CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. 520 SOUTH 4TH STREET | SECOND FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 TEL. 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623

1	IN THE SUPREME COUR	RT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
2	,	* * * * *
3	CLEMON HUDSON,	S.C. CASE NO. 82231
4	Appellant,	Electronically Filed Aug 06 2021 05:28 p.m.
5	vs.	Elizabeth A. Brown
6	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	Clerk of Supreme Court
7	Respondent.	
8		
9		NT OF CONVICTION PURSUANT
10	FOR WRIT OF HABEAS	AND DENIAL OF PETITION CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION)
11		RICT COÙRT THE HONORABLE KIERNY, PRESIDING
12	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
13		DIX TO THE OPENING BRIEF LUME VIII
14	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
15	ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT	ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENT
	CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, ESQ.	STEVE WOLFSON Nevada Bar No. 1565
	Attorney at Law Nevada Bar No. 004349	200 E. Lewis Ave.
-,	Rachael E. Stewart Nevada Bar No. 14122	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702)455-4711
18	520 S. Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	
19	Telephone: (702) 384-5563	
20		AARON FORD Nevada Attorney General
21		100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717
22		Carson City, Nevada 69/01-4/1/
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	VS. THE STAT		COURT OF NEVADA CASE NO. 82231	
	8		APPELLANT'S	APPENDIX	
	9	<u>VOLUME</u>	<u>PLEADING</u>		PAGE NO
	11	2	AMENDED INDICTMENT (FILED APRIL 15, 2018)		275-279
AM, LTD. SECOND FLOOR A 89101 702.974-0623	12	10	DISTRICT COURT MINUTES DECEMBER 18, 2018 HEARIN		1670
r R. Oram, L.T. REET SECOND NEVADA 89101 FAX. 702.974	13 14	10	DISTRICT COURT MINUTES JANUARY 29, 2019 HEARING		1671
CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD OUTH 4 TH STREET SECOND F LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 702.384-5563 FAX. 702.974-0	15	10	DISTRICT COURT MINUTE OF DECEMBER 03, 2020	ORDER	1787
CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. 520 SOUTH 4 TH STREET SECOND FLOO LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 Tel. 702.384-5563 Fax. 702.974-0623	161718	10	EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF S MEMORANDUM ON BEHAL DEFENDANT CLEMON HUD (FILED JUNE 20, 2018)	F OF	1594-1614
	19 20	10	FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCL LAW AND ORDER (FILED DECEMBER 16, 2020)		1788-1801
	21	1	INDICTMENT (FILED SEPTEMBER 23, 2015)	174-179
	2223	9	INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JUR (FILED APRIL 27, 2018)	Y	1535-1587
	24	10	JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION (FILED JULY 02, 2018)	V	1659-1661
	2526	2	MOTION TO SEVER; NOTICE (FILED AUGUST 28, 2017)	E	187-192
	27	10	NOTICE OF APPEAL (FILED DECEMBER 16, 2020)		1802-1803
	28	10	NOTICE OF APPEAL (FILED DECEMBER 17, 2020)		1804-1805

	1 2	10 NOTICE OF APPEAL (FILED DECEMBER 17, 2020)	1806-1807
	3	10 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER (FILED DECEMBER 17, 2020)	1808-1822
	4 5	10 PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION)	
	6 7	(FILED OCTOBER 25, 2018) RECORDER'S PARTIAL TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: INITIAL ARRAIGNMENT;	1662-1669
	8	INDICTMENT WARRANT RETURN OCTOBER 1, 2015 (FILED AUGUST 09, 2018)	180-186
	10	2 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: CALENDAR CALL APRIL 10, 2018 (FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	270-274
). Floor -0623	11 12	10 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	
DRAM, LTI SECOND ADA 89101 X. 702.974	13 14	(POST-CONVICTION) JANUARY 28, 2020 (FILED JANUARY 08, 2021)	1760-1762
CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. 20 SOUTH 4 TH STREET SECOND FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 TEL. 702.384-5563 FAX. 702.974-0623	15	10 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS: PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION) OCTOBER 15, 2020 (FILED JANUARY 08, 2021)	1763-1786
CHRIS 520 SOUTH LAS TEL. 702.3	16 17	2 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: PRETRIAL CONFERENCE; DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE, DEFENDANT'S MOTION	
	18 19	MOTION IN LIMINE; DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO SUPPRESS STATEMENTS AND REQUEST FOR JACKSON v. DENNO HEARING MARCH 06, 2018 (FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	264-269
	20 21	2 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: STATUS CHECK: STATUS OF CASE -	
	22	REDACTIONS NOVEMBER 16, 2017 (FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018) 2 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING:	249-254
	2324	STATUS CHECK: STATUS OF CASE - REDACTIONS NOVEMBER 30, 2017 (FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	255-259
	25	2 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: STATUS CONFERENCE -REDACTIONS	
	2627	DECEMBER 14, 2017 (FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	260-263
	28		

	1 2 3	2 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL DATE; STATUS CHECK: NEGOTIATIONS/TRIAL SETTING NOVEMBER 02, 2017 (FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	240-248
	5	1 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS GRAND JURY INDICTMENT RETURNS SEPTEMBER 23, 2015 (FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	171-173
	6 7 8	2 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS PRETRIAL CONFERENCE; DEFENDANT'S JOINDER TO CO-DEFENDANT CLEMON HUDSON'S MOTION TO SEVER OCTOBER 12, 2017	202 220
	9 10 11	(FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018) 10 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS SENTENCING JUNE 21, 2018 (FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	203-230 1615-1658
4, LTD. COND FLOOR 89101 02.974-0623	12 13	2 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS STATE'S REQUEST: STATUS CHECK TO ADDRESS BRUTON ISSUES WITH THE DEFENDANT'S STATEMENTS OCTOBER 31, 2017	
CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. 20 SOUTH 4 TH STREET SECOND FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 TEL. 702.384-5563 FAX. 702.974-0623	14 15	(FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018) REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS GRAND JURY SEPTEMBER 22, 2015 (FILED OCTOBER 08, 2015)	231-239 1-170
CHRI 520 SOUT LAS TEL. 702.	16 17 18	10 REPLY TO STATE'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION)	
	19 20	(FILED JANUARY 16, 2020) 10 SENTENCING MEMORANDUM ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT CLEMON HUDSON	1755-1759
	21 22	(FILED JUNE 20, 2018) 2 STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO SEVER (FILED SEPTEMBER 18, 2017)	1590-1593 193-202
	232425	10 STATE'S RESPONSE TO SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION) AND REQUEST FOR	
	26 27	ÈVIDENTIARY HEAŔING (FILED DECEMBER 31, 2019) 10 SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF	1742-1754
	28	DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION) (FILED DECEMBER 18, 2019)	1672-1741

	1 2	Л	CRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: URY TRIAL - DAY 1 APRIL 16, 2018 FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	280-432
	3	Л	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: URY TRIAL - DAY 2 APRIL 17, 2018 FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	433-655
	5 6	Л	CRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: URY TRIAL - DAY 3 APRIL 18, 2018 FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	656-783
	7 8	Л	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: URY TRIAL - DAY 4 APRIL 19, 2018 FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	784-948
	9 10	Л	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: URY TRIAL - DAY 5 APRIL 20, 2018 FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	949-1084
r. Floor 0623	11 12	Л	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: URY TRIAL - DAY 6 APRIL 23, 2018 FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	1085-1212
HRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD UUTH 4 TH STREET SECOND LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 '02.384-5563 FAX. 702.974	13 14	Л	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: URY TRIAL - DAY 7 APRIL 24, 2018 FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	1213-1364
CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. 20 SOUTH 4 TH STREET SECOND FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 TEL. 702.384-5563 FAX. 702.974-0623	15 16	Л	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: URY TRIAL - DAY 8 APRIL 25, 2018 FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	1365-1388
520 SG TEL.	17 18	Л	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: URY TRIAL - DAY 9 APRIL 26, 2018 FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	1389-1520
	19 20	Л	CRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: URY TRIAL - DAY 10 APRIL 27, 2018 FILED SEPTEMBER 25, 2018)	1521-1534
	21 22		/ERDICT FILED APRIL 27, 2018)	1588-1589
	23			
	24			
	2526			
	27			
	28			

CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. 520 SOUTH 4TH STREET | SECOND FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 Tel. 702.384-5563 | Fax. 702.974-0623

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify and affirm that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada
Supreme Court on 6 th August, 2021. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made
in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

AARON FORD Nevada Attorney General

CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, ESQ.

BY:

/s/ Nancy Medina
An Employee of Christopher R. Oram, Esq.

Electronically Filed 9/25/2018 9:23 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN 1 2 DISTRICT COURT 3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 4 5 6 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 7 Case No. C-15-309578-1 and Plaintiff(s), 8 Case No. C-15-309578-2 VS. 9 DEPT. XVIII STEVEN TURNER AND CLEMON 10 HUDSON, 11 Defendant(s). 12 13 BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARK B. BAILUS, 14 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 15 16 TUESDAY, APRIL 24, 2018 17 18 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: **JURY TRIAL - DAY 7** 19 20 21 (Appearances on page 2.) 22 23 24 RECORDED BY: ROBIN PAGE, COURT RECORDER 25

Shawna Ortega • CET-562 • Certified Electronic Transcriber • 602.412.7667 12213 Document 2021-22960

Case Number: C-15-309578-2

1	APPEARANCES:	
2	For the Plaintiff(s):	LEAH C. BEVERLY, ESQ.
3		(Deputy District Attorney) JOHN L. GIORDANI III, ESQ. (Deputy District Attorney)
4		(Bopaty Biotilot Attornoy)
5	For the Defendant Steven Turner:	TEGAN C. MACHNICH, ESQ.
6 7		(Deputy Public Defender) ASHLEY L. SISOLAK, ESQ. (Deputy Public Defender)
8		(Deputy 1 abile Defender)
9	For the Defendant Clemon Hudson:	CLAY PLUMMER, ESQ.
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		2

1	INDEX	
2		<u> Page #</u>
3	WITNESSES	
4	FOR THE STATE:	
5		
6	MARC COLON Direct Examination Cross Examination by Ma Machaich	6
7	Cross-Examination by Ms. Machnich Cross-Examination by Mr. Plummer	47 55
8	ANYA LESTER	
9	Direct Examination Voir Dire Examination by Ms. Machnich	59 71
10	Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Giordani	81
11	Direct Examination (Cont.) Direct Examination (Cont.)	110 117
12	Cross-Examination by Ms. Machnich	131
13	Cross-Examination by Mr. Plummer Redirect Examination	135 140
14		
15		
16	EXHIBITS	
17		Page #
18	For the State	
19	Nos. 8, 9, 10, and 402	5
20	Nos. 410, 410A, 410B, 411, 411A, and 411B Nos. 403 through 409, and 412 through 420	39 59
21		
22	For the Defendants	50
23	Nos. C and D	53
24		
25		
	3	

1	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TUESDAY, APRIL 24, 2018
2	[Proceedings commenced at 1:08 p.m.]
3	
4	[Outside the presence of the jury.]
5	THE COURT: Please be seated. This is the continuation of
6	the trial in State of Nevada vs. Steven Turner and Clemon Hudson,
7	Case Number C-309578.
8	Counsel, state your appearances, please.
9	MS. BEVERLY: Leah Beverly and John Giordani for the
10	State.
11	MS. MACHNICH: Tegan Machnich and Ashley Sisolak for
12	Steven Turner.
13	MR. PLUMMER: Clay Plummer on behalf of Mr. Hudson.
14	THE COURT: Is there any matters that need to be conducted
15	outside the presence of the jurors?
16	MS. BEVERLY: Not from the State.
17	MS. MACHNICH: Not from the defense.
18	MS. BEVERLY: Oh, actually, yes. I'm sorry. Exhibits No
19	it's three surveillance videos, Exhibits No. 10, 09 sorry, 8, 9, and 10,
20	we're going to move to admit by stipulation, for the surveillance video.
21	THE COURT: Is that correct, counsel?
22	MS. MACHNICH: That is correct on behalf of Mr. Turner.
23	MR. PLUMMER: No objection.
24	THE COURT: Thank you.
25	MR. GIORDANI: Also, I'm sorry, Your Honor, there was one
	4

1	more. We also move Exhibit 402 by stipulation.
2	THE COURT: Is that also correct, counsel?
3	MS. MACHNICH: Yes, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Mr. Plummer?
5	MR. PLUMMER: Yes, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: The said exhibits will be admitted pursuant to
7	stipulation of the parties.
8	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you.
9	[State's Exhibit Nos. 8, 9, 10, and 402 admitted.]
10	THE COURT: Anything else, counsel?
11	MR. GIORDANI: No, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: I'm going to call the jury in.
13	[Jury reconvened at 1:10 p.m.]
14	THE COURT: You may be seated. Will the parties stipulate
15	to the presence of the jury?
16	MR. GIORDANI: Yes, Your Honor.
17	MS. MACHNICH: Yes, Your Honor.
18	MR. PLUMMER: Yes, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: State, call your next witness.
20	MR. GIORDANI: The State would call Marc Colon.
21	MARC COLON,
22	[having been called as a witness and first duly sworn, testified as
23	follows:]
24	THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your full name
25	and spell it for the record.

1		THE WITNESS: Mark Colon, M-A-R-C C-O-L-O-N.
2		MR. GIORDANI: May I, Your Honor?
3		THE COURT: You may.
4		MR. GIORDANI: Thank you.
5		DIRECT EXAMINATION
6	BY MR.	GIORDANI:
7	Q	Sir, how are you currently employed?
8	Α	I'm a detective with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police
9	Departm	ent.
10	Q	And what unit are you currently assigned?
11	Α	I'm with the Force Investigation Team.
12	Q	What is the Force Investigation Team, sir?
13	Α	We handle officer-involved shootings and any deadly uses of
14	force tha	t involves an officer.
15	Q	Okay. When an officer discharges his firearm in the line of
16	duty, wh	ether or not he hits somebody, do you come out and respond to
17	the scen	e?
18	Α	Yes. The discharging of the weapon is a deadly use of force,
19	even if y	ou don't hit the person.
20	Q	Okay. I want to draw your specific attention back to
21	Septemb	per 4th of 2015. Did you respond to a particular address on
22	Oveja C	rcle?
23	Α	Yes, sir.
24		MS. MACHNICH: Your Honor, may we approach just briefly?
25		THE COURT: You may.

