IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DUSTIN JAMES BARRAL,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,

No. 85706

FILED

JAN 0 4 2023

CLERICOF SUPPLEME COURT

ORDER

Respondent.

This is an appeal from a district court order denying a motion to correct an illegal sentence. Appellant has filed two pro se motions. First, appellant represents that he has not received a copy of the November 7, 2022, order denying his motion. The district court entered the written order denying appellant's motion on December 5, 2022. The automated certificate of service attached to that order does not indicate that appellant was served with a copy of the order. Accordingly, the clerk of the district court shall have 7 days from the date of this order to serve a copy of the December 5, 2022, order on appellant and provide this court with written proof of service.

Second, appellant has filed a motion for the transmission of the original exhibits attached to his motion to correct an illegal sentence. Copies of these exhibits are included in the record on appeal transmitted to this court by the district court clerk on December 14, 2022. Appellant does not demonstrate that this court's review of the original exhibits is necessary. See NRAP 30(d) (explaining that this "court will not permit the transmittal of original exhibits except upon a showing that . . . the court's

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

review of the original exhibits is necessary to the determination of the issues"). Accordingly, the motion is denied

It is so ORDERED.

slight, C.J.

cc: Dustin James Barral Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk