

NO. 84371

FILED

MAR 15 2022

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY *[Signature]*  
DEPUTY CLERK

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

NONA TOBIN,  
PETITIONER,

Supreme Court Cases 79295, 82094,  
82234, 82294

vs.

NEVADA COMMISSION ON  
JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE; ASSOCIATE  
GENERAL COUNSEL DOMINKA  
BATTEN;

Related 8<sup>th</sup> District Court Cases Nos.  
A-15-720032-C (Dept. 31);  
A-16-730078-C (Dept. 31);  
A-19-799890-C (Dept. 22);  
A-21-828840-C (Dept. 8);

THE OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL OF  
THE STATE BAR OF NEVADA;  
ASSISTANT BAR COUNSEL  
PATRICK J. PATTEE;  
EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT  
JUDGE JESSICA PETERSON

PETITION FOR EXCESS PAGES  
IN WRITS OF MANDAMUS FOR  
THE ENFORCEMENT OF THE  
NEVADA JUDICIAL AND  
PROFESSIONAL CODES OF  
CONDUCT


RESPONDENTS.

Comes now, Petitioner Nona Tobin, In Proper Person, to respectfully  
petition this court to grant leave to file excess pages in petition for writs vs. three  
Respondents. It is necessary because the Supreme Court needs to simultaneously  
consider what responsibility each of the Respondents has to protect the public by  
the rigorous enforcement of the Judicial and Professional Codes of Conduct.

Dated this day of 11<sup>th</sup> March 2022,

*nona thi*

NONA TOBIN, AN INDIVIDUAL  
2664 Olivia Heights Avenue  
Henderson NV 89052  
(702) 465-2199  
nonatobin@gmail.com  
In Proper Person



22-08150

1  
2 **I. DECLARATION OF PETITIONER NONA TOBIN**

3 I, Nona Tobin, declare and state, under penalty of perjury of the laws of the  
4 State of Nevada, as follows:

5 Because I filed this petition against three respondents, the petition exceeded  
6 the 15-page limit for the petition that I had forgotten existed until the Clerk  
7 reminded me of it yesterday and told me to file this petition for excess pages.

8 I believe that the Court needs to decide this petition against all three  
9 simultaneously because the question before the Court is whose responsibility is it  
10 to assist the Supreme Court protect the public interest by ensuring that the  
11 professional codes of conduct are strictly and uniformly enforced.

12 If the Supreme Court allows each entity to set its own boundaries without  
13 consideration from where the others have set their boundaries, ensuring that the  
14 public interest is served will continue to be elusive as there will be no way of  
15 knowing who is accountable for what falls between the cracks.

16 In my case, these three respondents, as well as other administrative agencies  
17 (Attorney General, NRED Ombudsman for Owners in Common Interest  
18 Communities, Mortgage Lending Division, and two other district courts,) all  
19 elected not to hold any evidentiary hearings, or decided these issues were outside  
20 their jurisdiction, or my unheard claims were precluded per res judicata, or  
21 otherwise set their boundaries where neither my, nor the public's, interest was  
22 served.

23 Therefore, I petition this court to accept my overly-long petition and 36  
24 volumes of evidence that supports my allegations of violations of the Nevada  
25 Code of Judicial Conduct. These violations resulted in my case not being fairly  
26 heard on its merits in five years of litigation by a neutral and fair tribunal.

27 Further, the alleged violations of the Nevada Rules of Professional Conduct  
28 that are serious enough in some cases to warrant disbarment under the ABA

1 Standards for Imposing Lawyer Sanctions 6.11 (making false statements to the  
2 court) and 6.31(b) (ex parte communications that caused serious damage to a party  
3 and altered the outcome of a proceeding). These violations must not be go  
4 uninvestigated by the proper authorities merely because I am too verbose. These  
5 violations pose an existential threat to the integrity of the court system as a whole.

6 I believe that the Supreme Court needs all three Respondents - the NCJD,  
7 the Bar, and district court – to exercise their Constitutional and statutorily-defined  
8 powers to protect the public by the rigorous enforcement of the codes of conduct.  
9 The public interest is not served if these adjudicative and enforcement entities are  
10 excused from performing their duties because of the Petitioner's limited  
11 competence.

12 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Nevada that  
13 the foregoing is true and correct.

14 **II. CONCLUSION**

15 Petitioner respectfully requests acceptance of the petition for excess pages.

16 Dated this 11<sup>th</sup> day of March 2022  
17

18   
19

20 NONA TOBIN, AN INDIVIDUAL  
21 2664 Olivia Heights Avenue  
22 Henderson NV 89052  
23 (702) 465-2199  
24 nonatobin@gmail.com  
25 *In Proper Person*  
26  
27  
28