[Bench conference transcribed as follows.]

MS. MACHNICH: The officer just testified that a use of a weapon is a deadly use of force. This case is about attempt murder and firing of a weapon. And, obviously, it's the State burden to prove that there's deadly use of force or not. I mean, that -- those are going to be some trigger words that we're finding in the jury instructions. Now he just used deadly use of force, and realize that that's Metro's verbiage on it.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MS. MACHNICH: But thinking it through, it would actually -may implant in the jury's mind that, by law, a use of a weapon is a
deadly use of force, which is not the case under the law and under the
jury instructions in this case.

I guess at this point, I would ask for a limiting instruction with jury instructions specifically stating that even though the officers refer to something as deadly use of force, it is up to the province of the jury to determine if the counts have been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, because I believe that that's now been said. And I don't know how we would have him explain it further. I don't --

THE COURT: I'm sorry.

MS. MACHNICH: I don't know how we would have him explain it further. I don't know if the State could lead him through something. But I think that would be the best remedy at this point. I don't -- I don't think it rises to the level of a mistrial, but I do believe that it's a concern.

MS. MACHNICH: I would ask for a limiting instruction in the
jury instruction saying that, while you may have heard police officers
reference deadly use of force in regard to investigations, yeah, I'll draf
something.

MR. GIORDANI: So we're dealing with jury instructions, so can I just move on and we can go --

MS. MACHNICH: Yeah, that's fine.

THE COURT: All right. But, do you -- I --

MR. GIORDANI: For the record, Your Honor, I'd rather not highlight it.

THE COURT: What is it that you want? Just tell me what you want so I can see it. It's going to take -- it's going to take two seconds and then we can move on.

Let me see what you wrote. You have heard officers reference -- referencing to deadly use-of-force investigation. It is within the province of the jury whether deadly use of force was used in this case.

MR. GIORDANI: We'll probably argue against it when we start to settle instruction, but for now --

THE COURT: I know. But what I'm saying is or I can say,
You have heard officer reference responding to deadly use-of-force
investigation. I will instruct you in the jury instruction as to the definition
of deadly use of force.

MR. GIORDANI: No, I thought -- she's not even asking for it to be addressed now.

1	THE COURT: All right. Do you have any	
2	MR. GIORDANI: Right?	
3	THE COURT: Do you have any objection to me saying what	
4	she wrote?	
5	MR. GIORDANI: Right now? Yes.	
6	THE COURT: What's the objection?	
7	MS. MACHNICH: I would say that's a jury	
8	THE COURT: Huh?	
9	MS. BEVERLY: What he said on his own is that he responds	
10	when officer uses deadly force. He didn't say anything about whether a	
11	suspect discharged deadly force. That's why I don't even know why it's	
12	a problem, because it's not like he said	
13	THE COURT: Okay. Was his testimony that it is the officer	
14	who's used deadly use of force?	
15	MR. GIORDANI: Yes.	
16	THE COURT: Did you miss	
17	MS. MACHNICH: I don't think that's what he said. I think he	
18	responds to deadly use no, I I know that that's how Metro's verbiage	
19	works. But he says he responds to deadly use of force and	
20	officer-involved shootings.	
21	THE COURT: No.	
22	MS. MACHNICH: Like, it was an and. And so while we know	
23	that that's what it is, it sounds like he responds to deadly use-of-force	
24	investigations.	
25	MS. BEVERLY: What he said is, I respond to officer-involved	

1	shootings and any officer-involved
2	MR. GIORDANI: Use.
3	MS. BEVERLY: The use of deadly force any time, even if they
4	hit someone or not. That's what he said.
5	THE COURT: And so
6	MS. MACHNICH: Can Mr. Giordani just lead him through that
7	just to be quite clear?
8	MR. GIORDANI: I'm done.
9	MS. MACHNICH: And then we can be done.
10	MR. GIORDANI: I'm done.
11	MS. MACHNICH: Right. But it's already been said.
12	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. Sure. I can do that.
13	MS. MACHNICH: If he can say that in the correct order
14	THE COURT: Okay. So rephrase this
15	MS. MACHNICH: And then that's perfect.
16	THE COURT: and make it a yes-or-no question. So you
17	respond to an officer any investigation with an officer's use make it
18	officer's use of deadly force.
19	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
20	MS. MACHNICH: Perfect.
21	THE COURT: Yes-or-no answer.
22	MS. MACHNICH: Okay. Perfect. Thank you.
23	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Thank you.
25	[End of bench conference.]

11

21

Q Understood. Now describe for this jury what type of police response is associated with an officer-involved shooting such as this?

A Yeah. This call had a massive police response. All in all, there was probably approximately 200 police officers that responded that were documented, which means they notified the dispatcher that they were going. But that being said, if you're working patrol or even a detective, actually, and you're driving around and you hear on the radio an officer has been shot, an officer is down, you just drive there. So you don't want to waste the dispatcher's time to say, I'm on my way. You just go. So there was at least 200 officers, along with the air unit and K-9 and the specialized units.

Q Okay. So 200 officers that you counted having logged into that scene?

A Yes.

Q And then you indicated there may be a lot more that are just hearing it and responding without calling?

A That's correct.

Q You also talked about, briefly, the air unit and K-9 and SWAT. Based upon your understanding, how soon was that air unit on the scene?

A It was very -- it was -- I don't know the time, but it was very soon, because they were given the description of the suspect that was in the backyard.

Q Okay. You referenced suspect. How many suspects were there in this case?

told us that around the time of the incident --

MS. MACHNICH: Your Honor, I'm going to object as to hearsay at this point.

MR. GIORDANI: I can rephrase it.

THE COURT: Well, I suppose that's sustained.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay.

BY MR. GIORDANI:

- Q Let me ask you this way: Was there information you had received about potentially a vehicle with its trunk open?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Okay. Near the -- near the area of the shooting?
 - A Right in front of the house.
 - Q What is a downed officer rescue?
- A A downed officer rescue is a technique where if the police aren't sure that the scene, wherever the crime is occurring, is safe, but there's an officer down, it's a way to get the downed officer out. So one of the techniques we use is we'll get a patrol car and we'll -- an actual car, not the SUV. We'll take all the stuff out of the trunk. We'll open a trunk and back it into the area and officers will lift the -- the downed officer into the trunk and he'll -- and he'll take off out of there to the hospital or to an awaiting ambulance.
- Q Okay. Now based upon what that witness said and without saying, was it your understanding that there was a downed officer rescue attempt in this case?
 - A Yes. They were organizing a downed officer rescue.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α

I believe there's three.

1	Q	Okay. People who	
2	Α	Yes.	
3	Q	observed something? Okay. So I'm going to go ahead and	
4	start with	n State's 10.	
5		Okay. So now is that the 6805 White Sands address?	
6	Α	I believe it is.	
7		[Video played.]	
8	Q	I'm just going to pause this for a moment. There's a date and	
9	time stamp up in the left corner of that screen; can you see that, sir?		
10	Α	Yes.	
11	Q	Friday, September 4th around 4:01	
12	Α	That's	
13	Q	a.m.?	
14	Α	That's correct. I see that.	
15	Q	Did you attempt to determine whether that was accurate or if	
16	it's off by	y a few minutes or hours?	
17	Α	We did not forensically check the	
18	Q	Okay.	
19	Α	time stamps.	
20	Q	So that may not be accurate; is that right?	
21	Α	Correct.	
22		[Video played.]	
23	Q	Do you see the subject walking in the top right corner there?	
24	Α	Yes.	
25	Q	All right. Did you believe that to be Steven Turner and that's	

1	why you got this video?	
2	Α	Yes.
3	Q	Okay.
4		[Video played.]
5	Q	Now you initially indicated that the 911 call came out
6	at 3:48 a	a.m. Could would looking at the CAD refresh your memory as
7	to the ex	xact time of the 911 call?
8	Α	Yes.
9	Q	Okay.
10		MR. GIORDANI: May I approach, Your Honor?
11		THE COURT: You may.
12	BY MR. GIORDANI:	
13	Q	What is a CAD, sir?
14	Α	I'm sorry?
15	Q	What is a CAD?
16	Α	It's a Computer-Aided Dispatch. So it's everything that the
17	dispatch	ner says. She'll put it she'll type it into the computer.
18	Q	Okay. And does that also indicate when a 911 call is initiated?
19	Α	Yes. All the times and addresses.
20	Q	Go ahead and look at that, Tell me if it refreshes your memory
21	as to the	e time of the 911 call.
22	Α	Yes.
23	Q	Okay. What was the time of the 911 call?
24	Α	3:43.
25	Q	Okay. Now, you have indicated this first video that we just
		21

1	watched is the 6805 White Sands Avenue, correct?		
2	Α	Yeah, this video on the screen I believe is the 6805.	
3	Q	Okay.	
4	Α	Because that is Rainbow on the right-hand side of the screen.	
5	Q	Okay.	
6		MR. GIORDANI: Can I switch back, ma'am? Okay.	
7	Q	Now, shots fired 911 call comes out at 3:43, and that time	
8	stamp indicated 4:01. This is, I mean, just across Rainbow, correct?		
9	Α	Correct.	
10	Q	Okay. So potentially this time stamp could be off; is that right?	
11	Α	That's correct.	
12	Q	Okay.	
13	Α	That's correct.	
14	Q	And without going through it every time, is that are the time	
15	stamps p	otentially off on all videos that you obtain?	
16	Α	Yes.	
17	Q	Okay.	
18	Α	Especially on homeowners' videos.	
19	Q	Understood.	
20		[Video played.]	
21	Q	Is this that same address, just a different camera angle?	
22	Α	Yes.	
23		[Video played.]	
24	Q	Okay. Sir, that busy-looking street out there, is that Rainbow?	
25	Α	That's that's Rainbow.	

1	Q	Just for the record, the top right of the screen?
2	Α	Yes.
3	Q	Okay. And then you said this is almost right across the street
4	from Ove	
5	Α	That's correct.
6	Q	Okay. And you see the subject in that video?
7	А	Yes. He's right now walking across the screen.
8		[Video played.]
9	Q	Okay. Did you also obtain video from 222 South Rainbow?
10	Α	Yes.
11	Q	And is that a kind of a business complex?
12	Α	Yes. It's a business complex.
13	Q	All right.
14		MR. GIORDANI: And for the record, this is State's 8.
15		[Video played.]
16	Q	You can see that, sir?
17	Α	Yes.
18	Q	Now on this one, the time stamp is actually 1:35; is that right?
19	Α	That's correct.
20	Q	So it actually looks like it's sooner than the last video.
21	Α	Right.
22	Q	Okay.
23		[Video played.]
24	Q	Drawing your attention to the left side of that screen, do you
25	see the s	subject in that frame?

1	Α	Yes.	
2	Q	And is that like a gate or a wall or something there?	
3	Α	Yes.	
4		[Video played.]	
5	Q	And, sir, without putting up this other next one on this, is	
6	there another angle from this same location?		
7	Α	Yes.	
8	Q	Okay. So if the jury sees this later, there's there's more	
9	video, but from the same location on that same disc?		
10	Α	That's correct.	
11	Q	Okay. Did you obtain video from a third location at 209	
12	Redstone?		
13	Α	Yes, I yes, we did.	
14	Q	And on the map, is that that third location that's referenced on	
15	this?		
16	Α	Yes.	
17		MR. GIORDANI: And for the record, Your Honor, that's	
18	State's 9		
19		[Video played.]	
20	Q	Is this video also does this location also have two different	
21	angles?		
22	Α	I believe I believe it does.	
23	Q	Okay.	
24		[Video played.]	
25	Q	Can you see that, sir?	
		24	

1	Q	Do you recall whether the key was in the ignition in that one?		
2	Α	Yes, the key was in the ignition.		
3	Q	Okay. Who was the registered owner of that vehicle?		
4	Α	Karen Hudson.		
5	Q	Okay. Were there a couple of cell phones taken from that		
6	vehicle?			
7	Α	Yes, there was.		
8	Q	Do you recall the makes of those cell phones?		
9	Α	Yes. There was an HTC and a Samsung.		
10	Q	Okay. Did you attempt to determine who the owner of the		
11	HTC was?			
12	Α	Yes. The HTC came back to Karen Hudson.		
13	Q	Okay. What about the Samsung?		
14	Α	The Samsung came back to a Sharon Robinson at 5904		
15	Eugene.			
16	Q	And did you determine that that address was associated with		
17	someone?			
18	Α	With Mr. Turner.		
19	Q	And that's Steven Turner?		
20	Α	Yes.		
21	Q	I want to move on to a different subject area. What is the		
22	what is a	what is a weapons countdown?		
23	Α	A weapons countdown is a thing we we conduct with		
24	officers who are involved in officer-involved shootings. Every officer is			
25	SUDDOSA	to know how many how many bullets he keeps in the		

1	Α	.40 caliber.
2	Q	And what was the magazine capacity on that firearm?
3	Α	I don't recall. I'd have to
4	Q	Would it refresh your memory to see the report?
5	Α	Yes.
6		MR. GIORDANI: Again, page 17, counsel.
7		MR. PLUMMER: Your Honor, may we approach?
8		THE COURT: You may.
9		[Bench conference transcribed as follows.]
10		MR. PLUMMER: Your Honor, what I'm hearing is somebody
11	else did	a, I guess, a countdown on this next officer's magazine. And at
12	this I b	pelieve this is going to be a hearsay response that he got from a
13	different	officer on how many bullets were left. So I'd make that
14	objection	n now.
15		THE COURT: And is your objection based on hearsay?
16		MR. PLUMMER: It is.
17		THE COURT: State?
18		MR. GIORDANI: Well, this this detective didn't do the
19	countdo	wn himself, but he observed and looked at all the photographs
20	taken du	ring the countdown. He can say this magazine was full and this
21	photogra	aph, this magazine had 13 rounds in it and the capacity of 13.
22	So it's no	ot a hearsay.
23		THE COURT: Okay.
24		MR. GIORDANI: It's his observations.
25		THE COURT: Okay. I think you had him look at his report; is

that correct?

