesses or
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No.
13	MR. GIORDANI: no one ever apprehended?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No. That's correct.
15	MR. GIORDANI: To your knowledge at least? Okay. Is there
16	anything about that, that you carried over? Any prejudice you may have
17	to either side in this particular case?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No. It wouldn't be fair.
19	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Wait, it wouldn't?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 21: No. I mean, it wouldn't be
21	fair to hold any prejudices against anybody for that.
22	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Understood. So you think you could
23	set it aside and be fair in this case?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Absolutely.
25	MR. GIORDANI: You also talked about your wife's best friend
	22

1	who's SFPD, San Francisco Police Department?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: That's correct.
3	MR. GIORDANI: How often do you talk to this person?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: It's been a couple months
5	since we talked to her.
6	MR. GIORDANI: Do you talk about cases and the work?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Only when we need a
8	good laugh.
9	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. So you hear some stories
10	sometimes?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yes, occasionally. Not
12	about cases in a courtroom, but occasionally we'll hear that she has to
13	go to court because somebody may be claiming to be an innocent that
14	they're not or whatnot. But we don't that's about as far as it goes.
15	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Is there anything about that
16	relationship that should cause concern to either side?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No.
18	MR. GIORDANI: I hate to be repetitive, but we have to ask.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Sure.
20	MR. GIORDANI: You know, sometimes there are people that
21	are friends with law enforcement and they're just, like, I'm going to
22	accept whatever a law enforcement officer tells me. We don't want
23	people like that on the jury, because that's not fair.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Right.
25	MR. GIORDANI: You have [indiscernible].

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 21: We have to hope that she's telling us the truth, but I guess you never know.

MR. GIORDANI: Yeah. I mean, they're people. They're fallible just like you and I. Is there anything else you'd like to add, sir? Anything I discussed with your fellow jurors that you'd like to chime in on?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Now, I will say that the -- I don't believe that anybody needs an assault rifle. But I know that the SKS is, like, 60 years old it's -- originally. So it kind of depends on the weapon as well.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 21: It was originally, like, a Russian weapon and they still use it for ceremonial purposes. So I could see somebody owning one just for that.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 21: But -- so kind of depends. Just hearing that it's an SKS doesn't necessarily mean anything to me.

MR. GIORDANI: Good. Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 21: I'd have to see evidence.

MR. GIORDANI: Again, the concern is that you're going to hold some kind of prejudice because you hear that term and then just automatically convict. We don't want that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Right.

MR. GIORDANI: You understand that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yeah.

1	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. No concerns?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No concerns.
3	MR. GIORDANI: All right. Thank you. You can pass the
4	microphone.
5	Your badge number?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 23: Jason Wright, 23.
7	MR. GIORDANI: Mr. Wright, how are you doing?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Good, thank you.
9	MR. GIORDANI: Good. I want to talk to you about some of
10	the similar things here. You said your mom and dad were burglarized in
11	California?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yes.
13	MR. GIORDANI: How long ago was that?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: See, my son is 10; seven
15	or eight years ago.
16	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Did a case ever result or were people
17	apprehended or
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: No. They weren't home.
19	And police investigated, just thought it was maybe kids.
20	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Kids broke in?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yeah.
22	MR. GIORDANI: Stole a couple things?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Couple of things. That
24	was it.
25	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. You've heard the allegations in this
	25

1	case. Ms. Beverly read them at the beginning.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yes.
3	MR. GIORDANI: This involves charges entitled attempt
4	burglary with use of deadly weapon.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yes.
6	MR. GIORDANI: Is there anything that either the defense or
7	the State should be concerned about with a juror such as yourself?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: No.
9	MR. GIORDANI: Any any potential that you could carry in
10	any ill will about your parents being burglarized over to the facts of this
11	case?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: No.
13	MR. GIORDANI: Is there anything that I haven't asked you
14	that you'd like to chime in on or add?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: No.
16	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. You think you can be fair?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Absolutely.
18	MR. GIORDANI: If you were sitting in Mr. Turner or Hudson's
19	shoes, would you want a juror such as yourself?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 23: Absolutely.
21	MR. GIORDANI: If you were sitting where Ms. Beverly is
22	sitting or where I was sitting, would you want a juror such as yourself?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yes.
24	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you, sir. Can you pass it down.
25	Ms. Keyes, No. 25, correct?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Yes.
2	MR. GIORDANI: How are you doing?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Good. How are you?
4	MR. GIORDANI: Good. Thank you. You talked a little bit
5	about what you do. And I believe you said you were a nurse, but I my
6	note has a question mark next to it.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Correct.
8	MR. GIORDANI: So is it home care?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Yes. I've been a nurse 18
10	years. I've dibbled and dabbled in a lot of things. Currently home care,
11	a liaison.
12	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. You so go to you actually go to
13	peoples' homes and
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: I set up the home care
15	when they're discharged and
16	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: I take care of special
18	needs young adults.
19	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. You also talked about your you
20	have, like, some cousin we all that have cousin some cousin that's
21	in trouble in California or something like that. Anything that we need to
22	go into detail about that that you think you might carry over to this
23	case?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: No.
25	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Anything about your cousin's

1	interactions with the system at all that would cause you concern knowing
2	this is a criminal case?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Well, not really knowing all
4	the details of the things that occurred with them, no. I don't have any,
5	really anything to add.
6	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: I don't know enough
8	details to say.
9	MR. GIORDANI: Here you mean?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: It's in California.
11	MR. GIORDANI: Oh, you don't know enough details about
12	your cousin's
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Yeah. What happened in
14	California.
15	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Okay.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: I'm sorry.
17	MR. GIORDANI: But as you sit here, I mean, is there anything
18	that either side here should be concerned about with a juror such as
19	yourself?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: No.
21	MR. GIORDANI: You think you could be fair?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Yes.
23	MR. GIORDANI: Is there anything I've talked with your fellow
24	jurors about that you have an opinion on one way or another?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: No.

MR. GIORDANI: Are you just trying to get the microphone passed?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: No. I'm really -- I mean, I really was thinking about it all last night. This is the first time I've ever been in -- selected or even in this situation in a courtroom, ever. So --

MR. GIORDANI: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: -- this is all very new.

MR. GIORDANI: Fair enough. It's real common on the second day of jury selection that people come in and say, Hey, I thought of something last night. So for anybody, if there's anything that you need to add, now is the time.

Anything that -- that you thought about last night that you feel either side should know here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Well, it's more of an emotion side.

MR. GIORDANI: What do you mean?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Not being, again, political, but again, like, making sure that we see all the evidence and it's accurate. And one of the other jurors said the concerns of being planted evidence, setting people up. And I just think the charge is so heavy to sway one way or the other, deciding someone's fate. I think that's what --

MR. GIORDANI: It's -- it's an emotional weight on you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Yeah. It's an emotional weight on me.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Yeah.
2	MR. GIORDANI: Was that prosecuted by our office? It was
3	here in Clark County, right?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Yes.
5	MR. GIORDANI: Prosecuted by the DA's office?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: I believe so.
7	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Should we have any concern about
8	that?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: No.
10	MR. GIORDANI: I mean, DUIs, I'm not saying it's not a big
11	deal, but there's a lot of people our office prosecutes for DUI. What we
12	want is, even if our office has prosecuted you and I assume it's not
13	Ms. Beverly or myself?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: No.
15	MR. GIORDANI: We don't DUIs, but okay. What I want to
16	understand is if if you carry over any prejudice or bias against us or
17	are not going to give us a fair shake based upon that?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: No.
19	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Is there anything that I've discussed
20	with your fellow jurors that you have an opinion on?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: No.
22	MR. GIORDANI: How do you feel about this idea of assault
23	rifles in general?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: I don't have an opinion
25	either way. I don't hold any bias against anybody that owns them or

1	doesn't own them.
2	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. So kind of indifferent to
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Right.
4	MR. GIORDANI: to the term? All right. And you
5	understand we we don't have a possession of a firearm charge in this
6	case. You heard the charges, right?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Uh-huh.
8	MR. GIORDANI: So because there's no possession of firearm
9	charge freestanding, you can't just judge them based on this reference
10	to firearm; you get that?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Okay.
12	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Anything that you'd like to add or or
13	chime in on?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: No.
15	MR. GIORDANI: What's your master's degree in, sir?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Construction management.
17	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. And do you work in that field now?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Yes.
19	MR. GIORDANI: Is it Shawmut?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Yep.
21	MR. GIORDANI: So as a construction are you a
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 26: Executive.
23	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. So do you do most of your work in an
24	office or are you out
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Correct.

1	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Business development
3	mostly.
4	MR. GIORDANI: What does that entail?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 26: Searching out, seeking new
6	work, acquiring new work.
7	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. So searching out potential jobs?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Correct.
9	MR. GIORDANI: And then do you bid them and
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Manage that process,
11	yeah.
12	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Manage that process. So do you
13	have people that you are in direct control of?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Correct.
15	MR. GIORDANI: Subordinates?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Correct.
17	MR. GIORDANI: How many would you say?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: 30.
19	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. So with 30 subordinates, I presume
20	you have no problem speaking your mind and giving orders, etcetera
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Correct.
22	MR. GIORDANI: is that right? Okay. Do you think you'd be
23	a good juror for this case?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Yes.
25	MR. GIORDANI: A criminal case of this nature?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Yes.
2	MR. GIORDANI: Any concerns with that?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: No.
4	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Thank you. I'll take that.
5	Hello.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: Hi.
7	MR. GIORDANI: What's your badge number?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: 28.
9	MR. GIORDANI: Ms. Rojas, correct?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: Yep.
11	MR. GIORDANI: What are you a veterinary assistant?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: Yes.
13	MR. GIORDANI: And is that Durango Animal Hospital?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: Yes.
15	MR. GIORDANI: Like Durango and Spring Mountain?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: Yes.
17	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. As a veterinary assistant, do you
18	actually are you in the room when the vet does surgeries and stuff like
19	that?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: Yes. Yep.
21	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Are you in charge of any other
22	veterinary assistants or are you just kind of freestanding to do your own
23	thing?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: Do my own thing. I work
25	with one of the doctors personally.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: Yes.
2	MR. GIORDANI: All right. Okay. I'll leave it at that.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: Okay. Thanks.
4	MR. GIORDANI: You can pass the microphone down, please
5	Mr. Pope, and it's 31. We talked a little bit earlier, but I
6	wanted to ask you a couple more questions.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Go ahead.
8	MR. GIORDANI: We talked about what happened to your
9	uncle. It sounded like a pretty horrible
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Yes.
11	MR. GIORDANI: incident. That was here?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: It was in California.
13	MR. GIORDANI: Oh.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 31: It was actually in the ocean
15	on a cruise line.
16	MR. GIORDANI: Oh, okay. Actually on a on a cruise boat?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Yeah.
18	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Now, were you present or did you
19	just
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: No. No. I wasn't there.
21	He was I believe it was a honeymoon. He was with his his husband
22	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: And one of the
24	employees well, a couple of the employees beat him up.
25	MR. GIORDANI: Employees of the cruise ship?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Yeah.
2	MR. GIORDANI: Were they held accountable?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: I believe so, yes.
4	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Do you know if they went
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: I don't really ask him much
6	about it. It's kind of a touchy subject, you know.
7	MR. GIORDANI: Sure. Was his husband also beat or was he
8	alone? Do you know that much?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: No. He he was trying to
10	defend him. I don't know what happened. I know that people were
11	prosecuted, I believe.
12	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. And so you don't know, ultimately,
13	what happened then?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: No, I don't.
15	MR. GIORDANI: You don't know if they pled guilty or if they
16	went to trial
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: I I heard all of this from
18	my mom, who is very close with him, in California.
19	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Is there anything about that, that may
20	spill over to this?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: No. No. None of that.
22	None of that stuff.
23	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. You heard the charges. There's a
24	battery with a deadly weapon resulting in substantial bodily harm,
25	attempt murder, some serious stuff that involves violence.

PROSPECTIVE	JUROR NO.	. 031: Yeah.
-------------	-----------	--------------

MR. GIORDANI: Can you sit fairly and impartially in a case like this where we have violent charges, or is there anything about that prior experience that's going to carry over?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: I mean, it -- with -- if there's irrefutable evidence, I'm -- I can make a -- I'm able to put, you know, not be -- I'm able to focus on one thing. I'm not going to stray and think about other things.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay. That's all we ask is -- like I said before, you know, people have emotions and experiences and that's natural. We just want people who are going to be able to fairly judge this case based on this evidence that you hear from the stand. You can do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: I believe so.

MR. GIORDANI: All right. Thank you, sir. Pass the microphone down.

How are you, Ms. Miller-Roche?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: I'm fine. Thank you.

MR. GIORDANI: Good. Badge No. 33?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: Yes.

MR. GIORDANI: So you were an AG for how long?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: Six years.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay. And gaming division?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: Yes.

MR. GIORDANI: So did you prosecute violations of the

1	gaming codes and stuff or
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: Well, the the gaming
3	deputies had a lot of responsibilities, but we we did prosecute we
4	took away gaming licenses.
5	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: So those were considered
7	prosecutions. We did black books, which were considered prosecutions.
8	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: We advised the
10	enforcement division when they were engaging in because there
11	was there was overlapping jurisdiction
12	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: between Metro and the
14	enforcement division on casino properties.
15	MR. GIORDANI: Right.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: So we had to advise them
17	in terms of how to apply the law, the criminal laws
18	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: on casino property.
20	MR. GIORDANI: If you could estimate, how many active I
21	mean, how active were you in in court? How many times per week
22	were you in court?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: In court?
24	MR. GIORDANI: Yes.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: Well, for the most part I

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

was the supervising deputy attorney general --

MR. GIORDANI: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: -- for the Southern Nevada office of the Gaming Division. So I wasn't in court that often.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: I supervised other deputies that went in occasionally. I supervised deputies that did things like assist with the serving of a search warrant, the seizure of evidence, that sort of thing. I mostly went into appellate court or did most of my prosecutions in front of the Nevada Gaming Commission or the State Gaming Control Board.

MR. GIORDANI: Understood. Okay. I'll -- I'll save the defense the time of asking this: As a former AG, do you think you can be fair to both sides in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: I would certainly hope that my training would allow me to do that.

MR. GIORDANI: Right. Okay. That's a good point. And sometimes attorneys get a bad rap, but it's usually the attorneys who understand the law the most, obviously. Do you think that you could apply all the standards and the laws that the judge gives equally and fairly across the board?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: Yes.

MR. GIORDANI: And follow the instructions, the specific ones to this case, regarding beyond a reasonable doubt and burden of proof and all of those things?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: I can follow their
2	instructions and try not to read too much into it on my own.
3	MR. GIORDANI: Exactly. That's what I'm getting at. Thank
4	you.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: Yes.
6	MR. GIORDANI: You think you can do that?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: I do.
8	MR. GIORDANI: USD Law School?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: Yes.
10	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you, ma'am. You can pass the
11	microphone.
12	Mr. Fritz, how are you doing?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Fine.
14	MR. GIORDANI: Good. And it's Badge No. 36. The judge
15	asked you a couple things regarding people that you know that were
16	convicted. You said two brothers, right?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: Yes.
18	MR. GIORDANI: 20 years ago in Philadelphia for several PS,
19	possession stolen property and other type of crimes?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Yes.
21	MR. GIORDANI: Is there anything about that that causes you
22	concern sitting on a criminal trial?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: I don't exactly understand
24	your question.
25	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Well, yesterday, I believe, when

the --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Let me say this: I had six brothers. We fought all the time growing up. But I loved them very much and we always had each others' back. Our family didn't have any money. It's really difficult to grow up in a neighborhood where people your age have wealth. So we all had opportunities to go that route. It's easy to do that when your family is putting mush on the table to eat. I didn't go that route, but I didn't hold it against them.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: I somehow had a stronger desire for long-term wealth rather than short-term wealth. I think it's because I had eyes that saw their associates were never very old.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: They either wound up in prison or dead on the streets. I don't know what I can tell you. I love them. I don't hold it against them. I told the judge that I can be fair.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Sure.

MR. GIORDANI: The only reason I followed up on that is because -- I may have been wrong. I sensed some hesitancy when we asked you that last question, when the judge asked you can you be fair. It felt like you paused for a while and thought about it. That's the only concern. We have people on juries all the time and attorneys in this courtroom with family members who have done some things that they necessarily wouldn't do. That's not an issue.

1	The issue comes in, is if you have a family member, say you	
2	feel was treated unfairly by law enforcement or given a harsher sentence	
3	than he deserved or something like that, and then you carry that over	
4	and hold that against us for how someone you know was treated. You	
5	get what I'm going going with there?	
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Yes. I've heard you all day	
7	today.	
8	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Sorry, is that too much for you?	
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: No.	
10	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. I have to ask these questions. I want	
11	to find people that are fair. So do you think you can be fair based upon	
12	everything I've said today?	
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Yes. I've said that twice.	
14	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. You're sure? I'm sensing some	
15	hostility here. So if there's some hostility against me, I need to know it	
16	now.	
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: No.	
18	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Can you pass the microphone down?	
19	Mr. Troller, how are you doing, sir?	
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Good. How are you?	
21	MR. GIORDANI: Good.	
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Badge 38.	
23	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you. You have a son-in-law who's	
24	Metro?	
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: That's correct.	

1	MR. GIORDANI: None of the names that we listed,
2	obviously?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: I didn't recognize any of
4	the names.
5	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Yeah.
7	MR. GIORDANI: But your son-in-law is not on our witness
8	list?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: No. No. No.
10	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. You said that you talk to him
11	regularly?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Yes.
13	MR. GIORDANI: Is should either side, especially the
14	defense, be concerned with you sitting on this jury?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: I don't think so. He's a
16	good family man. He's a great husband to my daughter and great father
17	to the grandkids. We generally center on family issues and and
18	occasionally something comes up about his job and my job and
19	whatever.
20	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. So you talk shop a little bit, but it's
21	most mostly family relations?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Yeah.
23	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. With regard to your work, what
24	exactly do you do with regard to WMD?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Yeah. Well, we we train

concern in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: No. No. I do respect law enforcement, firefighters, all those people who put their life on the line for us every day. I thought about last night that, you know, there's -- I totally understand people's -- based on their -- on their experiences, they -- they either have opinions one way or the other about law enforcement. I've -- I've -- I know that law enforcement puts their line -- their life on the line every day. And -- and some have paid the price, very high price for that, with their lives. And so I respect law enforcement. But I -- but by the same token, you know I -- I spent 21 years in the Army defending the American way of life. And one of them is -- is this -- this system. So I wouldn't dishonor that system by -- by being prejudiced toward anybody. I'm going to follow the law.

MR. GIORDANI: All right. I appreciate it. Thank you for you service.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Yeah.

MR. GIORDANI: Pass that down to Ms. Adams.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 039: Badge 39.

MR. GIORDANI: 39. How are you, ma'am?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 039: I'm good. And yourself?

MR. GIORDANI: Good. Thank you. We talked a little bit yesterday, so I won't berate you with questions. Anything that you referenced with the judge about being the victim of a burglary of your house and your car, or anything about your cousin, issues with your cousin that should cause either side concern in this case?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 039: Not at all.
2	MR. GIORDANI: And anything that I talked to your fellow
3	jurors about that you would like to add to or give your opinion on?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 039: I have nothing, sir.
5	MR. GIORDANI: Is there anything either side should be
6	concerned about?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 039: Not at all.
8	MR. GIORDANI: All right. Thank you very much.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 039: You're welcome.
10	MR. GIORDANI: You can pass it down.
11	Can I have your name and badge number.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 40: Jacqueline Johnson, 40.
13	MR. GIORDANI: How are you?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: Doing well. Thank you.
15	How are you?
16	MR. GIORDANI: Good. Thank you. The judge asked you
17	something about your sister and you were talking about drug and theft
18	crimes from what I gathered; is that right?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: Yes.
20	MR. GIORDANI: The the vibe I got was that you completely
21	cut her off?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: Yes.
23	MR. GIORDANI: Is that accurate?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: Yes.
25	MR. GIORDANI: Was that before or after the criminal

1	offenses?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: I guess somewhere in
3	between.
4	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: She's I mean, it's been
6	going on for many, many years. She's 25 and I think it started when she
7	was 13, so.
8	MR. GIORDANI: Oh, okay.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: I mean, obviously, I didn't
10	cut her off when she was 13, but
11	MR. GIORDANI: Right.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: it's probably been eight,
13	nine years.
14	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. So do are you aware of the
15	ultimate result of her criminal proceedings?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: I'm pretty sure she's still in
17	the middle of everything.
18	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: She I mean, it keeps
20	coming. Like, more stuff comes up.
21	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Charges get filed while she's pending
22	other charges?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: Yeah. Yeah.
24	MR. GIORDANI: Understood. Is there anything that I
25	discussed with any of your fellow jurors that you'd like to chime in on?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: No.
2	MR. GIORDANI: Anything that you think either side should
3	know about you as a person?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: No. That I'm I mean, I
5	will listen to both sides and be as fair as I can be. I have no bias in any
6	way.
7	MR. GIORDANI: All right. Thank you, ma'am. You can pass
8	that down.
9	Mr. Messer, Badge 41, right?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Yep.
11	MR. GIORDANI: How are you doing, sir?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Fine.
13	MR. GIORDANI: Good. You talked to the judge about that
14	job you're starting?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Yeah.
16	MR. GIORDANI: Brand new job starting on Monday?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: It yeah. It was yeah.
18	Actually, it was supposed to be scheduled last on the 16th. But then I
19	told them I had jury duty, and so then
20	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: they pushed it back to
22	the 23rd. Yeah.
23	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. So you're right as of right now,
24	you're set to start on the 23rd?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Oh, yeah. I was supposed

to, yeah.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay. And if this -- you heard the schedule. If this goes a week and a half, is that going to cause you to lose your job or?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: I certainly hope not.

