# CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. 520 SOUTH 4<sup>TH</sup> STREET | SECOND FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 TEL. 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623

1	IN THE SUPREME COURT	OF THE STATE OF NEVADA		
2	* *	* * *		
3	CLEMON HUDSON,	S.C. CASE NO. 82231		
4	Appellant,	Electronically Filed Aug 06 2021 05:23 p.m.		
5	VS.	Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court		
6	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	Cicik of Supreme Sourt		
7	Respondent.			
8	-			
9		OF CONVICTION PURSUANT		
10	FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CO	ND DENIAL OF PETITION PROPERTY (POST-CONVICTION)		
11	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRIC	TT COÙRT THE HONORABLE ERNY, PRESIDING		
12	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		
13	APPELLANT'S APPENDIX TO THE OPENING BRIEF VOLUME IV			
14		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		
	ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT	ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENT		
15	CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, ESQ.	STEVE WOLFSON		
	Attorney at Law Nevada Bar No. 004349	Nevada Bar No. 1565 200 E. Lewis Ave.		
17	Rachael E. Stewart Nevada Bar No. 14122	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702)455-4711		
18	520 S. Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101			
19	Telephone: (702) 384-5563			
20		AARON FORD Nevada Attorney General		
21		100 North Carson Street		
22		Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717		
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	VS. THE STAT		COURT OF NEVADA  CASE NO. 82231	
	8		APPELLANT'S	APPENDIX	_
	9	VOLUME	<u>PLEADING</u>		PAGE NO
	11	2	AMENDED INDICTMENT (FILED APRIL 15, 2018)		275-279
<b>AM, LTD.</b> SECOND FLOOR A 89101 702.974-0623	12	10	DISTRICT COURT MINUTES DECEMBER 18, 2018 HEARIN		1670
r R. Oram, L.T.  REET   SECOND NEVADA 89101   FAX. 702.974	13 14	10	DISTRICT COURT MINUTES JANUARY 29, 2019 HEARING		1671
CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD OUTH 4 <sup>TH</sup> STREET   SECOND F LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 702.384-5563   FAX. 702.974-0	15	10	DISTRICT COURT MINUTE OF DECEMBER 03, 2020	DRDER	1787
CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. 520 SOUTH 4 <sup>TH</sup> STREET   SECOND FLOO LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 Tel. 702.384-5563   Fax. 702.974-0623	<ul><li>16</li><li>17</li><li>18</li></ul>	10	EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF S MEMORANDUM ON BEHAL DEFENDANT CLEMON HUD (FILED JUNE 20, 2018)	F OF	1594-1614
	19 20	10	FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCL LAW AND ORDER (FILED DECEMBER 16, 2020)		1788-1801
	21	1	INDICTMENT (FILED SEPTEMBER 23, 2015	)	174-179
	<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>	9	INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JUR (FILED APRIL 27, 2018)	Y	1535-1587
	24	10	JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION (FILED JULY 02, 2018)	N	1659-1661
	<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	2	MOTION TO SEVER; NOTICE (FILED AUGUST 28, 2017)	3	187-192
	27	10	NOTICE OF APPEAL (FILED DECEMBER 16, 2020)		1802-1803
	28	10	NOTICE OF APPEAL (FILED DECEMBER 17, 2020)		1804-1805

	1 2	10 NOTICE OF APPEAL (FILED DECEMBER 17, 2020)	1806-1807
	3	10 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER (FILED DECEMBER 17, 2020)	1808-1822
	4 5	10 PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION)	
	6 7	(FILED OCTOBER 25, 2018)  RECORDER'S PARTIAL TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: INITIAL ARRAIGNMENT;	1662-1669
	8	INDICTMENT WARRANT RETURN OCTOBER 1, 2015 (FILED AUGUST 09, 2018)	180-186
	10	2 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: CALENDAR CALL APRIL 10, 2018 (FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	270-274
<b>).</b> Floor -0623	11 12	10 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	
<b>DRAM, LTI</b>   SECOND  ADA 89101  X. 702.974	13 14	(POST-CONVICTION) JANUARY 28, 2020 (FILED JANUARY 08, 2021)	1760-1762
CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. 20 SOUTH 4 <sup>TH</sup> STREET   SECOND FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 TEL. 702.384-5563   FAX. 702.974-0623	15	10 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS: PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION) OCTOBER 15, 2020 (FILED JANUARY 08, 2021)	1763-1786
CHRIS 520 SOUTH LAS TEL. 702.3	16 17	2 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: PRETRIAL CONFERENCE; DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE, DEFENDANT'S MOTION	
	18 19	MOTION IN LIMINE; DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO SUPPRESS STATEMENTS AND REQUEST FOR JACKSON v. DENNO HEARING MARCH 06, 2018 (FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	264-269
	20 21	2 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: STATUS CHECK: STATUS OF CASE -	
	22	REDACTIONS NOVEMBER 16, 2017 (FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)  2 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING:	249-254
	<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	STATUS CHECK: STATUS OF CASE - REDACTIONS NOVEMBER 30, 2017 (FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	255-259
	25	2 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: STATUS CONFERENCE -REDACTIONS	
	<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>	DECEMBER 14, 2017 (FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	260-263
	28		

	1 2 3	2 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL DATE; STATUS CHECK: NEGOTIATIONS/TRIAL SETTING NOVEMBER 02, 2017 (FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	240-248
	4 5 6	1 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS GRAND JURY INDICTMENT RETURNS SEPTEMBER 23, 2015 (FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	171-173
	7 8	2 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS PRETRIAL CONFERENCE; DEFENDANT'S JOINDER TO CO-DEFENDANT CLEMON HUDSON'S MOTION TO SEVER OCTOBER 12, 2017 (FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	203-230
	9 10 11	10 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS SENTENCING JUNE 21, 2018 (FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	1615-1658
<b>AM, LTD.</b> SECOND FLOOR A 89101 702.974-0623	12 13	2 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS STATE'S REQUEST: STATUS CHECK TO ADDRESS BRUTON ISSUES WITH THE DEFENDANT'S STATEMENTS OCTOBER 31, 2017 (FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	231-239
CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. 20 SOUTH 4 <sup>TH</sup> STREET   SECOND FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 TEL. 702.384-5563   FAX. 702.974-0623	14 15 16	1 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS GRAND JURY SEPTEMBER 22, 2015 (FILED OCTOBER 08, 2015)	1-170
CI 520 SO 1 TEL. 7	17 18 19	10 REPLY TO STATE'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION) (FILED JANUARY 16, 2020)	1755-1759
	20 21	10 SENTENCING MEMORANDUM ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT CLEMON HUDSON (FILED JUNE 20, 2018)  2 STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S	1590-1593
	<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	MOTION TO SEVER (FILED SEPTEMBER 18, 2017)  STATE'S RESPONSE TO SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S	193-202
	25 26	PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION) AND REQUEST FOR EVIDENTIARY HEARING (FILED DECEMBER 31, 2019)	1742-1754
	27 28	10 SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION) (FILED DECEMBER 18, 2019)	1672-1741

	1 2	Л	CRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: URY TRIAL - DAY 1 APRIL 16, 2018 FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	280-432
	3	Л	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: URY TRIAL - DAY 2 APRIL 17, 2018 FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	433-655
	5 6	Л	CRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: URY TRIAL - DAY 3 APRIL 18, 2018 FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	656-783
	7 8	Л	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: URY TRIAL - DAY 4 APRIL 19, 2018 FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	784-948
	9 10	Л	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: URY TRIAL - DAY 5 APRIL 20, 2018 FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	949-1084
r. Floor 0623	11 12	Л	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: URY TRIAL - DAY 6 APRIL 23, 2018 FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	1085-1212
HRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD UUTH 4 <sup>TH</sup> STREET   SECOND   LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 '02.384-5563   FAX. 702.974	13 14	Л	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: URY TRIAL - DAY 7 APRIL 24, 2018 FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	1213-1364
CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. 20 SOUTH 4 <sup>TH</sup> STREET   SECOND FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 TEL. 702.384-5563   FAX. 702.974-0623	15 16	Л	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: URY TRIAL - DAY 8 APRIL 25, 2018 FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	1365-1388
520 SG TEL.	17 18	Л	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: URY TRIAL - DAY 9 APRIL 26, 2018 FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	1389-1520
	19 20	Л	CRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: URY TRIAL - DAY 10 APRIL 27, 2018 FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	1521-1534
	21 22		/ERDICT FILED APRIL 27, 2018)	1588-1589
	23			
	24			
	<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>			
	27			
	28			

# CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. 520 SOUTH 4<sup>TH</sup> STREET | SECOND FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 Tel. 702.384-5563 | Fax. 702.974-0623

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify and affirm that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada
Supreme Court on 6 <sup>th</sup> August, 2021. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made
in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

AARON FORD Nevada Attorney General

CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, ESQ.

BY:

/s/ Nancy Medina
An Employee of Christopher R. Oram, Esq.

Electronically Filed 9/25/2018 9:23 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

# **RTRAN** 1 2 DISTRICT COURT 3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 4 5 6 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 7 Case No. C-15-309578-1 and Plaintiff(s), 8 Case No. C-15-309578-2 VS. 9 DEPT. XVIII STEVEN TURNER AND CLEMON 10 HUDSON, 11 Defendant(s). 12 13 BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARK B. BAILUS, 14 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 15 16 WEDNESDAY, APRIL 18, 2018 17 18 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: **JURY TRIAL - DAY 3** 19 20 21 (Appearances on page 2.) 22 23 24 RECORDED BY: ROBIN PAGE, COURT RECORDER 25

Case Number: C-15-309578-2

1	APPEARANCES:	
2	For the Plaintiff(s):	LEAH C. BEVERLY, ESQ. (Deputy District Attorney)
4		JOHN L. GIORDANI III, ESQ. (Deputy District Attorney)
5	For the Defendant	
6	Steven Turner:	TEGAN C. MACHNICH, ESQ. (Deputy Public Defender) ASHLEY L. SISOLAK, ESQ.
7		(Deputy Public Defender)
9	For the Defendant Clemon Hudson:	CLAY PLUMMER, ESQ.
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		2

### INDEX Page # Preliminary Instructions Read Opening Statement by the State Opening Statement by Defendant Turner Opening Statement by Defendant Hudson **Evidentiary Hearing Commenced** WITNESSES FOR EVIDENTIARY HEARING FOR THE STATE: ERIC CLARKSON **Direct Examination** Cross-Examination by Ms. Machnich **Evidentiary Hearing Concluded** WITNESSES FOR THE STATE: **ERIC CLARKSON Direct Examination** Cross-Examination by Ms. Sisolak Cross-Examination by Mr. Plummer Recross-Examination by Ms. Sisolak WILLOUGHBY POTTER DE GRIMALDI **Direct Examination** Cross-Examination by Ms. Machnich Cross-Examination by Mr. Plummer Redirect Examination

# EXHIBITS

1	EXHIBITS	
2		Page #
3	For the State	
4	Nos. 24, and 92 through 105	59
5	No. 11A Nos. 62 through 64, and 106 through 115	70 91
6	No. 117	103
7	No. 28	112
	For the Defendants	
8	Nos. A and B	119
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	4	

# 

# 

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, WEDNESDAY, APRIL 18, 2018

[Proceedings commenced at 1:14 p.m.]

[Outside the presence of the jury.]

THE COURT: Good morning -- or good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Please be seated. This is the continuation of the trial in Case Number C-15-309578-1 and -2, *Plaintiffs, State of Nevada vs. Defendants, Steven Turner and Clemon Hudson*.

Counsel, state your appearances, please.

MS. BEVERLY: Leah Beverly and John Giordani for the State.

MS. MACHNICH: Tegan Machnich and Ashley Sisolak for Mr. Turner.

MR. PLUMMER: Clay Plummer for Mr. Hudson.

THE COURT: Let the record reflect the presence of the defendants.

It's my understanding, counsel, that I will read the preliminary instructions to the jury, at which time we'll proceed with opening statements, and then we're going to take testimony after that.

MS. BEVERLY: Yes.

THE COURT: Is there any housekeeping matters we need to take care of?

MR. GIORDANI: Yes. Briefly, Your Honor. There were some statements made by both defendants. Your Honor made pretrial rulings on some of them, redacted others. The -- the statements that have been

deemed admissible by Your Honor, we have not determined whether or not we're going to play those in -- in our case in chief. For that reason we're not going to reference them in any way in our opening statement, and we'd ask that the defense not do so, because, obviously, they'd be hearsay if they came in through them. And we haven't decided whether we're going to play them or not.