MR. GIORDANI: Oh, yeah.

THE COURT: Is he using that -- is he going to testify from the report or is he going to testify from his personal observations as to what he saw in the photograph?

MR. GIORDANI: Well, what I would ask him is his personal observations. I can make that clear and say --

THE COURT: Well, in other words, I'm not going -- I mean, if you're offering what's in the report for the truth of the matter asserted, it's hearsay.

MR. GIORDANI: Right. Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. So, obviously, that would be sustained. If you're asking him did you review photographs, such and such, and that photograph shows there's X number of bullets in the chamber or whatever, that would be -- because, see, the photograph itself has been admitted. So that would be --

MR. GIORDANI: Yeah.

THE COURT: -- admissible testimony.

MR. GIORDANI: I'll -- I'll make it clear. I'll say, you didn't do the countdown yourself, but you observed --

THE COURT: But you reviewed the photographs that have been admitted into evidence and --

MR. GIORDANI: Okay.

THE COURT: -- however you want to phrase it, you know.

MR. PLUMMER: Thank you.

1		THE COURT: Thank you. Any cross
2		MR. GIORDANI: Oh, I'm sorry. Court's indulgence.
3		Can I have the Court's indulgence and ask a couple more
4	question	s?
5		THE COURT: You may.
6	BY MR.	GIORDANI:
7	Q	Before we move on, I almost forgot, Detective; did you bring a
8	couple o	f very large boxes with you here to court today?
9	Α	Yes, I did.
10	Q	Where did you bring those from?
11	Α	From the evidence vault the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police
12	Evidence	e Vault.
13	Q	Okay. I want to start with
14		MR. GIORDANI: Are these marked, Your Honor?
15	Q	State's Proposed 410; do you recognize that, sir?
16	Α	Yes.
17	Q	What does that appear to be to you?
18	Α	This is a the box that the rifle is in.
19	Q	Okay. Did you obtain that at the State's request this morning
20	from the	evidence fault?
21	Α	Yes.
22	Q	And for the record, there's some blue tape along the edges of
23	the box a	and there's also red tape there; is that right?
24	Α	That's correct.
25	Q	Are you familiar with that tape generally?

1	Q	Okay.
2		MR. GIORDANI: We'd move for the admission of 410.
3		THE COURT: Counsel
4		MS. MACHNICH: No objection from Mr. Turner.
5		THE COURT: Counsel, are you moving for the admission of
6	the box	-
7		MR. GIORDANI: For now.
8		THE COURT: and the contents or just the box?
9		MR. GIORDANI: Well, box now. I was going to open it.
10	Typically,	, it would be marked
11		THE COURT: 410A and 410B.
12		MR. GIORDANI: Right.
13		THE COURT: Okay. So right
14		MS. MACHNICH: Your Honor, we would stipulate to the
15	admissio	n of the box and its contents
16		MR. GIORDANI: Thank you.
17		MS. MACHNICH: at this point now that it's here.
18		THE COURT: Okay. Counsel approach quickly, please.
19		[Bench conference transcribed as follows.]
20		THE COURT: If you I've had an issue on the chain of
21	custody la	abel or it had something that was inadmissible that should have
22	been red	acted? Before you before you stipulate, I want you to go over
23	there and	l look at the chain of custody label and make sure there's
24	nothing ir	nappropriate, because that box will go back to the jury room.
25		UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: They're not they're not felons.

1	THE COURT: I know, but I just want to make sure there's
2	nothing on that did you look at the label, counsel?
3	MR. PLUMMER: Yeah, it came he was on it.
4	THE COURT: You looked at it?
5	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	[End of bench conference.]
8	[Pause in proceedings.]
9	THE COURT: Okay. Counsel, it's my understanding that you
10	have no objection to Exhibit
11	MR. GIORDANI: 410.
12	THE COURT: 410A and then the contents, which will be
13	Exhibit 410B; is that correct?
14	MS. MACHNICH: That is correct, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Mr. Plummer?
16	MR. PLUMMER: No objection, Your Honor.
17	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you.
18	THE COURT: Thank you.
19	MR. GIORDANI: And, Your Honor, I would be walking
20	through the foundational steps of 411 as well, so if there's no objection,
21	I'll just skip that.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Have you had an opportunity to
23	review 411, counsel?
24	MS. MACHNICH: Yes, Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: And do you have any objection do you

1	stipulate to foundation?
2	MS. MACHNICH: Yes, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: And do you have any objection to the
4	admission of 411, the box will be 411A and the contents will be 411B?
5	MS. MACHNICH: No objection, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Mr. Plummer, do you stipulate to foundation
7	and chain of custody as to 411?
8	MR. PLUMMER: Yes, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: And do you have any objection to the box
10	being admitted as 411A and the contents 411B?
11	MR. PLUMMER: No, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Thank you.
13	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you, Your Honor. With your
14	permission and the marshal's permission
15	THE COURT: Right.
16	MR. GIORDANI: I would ask
17	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to let it into 410A and B will
18	be admitted and 411A and B will be admitted.
19	[State's Exhibit Nos. 410, 410A, 410B, 411, 411A, and 411B admitted.]
20	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you.
21	THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.
22	BY MR. GIORDANI:
23	Q All right. So with regard to 410, I'm going to ask you to break
24	those seals and open them up.
25	A [Witness complies.]

1	Q	Does that appear to be the Yugo long rifle, the SKS, and the
2	magazir	ne associated with it?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	All right. For the record, that has an additional evidence bag
5	underne	eath it, and we're going to get to that in a minute.
6		For the record, the firearm is attached with what appears to be
7	three zip	ties to the box; is that right?
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	Then there's an additional zip tie that goes through the
10	chambe	r where it would be loaded.
11	А	That's correct.
12		MR. GIORDANI: So I'm going to ask the marshal to briefly
13	check th	nis and make sure it's not loaded so I can move on.
14		[Marshal complies.]
15		MR. GIORDANI: Okay, thank you. All right. Detective, can I
16	ask you	to cut those zip ties?
17		[Marshal complies.]
18		MR. GIORDANI: Now remove it from the box, Marshal and
19	Judge?	
20		THE COURT: You may.
21		MR. GIORDANI: Thanks.
22		[Marshal complies.]
23	BY MR.	GIORDANI:
24	Q	All right. Now is this the SKS Yugo rifle that was impounded
25	from the	escene?

1	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
2	MR. GIORDANI: It's zip tied.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	MR. GIORDANI: This then would be I guess C.
5	THE COURT: Is that in other words, it's all part of 411?
6	MR. GIORDANI: Yeah, 410.
7	THE COURT: I'm sorry. I apologize. So 410A will be the
8	box, 410B will be the weapon and the magazine, and 411 will be the
9	contents of the bag.
10	MR. GIORDANI: Understood.
11	THE COURT: 411C, I apologize, 411C.
12	MR. GIORDANI: 410.
13	THE COURT: Gosh, I'm already ahead of him. All right. Let's
14	put it clear on the record so I don't confuse the 410A will be the
15	box; 410B will be the weapon and the magazine; and 4 that will be B;
16	and 410C will be the contents of the bag.
17	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Thank you.
19	BY MR. GIORDANI:
20	Q I'm going to ask you, sir, to open 410C. And before you do
21	that, that's sealed with more tape?
22	A Yes.
23	THE COURT: And just so there's no objection by the
24	defense; is that correct?
25	MS. MACHNICH: No objection. Your Honor.

1		MR. PLUMMER: No, Your Honor. No objection.
2		THE COURT: Thank you.
3		MR. PLUMMER: Thank you.
4	BY MR.	GIORDANI:
5	Q	All right. I'm going to hold that for a second, please. It's a
6	paper ba	ag and I'm going to empty the contents. And that contains a bag
7	of what	appear to be rounds?
8	Α	Yes.
9	Q	Okay. And then a loose cartridge as well?
10	Α	That's correct.
11	Q	I'll put that back into 410. Move on to 411.
12		I'd ask you to break the seals on that with the marshal's and
13	the judg	e's permission.
14		THE COURT: You may.
15		[Pause in proceedings.]
16	BY MR.	GIORDANI:
17	Q	Does that appear to be the 12-gauge shotgun
18	Α	Yes.
19	Q	that was impounded by the scene?
20	Α	Yes.
21		MR. GIORDANI: I'm going to ask the marshal to check if
22	that's no	ot live.
23		[Marshal complies.]
24	Q	And then I'm going to ask you to break those three zip ties.
25	Α	[Witness complies.]
ı	1	

1	Α	Yes.
2	Q	In addition, there is a little clear vial with a metal piece in it,
3	right?	
4	Α	Yes.
5	Q	All right. I'm going to ask you to well, I can remove that. I'm
6	going to	place the little plastic vial back in the big box and ask you to
7	open the	e bag.
8	Α	[Witness complies.]
9	Q	Okay. Actually, can you hold that?
10	Α	Yeah.
11	Q	I'm going to empty the contents of the 411C. I'm going to try
12	to. All ri	ight. So there are three little plastic baggies within the paper
13	bag, cor	rect?
14	Α	Yes.
15	Q	One contains what appears to be three shotgun shells?
16	Α	Yes.
17	Q	Live?
18	Α	Yes.
19	Q	Another one contains what appears to be an empty shotgun
20	shell?	
21	Α	That's correct.
22	Q	And the third one contains what appears to be a disassemble
23	shotgun	shell?
24	Α	Yes.
25	Q	Okay. All right. Thank you very much for your patience,

1	Detective.
2	MR. GIORDANI: I pass the witness, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: And, counsel, what was the little vial, just out o
4	clarification?
5	MR. GIORDANI: Oh, a little piece of metal. It's so small I
6	don't know if we can mark it separately, so I was going to leave it.
7	THE COURT: All right. We'll just have that marked as 411C.
8	MR. GIORDANI: We already have C. So this would be
9	THE COURT: I'm sorry, D.
10	MR. GIORDANI: D. Okay.
11	THE COURT: Okay. And that was on the outside of the bag;
12	is that correct? It was taped to the outside of the bag?
13	MR. GIORDANI: Yes.
14	THE COURT: So let's just make that 411D.
15	MR. GIORDANI: Understood.
16	THE COURT: Thank you. Counsel, are you any further
17	questions of this witness?
18	MR. GIORDANI: Oh, no. I'm sorry. I thought I passed the
19	witness.
20	THE COURT: Yeah.
21	Defense, any cross-examination?
22	MS. MACHNICH: Yes, Your Honor. I just need to go through
23	a few exhibits, if that's all right.
24	THE COURT: That's fine.
25	MS. MACHNICH: Thank you.

1		[Pause in proceedings.]
2		MS. MACHNICH: Okay. I apologize for the brief delay. May
3	proceed?	
4		THE COURT: No apologies are necessary. You can
5	proceed.	
6		MS. MACHNICH: Thank you, Your Honor.
7		CROSS-EXAMINATION
8	BY MS. N	MACHNICH:
9	Q	All right. So, Detective?
10	Α	Yes.
11	Q	There were, as you stated during direct, two suspects in this
12	case, cor	rect?
13	Α	Yes.
14	Q	And that was originally the case, because one of the officers
15	involved	radioed that they saw two suspects?
16	Α	The reason why there's two is there's numerous reasons
17	why we e	established there's two suspects.
18	Q	Okay. Well, first, you learned that the officers one at least
19	one of the	e officers saw two people?
20	Α	Yes.
21	Q	And then when obviously, one of the two was found in the
22	backyard	of the residence?
23	Α	Correct.
24	Q	Okay. Now, moving on to where this is State's
25	Exhibit 40	02

1		MS. MACHNICH: which I believe has already been
2	admitted	?
3		THE COURT: That's correct.
4		MS. MACHNICH: Okay.
5	BY MS.	MACHNICH:
6	Q	You testified on direct that this was the map that you plotted
7		MS. BEVERLY: Tegan, that turn it on.
8		MS. MACHNICH: I touched too long, okay. There we go.
9	BY MS.	MACHNICH:
10	Q	Is this the map that you plotted that Mr. Turner traveled along,
11	from you	r testimony, leaving the scene, correct?
12	Α	Yes.
13	Q	Okay. And to your knowledge, he was leaving on foot?
14	Α	Yes.
15	Q	Okay. And you know that because you saw several video
16	surveilla	nces photos of him
17	Α	On foot.
18	Q	traversing different yards and parking lots and fences on
19	foot?	
20	Α	Correct.
21	Q	Okay. Now, you're aware that Mr. Turner was taken into
22	custody,	correct?
23	Α	Yes.
24	Q	Okay. And that was the same day as this incident?
25	Α	Correct.
	1	

1	Α	Yes.
2	Q	Okay. Additionally, I'm showing you what's been marked and
3	admitted	d as State's Exhibit 388; you found some identifiers in the vehicle
4	as well,	correct?
5	Α	Yes.
6	Q	And, for example, 388 is an identification for Clemon Hudson,
7	yes?	
8	Α	Yes.
9	Q	And this was located in that Camry that we've been
10	discussing?	
11	Α	Correct.
12	Q	Finally, I am showing what well, maybe not what just fell
13	on the floor. Okay. I'm showing you what has also marked and	
14	admitted as State's Exhibit 384; you recognize this as a single what	
15	appears to be rifle round?	
16	Α	Yes.
17	Q	Okay. And this was also located inside the subject Camry that
18	we have	e been discussing in this case?
19	Α	Yes.
20	Q	Okay. In fact
21		[Counsel confer briefly.]
22		MS. MACHNICH: Your Honor, may I approach the clerk to
23	have so	me exhibits
24		THE COURT: You may.
25		MS. MACHNICH: May I please mark these as next in line
		52

1	Defense	exhibits.
2		[Pause in proceedings.]
3		MS. MACHNICH: Thank you.
4		Okay. Your Honor, at this time, pursuant to stipulation, I
5	would mo	ove into evidence Defense Exhibits C and D.
6		THE COURT: Mr. Plummer, is that correct, they're stipulated?
7		MR. PLUMMER: Yes, Your Honor.
8		THE COURT: State, is that correct?
9		MR. GIORDANI: Yes, Your Honor.
10		THE COURT: They will be admitted.
11		[Defense Exhibit Nos. C and D admitted.]
12		MS. MACHNICH: Thank you.
13	BY MS. MACHNICH:	
14	Q	And referencing the round that we just saw in State's
15	Exhibit 384, Defense C also depicts that round in the subject Camry?	
16	Α	Yes.
17	Q	And you've described this area that it's located in, in the center
18	area nex	t to the gear shift?
19	Α	Yes.
20	Q	That's accurate?
21	Α	Yes, looks right.
22	Q	Okay. And additionally, referencing Defense D, this these
23	were iten	ns that were removed from the car during the search warrant,
24	correct?	
25	Α	Yes.
1	1	

1	Q	And, in fact, it's noted that they're from the center console
2	between the front two seats?	
3	Α	Yes.
4	Q	And you note the the round that we've been discussing is
5	actually	the pretty much bottom center of that?
6	Α	Yes.
7	Q	All right.
8		MS. MACHNICH: Court's brief indulgence.
9		[Pause in proceedings.]
10	Q	All right. And, sir, were you aware that two dogs were also
11	located	in the subject vehicle?
12	Α	Yes.
13	Q	Okay. And that those dogs belonged to Mr. Turner?
14	Α	I don't recall the owner of the dogs.
15	Q	Okay. There were two dogs in the subject vehicle?
16	Α	Yes.
17	Q	All right. Thank you. And they were safely transported to
18	Animal F	Foundation?
19	Α	Yes, probably.
20	Q	You mean you agree.
21	Α	Yes.
22	Q	Thank you, sir.
23		MS. MACHNICH: All right. I'm going to pass the witness.
24	Thank y	ou, Your Honor.
25		THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.