MR. GIORDANI: I hope not either.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: I mean, I haven't spoken with them about this, because I -- at the time I thought one week would be fine. But then we sat here yesterday and then you said it was two weeks, I was like, oh. It gave me some concern.

The only thing that I realized too that I didn't think about too is I have health care coverage until April. And then I was supposed to start April 23rd this new job. And so if this goes through May, then I have to purchase health care coverage in May, like COBRA again. Because I quit my job two weeks ago to start this new job.

MR. GIORDANI: Oh, okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: So that -- that is some burden that I didn't think about originally.

MR. GIORDANI: Understood.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Yeah.

MR. GIORDANI: And if I'm correct about the dates, we're not going -- there's no way we're going into May.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Okay.

MR. GIORDANI: So if we go into the end of next week, that will put us at what, the --

1	MS. MACHNICH: 27th.
2	MR. GIORDANI: 27th would be the absolute last day of this.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Okay. That's good to
4	hear.
5	MR. GIORDANI: Is that going to be is that going to cause
6	your coverage to lapse?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Well, what day is the 20th?
8	MS. MACHNICH: Monday's the 30th.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: My work always the new
10	job, they only start you on Monday. So
11	MS. MACHNICH: The 30th.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: The 30th?
13	MR. GIORDANI: Monday's the 30th.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Okay. Thank you. Yeah.
15	Yeah.
16	MR. GIORDANI: So good with that?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: So it should be okay.
18	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Good. And I'm not going to repeat
19	myself, but anything that I talked about with anybody that you have an
20	opinion on?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: I mean, I do think the
22	Second Amendment has been bastardized.
23	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: I have I do have a
25	disdain for guns. You know, I I don't have a disdain for gun owners. I

1	totally understand why people own guns. I sort of what the to echo
2	the gentleman in the red shirt, like, assault rifles to me are not
3	necessary.
4	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: And this is someone I've
6	fired assault rifles myself.
7	MR. GIORDANI: Right.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: But I just I have a strong
9	negative emotion towards them.
10	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Fair enough. Is that you know, to
11	the next logical step, is that strong negative emotion towards the idea of
12	assault rifles going to carry over, or can you set that aside and judge the
13	evidence in this case?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: I mean I mean, I would
15	hope that I could set that aside. And I I like to think that I could be fair
16	and impartial. But I just thought it was necessary to state, like, that I do
17	have some negative views towards guns in general.
18	MR. GIORDANI: Sure. I appreciate that. Anything else that I
19	talked with your fellow jurors about that you'd like to add?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: No.
21	MR. GIORDANI: All right. Thank you, sir.
22	Can we have can I have the Court's indulgence?
23	THE COURT: Sure.
24	[Pause in proceedings.]
25	MR. GIORDANI: Can we approach?

THE COURT: You may.

[Bench conference transcribed as follows:]

MR. GIORDANI: I forgot what you said about challenges for cause. We do them at the bench, right?

THE COURT: We're going to do -- okay. Both sides are going to be able to voir dire the jury. And then after you're completed with your voir dire, then I'm going to excuse the jury and give you a few minutes to confer to see if there's any jurors that you're going to agree can be excused for cause.

MR. GIORDANI: Oh, okay. So I don't need to address --

THE COURT: Then you'll also have the opportunity to make a challenge for cause that will not be agreed upon, both sides. And then I'll take another break to consider your challenges for cause. And then at that point, we'll excuse whoever either has been agreed upon or determined to challenge for cause, reseat whoever in the panel, do my questioning on those individuals. Then you'll have an opportunity to question those individuals --

MR. GIORDANI: Right.

THE COURT: -- and voir dire them for cause.

MS. BEVERLY: Okay.

THE COURT: And once that procedure's been done, then at that point, we'll do the peremptory challenges.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay.

MS. BEVERLY: Okay.

MS. MACHNICH: Okay.

1	THE COURT: Thank you.
2	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you.
3	[End of bench conference.]
4	MR. GIORDANI: Thanks everybody.
5	MS. SISOLAK: Good morning everyone. Who's nervous?
6	That's okay. We're nervous too. Even though we're here all the time,
7	we're super nervous. Is there anyone who is excited to be here? That's
8	an okay answer. You're allowed to be excited to be here. Nobody.
9	Really? Nobody? A little bit?
10	Why are you excited?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: I've never been asked to
12	be on a jury before.
13	THE COURT: Ma'am, I apologize ma'am, I apologize.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: Oh, I'm sorry.
15	THE COURT: You need to have the microphone and state
16	your name and badge number.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: My name is Kristi
18	Feldman, Juror No. 11. I'm excited to be here. The flip side of that is I'n
19	missing so much work right now, but yeah. I'm I'm very honored to
20	be here.
21	MS. SISOLAK: Awesome. Anybody else kind of excited?
22	Why are you kind of excited?
23	Mr. Stoldal, Number 6.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Correct. Matthew,
25	Number 6. I'm I'm so far I'm enjoying learning the experience and

all that. I'm again kind of going back to the gentleman we were talking before. I'm very nervous about what it's going to be on. So I'm sure we'll talk more later, but just kind of learning the whole process, this is as far as I've ever gotten before. So this is a great honor kind of to do this. I'm -- I'm really excited. We'll discuss further if I'm a -- a good candidate or not.

MS. SISOLAK: We will. Don't worry.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: I'm also a talker.

MS. SISOLAK: I think -- I think it's normal to be -- no kidding. I think it's normal to be kind of nervous. This is serious. I think we can all agree it's a -- we're all here for something serious.

Go ahead, ma'am.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Sue Phillips, number -- or Susan Phillips, Number 8. I would be excited to be here, because I've always wanted to be a juror. But I'm recovering from a stroke, and it's kind of hard for me to sit in these seats all day. And besides the fact that I'm sick today, but otherwise, I'd be excited to be here.

MS. SISOLAK: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: But I'd like to experience it, but I'm not on top of my game right now.

MS. SISOLAK: I understand. All right.

Where should we start? Can you pass the mic to Ms. Keyes right in front of you? All right. Hot seat.

Do you think that just because these two men are sitting behind that table they're guilty?

know, which pie is better. So I'm going to taste them both and make a decision. Okay?

Do you think the baker of the cherry pie deserves to know that I'm kind of just not crazy about cherry pie? In fact, I don't like it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: Yeah. I -- I think -- yeah.

MS. SISOLAK: Why do you think they deserve to know that?

THE COURT: Sir, if you could --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: Well, it -- it suggests a -- a biased judgment. They should know about that ahead of time.

MS. SISOLAK: Okay. That's Mr. Hodgin, Number 15. Okay. So what I'm kind of getting is not every juror is right for every case.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: Uh-huh.

MS. SISOLAK: There are some of who I'm sure will be more seated -- suited, excuse me, for a nine-month construction defect down the hall.

But if you're of those people that kind of just can't get over the guns or police bias, that's something we -- we deserve to know, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 015: Uh-huh. Yes.

MS. SISOLAK: Is there anyone who feels like they should tell us anything aside from what they've told Mr. Giordani? We've kind of covered it. But you guys would agree that if you feel one of those biases, you got to let us know, right? Fair?

Can you pass the mic one in front of you, to Mr. Fritz.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: I hate apple pie. Cherry --

1	cherry pie is my favorite.
2	MS. SISOLAK: It's my favorite too. That's why I hate that
3	example.
4	THE COURT: Counsel, can you ask him his badge number?
5	MS. SISOLAK: Of course. It's Mr. Fritz, Number 36.
6	THE COURT: Thank you.
7	MS. SISOLAK: Thank you, Your Honor.
8	Mr. Fritz, did you drive here today?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Yes.
10	MS. SISOLAK: Okay. Let's play a little game. An officer
11	walks in the court right now. He says, Mr. Fritz, here's your speeding
12	ticket. You were speeding on your way to work on your way to court,
13	excuse me. Do you think you should have to prove that you weren't
14	speeding?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: I don't know how you
16	would do that.
17	MS. SISOLAK: That's what I'm getting at.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Except that I don't speed.
19	MS. SISOLAK: Right. I I believe you. I do. What I'm
20	getting at is, Ms. Machnich, Mr. Plummer, and I can sit there and we can
21	call no witnesses. We can ask no questions. Quite frankly, we could
22	just sit there and stare at all you lovely people. Is there anyone
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Did you say ugly people?
24	MS. SISOLAK: No. Why would I say ugly people?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: I was just checking for the
1	1

1	record.
2	MS. SISOLAK: Absolutely not. For the record, that was lovely
3	people. Whoa.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Evidently I need those
5	hearing things.
6	MS. SISOLAK: We can get you some of those.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Thanks.
8	MS. SISOLAK: Do you feel
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: A lot.
10	MS. SISOLAK: Do you feel any kind of way about the fact that
11	we don't have to do anything, that the burden is all on those two?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Could you repeat that?
13	MS. SISOLAK: Do you feel
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: I feel like a slow person
15	today.
16	MS. SISOLAK: That's okay. I feel that way too. Is it okay
17	with you that the burden is all on the State?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Yes.
19	MS. SISOLAK: That's okay with you?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Yes.
21	MS. SISOLAK: Is there anyone who that's not okay with?
22	Okay. Pass the mic down a couple for me to Mr. Messer.
23	Mr. Messer, you think you could be fair and impartial?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: I do.
25	MS. SISOLAK: Okay. We were talking a little bit about guns.

Can you put aside your hate of guns and judge based on the facts?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: I do believe so.

MS. SISOLAK: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Yeah.

MS. SISOLAK: You would want you on your jury?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Yes.

MS. SISOLAK: Why?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Because I think I would, to the best of my ability, try to be fair and impartial, even given the fact that I do have a disdain for guns. And I honestly believe that we all have some implicit bias that we don't know about. Everyone, I think that's -- that's the nature of the situation, but we try to do it the best with what we think is the most rational.

MS. SISOLAK: And you're committed to doing your best and putting aside all your biases?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Absolutely.

MS. SISOLAK: Perfect.

Ms. Johnson, your turn. So you guys are going to hear that my client has a right not testify. We all hear TV, *Law & Order* stuff. Any *Law & Order* fans? A little bit. This is not like *Law & Order*. It's not half an hour either.

So you're going to hear my client doesn't have to testify. He doesn't have to say anything. Can you think of some reasons why neither of the defendants might want to talk? That's Ms. Johnson, Number 40.

1	son-in-law is in internal affairs.
2	MS. SISOLAK: Oh, okay. Cool. So you can be fair and
3	impartial?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Yeah.
5	MS. SISOLAK: Perfect. You guys aren't talking that much.
6	It's making it hard. Can you pass it down to Ms. Roche?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: I can talk if you want.
8	MS. SISOLAK: We're coming back to you. He doesn't want
9	you to have it.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: That's okay.
11	MS. SISOLAK: I saw. I'll make it quick and painless.
12	Why did you pick gaming law?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: Why did I pick gaming
14	law?
15	MS. SISOLAK: Yeah.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: Well, when I came out of
17	law school, I actually worked for the public service what was the Public
18	Service Commission at the time, which is now Public Utilities
19	Commission.
20	MS. SISOLAK: Okay.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: I wanted to do energy law.
22	MS. SISOLAK: Okay.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: But, you know, I was born
24	and raised here, so gaming was natural.
25	MS. SISOLAK: Yeah.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: You know, and there was a position open and I had some friends working in the division so I went.

MS. SISOLAK: Awesome. Interesting, I'm guessing? It's very interesting?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: Well -- well, I think it was a lot more interesting back then than it is now.

MS. SISOLAK: Probably. I can see that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: The corporate world is a little boring now.

MS. SISOLAK: No reason we should be worried that you were a prosecutor?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: Well, I mean, I worked with enforcement people. I worked --

MS. SISOLAK: Uh-huh.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: -- and they were all former law enforcement, either Metro or Secret Service or FBI or, you know, police officers from other parts of the country that moved here. And, you know, they were all really good people. But that -- having said that, I understand people are fallible. Everybody is different. Everybody's an individual. You can't judge a particular person unless you know them.

MS. SISOLAK: Yeah. Love the answer. One down to Mr. Pope for me.

Mr. Pope, how are you this morning? Number 31.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: I'm great. How are you?

MS. SISOLAK: I'm wonderful. You said something a little bit

1	earlier about irrefutable evidence. What does that mean to you?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: There's no other way it
3	could be. It can't be misconstrued. It's just you know exactly what
4	happened.
5	MS. SISOLAK: How
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Or you know if it's
7	irrefutable evidence, in my mind, that's it sets me. I know exactly what
8	I'm thinking if it's irrefutable.
9	MS. SISOLAK: Okay. What would be an example of that?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: You're holding a black
11	pen.
12	MS. SISOLAK: And you see me holding the black pen?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Yes.
14	MS. SISOLAK: What I'm kind of getting is that you're
15	skeptical. Would you agree that you're kind of skeptical with stuff?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Slightly.
17	MS. SISOLAK: Okay. You haven't served jury duty before,
18	have you?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: No, I have not.
20	MS. SISOLAK: Have you wanted to serve jury duty?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: I mean, it
22	MS. SISOLAK: You're allowed to say no. It's okay.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Honestly, I did I never
24	really thought about it.
25	MS. SISOLAK: Okay. Fair. All right. Are you a leader or a

1	follower?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: I'm a leader.
3	MS. SISOLAK: Why?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: I lead all four kids to
5	school in the morning.
6	MS. SISOLAK: Listen, I have one dog I can't lead anywhere,
7	so.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: I mean, I I've always
9	been a leader. I'm the oldest of all my siblings and I have four kids. I'm
10	the sole provider in my household. And I took care of my mom when my
11	father and mother were divorced. It's just
12	MS. SISOLAK: Okay.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: I always I grew up
14	taking care of people.
15	MS. SISOLAK: Do you think that's a good quality?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Great quality.
17	MS. SISOLAK: Okay. You had said you had positive
18	interactions with law enforcement?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Uh-huh. I have neighbors,
20	several neighbors that are law enforcement.
21	MS. SISOLAK: If I remember correctly yesterday, you said
22	that's why you moved there, right?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Yes. We actually were
24	during the shopping of our house, we drove down and we saw all these
25	squad cars parked in the driveways. And I was, like, I don't even want to

1	see this house on the inside, let's just get it.
2	MS. SISOLAK: Okay. That is a deep love for squad cars.
3	But can you put aside your police bias
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Oh, I
5	MS. SISOLAK: and be fair?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: I can.
7	MS. SISOLAK: Okay. Because that's going to be really
8	important to us.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: I know it is.
10	MS. SISOLAK: Okay. Ms. Feldman, Number 11, behind you.
11	I promise I'll get to everybody. Don't worry.
12	You had talked about you had some prosecutors that were
13	friends or family, correct?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: Friends. Uh-huh.
15	MS. SISOLAK: Okay. And that's not here?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: Not here.
17	MS. SISOLAK: All right. Would your friends being
18	prosecutors make you lean toward that side of the aisle over my side of
19	the aisle?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: No.
21	MS. SISOLAK: How do you here's a good one. Let's start
22	with, if you had to vote guilty or not guilty right now, what's your answer?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: I would have to say not
24	guilty, because I've had no no evidence presented by
25	MS. SISOLAK: Perfect.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: that side.
2	MS. SISOLAK: That's what we're looking for.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: I can would you like me
4	to explain a little bit more about my background?
5	MS. SISOLAK: Yeah. A little bit.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: So my freshman year of
7	college, I qualified and attended National Debate Competition, so I
8	understand the affirmative has the burden of proof.
9	MS. SISOLAK: Okay.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: I understand that very well.
11	I have I feel nervous now.
12	MS. SISOLAK: It happens.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: The spotlight
14	MS. SISOLAK: Me too.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: Usually yeah. Having
16	said that, yeah, I now I have a problem with public speaking all the
17	sudden, so. So yeah, I I understand that very well. And we argued
18	the Constitution, of course, so.
19	MS. SISOLAK: Okay.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: And I understand the
21	rights, so.
22	MS. SISOLAK: So you're comfortable
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: Yes.
24	MS. SISOLAK: in this kind of
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: I have family members that

1	are attorneys.
2	MS. SISOLAK: Okay.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: Not criminal, but
4	MS. SISOLAK: Awesome.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: I understand that
6	process.
7	MS. SISOLAK: Awesome.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: And my friends, even
9	though they were, you know, prosecutors, they did more sex trafficking
10	and those sort of
11	MS. SISOLAK: Okay.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: Yeah. Different different
13	types of things than it seems like we're talking about here, so.
14	MS. SISOLAK: All right.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: And I'm a nurse, so I have
16	to treat everyone equally.
17	MS. SISOLAK: I like that.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: No judgment.
19	MS. SISOLAK: Can we pass it down to Mr. Bragdon,
20	Number 13?
21	Hi.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Hi.
23	MS. SISOLAK: Do the State and the defense start at that time
24	same part the same point? Let's say we're in a race. Do we start at
25	the same point?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Correct. I would not be
2	okay.
3	MS. SISOLAK: Why?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: That's not fair to the
5	defendant.
6	MS. SISOLAK: But you understand that the State has the
7	burden of proving everything, and I have the burden of proving nothing,
8	right?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yes.
10	MS. SISOLAK: You okay with that?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: No. Because if I was the
12	defendant, I wouldn't want you to not do anything.
13	MS. SISOLAK: I understand that.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Uh-huh.
15	MS. SISOLAK: But can we agree that I don't have to do
16	anything? I'm not saying I'm not going to.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Correct.
18	MS. SISOLAK: But I don't have to.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Okay. I understand.
20	MS. SISOLAK: Are you okay with that?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yes. I mean, if that's if
22	that's the rules. I mean, it is what it is.
23	MS. SISOLAK: Okay. How would you feel if my client
24	decided not to testify?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Like you said, he probably

1	has a lot of reasons. I can't say one way or another. If I was in the
2	position, I could have reasons of my own. So no real opinion on it.
3	MS. SISOLAK: Okay. So you can look past the fact that my
4	client has a right not to speak and he might choose not to?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yeah.
6	MS. SISOLAK: That's okay with you?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yes.
8	MS. SISOLAK: And he kind of already has spoken to you,
9	hasn't he?
10	Can anybody tell me how he spoke to you guys yet already?
11	It's really easy.
12	One behind you, please. Thank you.
13	Mr. Grinsted.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Badge No. 3. Because
15	he's already pled not guilty.
16	MS. SISOLAK: Perfect. Is everybody okay with that, that that
17	might be all they hear? That that might be it. You okay with that?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: I'm not okay.
19	MS. SISOLAK: One to the left.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Number 2, Ilanit Saxe.
21	MS. SISOLAK: Why aren't you okay with that?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Because I would like to
23	hear his side.
24	MS. SISOLAK: Didn't you already his side? His side is he
25	didn't do anything.

1	say anything.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: I understand
3	MS. SISOLAK: I understand that this is probably
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: It's a little bit more
5	complicated in my eyes than a speeding ticket.
6	MS. SISOLAK: Yeah. No, I get it.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: It's
8	MS. SISOLAK: I totally get that.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: It's more it's it's his life.
10	And I need to understand what was happening. I've never done this
11	before.
12	MS. SISOLAK: That's okay.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: So I'm kind of but in my
14	own logic I would have to understand what he was doing at the time. I
15	would have to understand the background, so I so I'll be able to
16	judge not judge, but give my
17	MS. SISOLAK: Judge is the right word.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: I can't think of the right
19	word, my verdict
20	MS. SISOLAK: Okay. So you're telling me that if you don't
21	hear from my client, you can't come to a conclusion?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: No. I didn't say that.
23	MS. SISOLAK: Okay.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: I would have to come to a
25	conclusion, but I would feel that it won't be complete

1	MS. SISOLAK: So you would
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: It would be
3	MS. SISOLAK: Would you take into consideration that he
4	didn't tell you anything?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: There there would be
6	some kind of, like, a black hole that the missing piece of the puzzle.
7	MS. SISOLAK: Okay.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Her word against his.
9	What is his word? I didn't do it. And then, if I'm just imagining the
10	scenario. There's evidence against him
11	THE COURT RECORDER: Counsel, could you have her pur
12	the mic up a little bit? Thank you.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: If if there would be
14	evidence against him and if I don't hear him, then how am I going to
15	maybe say, well, maybe he's not guilty?
16	MS. SISOLAK: Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: It it would I would
18	need that piece of a puzzle.
19	MS. SISOLAK: So but he's not guilty right now, correct?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Right.
21	MS. SISOLAK: Is that fair? Everybody's with me? Perfect.
22	And correct me if I'm wrong. What I'm hearing you say is it's
23	going to be hard for you to come to a conclusion if my client doesn't
24	testify; is that true?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Yes.

MS. SISOLAK: Would you hold it against him that he didn't?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: I wouldn't. I wouldn't hold
it against him. I just think it's his choice.
MS. SISOLAK: Uh-huh.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: I understand. And I I
would I would be fair with everything that I hear. But I am just afraid
that I would be faced in a scenario that I if I would not hear his side, I
will not know exactly
MS. SISOLAK: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: how to give my verdict.
MS. SISOLAK: Okay. One to your right.
Back to you, Mr. Grinsted, Number 3. You shook your head
and kind of seemed to be a little bit on the same page as Ms. Saxe.
Would you agree with that?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: So just thinking it through
right now
MS. SISOLAK: Uh-huh.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: sitting here, the
prosecution is going to present evidence, eyewitness accounts
MS. SISOLAK: Maybe.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: for their side, potentially
MS. SISOLAK: Potentially.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: So if Defense chooses to
do nothing
MS. SISOLAK: Uh-huh.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: -- then in my mind arises the question, either they feel that the evidence is weak, or they feel that presenting any defense would only make the situation worse. So being silent would be the best course of action for the client.