THE COURT: Defense, what's your position?

MS. MACHNICH: We'll obviously respect that and understand how the rules of evidence work, so we will not be going into them either.

THE COURT: Mr. Plummer?

MR. PLUMMER: Your Honor, I'm not going to mention Mr. Hudson's statement in opening.

MS. MACHNICH: And we do have one more housekeeping matter.

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. MACHNICH: Your Honor, we -- the parties have kind of repeatedly discussed potentially doing a stipulation with regard to the friendship between the homeowner and Mr. Turner. At this time, we're not entering into a stipulation with specific language, but it's the understanding of Mr. Turner that all parties will not be going into that friendship, beyond the fact that there was a friendship, and that Mr. Turner had been over to the house to smoke weed.

THE COURT: And that's fine. Apparently there's some text messages that we're going to have a evidentiary hearing and I'm going to make a ruling on whether they're admissible or not. Depending on my

ruling, I want a clarification of that stipulation. Because it appears that that would be the underlying basis for the text, is some type of relationship or friendship between the two -- two individuals.

MS. MACHNICH: Yes, Your Honor. And -- and Ms. Beverly and I have discussed potentially redacting parts of the text messages, if they are allowed in. But that will be something for after --

THE COURT: That's what I was going to inquire when we get to that point. I was reviewing --

MS. BEVERLY: Right.

THE COURT: -- the text messages prior to court. And I wanted to address those at the time we have the testimony regarding the authentication of those messages.

MS. BEVERLY: And that's fine. And when we have the brief hearing outside the presence of the jury, I do need to go into their relationship a little bit for authentication purposes, but, obviously, not in front of the jury. And whatever Your Honor rules on the text messages, if they do come in, there will be things that need to come out of those.

MS. MACHNICH: Yeah. And that's our position as well.

THE COURT: And, quite frankly, counsel, I want you on one of the breaks to confer and see if you can come to an agreement, if they do come in, what is to be redacted.

MS. BEVERLY: Okay. I have it right here, so --

THE COURT: Sometimes since we now have the jury sworn, I want to take shorter breaks, if possible.

MS. MACHNICH: Of course.

1	MS. BEVERLY: Okay.
2	THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.
3	MS. MACHNICH: Thank you.
4	THE COURT: Can I bring the jury in?
5	MS. BEVERLY: Yes.
6	MR. GIORDANI: Yes Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Thank you.
8	[Jury reconvened at 1:18 p.m.]
9	THE COURT: Please be seated. Will the parties stipulate to
10	the presence of the jury?
11	MR. GIORDANI: Yes, Your Honor.
12	MS. MACHNICH: Yes, Your Honor.
13	MR. PLUMMER: Yes, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Thank you.
15	Ladies and gentlemen, you are now sworn members of this
16	jury. Before we commence with opening statements, I need to make
17	some introductory remarks.
18	You're admonished that no juror may declare to a fellow juror
19	any fact relating to this case or his or her own knowledge. And if any
20	juror discovers during the trial or after the jury has retired that he or she
21	or any other juror has personal knowledge of any fact in controversy in
22	this case, he or she shall disclose such situation to myself in the
23	absence of the other jurors.
24	This means that if you learn during the course of this trial that

you were acquainted with the facts of this case or the witnesses, and

you have not previously told me of this relationship, you must then declare that fact to me.

You communicate to the court through my marshal. During the course of this trial the attorneys for both sides, the parties, the witnesses, and court personnel, other than the marshal, are not permitted to converse with members of the jury. These individuals are not being antisocial. They are bound by ethics and the law not to talk to you. To do so might contaminate your verdict.

You are admonished additionally that you are not to visit the scene of any of the acts or occurrences made mention of during this trial unless specifically directed to do so by the court. Please don't investigate this case or anyone who has anything to do with this case on your own. Do not undertake any legal or factual research on your own.

What I now will say is intended to serve as in introduction to the trial of this case. It is not a substitute for the detailed instructions on the law which I will give to you at the close of the case and before you retire to consider your verdict.

This is a criminal case commenced by the State of Nevada, which I may sometimes refer to as the State, against Steven Turner and Clemon Hudson. Defendants -- the case is based on an indictment. The clerk will now read the indictment to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury and state the plea of the defendants to the indictment.

Counsel is it an indictment or an amended indictment?

MS. BEVERLY: Amended.

MR. GIORDANI: Amended.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to restate that. Apparently it's an amended indictment.

This case is based on amended indictment. The clerk will now read the amended indictment to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury and state the plea of the defendants to that amended indictment. Do you have the amended? Thank you.

[Information read.]

THE COURT: Thank you.

This case is based on the amended indictment which has been read to you by the clerk. You should distinctly understand that the amended indictment is simply a charge and that it is not, in any sense, evidence of the allegations it contains.

The defendants have pled not guilty to the amended indictment. The State, therefore, has the burden of proving each of the essential elements of the charges beyond a reasonable doubt. As the defendants sit there now, they are not guilty. The purpose of this trial is to determine whether the State will meet that burden.

It is your primary responsibility as jurors to find and determine the facts. Under our system of criminal procedure, you are the sole judge of the facts. You are to determine the facts from the testimony you hear and the other evidence including exhibits introduced in court. It is up to you to determine the inferences which you feel may properly be drawn from the evidence.

At times, I may sustain objections or direct that you disregard certain testimony or exhibits. You must not consider any evidence to

which an objection has been sustained or which I have instructed you to disregard.

Anything you may have seen or heard outside the courtroom is not evidence and must also be disregarded. You must not be influenced in any degree by any personal feeling of sympathy for or prejudice against the State or the defendant. Both sides are entitled to the same fair and impartial consideration.

In considering the weight and value of the testimony of any witness, you may take into consideration the appearance, attitude, and behavior of the witness, the interest of the witness in the outcome of the case, if any, the relation of the witness to the defendant or the State, the inclination of the witness to speak truthfully or not, and the probability or improbability of the witness's statement and all of the facts and circumstances in evidence. Thus, you may give the testimony of any witness just such weight and value as you believe the testimony of the witness is entitled to receive.

There are two kinds of evidence: Direct evidence and circumstantial. Direct evidence is testimony by a witness about what that witness personally saw or heard or did. Circumstantial evidence is testimony or exhibits which are proof of a particular fact from which, if proven, you may infer the existence of a second fact. You may consider both direct and circumstantial evidence in deciding this case. The law permits you to give equal weight to both, but it is for you to decide how much weight to give to any evidence.

Opening statements and closing arguments of the attorneys

are not intended to help you -- repeat.

Opening statements and closing arguments of the attorneys are intended to help you in understanding the evidence and applying the law, but they are not evidence. In arriving at a verdict in this case as to whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty, the subject of the penalty or punishment is not to be discussed or considered by you and should in no way influence your verdict.

Until this case is submitted to you, you must not discuss it with anyone, even with your fellow jurors. After it is submitted to you, you must discuss it only in the jury room with your fellow jurors. It is important that you keep an open mind and not decide any issue in the case until the entire case has been submitted you under instructions from me.

If you cannot hear a witness, please raise your hand as an indication. Also, if you need to use the restroom or you -- or if you feel ill, please also raise your hand as an indication.

We will take intermittent breaks as the Court sees fit, usually about every 90 minutes or so. And for the most part, I have no objection to jurors bringing drinks into the courtroom.

I may, during the trial, take notes of the witnesses' testimony and -- and you will see me working on my computer. You are not to make any inference from that action. I am required to prepare for legal arguments of counsel during this trial. And for that reason, I may take notes.

The jury will not have a transcript to consult at the close of the

 case. However, the jury will be furnished with notepads and pencils and will be allowed to take notes.

The trial will proceed in the following manner: The deputy district attorney will make an opening statement, which is an outline to help you understand what the State expects to prove. Next, the defendant may, but does not have to, make an opening statement. Opening statements are not evidence, but serve as an introduction to the evidence which the party making the statement intends to prove.

The State will then present its evidence and counsel for the defendants may cross-examine the witnesses. Following the State's case, the defendants may present evidence and the deputy district attorney may cross-examine the witnesses. However, as I have said, the defendants are not obligated to present any evidence.

You will be given the opportunity to ask written questions of any of the witnesses called to testify in this case. You are not encourage to ask large numbers of questions, because that is the primary responsibility of the parties.

Questions may be asked only if -- only in the following manner: After both sides have finished questioning the witnesses, and only at this time, if there are additional questions you would like to ask the witnesses, you may then seek permission to ask that witness a written question.

Should you desire to ask a question, write your question down with your juror number on a full sheet of clean paper and raise your hand. All questions from jurors must be factual in nature and designed

to clarify information already presented. In addition, jurors must not place undue weight on the responses to their questions.

The marshal will pick up your questions and give it to me. All questions must be directed to the witness and not to the lawyers or to the judge. After consulting with the parties, I will determine if your question is legally proper. If I determine that your question may properly be asked, I will ask it. No adverse inference should be drawn if the Court does not allow a particular question.

After all the evidence has been presented, I will instruct you on the law. After the instructions on the law have been read to you, each side has the opportunity to present oral argument. What is said in closing arguments is not evidence. The arguments are designed to summarize and interpret the evidence. Since the State has the burden of proving the defendants' guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, the State has the right to open and close the arguments.

After the arguments have been completed, you will retire to deliberate on your verdict. Again, let me -- let me remind you that until this case is submitted to you, one, do not talk to each other about it or about anyone who has anything to do with it until the end of the case when you go to the jury room to decide on your verdict; two, do not talk with anyone else about this case or about anyone who has anything to do with it until the trial has ended and you have been discharged as jurors.

Anyone else includes members of your family and your friends. You may tell them that you are a juror in a criminal case, but

•

•

don't tell them anything else about it until after I have discharged you.

Do not let anyone talk to you about the case or about anyone who has anything to do with it. If someone should try to talk to you, please report it to me immediately by contacting my marshal. Do not read any news stories or articles or listen to any radio or television reports about the case or about anyone who has anything to do with it. Do not visit the scene of any of the events mentioned during the trial or undertake any investigation or research on your own. Do not do any posting or communications on any social networking sites or do any independent research, including Internet searches.

Counsel, is there a request to exclude out -- exclude witnesses?

MS. MACHNICH: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: If there is anyone present who expects to be called as a witness, please leave the courtroom. Please remain available in the hallway and do not discuss your testimony or the testimony of any other witness with anyone except the parties to this case and their attorneys.

State, you may proceed with opening statement.

## **OPENING STATEMENT BY THE STATE**

MS. BEVERLY: Thank you, Your Honor.

Thank you.

Can everybody see this okay? So we're here this week and we'll be here next week for the *State of Nevada vs. Steven Turner and Clemon Hudson.* 

And this is the time of the trial where we're here for the opening statements. And the purpose of an opening statement is to give you, as the jury, a roadmap as to the testimony and the evidence that you will hear over the next few days so you have a context to place it into as we continue on.

And I ask that you pay close attention to all the evidence that you'll hear in this case. There'll be lots of witnesses and lots of different evidence. So I ask that you keep an open mind and hold onto that evidence and take it back in the room with you to deliberate.

So in this trial we have Clemon Hudson, Defendant No. 2, and Steven Turner, Defendant No. 1. And they are charged both with the following crimes that you heard about: Conspiracy to commit burglary, attempt burglary while in possession of a firearm, two counts of attempt murder with use of a deadly weapon for both officers, and battery with use of a deadly weapon resulting in substantial bodily harm for an Officer Robertson that you'll hear from during this trial.

You're going to hear that this crime took place -- or these crimes took place on September the 4th of 2015 in the very, very early morning hours, approximately 3:30 in the morning. And it happened over on -- at 6729 Oveja Circle. And just to familiarize you with the area, Oveja Circle is in the area of Rainbow and Westcliff, and Rainbow and Alta. You'll see various maps in this case showing you the overall location of this particular crime. But it happened at this house, and this house actually backs up to the major street of Rainbow.

During this trial, you'll meet the homeowners of this home, Eric

Clarkson and Willoughby Grimaldi. They'll actually be testifying today and tomorrow. And they will tell you that they lived in this home.

They've been living there for a while. And that is their vehicle, this white

They've been living there for a while. And that is their vehicle, this white vehicle parked in front of the home. And that's how the vehicle was parked there on September the 4th of 2015.