1		Mr. Plummer, any cross-examination?
2		MR. PLUMMER: Yes, Your Honor.
3		CROSS-EXAMINATION
4	BY MR.	PLUMMER:
5	Q	Detective, you indicated you're familiar with an SKS?
6	Α	Somewhat familiar, yes.
7	Q	It's a semi-automatic?
8	Α	Yes.
9	Q	Which means you don't have to rack a round every time you
10	fire?	
11	Α	That's correct.
12	Q	They can be fired extremely fast?
13	Α	Yes.
14	Q	In essence, you can squeeze off three rounds in about two
15	seconds	or a second and a half?
16	Α	As fast as you can pull the trigger.
17	Q	And when you pull a trigger on an SKS, there's a recoil?
18	Α	Yes.
19	Q	And what I mean by recoil is it cocks, comes pushes back
20	Α	Yes.
21	Q	yes? And so if someone who's unfamiliar with one of those
22	weapons pulls the trigger on it	
23		MR. GIORDANI: Objection, this is going to call for
24	speculat	tion.
25		THE COURT: Why don't you let him finish the question and

1	then you can	
2		MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
3		THE COURT: make an objection, counsel.
4		MR. PLUMMER: Thank you, Your Honor.
5	BY MR.	PLUMMER:
6	Q	Someone unfamiliar with this type of weapon can squeeze off
7	three rounds without even realizing it?	
8		MR. GIORDANI: Objection. Calls for speculation.
9		THE COURT: Sustained.
10	BY MR. PLUMMER:	
11	Q	You'll agree that rounds can be fired very quickly?
12	Α	Yeah, as fast as you can pull the trigger.
13	Q	And a recoil of a weapon aids in pulling the trigger; the
14	weapon'	s moving in your hands.
15	Α	Right. But you still have to squeeze your finger in the trigger.
16	Q	Let's talk about the shotgun. The shotgun was hit with one of
17	Officer G	Grego's bullets, wasn't it?
18	Α	Officer Grego-Smith, yes.
19	Q	So Officer Grego-Smith, when he was firing out the door, one
20	of his rounds actually impacted on the shotgun?	
21	Α	Yes.
22	Q	And impacted on the cartridge tube?
23	Α	Yes.
24	Q	And what I mean by cartridge tube, it's where it holds the
25	shotgun shells?	

1	Α	Yes.	
2	Q	So the, I guess it was 411D, the little piece of metal, that's the	
3	I guess,	the spent round that impacted the shotgun?	
4	Α	I believe so.	
5	Q	And one of the shotgun casings that was kind of, say, blown	
6	apart wa	s as a result of Officer Grego's round hitting the cartridge tube	
7	with the shotgun?		
8	Α	Yes.	
9	Q	And you can see the damage to the shotgun?	
10	Α	Yes.	
11		MR. PLUMMER: I don't have any further questions, Your	
12	Honor.		
13		THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.	
14		Any redirect by the State?	
15		MR. GIORDANI: No, Your Honor. Thank you.	
16		THE COURT: Can this witness be excused?	
17		MR. GIORDANI: Yes, Your Honor.	
18		THE COURT: Sir, thank you. You are excused.	
19		THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
20		THE COURT: You can call your next witness.	
21		MR. GIORDANI: Can I have the Court's brief indulgence?	
22		THE COURT: You may.	
23		[Pause in proceedings.]	
24		MR. PLUMMER: Your Honor, might I suggest this might be a	
25	good tim	e for a recess? We've been going for almost an hour and a	

half.

2

1

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: That's fine.

Ladies and gentlemen, at this time we're going to take a 15-minute recess. During this recess, you are admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial or to read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspapers, television, radio, or Internet, or form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

We'll be in recess for 15 minutes.

MS. SISOLAK: Thank you, Your Honor.

[Court recessed at 2:22 p.m., until 2:45 p.m.]

[Outside the presence of the jury.]

THE COURT: This is a continuation of the trial in Case No. C-15-309578-1 and -2, State of Nevada vs. Steven Turner and Clemon *Hudson.* Let the record reflect the presence of counsel for the State, counsel for the defendants, and the presence of the Defendants.

Counsel, we'll bring the jury in at this time?

MR. GIORDANI: Pursuant to stipulation, I believe 403 through 409, and 412 through 420 will be admitted.

THE COURT: Defense, is that correct?

MS. MACHNICH: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. PLUMMER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Pursuant to stipulation, said exhibits will be

1	admitted.
2	[State's Exhibit Nos. 403 through 409 and 412 through 420 admitted.]
3	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you. That will be it.
4	THE COURT: Bring the jury in, please.
5	[Jury reconvened at 2:46 p.m.]
6	THE COURT: You may be seated. Do the parties stipulate to
7	the presence of the jury?
8	MR. GIORDANI: Yes, Your Honor.
9	MS. MACHNICH: Yes, Your Honor.
10	MR. PLUMMER: Yes, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Thank you.
12	State, call your next witness, please.
13	MR. GIORDANI: I call Anya Lester.
14	ANYA LESTER,
15	[having been called as a witness and first duly sworn, testified as
16	follows.]
17	THE CLERK: Please be seated. Can you please state and
18	spell your name for the record?
19	THE WITNESS: My name is Anya, A-N-Y-A, Lester,
20	L-E-S-T-E-R.
21	MR. GIORDANI: May I, Your Honor?
22	THE COURT: You may.
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. GIORDANI:
25	Q How are you correctly employed?
	59

1	pistol.	
2	Q	Okay. That's that's sufficient for now. State's 415, what are
3	we lookii	ng at here?
4	Α	This is another semi-automatic pistol, a Glock.
5	Q	Okay. So far we're dealing with all semi-automatic weapons,
6	correct?	
7	Α	Correct.
8	Q	I'm showing you State's 413; what are we looking at here?
9	Α	This is a pump-action shotgun.
10	Q	Okay. And is this considered semi-automatic?
11	Α	No. This is pump-action.
12	Q	Okay. I want to briefly talk about other items of evidence
13	before w	re revisit these firearms. In addition to the four firearms that you
14	received	, did you also receive several other items of evidence?
15	Α	Yes.
16	Q	Do you know how many items of evidence you received?
17	Α	If I could refer to my report, I could give you an exact number.
18	Q	Would that refresh your recollection?
19	Α	Yes.
20	Q	Go ahead and do so.
21	Α	So in total, including the firearms, I received I'd have to
22	count	47 total items of evidence.
23	Q	Okay. With regard to the items separate and distinct from the
24	firearms,	what are we talking about that you received?
25	Α	I received magazines. I also received some unfired
	1	

cartridges. I received some fired cartridge cases, some shot pellets, some shot shell wads, one bullet, and several metal fragments.

Q Okay. Now as a firearm analyst, how do you go about examining State's 415? What do you start with and how do you go step by step?

A So first when I receive a firearm, I take notes that I've received the package. I take note as to who booked the package, as to where the package came from. I check and make sure that it's sealed correctly, and I take notes that I'm about to open it. Once I open it, I verify that what's in the package is indeed what is listed on the package for my inventory purposes. And then I photograph the item as I received it, which is what I did right here.

I photograph the serial number, take any written notes that I feel are appropriate about the gun, including the make, the model, the caliber, the serial number. I check and make sure that the gun is not loaded. I check and see if there are any safeties and if those are functional. I generally inspect the gun visually to make sure that it's safe enough for me to fire it. Once I have determined that it's safe enough for me to fire it, I then proceed with my test firing examination.

Q Okay. What is a test firing examination? What's the purpose and how do you do it?

A We have in our laboratory an indoor shooting range. Inside that range, we have a water tank. It's a big metal box. It has about 600 gallons of water in it. It's a stainless-steel tank. And you take the gun, load the magazine with some reference ammunition, insert the magazine

into the gun, and test-fire into that water tank.

Since the water's denser than air, the bullets go into that water, the water slows them right down, they fall down to the bottom of the tank, and then we can remove those bullets. And then we have test samples that come from that gun that we know came from that gun, because we fired them ourselves.

Also on that water tank, there's a net that catches the extracted and ejected cartridge cases that also came from that gun.

Then we have those test samples that we can use for comparison to any evidence items that we received.

- Q Okay. Now, to be clear, when you're test-firing rounds from, say, this weapon, you're not firing the evidence rounds that you receive, right?
 - A No. I'm not.
- Q Those are your sample rounds that fit in the -- into the magazine?
- A Yes. We have a supply of ammunition in our laboratory that we generally use for test-firing.
- Q Okay. And you indicated that those rounds are then collected from the bottom of the tank or however it may be, the net. And at that point you have a test round; is that the term?
- A Yeah. You have a test-fired bullet and a test-fired cartridge case.
- Q Okay. With regard to the cartridge case, how is that important in determining whether particular items of evidence taken from a scene

 may fit with that particular gun?

A So what you load into your gun is what we call a cartridge. It's a full unit of ammunition. It has a cartridge case, and there's some powder inside it and a bullet. After you shoot it and the bullet goes down the barrel and out of the muzzle of the gun, that cartridge case, like I spoke of before, is extracted and ejected from the gun. And then when the slide goes forward on a semi-automatic type of gun, loads the next one into the chamber and readies for you to fire it.

So on scene sometimes there are fired cartridges cases that are found, and those we compared to the test-fired ones that we create when we test-fired the firearm.

Q Okay. Before I move on, you indicated the cartridge case which houses a bullet, and in between a cartridge case and the bullet, there's gunpowder?

A Correct.

Q When a gun is fired, does that gunpowder or remnants of it sometimes get ejected from the end of the barrel?

A Yes. The powder may completely burn or partially burn. But normally during the firing process, some of that burned or partially burned powder comes out of the barrel, also possibly out of the ejection port area, this area here.

Q Okay. What is stippling, ma'am?

A Stippling is small marks that you could get on your skin if -- if you're shot, you have a gunshot wound. And powder stippling in particular is if that powder hits your skin. You get, like, little scratches or

bruises where that powder would impact your skin.

Q Is there a particular range or distance that stippling is associated with?

A There is many variables. The type of ammunition, type of gun, length of the barrel, all of those things would have an effect, so it's hard to give an exact number.

Q Have you ever seen a case of stippling in an object that's been struck by a bullet from more than 24 inches away?

A In my limited experience with stippling, I would say I have -MS. MACHNICH: Your Honor, may I object at this point?
THE COURT: You may.

MS. MACHNICH: And may we approach.

[Bench conference transcribed as follows.]

MS. MACHNICH: Based on what the witness just testified to, she has limited experience in this. I don't believe this is within the purview, and I would move to strike all of the testimony about stippling. She's not here to talk about medical terminology and what may occur when a bullet impacts a human being. I don't believe that that's within her purview. I don't believe she's been qualified for that. In fact, she just said she has limited experience.

THE COURT: And so is it -- go ahead. I'm sorry.

MS. MACHNICH: Everything in regard to stippling, I believe that is medical terminology when it comes to resultant effects in a firearm, and I would move to strike it.

MR. GIORDANI: She's a firearms analyst. It's not a medical

term. It's a term associated with firearms and the effects thereof on whatever it may strike. I didn't ask her about any medical terminology. I wasn't referring to it striking a person in any way, so she's clearly qualified to say what stippling is and the range associated with it. And --

THE COURT: But I think what prompted the objection is she said in her limited experience with this area.

MR. GIORDANI: Right. Yeah.

MS. MACHNICH: And -- and therefore, I would ask that we be able to voir dire about that and if she is, in fact, not an expert with regard to that, because I don't believe that's within the purview of a firearm and tool mark expert as it is conveyed. I mean, this is nowhere in her report. This is nowhere in anything we've been provided.

THE COURT: Is that fair, counsel, it's not in her reports?

MR. GIORDANI: No. And it doesn't need to be in her report.