MS. SISOLAK: Okay. But --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: And if that's the best course of action, then that's the choice of the defense. I understood that -- I understand that.

MS. SISOLAK: So you wouldn't hold it against my client if he doesn't testify?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: I would not hold it against him, but I would -- if it was me in that situation, then I would -- if -- I'm just going to speak bluntly. If I am innocent, I would want my side to be heard, because the prosecution is going to present their side --

MS. SISOLAK: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: -- attempting to convict me. If I'm innocent, I would want the opportunity. I don't have to, I understand.

MS. SISOLAK: Uh-huh.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: But I would feel it would be part of the best thing to do for my defense, never having been in that situation, understood.

MS. SISOLAK: Uh-huh.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: The best thing to do for my defense would be to tell my side of the story to counteract the

1	prosecution side.
2	MS. SISOLAK: What if your attorney told you not to?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: My attorney told me not to
4	and they presented logical evidence and said that if the if the
5	prosecution can twist or on cross, etcetera
6	MS. SISOLAK: Uh-huh.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: yes, I do watch Law &
8	Order, by the way.
9	MS. SISOLAK: Gotcha.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: So, you know, if if that
11	get into that situation where you understand that there are pitfalls to my
12	testimony, absolutely. At the same time, the point of a jury a trial is to
13	arrive at the truth.
14	MS. SISOLAK: Correct.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Right.
16	MS. SISOLAK: Understanding that the State has to prove
17	each element of each offense beyond a reasonable doubt?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: And if the State has
19	brought it to this point
20	MS. SISOLAK: Uh-huh.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: the State feels that they
22	can do that.
23	MS. SISOLAK: Correct. Can we agree that you're going to
24	make them do that?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO 003: I will listen to their

evidence and judge as impartially as I can based on the evidence that they present and the evidence that Defense presents.

MS. SISOLAK: So if they don't present you enough evidence, are you confident you could return a verdict of not guilty and feel good about it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: If they do not present evidence beyond a reasonable doubt, I would have no other option.

MS. SISOLAK: Anybody feel differently? No? Okay.

One to your right, Ms. Dimaya.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: Yes.

MS. SISOLAK: I also think your 7-year-old should get a job. It's time.

So when speaking with Mr. Giordani, you had talked about a home invasion you were a victim of and the gun issue. I'm just curious, you didn't bring that up yesterday. Why?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: The judge did ask about if you were a victim, and I -- I did say yes, in a home invasion.

MS. SISOLAK: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: He didn't go into any further details, so --

MS. SISOLAK: Yeah. Correct.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: -- I didn't give any further details. Like I said earlier, after everything that I went through, I -- I wanted to be on this side. Because during my trial, I was up there and I was only there for my testimony.

1	MS. SISOLAK: So you you did testify at a trial, correct?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: Yes.
3	MS. SISOLAK: Okay. Do you think you can put aside what
4	happened to you? Let's say things were similar. Could you put aside
5	your experience and put this case in a little bubble?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: I can put it aside. Like I
7	said, it's it's emotional for me, because it wasn't long ago. But I do
8	know there's two sides to every story. So I
9	MS. SISOLAK: Okay.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: I do think that I
11	can be fair and listen to everything that is presented.
12	MS. SISOLAK: Okay. You hang out with some law
13	enforcement officials at your gym?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: Yes.
15	MS. SISOLAK: That's not going to affect you in any way, is it?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: No.
17	MS. SISOLAK: Perfect. Okay.
18	Mr. Stoldal, one to the right. I promise I'm not going to go in
19	the order, just for a while.
20	Is it Mr. Stoldal, Number 6?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Correct.
22	MS. SISOLAK: Is it fair to say you have a distrust of
23	everything? Let's put it out there. A little bit?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes.
25	MS. SISOLAK: Okay.
	7.0

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Well, it -- it's a distrust of everything because I am -- it's almost weird, because I'm generally a very open person. I'm a person that subscribes to, you do you. So it's -- but it also goes to the thing of, don't hurt other people in what you're doing. So --

MS. SISOLAK: Get it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: So you're more than welcome to do whatever you want, as long as you're not infringing in other peoples' rights or abilities. It's a lot more complicated than that, but the general idea of that. So that kind of then goes into -- yeah. I -- I have -- because everyone shows bias. And I see it a lot --

MS. SISOLAK: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: -- especially in kind of cyber crimes and things like that. I've not necessarily been involved, but I've had to do a lot of forensics when it comes to that.

MS. SISOLAK: Okay. So there's going to be evidence. That's the theme here. Can you come to a conclusion based on the evidence that's presented to you, without using a boatload of outside sort of lenses, can you look at what the State is going to present to you and come to a fair and impartial conclusion?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: I hesitate, because I don't want to be disrespectful to the court and say yes immediately, give you the answer you want to hear. I want to. I don't want to do disrespect to the Stoldal name. It's -- it's --

MS. SISOLAK: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: -- already been recognized a couple times. My father does a lot in the community. He's on the Mob Museum. The Every Story Has Two Sides is one of the slogans over there.

MS. SISOLAK: Yeah.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: So I want to make sure I'm respectful of everyone and not do any disservice to that.

But it's always one of those where, I hold life as a extremely precious thing. And when someone is careless enough to -- to hold a gun to someone or something along that lines and, God forbid, what happens if their finger slips? They don't intend to do it, but the life is gone. So it's --

MS. SISOLAK: I get it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: -- it's one of those where it's -- I don't know if I can be, if -- if someone is coming in with a gun.

MS. SISOLAK: Let me be clear.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yeah.

MS. SISOLAK: I'm not asking you for the answer I want to hear.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Okay.

MS. SISOLAK: I'm answering -- asking you for an honest answer. And if you can't do it, that's okay. They'll call you for a different case sometime.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: That's what I --

MS. SISOLAK: I -- I promise.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: We should be, yes.

MS. SISOLAK: Is it fair to say that if the State presented all the evidence and they proved to do you beyond a reasonable doubt what they're saying happened happened, could you come back with a verdict of guilty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: I don't think I could, because I -- I question the -- the evidence.

MS. SISOLAK: Okay. It's okay to question --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: And -- and I question -- I don't know, far as -- I don't know. I might have a bias because of my religion.

MS. SISOLAK: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: Because of people who have maybe not so gone the right road in life, more mercy, if you will, towards that person. That's why when I started out, I said the Bible says judge not that you be not judged. Because you don't know what circumstance brought that person to that.

MS. SISOLAK: Totally valid.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: So I would be biased, because of not fully understanding. Also, you say you don't have the -- the burden of proof.

MS. SISOLAK: Correct.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: And I have an issue with that, like the lady was saying on the end.

MS. SISOLAK: Okay.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: And you say, I don't have
2	to prove anything.
3	MS. SISOLAK: No.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: And I'm thinking to myself,
5	well, if they hired you, if they chose you
6	MS. SISOLAK: That's true.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: as their lawyer, why
8	don't you?
9	MS. SISOLAK: I'm not going we're going to do nothing.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: Oh, okay.
11	MS. SISOLAK: I'm just saying we don't a burden under the
12	law
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: Okay.
14	MS. SISOLAK: to do anything.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: Okay.
16	MS. SISOLAK: I'm just saying it is a hundred percent the
17	burden on the State. And and we need jurors that are okay with that.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: Uh-huh.
19	MS. SISOLAK: And if you're if you're not one of them, that's
20	all right. Every juror is not perfect for every case. Are you telling me
21	that you're not?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: I I would be biased.
23	MS. SISOLAK: Okay.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: Because this is a this is
25	a person's life.

1	MS. SISOLAK: Absolutely.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: And I oh, my God, I
3	just I just couldn't do it.
4	MS. SISOLAK: I understand.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: I couldn't do it.
6	MS. SISOLAK: I understand. That's okay. Thank you for
7	being honest about it. I'm glad I know now, not later. Okay. Thank you.
8	Your Honor, would you like me to
9	THE COURT: We're going to take our break now.
10	Ladies and gentlemen, at this time we're going take our lunch
11	recess.
12	MS. SISOLAK: And I'll be back.
13	THE COURT: During this
14	MS. SISOLAK: Hopefully.
15	THE COURT: During this recess, it is your duty not to
16	converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject
17	connected with the trial or to read, watch or listen to any report of or
18	commentary on the trial by any person connected with the trial or by any
19	medium of information, including, without limitation, newspaper,
20	television, radio, and you're not to form or express an opinion on any
21	subject connected with this case until it is finally submitted to you.
22	We'll be in recess until 2:00. Thank you.
23	[Prospective jury panel recessed at 12:30 p.m.]
24	THE COURT: And, counsel, if there's any housekeeping
25	matters with exhibits or things like that, if you could come a little early

1	and try to resolve that. I'd like to start at 2:00.
2	MS. SISOLAK: Absolutely, Your Honor. Thank you.
3	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you.
4	THE COURT: Thank you. We'll be in recess.
5	[Court recessed at 12:32 until 2:06 p.m.]
6	[Outside the presence of the prospective jury panel.]
7	THE COURT: Please be seated. This is the continuation of
8	the trial in Case No. C-15-309578-1 and -2, Plaintiff, State of Nevada vs.
9	Defendants Steven Turner, Clemon Hudson. The record will reflect the
10	presence of counsel and the presence of the defendants.
11	MS. MACHNICH: Thank you, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Thank you. Defense, do you have additional
13	voir dire?
14	MS. SISOLAK: We do.
15	MS. MACHNICH: Yes. We do, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Randy, hold on one second.
17	[Pause in proceedings.]
18	THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Randy.
19	[Prospective jury panel reconvened at 2:07 p.m.]
20	THE COURT: Please be seated. Let the record also reflect
21	the presence of the panel of potential jurors.
22	Counsel.
23	MS. SISOLAK: Thank you, Your Honor.
24	I did want to touch on something very briefly. I know we saw a
25	lot of you guys in the elevator and, like, walking around at lunch. We

1	can't talk to you. Please don't think we're rude. We feel really rude. It's,
2	like, the time of the year, oh god, the floor's so nice this time of year.
3	But we can't talk to you. That's what it is. It's not that we're ignoring you
4	or trying to be rude.
5	Would you hand the mic to Ms. Woods, Number 20. All right.
6	Ms. Woods, I don't think I've spoken with you quite yet, have
7	I?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: No.
9	MS. SISOLAK: You said your son-in-law is an assistant
10	district attorney?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: He was.
12	MS. SISOLAK: He was. Was that here?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: No. In Salt Like City.
14	MS. SISOLAK: Salt Lake, right. That's right. And you said
15	you're really proud of the work he did?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Yes.
17	MS. SISOLAK: Right? Okay. Would that affect how you
18	viewed our job versus the job of the State?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: No. I was proud of the fact
20	that he was able to uphold the law and commit people. But the reason
21	I I used that word proud was because he told me in a couple of cases
22	where he was able to let people carry on with their lives after they had
23	made a mistake. He was able to
24	MS. SISOLAK: Be fair.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: be fair, uh-huh.

MS. SISOLAK: I like that answer. How do you feel about not being able to hear from my client if he doesn't testify?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: I accept that that's the law.

MS. SISOLAK: Okay. You won't hold it against him?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: No.

MS. SISOLAK: Can you think of a reason why he might not want to?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: The reasons that I can think of tend to be negative.

MS. SISOLAK: Okay. Do you think maybe he -- quite frankly, before I had this job I was not crazy about public speaking. But not only that, the State has two very good attorneys here. They're going to have a chance to ask questions. And sometimes words get twisted. And sometimes you get nervous. And sometimes things don't come out the way you mean them. Can you recognize that that might be a problem for someone? Particularly -- we've talked about how serious this is, and how this is my client's life, and how nervous he might be sitting there.

That might be a reason somebody chose not to testify. Do you -- do you think you can commit to not holding that against him and not wondering why, but just accepting that he's not going to speak to you and that that's the law; can you do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Yes.

MS. SISOLAK: Perfect. Perfect. Can you pass the mic back to Mr. Arellano, Number 1.

All right, Mr. Arellano, your turn. You had talked a little bit -- I

MS. SISOLAK: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: -- not having the training. So just to your question as to trusting their testimony, their eyewitness account versus a layperson's account, to my mind, they've that had the training to see all the facts, to recall those facts as part of the reaching that level of law enforcement.

MS. SISOLAK: Okay. So that in my head kind of plays two ways. Either, one, you believe that officers should be held to a higher standard because of their training and the abilities that they have, or you would inherently trust an officer more. Which is it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: I would hold them to a higher standard.

MS. SISOLAK: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: I would expect that they hold themselves to a higher standard.

MS. SISOLAK: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: So as far as inherently trusting, if it comes down to a difference between two witnesses, one a layperson and one a trained officer who sees this on a daily basis and is trained to respond, I would lend more weight to the officer's testimony.

MS. SISOLAK: Okay. Let's go to Ms. Wood, Number 20 -- Ms. Woods, Number 20. I apologize.

You raised your hand your hand. Your turn.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: I agree what with -- with what he just said.

1	MS. SISOLAK: Okay.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: And I would add that if an
3	officer presented evidence, just like a defendant is innocent until proven
4	guilty, I would feel that an officer was telling me the truth until it was
5	proven to me that it was false.
6	MS. SISOLAK: So you have a police bias?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Pardon me?
8	MS. SISOLAK: You're biased towards the police? That's
9	okay.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Based on their
11	training and yes. Yes.
12	MS. SISOLAK: Okay. That's fine. Thank you for being
13	honest.
14	Two down to Mr. Wright.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Badge 23.
16	MS. SISOLAK: Oh, gosh. I'm trying, I promise.
17	Mr. Wright, here comes the officer. How are you going to
18	prove you weren't speeding? Should you have to prove you weren't
19	speeding?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: No.
21	MS. SISOLAK: So you're okay with us doing nothing and the
22	State proving everything?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: I believe in the system that
24	you have to prove it.
25	MS. SISOLAK: You believe it works?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: I believe it works.	
2	MS. SISOLAK: Awesome. Two down for me. Thank you.	
3	Mr. Geisler.	
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Badge 26.	
5	MS. SISOLAK: God, I promise by the end of this I will do this,	
6	I promise.	
7	Mr. Geisler, what makes you believe someone?	
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: They're under oath.	
9	MS. SISOLAK: That was not an answer I was expecting, but	
10	thank you. What else makes you believe somebody?	
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: I don't know, character.	
12	MS. SISOLAK: Okay. Do you believe an officer's character is	
13	different than a regular person's	
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: No.	
15	MS. SISOLAK: based on their job? Okay. We talked a lot	
16	about burden of proof. We talked about, like, beyond a reasonable	
17	doubt. Why you know that's the highest standard; why do you think	
18	that is?	
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Because you're innocent	
20	until proven guilty.	
21	MS. SISOLAK: Why?	
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Because they're bringing	
23	the charges against the defendant.	
24	MS. SISOLAK: Okay. Do you want to be on a jury?	
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Yeah.	

1	Ms. Dimaya, Number 4.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Thank you, though
3	MS. SISOLAK: Thank you.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: for that consideration.
5	MS. SISOLAK: Ms. Dimaya, you said something earlier that
6	was something I kind of keyed on, I guess. You had said that you
7	testified in a criminal trial, right?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: Yes.
9	MS. SISOLAK: And that you testified because he pled not
10	guilty?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: Yes.
12	MS. SISOLAK: How did that make you feel?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: Well, I was the victim
14	MS. SISOLAK: Uh-huh.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: and I know that he was
16	there.
17	MS. SISOLAK: Okay.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: And I know what he did.
19	So that I know he was not guilty or that I know that he was guilty.
20	MS. SISOLAK: Are you okay with the fact that let me start
21	over.
22	You understand that I might have a client who said who
23	pleads not guilty who there's overwhelming evidence, that's not here, bu
24	there's overwhelming evidence he's on video, let's say, crystal clear
25	video. Do you think he has right to plead not guilty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: He does.

MS. SISOLAK: Are you okay with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: Yes.

MS. SISOLAK: I'm going to be honest with you, I think you're struggling. And if you don't think that a potential situation with a high-powered firearm is something that you can be comfortable with, I need you to be honest.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: It -- it is very emotional for me. And I'm -- as -- as much as I would like to be here, I do think it would be very difficult for me to see evidence and to hear --

MS. SISOLAK: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: -- both sides of the story.

And I -- I said I would be fair and I would listen, and I do think that I can do that to the best of my ability. But it will be very tough.

MS. SISOLAK: In jury selection we do a whole lot of beating around the bush. I think you know what I'm getting at. You're likely going to hear evidence about a firearm, particularly possibly an assault rifle. Is that going to be too hard for you? Would you be better suited for a different jury?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: Yes. I'd be better suited for a different one.

MS. SISOLAK: Thank you.

Can we pass it down to Mr. Pope?

I'm going pick on you again, Mr. Pope. I'm very sorry.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Badge No. 31.

1	MS. SISOLAK: I'm going to get it out with the name next time.
2	Mr. Pope, you talked about irrefutable evidence. We kind of talked
3	about that.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Yes.
5	MS. SISOLAK: I was a little confused, the more I thought
6	about what you said.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Okay.
8	MS. SISOLAK: Were you saying that if evidence is presented,
9	you would need irrefutable evidence to the contrary?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Probably the more
11	evidence, the better.
12	MS. SISOLAK: Okay. Would you be okay making a decision
13	if the defense showed you no evidence? Let me start over.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Yeah, please.
15	MS. SISOLAK: Would you need evidence would you need
16	any evidence to find my client not guilty?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Would I need any
18	evidence to find
19	MS. SISOLAK: What's your vote right now?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Say that again.
21	MS. SISOLAK: If you had to vote right now and they said
22	okay, what's your verdict? What's your verdict right now?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Not guilty.
24	MS. SISOLAK: And you're okay with that?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: I'm okay with that.

23

24

25

1		
2		u
3		
4		
5		l'ı
6		
7		
8		m
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		g
14		
15		ri
16		
17		
18		
19		u
20		h
	l	

MS. SISOLAK: My concern is that what you're looking for is
us to refute the charges. Do you know what I mean by that?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: No.

MS. SISOLAK: What I think you may be -- and correct me if m wrong, because I'm just trying to get a good idea of what you mean. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Go ahead.

MS. SISOLAK: Is that you want us to prove in some way that ny client didn't commit a crime. You understand I don't have to do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: I understand.

MS. SISOLAK: And you're okay with that? You're hesitating. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Yeah. I'm hesitating.

MS. SISOLAK: If it's something you can't be okay with, you ot to let me know.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Okay. I'll let you know ght now.

> MS. SISOLAK: Is it something you can't be okay with? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Probably.

MS. SISOLAK: Fine. Perfectly said. That's okay. I inderstand it's human nature to want more. And I understand it's luman nature to say, I want it all. I mean, we -- Law & Order. There's -you know, like, in *Law & Order* when they put the fingerprints in and it, like, randomly, it's, like, bing, bing, bing. That's not real life. It's real Law & Order, but that's not quite how things work.

So I just need to make sure that you're okay with the fact that the burden is solely on the State. And what -- what I'm hearing from you

is that you're kind of not going to be okay with that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Right.

MS. SISOLAK: Thank you.

Is there anyone else that feels the same? Let's just get it out of the way.

Okay. Pass it on down to Ms. Johnson, Number 40. One for me.

Ms. Johnson, we talked a little bit about your sister and I don't think any of the things that we've kind of alluded to are things that are going to bug you necessarily?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: No.

MS. SISOLAK: My sister works in hockey. And if it was someone who said, God, I just hate hockey, I might be a little offended by that. Are you going to be okay sitting in judgment of others when your sister has been in the same position?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: I have no problem with it.

MS. SISOLAK: Okay. And the fact that you're sort of disconnected from your sister and that you've decided that that's not a relationship you want to pursue, that's not going to cause you any ill will towards someone who might be in her shoes, would it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: No. I mean, I think that everyone has a fair chance to prove that they're not guilty.

MS. SISOLAK: Prove that they're not guilty or the State to prove that they are?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: The State prove that they

1	are.	
2	MS. SISOLAK: All right.	
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: Yeah.	
4	MS. SISOLAK: Do you want to be on a jury?	
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: To be honest, I did not.	
6	Coming in here, I never wanted to be on a jury, never wanted to be	
7	selected. But now that I'm here, it's	
8	MS. SISOLAK: We all sucker you. We do.	
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: Yeah.	
10	MS. SISOLAK: Sounds fun?	
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: It it sounds a little	
12	interesting.	
13	MS. SISOLAK: I knew it would. Are you a leader or a	
14	follower?	
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: I would say I'm a leader.	
16	MS. SISOLAK: Do you think that's important?	
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: Yeah. I mean, I think	
18	that I guess I think that there should be leaders and because if	
19	there's no followers, then you don't really have anyone to lead.	
20	MS. SISOLAK: Okay. A little hypothetical: It's Friday, 5:00,	
21	you're the one holdout. You going to stand your ground or are you going	
22	home at 5:00?	
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: I I would think that I'm	
24	going to stand my ground, because if I believe in my case or, you know,	
25	whatnot, that I'm not going to stand down just because I want to go	

my point.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Do I need the mic?

MS. SISOLAK: Sure. Mr. Limbacher, Number 21.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: I kind of go -- gravitate towards -- it -- it's hard for me to just say, yes definitely, not guilty, no matter what. And I don't know -- I understand what you're saying, that they have to prove that they're guilty, but without hearing anything from the other side, it's also hard for me to say that, okay, then -- then there wasn't enough proof. I just -- just looking at -- you always want to hear both sides of the story.

