

FILED

MAR 07 2022

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY: *[Signature]*
DEPUTY CLERK

1 Bryan p. Berthum 60595
2 po. Box 650 HDSP
3 Indian Springs, Nev 89070
4

COURT OF APPEALS IN AND FOR THE STATE OF NEVADA

8 Bryan p. Berthum
9 Appellant

APP CASE NO. 83458

10 -VS-

Appellants NOTICE TO COURT.

11 STATE OF NEVADA

12 Respondant(s)

14 comes now Appellant Bryan p. Berthum in pro se, moves this Honorable Court
15 TO TAKE NOTICE OF THIS Appellants notice to the court, requests this court to
16 issue order for documents, to distribute said documents to Appellant mentioned
17 here in.

18 This notice to court is further supported by points & Authorities herein.

RECEIVED
MAR 07 2022
ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
DEPUTY CLERK

22-07217

1 Bryan p Bonham 60575

2 po Box 650 HOSP

3 Indian Springs, Nev 89070

4

5

COURT OF APPEALS IN AND FOR THE STATE OF NEVADA

6

7

8 Bryan p Bonham

CASE NO.

9 Appellant

10 -VS-

NOTICE OF MOTION

11 STATE OF NEVADA

12 Respondants

13

14 TO

15 DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

16 Katlyn m Grady

17 555 E Washington Ave., Ste 3900

18 Las Vegas, Nev 89101

19

20 Please take notice, that the undersigned will bring the above motion for hearing as soon as

21 possible for a decision based on the courts Docket Availability.

22

23 Dated this 28th day of February, 2022

24 

25 PO Box 650 HOSP

26 Indian Springs, Nev 89070

27

28

POINTS & AUTHORITIES

ARGUMENT

1 ON February 13, 2022 Plaintiff/petitioner Had Deposited into His inmate
2 TRUST (1) one account \$125.00 By Linda Conry.

3 ON February 13, 2022 The NDOC withdrew/deducted 20% = \$25.00, and deposited
4 this amount to pay for Filing Fee in U.S. DISTRICT COURT for case NO. 3:17CV-

5 00219

6 ON February 13, 2022 The NDOC deducted \$6.25 for legal copy work owed to NDOC

7 ON February 25th, 2022 The NDOC deducted \$2.36; .78^p for postage owed to

8 NDOC.

9 ON February 26th, 2022 The NDOC further deducted the following amounts for

10 postage \$1.16; 1.76; 2.94; .58; .78; 2.16; 28.08, ~~1.18~~

11 ON February, 28th, 2022 The NDOC further deducted \$1.18 for postage.

12

13

14 ADMINISTRATIVE

15 REGULATION 258

16 258.05

17 (1) 50% for costs incurred by the Department on behalf of the inmate per

18 NRS 209.246

19 (2) 10% to inmate Savings Account.

20 (3) 20% towards a court ordered Filing Fee, if applicable.

21

22 Plaintiff/petitioner is under the impression that this issue with regard

23 to amount taken for debt incurred by NDOC on behalf of inmate was reduced

24 from 50% to 25% after an advocate group got involved known as Return

25 Strong, so petitioner is left wondering why is NDOC not only not

1 following their own Rules & Regulations

2 why is it that simple case law to follow is not respected by the lower
3 courts.

4 Mahers v. Halford 76 F.3d 951, 954 (8th Cir. 1996) 2 review case & /or const Law

5 251.1 for more Quick v. Jones 754 F.2d 1521, 1523 (9th Cir. 1984); or EE v. Island

6 708 F.2d 372, 378 (4th Cir. 1983); Hansen v. May 502 F.2d 728, 730 (4th Cir. 1974);

7 Scott v. Angzone 771 F.Supp. 1064, 1067 (D. Nev. 1991) "there is no question that

8 an inmates interest in the funds in his prison account is a protected property interest"

9 Jensen v. Klecker 648 F.2d 1179, 1183 (8th Cir. 1981) "...inmates have a property interest

10 in money received from outside sources." (holding that inmates have a property interest

11 in their money.) Seil v. Parratt 548 F.2d 753, 757 (8th Cir.) same thing inmates are

12 entitled to due process before they can be deprived of these monies. the question to be

13 answered is what process is due before money received from outside source can

14 be applied towards an inmates restitution obligations.

15 petitioner contends / asserts due process is afforded for the 25%, 20%, 10% net

16 however for the NDOC to continue to deduct as much as they want from his

17 account.

18 conclusion

19 wherefore petitioner respectfully request the following:

20 (1) He be allowed to file with this court evidence of NRS 209.246 being unconstitutional

21 or in the alternative

22 (2) Reverse, Remand summary Judgment & Dismissal in District court + so said motion

23 may be filed along with motion for discovery, or if NDOC, OAG choose to

24 settlement talks.

25 (3) an order be given that motion for discovery be granted as well as an

26 evidentiary hearing be held.

27 AS TO THIS notification to court #31-25 is 25% of \$125.00

28

1 VERIFICATION

2 I Bryan P. Bonham and verify that I have read the foregoing NOTICE TO COURT
3 and to the best of my belief and knowledge that the foregoing is true & correct
4 under the pains & penalties of perjury pursuant to 28 U.S.C.A. § 1746 & 18 U.S.C.A. 1621

5
6 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

7 I Bryan P. Bonham certify that I have read the foregoing motion to compel Appellants
8 notice to court and am attaching special instructions for electronic filing & service
9 to the clerk of the court to serve all my opponents pursuant to N.E.R.C.P. 5(d), 9 & 11
10 Seq(A-E) etc., to the following.

11
12 Deputy Attorney General
13 Kathryn M. Brudly
14 555 E Washington Ave, Ste 3400
15 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

16
17 Dated this 28th day of February, 2022

18 
19 Bryan P. Bonham 605.75
20 P.O. Box 650 HOSP
21 Indian Springs, Nev 89070