You'll hear from Mr. Eric Clarkson that he actually knew the defendant, Steven Turner. He'd known him for a while. Mr. Turner had been over to his house before. They had smoked weed together before. So he had a friendly relationship with him prior to this incident happening.

So you'll hear that on September the 4th of 2015, Eric and Willoughby were in their home getting ready to go to sleep. They're kind of night owls. So they stay up pretty late, but they were getting in their bed to go to sleep, had just kind of fallen asleep when Eric heard something on the back patio.

You're going to see a lot of photos in this trial of the layout of this house, the backyard of the house, the inside of the house, and the front of the house. And this area that we're looking at in this photo here is the backyard of the house. So the backyard is kind of separated into a small patio area, then a -- an open area, and then in further -- later on pictures, you'll see there's a pool area as well at the house.

So Eric was woken up to this noise on the patio. And he looked out of his window, which is actually on the side of the little patio, looked into the patio and saw someone on the patio. And that's his window. You'll hear about how he looked out that window and what he

saw when he looked out that window.

When he heard this noise, he woke up his roommate, Willow, and said, Hey, Willow, there's somebody out there. We're not expecting anybody. You know, what's going on? So Willow gets up out of his bed and he looks outside the patio too and sees an African-American adult male with a cap on cocking a shotgun in the backyard.

Obviously, this was of great concern to Willow and Eric, and so they call 911 immediately. They're on the phone with 911 for quite a period of time waiting for officers to arrive. And during this approximately 10 to 15-minute time period, a lot of stuff is going on surrounding their house.

You'll hear this 911 call and you'll hear that as they're waiting for officers to arrive, they actually hear someone at the front door of their house, banging on the door, a different male than who was in the backyard, banging on the door, knocking on that door. And if you can see, it's kind of a, like, a screen door, a metal screen door in front of the actual wood door. So they look out the window and they see that.

While this is also going on, they see, Willow out of his bedroom window, which is a different bedroom than where Eric was staying, and he looks out of his window and sees another male crossing over the back part of the house.

So again, this is all going on as this 911 call is being heard.

And you'll hear that whole call. They will also tell you that prior to officers getting there, the male who was banging on the front door runs away from the house, runs down the cul-de-sac and runs completely

away from the house. And a few minutes later, officers arrive.

And the officers who arrive are two officers, Officer Robertson and Officer Grego-Smith. They are both graveyard officers. They've been with Metro for a period of time. And they get assigned this prowler call, as you'll hear it's described as, on the dispatch radio from Oveja Circle.

So they arrive at the location. They kind of check out the scene when they first get up there. This is kind of an older neighborhood, so the numbers on the house are kind of hard to see. It's very dark outside around this time, 3:30 in the morning.

And so when they get to the house, they kind of check out the areas of the house, the side area, the front of the house. And they realize they can't get into the backyard of the house because of the way the house is situated and the way the gates on the house are. So they tell dispatch, Hey, can you tell the homeowners to let us in through the front of the house. So dispatch tells Eric and Willoughby the officers have arrived, can you please let them in the front of your home.

At that point, Eric's 911 call cut off and he lets the officers into the home. And you're going to see, again, photos of this layout of this house, where the front door is, where the back door to the patio is, where the living room is. But if you look at this photo, you can see that there is a living room area that's right in front of the front door of the house, and it heads straight back to the kitchen area of the house, and then a back door that leads right onto the small patio area of the backyard portion.

So officers go into the house. And Willow and Eric, they kind of stand by the front door kind of watching what's happening with the officers. And they tell the officers, Hey, there's still somebody in the backyard. And they tell Officer Robertson, Hey, this is how you unlock the back door, so he can know how to get in the backyard.

So Officer Robertson and Officer Grego-Smith make up a plan to clear the backyard. And they'll explain to who you what clearing a backyard means when they have a prowler-type call.

So they make this plan. Officer Grego-Smith is going to go through the door first to start clearing the backyard, followed by his backup officer, Robertson. Officer Robertson opens the back door to that patio, and immediately gunshots -- gunshots start coming from the patio into the home.

And you're going to hear from Eric and Willoughby, who are watching this happen, that two different calibers of gunfire comes through that house. One of those gunshots, in fact, strikes Officer Robertson in the hip/leg area. And Officer Grego-Smith immediately fires back onto the patio after being fired upon.

You'll hear what we call radio traffic in this case. Radio traffic is when officers are communicating over a radio -- the radio from between dispatch. They're getting information. They're telling dispatch information so everybody can be on the same page. And you'll hear radio traffic in this case, and you'll hear Officer Grego-Smith saying, Shots fired shots fired, officer down.

And you'll hear that one suspect is still in the backyard. And

 there's another suspect who jumped over the wall of the house onto Rainbow. Again, the house faces -- the back of the house faces Rainbow.

And you'll hear, actually, on the radio traffic, Officer Robertson saying, Two suspects, two suspects, I've been shot with a high-powered rifle.

Officer Grego-Smith gets on the radio saying, We need people to come, we need more units to come, we've just been shot at, I can't see the suspect in the backyard, I know he's out there, but I can't see him.

And as it turns out, the suspect in the backyard is Mr. Hudson.

And he is lying behind kind of a -- like, an awning area that separates
the -- the back patio from the grassy part of the backyard.

And so as he's on the radio, other units begin to arrive, including the K-9 unit. And Officer Grego-Smith is saying, I can't see him, I can't see him, you know, stay still, don't move, don't move, but I can't see him. It's pitch black out there.

So the K-9 unit arrives. They give Mr. Hudson instructions and eventually he doesn't comply and they let the dog out to find him. They immediately find him and he's found right in that area. And this is who they find, Clemon Hudson back there.

And back there with him are multiple firearms, three different guns. There was a handgun an SKS and an AK-47 -- excuse me, an SKS and a shotgun. And these are weapons that you'll see. You'll actually see various pictures of these weapons throughout the trial.

You'll get a chance to take all these photos back with you in the jury deliberation room.

Now, lots and lots of -- of officers arrive on this scene. And the reason for that, that you'll hear throughout this trial and what the evidence will show, is that there's an outstanding suspect and they need to find this outstanding suspect. And so they set up what is known as a perimeter. You'll hear what a perimeter is, what that means in terms of law enforcement. But it basically means the containment area, because they need to search the area for this outstanding suspect. They know there's two of them. They know one's in the backyard; the other one has hopped over this wall, somewhere, hopefully in this neighborhood.

The perimeter they set up is very, very large. It goes all the way from Buffalo, Westcliff, Alta, all the way almost over here to this high school. So very, very large perimeter and there's multiple officers searching all other this neighborhood for this second suspect.

And a few hours later, they find the second suspect. And that is Steven Turner. And you'll hear from Officer Vance, who was the officer who was on patrol, one of the officer -- hundreds of officers looking in this area. And he'll tell you that he came in contact with Mr. Turner in that neighborhood about a mile -- actually, about half a mile from where Oveja Circle is, where these shots had come out. And he'll tell you that as soon as he saw Mr. Hudson -- and he saw him, he noticed that there was a gunshot wound to his left calf.

Detectives in this case get assigned to this particular investigation and they begin their investigation into the shooting at Oveja

Circle and the burglary at Oveja Circle. And it's assigned to Detective Joe Patton and Marc Colon. And they -- as they do their investigation, along with crime scene analysts, who you'll hear from multiple crime scene analysts in this case, they come to the conclusion that 16 shots had been fired in this incident. Okay.

There was four from Mr. Hudson and Mr. Turner, and 12 from Officer Grego-Smith. And you'll hear about the trajectories of those shots. And you'll hear about how they were able to calculate that from these various officers.

So in terms of the shots from Mr. Hudson and Mr. Turner, you'll hear there was one shot from an SKS rifle. It went through Officer Robertson's leg, through the security gate at the front door and out through the front yard, very high powered.

The next shot was a shotgun blast. You'll hear that that went, also, through the house to the front window of the house by where the front door is. And you'll hear descriptions of those various shots also from the homeowners who were right by that front door watching this all happen.

The third shot you'll hear from crime -- crime scene analysts hit the back of a chair on the patio, fragmented -- fragmented all over the place. Some ended up in the cushions of the fabric. And one of those pieces ended up in Mr. Turner's leg.

And the fourth shot from the SKS also, also went to -- towards the TV on the patio, fragmented and kind of broke up after that -- after it hit the TV and the console.

You'll hear about Officer Grego-Smith's rounds as well. All of his rounds went through this screen-type mechanism that, again, we talked about separates the patio from the grassy area of the backyard. And they were able to account for all of Officer Grego-Smith's rounds.

And you'll see -- you'll hear from crime scene analysts as well as other people in this case that they actually had to recreate this screen, because the screen had fallen down during this incident. And so when we go back and look at this back picture, that wasn't the way it was. They had to put it back up there so they could count the bullet holes that went through that screen.

And one of the bullets from Officer Grego-Smith that went through that screen ended up hitting the shotgun, rendering it unable to be fired anymore. So this is all happening very quickly.

And you'll hear from the detectives and the crime scene analysts and the trajectory analysis that they knew it was Mr. Turner who actually shot himself based on accounting for all of the -- the bullets in this case and all the shots in this case.

You'll hear from a DNA analyst in this case. You'll hear from a fingerprint analyst. And they will give you some additional information about what items were found and what items were tested in this case.

You'll also hear about some surveillance video in this case showing where Mr. Turner went after hopping that backyard of the fence. And they were able to actually track his movements to where he was eventually caught by Officer Vance.

At the end of this trial, the State's going to ask that you find

both Mr. Hudson and Mr. Turner guilty of all five charges in this case. Thank you.

THE COURT: Defense?

MS. MACHNICH: Court's indulgence just one moment, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You may proceed.

MS. SISOLAK: Thank you, Your Honor. We're looking for one photo.

[Pause in proceedings.]

### OPENING STATEMENT BY DEFENDANT TURNER

MS. SISOLAK: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen.

Mr. Turner was along for the ride, but he is not guilty of attempt murder.

We expect that the evidence is going to show you the following:

Mr. Hudson picked up my client in his mom's car and my client brings his dogs along. You're going to hear they were going to steal some marijuana.

One of the things that you're going to see, you take at look at the photo on the TV, my client is dressed like a big dummy. Wouldn't be my first choice considering it's so readily identifiable. Strangely enough, nobody identifies that.

We know that the pair arrived at the house. We know that they hopped the back wall. We know that Mr. Turner, somewhere near the rear of the house -- well, we expect the evidence to show you that he was somewhere near the rear of the yard. The evidence is going to show you that Mr. Turner had a -- excuse me, Mr. Hudson had a gun,

one in his hand and one in his sock.

The evidence is also going to show you that while this pair scoped out that -- scoped out that house where they were going to steal the marijuana from, more people showed up, specifically two men, no shirts, black basketball shorts.

We know that one of those men pounded on the door. We know that a homeowner saw that person take off. We know that that same homeowner saw another person cross that bedroom window that Ms. Beverly talked about, entered into the backyard and joined Mr. Hudson with a firearm.

By now, homeowners are on the phone with 911: We see somebody in the backyard. We see somebody in the backyard. He's got a shotgun. He's wearing a billed hat. He's got a shotgun. We see him. He's in the backyard.

You're going to hear that the police arrive, no lights, no sirens. They sneak up on the house, come through the front door. You're going to hear the 911 call of the homeowners talking to dispatch. Police arrive, they come in through the front door. And as quietly as possible, they sneak through that house, because they know somebody's in the backvard.

They approach that backdoor. The homeowner's telling them how to unlock that metal screen you guys saw. As officers are opening that door, shots ring out. Unfortunately, that is when an officer is shot in the leq.

You're also going to hear that as soon as shots are fired, my

client, Mr. Turner, he takes off running scared. This is not what he signed up for. He signed up to steal some weed. He didn't sign up for anybody to get hurt.

We know Steven takes off. We know that Steven never had a gun. There are no fingerprints on that gun that are his, no DNA on the guns that are his. We know he didn't have a gun. We know he was going to steal weed, and then as soon as things got crazy, he was out.

We know that Steven never changed clothes, because these orange pants have a hole from the shrapnel in the back of them and his blood all over them. We know that later he was stopped by police wearing this outfit. And you're going to hear that nobody identifies my client at all, which is surprising, given that he's wearing a purple shirt and orange pants. No one identifies him at all, much less as the shooter.

But people do identify the shooter, including one of those arriving officers, the two that snuck through the house. They identify a man, black basketball shorts, no shirt, as the shooter.