MS. SISOLAK: I get that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Anything from having kids growing up, you always want to hear both sides of the story.

MS. SISOLAK: Okay. In the law, it's kind of weird. We draw a distinction between hard and can't. Are you telling me it would be hard, or are you telling me that you can't?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: I think -- well, it -- the evidence. I would be able to follow the evidence.

MS. SISOLAK: Okay. And that might be hard. Let me get this clear.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Hard.

MS. SISOLAK: I've never gotten to be a juror because of my job. But it's a hard job. We're not denying that this is not going to be an easy thing to do. I think kind of like Ms. Willis said, like, this is serious and it's hard. But if you feel like you're up to that, then you're the kind of person we're looking for. Do you feel like you could make that decision,

1	hearing nothing from my client?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: I think that I can be fair.
3	MS. SISOLAK: Okay.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: If I can see yeah, if there
5	was enough I would have to see enough evidence to
6	MS. SISOLAK: From the State, not from the defense?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: From the State. That's
8	correct.
9	MS. SISOLAK: Okay.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: But I would also I would
11	like to hear from the other side, but, you know, it's
12	MS. SISOLAK: You already did?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Kind of.
14	MS. SISOLAK: Do you understand when I say
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Well, if if it was that easy
16	though, like somebody else said, then they wouldn't be here. Then we
17	wouldn't be here. The whole thing would be unnecessary if it was that
18	easy.
19	MS. SISOLAK: Okay.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: If it was that just cut and
21	dry, then we wouldn't even have any reason to be here. They didn't just
22	pull names out of a hat of say, here, these people are guilty. So there
23	has to be a reason that certain people are in the situation that they're in.
24	You see what I'm saying?
25	MS. SISOLAK: Correct. But can we agree people make

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

25

mistakes?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Oh, yeah. I'm just -- I'm just saying that I would still -- I think I would definitely be -- give a fair judgment based on evidence.

MS. SISOLAK: Okay. I think that's fair.

Court's indulgence just one moment.

[Pause in proceedings.]

MS. SISOLAK: All right. I have good and bad news. Bad news, there are probably more questions. Good news, they're not from me. Thank you guys for your honesty. I --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: Before you go, you made a reference to me and said I said it was hard. But I thought I said I couldn't do it as well.

MS. SISOLAK: No. No. I heard that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: Oh, okay.

MS. SISOLAK: No. What I was saying was you have said since yesterday that standing in judgment of another person is a hard thing to do, and that it's not something you're -- you're looking to sign up for. And you said it was serious, because it was someone's life. That's what I was referencing. I heard you loud and clear.

Anybody else?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: I just have a question, please.

MS. SISOLAK: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Sue Phillips, Number 8.

1	Could you please repeat what the charges are?
2	MS. SISOLAK: I cannot.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Okay.
4	MS. SISOLAK: Someone will for you though.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Okay. Thank you.
6	MS. SISOLAK: You'll hear them again, I promise. Okay.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Thanks.
8	MS. SISOLAK: Thank you, everyone.
9	THE COURT: Counsel?
0	MR. PLUMMER: Thank you, Your Honor.
1	Well, the good news is that I'm not going to be nearly as long
2	as anyone else, because of all the questions that have already been
3	asked. The
4	Mr. Troller, as a also as a more-than-20-year vet, I thank
5	you for your service. Can you please pass the microphone? Can you
6	pass the microphone down to Mr. Stoldal?
7	Sir, it's my understanding you do a lot with computers?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: That is correct. Number 6
9	MR. PLUMMER: When you went home last night, did you do
20	any Internet research on this case?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: No, sir.
22	MR. PLUMMER: Did anyone do any Internet research on this
23	case? Thank you.
24	You can pass the microphone to Mr. Bragdon, one row up,
25	two down.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

they were to hear that a police officer was shot, that that would have an effect on them?

Mr. Grisled, Number 3.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Grinsted, yeah.

MR. PLUMMER: Grinsted.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: Yeah. Just thinking through as you were talking with the previous conversation, is it placing -- knowing even just acquaintances, friends, that are -- work in law enforcement, the risk that they choose to put themselves in and the fact that they know at the -- the beginning of each shift that there's a possibility that they may not come home, that to me -- so hearing of a police officer or law enforcement being shot would -- definitely engenders in me -- just having -- listening to this previous conversation, just immediately in my mind, it engenders the idea of, I would not want to lose any of my friends in a similar situation.

MR. PLUMMER: So do you think that's going to create some bias in your mind?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 003: I would have to honestly say yes.

MR. PLUMMER: Thank you.

Is there anyone else that feels that hearing evidence of a police officer being shot is going to create a bias in your mind?

I want to talk to you about a concept I refer to as spillover. It means when there is what we call, let's say, overwhelming evidence of one particular crime, but weak evidence of another crime.

Mr. G	Reisler	pass the	e micro	phone <sup>·</sup>	to Mr.	Geisler.	, Number	26
-------	---------	----------	---------	--------------------	--------	----------	----------	----

Mr. Geisler, how would you weigh evidence that is very strong towards one particular crime when balancing against evidence that's rather weak on another particular crime, all charged in the same trial? Would you use strong evidence of one crime against the person who is charged with another crime where the evidence is much weaker?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: How would I weigh it is your question?

MR. PLUMMER: Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: I guess I don't understand how -- what do you mean, how would I weigh it?

MR. PLUMMER: My -- my question, to get a little more specific is: Would you -- if you had evidence that was overwhelming in one particular crime, would you use that -- the fact that you think the person is guilty in this crime against them for another crime they're charged with where the evidence is not so strong?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: What do you mean, use it against them?

MR. PLUMMER: Just because you think they're guilty of one crime, they must be guilty of the other?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: No.

MR. PLUMMER: Why?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Unless it had something to -- specifically to do with the other crime, shouldn't be held against you, right?

MR. PLUMMER: Great answer.

Is there anyone that thinks because someone's is guilty of one crime, they must be guilty of another?

Ms. Johnson, Number 40, what are your thoughts on using evidence of one crime if you think someone is guilty of, so they must be guilty of another crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: If there's overwhelming evidence in one but not in the other, the one, then, you know, they're -- they're guilty. The other one, I don't think that they'd be guilty if there's not enough evidence.

MR. PLUMMER: Besides Mr. Troller, are there any other veterans on the panel? Is there anyone with any specialized knowledge of guns?

Juror No. 2, Ms. Saxe?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Yes.

MR. PLUMMER: You have specialized knowledge in guns?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: I don't. But I served in the IDF. I was born and raised in Israel and it is mandatory, so.

MR. PLUMMER: Mandatory service, yes. Two years?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Two years. So that's -that's as far as it gets.

MR. PLUMMER: Okay. Thank you. As I said, the good news is I'm going to be the shortest of everyone else. So those are all the questions I have. Thank you.

THE COURT: Counsel approach.

. –

[Bench conference transcribed as follows:]

THE COURT: All right. Does anybody want me to ask any questions? I think you covered -- okay. So I won't ask any additional questions unless requested.

MS. MACHNICH: Okay.

THE COURT: So at this time, I'm going to excuse the jury to let you confer for a few minutes. Let my bailiff know, obviously, if you can agree upon any challenges for cause. And then I'll let you argue the challenges and then I'll take another short recess to consider your argument. So probably it's going to be at least a 15, 20-minute recess --

MS. MACHNICH: That's fine.

THE COURT: -- that the jury is going to be --

MS. SISOLAK: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. GIORDANI: Thank you.

MR. PLUMMER: Thank you, Your Honor.

[End of bench conference.]

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, at this time we're going to take a short recess. I can't tell you the exact amount. Probably going to be around a 15, 20-minute recess, so don't go too far. I have to admonish you each time.

So ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take a short recess. During this recess, your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial; to read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any person

something to that effect.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Is there any additional potential jurors that the State is moving for cause?

MR. GIORDANI: Yes. Just one, Your Honor. Juror Number -- Seat 20, Juror No. 36, Mr. Fritz.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, I apologize. I didn't hear the badge number.

MR. GIORDANI: It's Badge No. 36.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. GIORDANI: And Seat 20. That's the gentleman,
Mr. Fritz, that was in the front. The basis for the State's Motion for
Cause is when I was questioning Mr. Fritz -- actually, my concern started
when Your Honor was questioning Mr. Fritz and he said he could be fair,
but there was a lengthy pause before saying so. It caught me -- I -- it
caught my attention.

So then I asked him -- followed up with it. And he basically looked right through me. It's hard to really describe it, but I felt very challenged by the way he was looking at me, so I followed up and asked him a couple times if he could be fair, to which he responded, Well, you've already asked me twice, or I've said that twice, or something to that effect.

At that point, it was clear to me, and some of the jurors around him who scoffed or laughed when he said that, that it was somewhat hostile. So I just asked him, I'm sensing some hostility from you. Tell

me now, something to that effect. And he again hesitantly said, I can be fair.

Just based upon his demeanor, his acts towards me, I felt that at that point there was enough to strike him for cause. But then on top of it, when Ms. Sisolak got up and started talking to him -- she's charming, I'll give her that, but he turns into Jerry Seinfeld all of a sudden and is grabbing the microphone as it's passing and making jokes and laughing with Ms. Sisolak.

So I think that that demonstrated some kind of bias. I don't know where it came from. I don't know if I angered him or offended him in some way, but it was pretty apparent to me that he had some kind of bias in favor of the defense and against the State. So with that, we would ask to strike him for cause.

THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.

MS. MACHNICH: Your Honor, Tegan Machnich on behalf of Mr. Turner. We would oppose that Motion to Strike for Cause based on the fact that Mr. Fritz did unequivocally say he could be fair. The standard is not whether they like us as counsel, although I wish many of the times that would be the standard. However, the juror doesn't particularly like me, doesn't appear to be responding to my questions as well is not the standard for cause. It's whether the juror can be fair and impartial.

We take the jurors at their word when we're taking these questions. They're under oath. And this juror clearly stated he could be fair to both parties in this case or -- I guess, the three parties, all of the

parties in this case, and could weigh the evidence. He answered all of the questions asked. And thus, I do not believe that -- oh, and I will also state that it is our impression that he wasn't necessarily being aggressive towards Mr. Giordani, that he was perhaps thinking that he was funny, so we --

THE COURT: I was --

MS. MACHNICH: -- put that to you --

THE COURT: I did not see the hostility that was observed by counsel.

MS. MACHNICH: So --

THE COURT: I -- I would -- I watched his demeanor. I mean, he was short, but I didn't view it to be hostile, quite frankly.

MS. MACHNICH: And -- and, Your Honor, with that, we will submit. We don't believe that it's proper at this time. The State can always exercise their perempts.

THE COURT: Any additional motions to strike by the State?

MR. GIORDANI: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Defense?

MS. MACHNICH: Yes, Your Honor. So Tegan Machnich for Mr. Turner. We're going to start, and then I know that Mr. Plummer has an additional as well.

We are going to start with Seat No. 2, Juror No. 2, Ms. Saxe. She stated that there must be a reason that we're here, that she would need to hear from the defendants testifying if she were to find in favor of the defense, if she were to find them not guilty, because she could not

unequivocally state that she would be able to follow an instruction that the defendants are constitutionally able to not testify in a case and have that not be part of the consideration.

She kept coming back around to, well, I don't understand, this is very complicated. And I -- I would want -- I need to hear from them. I would need to hear from them. I would need them to testify. I would need to hear evidence from the defense. Despite repeatedly being I don't want to say challenged, but challenged on the idea that the burden is exclusively on the State and that the defendants need not testify and have a constitutional right not to testify in a criminal case.

And because of that -- oh, yeah. And additionally, it appears that she is from Israel originally, so there might be some challenge with regard to understanding constitutional issues of the American judicial system. And -- and that may -- and she just had volunteered that near the end with Mr. Plummer's questioning with regard to firearms and serving in the U.S. military. She did point out that she did serve in the Israeli military.

So if that this, in fact, the culture she grew up in, I would assume the Israeli judicial system is different from our own. I'm not an expert in that area. But because of that, I do not believe that she's a proper juror for a criminal trial in the United States, and that she cannot follow the laws of the United States and that we would move to strike her for cause.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. MACHNICH: Your Honor, would you like me to do all of

one.

them or let the State respond to each one?

THE COURT: Why don't you let the State respond to each

MS. MACHNICH: Okay.

MR. GIORDANI: Thank you, Your Honor.

Our notes and our recollections completely differ from that. We believe that Ms. Saxe, frankly, indicated she could "absolutely be fair." The only time that she wavered on whether or not she would be -- she would want the defendants to testify is when Ms. Sisolak asked her that hypothetical about getting pulled over -- or I'm sorry, a police officer walking in to court and saying you were speeding on Sahara or whatever it was. At that point, she said, of course, I want to hear -- I would want to hear both sides. And it was natural, I believe, was what she said. It was natural that I would want to hear both sides.

I don't think there was anything in there where she said that she could not be fair to the defendants if they did not testify. So at this point, I don't -- without having the ability to talk to her again, I don't think there's anything at this point that would rise to a valid challenge for cause.

I think that if, you know, the defense wants to challenge her, that this is not the appropriate time. It should be a perempt. My -- my recollection is absolutely be fair.

THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.

MS. MACHNICH: And -- and, Your Honor, if I may, just to conclude, I believe Ms. Sisolak also asked her if she thought she would

be better suited for a different type of trial. And our recollection is she said she would be suited for -- better suited for a -- a civil case.

THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.

MS. MACHNICH: Thank you.

THE COURT: Any additional challenges for cause?

MS. MACHNICH: Yes. Next we'll be speaking about Mr. Grinsted. He is Juror No. 3, Seat No. 3. We'll be moving to strike him for cause, because he unequivocally stated he would give more weight to an officer's testimony. That's inappropriate. That is not allowable under the law.

Additionally, there was some additional discussions with regard to how he would feel about hearing about an officer-involved shooting where an officer was shot. And he made some additional statements with regard to his inability to see past that during that conversation.

I believe that on the forefront, the fact that he would place more weight on an officer's testimony is enough to strike him for cause, but that additional add-on of not being able to move past an officer being shot and be fair and impartial makes him an inappropriate juror for this case, given the subject matter of this case, being than an officer did get shot. And by -- and also the assault weapon discussion.

THE COURT: Counsel?

MR. GIORDANI: Again, we have a different recollection.

What Mr. Grinsted said is that he would absolutely hold the State to its burden. And then when he was discussing the difference between a lay

witness and a police officer witness, he actually said that he believes that officers should held to a higher standard. He went on to discuss that a little bit further.

But our recollection of it was is that he's not going to just adopt what an officer says is true. And that was based upon several questions that I posed to him in the -- in that same vein and that same conversation, where I said, well, you -- you know plenty of officers through your gym. And the -- the conversation came up about how they're fallible, just like anyone else, and they're regular people just like anyone else. So I don't think that at this point he has said anything -- in fact, he said specifically that he would hold us to our burden of proof.

With regard to the -- the claim about hearing -- when he heard the officer was injured in this case, not getting past it, I -- I think, and I don't have a specific note, but I think that the record was that he would be troubled by that, as any reasonable person would be troubled by that. But I don't think it had an impact on whether he could be fair and impartial in this case. So with that, I'll submit it to the Court.

MS. MACHNICH: And -- and Your Honor, if we may, we believe that the exact language used was, he would be biased because of an officer being shot. Additionally, with regard to his original conversation with Mr. Giordani, when they're talking about law enforcement officers at the gym, very good acquaintances, he stated that he would like to be fair and impartial. I don't believe he ever say that he could unequivocally be fair and impartial. And then, obviously, there was extensive discussion with him after that about bias towards

law enforcement. Thank you.

THE COURT: Any additional challenges, counsel?

MS. MACHNICH: Yes, Your Honor. With regard to Ms. Dimaya, Seat 4, Badge 4, this is the woman who disclosed that she was a victim of home invasion where the perpetrators had an assault rifle and held that assault rifle to her head, and this was within the last five years, and that she testified as a witness in that case.

Not only -- that -- that alone, I believe, would raise to the level of cause. However, she went further. She tried to the best of her ability to be fair and impartial. However, she could not unequivocally say that she could be fair and impartial. And she was, basically, Your Honor, from our observation on the verge of tears when discussing that.

This is a case of an attempt burg and possession of a firearm with an assault rifle where we will be hearing from homeowners who she would likely say was in the exact same position that she was in. She could not unequivocally say that she could hold the State to their burden because of that, because of her history and because of the crime that was perpetrated against her.

Also, she -- as a witness, she -- she was speaking about the fact that she came and testified and, well, they're here for a reason. I came and testified because they pled not guilty and I know they did it. That is all extreme bias in favor of the State and against the defendants in this case, given the subject matter. And I believe she also said that she would be better suited to a different case.

THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.

 MS. BEVERLY: Judge, I think this idea of saying someone would be -- asking people if they would be better suited for another jury does not eliminate the fact that she said over and over and over again, not only can I be fair, but I can separate what happened to me to what happened in my situation.

We expect jurors to be from different walks of life. Simply because she had a -- a situation that we really don't know is similar, we don't know too much about the details of it, but I think that defense counsel is making a lot of assumptions about, you know, her saying the homeowners are going to feel the exact same way I felt; we don't know that. Because all she said over and over again was, I can separate my situation from this particular facts of this case, and I can be fair. So I certainly don't think anything that she said gives rise to the level of for cause.

THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.

MS. MACHNICH: Okay. We do have additional jurors, if I can go forward. We have Mr. Wood -- Ms. Woods who is sitting in Seat 12, Juror No. 20. She stated, and -- and I know what -- we were going back and forth for much of the voir dire on whether she would be biased towards the State with regard to her son-in-law being assistant DA and being proud of the job and all of that.

But when -- when it came down to it near the end talking about the weight placed on officer testimony, she specifically stated that an officer would -- she would assume an officer is telling the truth unless proven otherwise. That is burden shifting. That is inappropriate

standard in a criminal case and it shows extreme bias towards and in favor of the State of Nevada, especially given the amount of law enforcement witnesses. This is a very law enforcement heavy case. So it certainly would be very, very relevant. And so because of that, we don't believe that she could be fair and impartial and that it raises to the level of cause. Thank you.

THE COURT: Any additional -- or I'm sorry, counsel for the State?

MS. BEVERLY: Thank you, Your Honor. I think she was saying similar things as to Mr. Grinsted was saying, is that we expect law enforcement who have training and who do this for a living, we expect them to hold them to a certain standard. I don't think she said anything regarding whether she could not -- whether she could not be fair.

In fact, she said over and over again, I can be fair regardless of the fact that my son-in-law was a DA. I can separate that, I'm proud of him, because -- in fact, she actually said, I'm proud of him because he gave people a second chance while he was a DA. Though more importantly, I think it was Ms. Sisolak who said, So you can't -- so you're biased. That's not what she specifically said. She said, I can be fair, I can separate that, but I do expect -- I would expect law enforcement, who has training, to be doing their job. So I don't think that gives rise to a cause -- a for-cause challenge.

MS. MACHNICH: And I just have one additional potential juror. Your Honor, we would move to strike for cause Mr. Pope,

Juror No. 31 sitting in Seat 18. He -- we would move to strike him for cause because he stated that he cannot be okay with the defense not proving their innocence. He was very clear at that at the end. He was asked extremely direct questions by Ms. Sisolak specifically on topic. And he said that he could not do that, he could be fair and impartial. This is not a good jury for him, based on the fact that those are criminal -- basis of our criminal law in our American judicial system.

So we do not believe that he could be fair and impartial to the State. He can't say unequivocally that he would be so. And thus, we would move to strike him for cause at this time.

THE COURT: State?

MS. BEVERLY: I actually got the opposite view of him. In fact, he said multiple times, I want irrefutable evidence from the State, not -- not from the defense. He wants the State to prove stuff, you know, over and over and over again. In fact, he said he would be skeptical of things like that, of this -- evidence the State is putting forward. So if anything, he's biased against the State, not the defense. He said over and over again that he can base his verdict on the evidence that's presented. He can be fair and impartial. I mean, with that, I don't think that he -- that is a for cause challenge.

THE COURT: Thank you. Counsel?

MR. PLUMMER: No.

MS. MACHNICH: I will pass to Mr. Plummer.

THE COURT: All right. Does -- does that conclude your challenges for cause?

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

9

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

MS. MACHNICH: Yes. Although, we will join in Mr. Plummer's coming motion.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Plummer.

MR. PLUMMER: Your Honor, I would have made the same arguments on the witnesses that --

THE COURT: You're -- you're joining in --

MR. PLUMMER: On -- on their challenges for cause. In -- in addition, asking for Juror No. 13, Mr. Bragdon. Mr. Bragdon is the one whose father is Metro, his brother is Metro, and his other brother is also police, who when questioned about if he would be able to -- if he would be biased if he knew the case involved an -- a police officer being shot, he indicated absolutely, he would be. He also was open in stating that he, you know, went home and he talked to his family. And, you know, he has heard all about different scenarios that they've been in. It would make him angry.

I mean, this is a law enforcement family with, basically, a son and brother. Here, we anticipate, the evidence that's going to come in is a police officer is going to come in and say he got shot with an assault weapon. And that's what the evidence is going to show. And he's not going to be fair and impartial in sitting on this panel.

THE COURT: Thank you. State?

MS. BEVERLY: Again, Judge, simply because you have family members that work for Metro I don't think makes it a for cause challenge. He, in fact, said over and over he will listen to the evidence. Pope, Badge Number, 01; and Adam Walter Bragdon, Badge No. 013. I'm sorry, Anthony Michael Pope is Badge No. 031.

MS. BEVERLY: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Those jurors will be excused for cause. I'm going to have my -- I'm going to put them in order according to badge number and excuse them. Bring them back in, in about two or three minutes.