She's a firearms analyst who has test-fired I presume thousands and thousands of weapons. Stippling is associated with it. She has degrees in this area. She's been trained in this area. It's certainly within her purview. I'm not asking her a medical -- to refer to any wounds or anything like that. I don't know why that --

MS. MACHNICH: Your Honor, she was not disclosed as an expert in the area of this. And, additionally, because it's not within her report, we were not on notice that she'd be testifying to this at all. Additionally, just the fact that she's fired firearms, I don't believe she's fired firearms these thousands of times at a person. We're talking about --

MR. GIORDANI: Of course not.

MS. MACHNICH: -- water tanks. There's no stippling at a water tank from what is testified to. This is markings on the skin. And, again, that's more in the purview of medical than it is in the purview of a firearms expert. Specifically, based upon the fact that she just said she had limited experience on that, I believe we should voir dire her outside the presence.

THE COURT: All right. My concern is she said limited experience. That's my concern. Right?

MS. MACHNICH: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: That's I'm sure what prompted the objection. I am not clear that what she is about to testify to is medical in nature. I believe that if she is qualified, she can testify as to the area that counsel is questioning. But because she did say limited experience, I'm going to allow some voir dire --

MR. GIORDANI: Okay.

THE COURT: -- to allow you to challenge her qualifications in that area.

MS. MACHNICH: We had -- we just had no notice that she was testifying to this.

THE COURT: So I'm going to excuse the jury for a few minutes --

MR. GIORDANI: Okay.

THE COURT: -- just to allow some brief questioning on voir dire. But she has -- counsel, you have stipulated -- I heard no -- heard

no objection, that she is the -- an expert in the two areas. I'm going to allow the State, after you do your voir diring, to go into her qualifications to put it on the record. Okay?

MS. MACHNICH: And that's fine. I just -- I truly don't believe that stippling, which is a resultant effect on a human being --

THE COURT: I understand.

MS. MACHNICH: -- is related --

THE COURT: I understand.

MS. MACHNICH: -- to this area.

THE COURT: I understand what prompted the objection, when she said limited experience. And so I will allow you some brief voir dire -- I'm not going to limit you -- some voir dire and her qualifications, but I'm also going to allow the State to ask her -- go into her qualifications in this area.

MR. GIORDANI: Understood.

MS. MACHNICH: Okay.

THE COURT: Thank you.

[End of bench conference.]

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to have to take a short recess. During this recess, you are admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anybody else on any subject connected with this trial, or read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial, or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspapers, television, radio, or Internet, or form or express any opinion on any

1	subject c	onnected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you.
2		This should be a 5, 10-minute recess, ladies and gentlemen.
3		[Jury recessed at 3:03 p.m.]
4		THE COURT: Let the record reflect that the jury is not
5	present.	
6		And counsel, you requested to take this witness on voir dire
7	regarding	g her qualifications; is that correct?
8		MS. MACHNICH: Yes, Your Honor.
9		THE COURT: Proceed, please.
10		MS. MACHNICH: Thank you.
11		VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
12	BY MS. I	MACHNICH:
13	Q	Ma'am, just a few questions. So I see on your CV that you're
14	a tool ma	arks expert, correct?
15	Α	Well, I think expert is for the Court to decide. I'm a forensic
16	scientist	in the field of firearms and tool marks.
17	Q	So you have experience in the following disciplines, and one
18	of them is	s tool marks?
19	Α	Yes, ma'am.
20	Q	And one of them is is firearms?
21	Α	Yes.
22	Q	Now, prior to the last bit of testimony, we were speaking about
23	your invo	lvement on this case with regard to the firearms that were
24	impound	ed, correct?
25	Α	Yes.

1	Q	Okay. And that includes analysis of the actual firearms
2	themselv	ves?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. And of their magazines?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	And of their ammunition?
7	А	Correct.
8	Q	Okay. And you also did some test firing, and you discussed
9	that?	
10	Α	Yes.
11	Q	And that's both in this case, but you also do test firing in other
12	cases?	
13	Α	Yes.
14	Q	And, primarily, that is into this cylinder with water that you
15	discussed?	
16	Α	A water tank. We can also shoot down range into a trap. But
17	primarily	to obtain the test fired bullets and cartridge cases, we do shoot
18	into the v	vater tank.
19	Q	Okay. So right when we stopped the testimony earlier, we
20	were dis	cussing stippling, and you specifically stated that you had a
21	limited experience with stippling.	
22	Α	Yes.
23	Q	What did you mean by that?
24	Α	We were trained, when I went through training, on stippling.
25	And thro	ughout my 10 years of firearms analyst, we have looked at

All right. If I were to show you --

25

Q

Q Okay.

- A So just in general. He just asked me about the definition and --
- Q Okay. And, obviously, that was never part of your report in this case?
 - A It is not, no.
 - Q Okay.

MS. MACHNICH: I think that's everything I have at this point, Your Honor. And I would renew my objection to her testifying based on limited experience. And at this point -- and I realize, I guess, the State gets to discuss with her something, as well, so I can withhold my argument --

THE COURT: Actually, you're going to have -- okay. I'm going to allow the State to question her on her qualifications.

MS. MACHNICH: Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. GIORDANI: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: State, also, I need to know what her proffered opinion is going to be on this issue. What is it that you're going to request that she give an opinion on?

MR. GIORDANI: We're actually not asking for an opinion, and that's why I was trying to explain that at the bench. What we're asking is general terminology with regard to what stippling is. I believe there was two other questions. And it was, What range is generally associated with stippling? And, Have you ever seen a case in which stippling

Q I'm su

MR. GIORDANI: I have no further questions, Your Honor.

I'm sure you would. Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.

.

. .

Now you make your motion.

MS. MACHNICH: Thank you, Your Honor. I would move to prevent this witness or restrict this witness from testifying as to stippling, and the State from asking her about that -- at this point we move to strike -- and for potentially limiting instruction, although I would like a moment to think about that, because of the following: As she stated -- and we appreciate her honesty that it's within her limited experience. The problem there is, within your limited experience is necessarily not within your expert opinion, in our opinion. That's just not how expert testimony works.

And in this case, this witness was disclosed as an expert in firearm and tool mark analysis. Everything that's been provided to the defense with regard to the firearm and tool mark analysis, including this witness's CV from 2013, which is now, evidently, not the most up-to-date one, regards a firearm, like, firing a gun and analyzing whether a gun goes with a bullet. And that -- that's what's been provided in this case, the analysis of the actual firearms, are they functional, are they nonfunctional, all of those things that have been associated with this case, her examining various pieces of evidence that were impounded as a part of this case. So she has a lot of areas that she's clearly qualified as an expert to testify in.

 However, with regard to something referenced stippling, we are talking about her opining as to soft tissue damage to the skin as a result of firearm. That was never disclosed in this case.

THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. I'm sorry.

MS. MACHNICH: Oh, that's okay. So the standard is not whether she's comfortable testifying to something, although it's -- it's refreshing here that someone would be willing to speak up if they weren't versed in the topic. But again, she did speak up and she said limited experience.

Her most recent training with regard to this is between 2009 and 2011. It's not even listed on her CV. I don't know if it would have been specifically delineated on there anyway. But it certainly isn't even listed on the one we have. She's never published in the area. My understanding is that she's never -- certainly never taught in the area.

Because her area -- oh, and she's specifically testified in the area of firearms identification, and she's now said that it's 30 times, or this is the 30th time, while there's three listed on the CV.

But even so, we're talking about firearm identification, which is specifically what we received with regard to her report and everything provided within this case that she was noticed to testify to.

Because of that, and because of -- based on the State's questions that they're not having her opine, and they have not necessarily established relevance with regard to this testimony of stippling, I don't think this is proper on many levels. And we would move to exclude it and strike it from the record.

THE COURT: State, what's your position?

MR. GIORDANI: I think it's proper on every level. I'm not asking this witness to opine as to an expert opinion with regard to stippling. I'm not asking this witness to talk about injuries or soft tissue damage or anything that Ms. Machnich just referenced. I'm asking this firearms examiner to tell us what stippling is, when generally you can expect to see it. That is clearly within the realm of her training and experience.

The fact that it's not in her report is not surprising at all. We -no one asked her to review stippling, which goes to my original point.
It's not about rendering an opinion on any particular stippling in this
case. I wouldn't ask her that. She probably wouldn't be comfortable
answering that. So that's not what I'm doing here. I'm asking this
forensic scientist in the area of firearms what stippling is, when generally
you would expect to see it. And that's the extent of it.

Everything about this is proper. There's nothing that needed to be in a report that wasn't. And I will submit it to the Court on that.

THE COURT: All right, counsel. Thank you.

She has testified that she has a certification in gunshot residue. She has been accepted -- she does have sufficient qualifications to testify as an expert in a particular area of expertise. I believe that area is -- what were the areas of expertise?

MR. GIORDANI: The title is firearms and tool marks.

THE COURT: Firearms and tool marks. So to the extent -- counsel?

MS. SISOLAK: Tegan.

MS. MACHNICH: What? I'm sorry.

THE COURT: To the extent that this testimony falls with under -- within her overall expertise that she has -- that the Court has determined that she has the qualification to testify, I am going to allow to that extent.

However, I'm not going to allow her to give an opinion that is not contained in the expert report. So she's not going to allow -- she's not going to give an opinion as to the -- your concern about the medical issues related to stippling on the hand itself.

She is going to be allowed to testify within her expertise. I believe she testified that she has -- over the course of time, that she has made determinations as to the pattern of stippling for purposes of determining -- distance determination of gunshot residue. That's the type of -- that's the underlying testimony she can testify to here.

It's my understanding what the State's going to ask her is, what is stippling? Is it -- which I believe she's testified to. Generally, what the range of stippling is, or can there be stippling for more than 24 inches.

But, counsel, I'm not going to let you -- let her give an opinion on --

MR. GIORDANI: No.

THE COURT: -- for purposes of this case. This falls under her umbrella as regarding gunshot residue.

MR. GIORDANI: Understood.

MS. BEVERLY: Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. That's my ruling, counsel.

MS. BEVERLY: Can we call the jury back in?

THE COURT: Anything further?

MR. GIORDANI: No, Your Honor.

MS. MACHNICH: I guess --

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MS. MACHNICH: We -- I know this is unorthodox, but I'd like to make a proffer outside the presence of the State with regard to why we believe this is happening and why we believe this is unfair. But I don't feel comfortable doing that, as it may -- I don't know if that's the case. I think that's why -- I think something -- I think I know what they're doing. I don't know if it's correct. If it's not correct, it's going to hurt my client by bringing it up. So I'd request to make a proffer to the Court outside the State -- presence of the State with regard to why I believe this is happening and why I believe this is improper, given the circumstances.

MS. BEVERLY: Judge, we're going to absolutely -- we're going to absolutely object to that. We're ask -- this is evidence coming in. We're in our case-in-chief. They have the ability to cross-examine her on that. They have the ability to cross-examine anybody else. But I don't see what else needs to be said other than what we've already done. Your Honor already established that she is allowed to testify about this very --

THE COURT: All right. The way I'm hearing this, counsel,

quite frankly, is these are foundational questions, just general foundational questions going to the issue of gunshot residue. That's how it seems to be being presented to me. Again, there was not a written Motion in Limine. I am concerned about the notice issue, that this was not contained in the expert report. However, the State has represented to me these are more general, foundational type of questions. They're not going to be asking her an opinion.

If you're going to make an allegation on some type of, I don't know, I mean, I'm assuming it's some type of improper motive by the prosecution, that's your concern.

MS. MACHNICH: I don't -- I don't -- I'm not trying to say anything disparaging about the State. They've been very forthcoming in this case. But yes, I think this is going to something more than just foundation, that's -- and I'm concerned. And I -- certainly, if it's not the case, I don't want to highlight it for them. But if it is the case, I think it's back-dooring in something that wasn't disclosed and becomes very relevant.

MS. BEVERLY: And, Judge, I am going to have to object at that point. I mean, I think that -- well, I don't think, I know that I've disclosed everything that's been admitted, everything that's -- everyone who is testifying. In fact, I even told them who I would be calling in this case, which is not something I even typically do, because we've been getting along so well.

So I don't know what type of -- it seems like back-dooring they think that we're doing, but everything's been disclosed.

 THE COURT: I'm not sure what they're doing, counsel. I'm not sure if she's afraid of revealing some type of trial strategy or some type of improper motive. I -- and quite frankly, I don't know.

MS. BEVERLY: If it's talking about the State's -- I don't know why that would be outside the presence of the State. I mean, if they're talking about trial strategy, that's one thing. But they're talking about something that has to do with the State --

THE COURT: I don't know, counsel.

MS. BEVERLY: -- so the State should be present for that.

MS. MACHNICH: My -- my concern is, if I am not correct and I'm just being paranoid, then I've now highlighted something to them. So if -- if Your Honor does not keep out this testimony, I have then helped the State's case. And I don't think that that's proper, and I don't think that I should be forced to do that, because it necessarily harms my client. And it's a huge PCR issue.

I just -- we did not have notice that she'd be testifying to this area. We may have taken different steps, and I'd certainly like to make a proffer of what steps we might have taken if we had known she was testifying to this area, based on what I believe the State is going to do with this information. But again, I don't feel comfortable -- I'm sorry I'm being so vague. I have something very specific to say. I just -- if I highlight it in front of the State, they're very intelligent prosecutors, and I know that if Your Honor doesn't keep it out, they're going to use it.

MR. GIORDANI: Your Honor, I believe you made your ruling on this issue. And I would ask that if it's going to be visited any further, it

not be outside our presence. That's not appropriate, especially if it has to do with something alleged against us. We would --

THE COURT: That doesn't appear to be the situation, counsel. It appears to be something other than allegations of improper motive by the prosecution.

MR. GIORDANI: Right. And --

MS. MACHNICH: Right.

MR. GIORDANI: -- understood. But to the extent that you've made your ruling, I don't understand how anything in addition to the actual --

THE COURT: She wants to make a proffer outside the presence of the prosecution.

I'm going to take a short recess. Because here's your problem counsel: If it's a proffer outside the presence of the prosecution, I cannot make a ruling without them being informed of what the proffer is. I mean, they would have an -- I mean, are you making an offer of proof?

MS. MACHNICH: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. MACHNICH: I would like to make an offer of proof outside the presence --

THE COURT: Okay. But the offer of proof would not be argument. It would be if -- an offer of proof is to establish in the record for an appellate review of your basis --

MS. MACHNICH: Yes.

THE COURT: -- of an objection.

1	MS. MACHNICH: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Okay. So that's what you want to do?
3	MS. MACHNICH: That is what I would like to do, Your Honor
4	THE COURT: Okay. And you want it to be sealed so the
5	State could not
6	MS. MACHNICH: Yes, I would.
7	THE COURT: All right. We're going to be in a short recess.
8	MR. GIORDANI: All right.
9	MS. MACHNICH: Thank you, Your Honor.
10	[Court recessed at 3:31 p.m., until 3:38 p.m.]
11	[Outside the presence of the jury]
12	THE COURT: This is a continuation of case number I'm
13	sorry, a continuation of the jury trial in case number C-15-309578-1
14	and -2, State of Nevada vs. Defendants Steven Turner and Clemon
15	Hudson.
16	Counsel, state your appearance.
17	MS. MACHNICH: Tegan Machnich and Ashley Sisolak for
18	Mr. Turner.
19	MR. GIORDANI: John Giordani and Leah Beverly for the
20	State.
21	THE COURT: Let the record reflect that the jury is not
22	present.
23	MR. GIORDANI: Mr. Plummer is here, too, with his client.
24	THE COURT: I'm sorry. Mr. Plummer?
25	MR. PLUMMER: Yes, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: Thank you.	
2	Let the record reflect the presence of counsel for the State,	
3	counsel for the defendants, and the defendants.	
4	Counsel, I need some clarification. Under	
5	NRS 174.235 (1)(b), regarding with expert reports, it says:	
6	Results or reports of physical or mental examinations,	
7	scientific tests or scientific experiments made in connection with the	
8	particular case, or copies thereof, within the possession, custody, o	
9	control of the State, the existence of which is known, or by the	
10	exercise of due diligence, may become known to a prosecuting	
11	attorney.	
12	It's my understanding that you have provided counsel with an	
13	expert report; is that correct?	
14	MR. GIORDANI: Yes, Your Honor.	
15	THE COURT: Do you have a copy of the expert report,	
16	counsel?	
17	MS. MACHNICH: Yes, I do, Your Honor.	
18	THE COURT: Can you	
19	MR. GIORDANI: For the record, it's one, two, three pages	
20	long.	
21	THE COURT: Can you approach, please, with a copy of the	
22	report?	
23	MS. MACHNICH: Yes, Your Honor.	
24	[Pause in proceedings.]	
25	THE COURT: I'm just going to hold this one moment.	
	02	

In the areas that this witness has -- the Court has determined her qualifications allow her to testify, the particular areas of expertise are twofold; is that correct? It was tool markings, and what was the other area that she was offered as an expert?

MR. GIORDANI: The firearms and tool marks is her area of expertise.

THE COURT: Firearms and tool markings. And it's the State's position under her expertise as a -- as a -- as firearms, within there is gunshot residue, which would include the shotgun?

MR. GIORDANI: It would include all types of gunshot residue, including stippling, yes. That's her area of --

THE COURT: Well, my concern is the stippling, is -- is that as to both the rifle and the shotgun?

MR. GIORDANI: It applies to any firearm. And I believe what the witness said was the type of stippling -- stippling or the distance thereof, it actually depends on a lot of different factors, including the type of firearm, etcetera. So that's where she was being overly, I guess, cautious is the word. She's saying it all depends. And that's part of the reason I won't ask her an expert opinion, because she can't answer it. Depends on a whole lot of stuff.

THE COURT: And one of the objections was relevancy.

MR. GIORDANI: Right.

THE COURT: Okay. What is the relevancy of the stippling testimony?

MR. GIORDANI: Well, now, see this goes into exactly what

qualifications of the expert, relevance has nothing to do with it.

THE COURT: I agree.

MR. GIORDANI: If Your Honor made a ruling that she can testify to those things, I can proffer to you the relevance. But then if we do it in front of the defense, it's the same exact reason they don't want to do it in front of us, because we're talking about trial strategy. I mean, it's relevant --

Ms. Machnich was trying to do. To the extent that she's objecting to the

THE COURT: This is what I'm not understanding.

MR. GIORDANI: Let me -- if I may. It's relevant to her expertise in all these different areas of firearms. All of this testimony is relevant. In fact, I skipped over a whole bunch of relevant stuff that would bolster her expertise. So, I mean, I can go back and ask her what is a bullet, what is a casing, what is a trigger guard, what is a this, that, that, to show she knows her guns. She knows the resulting impacts of guns. She knows, you know, the different components and the different effects, etcetera. That's -- it's all relevant to her --

THE COURT: But is it relevant to her opinion? That's my concern. The opinion --

MR. GIORDANI: She's --

THE COURT: -- are you going to ultimately ask her an opinion in this case?

MR. GIORDANI: No. No, no, no. Not with regard to stippling, no.

THE COURT: Okay. But in regards -- here's what I'm having

difficulty with this whole scenario. Okay. You put an expert on to give an opinion. That's the whole point of expert testimony. What is the opinion that this expert is going to give the purpose of you presenting her as an expert?

MR. GIORDANI: Well, first off, the sole purpose of presenting her is not for her opinions. It's to talk about all these different items of evidence to tie up what we've been going through this whole trial. The -- the expert portion of her testimony, the opinions, are going to be related to what casings from the scene go to what firearms. But a big chunk of her testimony is not about those opinions. It's to show, number one, that she's qualified. It's to show, number two, she knows what she's talking about. It's to show, number three, what those things we're finding on the scene are.

So it's not -- I'm not asking her these questions to result in an opinion at the end. Her opinion -- all of the questions I'm asking -- asking her are foundational for her expertise. So I'm not asking her an opinion with regard to anything to do with stippling.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. GIORDANI: And, I mean, to go a step further, so throw a hypothetical out there, so it's gonna be argued by Mr. Plummer that -- or potentially will be argued by Mr. Plummer that when his gun gets hit -- I mean when the shotgun gets hit by an officer's round, it's rendered inoperable. And that's either, potentially, an accidental discharge or self-defense or whatever it may be. Anything about that firearm is going to be relevant to argue that later. We have this witness to tie up

everything there is to possibly know about guns because that's the person who knows that.

THE COURT: Okay. But, again, just based on what the defense has stated, is there testimony in this record regarding stippling?

MR. GIORDANI: No.

MS. BEVERLY: Judge, but what we're saying is it's the same as asking the DNA person, What is DNA? It's the same thing as asking the fingerprint person, What are fingerprints? So what -- if you're asking us for, you know, what we're going to argue and what our --

THE COURT: I'm not asking that, counsel. What -- here -- I'm trying to figure out why defense counsel would need an ex parte offer of proof.

MS. BEVERLY: I don't know.

THE COURT: I'm trying to figure it out so I can make a ruling, okay.

MS. BEVERLY: It's -- it's --

THE COURT: And my question to you, counsel, is there evidence in this record, is there testimony in this record, regarding stippling?

MS. BEVERLY: Is there evidence in this case of stippling? Is that what you're asking? I guess -- I don't know why they want to make -- I think they want to make a proffer to try to, you know, cut -- see what the State's going to argue. Okay? But we are allowed to ask a firearms expert anything related to expertise in firearms, whether that's, What is a bullet? What is a casing? How does a bullet leave a casing?

going to use that in their closing arguments to say he had to have been within 2 feet of a firearm when it was discharged for that pattern to have happened, and thus, the defense theory is incorrect.

MR. GIORDANI: So number one, that says it's relevant. And number two, she has been disclosed in this area. She is a firearms expert.

MS. MACHNICH: I actually have the -- the actual disclosure. And it says she is an expert in the field of firearm and tool mark comparisons, and is expected to testify thereto. And none of that suggests stippling.

THE COURT: Okay. My biggest concern, quite frankly, counsel, is the notice issue. I do have concern about the notice issue.

MS. BEVERLY: Judge, I really --

THE COURT: Okay. I understand the argument. Whether -- I'm going to allow her to give general statements about stippling, okay, because that does seem to fall under her -- the area that she's qualified. But I don't -- I am not yet convinced that she should be allowed to give an opinion -- I'm sorry, to give testimony regarding how far away stippling occurs. In other words, the three questions you asked, if you're going to -- the three questions you asked dealt with -- I have them written down. I apologize.

MR. GIORDANI: I can tell you, what is it? Or what is stippling?

THE COURT: Okay. That seems to be a proper question.

MR. GIORDANI: What is the range generally associated with

it? And her response was, It depends upon the caliber, the surface, the XYZ. I don't remember exactly what she said. And then the third question, and the question that is relevant to potential argument, is, Have you ever seen stippling occur from a distance of greater than 24 inches? And she --

THE COURT: Okay. And quite frankly, that's the question that's probably the most concerning.

MR. GIORDANI: Well, that --

MS. MACHNICH: Yeah, exactly.

MR. GIORDANI: That's --

MS. BEVERLY: Well, I guess the -- the situation is, Judge, she has testified that she fires guns. She's observed distances. She talked about how she has training. And we're talking about training in her general training as a firearms expert, which --

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. BEVERLY: -- she talked about. So that is all part of her qualification as an expert --

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. BEVERLY: -- the same way a DNA person can testify about different -- different types of DNA, and where DNA is found, and sometimes there's a mixture of DNA. That's all part of it. So --

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. BEVERLY: -- we're not asking her to give an opinion in this case about, Ma'am, in this case, how far was the -- anybody -- did you observe any stippling? We're not -- we're not asking her that. That

 would be giving an opinion.

But to say in general, you know, in general distances, which she has experience in, I don't think is in any way affected by any notice issue. It's certainly part of her qualifications. Her training is listed in there as a firearms expert. And I think that's -- we're not asking for any further opinion.

THE COURT: Well, is she -- is she a firearm expert or is she a firearm comparison expert?

MS. BEVERLY: Both.

MR. GIORDANI: She's a firearms expert, not just a firearms comparison expert. That's the -- that's the big difference that we have in opinion. She --

MS. MACHNICH: Her notice --

THE COURT: Okay. Wait, counsel.

MS. MACHNICH: Sorry.

THE COURT: You've already stipulated that she's an expert. It was -- it's my recollection that you stipulated that she was a firearm expert in tool markings. That's my recollection. If I'm wrong, please let me know.

MS. MACHNICH: Based upon the area of her disclosed testimony that was included in the State's notice of witnesses, which specifically states firearm on tool mark comparisons.

THE COURT: Okay. My next issue that I have is her statement of limited experience, counsel. She volunteered that she has limited experience in this area. So before I would let you ask that

question, you're going to have to verify that she has -- at the very least, has some experience sufficient to make that type of statement, that --

MR. GIORDANI: Sure.

THE COURT: And what are you going to show me?

MR. GIORDANI: We -- we just did a voir dire of the witness --

THE COURT: Correct.

MR. GIORDANI: -- in which she said she is trained in distance determination. That is exactly what we're asking. Now, again, we're not asking her to render an opinion or look at those photos and say, is there stippling on Steven Turner's leg? We're not asking that. We would never ask that because it's not appropriate. She's not --

THE COURT: Okay. What she testified to, counsel, is that she has training in stippling, and her training involved pattern of stippling for distance determination of gunshot residue. That was her testimony.

MR. GIORDANI: Yes.

MS. MACHNICH: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. She's been accepted -- based on her qualifications, she's been accepted to give an opinion regarding firearms and tool marks. So to the extent that her testimony is going to fall within that, okay, then she can establish pattern of stippling for purposes of distance determination of gunshot residue.

She seems to have -- I believe it was also her testimony that, as part of her certification, she had training in those areas. I had my notes and --

MR. GIORDANI: She did.

12

15

16

17

20

21

22

23 24

25

THE COURT: I apologize, counsel. So if the State can ask a question based on pattern of stippling and distance determination of gunshot residue, that seems to be within her qualifications. I'm not going to let her give a specific opinion or testimony regarding, for example, the gunshot wound as to the officer. Okay?

MS. MACHNICH: Okay.

MS. BEVERLY: Thank you.

MS. MACHNICH: I -- I guess, Your Honor, our concern was we did not have notice. And had we had notice that they were going to go into something other than firearm and tool mark comparisons, we may have hired our own expert. And we would request, potentially, a stay in order to get an expert or consult an expert. I don't know if that's even something that we can do at this point on such short notice. But -but given that that is now what she's testifying to, and while she may not be opining --

THE COURT: How long would you need, counsel?

MS. MACHNICH: A week.

THE COURT: No, I'm not going to give you a week. How long would need? I mean, do you -- what office are you with?

MS. MACHNICH: Public defender.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GIORDANI: Can we make an objection for the record? This is now talking about middle of trial, long past the 21 days, and they're asking to stop our trial and send our jury home to bring in an expert. The issue here is whether she was on notice of the general idea

of stippling. So with regard to the second supplemental notice of witnesses and/or expert witnesses, we had two firearms examiners noticed. Both of those people -- one is Anya Lester. One is James Krylo. Both of those people are noticed with Or Designee next to their name. That is common -- I do it in every case. It looks like Ms. Beverly does too.

And both of those firearms analysts have disclosures next to them: Krylo is expected to testify regarding collection, comparison, analysis of firearms, ammunitions, ballistics, and tool mark evidence as it relates to this case.

The -- the general idea of stippling falls within the purview of firearms, ammunitions, ballistics, etcetera. Anya Lester is an expert in the field of firearm and tool mark comparisons, and is expected to testify thereto. Firearm and tool mark comparisons contain firearms, ammunitions, ballistics, and tool mark evidence.