A few more things you're going to learn: You're going to learn that Mr. Hudson's fingerprints are on those guns. You're going to learn that those homeowners see that there were more than two people there, specifically, three. We talked about the -- the one at the front door, the no shirt and basketball shorts in the backyard, the guy with a shotgun and the billed hat. That's at least three. None of those are identified as my client.

You're going to see Mr. Turner was along for the ride. But as

soon as things got violent, he was literally and figuratively out of there, over the back wall, following that map you guys just saw. He was gone.

At the end of this, I'm going ask you to do something I usually wouldn't do. You guys know what my job is. I'm going to ask you to find Mr. Turner guilty on Counts 1 and 2. That's the conspiracy burglary and the attempt burglary. I'm going to ask you find him guilty on those two counts. That's what he was there for. He was there to steal stuff from that house.

But what he wasn't there for was anyone to get hurt or for any violence. That's why when things turned south, he was gone. I'm going to ask you to find him not guilty of the two counts of attempt murder. But I am going to ask you to consider that Mr. Turner didn't make the greatest choice in being there to take marijuana. But what happened turned violent very quickly. And when it did, my client was over that back wall and across the street. Thank you.

THE COURT: Counsel?

## **OPENING STATEMENT BY DEFENDANT HUDSON**

MR. PLUMMER: Good afternoon. As the judge informed you in the initial instructions, opening statements are not evidence. Nothing that has been said so far is evidence in this trial. I'm asking you to wait until your hear the evidence and see the evidence before you come to any conclusions or think to believe anything that's been said by counsel. I don't believe the evidence is going to show what either of them state.

I do agree with Mr. Turner's counsel. At the end, I'm also going to concede to Counts 1 and 2. We're not here for those two.

We're here for the other three counts. That's why you're sitting here.

Mr. Hudson, you will hear, he did have a shotgun. You're -you're going to hear it. His fingerprints were found on that shotgun.
You're going to hear how a bullet struck that shotgun. You're going hear
how the shotgun went off, why it went off. Mr. Hudson never shot
anyone, never intended on shooting anyone, never intended anyone to
be shot, let alone be shot at.

You're going to hear how the officers pulled up, how they came in tactically quiet, how they heard someone in the backyard and didn't shine a light down the side, didn't holler back saying, Hey, this is the police out front, how they snuck through the house, whipped open the door, how it's pitch black, they can't see out, nobody can see in, and how gunfire started.

And but what you're not going to hear about is any intent. And what you're not going hear about is Mr. Hudson shooting any officer.

Thank you and I'd ask you to keep an open mind through this trial until the evidence is actually in.

THE COURT: Thank you.

State, are you prepared to present your first witness?

MS. BEVERLY: I think we need take a break, Your Honor.

THE COURT: We'll be in a 15-minute recess. During this recess, it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial, to read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any person connected with the trial by any medium of information, including without limitation,

1	newspaper, television, and radio. And you are not to form or express an
2	opinion on any subject connected with this case until it is finally
3	submitted to you.
4	We'll be in a 15-minute recess.
5	[Jury recessed at 2:08 p.m.]
6	THE COURT: We'll be in recess.
7	MS. BEVERLY: Thank you, Your Honor.
8	[Court recessed from 2:08 p.m., until 2:17 p.m.]
9	[Outside the presence of the jury.]
10	THE COURT: This is continuation of the trial of State of
11	Nevada vs. Steven Turner and Clemon Hudson, Case No. C-15-30957-1
12	and -2.
13	Counsel, this is on for Defendant Steven Turner's Motion in
14	Limine. You wanted to conduct an evidentiary hearing; is that correct?
15	MS. MACHNICH: That's correct, Your Honor.
16	MS. BEVERLY: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Do you have the
18	MS. BEVERLY: Yes.
19	THE COURT: authenticating witness available?
20	MS. BEVERLY: His name is Eric Clarkson. He's right
21	outside.
22	[Evidentiary hearing commenced at 2:17 p.m.]
23	ERIC CLARKSON,
24	[having been called as a witness and first duly sworn, testified as
25	follows:]

1		THE CLERK: Please be seated. Sir, could you please state
2	and spel	I your name for the record.
3		THE WITNESS: Eric Clarkson, E-R-I-C. Last name is
4	C-L-A-R-	-K-S-O-N.
5		DIRECT EXAMINATION
6	BY MS. I	BEVERLY:
7	Q	Mr. Clarkson, do you know someone by the name of Steven
8	Turner?	
9	А	Yes, I do.
10	Q	Do you see Mr. Turner in court today?
11	Α	Yes, I do. Yes, I do.
12	Q	Can you please point to him and tell me an article of clothing
13	he's wearing today?	
14	Α	He looks like a light blue shirt and glasses.
15		MS. BEVERLY: Let the record reflect identification of the
16	defendar	nt.
17		THE COURT: The record will so reflect the identification of
18	the defer	ndant, Steven Turner.
19	BY MS. I	BEVERLY:
20	Q	Mr. Clarkson, I want to direct your attention back to 2014/2015
21	time peri	od; did you know Mr. Turner during that time period?
22	Α	Yes, I did.
23	Q	Okay. What was the nature of your relationship with
24	Mr. Turn	er during that time period?
25	Α	Sexual.

1	the 4th c	of 2015; is that correct?
2	Α	Yes, ma'am.
3	Q	Okay. Prior to September the 4th, 2015, had you received
4	some tex	xt messages?
5	Α	Yes, I had.
6	Q	And let me ask you this also: You know Mr. Turner's African-
7	America	n; is that correct?
8	Α	Yes, ma'am. I do.
9	Q	Okay. Do you know anyone else of any race named Steve?
10	Α	Personally, a few friends.
11	Q	Okay. Do you know any other black Steves?
12	Α	No, ma'am.
13	Q	Okay.
14		MS. BEVERLY: Your Honor, if I can approach with what's
15	been ma	arked for the purpose of this hearing only as State's 1, 2, 3,
16	and 4?	
17		THE COURT: You may.
18	BY MS.	BEVERLY:
19	Q	After this incident on September 4th of 2015, you were
20	interview	ved by a detective; is that correct?
21	Α	Yes, ma'am.
22	Q	Okay. At the time, did you know who was involved in the
23	burglary	of your home?
24	Α	No, ma'am, I did not.
25	Q	Okay. Subsequent to your interview your initial interview
		33

1	that, indeed, I knew somebody		
2	Q	Okay.	
3	Α	that was involved.	
4	Q	And did you tell the detectives about some text messages that	
5	you beli	eve well, did you tell them about some some text messages	
6	that you	had received?	
7	А	Yes.	
8	Q	Okay. Why did you tell the detectives about these text	
9	messag	es?	
10	А	Because it plainly states Steve, black Steve.	
11	Q	Okay. So I'm going to show you State's Proposed	
12	Exhibit I	No. 1 for purposes of evidentiary hearing only. What about	
13	well, actually, can you go ahead and read what the content of this text		
14	message is?		
15	Α	Yes.	
16	Q	I'm so happy I remember your number. It's Steve. Just got to	
17	a iP	ad to text you. No phone. Please text me back. Been in city the	
18	last	six months. I need you in my life, baby. I'm ready right now.	
19	The	black Steve you're always mad at.	
20		Okay. What about those text messages caused you to believe	
21	that the	y were from the defendant, Steven Turner?	
22	Α	I I don't know any other black Steves.	
23	Q	Okay. Had you ever been in any kind of sexual relationship	
24	with a b	with a black Steve, other than Mr. Turner?	
25	Α	No, ma'am.	

1	THE COURT: That's fine.
2	BY MS. BEVERLY:
3	Q Can you actually can you actually read the contents of
4	State's Proposed Exhibit No. 3 too?
5	A Yes. Just as in the last paper, it's the continuation of a text.
6	And it says, as I read before:
7	Oh, okay, I get it. Sent from Text Free. Please respond. Ser
8	from Text Free. Hey, they gave me a bus pass. Imma just come
9	over. Been trying to vocal you for vocal you for you for six months
10	Here I come. Sent from Text Free. Nvm
11	I believe that stands for never mind.
12	I get it. You don't give a fuck. I'll leave.
13	Q Okay. And then Exhibit No. 4, the last part of that message?
14	A Never mind, I get it. You don't give a fuck. I'll leave it at that.
15	Q Okay. And you indicated earlier that the last time you had
16	seen, I'm sorry, Mr. Turner had been about six months prior to this,
17	getting these text messages?
18	A At at least.
19	Q At least? Okay.
20	MS. BEVERLY: Nothing further.
21	THE COURT: Thank you.
22	Defense.
23	MS. MACHNICH: Thank you.
24	CROSS-EXAMINATION
25	BY MS. MACHNICH:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

made no sense to me whatsoever.

1	relations	relationship?	
2	Α	A booty call, but intimate, sexual. In my mind, sex is intimacy.	
3	Q	Okay. You weren't you wouldn't it was not a dating	
4	relations	hip?	
5	Α	Absolutely not.	
6	Q	Okay. And yes, obviously, sexually intimate relationship, but	
7	not a dat	ting	
8	Α	Not dating	
9	Q	intimate	
10	Α	in any way. No.	
11	Q	Okay.	
12		MS. MACHNICH: Court's brief indulgence.	
13	Q	Okay. And have you received any communication from this	
14	number	in the past or before these were sent or after these were sent?	
15	Α	I've never that I know, ever received a Text Free message	
16	from any	body before, and not since.	
17	Q	Okay.	
18		MS. MACHNICH: Pass the witness. Thank you.	
19		THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.	
20		State?	
21		MS. BEVERLY: Court's indulgence.	
22		THE COURT: Counsel, can I see the exhibits? Well, let's see	
23	if State r	needs to use them in their rebuttal.	
24		MS. BEVERLY: I have no further questions for this witness.	
25		THE COURT: Thank you Argument?	

which the text message is being offered and provide sufficient direct or circumstantial corroborating evidence of authorship in order to authenticate the text message as a condition precedent to its admission, see NRS 52.015(1). See also NR 47.060, NRS 47.070.

Counsel, argument?

MS. BEVERLY: I'll go first, Judge.

First of all, I guess talking about the purpose for which it's being offered, I think it's being offered to show that not only did Mr. Turner know Mr. Clarkson and know where he lived, he was actually communicating with him a few days before he decides to go over to his house and burglarize him.

It also shows also for the purpose of there being some animosity, at least on the part of Mr. Turner when Mr. Clarkson is not responding, again, adding motive to going over there with guns and breaking into their house.

In terms of the authentication purpose, I think Mr. Clarkson made very, very clear that he only knows one black Steve. He's only been in one sexual relationship with anyone with a -- a black Steve.

I mean, I also want to note that in Mr. Turner's statement that was, Your Honor eventually suppressed, he admits to texting the victim and the victim texting him back. So I think that, in itself, goes to the fact that these text messages are from him.

THE COURT: I -- I thought the gentleman said he did not text him back?

MS. BEVERLY: He sent him back question marks. That's in

his statement. He didn't respond immediately, but he sent him question marks back. And Mr. Turner, in fact, confirms that he text the victim.

He also confirms in that other statement, admits to going over to the house prior to the day of the actual shooting. So I think that also lends to the authentication of these text messages.

MS. MACHNICH: And, Your Honor, we would inquire as to what statement of Mr. Turner she's referring to with regard to --

MS. BEVERLY: Sure.

MS. MACHNICH: And if she can just give me a location, I can -- I certainly have them right in front of me to respond to that.

MS. BEVERLY: It's going to be on Transcript No. 5.

MS. MACHNICH: Okay.

MS. BEVERLY: On page 11.

MS. MACHNICH: Court's brief indulgence.

Your Honor, responding to -- well, first, responding to why the State is offering the text messages. With regard to the fact that the two knew each other, that there was a friendship, that Mr. Turner knew where this house was and would not have somehow forgotten where it was and he had been there numerous times, that's something that we are willing to go so far as to stipulate to. We have no -- no problem admitting that. We're not opposing that. So for that reason, we don't believe that it's necessary to get into these highly prejudicial text messages.

As to any animosity with regard to Mr. Clarkson, referring back to Mr. Turner's statements as the State did, I actually don't believe that

the context -- it -- I don't read it as the context being the same:

Then I called the homey and shit. Then I'm like, all right, let's go there. I had texted and he had texted back. I had texted Derek that he had texted me back. And I'm, like, let's just go over there.

I don't think that text, texted back reference in the statement refers to any such text messages with Mr. Clarkson. I think that those -- that statement section refers to text messages with other individuals. It does not say he texted the homeowner. Does not say the homeowner texted back.