MS. MACHNICH: Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. BEVERLY: Thank you. And Judge, just a question on this -- for the seats that will be excused, are we just filling in now from the audience?

THE COURT: What will happen is we'll take, based on the lowest sequential number remaining, will be put into each individual seat. Then I will ask the individual questions of each of those potential new jurors, give counsel the opportunity to voir dire those new jurors. And then make challenges for cause as to the new potential jurors that will be seated.

MS. BEVERLY: Thank you.

MR. GIORDANI: Understood.

[Pause in proceedings.]

MS. SISOLAK: Your Honor, if I may just clarify quickly? Does that mean Ricky Bullard would go into Seat No. 1?

THE CLERK: Yes.

MS. SISOLAK: Okay. So we can just number them from there? Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Counsel, I just want to verify, so the jurors I'm excusing for cause are Badge No. 001, Joe Arellano; 003, Joshua Grinsted; 004, Michelle Dimaya; 006, Matthew Stoldal; 007, Rosalyn Wilson [sic]; 013, Adam Bragdon; 028, Leticia Rojas; and 031, Anthony Pope.

MS. BEVERLY: That's what the State has, Your Honor.

MS. MACHNICH: That's what the defense shows, as well.

MR. PLUMMER: That is correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Bring the panel in, please.

[Prospective jury panel reconvened at 3:30 p.m.]

THE COURT: You may be seated. Let the record reflect the presence of counsel for the State, counsel for the defendants, and the defendants, as well as the panel of potential jurors.

The following persons may be excused from court and leave with our thanks for appearing today. And if I mispronounce your name, I apologize.

Badge 001, Joe Arellano; Badge No. 003, Joshua Grinsted; Badge No. 004, Michelle Dimaya; Badge No. 006, Matthew Stoldal; Badge No. 007, Rosalyn Willis; Badge No. 013, Adam Bragdon; Badge No. 028, Leticia Rojas; and Badge No. 031, Anthony Pope.

THE CLERK: Badge No. 042, Ricky Bullard, Seat No. 1;
Badge No. 044, Michael Lamoureux, Seat No. 3; Badge No. 045, Beatriz
Cruz, Seat No. 4; Badge No. 049, Denise Landry, Seat No. 5; Badge
Number 057, Rosa Reyes, Seat No. 6; Badge No. 058, Jenna
Chantiloupe, Seat No. 10; Badge No. 059, James Roy, Seat No. 17;

1	Badge No. 061, Richard Goennier, Seat No. 18.
2	THE COURT: As the new potential jurors who have just been
3	seated, I am now going to ask you the same questions I asked the
4	original 24. I'm just inserting your names into the seating chart so I can
5	identify you.
6	Sir, since you have the microphone, could you state your
7	name and your badge number?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes. Ricky Bullard, and
9	my badge number is 42.
10	THE COURT: Thank you. How long have you lived in Clark
11	County, Nevada?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Currently five years,
13	but 10 years before as well, so 15 total.
14	THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Bachelors of engineering
16	degree.
17	THE COURT: What is your occupation?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Retired electronic
19	engineer.
20	THE COURT: What is your marital status?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Married.
22	THE COURT: What is the occupation of your spouse?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Customer service
24	manager, retired.
25	THE COURT: And where did your spouse work?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Walmart.
2	THE COURT: Do you have any children?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes.
4	THE COURT: How old are they?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Youngest, 24, the
6	oldest, 42.
7	THE COURT: How many children do you have?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Three.
9	THE COURT: Starting with your oldest child, can you
10	working to your youngest child, can you tell me their occupation, please?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes. My older is a
12	business major. She's working for Clark County airport, McCarren
13	Airport at this moment. My middle daughter is a registered nurse in
14	California. And my youngest is my son, and he's a firefighter in
15	Henderson.
16	THE COURT: Thank you. Have you ever served on as a
17	juror before?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes, I have.
19	THE COURT: Was it a civil case or a criminal case?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: I've sat on both.
21	THE COURT: Okay. And how many?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: One of each.
23	THE COURT: As to the civil case, where was that where
24	was the trial held?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: That was in Riverside,

1	California.
2	THE COURT: And when was it, sir?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: It's been about five years
4	ago, but it was settled before it went to trial. We basically went through
5	jury selection.
6	THE COURT: Okay. All right. That's just listen to my
7	question
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Sure.
9	THE COURT: if you would, sir. Thank you. And where was
10	the criminal trial held?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Los Angeles.
12	THE COURT: And when when was that? What date?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: That's more than 20 years
14	ago.
15	THE COURT: Okay. As to the criminal trial, without telling me
16	the verdict, did the jury reach a verdict?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes, we did.
18	THE COURT: Were you the foreperson?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: No, I was not.
20	THE COURT: Is there anything about that experience that
21	would affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: No.
23	THE COURT: Have you ever testified as a witness in a
24	criminal case?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: No.

1	THE COURT: Have you ever have you or a close family
2	member ever been convicted of a crime?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: No.
4	THE COURT: Have you or a close family member ever been
5	the victim of a crime?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes.
7	THE COURT: And could you explain?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: I've had a vehicle stolen
9	from me before. That was, basically, the only thing that I recall.
10	THE COURT: And where where was that where did that
11	take place?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: That was in Los Angeles
13	County.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Did you was it investigated by law
15	enforcement?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes, it was.
17	THE COURT: Were you satisfied with law enforcement's
18	investigation?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes. The car was
20	recovered.
21	THE COURT: Was anybody arrested?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: I do not know.
23	THE COURT: Do you have any is that is that it, as far as
24	being the victim of a crime?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes.

11

21

1	socially, the I'm speaking about the law enforcement officers, do you
2	discuss their job at all?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: No.
4	THE COURT: Does your knowledge or your interaction with
5	the law enforcement officers affect your ability to be fair and impartial in
6	this case in any way?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: No.
8	THE COURT: Do you agree that if you are chosen to serve as
9	a juror in this case, that you would honor your duty to be completely fair
10	and impartial and to listen carefully to all the evidence before you made
11	your decision?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Could you pass the microphone down to
14	If you can state your name and your badge number, please?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Michael Lamoureux,
16	Badge No. 44.
17	THE COURT: How long have you lived in Clark County,
18	Nevada?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Since 1973.
20	THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Associate's degree.
22	THE COURT: What is your occupation?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: I'm a manager with the IT
24	Bureau of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.
25	THE COURT: What is your marital status?

1	before?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: I have. Two times, Your
3	Honor.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Was it a criminal case or a civil case or
5	both?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: They were both criminal
7	cases.
8	THE COURT: And as to the first one, how long ago was that
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: To the best of my
10	knowledge, it was more than 25 years ago. I was young.
11	THE COURT: And where did it, the first one, occur?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Clark County. It was it
13	was in Clark County.
14	THE COURT: Were you employed by Metro at that time?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yes, I was.
16	THE COURT: And what about the second criminal case,
17	when was that?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Approximately I was
19	trying to do the math in my head. Approximately 13 to 14 years ago.
20	THE COURT: And was that here in Clark County, Nevada?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: It was.
22	THE COURT: And in either of those cases, without telling me
23	the verdict, did the jury reach a verdict?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yes.
25	THE COURT: In either of those cases, were you the

foreperson?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: I was on the second trial, the most recent trial.

THE COURT: Is there anything about that experiences where you have -- were a juror in two prior criminal cases, is there anything about that experience that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: No.

THE COURT: Have you ever testified as a witness in a criminal case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: I have.

THE COURT: And was that as part of your duties as a Las Vegas Metropolitan police officer?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: No, it was not. I was witness to a criminal -- several criminal offenses related to DUI. I was -- it was on my off-duty time, unrelated to work whatsoever. But I subpoenaed as a witness.

THE COURT: Okay. And when was that; do you recall?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Approximately 12
to 13 years ago.

THE COURT: Have you or a close family member ever been convicted of a crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: No.

THE COURT: Have you or a close family member ever been the victim of a crime?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yes.
2	THE COURT: And who was that, sir?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: My wife and I throughout
4	the years. It was mostly auto burglaries, stuff stolen from the vehicles.
5	We found we discovered our vehicles broken into, things were taken
6	from our vehicles.
7	THE COURT: It sounds like multiple occasions?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Can you estimate or do you know how many
10	occasions?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: One was more than 25
12	years ago. And then the most recent one was about 15 years ago.
13	THE COURT: And was that here in Clark County, Nevada?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yes, sir.
15	THE COURT: Did law enforcement investigate those
16	incidences?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: In both cases they
18	reports were taken. Any evidence that was could be gathered from
19	the scene was. It didn't lead to anything. There was no no
20	investigation, no arrest made.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Do you fault law enforcement for how
22	they handled the cases?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Not at all.
24	THE COURT: This one is going to be do you have any
25	close relatives or friends who have ever been engaged in law

enforcement?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. The emphasis being close relatives or friends. So do you have -- obviously, you have friends on the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department through your employment. But are you -- are there -- how many would you say are close friends?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Where do you -- where you draw the line?

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: It's hard to draw -- I -- I can tell you relatives. We could start with relatives.

THE COURT: All right. Let's start with relatives.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: My father-in-law is retired police captain with Las Vegas Metro Police. My wife is a former forensic science. She retired five years ago. My stepdaughter is -- was a former dispatcher. My son-in-law, her husband, is currently a detective in our Property Crimes Section. And I have a cousin that was on the Gaming Control Board and Enforcement.

THE COURT: Okay. And I assume just through -- I call them employment friends, people that you work with, you're friends with them through your employment, would that be a fair statement with the people you work with at the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Certainly, I have hundreds of those types of friends that are work acquaintances, I would say.

THE COURT: And then my next step is of your people that

1	you work with at Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, are any of
2	those close friends?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: A few, yes.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about you working at
5	the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department or having close relatives
6	or friends who are law enforcement going to affect your ability to be fair
7	and impartial in this case?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: No.
9	THE COURT: Do you agree that if you're chosen to serve as
10	a juror in this case, that you will honor your duty to be completely fair
11	and impartial and to listen carefully to all of the evidence before you
12	make a decision?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yes.
14	THE COURT: Thank you. If you could pass the microphone,
15	please?
16	If you could state your name and your badge number?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Beatriz Cruz, No. 45.
18	THE COURT: How long have you lived in Clark County,
19	Nevada?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: I think it's my fifth year.
21	THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Master's degree.
23	THE COURT: And where was that from?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: UNLV.
25	THE COURT: What is your occupation?
	141

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Fourth-grade teacher.
2	THE COURT: What is your marital status?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Single.
4	THE COURT: Do you have any children?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: No.
6	THE COURT: Were you ever married?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: No.
8	THE COURT: Have you served as a juror before?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: No.
10	THE COURT: Have you ever testified as a witness in a
11	criminal case?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: No.
13	THE COURT: Have you or close family member ever been
14	convicted of a crime?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: No.
16	THE COURT: Have you or close family member ever been
17	the victim of a crime?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Yes.
19	THE COURT: And who was that, ma'am?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Myself and my mother,
21	home invasion.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Were you together at the time?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Yes. I was 11 years old.
24	THE COURT: And when you say a home invasion, does that
25	mean you were home when the crime occurred?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Yeah.
2	THE COURT: Okay. And they broke into and the
3	perpetrators broke into your home?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Was anybody harmed?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: No.
7	THE COURT: Did law enforcement investigate the crime?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Yes.
9	THE COURT: What was the outcome; was anybody
10	arrested?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Yes.
12	THE COURT: Do you know what do you know what was
13	after the arrest, do you know what happened?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: I just know they went for -
15	in jail for a couple years and it was due to mental illness.
16	THE COURT: Okay. So there was a conviction?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Uh-huh.
18	THE COURT: I apologize, I have a court reporter
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Oh, okay. Sorry.
20	THE COURT: so you have to answer verbally.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: I could use my teacher
22	voice. I'm just kidding.
23	THE COURT: Are you were you satisfied with how law
24	enforcement handled your case?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Yes.

1	THE COURT: Is there any trauma involved with that, the fact
2	that you were home when the crime was committed?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: I was 11 years old, so it
4	was very traumatic. So it was I mean, it was, like, 10 years ago, so it's
5	pretty yeah.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Do you believe that experience would
7	affect your make it difficult for you to be fair and impartial to both sides
8	in this case?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: No.
10	THE COURT: Do you have any close relatives or friends who
11	have ever been engaged in law enforcement?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: No.
13	THE COURT: Do you agree that if you were chosen to serve
14	as a juror in this case, that you will honor your duty to be completely fair
15	and impartial and to listen carefully to all of the evidence?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Thank you. If you could pass the microphone,
18	please?
19	Hi, if you could state your name and badge number.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Yes, sir. Denise Landry,
21	Badge No. 49.
22	THE COURT: How long have you lived in Clark County,
23	Nevada?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Since 2006.
25	THE COURT: How far did you go in school?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Associate's degree.
2	THE COURT: And where was that from?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Southeast Missouri State
4	University.
5	THE COURT: What is your occupation?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Registered nurse.
7	THE COURT: What is your marital status?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Divorced.
9	THE COURT: What was the occupation of your ex-spouse?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: He was an entomologist
11	for the federal government.
12	THE COURT: And are you currently you said you're a
13	registered nurse. Are you currently employed at a specific hospital or
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: At a surgery center. Yes, I
15	am currently full-time employed.
16	THE COURT: And what is the name of the surgery is it just
17	the surgery center?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: It's Southwest Medical
19	Associates Surgery Center.
20	THE COURT: Thank you. Do you have any children?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Yes, I do. I have one son.
22	He's a firefighter down in Louisiana.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever served as a juror before?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: I guess you could say yes.
25	May I clarify?

1	THE COURT: Well
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Okay.
3	THE COURT: First, let me ask you: Was it a criminal or civil
4	matter?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Criminal.
6	THE COURT: And where was it at?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Louisiana.
8	THE COURT: And without telling me the verdict, did the jury
9	reach a verdict?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: It didn't go to trial.
11	THE COURT: Okay. So it was resolved prior to trial?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Yes.
13	THE COURT: So you were selected as a juror, but it resolved
14	and you never had to make a decision?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Correct.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about that experience
17	that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: No, sir.
19	THE COURT: Have you ever testified as a witness in a
20	criminal case?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: No, sir.
22	THE COURT: Have you ever have you or close family
23	member ever been convicted of a crime?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: No, sir.
25	THE COURT: Have you have you or a close family membe

1	ever been the victim of a crime?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: No, sir.
3	THE COURT: Do you have any close relatives or friends who
4	have ever been engaged in law enforcement?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: No, sir.
6	THE COURT: Do you agree that if you are chosen to serve as
7	a juror in this case, that you will honor your duty to be completely fair
8	and impartial and to listen carefully to all the evidence before you make
9	your decision?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Yes, sir.
11	THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.
12	I believe it's Seat No. 10.
13	Hi, ma'am.
14	MS. BEVERLY: Judge, we skipped somebody.
15	THE COURT: Who did we forget?
16	MS. BEVERLY: Ms. Reyes.
17	THE COURT: I'm sorry, I apologize. It's Seat No. 6.
18	Ma'am, if you could state your name and your badge number.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Rosa Reyes, 57.
20	THE COURT: How long have you lived in Clark County,
21	Nevada?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Since 1965.
23	THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Some college.
25	THE COURT: What is your occupation?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: I'm a legal office assistant.
2	THE COURT: And who do you work for?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Clark County Clerk's
4	Office.
5	THE COURT: Do you work in the Regional Justice Center?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes, I do.
7	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes, I do. Well, actually,
9	it's the Willick Building, the Clark Building.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: In the records department.
12	THE COURT: What is your marital status?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Married.
14	THE COURT: What is the occupation of your spouse?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Retired.
16	THE COURT: And before your spouse retired, what was the
17	occupation?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: He was doing construction.
19	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Construction.
21	THE COURT: Do you have any children?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Five.
23	THE COURT: How old are they?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: 39, 37, 34, 27, and 23.
25	THE COURT: Beginning with your oldest child

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Sorry.
2	THE COURT: tell me their occupation.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: My oldest is a
4	maintenance/sound technician. My other son is personal assistant to
5	Elton John. And my third is works here for the clerk's office. He's IT.
6	And my fourth child, female, she's a hairdresser. And my last one is a
7	food server.
8	THE COURT: Thank you. Have you ever served as a juror
9	before?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes, I have.
11	THE COURT: Was it a criminal case or a civil case?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Criminal.
13	THE COURT: And where was it at?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Here.
15	THE COURT: And when was it?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: About five years ago.
17	THE COURT: Okay. And when you say here, you mean
18	Clark County, Nevada?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Clark County. Yes, sir.
20	THE COURT: Thank you. Without telling me the verdict, did
21	the jury reach a verdict?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes, sir.
23	THE COURT: Were you the foreperson?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No, I was not.
25	THE COURT: Is there anything about that experience that

1	would affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No.
3	THE COURT: Have you ever testified as a witness in a
4	criminal case?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No.
6	THE COURT: Have you ever have you or close family
7	member ever been convicted of a crime?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No.
9	THE COURT: Have you or close family member ever been
10	the victim of a crime?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Myself.
12	THE COURT: And when was that, ma'am?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: About five years ago,
14	approximately five years ago.
15	THE COURT: And what happened?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Identity theft.
17	THE COURT: Was it reported to law enforcement?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes, it was.
19	THE COURT: What law enforcement agency?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Metro.
21	THE COURT: And did they investigate the matter?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: They did.
23	THE COURT: Was anybody arrested?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: They didn't say.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Were you satisfied with their

1	investigation?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: I was.
3	THE COURT: Is there anything about that experience that
4	make it would make it difficult for you to be fair and impartial to both
5	sides in this case?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No, sir.
7	THE COURT: Do you have any close relatives or friends
8	when ever been engaged in law enforcement?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Well, recently, my
10	daughter met a male friend that works in Metro, back in December. I
11	just met him two weeks two or three weeks ago. So I don't know
12	what how to answer that.
13	THE COURT: Do you speak with the person?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Just that one time that I
15	met him.
16	THE COURT: Also, you said that you're located in the Clark
17	place; is that correct?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Are you friendly with anybody from the Clark
20	County District Attorney's Office?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No.
22	THE COURT: Do you agree that does your knowledge
23	well, the one conversation that you had, is that going to affect your ability
24	to be fair and impartial in this case?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No, sir.

1	THE COURT: All right. Do you agree that if you are chosen
2	to serve as a juror in this case, that you will honor your duty to be
3	completely fair and impartial and to listen carefully to all of the
4	evidence
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes, sir.
6	THE COURT: before you make a decision?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes, sir.
8	THE COURT: Thank you.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Jenna Chantiloupe,
10	Badge No. 58.
11	THE COURT: How long have you lived in Clark County,
12	Nevada?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Since 2003.
14	THE COURT: How far did you go no school?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Some college.
16	THE COURT: What is your occupation?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I'm an outside sales rep.
18	THE COURT: And for which company?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: First American Home
20	Warranty.
21	THE COURT: What's your marital status?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Married.
23	THE COURT: What is the occupation of your spouse?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: He's in quality assurance.
25	THE COURT: And who does he work for?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: It's an international gaming
2	company.
3	THE COURT: Do you have any children?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: No.
5	THE COURT: Have you ever served as a juror before?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes.
7	THE COURT: And was it a criminal case or a civil case?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Both.
9	THE COURT: Is it one of each?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: One of each.
11	THE COURT: Okay. As to the civil case, where did that
12	occur?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Van Nuys, California.
14	THE COURT: And when did that occur?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: 1990.
16	THE COURT: As to the criminal case, where did that occur?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Clark County, here.
18	THE COURT: And when?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: 2009.
20	THE COURT: In either of those cases, without telling me the
21	verdict, did the jury reach a verdict?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes.
23	THE COURT: In either or both or just one?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Both.
25	THE COURT: So in both cases, the jury did reach a verdict?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes.
2	THE COURT: In either of those cases were you the
3	foreperson?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: In the in the latter one.
5	In the criminal case.
6	THE COURT: In the criminal case, you were the foreperson?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Is there anything about that experience that
9	would affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: No.
11	THE COURT: Have you ever testified as a witness in a
12	criminal case?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: No.
14	THE COURT: Have you or close family member ever been
15	convicted of a crime?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: No.
17	THE COURT: Have you or close family member ever been
18	the victim of a crime?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes.
20	THE COURT: And who was that, ma'am?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Me. I've had my car stoler
22	and my credit cards stolen. And my license plate.
23	THE COURT: And was it all one incident?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: No.
25	THE COURT: Two separate incidents?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes. Four.
2	THE COURT: You've had your
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Four separate instances.
4	THE COURT: Okay. How many times have you had your car
5	stolen?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: It was broken into twice in
7	California, and once in the '80s, once in the '90s. And then my credit
8	cards were stolen in '92 in New York City. And then my license plate
9	was stolen when I first moved here in 2003.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Were any of those incidents
11	incidences investigated by law enforcement?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: No.
13	THE COURT: Did you report them?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Just the license plate.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I'm sorry, I did try to report
17	the credit cards, but they said it wasn't a big enough amount for them to
18	investigate.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Did that upset you? Were you upset
20	with law enforcement?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I think so at the time, yeah
22	THE COURT: How about now?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: No.
24	THE COURT: Okay. So is there anything about any of those
25	experiences that you kind of blame law enforcement, thought they could

1	have could have done a better job in retrieving your property?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: No. It just they were
3	just too minor.
4	THE COURT: Okay. So do you believe that any of those
5	experiences would make it difficult for you to be fair and impartial to both
6	sides in this case?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: No.
8	THE COURT: Do you have any close relatives or friends who
9	have ever been engaged in law enforcement?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: No.
11	THE COURT: Do you agree that if you are chosen to serve as
12	a juror in this case, that you will honor your duty to be completely fair
13	and impartial and to listen carefully to all of the evidence?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes.
15	THE COURT: Thank you. Okay. Pass the microphone.
16	Sir, if you could state your name and badge number.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: James Roy, Badge 59.
18	THE COURT: And you've been provided with headsets
19	because you had indicated previously that you had a hearing
20	impairment; is that correct?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: That is correct.
22	THE COURT: Have those headsets assisted you in hearing
23	everything I'm stating?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: 95 percent better. They're
25	very uncomfortable though.

