ORIGINAL RECEIVED OF SUPPREME COURT

,	DI THE CURRENT CO		CLERA OF SUPPLEME COUNT
I	IN THE SUPREME CO	OURT OF	THE STATE OF NEVADA  OD MAY 16 PM 3: 39
2			00 HAT 10 TH 3. 33
3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	
4			
5	BRENDAN JAMES NASBY,	`	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,	
6	Appellant,	)	
7	V.	)	CASE NO. 35319
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA	)	
9	Respondent.	)	
10			MAY 18 2000
11			BY FOO MICOUR
12	RESPONDENT'S M	IOTION	TO ENLARGE TIME
13			
14	FREDERICK A. SANTACROCE		STEWART L. BELL
15	Attorney at Law Nevada Bar No. 005121		Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar No. 000477
16	330 South Third Street Suite 860		Clark County Court House 200 South Third Street, Suite 701 Post Office Box 552212
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 598-1666		Post Office Box 552212
17 18	(702) 398-1000		Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2211 (702) 455-4711
			FRANKIE SUE DEL PAPA
19		,	Nevada Attorney General Nevada Bar No. 000192
20			100 North Carson Street
21	The second of th		Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538
22			
23	Counsel for Appellant		Counsel for Respondent
24			
25			STIPULATION APPROVED THIS DATE.
26	RECEIVED		EXTENSION OF TIME IS SO ORDERED TO AND INCLUDING Y TOUR 24, 2000
27	MAY 1 8 2000		AND INCLUDING
			os:Counsiel Record
28	JANETTE M. BLOOM CLERK OF SUPREME COURT DEPUTY CLERK		

 $I: APPELLAT \land WPDOCS \land SECRETAR \land MOTIONS \land EXTEND \land ASBY-B.MTE$ 

1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA				
2					
3					
4					
5	BRENDAN JAMES NASBY, )				
6	Appellant, )				
7	v. )	CASE NO. 35319			
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA, )				
9	Respondent.				
10	0				
11	1				
12	RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO ENLARGE TIME				
13	COMES NOW, the State of Nevada, by STEWART L. BELL, Clark County				
14	District Attorney, through his Chief Deputy JAMES TUFTELAND and moves to				
15	enlarge the time within which Respondent's Answering Brief is due up to an				
16	including May 24, 2000. This motion is based on the following memorandum, th				
17	declaration of counsel, and all papers and pleadings on file herein.				
18	Dated this 16th day of May, 2000.				
19	9 Respectfull	y submitted,			
20					
21	District Att	omey			
22	2				
23	By On	mp Wallym			
24	7AM	ES TUFTELAND			
25	25 Attor	f Deputy ney for Respondent			
26	<b>26</b>				
27	27				

#### 

*3* 

### **MEMORANDUM**

As set forth in the attached declaration, Respondent's Answering Brief is due May 17, 2000. The law clerk assigned to drafting said brief has submitted a first draft review. Said clerk has been instructed to make some additions and revisions but cannot do so and still submit Respondent's Answering Brief by the existing due date.

NRAP 26(b) permits this Court to enlarge the time prescribed by the rule of appellate procedure for doing any act upon good cause shown. Given the large work load of the appellate section of the district attorney's office, the State cannot submit Respondent's Answering Brief by May 17, 2000 without compromising the quality of its brief. The State has approximately 30 brief's due in May alone and is concurrently working on approximately 25 post conviction matters in district court.

Based on the foregoing, the State moves this Court for an enlargement of time of one week within which to file Respondent's Answering Brief, making said brief due May 24, 2000.

STEWART L. BELL District Attorney

JAMES TUF/ELAND Chief Deputy District Attorney

# 

### **DECLARATION**

I, JAMES TUFTELAND, am a duly licensed attorney in the State of Nevada and am employed by the Clark County District Attorney's Office.

Upon receipt of Appellant's Opening Brief, in <u>Nasby v. State</u>, No. 35319, I assigned one of the appellate law clerks the responsibility of preparing Respondent's Answering Brief.

During May 2000, the appellate section has been extremely busy, with approximately thirty briefs due in this Court and twenty-five post conviction matters pending in district court.

I received the draft of Respondent's Answering Brief in the instant case on May 16, 2000. After reviewing said draft and consulting with the deputy who prosecuted the case at trial, it was determined by myself and the trial deputy that the State's brief required some additions and revisions. It is anticipated that an enlargement of time of one week should be sufficient for the State to complete said charges.

I hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated this 16th day of May, 2000 By

TAMES TUPTELA Appellate Division

## **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify and affirm that I mailed a copy of the foregoing RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO ENLARGE TIME to the attorney of record listed below this 16th day of May, 2000..

FREDERICK A. SANTACROCE, ESQ. 330 South Third Street, Suite 860 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

District Attorney's Office

TUFT/Mark Karris/mulkn