So I think that's an aside for -- I -- I don't think that goes towards the authentication purposes and that he admitted in any way, shape, or form -- my client has never admitted in any way, shape, or form that he sent these text messages or that these were sent from him or alluded to in any way. I think -- I just disagree with the State in the reading of that statement.

With regard to the text message authentication in general, as Your Honor noted in *Rodriguez*, it is on the proponent of the text messages to establish their authentication. In the *Rodriguez* case, these were text messages that were sent from a known phone number to I believe it was a domestic relationship. So the person had the defendant's phone number. And they're still determined that most of the messages did not come in, because they couldn't authenticate that the phone was in the hands of the right person at the time.

So where *Rodriguez* talks about circumstantial evidence surrounding what the context of the text messages, and how that can be

used to authenticate them, the *Rodriguez* case is specifically talking about a situation, where not only did they have them authenticate the number that the messages came from, but they went to look for further information to authenticate that the phone was in the hands of the defendant at the time. And that they were only able to do for a fraction of the text messages in *Rodriguez*, if I recall the case correctly.

And so they only allowed those and thought that was appropriate for those text messages to be in. So not only did they authenticate a phone number they came from, but they then had to have put that phone in a specific person's hands.

In this case, we're talking about text messages sent from a Text Free number. While, obviously, now some -- some substantial time has passed, there's not a lot of context in these messages with regard to who they came from or who they were meant for. For all intents and purposes, these could have been meant for another person.

This text message does not refer to Eric Clarkson by the name which Steven Turner would have known him by. These text messages don't refer to Eric by his given name. They just start talking.

Additionally -- yeah -- yeah. And -- what? Oh, yeah. Yeah. And it's -- and they actually, as my co-counsel just pointed out, it says something about I'm so glad I remember your number. Well, maybe they didn't remember the number and may these messages were meant for a totally different person. We don't know.

There's some very specific syntax and spelling and abbreviations that are listed therein. There are no text messages to

compare, that we have known messages from Steven Turner. So we have no way of authenticating them that way.

It seems like, when it gets down to it, the only thing that these authenticate to is a black Steve, and he didn't know any other black Steves that he was intimate with. However, we don't know if this was meant for him. We don't -- we know that there are many, many Steves out there. Steve is a very common name. We know that there are many black Steves out there. I can tell you my client's father is a black Steve. So there are many people out there that could have been referenced in this text message.

It's sent from this Text Free number, and this number has never before been used with communications between Steven Turner and Eric Clarkson, so they can't even get past to *Rodriguez*, where we're talking about the number being authenticated. They're not even to that point, because, honestly, it cannot be authenticated in this manner.

He -- Mr. Clarkson had never received messages from this number before or after. And there's no context in the messages themselves that Mr. Clarkson did actually know who he was semi speaking with when he sent back a question mark. In fact, the response was a question mark, to suggest that maybe he didn't even know who this was or what this was about.

And then, obviously, as the -- several days passed and then this occurred, and then he sees Steven Turner's name and picture on the television, then he's like, oh, yeah, that could be it. But that's simply -- I -- I would request, not enough to authenticate text messages

that are so prejudicial, not probative of anything at issue in this case, given what the -- what Mr. Turner is willing to stipulate to.

And I don't believe pursuant to *Rodriguez* and Nevada law, the State has reached the level of authentication.

THE COURT: State?

MS. BEVERLY: Judge, I think that everything Ms. Machnich just said can certainly be asked on cross-examination of Mr. Clarkson. But I don't think it goes to authentication. He literally says, black Steve, talking about sexual relationship. That's a very specific thing.

And *Rodriguez* talks about how messages can be authenticated by the context, by the name, by specific things that one person would know about another person. The one --

THE COURT: Where's the sexual references, counsel?

MS. BEVERLY: Your Honor, when he talks about I need you in my life --

THE COURT: It says:

But after that stay, I'm ready to get you right then on my brain and that's only for one reason, you -- who I need you my -- in my life per energy.

And you interpret that as a sexual --

MS. BEVERLY: Well --

THE COURT: -- reference?

MS. BEVERLY: -- before that, Judge, when he's talking -- I believe it's on State's Exhibit No. 1 or 2 where he's talking about Baby, I miss you, I need you in my life, things of that nature, Mr. Clarkson

 testified that he had no other relationship of sexual nature, which is the -the State's position, that's the context, and Mr. Clarkson's position is
that's the context, from a black Steve.

So I think additional questions about, oh, well, you never got a message from this number before and X, Y, and Z are cross-examination. But it doesn't go to the heart of the authentication issue, which is the context of these messages given to Mr. Clarkson days before this incident from the black sleeve -- black Steve is enough to authenticate it.

THE COURT: We'll be in recess for a few minutes.

[Court recessed from 2:44 p.m., until 2:48 p.m.]

[Outside the presence of the jury.]

THE COURT: You may be seated. We're back on the record. Let the record reflect the presence of the State -- I'm sorry, the presence of counsel for the State, counsel for the defendants, and the defendants.

I've reviewed the Proposed Exhibits 1, 2, 3, and 4, argument by counsel. I also reviewed the appropriate statutes as well as *State vs. --* I'm sorry, *Rodriguez vs. State*, 273 P.3d 845. I also reviewed two out-of-state decisions, *State vs. Koch*, 157 Idaho 89, and *State of Arizona vs. Stanley*, 2016 WL 3950676.

At this point, I am not going to allow the text messages to be introduced into evidence. The State has failed to establish authorship of these text messages. Further, in reviewing the text messages, the only response to any of these text messages, that it appears to be on the Exhibit 4, which is a question mark at the bottom of Exhibit No. 4.

The text messages themselves are not so unique as to demonstrate that they were sent by the defendant, Steven Turner. The only reference is black Steve. There -- in response to that portion of the text -- I'm sorry, there is no response to that portion of the text by the person who received the text.

In any event, I'm not going to allow the text to be presented into evidence.

MS. BEVERLY: Thank you.

MS. MACHNICH: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, my decision is further based on the fact that the defense is going to stipulate that there was a relationship between the defendant Steven Turner, and -- and the witness. And counsel, I want that on the record. I want that to be --

MS. BEVERLY: Well --

THE COURT: -- stipulated fact.

MS. BEVERLY: -- before we do a stipulation, I just want to be able to ask the witness questions. And that should satisfy, I think, before we do a stipulation.

MS. MACHNICH: We're happy to do it however the State wants.

MS. BEVERLY: I want to ask him questions.

THE COURT: Well, again, part of my decision is based on the fact that the defense is going to stipulate that there was a relationship between Mr. Turner and Mr. -- and the witness.

MS. BEVERLY: I -- I understand that. But I want to -- I'm still

going to ask the witness questions about very --

THE COURT: That's fine.

MS. BEVERLY: -- specific questions I -- I told Ms. Machnich about.

THE COURT: And there will be no objection by the defense; is that correct?

MS. MACHNICH: No objection to the questions that

Ms. Beverly ran past in the back hallway before we started today. Those
are fine.

And again, we are more than willing to type up whatever stipulation and stipulated language works for the parties with regard to this. If we don't -- if the State or if any party feels like they don't feel like a full picture of the extent of the interactions between the two, absent the sexual basis, is -- is not evident by the testimony, we're happy to do a stip.

THE COURT: That's fine. And that goes to the issue of probative value versus prejudicial effect. Because there's references in these texts that I was very concerned about on exhibit -- on Exhibit 3, there's a reference:

Hey they gave me a bus pass. I-M-M-A just come over. Been trying to vocal for you ups you for six months. Here I come.

I had an issue how that could be interpreted. So in any event, I'm not going to allow them in.

MS. BEVERLY: Okay.

MS. MACHNICH: Thank you.

MR. GIORDANI: Just so -- just so the record's clear, Your Honor, we both -- Ms. Machnich and Ms. Beverly have discussed this extensively. The idea here is that we're not going to introduce any evidence of a sexual relationship. But the relationship in and of itself referred to vaguely is probative, and I don't think there's going to be any objection to that, that he knew him, he had been to his house several times. He knew the address, which is part of, you know, how he ended up there in the first place. We're just -- we're going to instruct the victim right now, no reference whatsoever to any sexual relationship with Mr. Turner.

MS. MACHNICH: And -- and our understanding was there was no -- it's not a relationship. It's a friendship, is how we would class it.

MR. GIORDANI: Oh, yeah. Sure.

MS. MACHNICH: Yeah.

MS. BEVERLY: Yeah.

MS. MACHNICH: The interactions and the fact that they knew each other, he had been over to the house numerous times is not something we're contesting. It's just the --

THE COURT: And you're stipulating to that?

MS. MACHNICH: Yes.

THE COURT: That they had a friendship and they've been to the house -- that your client has been to the witness's house several times?

MS. MACHNICH: Well, I think it's actually a little more in

depth than that. And yes, we are stipulating to all of that. I had a proposed stipulation that -- that went into it. But again, if -- if the State doesn't want to go that way, I'm fine with that as well. This was what we had.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. GIORDANI: Yeah. I saw it. I just --

THE COURT: In any event, I'm going to make -- I'm going to take proposed Exhibits 1 through 4 and make them a court exhibit and they'll be part of the record.

MR. GIORDANI: Understood. If we could just have one minute? We're telling the witness that the texts aren't coming in.

MS. BEVERLY: I told him.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay.

MS. MACHNICH: All right.

[Evidentiary hearing concluded at 2:54 p.m.]

MS. SISOLAK: Your Honor, we do have -- we do have one other housekeeping matter, and -- if I may address.

Your Honor, prior to --

THE COURT: Just so you know, this was going to be a 15-minute recess.

MS. SISOLAK: I -- I understand, Your Honor. This will be very brief.

THE COURT: So if -- if counsel thinks we're going to have an extended recess, please approach the bench and let me know so I don't have the jury just sitting out there. I'll give them more time.

MS. SISOLAK: I understand, Your Honor.
Your Honor, just to note, Ms. Machnich, prior to opening
statements, had invoked the exclusionary rule. Defense counsel,
Ms. Machnich, and I, had filed on behalf of Mr. Turner a Notice of
Witness, witness noticing any and all the State's witnesses, in addition
to several additional witnesses.
It's come to my attention that one of the witnesses we
potentially intend to call has remained in the courtroom. Her name is
Karen Hudson. She is co-defendant's mother. She is relevant because
she's the registered owner of the vehicle. Potentially, we intend to
address some other things with her. So I'd ask that she be excluded.
THE COURT: She will be.
MS. SISOLAK: Thank you.
THE COURT: Is she present now?
MS. MACHNICH: I believe she's outside with the rest of the
family members.
MR. PLUMMER: She's not, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. PLUMMER: And I had told the State I'd stipulate to the
registration. It's not any concern
MS. BEVERLY: It's not the State that has a problem with it.
It's
THE COURT: All right.
MS. BEVERLY: Mr. Turner.
THE COURT: Counsel, you just you have an intention of

1	calling this witness in your case?
2	MS. SISOLAK: Your Honor, I'd be happy to make a proffer
3	with Your Honor
4	THE COURT: No, no, no.
5	MS. SISOLAK: at the bench.
6	THE COURT: I just I want a good faith statement from you
7	that I'm excluding this person because it's a a potential witness in you
8	case.
9	MS. SISOLAK: Depending on if dependent upon if
10	Mr. Hudson chooses to testify or not, she could become pertinent to my
11	case, yes.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Then I'll exclude her.
13	MS. SISOLAK: Thank you.
14	THE COURT: Bring the jury in, please.
15	[Jury reconvened at 2:56 p.m.]
16	THE COURT: You may be seated. Will the parties stipulate
17	to the presence of the jury?
18	MS. MACHNICH: We would, Your Honor.
19	MR. PLUMMER: Yes, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: State? State?
21	MS. BEVERLY: State calls Eric Clarkson.
22	THE COURT: No. State, do you stipulate to the presence of
23	the jury?
24	MS. BEVERLY: Oh, yes. I'm sorry.
25	THE COURT: Thank you. Call your first witness, please.