1	THE COURT: Okay. But the question is: Can you hear
2	everything I've stated so far in these proceedings?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Okay. If you cannot hear something, I need to
5	know.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I'll raise my hand.
7	THE COURT: I appreciate that. Thank you, sir.
8	How long have you lived in Clark County, Nevada?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: 36 years.
10	THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: GED.
12	THE COURT: What is Your occupation?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Substation electrician.
14	THE COURT: What is your marital status?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Single.
16	THE COURT: What is the occupation of I'm sorry, you're
17	single. Were you ever married?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Yes.
19	THE COURT: What is the occupation of your ex-spouse?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: She was a hairdresser.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any children?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: One son.
23	THE COURT: How old is your son?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: 28.
25	THE COURT: What does he do for a living?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Low-voltage electrician.
2	THE COURT: Have you ever served as a juror before?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: No.
4	THE COURT: Have you ever testified as a witness in a
5	criminal case?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: No.
7	THE COURT: Have you or close family member ever been
8	convicted of a crime?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Yes.
10	THE COURT: And could you explain, please?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: I had a DUI 41 years ago.
12	I had a misdemeanor for an unregistered firearm. And my one of my
13	older sisters and her boyfriend were victims of a home invasion, robbery
14	and rape.
15	THE COURT: Okay. As to your relatives, can you say that
16	again, please?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: One of my older sisters
18	and her boyfriend was a victim of a home invasion, robbery, and rape.
19	THE COURT: Okay. And I'm going that's my next question
20	This was just has anybody been convicted of a crime.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: I'm sorry.
22	THE COURT: No. No. That's that's fine. They kind of run
23	together. So so as to your issues, you said 41 years ago you got a
24	DUI?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Correct.

1	THE COURT: Was it a misdemeanor DUI?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Okay. And then you said you had another
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: I had
5	THE COURT: a misdemeanor? What was that, sir?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: An unregistered firearm.
7	THE COURT: Was that here in Clark County, Nevada?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Were those were those two cases
10	investigated by law enforcement?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: The firearm was did I
12	did go to court and I was required to get the blue card at the time
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: for that. And that was
15	resolved.
16	THE COURT: And did you did it actually result in a
17	conviction?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: For not having the card,
19	yes. Not being registered.
20	THE COURT: All right. And was it Clark County or
21	municipality that you had to go to court?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: I went to court in
23	Henderson, Nevada.
24	THE COURT: So it was probably either Henderson Municipa
25	Court or Justice Court?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: I believe it was Justice
2	Court.
3	THE COURT: Okay. If it was Justice Court, that was handled
4	by the Clark County District Attorney's Office. Do you remember the
5	agent the the agency that prosecuted the case?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: I'm pretty sure it was the
7	City of Henderson. I want to say a Judge Potter.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Then it probably was the City of
9	Henderson.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Okay.
11	THE COURT: So that would have been their city attorneys.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Okay.
13	THE COURT: And it probably was investigated by the
14	Henderson Police Department?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Correct.
16	THE COURT: And the one 41 years ago, where was that at?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Lancaster, California.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about those
19	experiences that would make it difficult for you to be fair and impartial to
20	both sides in this case?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: No, sir.
22	THE COURT: Now, as to you said that you had a that
23	you or a close family member, but was your close family member, had
24	been the victim of a crime?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: That is correct.

1	THE COURT: And they were the victims of a home invasion?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: A robbery and a rape.
3	THE COURT: Was it a home invasion or
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Yes.
5	THE COURT: what what were it was a home invasion?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Yes.
7	THE COURT: And where did that occur?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: That occurred in Long
9	Beach, California.
10	THE COURT: When when was that, sir?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Mid '70s.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Do you know if law enforcement
13	investigated that crime?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: They did investigate.
15	THE COURT: Was anybody arrested?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: No, sir.
17	THE COURT: Did that leave a bad taste in your mouth with
18	law enforcement?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: No, sir.
20	THE COURT: Okay. You don't blame them for not being able
21	to find the perpetrator and arrest them?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: I have to believe they did
23	their best job.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Do you believe that experience would
25	make it difficult for you to be fair and impartial to both sides in this case?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: No.
2	THE COURT: Do you have any close relatives or friends who
3	ever been engaged in law enforcement?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: I have a cousin in
5	Louisiana who is retired detective, I believe probably Shreveport area,
6	Baton Rouge.
7	THE COURT: And do you speak to that person at all?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: No.
9	THE COURT: In the past, had you ever did you speak to
10	him at one point?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: I mean, living so far away,
12	rarely.
13	THE COURT: Did you ever talk about his job
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: No.
15	THE COURT: is what I'm getting to. All right. Is there
16	anything about that, that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial
17	in this case?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: No, sir.
19	THE COURT: Do you agree that if you are chosen to serve as
20	a juror in this case, that you will honor your duty to be completely fair
21	and impartial and to listen carefully to all of the evidence?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Yes, I will.
23	THE COURT: If you could pass the microphone, please?
24	Sir, state your name and badge number.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Richard Goennier,
	162

1	Badge 61.
2	THE COURT: And sir, I see that you're wearing the
3	headsets?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: That's correct.
5	THE COURT: You had indicated earlier that you were hearing
6	impaired, correct?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: That's correct.
8	THE COURT: And you indicated to me that you do have
9	hearing aids?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: They're on now.
11	THE COURT: Okay. I noticed that you have taken the
12	headsets on and off during these proceedings.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Very uncomfortable.
14	THE COURT: I understand. But I I notice that you've taken
15	them on and off during these proceedings; is that correct?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: That's correct.
17	THE COURT: And the matter of fact, when you first sat down
18	and were sitting next to Mr. Roy, your headsets were off. And when I
19	asked him the question, you shook your head no, that you couldn't hear
20	everything I'm saying.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: That's correct.
22	THE COURT: Okay. You understand that if you are chosen
23	as a juror, it's imperative that you hear and understand everything that is
24	stated in this courtroom; do you understand that, sir?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: I do.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Which would
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: But I may not remember.
3	I'm 75 and my memory is not that good.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have memory issues, sir,
5	because of your age?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Short memory type issues,
7	yeah.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: The last time I was at the
10	doctor's office a couple of weeks ago, they would ask me questions is
11	through three words. Two minutes later, what were those three words.
12	I couldn't tell them.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Hold on a second.
14	Counsel approach.
15	[Bench conference transcribed as follows:]
16	THE COURT: Okay. So I'm just going to excuse him.
17	MS. BEVERLY: Yeah.
18	MS. MACHNICH: Your Honor, we believe that there's a rule
19	that allows either is it over 70
20	THE COURT: I thought it was 70, but
21	MS. MACHNICH: or over 70 I thought it was over 70
22	voluntarily if they don't want to be here.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Well, if if there's no opposition, I'm
24	just going to excuse him.
25	MS. MACHNICH: Yeah.

1	MS. BEVERLY: Okay.
2	THE COURT: Is that going to there's no opposition?
3	MR. PLUMMER: No.
4	MS. MACHNICH: No opposition.
5	MR. PLUMMER: No opposition.
6	THE COURT: Thank you.
7	[End of bench conference.]
8	THE COURT: Mr. Goennier, I'm going to excuse you. You
9	I'd like to thank you for coming down, but at this time I'm going to excuse
10	you. Thank you.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Thank you, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Thank you.
13	THE CLERK: Badge No. 062, Kenneth Yamamoto,
14	Seat No. 18.
15	MS. MACHNICH: Your Honor, may we approach just briefly
16	on
17	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
18	MS. MACHNICH: unrelated on another matter? Can
19	we
20	THE COURT: Sure.
21	MS. MACHNICH: very briefly. I'm so sorry.
22	THE COURT: That's all right.
23	[Bench conference transcribed as follows:]
24	MS. MACHNIC: Your Honor, I'm just trying to move this
25	along. Might it be possible to bring him up to the bench and find out

1	about his media exposure before we spend time on his general
2	questions? He's the potential venire member who said that he had read
3	and heard about this case in the media. We had requested to do that
4	outside the presence. We're fine with doing it at the bench now.
5	THE COURT: Why don't we do it at the bench.
6	MS. MACHNICH: Let's do that, if that's okay with you.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Let me put it on the record first
8	MS. MACHNIC: Of course.
9	THE COURT: and then I'll do it at the bench.
10	[End of bench conference.]
11	THE COURT: Counsel?
12	[Bench conference transcribed as follows:]
13	THE COURT: Do you want me to ask him the standardized
14	questions first
15	MR. PLUMMER: No.
16	MS. MACHNICH: Just call him up first, if that's okay.
17	THE COURT: or do the media issue first?
18	MR. PLUMMER: Media first.
19	MR. GIORDANI: Because we night need to kick him.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	[End of bench conference.]
22	THE COURT: Sir, if if you could state your name and badge
23	number?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Kenneth Yamamoto, 62.
25	THE COURT: Sir, if you could approach the bench. Leave
	166

1	the microphone right there, and just approach the bench, please.
2	[Bench conference transcribed as follows:]
3	THE COURT: And if you could there's a microphone right in
4	front of you. If you could speak low. They want to ask you some
5	question of you had indicated in your general questioning that you had
6	heard something about this case?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: I have.
8	THE COURT: What what had you heard?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: I when this case first
10	came up, I followed it. I'm ex-law enforcement, retired. And I analyzed
11	it.
12	THE COURT: You analyzed it?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: I I analyze anything I
14	read when it comes to law enforcement, so.
15	MR. GIORDANI: Could I ask
16	THE COURT: Okay. You you analyzed it, it is with law
17	enforcement at the time. You were assigned to this case?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: No, no, no. I'm I'm
19	retired law enforcement. Any case
20	THE COURT: Oh, I see.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: that involves law
22	enforcement, I read and then I analyze.
23	THE COURT: Okay. So you
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: I pick it apart.
25	THE COURT: What's counsel position? I'll let you ask some

1	questions.
2	MR. GIORDANI: I just want to ask him a couple questions.
3	THE COURT: Sure. Absolutely.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Sure.
5	MR. GIORDANI: Is number one, can you set aside anything
6	that you
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: No, I cannot.
8	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Done.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: There's no way.
10	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: No way.
12	THE COURT: All right.
13	[End of bench conference.]
14	THE COURT: At this time, I'm going to thank and excuse
15	Mr. Yamamoto.
16	THE CLERK: Badge No. 063, Grace Phillips, Seat No. 18.
17	THE COURT: If you could state your full name and badge
18	number, please?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Grace Phillips, 63.
20	THE COURT: Thank you. How long have you lived in Clark
21	County, Nevada?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: 10 years, sir.
23	THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Master's degree in
25	nursing.

1	THE COURT: And where did you get the your degree from?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: University of Pennsylvania.
3	THE COURT: What is your occupation?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: I am now retired, sir.
5	THE COURT: And before you retired, what was your
6	occupation?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Mostly in the
8	administration of nursing.
9	THE COURT: What is your marital status?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Married.
11	THE COURT: What is the occupation of your spouse?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: He's a retired captain of
13	the of the Air Force.
14	THE COURT: Do you have any children?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yes, sir.
16	THE COURT: How old are your children?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: 51 and 46.
18	THE COURT: As to your oldest child, is it a male or female,
19	son or daughter? As to your oldest child, is it a son or a daughter?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: A son.
21	THE COURT: What does your son do?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: He is a pilot of the U.S.
23	Army.
24	THE COURT: And as to your youngest child, is it a son or
25	daughter.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: It's a medical coder.
2	THE COURT: Medical
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Medical coder.
4	THE COURT: Okay. In in the military?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Sir?
6	THE COURT: In the military?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: No.
8	THE COURT: No. Have you ever served as a juror before?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yes.
10	THE COURT: Was it a criminal case or a civil case?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Criminal.
12	THE COURT: And where did it occur at?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: In California.
14	THE COURT: What city?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Bakersfield.
16	THE COURT: Do you recall what the date was? Just a year
17	is fine.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: I think it was in 1997.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Without telling me the verdict, did the
20	jury reach a verdict in that case?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Were you the foreperson? Were you the
23	foreperson of the jury?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: [No audible response.]
25	THE COURT: Is that a yes, ma'am?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Is that a yes
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yes.
4	THE COURT: ma'am?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yes, sir.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about that experience
7	that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: No.
9	THE COURT: Have you ever testified as a witness in a
10	criminal case?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: No.
12	THE COURT: Have you or close family member ever been
13	convicted of a crime?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: No.
15	THE COURT: Have you ever or close family member ever
16	been the victim of a crime?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: No.
18	THE COURT: Do you have any close relatives or friends who
19	ever been engaged in law enforcement?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yes.
21	THE COURT: And who is that, ma'am?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: My nephew is a retired
23	chief of police in Fresno. And two cousins, one of them is in the U.S.
24	Navy, retired. And two lieutenants in the U.S. Army.
25	THE COURT: Okay. And as to the relatives in the military,

1	are they in law enforcement in the military?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yes.
3	THE COURT: So even though they're in the military, they're
4	in in something akin to the military police?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Do you speak with those individuals
7	about their jobs ever?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Sometimes.
9	THE COURT: All right. Does your knowledge about those
10	persons' job jobs affect your ability to be fair or impartial in this in
11	this case?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Of course not, sir.
13	THE COURT: Do you agree that if you are chosen to serve as
14	a juror in this case, that you will honor your duty to be completely fair
15	and impartial and to listen carefully to all of the evidence?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: No, sir.
17	THE COURT: You won't agree to that? Okay. My question
18	is let me ask you a question. Is English your second language?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Do you understand what I am saying?
21	Are you having difficulty understanding any of my questions?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: I sometimes I could hardly
23	hear what you are saying, sir. So would you please speak louder?
24	THE COURT: Okay. Sometimes you can't hear what I'm
25	saying?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. So if that's the case, and this is to all the jurors, if you would raise your hand as to whether it's me or any of the witnesses, if you cannot hear, if you'll raise your hand and I'll speak louder. Okay?

So you've understood everything I've said, you just haven't heard everything; is that correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Let me ask you this question again. Do you agree that if you are chosen to serve as a juror in this case, that you will honor your duty to be completely fair and impartial and to listen carefully to all the evidence before you make a decision?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: I will --

THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: -- try sir. Yes.

THE COURT: Is that the last one?

Counsel for the State, would you like to voir dire any of the new potential jurors?

MR. GIORDANI: Very briefly.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. GIORDANI: I mean very briefly. So you all -- is there anyone in this new seven members that didn't hear the majority of the conversation that we've had over the course of the last day and a half? Everyone on the same page? Understand the gist of the questioning? Is there anyone on this -- with this new group of seven that

1	feels like they need to say something that chime in on anything that's
2	been discussed so far? I'm seeing no hands across the board.
3	Can you pass the microphone up, ma'am?
4	And that's Mr. Bullard, Badge No. 42.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Number 42.
6	MR. GIORDANI: Go ahead, sir.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes. The last 10 years I
8	worked for a Navy laboratory where we did small arms gun calibrations
9	for the Marine Corp.
10	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: So I'm fairly experienced in
12	all of the the things about those weapon systems that we field.
13	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: So I know that it came up
15	before.
16	MR. GIORDANI: Yes. And thank you for letting me know.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: I certainly have experience
18	there.
19	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. And everyone's we want jurors with
20	different walks of life and different types of experience. Is there anything
21	about that experience that causes you concern as sitting a jury
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: No.
23	MR. GIORDANI: in this case?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: No, it doesn't.
25	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Is there any question for any of the

174

other jurors, is there anything that anyone would like to chime in on? I'm seeing no hands across the board.

For those of you -- and there's a couple of you who referenced law enforcement ties or employment, is there any of you that believe that they can't be fair and impartial if law enforcement witnesses testify in this case? So everyone can be fair and impartial? Okay. Everyone's nodding yes.

Also, there were a couple of you who have been victims of crime. Anything about those experiences that causes concern in any way as being a juror in this case? Okay.

Is there anything that anyone wants to add before I pass this to the defense counsel? All right. Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Defense?

MS. SISOLAK: Thank you, Your Honor.

Court's indulgence.

THE COURT: You need to use the restroom?

UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: I'm sorry?

UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: Yes. Okay. We're going to take a five-minute break at this time.

MS. SISOLAK: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Wait, I have to do the admonishment. You're going to hear this a lot. We'll be in recess for five minutes.

During this recess, it is your duty not to converse among

yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial or to read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any person connected with the trial or by any medium of information, including without limitation, newspaper, television, and radio. And you are not to form or express an opinion on any subject connected with the case until it is finally submitted to you.

We'll be in recess for five minutes.

[Prospective jury panel recessed at 4:20 p.m.]

MS. MACHNICH: Your Honor --

THE COURT: Let the record reflect that this is being held outside the presence of the panel of potential jurors.

Counsel.

MS. SISOLAK: Your Honor, Ms. -- in the front row --

MS. MACHNICH: Phillips.

MS. SISOLAK: Ms. Phillips is 75. I thought there was, like, an optional service at age issue.

THE COURT: That's correct.

MS. MACHNICH: And she appears to be confused.

MS. SISOLAK: I'm -- I'm going to try to -- I got to talk to her, obviously. But I didn't know if Your Honor wanted to ask her if she wanted the exemption or not. I don't know how Your Honor does it.

THE COURT: I can ask her if the parties want me to ask her.

MS. MACHNIC: That would be preferable.

MS. BEVERLY: You can ask her. I don't think she should automatically be excused though because she's 75.

1	THE COURT: Well, it's her option. I thought after a certain
2	age
3	MS. BEVERLY: It is.
4	MS. SISOLAK: There is
5	THE COURT: I thought it was 70
6	MS. SISOLAK: 70.
7	MS. MACHNICH: 70.
8	MS. BEVERLY: As long as it's whatever her option is, is
9	fine. It's just, you know
10	MS. MACHNICH: I don't think it's automatic excusal. I think
11	it's automatic option to be excused.
12	MS. BEVERLY: That's fine.
13	MS. MACHNICH: By my reading.
14	THE COURT: I will bring her to the bench.
15	MS. MACHNICH: Thank you, Your Honor.
16	MS. BEVERLY: Okay.
17	THE COURT: And ask her, when they resume.
18	MS. SISOLAK: Thank you, Your Honor.
19	MS. MACHNICH: Thank you.
20	THE COURT: Is that correct? Is it 70?
21	MS. MACHNICH: I'm about 95 percent sure it's 70. And if it's
22	not 70, it's definitely 75. So she would qualify.
23	THE COURT: All right. Well
24	MS. MACHNIC: This this rate she's qualified twice.
25	THE COURT: Hold on. Where does it say 70? Oh, under

## NRS 6.020 says:

All persons the age of 70 years or older are exempt from serving as a grand juror, grand, or trial jurors whenever appears to the satisfaction of the court by affidavit. Or otherwise the juror is over the age of 70, the court shall order the juror excused from all services of grand or trial juror if the juror so desires.

So I will give her the option.

MS. MACHNIC: Fantastic. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

[Court recessed at 4:22 p.m., until 4:27 p.m.]

[Outside the presence of the prospective jury panel.]

THE COURT: Counsel, counsel.

MS. MACHNICH: It's not my fault. They distracted me, Your Honor.

THE COURT: This is continuation of the trial in Case No.
C-15-309578-1 and -2, State of Nevada vs. Steven Turner and Clemon
Hudson. Let the record reflect the presence of counsel for the State and the defendants and the presence of the defendants.

Mr. Stevenson, please bring the panel of potential jurors in.

[Prospective jury panel reconvened at 4:28 p.m.]

THE COURT: Let the -- please be seated. Let the record reflect the presence of the panel of potential jurors.

Ms. Phillips, could you approach the bench? Counsel.

[Bench conference transcribed as follows.]

1	THE COURT: Here's the microphone right there. And I'm
2	going to speak low on purpose right now. So can you hear me okay?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yes, sir.
4	THE COURT: Okay. You said you were 75 years old?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Is that correct?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yes, sir.
8	THE COURT: By our statute, if you're over 70 years old
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Uh-huh.
10	THE COURT: you if you want, I can excuse you as a
11	juror, as a potential juror, I mean, if you consent to it. In other words, do
12	you understand what I'm saying?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yes, sir.
14	THE COURT: In other words, if you want, it's your decision. If
15	you request it, I will excuse you as a juror because you're over the age
16	of 70.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Oh, yes.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: I would love to.
20	THE COURT: So that's that's what you'd like me to do
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yes.
22	THE COURT: is excuse you because of your age?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Yes, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
25	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you.