There is no other notice we could possibly have given, other than saying, this is our trial strategy. Here you go. We cannot, and will never, do that. It's not required by law. I mean, as a comparison, the DNA expert, Kim Dannenberger --

THE COURT: Counsel, I don't need a -- here's -- here's the situation, counsel. If they can bring it in within her expertise, if they can establish it through her expertise that she is a firearm expert, and this falls within the comparison, she seems to have the qualifications to testify generally about stippling. I'm not going to let them ask the question, have you ever seen a case greater than 24 inches? That's too

MS. MACHNICH: I'm going to blind asking her with no notice about whether -- how many times she's fired a weapon and registered stippling. I --

THE COURT: Okay. But that goes to cross-examination. She has said, already, limited experience. So that's going to go to the issue of cross-examination. How many times have you done this? She said limited experience. It's pretty much what you did in establishing her qualifications. So the issue goes to cross-examination, counsel.

MS. MACHNICH: Okay.

THE COURT: All right. I apologize. But again, whenever these things occur in the middle of trial, it is necessary for me to understand the context of which they are occurring.

MS. BEVERLY: We understand, Judge.

MR. GIORDANI: Understood.

THE COURT: I mean, obviously, you know, my preference has always been, as anybody that has practiced in front of me, written Motions in Limine, But I understand that this does occur during trial.

So my ruling is, counsel, that she can give a general description of what stippling is based on her qualifications.

MS. BEVERLY: Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. MACHNICH: And, Your Honor --

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. MACHNICH: And, Your Honor, may I just briefly make our record? I respect your ruling, and I'm not trying to change it at this point. I just want my appellate record to be clear.

THE COURT: Absolutely.

MS. MACHNICH: That I am objecting pursuant to *Burnside v. State*, 352 P. 3d, 627 from 2015. And I would make the specific record that I've asked for a continuance pursuant to 174.295 or exclusion of the testimony at issue. And had I known about this area in advance and been on notice of it, I would have prepared a more thorough cross-examination on her qualifications. I would have, I guess, hoped that I had an up-to-date CV. And I would have potentially hired or consulted with a rebuttal expert. Thank you.

THE COURT: And what was the cite, counsel?

MS. MACHNICH: 352 P.3d, 627 from 2015. And it's

Burnside v. State.

THE COURT: And do you have a copy of the case?

MS. MACHNICH: I -- I do. It does have some markings on it. I apologize. Actually, I may have a clean one right here. I do have a clean one right here.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

State, do you have any argument regarding the case that counsel is citing?

MR. GIORDANI: No, not at all. We've already addressed the arguments that would arise from that case.

MS. MACHNICH: And I -- and, Your Honor, the discussion begins on Pincite 636, right on to the next page from 636.

THE COURT: What head note, counsel?

MS. MACHNICH: It's head note -- starts at 1, and

includes 1, 2 -- 1 and 2 and 3 and 4, 1 through 4.

[Pause in proceedings.]

THE COURT: In reviewing the *Burnside* matter, counsel, the distinction that the Court makes in reference to the case sub judice is that in *Burnside*, they sought to broaden the custodian of records regarding cell phones. And the Court noted that the State was required to provide notice pursuant to NRS 174.2342 that the record's custodian would testify as an expert witness. It failed to do so. Instead, including the records custodian on its notice of lay witnesses.

The State has represented to me that this witness was noticed as an expert witness. I find that distinction to be compelling in this matter. And therefore, my ruling stands.

MS. MACHNICH: Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. BEVERLY: Thank you.

MS. MACHNICH: And I would only note that the area that's listed in the area of comparison --

MS. BEVERLY: Judge --

MS. MACHNICH: -- was --

THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.

MS. BEVERLY: All right.

MS. MACHNICH: Thank you.

THE COURT: Bring the witness -- bring the jury back in,

And you understand my ruling, counsel?

MR. GIORDANI: Yes, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: Thank you.	
2	MR. GIORDANI: I wrote down the words you said. Can give	
3	general information regarding stippling?	
4	THE COURT: No leading.	
5	MR. GIORDANI: No leading?	
6	THE COURT: Counsel. Counsel for the defense, if you could	
7	approach.	
8	MS. MACHNICH: Of course.	
9	THE COURT: Thank you.	
10	MS. MACHNICH: Thank you.	
11	[Jury reconvened at 4:08 p.m.]	
12	THE COURT: You may be seated. Do the parties stipulate to	
13	the presence of the jury?	
14	MR. PLUMMER: Yes, Your Honor.	
15	MS. MACHNICH: Yes, Your Honor.	
16	MR. GIORDANI: Yes, Your Honor.	
17	THE COURT: Is the witness still available?	
18	MR. GIORDANI: Yes.	
19	THE COURT: Ma'am, I would remind you you're still under	
20	oath.	
21	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
22	THE COURT: Thank you.	
23	MR. GIORDANI: May I?	
24	THE COURT: You may.	
25	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you.	
	109	

Q Ma'am, what is stippling?

A Stippling from powder is small abrasions or scratches that you get on your skin that would be around a gunshot wound.

Q Okay. And that powder that you referenced, is that the stuff that you were describing earlier is behind a bullet and inside a case?

A Yes.

BY MR. GIORDANI:

Q Cartridge case? In general, what range is associated with stippling?

A There are many variables that would go into that. It would depend on the type of gun, the length of the barrel, the type of ammunition, and the caliber. So I wouldn't be able to give an exact number.

Q Understood. What is the furthest distance you have seen associated with stippling?

- A Again, I would not be able to give you an exact number.
- Q Okay. Are we talking more than 3 feet? Less?

A I have -- I -- because there are so many variables, I would not be able to attest to an exact number. Normally, stippling would be seen at a -- more of a close-to-intermediate range.

- Q Okay. What do the terms close to intermediate mean to you?
- A Again, I would have a very difficult time giving you a number.
- Q Okay. Could it be 25 feet away?
- A I would say 25 feet would be too far.

1	accurate?	
2	Α	Yes.
3	Q	And that would be the terminology used?
4	Α	Yes.
5	Q	Okay. So when you received this firearm, did it have 17 in the
6	magazine?	
7	Α	No. When I received that, it was not loaded.
8	Q	Okay. Understood. Did you receive cartridges associated
9	with this firearm?	
10	Α	One moment. I did receive cartridges in a separate package.
11	Q	And how many of those?
12	Α	I received 18 total.
13	Q	Okay. Were you able to take one of your own test rounds
14	and and fire those from that gun?	
15	Α	Yes.
16	Q	And were you did you then compare the test rounds from
17	this gun	to some cartridge cases that you were provided?
18	Α	Yes, I did.
19	Q	And how many cartridge cases were you provided?
20	Α	I was provided 15 total cartridge cases.
21	Q	Okay. How many .9mm Speer cartridge cases were you
22	provided	J?
23	Α	12.
24	Q	Okay. And did you compare your test-fired round from this
25	gun to all of those or just some or what?	

A To all of those, yes.

Q Okay. And do you have photographs that are associated with that comparison?

A Yes.

Q Did you provide those to us here in court?

A I did.

Q And I'm going to show you now State's already admitted 416; what are we looking at here?

A If you could move it down a tiny bit so I could see the top? So these are comparison photo examples. These are just examples of my comparisons. These are not all encompassing, as I did -- as I mentioned, 12 separate comparisons. These are samples of what I saw. On the left-hand side, the left-hand side of the dividing line is the test fire, and that is from Item -- my lab Item 22, Test Fire B, that's on the left. And my lab Item 36 is on the right. And these are examples of different areas on those cartridge cases.

Q Okay. On the top set of photographs it says primer next to it; what is a primer?

A Primer is on the head stamp area of a cartridge case. It's a chemical compound that when the trigger is pulled on the firearm, the hammer and the striker falls forward and hits that chemical compound, and that's what makes the spark that ignites the powder. So that when the powder burns, it creates gas and that's what propels the bullet down the barrel and out of the muzzle of the gun.

Q Is it common for markings to be left behind when the -- I forget

the term -- hits the primer?

A Yes. The -- the firing pin or the striker or the hammer, when it hits the primer, it typically will leave an indentation, like you will see right here. There can be other markings on this entire primer area, and that's from when that cartridge case goes backwards against the back of the slide, you'll get markings from that as well.

- Q Okay. And were there markings in both your test-fired cartridge case in addition to the items of evidence you received from the scene?
 - A Yes.
 - Q And did you compare those?
 - A Yes, I did.
 - Q What were your results?
- A I determined that the 12 cartridge cases were identified as having been fired by the submitted Glock pistol.
- Q Okay. So we have a match from 12 cartridge cases to that submitted Glock pistol; is that right?
 - A Yes.
 - Q What are we looking at in these bottom three photographs? [Equipment malfunction; pause in proceedings.]

THE COURT: Counsel, I'm going to take a short recess.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we are going to take a short recess [indiscernible] this trial, read, watch or listen to a report or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial, any

medium of information, including, without limitation, newspaper, television, radio or Internet, to form or express any opinion on a subject connected with the trial until the case has been finally submitted to you. We'll be in recess.

[Court recessed at 4:17 p.m., until 4:28 p.m.] [Outside the presence of the jury.]

THE COURT: Let's go back on the record. This is the continuation of the jury trial in Case No. C-15-309578-1 and -2, *State of Nevada vs. Steven Turner and Clemon Hudson*. Let the record reflect the presence of counsel for the State, counsel for the defendants and the presence of the defendants.

Obviously, there was a glitch in the JAVS system, I -- I take it.

Are we waiting for --

MR. GIORDANI: Well, no. What the State was going to bring up with you is now that it's been -- you know, we've had that significant delay and now this delay, I won't even be able to probably finish my direct by 5:00. So we need to check with this witness if she can come back tomorrow, unless you're -- unless you plan on staying a little past 5:00. This was going to be our last witness and we were going to rest.

THE COURT: Okay. Check with the witness, see what her preference is. I -- since we only started at 1:00, I would go past 5:00 to finish this witness.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay.

THE COURT: Or see if she can come back tomorrow,

1	whatever the witness's preference is.
2	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
3	[Pause in proceedings]
4	MR. GIORDANI: Okay. It sounds like we can
5	THE COURT: Okay. The only caveat, counsel, is if the
6	some juror has some pressing matter that they have to leave at 5:00.
7	I'm going to inquire of the jury to make because of all the delays this
8	afternoon, if we can go beyond 5:00. Unless some juror has, like I said,
9	some pressing matter, we'll try to conclude this witness this afternoon.
10	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
11	MS. BEVERLY: And what are we doing the jury instructions?
12	THE COURT: We'll do them tomorrow.
13	MS. BEVERLY: Okay.
14	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
15	THE COURT: Do you want to bring well, let's bring the jury
16	in. No, you know what, before we bring the jury in, I do need a
17	stipulation by counsel. I believe the admonishment was recorded, but I
18	want a stipulation that I did admonish the jury prior to the recess.
19	MR. GIORDANI: You did. We heard you.
20	MS. MACHNICH: You did, Your Honor. Yes.
21	MR. PLUMMER: You did, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Let's bring the jury in.
23	[Jury reconvened at 4:34 p.m.]
24	THE COURT: You may be seated. Do the parties stipulate to
25	the presence of the jury?

1	MR. GIORDANI: Yes, Your Honor.	
2	MS. MACHNICH: Yes, Your Honor.	
3	MR. PLUMMER: Yes, Your Honor.	
4	THE COURT: Thank you.	
5	Ladies and gentlemen, because of all the delays this	
6	afternoon I was going to go past 5:00, unless anybody has any pressing	
7	matters where they need to leave at 5:00? Seeing no hands, I'm going	
8	to again, because of all the delays, we're going to go past 5:00 this	
9	afternoon.	
10	JUROR NO. 2: How how far past are we going to go?	
11	THE COURT: You tell me. Do you have	
12	JUROR NO. 2: I have a school function I was supposed to be	
13	at by 6:00, and I live about 40 minutes from here.	
14	THE COURT: And what time is your school function?	
15	JUROR NO. 2: It starts at 6:00.	
16	THE COURT: Well, we're hopeful to conclude this witness	
17	this afternoon. So if it gets close to 6:00, let me know, and I'll inquire of	
18	counsel how much longer the witness has.	
19	JUROR NO. 2: All right.	
20	THE COURT: Thank you.	
21	Ma'am, you're still under oath.	
22	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
23	THE COURT: Thank you.	
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT.)	
25	BY MR. GIORDANI:	

25

magazine is kind of like a Pez dispenser, it has a spring that's pushing

top cartridge out of the magazine, loads it into the chamber. So the

those cartridges up. And the bolt on the bottom, there's a little lip and it just grabs that top cartridge and puts it forward into the chamber.

Q Okay. And you previously indicated this is a semi-automatic rifle?

A Yes.

Q Is this something where it only needs to be cocked or racked once in order to expend all the rounds in the magazine?

A So what it is, is you need to pull the trigger for each shot that you want to fire. But seeing as that it is semi-automatic, like what I described earlier, it does perform a part of that cycle and fire for you. Which is after you shoot, like I had described earlier, you have a firing pin that goes forward, hits the primer which ignites a spark, burns the powder, that's what propels the bullet forward, down the barrel and out of the muzzle.

Then for every action, you have an equal and opposite reaction: Bullet's going forward, cartridge case is going backwards against the back of that bolt, that is extracted and ejected from the firearm. Then when the bolt goes forward again, picks up the next cartridge from the magazine and loads it into the chamber. Then you have to pull the trigger again to fire another shot.

Q Understood. So to fire multiple rounds from this, you don't just hold the trigger down, you have to pull for each round; is that accurate?

A Correct. That would be a fully automatic firearm, where you held the trigger down and the gun continued to fire.