1		MS. BEVERLY: The State calls Eric Clarkson.
2		ERIC CLARKSON,
3	[havi	ing been called as a witness and first duly sworn, testified as
4		follows:]
5		THE CLERK: Please be seated. Would you please state and
6	spell you	r name for the record?
7		THE WITNESS: Eric Clarkson, E-R-I-C C-L-A-R-K-S-O-N.
8		MS. BEVERLY: May I proceed, Your Honor?
9		THE COURT: You may.
10		DIRECT EXAMINATION
11	BY MS.	BEVERLY:
12	Q	Mr. Clarkson, do you know a person by the name of Steven
13	Turner?	
14	Α	Yes, I do.
15	Q	Do you see Mr. Turner here in court today?
16	Α	Yes, I do.
17	Q	Can you please point to that person and tell me an article of
18	clothing	that they're wearing today?
19	Α	He's right there. He's wearing glasses and a light blue shirt.
20		MS. BEVERLY: Let the record reflect identification of the
21	defenda	nt.
22		THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
23	BY MS.	BEVERLY:
24	Q	I want to direct your attention to prior to 2015,
25	around 2	2013, 2014. At that time, did you have a friendship with
		57

1	Mr. Turner?	
2	Α	Yes.
3	Q	Would Mr. Turner come over to your house?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	How often would he come over to your house?
6	Α	Every other weekend or four times a month, every weekend.
7	Q	And your house at the time, was that at 6729 Oveja Circle?
8	Α	Yes.
9	Q	Okay. When Mr. Turner would come over to your house,
10	would th	e two of you occasionally smoke some marijuana?
11	Α	Yes.
12	Q	Prior to September 4th of 2015, had you and Mr. Turner
13	stopped	communicating?
14	Α	Yes.
15	Q	Okay.
16		MS. BEVERLY: Your Honor, for purposes of the record,
17	defense	counsel and I have stipulated to the admission of State's
18	Exhibit N	lo. 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105,
19	and 24.	
20		THE COURT: Can you say after 100 which ones? What were
21	the other	r ones? 100, and what was after that?
22		MS. BEVERLY: It's going to be 101 through 105, and then
23	Exhibit N	lo. 24.
24		THE COURT: Is that correct, Defense; you're stipulating to
25	the admi	ssion of those exhibits?

1		MS. SISOLAK: As to Mr. Turner, we would, Your Honor.
2		MR. PLUMMER: Yes, Your Honor.
3		THE COURT: At this time, Exhibits State's
4	Exhibits	92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105,
5	and 24 v	will be admitted.
6		[State's Exhibit Nos. 24, and 92 through 105 admitted.]
7		MS. BEVERLY: Thank you, Your Honor.
8	BY MS.	BEVERLY:
9	Q	Okay. Mr. Clarkson, since back in 2015, specifically
10	Septem	oer the 4th of 2015, were you living at the location of 6729 Oveja
11	Circle?	
12	Α	Yes, I was.
13	Q	Is that here in Las Vegas, Clark County?
14	Α	Yes, it is.
15	Q	I'm going to publish
16		MS. BEVERLY: Permission to publish, Your Honor?
17		THE COURT: You may.
18	BY MS.	BEVERLY:
19	Q	I'm going to publish for the jury and I think you can actually
20	see it or	your screen right, what has been now admitted as State's
21	Exhibit N	No. 92. I'll zoom in a little bit for you and the jury. Can you see
22	this map	on your screen?
23	Α	Yes, I can.
24	Q	Okay. And can you see the little red dot marking 67 I'm
25	sorry?	

1		UNIDENTIFIED JUROR: Needs to be positioned over here
2	more, pl	lease. Can't see. It's too far to the left.
3		MS. BEVERLY: Is that better?
4		UNIDENTIFIED JUROR: Much better. Thank you.
5		MS. BEVERLY: And please do let us know if you can't see or
6	hear an	y of the witnesses.
7	BY MS.	BEVERLY:
8	Q	So Mr. Clarkson
9		THE COURT: If I make an inquiry, can the jurors see the
10	witness	since we've moved the screen? I need you to be able to see the
11	witness	as he testifies.
12		UNIDENTIFIED JUROR: Not here. We can see half of him.
13		[Pause in proceedings.]
14		THE COURT: Can you now see the witness? Call all the
15	jurors se	ee the witness?
16		UNIDENTIFIED JUROR: Yes.
17		UNIDENTIFIED JUROR: Yes.
18		THE COURT: Thank you.
19		MS. BEVERLY: Thank you, Your Honor.
20	BY MS.	BEVERLY:
21	Q	Okay. Mr. Clarkson, we were talking about do you see where
22	this red	marking is, where my pen is?
23	А	Yes.
24	Q	Would that be marking your address at 6729?
25	Α	I believe so. It's a little blurry, but I believe so.

1	Α	I do.
2	Q	What is there a window in your bedroom?
3	Α	Yes, there is.
4	Q	Where does the window in your bedroom face? Which part of
5	the hous	e does it face?
6	Α	It faces north directly on to the outdoor patio.
7	Q	And do you have a fan or anything in your actual window?
8	Α	Yes, I do.
9	Q	Okay. I'm going to show you what has been
10		MS. BEVERLY: Or permission to publish, Your Honor?
11		THE COURT: You may publish the ones that the exhibits
12	that have been admitted, counsel.	
13		MS. BEVERLY: Thank you so much.
14	BY MS. I	BEVERLY:
15	Q	Publishing Exhibit No. 101; can you see that on your screen
16	there?	
17	Α	Yes.
18	Q	Okay. What are we looking at here?
19	Α	You're looking at my bedroom window and the fan in my
20	window \	with the the blinds are drawn up to allow for the airflow.
21	Q	Okay. And there's this fan on the right side of the window; is
22	that corre	ect?
23	Α	That is correct.
24	Q	And you indicated that this fan faces the back patio of your
25	home?	

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Okay. What do you do when you hear th	Q	ړ	Okay.	vvnat do	you ao wnen	you near tr	nis :
---	---	---	-------	----------	-------------	-------------	-------

A I was slightly frozen in time with the 911 operator. But Willow immediately went from the back window to the front window. And because we have solar screens, if the lights are on outside and it's dark inside, you can see nothing inside. So he went to the window and he looked out and could see someone outside the front door.

- Q Okay. And again, this whole time, are you still talking to 911?
- A Yes, ma'am.
- Q Are you relaying whatever information that you see as well as things that Willow might be telling you?
  - A Yes, ma'am.
- Q Okay. What happens after Willow goes to the front door and looks out?

A I actually asked the 911 operator if that -- if whoever was beating on the front door were the police. And she -- the operator said no, she could not verify that the officers had yet arrived. And, yes, I was on the phone. But at that point, Willow indicated something to me, that he saw something on the other side of the house. And he went directly to look at what it was.

- Q Okay. At some point, do officers arrive to your home?
- A Yes.
- Q Okay.

MS. BEVERLY: At this time, Your Honor, based on the conversation I just had with defense counsel, they're stipulating to the 911 call, which is Part 1 of Exhibit No. 11.

 was while I was still on the phone with the 911 operator.

And immediately after I hung up the phone, because for some reason I had just kind of forgotten I was on the phone with the 911 operator, when I hung up and I looked back, Officer Robertson was kneeling at my back door and Officer Grego was to the left from my eye view. And Officer Robertson turned the key and opened the back door.

Q Let me stop you right there. I'm going to publish what's been admitted as -- I'm sorry, State's Exhibit No. 100. There we go. Thank you so much.

Actually, let's do exhibit number -- Exhibit No. 98 first. Okay. The perspective of this photo, is this from the front door of your home?

A Yes. Direct -- looking directly toward the back door. In this photo, the actual back door is open and you're looking in towards the backyard.

- Q Okay. So on the right hand, when you come in the front door, we have your living room; is that correct?
  - A That is correct.
  - Q What do we have on the left side?
- A We have a -- a -- I mean, there's a demi wall. And on the right of the demi wall is a small curio cabinet. And on the left of the demi wall, there are coats hanging and that is the hallway that leads to my roommate's bedroom.
- Q Okay. So on -- where my finger is pointed in the very, very far left hand of the screen, that's kind of a hallway that leads to additional bedrooms?

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	Α	Yes.
2	Q	Does your house, in fact, get pretty crowded with other
3	officers?	
4	Α	Yes, ma'am.
5	Q	And did that include a K-9 dog officer?
6	Α	Yes.
7	Q	Do those officers try to keep you and Willow out of the way of
8	what's go	ing on?
9	Α	No.
10	Q	Okay. You don't go, like, in the backyard or anything, though,
11	do you?	
12	Α	Oh, no.
13	Q	Okay. At some point after this has gone on, do you end up
14	meeting v	with some detectives and giving a statement about what
15	happened	d?
16	Α	Yes.
17		MS. BEVERLY: Court's indulgence.
18	Q	The backyard of your house has a pool area; is that right?
19	Α	Yes.
20		MS. BEVERLY: I'm going to show what's been admitted as
21	Exhibit N	o. 21 24, excuse me, Your Honor.
22	Q	Do you remember whether this part of your pool area was
23	damaged	prior to this night?
24	Α	It was not.
25		MS. BEVERLY: Court's indulgence.

1		I have no further questions. I'll pass the witness.
2		THE COURT: Thank you.
3		Any cross-examination by the defense?
4		MS. SISOLAK: Thank you, Your Honor.
5		THE COURT: You may proceed.
6		CROSS-EXAMINATION
7	BY MS.	SISOLAK:
8	Q	Good afternoon, Mr. Clarkson.
9		THE COURT: Counsel, question the witness from the lectern,
10	please.	
11		MS. SISOLAK: Absolutely, Your Honor. I apologize.
12	BY MS.	SISOLAK:
13	Q	Mr. Clarkson, I'll be brief. I know this is tough. I'm sorry.
14		Other than what we heard on the 911 call, any other
15	description	ons of what was seen were given to you by your roommate,
16	correct?	
17	Α	No. What was given to me were quiet, because it was
18	almost 4	:00 in the morning. And what was going on was in the dark and
19	he indica	ted to me initially that he had seen some
20		MR. PLUMMER: Objection. Hearsay.
21	BY MS.	SISOLAK:
22	Q	And I don't want you to tell me what he said.
23	Α	Okay.
24	Q	Maybe I could rephrase my question.
25	Α	Thank you.

1	Q	Mr. Clarkson, I understand this was going very quickly and
2	things we	ere were sort of chaotic. You told officers everything you saw
3	correct?	
4	Α	Yes.
5		MS. SISOLAK: Nothing further. Thank you.
6		THE COURT: Mr. Plummer?
7		MR. PLUMMER: Thank you, Your Honor.
8		CROSS-EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. I	PLUMMER:
10	Q	Good afternoon, Mr. Clarkson.
11	Α	Good afternoon.
12	Q	The do you know Mr. Hudson?
13	Α	No.
14	Q	Have you ever seen Mr. Hudson before?
15	Α	Only in the pictures.
16	Q	Have you ever talked to him?
17	Α	No.
18	Q	Do you know Mr. Turner?
19	Α	Yes.
20	Q	You've known him for five, six years?
21	Α	Now almost eight, even though no contact for the last three
22	and a ha	lf.
23	Q	Since this incident?
24	Α	Correct.
25	Q	But prior to this incident, you had known him for five years?

1	THE COURT: I thought your question was, did the officer tell
2	you who filed fired the first shot.
3	MR. PLUMMER: That's where I'm going.
4	MS. BEVERLY: Well, that would be
5	MR. PLUMMER: I'm going to discussions
6	MR. GIORDANI: Oh
7	THE COURT: Okay. But then you need to make an
8	objection, Ms
9	MS. BEVERLY: I'll make I I'll make an objection to that. I
10	didn't hear I thought he said I thought he asked him, did you tell the
11	officers who filed fired first. I was going to say, I was concerned about
12	proving issues. But I'll make an objection to hearsay.
13	THE COURT: Okay. I let me make sure I heard the
14	question correctly. Did you ask, Did the officer tell you who fired the first
15	shot?
16	MR. PLUMMER: That's where I was
17	THE COURT: Okay. But was that
18	MR. PLUMMER: I hadn't gotten there yet.
19	THE COURT: That's what I
20	MR. PLUMMER: But that is where I was going.
21	THE COURT: thought the basis of your question
22	MR. PLUMMER: That is where I was going, but I hadn't got
23	there
24	MS. BEVERLY: And I think
25	MR. PLUMMER: yet.

MS. BEVERLY: -- both parties are going to have a hearsay objection. Or the State is going to have a hearsay objection to that.

MS. SISOLAK: Well, we will absolutely have one as well.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to sustain the objection.

Certainly, you can ask the officer. And if he makes a different statement, you know, you can bring a prior witness's statement through the rules of evidence. But I'm going to sustain the objection as to what the officer said, if you are asserting it for the truth of the matter asserted.