1	MS. SISOLAK: Thank you, ma'am.
2	MR. PLUMMER: Thank you.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 063: Thank you. Thank you.
4	[End of bench conference.]
5	THE COURT: At this time I'm going to thank and excuse
6	Ms. Phillips, Badge No. 063.
7	THE CLERK: Badge No. 064, Jill Delaney, Seat No. 18.
8	THE COURT: If you could state your full name and badge
9	number, please?
10	PROSPECTIVE BADGE NO. 064: Jill Delaney, 64.
11	THE COURT: How long have you lived in Clark County,
12	Nevada?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: 21 years.
14	THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
15	PROSPECTIVE BADGE NO. 064: Two bachelor degrees.
16	THE COURT: And where was that from, ma'am?
17	PROSPECTIVE BADGE NO. 064: One first one was from
18	SUNY Albany, New York. And UNLV for the second one.
19	THE COURT: What is your occupation?
20	PROSPECTIVE BADGE NO. 064: I'm a filmmaker.
21	THE COURT: What is your marital status?
22	PROSPECTIVE BADGE NO. 064: I'm married.
23	THE COURT: Where is the occupation of your spouse?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: He is a business owner,
25	but he just sold his business. So now he just he's, like, acting like a

1	retired guy.
2	THE COURT: And when he had his business, what did he
3	do?
4	PROSPECTIVE BADGE NO. 064: He owned
5	the 1-800-GOT-JUNK franchise in town.
6	THE COURT: Do you have any children?
7	PROSPECTIVE BADGE NO. 064: We do.
8	THE COURT: How old are they?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: 11 and 9.
10	THE COURT: Have you ever served as a juror before?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: I have not.
12	THE COURT: Have you ever testified as a witness in a
13	criminal case?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: I have not.
15	THE COURT: Have you or a close family member ever been
16	convicted of a crime?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Yes, they have.
18	THE COURT: And could you explain, please?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: My sister has a DUI and
20	she also has a separate of cocaine possession.
21	THE COURT: And were the convictions here in Clark County,
22	Nevada?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: They were not. They were
24	in New York.
25	THE COURT: Is there anything about your sister's convictions

1	that would make it difficult for you to be fair and impartial in this case?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: No.
3	THE COURT: Have you or close family member ever been
4	the victim of a crime?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Yes.
6	THE COURT: And who was that, ma'am?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Myself and my sister as
8	well.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Let's start with you. What what
10	happened?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: I was mugged in New York
12	City when I was 14 years old. And, well, the one with my sister, I was
13	not home, but it was my apartment was broken into and she was
14	assaulted. And then our home was broken into a couple years back
15	while we were not at a home.
16	THE COURT: So there's three incidents?
17	PROSPECTIVE BADGE NO. 064: Yes.
18	THE COURT: One when you were 14 and you were mugged?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Uh-huh.
20	THE COURT: Is that correct?
21	PROSPECTIVE BADGE NO. 064: That's correct.
22	THE COURT: And that was in New York City?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: That is correct.
24	THE COURT: And did law enforcement investigate?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: No.

1	THE COURT: So did you report it?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Well, we went to the cop
3	that was on the corner and he just kind of laughed at us. And we
4	were 14. We weren't supposed to be there, so we couldn't we didn't
5	want to get in trouble so we just took the train home.
6	THE COURT: Is there anything about that experience that lef
7	a bad taste in your mouth about law enforcement?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: No. Just a good story
9	about a 14-year-old going to the city by themselves, so.
10	THE COURT: And then you said your sister was at home and
11	was burglarized and assaulted; is that correct?
12	PROSPECTIVE BADGE NO. 064: It was a known person.
13	They broke into my apartment and assaulted her and stole my dog, so.
14	THE COURT: Stole your dog?
15	PROSPECTIVE BADGE NO. 064: Yeah.
16	THE COURT: Did you know who did it?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Yes, we did.
18	THE COURT: Did you get the dog back?
19	PROSPECTIVE BADGE NO. 064: I did not.
20	THE COURT: Did the catch the person who did it?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Was it a male or female?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Female.
24	THE COURT: And were they prosecuted?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: They pled out, so.

1	THE COURT: Okay. So they were actually arrested and
2	prosecuted and negotiated the case?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Correct.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Was there anything about that
5	experience that left a bad taste in your mouth about law enforcement or
6	prosecutors or anything of that nature?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Well, I would have liked to
8	see her go to court, but you know, it is what it is.
9	THE COURT: Okay. But you don't hold it against anybody
10	here today?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: No, no. Goodness, no.
12	No.
13	THE COURT: And then you said there was a third incident?
14	PROSPECTIVE BADGE NO. 064: Our home was broken into
15	while we were not at home.
16	THE COURT: Was that here in Clark County, Nevada?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: That was.
18	THE COURT: And what timeframe was that?
19	PROSPECTIVE BADGE NO. 064: That was the summer
20	of 2015.
21	THE COURT: And did law enforcement investigate that?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: I mean, they came to the
23	house. But, yeah
24	THE COURT: Do you remember
25	PROSPECTIVE BADGE NO. 064: that's it.
1	

1	THE COURT: what law enforcement agency?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: It was Metro.
3	THE COURT: It was Metro?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Uh-huh.
5	THE COURT: They took a report?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: They did.
7	THE COURT: Was anybody ever arrested?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: No, they weren't.
9	THE COURT: Do you blame law enforcement for nobody
10	being arrested?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: No.
12	THE COURT: Do you believe that any of those experiences
13	would make it difficult to for you to be fair and impartial to both sides in
14	this case?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: No.
16	THE COURT: Do you have any close relatives or friends who
17	ever been engaged in law enforcement?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: I have a working
19	relationship with a private investigator who was a former homicide cop,
20	but not here in in town. It was it's in Georgia.
21	THE COURT: It's in Georgia?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Uh-huh.
23	THE COURT: Do you speak with that person at all?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Yeah. Well, yes. It's part
25	of a documentary.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE BADGE NO. 064: So he's actually helping us on the case.

THE COURT: And do you speak about that person about when they were in law enforcement, cases that they handled?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Yes, as it's pertinent to our documentary, so.

THE COURT: Does your knowledge about that person's experiences as a law enforcement officer affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: It's kind of an awkward situation in the sense that what we've -- we've been working on it for two years. And what we've uncovered is probably negative towards cops in this particular police department.

THE COURT: Was -- again, so I -- it's a documentary, I assume?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Yeah. It's a --

THE COURT: Some type of exposé about law enforcement --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: No. It's --

THE COURT: -- in a specific --

PROSPECTIVE BADGE NO. 064: -- about a cold case that wasn't solved. And through our investigations we are learning of -- it's a kind of a socioeconomic injustice in this particular police department in how they -- how they take care of --

THE COURT: And that's not here in Las Vegas, Nevada, is

1	it?
2	PROSPECTIVE BADGE NO. 064: That is not in Las Vegas,
3	no.
4	THE COURT: And you're currently working on that film right
5	now?
6	PROSPECTIVE BADGE NO. 064: Yes. We are currently
7	working on that.
8	THE COURT: Does that in any way affect your ability to be
9	fair and impartial in this case?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Shouldn't, no.
11	THE COURT: Okay. So when you say it shouldn't, is that a
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Unless I see glaring
13	similarities between because we've interviewed the cops there. So
14	we've had conversations with them. And unless there's glaring, I doubt
15	it. That is a murder and it's a little bit, I guess, a little bit different.
16	THE COURT: Okay. And that has that nothing to do with
17	anything
18	PROSPECTIVE BADGE NO. 064: No.
19	THE COURT: with Clark County, Nevada?
20	PROSPECTIVE BADGE NO. 064: No. No. No. Not at all.
21	THE COURT: So my question is: Is that going to affect your
22	ability to be fair and impartial in this case?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: No.
24	THE COURT: And do you agree that if you are chosen to
25	serve as a juror in this case, that you will honor your duty to be

1	completely fair and impartial, and to listen carefully to all the evidence
2	before you make a decision?
3	PROSPECTIVE BADGE NO. 064: Yes.
4	THE COURT: State?
5	MR. GIORDANI: No questions. Thank you.
6	MS. SISOLAK: All right. I'll try to be quick, I promise.
7	Mr. Bullard, did you hear me ask questions of the original panel?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes.
9	MS. SISOLAK: Mr. Bullard, No. 42.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: 42.
11	MS. SISOLAK: I'm going to get it, I swear. Mr. Bullard, which
12	of the questions I asked will you pick one and answer it? We can do
13	fair and impartial, cherry pie/apple pie, speeding ticket? Pick one.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: I I get the point of what
15	you were asking. And basically, yes. The the defendants in here are
16	innocent at this moment. There's no evidence against that. They've
17	claimed their innocence, so that's where we're at.
18	MS. SISOLAK: You have no problem with them not testifying?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: No. I don't have a problem
20	with that.
21	MS. SISOLAK: Fantastic. Mr two down, I don't want to
22	mispronounce your last name.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Michael Lamoureux,
24	Badge 44.
25	MS. SISOLAK: Good afternoon, Mr. Lamoureux.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Afternoon.

MS. SISOLAK: So I think you probably know what I'm going to ask you about. When the State read their list of witnesses, you said you remembered some of those names? You recognized some?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: The names are familiar with -- to me, yes.

MS. SISOLAK: Do you know any of them personally, I'm guessing, from work?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: I'd -- I'd want to hear the list again, but I don't believe I did personally. Work associations, I may have talked to them. It's -- it's quite, you know, understandable that maybe I've talked to them. But strictly in the course of business.

MS. SISOLAK: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Nothing personal.

MS. SISOLAK: I'm going to have Ms. Beverly read the list just in case.

MS. BEVERLY: So we have from the crime lab, firearms expert Anya Lester, fingerprint analyst Gail Johnson, Kimberly Dannenberger from the lab, Randy McPhail, Robby Dahn, and Stephanie Fletcher as crime scene analysts. And then the following officers: Thomas Keller, Malik Grego-Smith, Mark Moses, Louis Russo, Jacob Henry, Joe Pennulo [phonetic], Craig Jex, Eduardo Pazos, Joseph Bitsco, Marc Colon, Jeremy Robertson, Joe Patton, Jeremy Vance and Ryan Jaeger.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: None of those individuals

are close, personal friends of mine or anything socially outside of work.

MS. SISOLAK: Okay. Nothing outside of work? Perfect. Are you going to be able to get past the fact that an officer may have been shot?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yes.

MS. SISOLAK: Okay. Do you think that you can not give an officer's testimony more credit than a lay witness?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Like's been stated in the court before, I think officers are like anyone else. They're human beings. While I respect the law and law enforcement, I also know that testimony has to be credible. And like the gentleman stated here, I know it's very important to judge for my opinion based on what happens in this courtroom and not to add anything to that from outside.

MS. SISOLAK: Perfect. Thank you.

Ms. Cruz, you can pick a question. Which one do you want? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: I think as -- I think I respect that, you know, what they pleaded not guilty. So I think that they're innocent for as of now as well. For the whole gun violence and everything, I think I feel like that's more like a touchy subject, being around guns and growing up in South Central LA. So being able to see such gun violence and being in high school with a lot of gang violence. That is something -- yeah, more --

MS. SISOLAK: You think you can be fair and impartial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Yes. But I think my emotions might get a little bit in the way, but --

1	MS. SISOLAK: Okay.
2	THE COURT: Ms. Cruz, your badge number is 45; is that
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: 45, yes.
4	THE COURT: correct? Thank you.
5	MS. SISOLAK: Can you separate your previous interactions
6	with law enforcement from this case case and kind of keep it in a
7	bubble?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Yes.
9	MS. SISOLAK: Perfect. Pass the mic.
10	Ms. Landry.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Badge No. 49.
12	MS. SISOLAK: I promise I'll get it, I promise. Are you a
13	leader or a follower?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Depends on the situation.
15	MS. SISOLAK: You going to be okay standing up for
16	yourself?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Sure.
18	MS. SISOLAK: Do you want to be on a jury?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Yes.
20	MS. SISOLAK: Why?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Because I've never really
22	experienced how this all works. And I think it's very interesting.
23	MS. SISOLAK: Okay. You can be fair and impartial?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Yes.
25	MS. SISOLAK: Wonderful.

1	Ms. Reyes.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: 57, Rosa Reyes.
3	THE COURT: What is your badge number, please?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: 57.
5	THE COURT: Thank you.
6	MS. SISOLAK: Ms. Reyes, you said you work in the Clark
7	Place building.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: I do.
9	MS. SISOLAK: Do you remember interact with the District
10	Attorney's office?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No.
12	MS. SISOLAK: They are in the same building, correct?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes, they are.
14	MS. SISOLAK: You don't know anybody in there?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No, not really.
16	MS. SISOLAK: All right. You can be fair and impartial?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes.
18	MS. SISOLAK: Anything else I should know?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes.
20	MS. SISOLAK: What?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Just that I do know Alan,
22	the clerk. But I don't know if that makes any difference.
23	MS. SISOLAK: Yeah. Okay. Thank you. Can we pass the
24	mic down here?
25	Sorry, Ms. Chantiloupe, 58.
	192

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Thank you.
2	MS. SISOLAK: Badge No. 58, got it. Which question you
3	want? By now you know them all, I'm sure.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: It's raised some confusion
5	for me.
6	MS. SISOLAK: Okay. What's confusing?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I didn't go to law school, so
8	I'm sure you talked about this a lot in law school. Okay. So the innocent
9	until proven guilty
10	MS. SISOLAK: Yep.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: the the State bears
12	the the burden.
13	MS. SISOLAK: Perfect.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Okay. In my last case, the
15	defense did nothing.
16	MS. SISOLAK: Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: So as a jury, we had no
18	no choice but to convict, because the State did they proved with the
19	evidence that they presented that this crime had occurred.
20	MS. SISOLAK: Okay. So did they prove that beyond a
21	reasonable doubt?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yeah. Because there was
23	no counter to that. And when when I was sitting over there, I was
24	thinking about like a football team.
25	MS. SISOLAK: Okay.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: So you've got an offense,
2	who goes charging towards the other team. And the other team just
3	stands there, they're going to get demolished. That's what I that was
4	the picture kind of going through my mind, is, like, because they're not
5	defending themselves.
6	MS. SISOLAK: Okay. But you understand that the defense
7	doesn't have to do anything, right?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Right.
9	MS. SISOLAK: Could you return a verdict of not guilty if we
10	didn't present any evidence, if the State didn't prove their case?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I think I'd be in the same
12	position I was in last time, because that's what happened.
13	MS. SISOLAK: Okay.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: They made a case. It's not
15	like the State didn't say anything.
16	MS. SISOLAK: Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: They presented and it
18	made sense to us. And we returned a verdict.
19	MS. SISOLAK: Okay.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: We kind of felt like our
21	hands were tied.
22	MS. SISOLAK: Okay. And that was here in Clark County?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes.
24	MS. SISOLAK: Okay.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Maybe we didn't do a good
	194

1	job. I don't know.
2	MS. SISOLAK: I have no idea.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yeah.
4	MS. SISOLAK: I think what I'm asking is let's say we do
5	nothing, we being the defense. But the State presents all their evidence.
6	Could you still find doubt, reasonable doubt, in that evidence? Or are
7	you saying because there's nothing to refute it, there's no way you could
8	find reasonable doubt?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: If there was reasonable
10	doubt.
11	MS. SISOLAK: Okay.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Like someone's an
13	eyewitness but it was dark and they don't have good eyesight.
14	MS. SISOLAK: Okay.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: And maybe that's not a
16	very good type of evidence, because of that situation.
17	MS. SISOLAK: So you're saying you would judge the
18	witnesses based on their testimony?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes.
20	MS. SISOLAK: Okay.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yeah. If if there were
22	pieces of evidence that seemed like there was doubt, you know, that
23	may not be the case or then I could take that into consideration of
24	course.
25	MS. SISOLAK: Okay. I'm going to hold off on anything else

1	with you for just a sec.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Okay.
3	MS. SISOLAK: Will you pass the mic forward to Mr. Roy?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Badge 59.
5	MS. SISOLAK: I like the headphones. How's it going? What
6	question do you want to answer?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: I'm not particular.
8	MS. SISOLAK: Okay. Anything you think we should know?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: No, ma'am.
10	MS. SISOLAK: Thank you. Are you a leader or a follower?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: I also believe it's the
12	situation. If I feel I have more experience in what's going on, I'll offer to
13	be the leader. If not, I'll bow out to somebody who does have more
14	experience.
15	MS. SISOLAK: I like that answer. I like that. Friday, 5:00,
16	you going to change your mind just because you want to go home?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: No.
18	MS. SISOLAK: Going to stand up for yourself? Awesome.
19	One to your right, Ms. Delaney, No. 64. Was there anything you think
20	we should know?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: No.
22	MS. SISOLAK: Leader or follower?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: I I'm a leader when
24	necessary, situational.
25	MS. SISOLAK: Perfect. Court's indulgence just one moment.

1	Thank you, everyone.
2	THE COURT: Mr. Plummer?
3	[Pause in proceedings.]
4	MR. PLUMMER: And going last, I get to be more brief than
5	everyone else.
6	Mr. Lamoureux, Seat 3, Juror 44. Sir, I just wanted to touch
7	base a little bit more on some of the things you said. You've been
8	working for Metro since 1986?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: That's correct.
10	September '86.
11	MR. PLUMMER: So you've be there with Metro Police
12	Department for 32 years?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: I'll have 32 years in
14	September.
15	MR. PLUMMER: And your father retired from Metro?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: My father-in-law was a
17	retired captain.
18	MR. PLUMMER: And your wife had worked for Metro?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Correct.
20	MR. PLUMMER: And your stepdaughter works for Metro?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Formerly. She's now a
22	stay-at-home mom.
23	MR. PLUMMER: Your son-in-law works for Metro?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Ye.
25	MR. PLUMMER: You have numerous close friends that all

WO	rk	for	NΛ	letro	١?
WU	l N	IUI	IVI	יסווכ	, :

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Or retired Metro.

MR. PLUMMER: And you have hundreds of work acquaintances that work at Metro?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yes. The way I would describe is that is if, for instance, when I went down for the lunch break today, I ran into people I knew. And they were, hey, how you doing, oh, how you doing. Oh, you're here as a juror, and that kind of thing. But I -- I couldn't tell you more about them. I ran into a lady that works in our forensics lab.

MR. PLUMMER: Our Metro officers sitting in the back of the courtroom --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: I don't know them personally. I don't know if they know me and they're corrections officers.

MR. PLUMMER: And the -- so you've spent your lifetime working for law enforcement and Metro?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yeah. Pretty much, I grew up in the department yeah.

MR. PLUMMER: And --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Started around when I was 20.

MR. PLUMMER: And as you've heard, this case is going to involve an officer who was shot?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yes.

MR. PLUMMER: And how can you get around that you spent

 a lifetime with Metro officers, working for Metro, family with Metro, and sit here where you're going to hear testimony that a police officer was shot?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: You're asking how I deal with that?

MR. PLUMMER: Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: I think that's evolved over my 31-year career. I think if you asked me that in 1986, I'd be much more appalled and shocked, because I was younger and law enforcement in the community was much newer to me. The unfortunate reality is now as you fast forward through a lifelong career in law enforcement, you realize that that is simply part of the job. Officers get shot at every day, unfortunately. Some get injured. Some get killed.

I think it's tragic when anyone is shot at in the commission -you know, in the process of law enforcement, whether it be an officer or
a citizen. So not to be callous, I think all life is precious and I think it's a
serious matter when anyone fires their weapon or is injured. But I've
also learned over the years that that is something that we have to not
expect, but not be shocked by and understand that's the job that we are
all either in or closely related to.

MR. PLUMMER: Do you feel that you have a relationship with Metro since you've been part of them for 32 years?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yes. Certainly, I -- I call it home, because it's been such a large part of my life for so many years. But I'm also keenly aware of the fact that when I do retire, the place will

continue without me. They're not going to build a statue out in -- you know, out front of me. I'm proud of my service. But again, I -- I think I have a comfortable relationship knowing it's been my home and the one constant in my life. But I also know that it will have a life without me.

MR. PLUMMER: Home and you consider them kind of family? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yeah. Yes. I -- to understand the question, I mean, is the sense of community, I guess. But I think, first and foremost, for me personally, my family is my personal family. It just so happens that some of my personal family has been with Metro, so the lines are blurred there a little bit. But the close -- the most important things to me are my personal family.

MR. PLUMMER: Okay. So you have loyalty towards your family?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Absolutely.

MR. PLUMMER: Do you believe in loyalty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Absolutely.

MR. PLUMMER: And 32 years with Metro, do you believe that you have a sense of loyalty to Metro?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: I don't think I have a loyalty to the organization itself. I think I have a loyalty to perform my job to the best of my ability. And that speaks of my own work ethic and my own credibility and the performance of my job.

But I think Metro need to be treated like any law enforcement agency. It's -- it's not without wrongdoing at times. In the -- in the sentiment we have in the world today, you know, I don't think police

sentiments have ever been at a lower state. The way I cope with that is I know hundreds, if not thousands, of good, hard-working men and women that are admirable at their profession and work really hard. But I also know that, like any profession, there's pros and cons to it.

MR. PLUMMER: So not so much the organization, but you -- you qualify it as a loyalty towards the people you work with?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: I'm trying to define the word loyalty. I think I have a duty. I would call it duty. I'm not sure -- because I think in my mind, when I hear the word loyalty, it is -- it infers that perhaps I would go along with them, even if I disagreed or thought their actions were wrong, and I certainly would not do that. But I do have a duty make sure -- if I'm being -- if I have any kind of integrity with my job and my performance of my job, then it -- it forces me to examine the word loyalty and make sure that if I am loyal to someone, it's for the right reasons and I've processed that, if that makes sense.

MR. PLUMMER: It does. But you -- you have close ties with many of the Metro officers.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: To varying degrees, yes.

MR. PLUMMER: And as you said, you work with them on a daily basis?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Correct.

MR. PLUMMER: And some of them, you might not know their names, but you would recognize them if you saw them?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Absolutely.

MR. PLUMMER: And now, that -- relationships that you have

with these Metro officers, be it as personal coworkers or friends, it's still a relationship?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: I personally would not phrase it as a relationship. For instance, I -- you know, I -- I saw someone in the hallway, like I said, on the way to lunch, and I said hello and I recognized the fact that we both work together. But I don't know if I'd call that a relationship. I call it a work acquaintance.