Q Understood. And with regard to this, similar to the shotgun,

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α

I -- I, obviously, didn't test fire it --

1	trigger is actually pulled.		
2	Q	Okay. So this is a little over five, correct?	
3	Α	It's	
4	Q	Five pounds?	
5	Α	4-3/4 to 5-1/4.	
6	Q	Okay.	
7	Α	We record a range as to where the weight holds and then the	
8	weight where the trigger actually is pulled.		
9	Q	Okay.	
10	Α	So we do record as a range.	
11	Q	So, approximately, if you think about it, a five-pound dumbbell	
12	and you	would have to hang that amount of weight from that trigger in	
13	order for it to get pulled?		
14	Α	Yes, that's correct.	
15	Q	Understood.	
16		MR. GIORDANI: I'll pass the witness.	
17		Thank you.	
18		THE COURT: Defense, any cross-examination?	
19		MS. MACHNICH: Yes. Briefly, Your Honor.	
20		Did you are they all right here?	
21		MR. GIORDANI: Yes, everything should be up there.	
22		MS. MACHNICH: Oh, perfect. Ah, there's my CD. Thank	
23	you.		
24		CROSS-EXAMINATION	
25	BY MS.	MACHNICH:	

1	Α	I did not.
2	Q	Okay. And so other than the two bullets we've already
3	discusse	ed, did you receive any other bullets associated with this scene?
4	Not no	ot fragments, but actual bullets?
5	Α	So other than this bullet and the one that was booked later?
6	Q	Correct.
7	Α	All right. Just one moment. No, I did not.
8	Q	Okay. So you're not aware if the additional potential ten
9	bullets c	orresponding to the shells, .9mm casings that you found, were
10	ever e	ver acquired or
11	Α	I yeah, they were never requested of me to examine those,
12	so I have	e no idea if they were impounded or what where they would
13	be. I I	don't know.
14	Q	But they were not requested of you?
15	Α	They were not.
16	Q	Thank you.
17	Α	You're welcome.
18	Q	I appreciate it.
19		MS. MACHNICH: No further questions.
20		THE COURT: Any cross-examination Mr. Plummer?
21		MR. PLUMMER: Yes, Your Honor.
22		CROSS-EXAMINATION
23	BY MR.	PLUMMER:
24	Q	Hi. Good evening.
25	Α	Hi.

1	consists	s, normally, of 225 little pellets?
2	А	Well, it would depend on the shot shell. Different
3	manufa	cturers load different numbers.
4	Q	On average, it contains about 225
5	Α	I wouldn't be
6	Q	for steel?
7	Α	able to give you an exact number due to differences in
8	manufacturers.	
9	Q	Okay. Well, did you count the number of little pellets?
10	А	I did not.
11	Q	So you're not familiar all right, so you're not familiar with the
12	number of little pellets in birdshot?	
13	А	I couldn't give you an exact number. No.
14	Q	Are you familiar with what a BB is?
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	And just for for lead BBs in a similar shotgun shell, the
17	number would be 25.	
18	А	Again, depending on the manufacturer, It may vary.
19	Q	Vary in in what degree? I mean, can one manufacturer fit
20	more of the same size pellets	
21	А	Well, there is a
22	Q	into a shell?
23	А	limitation, obviously, to the size of the shell.
24	Q	Yes. How about I want to talk about the shells we have
25	here.	

1	you wou	ld expect that to be broken up into multiple pieces?
2	Α	I couldn't possibly speculate as to that.
3	Q	How many bullets have you seen hit plastic?
4	Α	Oh, jeez.
5	Q	Many?
6	Α	Many. Situations similar to this. I've seen situations where
7	guns hav	ve been shot, you know, where different different items at
8	scenes h	nave been shot.
9	Q	But when bullets hit items and they fragment, they tend to
10	scatter in	n different directions?
11	Α	The fragments?
12	Q	The fragments.
13	Α	Yes.
14	Q	And the
15		MR. PLUMMER: You know what, Your Honor, I don't have
16	any addi	tional questions for this witness.
17		THE COURT: Any redirect by the
18		MR. GIORDANI: Yes, Your Honor.
19		THE COURT: State?
20		MR. GIORDANI: I'll be brief.
21		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR.	GIORDANI:
23	Q	State's 413, that sure as hell isn't a BB gun, is it?
24	Α	That is not.
25	Q	Okay. Ms. Machnich asked you a couple of questions about
		140

1	MS. MACHNICH: Very specific [indiscernible].
2	MR. GIORDANI: But stippling doesn't occur, or at least in her
3	experience, not greater than 3 feet. So
4	THE COURT: Okay. But she her
5	MR. GIORDANI: the only way
6	THE COURT: Her initial answer was based on the variables.
7	MR. GIORDANI: Yes. But the problem with that is her initial
8	answer that came in front of the jury was, Yes, I have. And then
9	Tegan Ms. Machnich objected, and she was cut off there. So this jury
10	is left with the impression that it's, Yes, I have, when she hasn't.
11	THE COURT: I don't remember this line of questioning. What
12	was your
13	MR. GIORDANI: Before no, this was before.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Before my ruling?
15	MR. GIORDANI: Yes.
16	THE COURT: And then I made a ruling that you could ask her
17	general questions about stippling
18	MR. GIORDANI: Right.
19	THE COURT: without leading her as to suggesting an
20	answer.
21	MR. GIORDANI: Right.
22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	MR. GIORDANI: And that's why I said it specifically to yes or
24	no
25	THE COURT: All right. Restate your question to the extent

of -- what was your concern? Because she cut it off?

MS. MACHNICH: Right.

MR. GIORDANI: Well, number one, she cut it off. When this witness was -- very first witness objection came up, I asked the question, Have you ever seen stippling greater than 3 feet? She said, I have, when the answer was going to be, I have not. And Ms. Machnich objected at, I have. So now the jury has heard, I have, when that's not accurate. And Ms. Machnich just raised different calibers and distances, etcetera, affect stippling, which is accurate. But what I'm trying to do is clarify --

MS. MACHNICH: Your Honor, I believe he's trying to lead her into it. He suggested a distance that he's looking for.

THE COURT: Okay. You can ask her the question, a general question, with regard to stippling. And what -- I'm sorry. I apologize, what is the question that you have?

MR. GIORDANI: The question -- I phrased it specifically -- was, yes or no. I'm not suggesting an answer. That's yes or no, have you seen it -- ever seen it greater than 3 feet?

THE COURT: Okay. Make it more general.

MS. MACHNICH: Well, that would be asked and answered. He did ask that general question, How far have you said? And she said, I couldn't possibly say. And he said, Greater -- or, Have you ever seen it greater than 25 feet? And she said, No.

MR. GIORDANI: Yeah, that's the problem. Now the jury is misled.

THE COURT: Okay. Well --

MS. MACHNICH: There --

THE COURT: -- the objection was sustained, so I'm not sure the jury was misled if they only heard a partial answer. You need to ask it in terms of, What is the distance that you've seen stippling? Based on your experience, what are the distances that you've seen stippling? Something along -- an open-ended question like that.

MS. MACHNICH: Yeah.

MR. GIORDANI: Okay. The -- the only problem with that is that she cannot say the exact distances. All she can say is --

THE COURT: Well, you can ask: Based on your experience, what are the distances that you've seen stippling? What is the shortest distance you've seen stippling? What is the longest distance? In other words, for some reasons, 3 feet is -- seems to be of concern to both parties.

MS. MACHNICH: It's putting a number on it that, sure, when she said that she can't.

THE COURT: Okay. But what I'm saying, you can ask her, Based on your experience, what is the shortest distance that you've seen stippling?

MR. GIORDANI: Okay.

THE COURT: And what is the longest? I mean, in other words, her initial answer, you said it was on variables and things like that. Now you can ask her, Based on your experience, what is the distances you've seen stippling?

1	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
2	THE COURT: All right. Let's see what happens with that type
3	of question.
4	MR. GIORDANI: Okay.
5	[End of bench conference.]
6	BY MR. GIORDANI:
7	Q Based upon your experience with stippling, what is the
8	shortest and furthest distances you have seen yourself?
9	A I would say, approximately, from a near-contact shot out to
10	approximately 36 inches.
11	Q Understood. Thank you.
12	MR. GIORDANI: Pass the witness.
13	THE COURT: Thank you.
14	Any recross recross-examination by the defense?
15	MS. MACHNICH: No, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Thank you.
17	MR. PLUMMER: No, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Thank you. May this witness be excused?
19	MR. GIORDANI: Yes, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Ma'am, thank you, and you are excused.
21	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Thank you.
23	At this time, counsel, will you approach, please?
24	[Bench conference transcribed as follows.]
25	THE COURT: Is the State going to call any additional

1	witnesses?	
2	MS. BEVERLY: We're going to talk about it tonight. We don't	
3	want to rest yet.	
4	THE COURT: Okay. So you're not resting yet?	
5	MS. BEVERLY: No.	
6	THE COURT: Okay. That's fine.	
7	MS. BEVERLY: Okay.	
8	THE COURT: That's all I wanted to know.	
9	MS. MACHNICH: Okay.	
10	THE COURT: Sometime tomorrow I assume you're getting	
11	close to the end of the trial?	
12	MS. BEVERLY: Yeah. Can we come a little earlier, like	
13	like, 12:00 or	
14	THE COURT: No, I can't.	
15	MS. BEVERLY: Okay.	
16	THE COURT: I don't have the courtroom. I'm in my civil	
17	calendar tomorrow. That usually lasts to almost noon.	
18	MS. BEVERLY: Okay.	
19	THE COURT: Or at least 11:30. So we're going to start my	
20	question is, when do you anticipate settling jury instructions, at what	
21	point?	
22	MR. GIORDANI: Depends on we can do it tomorrow	
23	at 1:15.	
24	THE COURT: No, no. I'm just going to have them come back	
25	here at 1:00 then	

1	MS. BEVERLY: Oh, okay.
2	THE COURT: Thank you.
3	MR. GIORDANI: But we we're available to come settle
4	them whenever you're
5	MS. MACHNICH: And for us, as well.
6	THE COURT: We're just going to come back at 1:00
7	tomorrow.
8	MS. MACHNICH: Okay.
9	THE COURT: Thank you.
10	[End of bench conference.]
11	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take our
12	evening recess. During this recess, you are admonished not to talk or
13	converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject
14	connected with this trial, or read, watch, or listen to any report of or
15	commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial by any
16	medium of information, including, without limitation, newspaper,
17	television, radio, or Internet, or to form or express any opinion on any
18	subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you.
19	We will be in recess until 1:00 tomorrow. Thank you.
20	[Jury recessed at 5:15 p.m.]
21	THE COURT: Let the record reflect that the absence of the
22	jury.
23	Counsel, you're going to discuss tonight whether you're going
24	to rest or not, or call additional witnesses; is that correct?
25	MR. GIORDANI: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: State, do you want me to do the waiver of right against self-incrimination tonight, or do you want to do it tomorrow?

MS. MACHNICH: It would probably be a good use of time to do it now, if Your Honor is willing.

THE COURT: Okay. I would prefer to do it now.

MS. MACHNICH: Okay. We would too.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to address Mr. Turner first.

Mr. Turner, under the Constitution of the United States and under the Constitution of the State of Nevada, you cannot be compelled to testify in this case; do you understand that?

DEFENDANT TURNER: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: You need to speak up, sir.

DEFENDANT TURNER: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Thank you. You may, at your own request, give up this right and take the witness stand and testify. If you do, you will be subject to cross-examination by the deputy district attorney And anything that you -- that you may say, be it on direct or cross-examination, will be the subject of fair comment when the deputy district attorney speaks to the jury in his or her final argument; do you understand that?

DEFENDANT TURNER: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: If you choose not to testify, the Court will not permit the deputy district attorney to make any comments to the jury because you have not testified; do you understand that?

DEFENDANT TURNER: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: If you elect to testify -- I'm sorry.

If you elect not to testify, the Court will instruct the jury, but only if your attorney specifically requests, as follows: The law does not compel a defendant in a criminal case to take the stand and testify, and no presumption may be raised, and no inference of any kind may be drawn, from the failure of a defendant -- from the failure of the defendant to testify; do you have any questions about these rights?

DEFENDANT TURNER: No. No, sir.

THE COURT: You are further advised that if you have a felony conviction and more than 10 years has not elapsed from the date that you have been convicted or discharged from prison, parole, or probation, whichever is later, and the defense has not sought to preclude that coming before the jury, and you elect to take the stand and testify, the deputy district attorney, in the presence of the jury, will be permitted to ask you the following -- the following:

- 1. Have you been convicted of a felony?
- 2. What was the felony?

and 3. When did it happen?

However, no details may be gone into; do you understand that, sir?

DEFENDANT TURNER: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Hudson, under the Constitution of the United States and under the Constitution of the State of Nevada, you cannot be compelled to testify in this case; do you understand that?

DEFENDANT HUDSON: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: You may, at your own request, give up this right and take the witness stand and testify. If you do, you will be subject to cross-examination by the deputy district attorney and anything that you may say, be it on direct or cross-examination, will be the subject of fair comment when the deputy district attorney speaks to the jury in his or her final argument; do you understand that?

DEFENDANT HUDSON: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: If you choose not to testify, the Court will not permit the deputy district attorney to make any comments to the jury because you have not testified; do you understand that?

DEFENDANT HUDSON: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: If you elect not to testify, the Court will instruct the jury, but only if your attorney specifically requests, as follows: The law does not compel defendant in a criminal case to take the stand and testify, and no presumption may be raised, and no inference of any kind may be drawn, from the failure of a defendant to testify; do you have any questions about these rights?

DEFENDANT HUDSON: No, sir.

THE COURT: You are further advised that if you have a felony conviction, and more than 10 years has elapsed -- has not elapsed from the date you have been convicted or discharged from prison, parole, or probation, whichever is later, and the defense has not stopped to preclude that coming before the jury, and you elect to take the stand and testify, the deputy district attorney, in the presence of the

1	jury, will be permitted to ask you the following:
2	1. Have you been convicted of a felony?
3	2. What was the felony?
4	and 3. When did it happen?
5	However, no details may be gone into; do you understand
6	that, sir?
7	DEFENDANT HUDSON: Yes, sir.
8	THE COURT: This Court will be in recess until tomorrow
9	at 1:00.
10	MR. GIORDANI: 1:00.
11	MS. MACHNICH: Thank you, Your Honor.
12	MR. GIORDANI: Thank you.
13	THE COURT: Thank you.
14	[Court recessed at 5:20 p.m., until April 25, 2018, at 1:00 p.m.]
15	///
16	
17	
18	ATTECT: I do horoby cortify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the
19	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my
20	ability.
21	ShauraOrtega
22	Shawna Ortega, CET*562
23	
24	
25	
	152