MR. PLUMMER: Understood.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. SISOLAK: Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. MACHNICH: I was just --

THE COURT: Wait.

MS. MACHNICH: I just -- have a procedural question. Do we get a chance to recross on his cross, since there's no -- we've been -- our client has been attacked by his cross? Or --

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. MACHNICH: -- how does that -- I don't know how that works. I just wanted to ask.

THE COURT: I'm going to let the State do rebuttal. I will give you an opportunity to ask some follow-up questions based -- now, would you rather them ask their final questions before you ask your questions?

MS. BEVERLY: I think the State has to have redirect before either of them can have --

THE COURT: Do recross.

1	MS. BEVERLY: a recross.
2	THE COURT: I agree.
3	MS. BEVERLY: Yeah.
4	MS. MACHNICH: But I thought we get we would get to
5	recross on that.
6	THE COURT: I will let you make
7	MS. MACHNICH: Very briefly.
8	MS. SISOLAK: It will be brief.
9	THE COURT: But I'm just saying, it's going to be limited to
10	anything that he elicits.
11	MS. MACHNICH: Yes.
12	MS. SISOLAK: Yes.
13	MS. MACHNICH: Got it.
14	THE COURT: Not
15	MS. MACHNICH: Nothing else.
16	MR. GIORDANI: Hold on, hold on.
17	THE COURT: I'm not going to keep going
18	MS. MACHNICH: I don't
19	MR. GIORDANI: I'm sorry. So if we don't redirect, you're
20	saying that they can
21	THE COURT: I'm going to let them bring out some
22	MS. MACHNICH: If he
23	THE COURT: question him on
24	MS. MACHNICH: Only on something he brought out.
25	THE COURT: They're not they're not

1	MR. GIORDANI: That's not
2	THE COURT: together, as far as defenses.
3	MR. GIORDANI: Right. Of course.
4	THE COURT: Okay. So I'm going to let Mr. Turner's let me
5	get it right. You represent Mr. Turner; is that correct?
6	MS. MACHNICH: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Would what you I I would let you have a
8	limited recross. I would allow you to reopen.
9	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. We're we're objecting to that.
10	MS. BEVERLY: Definitely object to that.
11	MR. GIORDANI: That that is completely inappropriate,
12	not that is not within the rules of evidence or procedure. That that
13	could potentially cause
14	MS. SISOLAK: I
15	MR. GIORDANI: this trial to go on forever.
16	THE COURT: Well, I'm not going to
17	MS. SISOLAK: I think
18	THE COURT: let it go on forever.
19	MS. SISOLAK: I think that
20	MR. PLUMMER: That is proper procedure.
21	MS. SISOLAK: the record we're making is that because
22	Mr. Plummer is bringing up stuff that we didn't bring up, had I known he
23	was going to bring it out, I would have asked on cross. I'm basically
24	crossing on what
25	THE COURT: All right Here's how

MS. SISOLAK: -- we're considering to be his direct.

THE COURT: -- it can go, counsel. We're -- we're going to do that. I'm doing to let the State do -- yeah. I have a -- I will let either defense counsel then ask some questions based strictly on what came out after they asked their questions.

MS. SISOLAK: Okay.

MS. MACHNICH: Okay.

MS. SISOLAK: That's fine.

THE COURT: Not -- we're not going to get back into everything. It's going to be very limited.

MR. GIORDANI: And I understand. We're -- we're objecting

THE COURT: Okay. That's --

MR. GIORDANI: We respect the Court's decision.

THE COURT: But again, you're right. This is not going to go on and on forever, counsel. And it better be very limited to something that's -- strictly came out.

MS. MACHNICH: It will.

MS. SISOLAK: Understood, Your Honor.

MR. GIORDANI: And just for the record, the -- the State's objection is re -- cross-examination is limited to the direct examination and recross-examination is limited to the redirect examination. That's the rules. That's why we're objecting. Because now you're giving cross on a cross. It's not appropriate.

THE COURT: Okay. But what I can do counsel, what I can

do or -- is I can allow her to reopen her cross before you do your redirect. Okay.

MS. MACHNICH: That's fair. That's fine.

MS. SISOLAK: I don't care when I get to ask --

THE COURT: Thank you. Okay. Do you have an objection to that, where you will get redirect after I let her reopen her cross based on something he brought out?

MR. GIORDANI: Respectfully, yes. I still object to that. The State's position is that cross-examination should be limited to what the State brought out in direct examination, not what some co-defendant does. I've done several co-defendant cases and never heard of that happening before, respectfully.

THE COURT: I understand.

MR. GIORDANI: You know, I -- and to -- that --

THE COURT: I agree in theory what the State is saying.

We're not going to get into this. If there's something -- whether -making offer of proof as to what you want to bring out. But he -because I didn't hear anything that was --

MS. MACHNICH: One of the of things that Mr. Plummer elicited was that you hadn't seen Mr. Turner -- you never saw Mr. Turner again after this night. But I believe Mr. Clarkson's -- is true about this, is Mr. Clarkson didn't see Mr. Turner the night of this incident. And so we have one question about that, which is you didn't see Mr. Turner the night of this incident. Because he didn't see any -- I mean, I'm --

THE COURT: I'm going to ask you -- let you -- I do agree, one

1	question.	
2		MS. MACHNICH: Okay.
3		MS. SISOLAK: Thank you, Your Honor.
4		MR. GIORDANI: Understood.
5		THE COURT: Okay.
6		MS. MACHNICH: After he's done.
7		THE COURT: After he finishes, and then they're going to
8	have the	last words, last few words.
9		MS. MACHNICH: Thank you, Your Honor.
10		MR. PLUMMER: Thank you, Your Honor.
11		[End of bench conference.]
12	BY MR. F	PLUMMER:
13	Q	Mr. Clarkson, I guess let me rephrase my question. You've
14	talked to	a number of police officers involving this case?
15	Α	Yes.
16	Q	And not in not one of those discussions or in any recorded
17	statemen	t did you ever mention that you could see a molten-colored
18	bullet stre	eaming past the hallway?
19	Α	Okay.
20	Q	That that language has never been used before?
21	Α	Oh, no. It was used.
22	Q	When?
23	Α	In in the last situation like this when we were in court. The
24	same que	estion was asked of me, and I believe I answered the same
25	way.	

1	Q	When you spoke to the police officers you never mentioned
2	any of th	nat.
3	Α	Perhaps.
4	Q	Now, at some point you saw Officer Grego turn on the outside
5	light?	
6	Α	He was there. I in my idea, with Officer Robertson on the
7	ground	with his gun drawn, he wouldn't have been able to reach up and
8	flip the li	ight on as well. So somebody had to have turned the light on.
9	You're r	ight, I did not see Officer Grego's hand turn that light switch on.
10	Q	But Officer Grego was standing right there by the light switch?
11	A	Yes, sir.
12	Q	Did you see him with his gun drawn?
13	Α	I did. Both officers had their guns drawn.
14	Q	Both of them had their guns drawn?
15	А	Yes, sir.
16		MR. PLUMMER: Your Honor, I don't have any further
17	question	ns.
18		THE COURT: Thank you.
19		Ms. Sisolak, I'll let you reopen cross-examination and ask one
20	addition	al question.
21		MS. SISOLAK: Thank you, Your Honor.
22		RECROSS-EXAMINATION
23	BY MS.	SISOLAK:
24	Q	Mr. Clarkson, you did not see Mr. Turner that night at your
25	house, t	hat night of the incident, did you?

1	Α	I did not see anybody's face at all, no.
2		MS. SISOLAK: Thank you.
3		THE COURT: State?
4		MS. BEVERLY: I have nothing further.
5		THE COURT: Thank you. Can this witness be excused?
6		MS. BEVERLY: Yes.
7		THE COURT: Sir, thank you for
8		THE WITNESS: Thank you guys.
9		THE COURT: coming today. You may be excused.
10		State, do you have a another witness?
11		MS. BEVERLY: I do. The State's next witness is Willoughby
12	Grimaldi.	
13		MS. SISOLAK: Your Honor, do you mind if we just stood back
14	here?	
15		THE COURT: You're fine.
16		MS. SISOLAK: Thank you, Your Honor.
17		WILLOUGHBY POTTER DE GRIMALDI,
18	[havii	ng been called as a witness and first duly sworn, testified as
19		follows:]
20		THE CLERK: Would you please state and spell your name for
21	the recor	d?
22		THE WITNESS: Willoughby Potter de Grimaldi.
23	W-I-L-L-C	D-U-G-H-B-Y P-O-T-T-E-R space D-E space G-R-I-M-A-L-D-I.
24		THE COURT: Sir, would you mind if I had you back up a little
25	hit and so	you could turn more towards the jurors so they can see your

1	see you as you testify? Is that satisfactory?
2	[Pause in proceedings.]
3	MS. BEVERLY: Court's indulgence. Your Honor, by
4	stipulation of the parties, going to ask that you admit State's Proposed
5	Exhibits No. 106, 107, 108, 109, 62, 63, 64, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114
6	and 115.
7	THE COURT: Is is that correct, the defense has stipulated
8	to the admissions of the exhibits that the State just read off?
9	MS. MACHNICH: On behalf of Mr. Turner, yes.
10	MR. PLUMMER: Yes, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Exhibits 106, 107, 108, 109, 62, 63, 64, 110,
12	111, 112, 113, 114, and 115 will be admitted.
13	[State's Exhibit Nos. 62 through 64, 106 through 115 admitted.]
14	MS. BEVERLY: Thank you, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: And you may publish those exhibits without
16	requesting to publish each one.
17	MS. BEVERLY: Thank you so much.
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MS. BEVERLY:
20	Q Sir, may I call you Willow?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Is that what you typically go by?
23	A Yes.
24	Q All right. I want to direct your attention to September the 4th
25	of 2015. On that day, were you living at 6729 Oveja Circle?

1	Α	I was, yes.
2	Q	Who were you living with at the time?
3	Α	My best friend and roommate, Eric Clarkson.
4	Q	And how long had you been living at that address?
5	Α	On and off, a few years.
6	Q	Now, in that particular home, Eric has a bedroom and you
7	have a b	edroom, I assume; is that correct?
8	Α	Correct.
9	Q	And is your bedroom kind of off of a little hallway off the front
10	door?	
11	Α	Yes. It's the main hallway.
12	Q	And which area of the backyard or the front yard or which part
13	of the ho	use does your bedroom face?
14	Α	Out my window?
15	Q	Yes.
16	Α	It faces south, out to the pool area/backyard.
17	Q	And is there a a fan or anything in your window?
18	Α	Yes. For a swamp cooler, yes.
19	Q	I'm going to show you
20		MS. BEVERLY: If I can approach the witness?
21		THE COURT: You may.
22	BY MS.	BEVERLY:
23	Q	State's Exhibit No., excuse me, 106. Can you see your
24	window	depicted, your bedroom window, depicted in this photo?
25	Α	Yes.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ruckus was happening in the backyard.