MR. PLUMMER: You have ties with them?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Ties. Yeah, sure.

MR. PLUMMER: And you don't have any ties to Mr. Turner or Mr. Hudson?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: No, none whatsoever.

MR. PLUMMER: So you feel that you're going to lean more towards a parade of law enforcement officers that you're probably going to recognize when they walk in the door and testify, as you know, coworkers; you're think you're going to be leaning towards their testimony?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: I can honestly say no. And I'll be delicate here. It's because I've been in that environment for so long that I am not enamored by the uniform or the weapon or the badge or the law enforcement then, because it's just part of what I know as normal. They're human beings, just like I am, just like you are. I know the importance of listening to what's said in this case, what's presented by the State, and weighing what's presented and judging it simply on that.

MR. PLUMMER: Thank you. Can you please pass the microphone to Ms. Chantiloupe, Juror No. 58?

Good afternoon, ma'am.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Hello.

MR. PLUMMER: I'm going to done with -- you'll be my last questioning and I'll be done within two or three minutes and we'll have you out of here by 5:00.

I was a little confused when you were talking with counsel about defense not presenting any evidence, and you felt the need that you had to convict. The -- if I'm standing here and we don't have any information, would you call that kind of neutral? Would you say this was neutral? Like, without knowing anything about a case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes.

MR. PLUMMER: And now, if we were over, let's say, over in China, I'd be presumed to be guilty, and I would have to prove my innocence. Is that -- is that -- does that make --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: That's how their system works. Okay.

MR. PLUMMER: The -- but, you know, here in the United States, we have what's called presumption of innocence. So we actually start over here. So when we start over here with the presumption of innocence, it's not neutral. It's not neutral at all. We don't start in a neutral standpoint.

Now, with the presumption of innocence over here, the prosecution has the burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt,

which is again past the neutral standpoint. If they present evidence that kind of makes it neutral and the defense doesn't present any evidence at all, are you going to -- is that how you believe someone should be found guilty, because some evidence was now presented? Does that make sense?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I thought that's how it worked. I -- I -- this is -- this is really different for me. Like I said, I've served on two juries and I'm wondering if, you know, we had the wrong idea. And this was years ago so I'm going off memory. But I remember I -- I believe I remember, this was the conversation that we had in the deliberation room.

THE COURT: Counsel.

MR. PLUMMER: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Approach please.

MR. PLUMMER: I don't -- I don't have any more questions, Your Honor.

[Bench conference transcribed as follows.]

THE COURT: You need to tread lightly on this line of questioning.

MR. PLUMMER: I'm -- I'm done, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GIORDANI: Thanks.

THE COURT: No. I don't want you to be done. But just tread lightly. I don't want to get into quantifying reasonable doubt or invading what was discussed on any jury deliberation.

1	MR. PLUMMER: I wasn't trying to elicit that.
2	THE COURT: Do you understand me?
3	MR. PLUMMER: Yes, Your Honor. I was not trying to elicit
4	that.
5	THE COURT: I I mean, you can finish this line of
6	questioning, but I need you to tread lightly.
7	MR. PLUMMER: Yes, Your Honor. I'm actually finished.
8	THE COURT: Are you finished?
9	MR. PLUMMER: I am.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
11	MR. PLUMMER: Thank you, Your Honor.
12	MS. MACHNICH: Your Honor, is I know that we break
13	at 5:00. Is it possible for us to push through a little longer to try to get
14	this panel
15	THE COURT: I'm going to ask the jurors what they want to
16	do.
17	MS. MACHNICH: Okay.
18	THE COURT: If they have any type of commitment at 5:00
19	but I'm not going to be here until 6:00.
20	MR. GIORDANI: No.
21	MS. MACHNICH: No.
22	MR. GIORDANI: I think
23	THE COURT: I mean
24	MR. GIORDANI: I I don't want to speak for everybody, but
25	don't think we have any cause challenges yet. And unless

1	THE COURT: All right. Well, let's go with that. Let's
2	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
3	THE COURT: We're going to take a five-minute break. If this
4	is going to be lengthy, then I'm going to excuse them.
5	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
6	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, first, are you done?
7	MR. PLUMMER: I am done, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	MS. MACHNICH: Okay.
10	[End of bench conference.]
11	THE COURT: Mr. Plummer, are you done with your
12	questioning?
13	MR. PLUMMER: I am, Your Honor. Thank you.
14	THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.
15	Ladies and gentlemen, it's it's 5:00. I was going to go a little
16	past 5:00, unless somebody has a commitment that they have to make.
17	Is there anybody that has to leave right now to any type of
18	commitment or can you stay a little bit past 5:00?
19	Yes, ma'am.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: I my son has an
21	appointment at 5:45 that I'm meeting my husband to about how
22	THE COURT: It would be about you probably would be out
23	of here by 5:15.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: Okay. That's fine.
25	THE COURT: Is that

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: Yeah.
2	THE COURT: Is that going to give you sufficient time?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: That that should be
4	okay.
5	THE COURT: All right. At this time, we're going to take about
6	a five-minute recess.
7	So during this recess, it is your duty not to converse among
8	yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial or
9	to read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by
10	any person connected with the trial, by any medium of information,
11	including without limitation, newspaper, television, and radio. And you
12	are not to form or express an opinion on any subject connected with the
13	case until it is finally submitted to you.
14	Thank you. We'll be in recess for about five minutes.
15	[Prospective jury panel recessed at 5:01 p.m.]
16	THE COURT: Let the record reflect the absence of the panel
17	of potential jurors.
18	State, do you have any challenges for cause?
19	MR. GIORDANI: No, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Defense, do you have any challenges for
21	cause?
22	MS. SISOLAK: We do not.
23	THE COURT: Defense, do have any challenges for cause?
24	MR. PLUMMER: Yes, Your Honor. Juror Badge No. 44,
25	Michael Lamoureux. My my challenge is that he's a 32-year Metro
	207

juror?

employee working hand in hand with law enforcement on a daily basis.

He's going to recognize, most likely, just about all the witnesses coming in from Metro. He's from an entire family of law enforcement.

Son-in-law's currently working for Metro.

Numerous close acquaintances or friends, hundreds of work acquaintances. He -- he's Metro. This case involved a Metro officer who has been shot with a gun. I just can't see in any realistic world how someone who has been for Metro for 32 years and is such close ties with so many law enforcement could sit fair and impartial on a jury involving an officer who was shot. I -- I just don't see it.

THE COURT: Is that going to be the evidence, State, that a Metro officer was shot?

MR. GIORDANI: Yes.

THE COURT: State, what's your position on that potential

MR. GIORDANI: Mr. Lamoureux made it abundantly clear that he could be fair, notwithstanding all the connections he had to Metro. In fact, I think his response to the -- the last couple of questions was, I actually am not enamored by the badge because I've been doing this for so long. He's someone who knows the bad and the good with Metro. And I think he -- we're presuming here, and no disrespect to Mr. Plummer, but that he's lying to the court when he responds I can be fair and impartial.

The jury selection process under oath and his responses were, I can be fair, notwithstanding all those connections. So I mean, I

understand why the objection is being made or the cause challenge is being made, but based upon his statements under oath, I don't think it's appropriate.

THE COURT: I'm going to be in short recess. Do not leave the courtroom, please.

[Court recessed from 5:05 p.m., until 5:06 p.m.]

[Outside the presence of the prospective jury panel.]

THE COURT: Let the record reflect the presence of the counsel for the State, counsel for the defendants, and the defendants.

As to Mr. Lamoureux, in reviewing my notes, the way the case law reads is I'm not to accept his answers. I'm to try to look past his answers. There was nothing in his answers that would indicate to me that he was not going to be fair and impartial. But in looking at his overall relationships with Metro, the fact that he was a -- has been a Metro officer for 32 years, has extensive family and friends related to Metro, I am going to excuse him for cause.

MS. SISOLAK: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. PLUMMER: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm going to seat the new juror. I'm going to seat them. But then we're going to take a recess. We'll finish -- I anticipate having a jury first thing tomorrow and going into opening statements and then calling witnesses tomorrow.

MS. SISOLAK: To be honest with Your Honor, we have kind of already met and conferred. We -- assuming there's not extensive questioning for the one juror, we could be done by 5:30.

1	THE COURT: Okay. I have a lady that needs to be
2	someplace at 5:45.
3	MS. SISOLAK: I I understand, Your Honor. I just wanted to
4	make a record that it if Your Honor wanted to say, I am we can get it
5	done today and then they don't all have to come back.
6	THE COURT: Okay. I'll ask the lady
7	MS. SISOLAK: Thank you, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: if she can stay past to about 5:30. All right
9	Bring them in.
10	MS. SISOLAK: Thank you, Your Honor.
11	[Prospective jury panel reconvened at 5:08 p.m.]
12	THE COURT: Thank you. You may be seated.
13	Let the record reflect that the panel of potential jurors is
14	present.
15	Mr. Lamoureux, Badge No. 044, I'm going to thank and
16	excuse you at this time.
17	If you could call the next potential juror?
18	THE CLERK: Badge No. 066, Cynthia Lenett, Seat No. 3.
19	THE COURT: Ms. Johnson?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Hi.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: Hi.
23	THE COURT: You said you had an appointment at 5:45?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: Yes.
25	THE COURT: We would like to have a jury by tonight

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Cynthia Lenett, Badge 66.
2	THE COURT: How long have you lived in Clark County,
3	Nevada?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: About 19 years.
5	THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Some college.
7	THE COURT: What is your occupation?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Supervisor of medical staff
9	services.
10	THE COURT: And where do you where who is your
11	employer?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Saint Rose Hospitals.
13	THE COURT: What what is your marital status?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Married.
15	THE COURT: What is the occupation of your spouse?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Sales associate.
17	THE COURT: With which company, ma'am?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Essence.
19	THE COURT: Yeah
20	THE COURT RECORDER: Could you could you speak up
21	a little, please?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Sure.
23	THE REPORTER: Thank you.
24	THE COURT: Do you have any children?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: One.

1	THE COURT: How old?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: 14.
3	THE COURT: Have you ever served as a juror before?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: No, sir.
5	THE COURT: Have you ever testified as a witness in a
6	criminal case?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: No, sir.
8	THE COURT: Have you or close family member ever been
9	convicted of a crime?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Yes. My older brother.
11	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: My older brother.
13	THE COURT: And when was that?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Probably 19, 20 years ago.
15	THE COURT: And what happened?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: It was a a misdemeanor
17	for possession.
18	THE COURT: And was it investigated by law enforcement?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: I'm not sure.
20	THE COURT: Okay. I assume because he was convicted,
21	some law enforcement agency investigated it?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: I'm sure.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about that experience
24	that where you could not be fair and impartial to both sides in this case?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: No.

1	THE COURT: Have you ever been the victim has you or a
2	close family member ever been the victim of a crime?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: No.
4	THE COURT: Do you have any close relatives or friends who
5	ever been engaged in law enforcement?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: No.
7	THE COURT: Do you agree that if you are chosen to serve as
8	a juror in this case that you will honor your duty to be completely fair and
9	impartial and to listen carefully to all the evidence before you make a
10	decision?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Yes, sir.
12	THE COURT: State, any questions?
13	MR. GIORDANI: No. Thank you, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Defense, any questions?
15	MS. SISOLAK: Briefly. Anything you think we should know?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Very conservative when it
17	comes to guns.
18	MS. SISOLAK: Okay. Conservative
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 066: Conservative, like I feel
20	like there should be definitely some some controls. I'm not sure why
21	someone in the general populus would would need assault rifles.
22	MS. SISOLAK: Okay. Thank you. Nothing further, Your
23	Honor.
24	THE COURT: Mr. Plummer?
25	MR. PLUMMER: I don't have any questions, Your Honor.
	214

1	THE COURT: Counsel approach.
2	[Bench conference transcribed as follows:]
3	THE COURT: Any challenges for cause?
4	MR. GIORDANI: No, Your Honor.
5	MS. BEVERLY: No.
6	THE COURT: Okay. What I'm going do is excuse them
7	again. We'll do the peremptory challenges. I'll provide with you with a
8	sheet. You know how it it alternates back and forth. Once you are
9	finished with your peremptory challenges, I'll bring back in have those
10	individuals excused, and then we will proceed. Assuming there's no
11	challenges, then we'll have them sworn in and come back tomorrow for
12	preliminary instructions, opening statements, and testimony.
13	MS. BEVERLY: What time are we starting tomorrow?
14	THE COURT: 1:00.
15	MS. BEVERLY: Okay.
16	MS. MACHNICH: Thank you.
17	MS. SISOLAK: Thank you, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Thank you.
19	[End of bench conference.]
20	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take
21	another recess. This will be a short one, probably 5, 10 minutes. So
22	again, we'll be about recess for 5 to 10 minutes.
23	During this recess, it is your duty not to converse among
24	yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial o
25	to read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by

1	any person connected with the trial or by any medium of information,
2	including without limitation, newspaper, television, radio. And you're not
3	form or express an opinion on any subject connected with the case until
4	it is finally submitted to you.
5	We'll be in recess for about 5 or 10 minutes. Thank you.
6	[Prospective jury panel recessed at 5:15 p.m.]
7	THE COURT: Counsel approach, please.
8	MS. MACHNICH: Thank you, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: We will be in a short recess. When you've
10	completed your peremptory challenges, please notify my marshal and
11	we'll reconvene.
12	MS. MACHNICH: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	MS. SISOLAK: Thank you.
14	[Court recessed at 5:15 p.m., until 5:26 p.m.]
15	[Outside the presence of the prospective jury panel.]
16	THE COURT: Let record reflect the presence of counsel for
17	the State, counsel for the defense, and the presence of the defendants.
18	Counsel, I have received the peremptory challenges.
19	Ms. Sisolak, you signed this; is that correct?
20	MS. SISOLAK: I did, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Okay. After where it says counsel for the
22	defendant, I want you to put a comma and the defendant you represent.
23	MS. MACHNICH: Wonderful. I can take care of that.
24	THE COURT: And Mr. Plummer
25	MR. PLUMMER: I have not signed it, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, underneath that, I want you to -- there's no sign so you're going to have to freestyle here. So I want you to sign it. And then underneath counsel for the defendant, who you represent.

MS. SISOLAK: Perfect.

MR. PLUMMER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. SISOLAK: I'm sure Your Honor knows we don't do a lot of codefendant matters.

THE COURT: I'm sorry?

MS. SISOLAK: We typically don't do codefendant matters. We're kind of flying by the seat of our pants, you know.

[Pause in proceedings.]

THE COURT: Okay. And counsel, I'm going to bring the jury back in. And then I'm going to excuse the -- have the -- have them excused. We're going to seat them. Then I'm going to have you approach and if there's any *Batson* challenges or --

MS. SISOLAK: There won't be, Your Honor.

MS. MACHNICH: No. There won't be.

THE COURT: -- or *JEB* or *Alabama* -- well, I'm going to put it on the record.

MS. SISOLAK: Okay.

THE COURT: All right. At the bench. And if there's no challenges, then I'm going to excuse them for the evening. We're going -- I'm going to do the preliminary instructions tomorrow, opening statements and be prepared to start calling witnesses.

1	MS. BEVERLY: I'll be ready.
2	MS. SISOLAK: We'll be ready, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: All right. I'm going to have the juror come in
4	in at this point.
5	[Prospective jury panel reconvened at 5:29 p.m.]
6	THE COURT: Counsel, at this point, I'm going to have you
7	approach.
8	MS. SISOLAK: Thank you, Your Honor.
9	[Bench conference transcribed as follows.]
10	THE COURT: You have been provided with the peremptory
11	challenge sheet. It is my understanding that you each each side was
12	entitled to four peremptory challenges, plus one peremptory challenge
13	for the alternate, that there was no waiver of any of the peremptory
14	challenges; is that correct?
15	MS. BEVERLY: No. The State did waive Number 5.
16	MS. MACHNICH: No. The State waived waived Number 4.
17	THE COURT: Huh?
18	MS. MACHNICH: The State did waive a challenge, Number 5
19	THE COURT: Let me see.
20	MR. GIORDANI: The the alternate.
21	MS. MACHNICH: The alternate.
22	THE COURT: That was it. And you did waive alternate?
23	MS. BEVERLY: We did.
24	THE COURT: And you were entitled to do that?
25	MS. BEVERLY: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Before any of the challengeers are released, this is the time to assert any *Batson* challenges or any challenges under *JEB vs. Alabama*. Any *Batson* challenges or challenges under *JEB vs. Alabama* not asserted at this time will be deemed waived. After resolving any *Batson* challenges or challenges under *JEB vs. Alabama*, I will release any persons that will not be on the final jury panel, announce the final jury panel. Are there any questions?

MS. BEVERLY: No.

MS. MACHNICH: No, Your Honor.

MR. PLUMMER: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Are -- is there any *Batson* challenges -- [End of bench conference.]

THE COURT: I'm sorry, ladies and gentlemen, please be seated. I apologize. Let the record reflect the presence of the potential jury panel.

[Bench conference transcribed as follows.]

THE COURT: Is there any *Batson* challenges or any challenges under *JEB vs. Alabama*?

MS. MACHNICH: On behalf of Mr. Turner, no.

MR. PLUMMER: None on behalf of Mr. Hudson.

MS. BEVERLY: And none on behalf of the State.

THE COURT: Okay. At this time, I'm going to release the jurors which were peremptory challenges and then seat the final jurors. And then I'm just going to have them sworn in.

MS. BEVERLY: Okay.

1	MS. SISOLAK: Thank you, Your Honor.
2	MS. MACHNICH: Thank you.
3	THE COURT: Thank you.
4	[End of bench conference.]
5	THE COURT: Counsel, I apologize. I just need to make one
6	more record. I apologize.
7	[Bench conference transcribed as follows.]
8	THE COURT: Is there any objection by either side to the
9	Court accepting the peremptory challenges?
10	MS. BEVERLY: No, not from the State.
11	MR. PLUMMER: No objection.
12	MS. MACHNICH: And none from Mr. Turner.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
14	MR. PLUMMER: None from Hudson.
15	THE COURT: Thank you.
16	MS. BEVERLY: Thank you.
17	[End of bench conference.]
18	THE COURT: It appearing to the Court that all peremptory
19	challenges have either been exercised or waived, the clerk will now call
20	the names of the persons who will comprise the jury.
21	THE CLERK: Badge Number 042, Ricky Bullard, Juror No. 1;
22	Badge No. 066, Cynthia Lenett, Juror No. 2; Badge No. 045, Beatriz
23	Cruz, Juror No. 3; Badge No. 057, Rosa Reyes, Juror No. 4;
24	Badge No. 008, Susan Phillips, Juror No. 5; Badge No. 011, Kristi
25	Feldan Feldman, correction, Juror No. 6; Badge No. 021, Eric
	220

Limbacher, Juror No. 7; Badge No. 023, Jason Wright, Juror No. 8; Badge No. 025, Tamiko Keyes, Juror No. 9; Badge No. 026, Eric Geisler, Juror No. 10; Badge No. 059, James Roy, Juror No. 11; Badge No. 033, Lisa Miller-Roche, Juror No. 12; Badge No. 039, Pamela Adams, Juror No. 13; and Badge No. 040, Jacqueline Johnson, Juror No. 14.

THE COURT: Thank you.

If your name was not called, you can be excused and with our thanks. I know it was an arduous process, but it's a necessary process that we need to go through. So if your name was not called, including potential jurors in the audience, you can be excused at this time.

Mr. Stevenson, if you could seat the jurors in their appropriate seats?

## [Pause in proceedings.]

THE COURT: The oath will -- shucks. The clerk will now administer the oath to the jurors.

## [Jury sworn.]

THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated.

THE COURT: Thank you, ladies and gentlemen. I realize the hour is late, so I'm going to excuse you at this time. We're going to return tomorrow at 1:00, at which time I will give you preliminary instructions. We'll do opening statements by counsel and then proceed into testimony. So you're going to return tomorrow at 1:00.

At this time, I'm going to -- we're going to take our evening recess at this time. During this recess, you -- it is your duty not to

1	converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject
2	connected with the trial or to read, watch, or listen to any report of or
3	commentary on the trial by any person connected with the trial or by any
4	medium of information, including without limitation, newspaper,
5	television, and radio. And you are not to form or express an opinion on
6	any subject connected with this case until it is finally submitted to you.
7	See you tomorrow at 1:00. Thank you.
8	[Jury recessed at 5:37 p.m.]
9	MR. PLUMMER: Your Honor, can we know the court's
10	schedule.
11	MS. MACHNICH: Tomorrow at 1:00.
12	MR. PLUMMER: Tomorrow at 1:00. But then after that?
13	THE COURT: I believe I won't know until tomorrow.
14	MR. PLUMMER: Thanks, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: There's I can't remember. There is one day
16	believe we're going to start at 11:00. I can't remember if that day is
17	Thursday or Friday. But I believe there is one day where we'll start
18	at 11:00. You understand, I share a courtroom also.
19	MR. PLUMMER: Yes, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: And and then I have my own calendar. So
21	but I know tomorrow we're starting at 1:00.
22	MS. SISOLAK: Perfect. Thank you, Your Honor.
23	MR. PLUMMER: Yeah.
24	MS. MACHNICH: Thank you, Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Thank you.
	222

1	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you.
2	THE COURT: Is there any other matters? We'll be in recess.
3	[Court recessed at 5:38 p.m., until April 18, 2018, at 1:00 p.m.]
4	///
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my
19	ability.
20	ShawraOde
21	Shawna Ortega, CET*562
22	Shawha Orlega, OL 1 302
23	
24	
25	
	223