1	Q	At some point after you see this well, actually, let me ask
2	you this:	Before you go and check, has Eric called 911 already?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	Do you relay the information that you just saw in the back to
5	Eric to te	ell 911?
6	Α	I did. It was a very I'm sorry, it was a role reversal for us.
7	Usually E	Eric is the leader and I'm more of the assistant or follower. But
8	tonight w	as not that at all. It totally swapped.
9	Q	Had you been expecting anyone to come over to your home
10	that evening?	
11	Α	Not at all.
12	Q	Had you invited anyone over?
13	Α	No. And we communicate everything, so no.
14	Q	You and Eric?
15	Α	Yes.
16	Q	Okay. Sir, did you have any firearms at the house that
17	evening?	?
18	Α	Not at all.
19	Q	I'm going to show you Exhibit No. 95. Do you see the guns
20	depicted in this photo?	
21	Α	I do.
22	Q	Are those your guns?
23	Α	No.
24	Q	Are they Eric's guns?
25	Α	No.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

window where I could see a third person, totally different from both the

the corner I could see directly through to my own bedroom, out that

1	she has the witness point out on the piece of paper, put it up on the	
2	screen and reconfirm it, so that we can all see what it is that he's	
3	testifying to?	
4	MS. BEVERLY: It's it's hard to reconfirm, because he can't	
5	see the screen. So that's why I'm showing the jury	
6	THE COURT: Here is what I'll allow you to do, counsel.	
7	THE WITNESS: Should I do it here?	
8	MS. BEVERLY: If if you can do it here, that would be better	
9	THE COURT: Okay. Wait.	
10	MS. BEVERLY: So.	
11	THE COURT: I'll allow you	
12	THE WITNESS: From this side	
13	MS. BEVERLY: Hold on one second, sir.	
14	THE COURT: Either sir, is that going to can you do it on	
15	that screen? Otherwise, I'm going to allow counsel to stand at the end	
16	of the jury box so you can actually see the exhibit that he's pointing to.	
17	MS. BEVERLY: Okay.	
18	MR. PLUMMER: Either way, Your Honor.	
19	THE COURT: You tell me. What would you prefer?	
20	MR. PLUMMER: I'd prefer to see it on the screen so that we	
21	can all see what the witness is testifying to.	
22	THE COURT: All right.	
23	MS. BEVERLY: Okay. We'll do our best, Judge. Thank you.	
24	THE COURT: Thank you. If you cannot, counsel, then you	
25	can stand at the end of the jury box and actually witness the actual	

1	going to	put it actually, sorry.
2		MS. BEVERLY: For the record, parties stipulate to the
3	admissio	n of Exhibit No. 117.
4		THE COURT: Is that correct, counsel?
5		MS. MACHNICH: Yes, Your Honor, for Mr. Turner.
6		MR. PLUMMER: Yes, Your Honor.
7		THE COURT: Exhibit 117 State's Exhibit 117 will be
8	admitted	
9		[State's Exhibit No. 117 admitted.]
10		MS. BEVERLY: Thank you, Judge.
11	BY MS. I	BEVERLY:
12	Q	So I'm going to show you this first and then I'm going to put it
13	on the	
14		THE COURT: Counsel, approach. If you want to see if this
15	will assis	t the witness in pointing, if you want to put it up on the screen.
16		MS. BEVERLY: Oh, okay. My teacher had these. Let's try
17	this. Oka	ay? Let's publish Exhibit No. 117.
18	BY MS. I	BEVERLY:
19	Q	I'm going to zoom in on this. Do you see Oveja Circle
20	actually,	do you see Nunca on here?
21	Α	Yes.
22	Q	And do you see Oveja Circle?
23	Α	Yes.
24	Q	Do you see where my pen is, Oveja Circle?
25	Α	Yes.

1	stipulation of the parties, at this time I would like to move into evidence
2	State's Exhibit 28.
3	THE COURT: Exhibit it's Defense Exhibit 20?
4	MS. MACHNICH: It's it's State's Exhibit 28.
5	MS. BEVERLY: Obviously, no objection to that.
6	THE COURT: Mr. Plummer?
7	MR. PLUMMER: No objection, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: State's Exhibit No. 28 will be admitted.
9	[State's Exhibit No. 28 admitted.]
10	THE COURT: State's it's State's Exhibit 28; is that correct?
11	[Pause in proceedings.]
12	MS. MACHNICH: Yes. Your Honor, I'm also going to
13	approach the clerk at this time and ask to mark Defense Exhibits A and
14	B, which we'll be requesting to move into evidence pursuant to
15	stipulation of the parties. And I can actually go on with my cross now
16	and come back to those in a minute if that's all right.
17	THE COURT: That's fine.
18	MS. MACHNICH: Thank you.
19	CROSS-EXAMINATION
20	BY MS. MACHNICH:
21	Q All right. Good afternoon.
22	A Hello.
23	Q All right. Just a few preliminary questions. Your testimony is
24	that you saw three shots come into the house, correct?
25	A Directly. That's the only ones that I visually saw and then
	112

1	Q	And he was shirtless?
2	Α	I don't recall.
3	Q	Okay. Would it refresh your recollection to review your
4	testimon	y given to the grand jury in this case potentially with regard to
5	what this	s gentleman might have been wearing?
6	Α	Yes.
7	Q	Okay.
8		THE COURT: You may approach the witness.
9		MS. MACHNICH: Thank you.
10	BY MS. MACHNICH:	
11	Q	Okay. Sir, will you please review the bottom part of this page?
12		MS. MACHNICH: And for counsel's information, it's Page 41
13	of the gr	and jury transcript.
14	Q	And when you're done reading it, please look up.
15	Α	[Witness complies.]
16	Q	Does that refresh your recollection?
17	Α	Yes.
18	Q	Thank you, sir. So I would like to re-ask the question. That
19	man tha	t we've been discussing that you saw out of the dining room side
20	window,	he was shirtless?
21	Α	Yes.
22	Q	Okay. And he appeared to be cocking a shotgun?
23	Α	It appeared that way.
24	Q	Okay. But it could also have been a long gun of some kind?
25	Α	Perhaps. It I I can tell the difference of how you actually
	1	

1	somehow these are booking photos?
2	MR. GIORDANI: Well, I don't think so.
3	THE COURT: Let me see the photos.
4	MS. MACHNICH: Your Honor, prior to prior to testimony
5	today, I spoke with Willow in the anteroom with with the DAs present.
6	I had intended to show him the photos at that time to ask if these were
7	the photos. They had an objection to that, because they believed that
8	would be tainting the witness. And so I did not show them to him. I had
9	attempted to do so and and then I did not.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Just in looking at the photos, they're just
11	head shots with a blank background, nothing indicating any type of
12	that they're booking photos. So because you are not objecting to the
13	photos, I'm going to allow the photos
14	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
15	THE COURT: to come in. But you're not precluded from
16	making additional objections based on the questioning of the photos.
17	MR. GIORDANI: Understood.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	MS. MACHNICH: Thank you, Your Honor.
20	MS. SISOLAK: Thank you.
21	[End of bench conference.]
22	THE COURT: State, it's my understanding you have no
23	objection to the admission of the photos?
24	MR. GIORDANI: Correct.
25	MS. BEVERLY: Correct.

1		THE COURT: At this time, Defense Exhibits A and B will be
2	admitted	i.
3		[Defendant's Exhibit Nos. A and B admitted.]
4		MS. MACHNICH: Thank you, Your Honor.
5	BY MS.	MACHNICH:
6	Q	I'm going to show you what has previously been marked and
7	admitted	as Defense Exhibit A. Do you recognize the hairline in this
8	photograph as one that you have seen previously?	
9	А	No.
10	Q	Okay.
11		MS. MACHNICH: And we previously moved into evidence
12	Number 28, State's Exhibit 28?	
13		THE COURT: State's Exhibit 28 has been admitted.
14		MS. MACHNICH: Okay.
15	BY MS.	MACHNICH:
16	Q	So we've counted the three men that you saw that night. The
17	first in a	cap with a large gun, yes?
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	Shirtless?
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	The second, at the front door with an afro and basketball
22	shorts, a	also shirtless?
23	Α	Yes.
24	Q	Okay. And the running man with wild, spiky hair?
25	Α	Yes.
	1	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fired a round and a round went off from his gun before he was hit and

1	went down?	
2	MS. BEVERLY: Judge, I'm going to object as to improper	
3	impeachment at this time. He can ask the witness, do you recall what	
4	happened in this situation. If he says something different, he can show	
5	him but	
6	THE COURT: He can ask him if he previously testified to	
7	something. I'm going to overrule the objection.	
8	MR. PLUMMER: Thank you, Your Honor.	
9	THE COURT: Was that your question? Have you previous	
10	testified	
11	MR. PLUMMER: Yes, sir. My question was a previous	
12	statement which was different than the one	
13	THE COURT: All right. Ask your question	
14	MR. PLUMMER: he testified to in court today.	
15	THE COURT: counsel. Ask your question.	
16	MR. PLUMMER: Thank you, Your Honor.	
17	BY MR. PLUMMER:	
18	Q In your statements with the detective in your first interview,	
19	you indicated that the officer who was shot you thought fired a round	
20	before he was shot?	
21	MS. BEVERLY: Can I get a page number, please?	
22	THE COURT: You need to ask if that's correct.	
23	BY MR. PLUMMER:	
24	Q Is that correct?	
25	MR. PLUMMER: Page 14.	

1		THE WITNESS: I may have said that, especially in the heat of
2	the moment.	
3	BY MR. I	PLUMMER:
4	Q	If I showed you a copy of what you said, would that refresh
5	your mer	nory?
6	Α	Well, that would be exactly what I said then.
7	Q	Would
8	Α	Would you like to show me a copy?
9	Q	you like yes. Would you like me to show you a copy to
10	refresh y	our memory?
11		MR. PLUMMER: May I approach, Your Honor?
12		THE COURT: You may.
13		MR. PLUMMER: It's page 14 of the statement. I'm showing
14	the witne	ss. Mark that, Your Honor, or no?
15		THE COURT: You don't need to mark. All you're doing is
16	refreshin	g his recollection.
17		MR. PLUMMER: Correct.
18		THE COURT: Have him review it. Ask him if it refreshes his
19	recollecti	on. And then if it does, you can restate your question.
20		THE WITNESS: It actually specifically says, the first
21	sentence	e, yeah, yeah, the first
22		THE COURT: Sir, sir. I'm not going to
23		THE WITNESS: Sorry.
24		THE COURT: I don't want you to read what it says. I want
25	vou to re	ad it and then advise counsel if it refreshes your recollection.

25

THE WITNESS: The --

## BY MR. PLUMMER:

Q Does it refresh your recollection of what you said?

A Yes. It refreshes my recollection of what I said.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, restate your question.

MR. PLUMMER: May I retrieve the document?

THE COURT: You may.

## BY MR. PLUMMER:

Q So when you spoke to the detective on the first time, isn't it true that you stated:

Yeah, yeah, the first officer went down. I don't remember if he got to return fire. I want to say he did feel like he shot once, and then he was hit and was down. And then the second gentleman, the tall, black guy, was the one standing there with his gun pointed at the guy on the ground waiting.

Is that what you told the detective?

A Yes.

THE COURT: Counsel approach, please.

[Bench conference transcribed as follows.]

THE COURT: Okay. When you refresh recollection, you don't then read the statement into the record. You ask him a question: Did you tell the officer? But you don't read the statement into the record. You're refreshing his recollection, and then you're asking him a question based on his refreshed recollection.

MR. PLUMMER: Yes, Your Honor.

1	MS. BEVERLY: Thank you.
2	THE COURT: Okay. So you ask the question based on his
3	refreshed recollection.
4	MS. BEVERLY: Thank you.
5	MR. PLUMMER: Thank you, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Thank you.
7	[End of bench conference.]
8	BY MR. PLUMMER:
9	Q Now, is it your belief that the officers fired three or four times
10	that night; is that what you remember?
11	A It is my belief that numerous rounds were fired. And I do not
12	know for a fact exactly who shot who or what was going on, except for
13	the exchange of gunfire. I could tell the difference in the sounds. I could
14	tell the difference between what was in the house and what was
15	obviously not. Did I answer your question?
16	Q You did. You don't know how many shots were fired?
17	MS. BEVERLY: Objection. Asked and answered.
18	THE COURT: He can answer it one more time.
19	You can respond to his question.
20	THE WITNESS: Correct. I do not know the number of shots
21	that were fired.
22	MR. PLUMMER: Your Honor, I don't have any further
23	questions.
24	THE COURT: Thank you.
25	State, any redirect.

Q

Okay. So just to be clear, the first shots that you hear are

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α Correct.

MS. BEVERLY: I pass the witness.

THE COURT: Any recross by the defense?

MS. MACHNICH: Nothing further from Mr. Turner.

MR. PLUMMER: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, after the first witness, I did not see any hands raised so I excused -- from the jurors, so I excused the witness. As part of my preliminary instructions, you are allowed to ask guestions if you so desire before I excuse the witness. So I'll always look over to see if I see any hands raised. If not, I just excuse the witness. I don't know if you recall that. I know I go through the preliminary instructions, and they're quite lengthy. So again, I'll always look over before I excuse the witness. If I don't see any hands raised, I'm going to excuse the witness.

At this time, I do not see any hands raised so I am going to excuse the witness. Thank you.

Sir, thank you for --

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE COURT: You are excused.

I was going to take our evening recess at this time. We will resume tomorrow at 11:00. And again, we're probably not going to take our lunch break until about 12:30 and then resume after the lunch break around 2:00. So if you could return to this courtroom tomorrow at 11:00.

At this time, ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take our

evening break, our evening recess. During this recess, it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial, or to read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any person connected with the trial, or by any medium of information, including without limitation, newspaper, television, and radio. And you are not to form or express an opinion on any subject connected with this case until it is finally submitted to you.

Thank you.

[Court recessed at 4:55 p.m., until April 19, 2018, at 11:00 a.m.]

///

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.



Shawna Ortega, CET\*562